REPORT OF THE
TWENTIETH SESSION OF THE IPCC BUREAU
(Montreal, 5 May 2000)

1. OPENING OF THE SESSION (agenda item 1)

1.1 The Chairman of the IPCC, Dr. Robert T. Watson, opened the session at 1805 hours on 5 May 2000.

1.2 One comment was made regarding the lateness of the distribution of the provisional agenda. The agenda as approved is attached in Appendix A.

2. APPROVAL OF THE DRAFT REPORT OF THE NINETEENTH SESSION (agenda item 2)

2.1 The sixth sentence in paragraph 3.3 (i) was amended to read “The writing team for chapter 8 would look into multiple benefits for mitigation/adaptations and ‘no regrets’ actions”. With this amendment, the draft was approved.

3. MATTERS ARISING FROM IPCC-XVI AND THE MEETING OF THE IPCC/FCCC JOINT WORKING GROUP (agenda item 3)

3.1 The Chairman informed the Bureau that the conclusions of the Special Report on Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry would be presented in detail to the SBSTA during the informal part of its twelfth session in a 4-hour meeting. There would also be presentations on the Special Report on the Methodological and Technological Issues in Technology Transfer, the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios and the Report on Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. The schedule of these presentations and other IPCC-related activities as agreed by the IPCC/FCCC Joint Working Group (JWG) is attached in Appendix B.

3.2 The next JWG session would occur during the twelfth session of the SBSTA. The Chairman would deliver a short scientific lecture to the high level segment of the Sixth Session of the Conference of the Parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (The Hague, 13-24 November 2000).

3.3 Proposal to GEF

3.3.1 The Chairman informed the Bureau that a PDF proposal would be submitted to the Global Environment Facility (GEF) through UNEP. The proposal would be for targeted research and capacity-building in developing countries. If approved, it would fund 40-50 developing country academics for a period of 4-5 years in Africa, Asia, Latin America and Small Island States to assess the regions’ vulnerability and adaptation to climate change.

3.3.2 The proposed global project would fund the utilization of climate model projections of climate change in assessing the impacts of climate change at the regional/national scale and for developing/assessing adaptation response options using a consistent methodological approach (IPCC Technical Guidelines for Assessing Climate Change Impacts and Adaptations, 1995). Outputs of various regional scale climate model projections would be used to develop scenarios for impact and adaptation analysis, and where possible, comparison with climatological data would be used to assess the ability of the models to simulate regional changes in climate. Impact analyses would be conducted for the most vulnerable socio-economic sectors (e.g., water, agriculture, forestry, fisheries, human settlements and human health) and ecological systems (e.g., coral reefs, wetlands, grasslands and
forests) within each region. The range of potential impacts could be assessed from the range of projections from the models. Based on these impact projections options for adaptation strategies would be developed.

3.3.3 This project would enhance national and regional scientific capacity and provide expertise available to governments, the private sector and other entities making national and sub-national sectoral and multi-sectoral policies and adaptation plans. The results of this work could then feed into further regional/national Stage II adaptation studies as part of UNFCCC national communications and into future IPCC assessments.

3.3.4 The research priorities, i.e., knowledge gaps, would be identified primarily through the IPCC assessment process. The IPCC, however, would not become either the implementing or executing agency for the proposal. The mandate of the IPCC is to perform assessments and not to conduct research. UNEP would be the implementing agency and entities/organizations such the ICSU IGBP START Program or the Third World Academy of Sciences (TWAS) or a combination of them would be excellent candidates to be the executing agency.

3.3.5 There would be adequate funding for each academic to have one or two graduate students or post-doctoral fellows and resources for data analysis or theoretical modeling. There would also be adequate funding for the experts from each region to meet annually to inter-compare data and modeling results and to participate in the preparation and peer-review of relevant IPCC assessment reports.

3.3.6 It was suggested that (a) moral support by IPCC member governments for the funding of the project might be helpful and (b) regional development banks and donor governments might be interested in co-funding the proposal.

4. CONDUCT OF THE BALANCE OF IPCC-XVI (agenda item 4)

4.1 In response to a query from the Chairman, a majority of the members agreed that every attempt should be made to complete the action on the Special Report on Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry by close of business on 6 May 2000; this would allow the Panel to complete its agenda at the session¹.

5. ISSUES REQUIRING DECISIONS BY THE BUREAU (agenda item 5)

5.1 The Co-Chairmen of Working Group III raised the issue of an outreach effort during the Fourth Meeting of the Lead Authors of the Working Group (Cape Town, 21-24 August 2000). The Bureau expressed the view that such efforts should await decisions of COP-6.

5.2 Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

5.2.1 The Co-Chairmen of the Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (TFI) informed the Bureau that no major concerns had been raised by governments in their review of the Report on Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. They proposed a scoping meeting that could be held in October 2000 on the LULUCF Report. They also informed the Bureau that a work plan for the TFI for the period following IPCC-XVI was before the Panel for approval.

5.2.2 The Bureau expressed the view that the scoping meeting was best organized after COP-6.

¹ The Panel completed its agenda at its Sixteenth Session (Montreal, 1-8 May 2000).
6. SYNTHESIS REPORT (agenda item 6)

6.1 The Chairman informed the Bureau that the list of Lead Authors who would be writing the draft Synthesis Report would be circulated to the Bureau within a month or so.

7. OBSERVATIONS AND MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS HELD BY THE AFRICAN GROUP DURING THE FIFTH SESSION OF IPCC WORKING GROUP III (KATHMANDU, 8-15 MARCH 2000) (agenda item 7)

7.1 The Observations and Minutes, as submitted by the African Group, are attached in Appendix C.

7.2 During the ensuing discussion,

* the Bureau noted that the PDF proposal to GEF (see paragraphs under 3.3) could be helpful in building expert capacity in developing countries in general and in Africa in particular;
* the Bureau also noted that hard copies of draft reports continue to be mailed in addition to electronic circulation; the Bureau urged that this practice continue;
* the Bureau recommended that the Panel be informed of the general desire for key documents to be available in translation;
* the Bureau requested its Ad-Hoc Group on Communication Strategy to examine the feasibility of making available electronic connectivity and other communication assistance to experts from developing countries.

8. OTHER BUSINESS (agenda item 8)

8.1 The Bureau took note of the statement by the delegation of the United Kingdom that it was likely that the IPCC would be requested by the SBSTA at its Twelfth Session (Bonn, 12-16 June 2000) to prepare a Special Report on baselines and other related issues.

9. TIME AND PLACE OF THE NEXT SESSION (agenda item 9)

9.1 The time and place of the next session would be announced by the Secretary after consultations.

10. CLOSING OF THE SESSION (agenda item 10)

10.1 The session closed at 2120 hours on Friday, 5 May 2000.

The following is a record of the proceedings at the informal session of the Bureau which took place at 1410 hours on 8 May 2000. This was without interpretation. This record is provided only for information and not for approval. However, the Secretary would very much welcome any corrections to the record.

The Chairman of the Ad-Hoc Communication Strategy Group invited the members to visit the IPCC website. A suggestion was made that the website could be distributed on CD-ROM to those members who had no access to the Internet. IPCC Conclusions and findings could be made available to the general public in a non-technical manner through websites other than that of the IPCC.

The members discussed what is and what is not working well in IPCC. The following improvements were suggested:
to make the final draft versions of the Summaries for Policymakers available in all the UN languages;

to make the collated comments received after the final government distribution available to delegates to the extent feasible prior to the session(s) where approval/acceptance/adoption actions of the drafts would be taken; this could also apply to the textual changes suggested by the Lead Authors as a result of these comments;

to make real-time changes to the drafts utilizing computer projections; hardcopies of the suggested changes would help, if could be made available during the discussion.
PROVISIONAL AGENDA

1. OPENING OF THE SESSION

2. APPROVAL OF THE DRAFT REPORT OF THE NINETEENTH SESSION (B-XX/Doc. 2)

3. MATTERS ARISING FROM IPCC-XVI AND THE MEETING OF THE IPCC/FCCC JOINT WORKING GROUP (MONTREAL, 1 MAY 2000)

   The Chairman would summarize issues arising out of the ongoing Sixteenth Session of the IPCC (Montreal, 1-8 May 2000) and the JWG meeting.

4. CONDUCT OF THE BALANCE OF IPCC-XVI

   The Chairman would outline his plans for the conduct of the balance of the Sixteenth Session of the IPCC.

5. ISSUES REQUIRING DECISIONS BY THE BUREAU
   a. Co-Chairmen of the Working Groups/Task Force
   b. Chairman of the Ad-Hoc Communication Strategy Group
   c. Vice-Chairmen in charge of Cross-cutting Issues
   d. Co-Chairmen of the IPCC Financial Task Team

   would be invited to table issues that in their view require decisions/guidance from the Bureau. The subject matter would include the status of the Third Assessment Report and the draft Terms of Reference of the Task Force.

6. SYNTHESIS REPORT

   The Chairman would inform the Bureau of the Lead Authors/Review Editors for the report.

7. OBSERVATIONS AND MINUTES OF MEETINGS HELD BY THE AFRICAN GROUP DURING THE FIFTH SESSION OF IPCC WORKING GROUP III (KATHMANDU, 8-15 MARCH 2000) (B-XX/INF. 1)

8. OTHER BUSINESS

9. TIME AND PLACE OF THE NEXT SESSION

   It is suggested that the Bureau meet in its Twenty-first Session in Geneva on 13 (p.m. only) – 14 November 2000.

10. CLOSING OF THE SESSION
APPENDIX B is not available in electronic form. A hard copy can be obtained from the IPCC Secretariat.
We, the African delegates to the Working Group III of the IPCC, having met in Kathmandu, Nepal on 14th March 2000 at the Biran International Conference Centre.

Recalling the spirit of the IPCC Bureau and the UNFCCC which aims at enabling the developing country parties particularly those from Africa

Recognising that the level of communication between the African Representatives at the Bureau is low,

Also recognising that IPCC documents continue to arrive late to the intended delegates

Recognising further that most African delegations lacked capacity to appreciate or internalise technical documents related to IPCC work:

Noted that Africans, were still very few among the IPCC lead authors,

Noted further that IPCC documents are only in one UN Language,

Took note with appreciation the Bureau’s effort to support at least one delegate from each member state.

Also noted with appreciation the contribution to the IPCC trust fund by especially the USA which met up to 70% of the current IPCC budget.

Do hereby request the Chairman of the IPCC as well as the bureau to:

1. Implement the Mexico spirit (1996) by assisting African Member States build capacity so as to internalise IPCC work.

2. Continue sending hard copies to the intended delegates in addition to electronic mail to states which have the facility.

3. Find ways and means of supporting at least two delegates from African States at IPCC meetings.

4. Try and translate key documents into other UN languages to enable delegations brief their Governments.

5. Facilitate the African Representatives at the Bureau in terms of electronic mail connectivity, and computer supply so that they may be enabled to exchange information within Africa; and

6. Continue giving every possible support towards enabling African participation in all IPCC activities.
MEETING OF THE AFRICAN GROUP OF THE IPCC WORKING GROUP III, KATHMANDU, NEPAL, 9TH MARCH 2000

ATTENDANCE LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Prof. R.S. Odingo</td>
<td>Kenya (Chairman)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. S.A.K. Magezi</td>
<td>Uganda (Secretary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. G.B. Chipeta</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. B.T. Sekoli</td>
<td>Lesotho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Musoni Didace</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sabir Ali Taha</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Ogunlade Davidson</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. G. Gukhool</td>
<td>P.A.S/Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. E.D. Dlamini</td>
<td>Swaziland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. N.D. Mwafulirwa</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. K. Bojang</td>
<td>Gambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Malam Da Silva</td>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Birana Diarra</td>
<td>Mali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. L.N. Njau</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Madjid Saada</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. El Khati Said</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Aselefech Kebdde</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Feizoure Clement</td>
<td>Republic of Central Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Traore Abdoul Karim</td>
<td>Niger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Egboare Awadi Abi</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Edward W. Faije</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Assefaw Ghebremedhin</td>
<td>Eritrea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Acacha Akhma Jeanne J.</td>
<td>Benin (MS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Yahaiya Mohamed</td>
<td>Comoros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Sylla Joseph</td>
<td>Guinee/Conakry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Mbouyou Pierre</td>
<td>Congo-Brazaville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. D. Poiret</td>
<td>Seychelles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Fredric Ouattara</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purpose of the Meeting:

1. To let the African delegates know each other and have informal exchanges.
2. To get a brief from African representatives elected to the IPCC body

Remarks by the Chair

The IPCC Vice Chair Prof. Odingo made the following observations:

- Most issues raised on the floor of Working Group meetings tended to polarise delegations, though China is usually on the side of developing countries.

- Each one of the delegations represents a Sovereign state and so their voices should be heard.

- In Mexico 1996, the current Chairman of IPCC made certain agreements regarding the African group, which he has now renegaded. Africans are largely ignored despite their being a big and important block.
• All the Africans holding office in IPCC (e.g. Odingo & Ogunlade) have been elected due to the support of the African group yet facilitation to them has been non existent or limited.

• Africa needs special attention in the C DCM crusade. Nigeria was expected to circulate a proposal to request for funding to assist CDM in Africa. A kind of Marshall plan.

• All Africans are requested to support this move at SBSTA and at all other fora.

Responses and Interventions

• Delegates were thankful for the meeting.

• Poverty is still a big constraint, which needs to be handled together with technology transfer.

• Some countries are just recovering from the war situation (e.g. Liberia) so that they appear overlooked by IPCC and WMO.

• Obsolete technology should not be allowed into the developing countries.

• Delegates to different IPCC meetings should specialise to avoid intimidation, should network amongst themselves and should ensure that they receive the relevant information prior to meetings.

Resolutions

There was a general consensus as follows:

• In future the African group should try to meet before the plenary to work out their position

• Transfer of technology should not mean dumping of obsolete equipment nor the use of developing countries as Guinea pigs.

• IPCC Chairman should be invited to address the African group.

• In future each country in Africa should be enabled to attend.

• Regional projects are likely to be funded and so it may be better to support regional efforts.

• Possible participants and contributors to the IPCC process should send their CVs to Davidson Ogunlade for possible consideration.

End of meeting
MEETING BETWEEN THE AFRICAN GROUP (RAI) AND THE CHAIRMAN OF THE IPCC,
KATHMANDU, NEPAL, 14TH MARCH 2000

PRESENT

1. Prof. R.S. Odingo - Kenya (Chairman)
2. Magezi - Uganda (Secretary)
3. Dr. Watson - C/M IPCC
4. Musoni Didace - Rwanda
5. Frederic Outhara - Burkina Faso
6. Edward W. Faijna - Liberia
7. Gabriel Chipetar - Zambia
8. Feizoure Clement - Central Africa Republic
9. Sekoli - Lesotho
10. Njau - Kenya
11. Dlamini - Swaziland
12. Mwafurira - Malawi
13. Malam Da Silva - Guinea-Bissau
14. Keldo Bojong - Gambia
15. Egboare Awadi Abi - Togo
16. Acacha Akoba J.J. - Benin
17. Loomis Dias - Sao Tome’ et Principe'
18. Sabir Ali Taha - Sudan
19. Assefaw Ghebremedhin - Eritrea
20. Joseph Sylla - Guinea/Conakry
21. Mbou You Pierre - Congo/Brazzaville
22. Abdoulkaririm Traore - Niger
23. Birana Diorra - Mali

Agenda

1. Presentation of Issues by African Group - S.A.K. Magezi
2. Discussion and response by IPCC Chair - Dr. Watson
3. Any Other Business

Presentation of Issues

Members of the African Group of Working Group III of IPCC gathered at the Biran International
Conference Centre raised the following points for consideration by the IPCC Chairman

IPCC Chairman

1. That the African Representatives at the Bureau, particularly Vice Chairman Odingo do not
regularly contact the member states. This was due to lack of facilitation with respect to
communications and basic secretarial requirements. IPCC was requested, through Dr. Watson to
facilitate the Africa Representatives with a minimum of requirements, which include the following:

- Electronic-mail connectivity;
- Computer; and
- Secretary.

Such facilities should be tied to an office rather than a personality.

2. The African Group observed that most of them lacked capacity to appreciate or internalise
technical documents related to IPCC work. It was felt that in Mexico (1996), there was some
understanding that IPCC would help to build capacity among Africans so that countries could
write their national communications, contribute towards mitigation and adaptation work, and
generally internalise most IPCC work. This had not been forthcoming so that most countries
felt marginalised in the IPCC debate.
3. IPCC documents continue to arrive late or sometimes do not reach the intended recipients. While it may be possible to access the IPCC documents on the WEB, most countries may be unable to down-load and print the documents. They requested the IPCC to continue to send hard copies and try to ensure that documents get to the intended persons.

4. African Group was grateful that so far IPCC has tried to support at least one delegate per meeting. They noted, however, that this was not adequate because often meetings run for long periods with the need for contact groups. They requested, therefore, that IPCC should find ways and means of supporting at least two delegates at IPCC plenary meetings.

5. Looking through the lead authors list, there were very few from Africa. This should be rectified.

6. Documents should be translated into the UN working languages so that delegations will be able to explain to their governments. If funds are not permitting at least an abridged version should be made.

Response and Discussion

Dr. Watson observed that most of the observations as presented by the group would require lots of money; bearing in mind that the IPCC budget had jumped from Swiss Francs 5.0 m last year to 8.0 m this year. Specifically, Dr. Watson made the following points.

1. The issues raised should be written down and sent to the Secretariat of the IPCC.
2. The Secretary to IPCC has been handing the reports directly the missions represented in Geneva. It seems there is a problem with the transmission of documents from the missions in Geneva to their.
3. IPCC is currently faced with a major financial problem. This year, 70% of the IPCC budget was funded by the USA which is unsustainable.
4. The Chairman of IPCC is working out a project proposal to address the issues of capacity building. The proposal envisages a short proposal to GEF for a modest five year research grant for 15 - 20 researchers from Africa. It would also cover researchers from Asia, Latin America and the small Islands. These will be 1 - 2 post doctoral or graduate standards focusing on impacts and adaptation to assist Governments towards national communication as well as a smaller group working on IPCC WGI material (i.e. down-scaling global models to regional/national levels).
5. Research priorities will be selected using two sources. These are the TAR and national communications. Identified uncertainties in these documents would constituted priority research areas.
6. SPMs would be translated into the UN working languages for future meetings. However, due to constraints of time and budget this is always difficult.
7. Regarding lead authors, it is expected that more lead authors from the developing countries will feature in the TAR.

Concluding Remarks

1. It was agreed that such briefing are important and should be continued at every IPCC meeting starting with the IPCC meeting in Montreal.
2. The secretary should circulate the minutes of the two African group meetings to all participants using e-mail. In addition, he should co-ordinate with the Vice Chair of the IPCC to send the solid proposal to be presented to the bureau.

Meeting ended at 2.55 p.m.