

and Oxfam. She is co-author of *Cities of nutrition in developing countries* (Oxfam).

search fellow in the Nutrition Policy School of Hygiene and Tropical worked in a number of African me interested in the topics of pping through reviewing the evidence on famine conditions in Africa. Her erests include the interaction of social, h factors in determining fertility levels, health in poor Third World households y to adult health problems and / faced by different socioeconomic

search Officer at Nuffield College, ined his DPhil in Social Anthropology. eldwork conducted in Sudan, mostly in /een 1984 and 1987, looking at ons of famine and famine survival urch helped to inform the relief policies Fund (UK) in the latter part of the fuller account of the famine of e people of Darfur survived it, entitled shortly to be published by OUP.

orked for several years in South Asia ician and nutritionist. His research study of influences on child growth, oment programmes, and international health and social sectors. He is urer in International Community ol School of Tropical Medicine, tgraduate students and works as a tional organisations and bilateral

ed as a nurse and spent six years ommunity health and nutrition orking with the KHARDEP Impact ost-graduate course in nutrition she Community Health Support Services International Community Health at of Tropical Medicine. She maintains e study of links between nutritional mic development in rural

l a degree in economics from in Kathmandu. He developed his ural research while working for al Survey Assistant to KHARDEP. In an MSc in Agricultural Economics East Anglia, and returned to take nomist with ActionAid in Nepal.

Editorial Introduction: Vulnerability, Coping and Policy

Robert Chambers

Vulnerability

'Vulnerable' and 'vulnerability' are common terms in the lexicon of development, but their use is often vague. They serve as convenient substitutes for 'poor' and 'poverty', and allow planners and other professionals to restrain the overuse of those words. Some precision can be found in the use of 'vulnerable groups' where this refers to pregnant and lactating women, to children, or to disadvantaged communities such as Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in India. More often, though, vulnerable is used simply as a synonym for poor.

Vulnerability, though, is not the same as poverty. It means not lack or want, but defencelessness, insecurity, and exposure to risk, shocks and stress. This contrast is clearer when different dimensions of deprivation are distinguished, for example, physical weakness, isolation, poverty, and powerlessness as well as vulnerability. Of these, physical weakness, isolation and poverty are quite well recognised, and many programmes seek to alleviate them; powerlessness is crucial but it is rare for direct action against it to be politically acceptable; and vulnerability has remained curiously neglected in analysis and policy, perhaps because of its confusion with poverty. Yet vulnerability, and its opposite, security, stand out as recurrent concerns of poor people which professional definitions of poverty overlook.

Vulnerability here refers to exposure to contingencies and stress, and difficulty in coping with them. Vulnerability has thus two sides: an external side of risks, shocks, and stress to which an individual or household is subject; and an internal side which is defencelessness, meaning a lack of means to cope without damaging loss. Loss can take many forms — becoming or being physically weaker, economically impoverished, socially dependent, humiliated or psychologically harmed.

Failure to distinguish vulnerability from poverty has bad effects. It blurs distinctions and sustains stereotypes of the amorphous and undifferentiated mass of the poor. Poverty is often defined by professionals for convenience of counting, in terms of flows of income or consumption. Anti-poverty programmes are then designed to raise incomes or consumption and progress is assessed by measures of

these flows. Indicators of poverty are then easily taken as indicators of other dimensions of deprivation, including vulnerability. But vulnerability, more than poverty, is linked with net assets. Poverty, in the sense of low income, can be reduced by borrowing and investing; but such debt makes households more vulnerable. Poor people, in their horror of debt, appear more aware than professionals of the trade-offs between poverty and vulnerability. Programmes and policies to reduce vulnerability — to make more secure — are not, one for one, the same as programmes and policies to reduce poverty — to raise incomes.

Care is also needed because vulnerability and security start as 'our' concepts and are not necessarily 'theirs'. To correct and modify them to fit local conditions requires decentralised analysis, encouraging, permitting, and acting on local concepts and priorities, as defined by poor people themselves. To date, such analysis indicates that for them, reducing vulnerability and enhancing security are recurrent concerns. Moreover, in recent years, while conditions have improved for some people, hundreds of millions of others have become more vulnerable: through greater exposure to physical or political disaster or threat, through higher costs of meeting contingencies such as health expenditures, or through loss of assets through individual or widespread disasters which have used up their reserves, leaving them less to cope with future needs and crises.

With concerns like these a workshop on vulnerability and coping was held at IDS in September 1988, leading to this *Bulletin*. Some 20 people took part, about half of them reporting on recent fieldwork. The focus was at the household level, and the aims were to try to understand better the nature of vulnerability, how poor people cope with risks, shocks and stress, and what should be priorities for policy and research.

Unlike poverty, vulnerability lacks a developed theory and accepted indicators and methods of measurement. The articles in this *Bulletin* provide ideas and material which should contribute towards developing these. Most directly, the first article, by Jeremy Swift, presents a critique of parts of Amartya Sen's entitlement theory, and then outlines a new analysis of vulnerability and security based on a classification of assets into investments, stores and claims. Investments

can be personal — in education, training and capabilities, or physical — in things, such as housing, land shaping and the like; stores can be of food, of real value, or of cash savings; and claims can be on other individuals or on households, patrons, the government, or the international community. In this perspective, households have portfolios of investments, stores and claims which change over seasons and longer periods, and have strategies for using them to deal with different stresses, shocks and demands.

The next three articles, by Housainou Taal, Tony Beck and Judith Heyer, illustrate the diversity of actions and strategies of those who are vulnerable and poor. Taal, reporting on two villages in the Gambia, shows how the compounded risks and stress of low and uncertain rainfall, price fluctuations, variable access to markets, and adverse seasonal conditions, are met by a repertoire of cropping patterns, crop storage, reduced consumption, off-farm work, asset disposal and exploiting community and kinship ties. Beck, presenting findings from fieldwork in rural West Bengal, highlights four types of activity which are important for the poorest, but little studied and often overlooked. These are the use of common property resources, which includes gleaning, collection of fuel, and gathering wild foods; changing the patterns of eating and food preparation; share-rearing of livestock; and mutual support networks. Heyer describes the behaviour of landless labourers in a village in a South Indian district, finding that although all were constrained in their options for investment, and none bought land, the asset strategies of two social groups of the landless were strikingly different.

The next three papers are concerned with how vulnerability is linked with deprivation, ill-health, and malnutrition. These are examined at the household level and also within the household. From his fieldwork on river blindness in Guinea (Conakry), Tim Evans describes the effects on households over time of the onset of adult disability through progressive loss of sight, leading to extreme stress and privation and to death and dispersal of other household members. From her study of very poor households in an urban slum in Bangladesh, Jane Pryer finds a strong association of severe child malnutrition with the ill-health and inability to work of breadwinning adults. Jane Corbett then examines vulnerability to sickness, and the high economic costs to households of ill-health, including how sickness makes poor people poorer through delayed treatment, the costs of treatment, and loss of earnings.

The last two articles assess programmes of intervention. Alex de Waal bases a critique of famine food relief on his 18 months of fieldwork in Darfur, Sudan (1985-87), concluding that in that context food relief did limit impoverishment, but that it was not significant in directly saving lives — the immediate cause of excess

mortality being sickness. Finally, David Nabarro, Claudia Cassels and Mahesh Pant describe the impact on households of an integrated rural development project in Nepal implemented over a five-year period, and argue for support to the complex and well-developed strategies of the poorest in coping with crises and with the annual food gap, stressing access to health, veterinary and credit services, and to off-farm work and markets.

Perspectives

These articles are distinguished from much writing on deprivation by being based on direct, personal field research, and the insights derived from patient and sensitive learning from those who are vulnerable and poor. The findings often do not fit normal preconceptions. They qualify and complicate our view of vulnerability and coping. They challenge stereotypes of the poor and of programmes to help them. Readers will identify their own perspectives among those presented. I shall pick out five which strike me as important, and which have implications for policy and research.

i. Poor People's Priorities

The concepts of poverty which most influence policy are those of the rich, who assume that they know what poor people want and need. By emphasising income and consumption, they neglect other aspects. Nor should vulnerability and security be given more attention than they deserve, case by case. Poor people have many criteria of well-being and deprivation. It is the outsiders who simplify them down to one or two, or a few. In his re-survey after 20 years of two villages in Gujarat, N. S. Jodha (forthcoming) found that the households whose real per caput incomes had declined by more than five per cent were, on average, better off on 37 of their own 38 criteria of well-being. Besides income and consumption, they were concerned with independence, mobility, security and self-respect.

The view is common that the poorest 'live hand-to-mouth'. This simplifies and distorts. Besides food they have other priorities. Although their wants and needs are usually complex, some of what they express as priorities can be captured by the three words survival, security and self-respect. Significantly, Beck found, as did Jodha earlier, how much self-respect can matter to the poorest. Most of Beck's respondents said that loss of respect was worse than hunger.

Similarly, very poor people can show extreme tenacity in taking a long view and struggling through sacrifice to maintain the basis of their livelihood. De Waal found a woman in Darfur, on leaving her village in the famine, preserving millet seed for planting by mixing it with sand to prevent her hungry children eating it. The primary aim of famine victims in Darfur was to

preserve the basis of their strategies, as de Waal 'destitution' rather than 'su-

ii. Strategies: Complex and

In the common stereotype, simple and uniform. The coping strategies of the deprived vary by region, household, gender, age, season. The case studies illustrate strategies which are complex. Some who seek a single strategy, the chakkiliyans described by Beck, being at the beck and call of people do not choose to work in a basket. Rather they reduce work and seek a degree of autonomy, maintaining wider options. The willingness of different households to do different things in different

The range of means which sustain subsistence, to maintain the household with contingencies, is important and well known: cultivation of stock, labouring in agriculture, activities, mortgaging and future labour, begging, dispersal and migration of the less visible, less well recognised, mentioned in this *Bulletin*. Worse, deferring medical treatment, exploiting common property, wild foods of West Bengal, share-rearing. In addition, Taal mentions mutual support networks described by Pryer, some sickness insurance, and among poor slum women the of these activities are hard to harm by policy intervention.

The investment strategies Heyer found, education as investment for some, with children's earnings foregone, her village buy land, partly of mobility. Although similar, the chakkiliyans are different social and economic groups. Generally, poor people try to accumulate assets, defined in Swift's terms as investments, stores and claims, contingencies and bad investments, irreversible loss.

De Waal's Darfur study also. The behaviour of rural people

Finally, David Nabarro, and Pant describe the impact of rural development over a five-year period, on the complex and well-known poorest in coping with food gap, stressing access to health services, and to off-farm

shed from much writing on food on direct, personal field derived from patient and use who are vulnerable and can do not fit normal life and complicate our view. They challenge stereotypes and times to help them. Readers perspectives among those at five which strike me as implications for policy and

which most influence policy assume that they know what food. By emphasising income neglect other aspects. Nor security be given more case by case. Poor people being and deprivation. It is them down to one or two, after 20 years of two villages (orthcoming) found that the per capita incomes had declined were, on average, better off areas of well-being. Besides they were concerned with security and self-respect.

the poorest 'live hand-to-mouth' distorts. Besides food they enough their wants and needs of what they express as by the three words survival. Significantly, Beck found, as self-respect can matter to respondents said that loss hunger.

can show extreme tenacity struggling through sacrifice their livelihood. De Waal on leaving her village in the need for planting by mixing it angry children eating it. The victims in Darfur was to

preserve the basis of their future livelihood. Their strategies, as de Waal points out, were 'anti-destitution' rather than 'survival'.

ii. Strategies: Complex and Diverse

In the common stereotype, the lives of poor people are simple and uniform. The reality is often the opposite. The coping strategies of those who are poor and deprived vary by region, community, social group, household, gender, age, season and time in history. As the case studies illustrate, most poor people have strategies which are complex and diverse. There are some who seek a single source of support, like the chakkiliyans described by Heyer who for a time accept being at the beck and call of one master. But most poor people do not choose to put all their eggs in one basket. Rather they reduce risk, increase adaptability, and seek a degree of autonomy, by developing and maintaining wider options, through the ability and willingness of different household members to do different things in different places at different times.

The range of means which poor rural people use for subsistence, to maintain their livelihoods, and to cope with contingencies, is impressive. Some are obvious and well known: cultivation, herding large and small stock, labouring in agriculture, off-farm economic activities, mortgaging and selling assets including future labour, begging, theft, and the splitting, dispersal and migration of families. Others which are less visible, less well recognised and less studied, are mentioned in this *Bulletin*. They include eating less and worse, deferring medical treatment and expenditure, exploiting common property resources (such as the wild foods of West Bengal and Darfur), and share-rearing. In addition, Taal, Beck, Evans and Pryer all mention mutual support. In the Bangladesh slum described by Pryer, some workers had a self-help sickness insurance, and mutual help was common among poor slum women but little talked about. Most of these activities are hard for outsiders to see, and easy to harm by policy interventions which are blind to them.

The investment strategies of the poor also vary. As Heyer found, education can be an unproductive investment for some, with high opportunity costs from children's earnings foregone. Nor did the poorest in her village buy land, partly because it would entail loss of mobility. Although their economic status was similar, the chakkiliyans and the panadis showed very different social and economic behaviour. More generally, poor people try to diversify their portfolio of assets, defined in Swift's inclusive sense to include investments, stores and claims, so that they can handle contingencies and bad times better and minimise irreversible loss.

De Waal's Darfur study also illustrates local diversity. The behaviour of rural people in Darfur during the

1984-85 famine does not correspond with normal outsiders' expectations. They returned to their villages in order to cultivate, walking away from relief food to re-establish the basis of their livelihoods. This can be interpreted partly in terms of two local conditions: a relative abundance of wild foods; and a low level of past contact with government, including no previous experience with relief food supplies. Famine behaviour in Northern Ethiopia is different: there, people are more inclined to move to roads in distress, having in the past been supported by government in crises. Part of the diversity of strategies derives thus from people's past experience, and in turn affects how best to intervene.

iii. Changing Degrees of Vulnerability

In parts of the rural South, trends can be discerned which make poor people more vulnerable. To be sure, where their incomes rise, they have the means to make investments, to build up stores, and to establish claims which make them less insecure. Where services improve, isolation and vulnerability diminish. Where tenure of land, water and trees is clearly vested in the poorer, they become more secure. But in some countries and regions, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa, declining real incomes imply increasing vulnerability, and in addition, there and elsewhere, four trends with negative effects can be found.

The first is a decline in patron-client obligations. These are disliked more and more by clients who find them demeaning, and sought less and less by patrons, who prefer a cut-and-dried casual wage relationship to more open-ended responsibilities to dependent clients. Patterns here are not uniform; the South India case presented by Heyer is a partial exception where patrons paid relatively high wages to those who accepted labour attachments.

The second trend is declining support from the extended family. Not just in urban areas, the tendency is towards smaller consumption units, as noted by Taal in the Gambia, and towards the nuclear household. The weakening of wider family obligations then leaves households more exposed.

The third trend is rising costs of contingencies. Weddings, brideprice and dowry have tended to rise, except for the very poor and destitute who simply cannot afford them. Perhaps more seriously, medical expenses have risen. Whereas in the past, only relatively inexpensive indigenous medicine was available, many poor people now have access to more expensive allopathic treatment. A new form of impoverishing vulnerability is costly treatment for sickness which is not cured, as illustrated by the case history of Abdullah's family described by Pryer.

The fourth trend is localised, but severe in areas of recurrent famine such as the Sahel, where interventions to provide support for the vulnerable tend to come

late. This is mortgage, sale or loss of tangible assets in order to obtain food, culminating in loss of means of livelihood and destitution. Many millions in Sub-Saharan Africa, after the crisis of 1984-85 are more vulnerable than before, because they have used up or lost most or all of their tangible assets, and have so little opportunity to build them up again. In consequence, it now requires a less severe crisis to bring them to dependence on outside support.

These trends to greater vulnerability are not universal. But where they occur, they pose problems for policy. The question is whether and how the state and the international community should and can be open to claims which were formerly met by patrons, kin and the disposal of tangible assets.

iv. Assets, Contingencies and Livelihoods

Contingencies impoverish in different ways. Households have different strategies and exploit or cash their assets in different combinations and sequences.

Following Swift's separation of tangible and intangible assets into investments, stores and claims, the strategies of poor people can be seen as the management of a complex portfolio of assets, each with a different profile. The criteria of poor people themselves deserve empirical investigation, but some characteristics of tangible assets that appear important can be noted: on the positive side, divisibility, ease of sale or mortgage, and good price including avoiding a distress sale and maintaining value in bad times; and on the negative side, bad effects of disposal of assets can include loss of production, diminished value of labour power, and loss of self-respect. The strategies and sequences of coping with crises vary by household and by local conditions, but Corbett's (1988) comparative analysis of studies of four famines found that an early step taken by poor households when they see bad times coming is to change their diet and eat less, reflecting in part the priority they give to preserving those assets which provide their means of livelihood.

One view has been that while poor people have assets such as livestock, they should not receive support since they can sell them and so remain independent. In contrast, it can be argued that past crisis interventions have often come too late, after poor people have become poorer by disposing of productive assets, or after they have taken debts or obligations which prejudice their livelihoods, and that future interventions should come earlier.

v. The Care of Adult Bodies

The main asset of most poor people is their bodies. General and measureable concepts like 'labour power', 'labour availability' and 'dependency ratio' blunt this sharp point, and miss the stark personal reality. The good ethical and humanitarian reasons for

providing health services and reducing suffering from sickness sometimes serve to divert attention from the economic aspects of ill-health, analysed by Corbett. These include the plain facts that the poorer people are, the more it matters to be able to work and earn, the more they depend on physical work, and the higher are the personal costs of physical disability.

At the same time, the bodies of the poorer are more vulnerable than those of the less poor: they are more exposed to sickness from insanitary, polluted and disease-ridden environments both at work and at home, and to accidents in their work; they are weaker, with malnourishment and previous sickness tending to reduce resistance to disease and to slow recovery; and the poorer have less access to prophylaxis or to timely and effective treatment. Worse, in rural tropical conditions, these and other adverse factors usually combine in a seasonal syndrome during the rains when high exposure to infection, hard work in cultivation, food shortages, isolation, indebtedness and low access to health facilities, occur together and interact. The time when it most matters to be able to work is then also for many the time when they are physically weakest and most at risk.

Among the physical factors which impoverish, accidents have been neglected, yet many of the poor are exposed to disabling accidents. Rural activities such as quarrying, mining, fishing, hunting, building, brick-making, ploughing, and herding, and urban activities — in factories, transport and construction — are often physically hazardous. The resulting accidents are rarely counted and little considered in the literature, yet again and again, individual case studies of destitute households reveal an accident as the event which impoverished — disabling an adult, especially a breadwinner. At a sudden blow, the body, the poor person's greatest and uninsured asset, is devalued or ruined. From being an asset, at one stroke it becomes a liability that has to be fed, clothed, housed, and treated. A livelihood is destroyed, and a household made permanently poorer.

Medical costs, too, can impoverish. Where treatment is sought, as Corbett and Pryer show, it often entails heavy expenditure until the household exhausts the tangible assets it can sell or mortgage. Where the treatment fails but the sick person survives, this leaves the household destitute and with a dependent adult to support. Once the household is assetless and chronically poor, the costs of any further treatment may be spread in only small amounts, which are then, as Pryer found, greatly exceeded by the earnings foregone from work lost through disability.

The importance to the whole household of the physical capacity of adults is highlighted by the studies of both Evans from Guinea, and Pryer from Bangladesh. Evans' model of the progression of river-blindness in a husband shows appalling pressure

placed upon other members of the household, especially to malnourished children and wife. Pryer's finding — that an adult earner had been sick during the crisis — was two and a half times more likely to have a severely malnourished child. The implication is that, if we attend correctly, on the health and well-being of the children, and nothing should be done, what we now see is that among the poor, of a breadwinner, whether male or female, for the well-being of the rest of the household, preventing disability in breadwinners is also prevent malnutrition in children, the cheapest way to prevent child malnutrition is to prevent adult sickness, and the best way to overcome the malnutrition is to overcome the disability.

Implications for Policy

The most general policy perspective is to question Heyer's words, 'what seems to be the solution is again and again what they want and need, and their conditions and how the point both to interventions and to better off in their own terms, priorities and programmes.

For poor people, there is vulnerability and poverty, between security and income. The Integrated Rural Development in India, seek to raise incomes by a loan and indebtedness. But the world are reluctant to take on vulnerability. One implication of government programmes benefits, make poor people more vulnerable, should be that vulnerability can be reduced through insurance which can be lost. Reducing vulnerability is as objective as reducing poverty. More specific policy implications are in this *Bulletin*. Which some which stand out are:

i. To Investigate and Treat the Situation in its Own Right

This *Bulletin* makes the point that conditions and strategies for poor people vary. There are policy and action to individual groups, and programmes that are notorious for missing their

and reducing suffering from
to divert attention from the
health, analysed by Corbett,
facts that the poorer people
to be able to work and earn,
physical work, and the higher
physical disability.

bodies of the poorer are more
the less poor: they are more
in insanitary, polluted and
vents both at work and at
their work; they are weaker,
and previous sickness tending to
use and to slow recovery; and
as to prophylaxis or to timely
Worse, in rural tropical
other adverse factors usually
occur during the rains when
on, hard work in cultivation,
indebtedness and low access
r together and interact. The
rs to be able to work is then
when they are physically

factors which impoverish,
ected, yet many of the poor
g accidents. Rural activities
g, fishing, hunting, building,
g, and herding, and urban
ransport and construction —
hazardous. The resulting
ited and little considered in
and again, individual case
eholds reveal an accident as
ished — disabling an adult.
At a sudden blow, the body,
est and uninsured asset, is
being an asset, at one stroke
at has to be fed, clothed,
velihood is destroyed, and a
ntly poorer.

npoverish. Where treatment
Pryer show, it often entails
the household exhausts the
ll or mortgage. Where the
k person survives, this leaves
ad with a dependent adult to
usehold is assetless and
its of any further treatment
all amounts, which are then,
exceeded by the earnings
through disability.

whole household of the
is highlighted by the studies
Guinea, and Pryer from
l of the progression of river-
shows appalling pressure

placed upon other members of the household, leading
to malnourished children and the early death of his
wife. Pryer's finding — that households where an
adult earner had been sick during the previous month
were two and a half times more likely than others to
have a severely malnourished child — carries the same
implication. Much attention has been focused,
correctly, on the health and well-being of women and
children, and nothing should detract from that. But
what we now see is that among the very poor the health
of a breadwinner, whether male or female, is critical
for the well-being of the rest of the household; and that
preventing disability in breadwinners, or curing it, can
also prevent malnutrition in children. Indeed, the
cheapest way to prevent child malnutrition may often
be to prevent adult sickness, and the most sustainable
way to overcome the malnutrition of a child may often
be to overcome the disability of an adult.

Implications for Policy

The most general policy implication of these
perspectives is to question our assumptions. In
Heyer's words, 'what seems obvious is often wrong'.
The solution is again and again to enquire of the poor
what they want and need, and to strive to understand
their conditions and how they cope. The answers will
point both to interventions which enable them to be
better off in their own terms, and, often, to a change of
priorities and programmes.

For poor people, there are trade-offs between
vulnerability and poverty, or, to put it positively,
between security and income. Some programmes, like
the Integrated Rural Development Programme in
India, seek to raise incomes but at the same time entail
a loan and indebtedness. But poor people all over the
world are reluctant to take debts which increase their
vulnerability. One implication is, therefore, that
government programmes which, whatever their
benefits, make poor people indebted or in other ways
more vulnerable, should be treated with caution. Such
vulnerability can be reduced through group loans, and
through insurance which covers the debt if the asset is
lost. Reducing vulnerability can be as important an
objective as reducing poverty.

More specific policy implications are presented in
articles in this *Bulletin*. Without summarising these,
some which stand out are:

i. To Investigate and Treat Each Group and Situation in its Own Right

This *Bulletin* makes the point again and again that the
conditions and strategies of poor and vulnerable
people vary. There are practical limits to tailoring
policy and action to individual persons, households or
groups, and programmes targetted to the poorer are
notorious for missing their targets and being captured

by the less poor. Nevertheless, action can fit better
when based on sensitive understanding of who are at
risk, what they want and need, and how they cope.

ii. To Support Diversification, Security and Current Coping Strategies

Labour shortages, sources of off-farm incomes,
mobility, new economic niches opened up by
economic growth, better marketing and prices for the
produce of small farmers, access to services, cheap
food, and a variety and abundance of common
property resources, are all examples of conditions in
which poor people stand to do better through
diversification. Nabarro, Cassels and Pant stress the
basic importance of economic growth, and of a range
of inputs, services and welfare provision that can be
used by households when they need them. Diversifi-
cation of what is provided permits diversification of
income sources and assets.

Support for current coping strategies can take many
forms. In detail, much depends on local conditions
and needs. When poor people's priorities, strategies
and conditions are the starting point, the conclusions
may not be conventional. Two examples arising from
fieldwork in Mali are improving communications to
areas where wild foods are abundant, and enabling
poor people to buy food cheaper in bulk (pers. comm,
Susanna Davies).

iii. To Monitor Vulnerability and Act on Asset Indicators

Early warning systems are now many. As Swift points
out, low assets would be good indicators of
vulnerability. The question is whether it is feasible to
monitor the assets and exposure of vulnerable
communities and groups so that action can be
triggered early enough to prevent or minimise further
impoverishment at times of stress.

iv. To Put Floors under the Vulnerable

The Maharashtra Employment Guarantee Scheme
provides a model of how, given the administrative
capability to respond, poor people can be empowered
to demand and receive work and remuneration when
they need it. Food-for-work schemes require less
sustained administration, and can have the same effect
— putting a floor under the poor to enable them to
survive a bad time without having to become poorer.
It seems more cost-effective, besides more humane, to
use such means to reduce vulnerability and prevent
impoverishment than, once people are poorer or
destitute, to try to enable them to recover.

Guaranteed markets at good prices for whatever poor
people sell at bad times are another form of floor. The
items sold vary locally, including livestock, poultry,
firewood, charcoal and other tree products, and

jewellery. Where people are going to sell these anyway, maintaining the prices they fetch can only help those who have to sell.

Cheap and accessible food is another form of floor. Whatever their defects, programmes such as Andhra Pradesh's cheap rice help the poorest, providing they have access to buying it. Assuring basic food at low prices is one of the safest ways of mitigating poverty and reducing vulnerability.

v. To Improve Fallback Food

The neglect of famine crops and wild foods in agricultural research promises scope for quick gains through the international transfer of germplasm, and for big gains from breeding. The need for a non-toxic variety of the fall back food kessari dal (*Lathyrus sativus*) is mentioned by Beck. In this case, a low toxin variety bred in Canada is being transferred to Ethiopia where other research is also going on. There are probably many similar opportunities, unexploited because famine and fallback foods have not until recently been considered important or of professional interest.

v. To Stress even more the Provision of Effective Health Services Free or at Low Cost

Health services which are cheap or free, and accessible and effective, emerge from studies in this *Bulletin* as more important than ever. They have a greater role in reducing vulnerability and limiting impoverishment than has been recognised. Adult health, especially the health of breadwinners, is more important than many have supposed for the nutrition and health of children. Many considerations bear on the new fashion for fees and cost recovery as part of structural adjustment. But one point to stress in the debate is that charges for health services threaten to delay or deny treatment precisely to those who most need it, and to deter, hurt and impoverish those who are most vulnerable.

De Waal's Darfur study draws attention to the importance of protecting the health, especially of children, in famines. Relief food can have a vital part to play in reducing suffering and in preventing impoverishment, depending on local conditions; but de Waal's conclusion that in the 1984-85 famine in Darfur, the cause of excess mortality was sickness, not lack of food, points to the importance of immunisation, of clean water, and of enabling people to stay where they are instead of migrating to disease-prone concentrations in camps and around towns.

vi. In Epidemics, to Help not only Sick Adults, but also their Dependents

In microcosm, Evans' study of river blindness in Guinea gives hints and clues for scenarios for AIDS in rural areas, as its acute phase becomes prevalent. River blindness differs in that those afflicted become

disabled and die more slowly than with AIDS, and so are dependent for longer, but there are also strong similarities. With concentrations of acute AIDS, the progression of decline described by Evans for a household would affect whole communities, with rising dependency ratios, increased child labour (and withdrawal from schools), decreasing areas under cultivation, greater vulnerability to other diseases, declining capacity for mutual support, and out-migration by older children. In such conditions, the priority will be not just to care for the sick but to sustain the survivors, who will include the very old and the very young.

vii. Implications for Research

Policy for research is one key to better practice. Besides the articles which follow recent empirical research [e.g. especially Rahmato 1987] has shed new light on vulnerability and coping. But much also remains to be known and understood. Some research priorities are indicated in contributions to this *Bulletin*. Many more could be suggested. Among those that merit mention are:

Developing simple and sure methods for enabling poor people to analyse their conditions and identify their priorities;

developing and testing indicators of vulnerability. These might include households' net assets, labour power, dependency ratios, access to food, and exposure to external stress and shocks;

assessing the modes, costs and benefits of prevention rather than cure — of reducing vulnerability and preventing impoverishment compared with enabling recovery;

assessing and comparing vulnerability and assets within households, between groups of people, and between regions and continents, and how these change over time, with special attention to a) groups and areas where vulnerability increases; and b) impoverishing costs of medical treatment; assessing and comparing coping strategies under stress, including sequences of response, thresholds between types of response, and the value and use of different sorts of assets;

the effects of civil disorder (war, raiding, refugees, theft etc.) on vulnerability and coping strategies. This is a gap in this *Bulletin*, and would include effects on both a) the economic environment, including local markets and the quantity, quality, and reliability of supply, and cost of food and other basic goods for purchase or barter, and b) household strategies, including farming practices, food storage and intra household availability and division of labour;

relief and development policy, and the fit and effects of alternative relief policies and practices in

different conditions and includes the relative i limiting suffering, and food relief, cash relief, purchase, food-for-work stock, employment gu loans, purchase of tangi at times of stress, health and ways of strengthening present strategies for cop the effects of adult household viability, stra could build on the work the longitudinal studies Pant, and would be of sp where the acute phase o

Conclusion

The conclusion has to be h insights from their fieldw contributors to this *Bulletin* sometimes how wrong, professions have been. T individual cases, they also universe of vulnerability a seek simple explanations a who read these articles

vol 20 no 3

Dudley See

Edited by John Teye

Articles on Seers' life a Gerald Meier, Hans Si After the death of Dud related to his work. In an appreciation and a

£4.25 (individuals) £6.50

IDS SUSSEX

slowly than with AIDS, and so
nger, but there are also strong
centrations of acute AIDS, the
ne described by Evans for a
fect whole communities, with
ios, increased child labour (and
ools), decreasing areas under
ulnerability to other diseases,
or mutual support, and out-
ildren. In such conditions, the
ust to care for the sick but to
who will include the very old and

Research

s one key to better practice,
which follow recent empirical
y Rahmato 1987] has shed new
' and coping. But much also
und understood. Some research
ed in contributions to this
ould be suggested. Among those

and sure methods for enabling
analyse their conditions and
ties;

ing indicators of vulnerability.
e households' net assets, labour
/ ratios, access to food, and
l stress and shocks;

des, costs and benefits of
than cure — of reducing
preventing impoverishment
bling recovery;

aring vulnerability and assets
between groups of people, and
id continents, and how these
with special attention to a)
where vulnerability increases,
ig costs of medical treatment;
aring coping strategies under
uences of response, thresholds
ponse, and the value and use of
sets;

sorder (war, raiding, refugees,
rability and coping strategies.
s *Bulletin*, and would include
the economic environment,
kets and the quantity, quality,
ply, and cost of food and other
ase or barter, and b) household
ig farming practices, food
household availability and

nent policy, and the fit and
relief policies and practices in

different conditions and on different groups. This
includes the relative importance for survival,
limiting suffering, and sustaining livelihoods, of
food relief, cash relief, cheap food including bulk
purchase, food-for-work, fodder relief for live-
stock, employment guarantee schemes, small
loans, purchase of tangible assets poor people sell
at times of stress, health and medical interventions,
and ways of strengthening and supporting people's
present strategies for coping;

the effects of adult disability and death on
household viability, strategies and behaviour. This
could build on the work of Evans and Pryer, and
the longitudinal studies of Nabarro, Cassels and
Pant, and would be of special relevance in regions
where the acute phase of AIDS becomes endemic.

Conclusion

The conclusion has to be humility. Through the new
insights from their fieldwork and analysis, the
contributors to this *Bulletin* show how ignorant, and
sometimes how wrong, we in the development
professions have been. Through local study and
individual cases, they also show how varied is that
universe of vulnerability and poverty for which we
seek simple explanations and single solutions. Most
who read these articles will feel unease at the

confidence with which in the past we have combined
ignorance with error. They may speculate too on how
wrong we continue to be.

The lesson for the future is to enquire and question,
doubting what we think we know, and learning from
and with those who are vulnerable and poor, as
contributors to this *Bulletin* have done; and to do this,
not once, not in one locality, and not for one group
only, but again and again, in each place, and for each
sort of person. For that is the surest path to better
understanding, and to action that will better fit and
serve the diversity of conditions and people and their
changing priorities and needs.

References

- Corbett, J., 1988, 'Famine and household coping strategies'
World Development vol 16 no 9, pp 1099-1112
- Jodha, N. S., forthcoming, 'Social science research on rural
change: some gaps (a footnote to debate on rural poverty)',
in Pranab Bardhan, forthcoming, *Rural Economic Change
in South Asia: Methodology of Measurement*
- Rahmato, Dessalegn, 1987, 'Peasant survival strategies', in
Angela Penrose (ed.), *Beyond the Famine: an examination
of the issues behind famine in Ethiopia*, International
Institute for Relief and Development, Food for the Hungry
International, Geneva

vol 20 no 3

IDS BULLETIN

July 1989

Dudley Seers: His Work and Influence

Edited by John Toye

Articles on Seers' life and work by Louis Emmerij, Mike Faber, Barbara Ingham, Richard Jolly, Gerald Meier, Hans Singer, Paul Streeten and John Toye; bibliography by Rosalind David.

After the death of Dudley Seers the IDS commissioned a series of annual lectures on themes related to his work. In this *Bulletin* the first four of these lectures are published, together with an appreciation and a provisional bibliography of Dudley Seers' writings.

£4.25 (individuals) £6.50 (institutions) plus £0.45 p & p

IDS SUSSEX

Available from:
IDS Publications Unit
Institute of Development Studies
at the University of Sussex
Brighton BN1 9RE, UK