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Executive Summary

This chapter considers changes in global mean sea level, regional sea level, sea level extremes, and waves. Confidence in projections of global mean sea level rise has increased since the Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) because of the improved physical understanding of the components of sea level, the improved agreement of process-based models with observations, and the inclusion of ice-sheet dynamical changes.

Past Sea Level Change

Paleo sea level records from warm periods during the last 3 million years indicate that global mean sea level has exceeded 5 m above present (very high confidence) when global mean temperature was up to 2°C warmer than pre-industrial (medium confidence). There is very high confidence that maximum global mean sea level during the last interglacial period (~129 to 116 ka) was, for several thousand years, at least 5 m higher than present and high confidence that it did not exceed 10 m above present, implying substantial contributions from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. This change in sea level occurred in the context of different orbital forcing and with high latitude surface temperature, averaged over several thousand years, at least 2°C warmer than present (high confidence) (5.3.4, 5.6.1, 5.6.2, 13.2.1)

Proxy and instrumental sea level data indicate a transition in the late 19th century to the early 20th century from relatively low mean rates of rise over the previous two millennia to higher rates of rise (high confidence). It is likely2 that the rate of global mean sea level rise has continued to increase since the early 20th century, with estimates that range from 0.000 [–0.002 to 0.002] mm yr−2 to 0.013 [0.007 to 0.019] mm yr−2. It is very likely that the global mean rate was 1.7 [1.5 to 1.9] mm yr−1 between 1901 and 2010 for a total sea level rise of 0.19 [0.17 to 0.21] m. Between 1993 and 2010, the rate was very likely higher at 3.2 [2.8 to 3.6] mm yr−1; similarly high rates likely occurred between 1920 and 1950. (3.7.2, 3.7.4, 5.6.3, 13.2.1, 13.2.2, Figure 13.3)

Understanding of Sea Level Change

Ocean thermal expansion and glacier melting have been the dominant contributors to 20th century global mean sea level rise. Observations since 1971 indicate that thermal expansion and glaciers (excluding Antarctic glaciers peripheral to the ice sheet) explain 75% of the observed rise (high confidence). The contribution of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets has increased since the early 1990s, partly from increased outflow induced by warming of the immediately adjacent ocean. Natural and human-induced land water storage changes have made only a small contribution; the rate of groundwater depletion has increased and now exceeds the rate of reservoir impoundment. Since 1993, when observations of all sea level components are available, the sum of contributions equals the observed global mean sea level rise within uncertainties (high confidence). (Chapters 3, 4, 13.3.6, Figure 13.4, Table 13.1)

There is high confidence in projections of thermal expansion and Greenland surface mass balance, and medium confidence in projections of glacier mass loss and Antarctic surface mass balance. There has been substantial progress in ice-sheet modelling, particularly for Greenland. Process-based model calculations of contributions to past sea level change from ocean thermal expansion, glacier mass loss and Greenland ice-sheet surface mass balance are consistent with available observational estimates of these contributions over recent decades. Ice-sheet flowline modelling is able to reproduce the observed acceleration of the main outlet glaciers in the Greenland ice sheet, thus allowing estimates of the 21st century dynamical response (medium confidence). Significant challenges remain in the process-based projections of the dynamical response of marine-terminating glaciers and marine-based sectors of the Antarctic ice sheet. Alternative means of projection of the Antarctic ice-sheet contribution (extrapolation within a statistical framework and informed judgement) provide medium confidence in a likely range. There is currently low confidence in projecting the onset of large-scale grounding line instability in the marine-based sectors of the Antarctic ice sheet. (13.3.1 to 13.3.3, 13.4.3, 13.4.4)

The sum of thermal expansion simulated by Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 5 (CMIP5) Atmosphere–Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs), glacier mass loss computed by global glacier models using CMIP5 climate change simulations, and estimates of land water storage explain 65% of the observed global mean sea level rise for 1901–1990 and 90% for 1971–2010 and 1993–2010 (high confidence). When observed climate parameters are used, the glacier models indicate a larger Greenland peripheral glacier contribution in the first half of the 20th century such that the sum of thermal expansion, glacier mass loss and changes in land water storage and a small ongoing Antarctic ice-sheet contribution are within 20% of the observations throughout the 20th century. Model-based estimates of ocean thermal expansion and glacier contributions indicate that the greater rate of global mean sea level rise since 1993 is a response to radiative forcing (RF, both anthropogenic and natural) and increased loss of ice-sheet mass and not part of a natural oscillation (medium confidence). (13.3.6, Figures 13.4, 13.7, Table 13.1)

1 In this Report, the following summary terms are used to describe the available evidence: limited, medium, or robust; and for the degree of agreement: low, medium, or high. A level of confidence is expressed using five qualifiers: very low, low, medium, high, and very high, and typeset in italics, e.g., medium confidence. For a given evidence and agreement statement, different confidence levels can be assigned, but increasing levels of evidence and degrees of agreement are correlated with increasing confidence (see Section 1.4 and Box TS.1 for more details).

2 In this Report, the following terms have been used to indicate the assessed likelihood of an outcome or a result: Virtually certain 99–100% probability, Very likely 90–100%, Likely 66–100%, About as likely as not 33–66%, Unlikely 0–33%, Very unlikely 0–10%, Exceptionally unlikely 0–1%. Additional terms (Extremely likely: 95–100%, More likely than not >50–100%, and Extremely unlikely 0–5%) may also be used when appropriate. Assessed likelihood is typeset in italics, e.g., very likely (see Section 1.4 and Box TS.1 for more details).
The Earth’s Energy Budget

Independent estimates of effective RF of the climate system, the observed heat storage, and surface warming combine to give an energy budget for the Earth that is closed within uncertainties (high confidence), and is consistent with the likely range of climate sensitivity. The largest increase in the storage of heat in the climate system over recent decades has been in the oceans; this is a powerful observation for the detection and attribution of climate change. (Boxes 3.1, 13.1)

Global Mean Sea Level Rise Projections

It is very likely that the rate of global mean sea level rise during the 21st century will exceed the rate observed during 1971–2010 for all Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) scenarios due to increases in ocean warming and loss of mass from glaciers and ice sheets. Projections of sea level rise are larger than in the A1B, primarily because of improved modeling of land-ice contributions. For the period 2081–2100, compared to 1986–2005, global mean sea level rise is likely (medium confidence) to be in the 5 to 95% range of projections from process-based models, which give 0.26 to 0.55 m for RCP2.6, 0.32 to 0.63 m for RCP4.5, 0.33 to 0.63 m for RCP6.0, and 0.45 to 0.82 m for RCP8.5. For RCP8.5, the rise by 2100 is 0.52 to 0.98 m with a rate during 2081–2100 of 8 to 16 mm yr⁻¹. We have considered the evidence for higher projections and have concluded that there is currently insufficient evidence to evaluate the probability of specific levels above the assessed likely range. Based on current understanding, only the collapse of marine-based sectors of the Antarctic ice sheet, if initiated, could cause global mean sea level to rise substantially above the likely range during the 21st century. This potential additional contribution cannot be precisely quantified but there is medium confidence that it would not exceed several tenths of a meter of sea level rise during the 21st century. (13.5.1, Table 13.5, Figures 13.10, 13.11)

Some semi-empirical models project a range that overlaps the process-based likely range while others project a median and 95th percentile that are about twice as large as the process-based models. In nearly every case, the semi-empirical model 95th percentile is higher than the process-based likely range. Despite the successful calibration and evaluation of semi-empirical models against the observed 20th century sea level record, there is no consensus in the scientific community about their reliability, and consequently low confidence in projections based on them. (13.5.2, 13.5.3, Figure 13.12)

It is virtually certain that global mean sea level rise will continue beyond 2100, with sea level rise due to thermal expansion to continue for many centuries. The amount of longer term sea level rise depends on future emissions. The few available process-based models that go beyond 2100 indicate global mean sea level rise above the pre-industrial level to be less than 1 m by 2300 for greenhouse gas concentrations that peak and decline and remain below 500 ppm CO₂-eq, as in scenario RCP2.6. For a radiative forcing that corresponds to above 700 ppm CO₂-eq but below 1500 ppm, as in the scenario RCP8.5, the projected rise is 1 m to more than 3 m (medium confidence). This assessment is based on medium confidence in the modelled contribution from thermal expansion and low confidence in the modelled contribution from ice sheets. The amount of ocean thermal expansion increases with global warming (0.2 to 0.6 °C m⁻¹) but the rate of the glacier contribution decreases over time as their volume (currently 0.41 m sea level equivalent) decreases. Sea level rise of several meters could result from long-term mass loss by ice sheets (consistent with paleo data observations of higher sea levels during periods of warmer temperatures), but there is low confidence in these projections. Sea level rise of 1 to 3 m per degree of warming is projected if the warming is sustained for several millennia (low confidence). (13.5.4, Figures 13.4.3, 13.4.4)

The available evidence indicates that sustained global warming greater than a certain threshold above pre-industrial would lead to the near-complete loss of the Greenland ice sheet over a millennium or more, causing a global mean sea level rise of about 7 m. Studies with fixed ice-sheet topography indicate the threshold is greater than 2°C but less than 4°C (medium confidence) of global mean surface temperature rise with respect to pre-industrial. The one study with a dynamical ice sheet suggests the threshold is greater than about 1°C (low confidence) global mean warming with respect to pre-industrial. We are unable to quantify a likely range. Whether or not a decrease in the Greenland ice sheet mass loss is irreversible depends on the duration and degree of exceedance of the threshold. Abrupt and irreversible ice loss from a potential instability of marine-based sectors of the Antarctic ice sheet in response to climate forcing is possible, but current evidence and understanding is insufficient to make a quantitative assessment. (5.8, 13.3, 13.4)

Regional Sea Level Change Projections

It is very likely that in the 21st century and beyond, sea level change will have a strong regional pattern, with some places experiencing significant deviations of local and regional sea level change from the global mean change. Over decadal periods, the rates of regional sea level change as a result of climate variability can differ from the global average rate by more than 100% of the global average rate. By the end of the 21st century, it is very likely that over about 95% of the world ocean, regional sea level rise will be positive, and most regions that will experience a sea level fall are located near current and former glaciers and ice sheets. About 70% of the global coastlines are projected to experience a relative sea level change within 20% of the global mean sea level change. (13.6.5, Figures 13.18 to 13.22)

Projections of 21st Century Sea Level Extremes and Surface Waves

It is very likely that there will be a significant increase in the occurrence of future sea level extremes in some regions by 2100, with a likely increase in the early 21st century. This increase will primarily be the result of an increase in mean sea level (high confidence), with the frequency of a particular sea level extreme increasing by an order of magnitude or more in some regions by the end of the 21st century. There is low confidence in region-specific projections of storminess and associated storm surges. (13.7.2, Figure 13.25)
It is likely (medium confidence) that annual mean significant wave heights will increase in the Southern Ocean as a result of enhanced wind speeds. Southern Ocean generated swells are likely to affect heights, periods, and directions of waves in adjacent basins. It is very likely that wave heights and the duration of the wave season will increase in the Arctic Ocean as a result of reduced sea-ice extent. In general, there is low confidence in region-specific projections due to the low confidence in tropical and extratropical storm projections, and to the challenge of downscaling future wind fields from coarse-resolution climate models. (13.7.3; Figure 13.26)
13.1 Components and Models of Sea Level Change

13.1.1 Introduction and Chapter Overview

Changes in sea level occur over a broad range of temporal and spatial scales, with the many contributing factors making it an integral measure of climate change (Milne et al., 2009; Church et al., 2010). The primary contributors to contemporary sea level change are the expansion of the ocean as it warms and the transfer of water currently stored on land to the ocean, particularly from land ice (glaciers and ice sheets) (Church et al., 2011a). Observations indicate the largest increase in the storage of heat in the climate system over recent decades has been in the oceans (Section 3.2) and thus sea level rise from ocean warming is a central part of the Earth’s response to increasing greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations.

The First IPCC Assessment Report (FAR) laid the groundwork for much of our current understanding of sea level change (Warrick and Oerlemans, 1990). This included the recognition that sea level had risen during the 20th century, that the rate of rise had increased compared to the 19th century, that ocean thermal expansion and the mass loss from glaciers were the main contributors to the 20th century rise, that during the 21st century the rate of rise was projected to be faster than during the 20th century, that sea level will not rise uniformly around the world, and that sea level would continue to rise well after GHG emissions are reduced. They also concluded that no major dynamic response of the ice sheets was expected during the 21st century, leaving ocean thermal expansion and the melting of glaciers as the main contributors to the 21st century rise. The Second Assessment Report (SAR) came to very similar conclusions (Warrick et al., 1996).

By the time of the Third Assessment Report (TAR), coupled Atmosphere–Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs) and ice-sheet models largely replaced energy balance climate models as the primary techniques supporting the interpretation of observations and the projections of sea level (Church et al., 2001). This approach allowed consideration of the regional distribution of sea level change in addition to the global average change. By the time of the Fourth Assessment Report (AR4), there were more robust observations of the variations in the rate of global average sea level rise for the 20th century, some understanding of the variability in the rate of rise, and the satellite altimeter record was long enough to reveal the complexity of the time-variable spatial distribution of sea level (Bindoff et al., 2007). Nevertheless, three central issues remained. First, the observed sea level rise over decades was larger than the sum of the individual contributions estimated from observations or with models (Rahmstorf et al., 2007, 2012a), although in general the uncertainties were large enough that there was no significant contradiction. Second, it was not possible to make confident projections of the regional distribution of sea level rise. Third, there was insufficient understanding of the potential contributions from the ice sheets. In particular, the AR4 recognized that existing ice-sheet models were unable to simulate the recent observations of ice-sheet accelerations and that understanding of ice-sheet dynamics was too limited to assess the likelihood of continued acceleration or to provide a best estimate or an upper bound for their future contributions.

Despite changes in the scenarios between the four Assessments, the sea level projections for 2100 (compared to 1990) for the full range of scenarios were remarkably similar, with the reduction in the upper end in more recent reports reflecting the smaller increase in radiative forcing (RF) in recent scenarios due to smaller GHG emissions and the inclusion of aerosols, and a reduction in uncertainty in projecting the contributions: 31 to 110 cm in the FAR, 13 to 94 cm in the SAR, 9 to 88 cm in the TAR and 18 to 59 cm in AR4 (not including a possible additional allowance for a dynamic ice-sheet response).

Results since the AR4 show that for recent decades, sea level has continued to rise (Section 3.7). Improved and new observations of the ocean (Section 3.7) and the cryosphere (Chapter 4) and their representation in models have resulted in better understanding of 20th century sea level rise and its components (this chapter). Records of past sea level changes constrain long-term land-ice response to warmer climates as well as extend the observational record to provide a longer context for current sea level rise (Section 5.6).

This chapter provides a synthesis of past and contemporary sea level change at global and regional scales. Drawing on the published refereed literature, including as summarized in earlier chapters of this Assessment, we explain the reasons for contemporary change and assess confidence in and provide global and regional projections of likely sea level change for the 21st century and beyond. We discuss the primary factors that cause regional sea level to differ from the global average and how these may change in the future. In addition, we address projected changes in surface waves and the consequences of sea level and climate change for extreme sea level events.

13.1.2 Fundamental Definitions and Concepts

The height of the ocean surface at any given location, or sea level, is measured either with respect to the surface of the solid Earth (relative sea level (RSL)) or a geocentric reference such as the reference ellipsoid (geocentric sea level). RSL is the more relevant quantity when considering the coastal impacts of sea level change, and it has been measured using tide gauges during the past few centuries (Sections 13.2.2 and 3.7) and estimated for longer time spans from geological records (Sections 13.2.1 and 5.6). Geocentric sea level has been measured over the past two decades using satellite altimetry (Sections 13.2.2 and 3.7).

A temporal average for a given location, known as Mean Sea Level (MSL; see Glossary), is applied to remove shorter period variability. Apart from Section 13.7, which considers high-frequency changes in ocean surface height, the use of ‘sea level’ elsewhere in this chapter refers to MSL. It is common to average MSL spatially to define global mean sea level (GMSL; see Glossary). In principle, integrating RSL change over the ocean area gives the change in ocean water volume, which is directly related to the processes that dominate sea level change (changes in ocean temperature and land-ice volume). In contrast, a small correction (−0.15 to −0.5 mm yr⁻¹) needs to be subtracted from altimetry observations to estimate ocean water volume change (Tamisiea, 2011). Local RSL change can differ significantly from GMSL because of spatial variability in changes of the sea surface and ocean floor height (see FAQ 13.1 and Section 13.6).
13.1.3 Processes Affecting Sea Level

This chapter focusses on processes within the ocean, atmosphere, land ice, and hydrological cycle that are climate sensitive and are expected to contribute to sea level change at regional to global scales in the coming decades to centuries (Figure 13.1). Figure 13.2 is a navigation aid for the different sections of this chapter and sections of other chapters that are relevant to sea level change.

Changes in ocean currents, ocean density and sea level are all tightly coupled such that changes at one location impact local sea level and sea level far from the location of the initial change, including changes in sea level at the coast in response to changes in open-ocean temperature (Landerer et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2010). Although both temperature and salinity changes can contribute significantly to regional sea level change (Church et al., 2010), only temperature change produces a significant contribution to global average ocean volume change due to thermal expansion or contraction (Gregory and Lowe, 2000). Regional atmospheric pressure anomalies also cause sea level to vary through atmospheric loading (Wunsch and Stammer, 1997). All of these climate-sensitive processes cause sea level to vary on a broad range of space and time scales from relatively short-lived events, such as waves and storm surges, to sustained changes over several decades or centuries that are associated with atmospheric and ocean modes of climate variability (White et al., 2005; Miller and Douglas, 2007; Zhang and Church, 2012).

Water and ice mass exchange between the land and the oceans leads to a change in GMSL. A signal of added mass to the ocean propagates rapidly around the globe such that all regions experience a sea level change within days of the mass being added (Lorbacher et al., 2012). In addition, an influx of freshwater changes ocean temperature and salinity and thus changes ocean currents and local sea level (Stammer, 2008; Yin et al., 2009), with signals taking decades to propagate around the global ocean. The coupled atmosphere–ocean system can also adjust to temperature anomalies associated with surface freshwater anomalies through air–sea feedbacks, resulting in dynamical adjustments of sea level (Okumura et al., 2009; Stammer et al., 2011). Water mass exchange between land and the ocean also results in patterns of sea level change called ‘sea level fingerprints’ (Clark and Lingle, 1977; Conrad and Hager, 1997; Mitrovica et al., 2001) due to change in the gravity field and vertical movement of the ocean floor associated with visco-elastic Earth deformation (Farrell and Clark, 1976). These changes in mass distribution also affect the Earth’s inertia tensor and therefore rotation, which produces an additional sea level response (Milne and Mitrovica, 1998).

There are other processes that affect sea level but are not associated with contemporary climate change. Some of these result in changes that are large enough to influence the interpretation of observational records and sea level projections at regional and global scales. In particular, surface mass transfer from land ice to oceans during the last deglaciation contributes significantly to present-day sea level change due to the ongoing visco-elastic deformation of the Earth and the corresponding changes of the ocean floor height and gravity (referred to as glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA)) (Lambeck and Nakiboglu, 1984; Peltier and Tushingham, 1991). Ice sheets also have long response times and so continue to respond to past climate change (Section 13.1.5).

Anthropogenic processes that influence the amount of water stored in the ground or on its surface in lakes and reservoirs, or cause changes in land surface characteristics that influence runoff or evapotranspiration rates, will perturb the hydrological cycle and cause sea level change (Sahagian, 2000; Wada et al., 2010). Such processes include water impoundment (dams, reservoirs), irrigation schemes, and groundwater depletion (Section 13.4.5).

Figure 13.1 | Climate-sensitive processes and components that can influence global and regional sea level and are considered in this chapter. Changes in any one of the components or processes shown will result in a sea level change. The term ‘ocean properties’ refers to ocean temperature, salinity and density, which influence and are dependent on ocean circulation. Both relative and geocentric sea level vary with position. Note that the geocenter is not shown.
Chapter 13 Sea Level Change

13.1.4 Models Used to Interpret Past and Project Future Changes in Sea Level

AOGCMs have components representing the ocean, atmosphere, land, and cryosphere, and simulate sea surface height changes relative to the geoid resulting from the natural forcings of volcanic eruptions and changes in solar irradiance, and from anthropogenic increases in GHGs and aerosols (Chapter 9). AOGCMs also exhibit internally generated climate variability, including such modes as the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and others that affect sea level (White et al., 2005; Zhang and Church, 2012). Critical components for global and regional changes in sea level are changes in surface wind stress and air–sea heat and freshwater fluxes (Low and Gregory, 2006; Timmermann et al., 2010; Suzuki and Ishii, 2011) and the resultant changes in ocean density and circulation, for instance in the strength of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) (Yin et al., 2009; Lorbacher et al., 2010; Pardaens et al., 2011a). As in the real world, ocean density, circulation and sea level are dynamically connected in AOGCMs and evolve together. Offline models are required for simulating glacier and ice-sheet changes (Section 13.1.4.1).

Geodynamic surface-loading models are used to simulate the RSL response to past and contemporary changes in surface water and land-ice mass redistribution and contemporary atmospheric pressure changes. The sea surface height component of the calculation is based solely on water mass conservation and perturbations to gravity, with no considerations of ocean dynamic effects. Application of these models has focussed on annual and interannual variability driven by contemporary changes in the hydrological cycle and atmospheric loading (Clarke et al., 2005; Tamisiea et al., 2010), and on secular trends associated with past and contemporary changes in land ice and hydrology (Lambeck et al., 1998; Mitrovica et al., 2001; Peltier, 2004; Riva et al., 2010).

Semi-empirical models (SEMs) project sea level based on statistical relationships between observed GMSL and global mean temperature (Rahmstorf, 2007a; Vermeer and Rahmstorf, 2009; Grinsted et al., 2010) or total RF (Jevrejeva et al., 2009, 2010). The form of this relationship is motivated by physical considerations, and the parameters are determined from observational data—hence the term ‘semi-empirical’ (Rahmstorf et al., 2012b). SEMs do not explicitly simulate the underlying processes, and they use a characteristic response time that could be considerably longer than the time scale of interest (Rahmstorf, 2007a) or one that is explicitly determined by the model (Grinsted et al., 2010).

Storm-surge and wave-projection models are used to assess how changes in storminess and MSL impact sea level extremes and wave climates. The two main approaches involve dynamical (Low et al., 2010) and statistical models (Wang et al., 2010). The dynamical models are forced by near-surface wind and mean sea level pressure fields derived from regional or global climate models (Low et al., 2010).

In this chapter, we use the term ‘process-based models’ (see Glossary) to refer to sea level and land-ice models (Section 13.1.4.1) that aim to simulate the underlying processes and interactions, in contrast to
‘semi-empirical models’ which do not. Although these two approaches are distinct, semi-empirical methods are often employed in components of the process-based models (e.g., glacier models in which surface mass balance is determined by a degree-day method (Braithwaite and Olesen, 1989)).

13.1.4.1 Models Used to Project Changes in Ice Sheets and Glaciers

The representation of glaciers and ice sheets within AOGCMs is not yet at a stage where projections of their changing mass are routinely available. Additional process-based models use output from AOGCMs to evaluate the consequences of projected climate change on these ice masses.

The overall contribution of an ice mass to sea level involves changes to either its surface mass balance (SMB) or changes in the dynamics of ice flow that affect outflow (i.e., solid ice discharge) to the ocean. SMB is primarily the difference between snow accumulation and the melt and sublimation of snow and ice (ablation). An assessment of observations related to this mass budget can be found in Section 4.4.2. Although some ice-sheet models used in projections incorporate both effects, most studies have focussed on either SMB or flow dynamics. It is assumed that the overall contribution can be found by summing the contributions calculated independently for these two sources, which is valid if they do not interact significantly. Although this can be addressed using a correction term to SMB in ice-sheet projections over the next century, such interactions become more important on longer time scales when, for example, changes in ice-sheet topography may significantly affect SMB or dynamics.

Projecting the sea level contribution of land ice requires comparing the model results with a base state that assumes no significant sea level contribution. This base state is taken to be either the pre-industrial period or, because of our scant knowledge of the ice sheets before the advent of satellites, the late 20th century. In reality, even at these times, the ice sheets may have been contributing to sea level change (Huybrechts et al., 2011; Box and Colgan, 2013) and this contribution, although difficult to quantify, should be included in the observed sea level budget (Gregory et al., 2013b).

Regional Climate Models (RCMs), which incorporate or are coupled to sophisticated representations of the mass and energy budgets associated with snow and ice surfaces, are now the primary source of ice-sheet SMB projections. A major source of uncertainty lies in the ability of these schemes to adequately represent the process of internal refreezing of melt water within the snowpack (Bougamont et al., 2007; Fausto et al., 2009). These models require information on the state of the atmosphere and ocean at their lateral boundaries, which are derived from reanalysis data sets or AOGCMs for past climate, or from AOGCM projections of future climate.

Models of ice dynamics require a fairly complete representation of stresses within an ice mass in order to represent the response of ice flow to changes at the marine boundary and the governing longitudinal stresses (Schoof, 2007a). For Antarctica, there is also a need to employ high spatial resolution (<1 km) to capture the dynamics of grounding line migration robustly so that results do not depend to an unreasonable extent on model resolution (Durand et al., 2009; Goldberg et al., 2009; Mélignem et al., 2010; Cornford et al., 2013; Pattyn et al., 2013). One-dimensional flowline models have been developed to the stage that modelled iceberg calving is comparable with observations (Nick et al., 2009). The success of this modelling approach relies on the ability of the model’s computational grid to evolve to continuously track the migrating calving front. Although this is relatively straightforward in a one-dimensional model, this technique is difficult to incorporate into three-dimensional ice-sheet models that typically use a computational grid that is fixed in space.

The main challenge faced by models attempting to assess sea level change from glaciers is the small number of glaciers for which mass budget observations are available (about 380) (Cogley, 2009a) (see Sections 4.3.1 and 4.3.4) as compared to the total number (the Randolph Glacier Inventory contains more than 170,000) (Arendt et al., 2012). Statistical techniques are used to derive relations between observed SMB and climate variables for the small sample of surveyed glaciers, and then these relations are used to upscale to regions of the world. These techniques often include volume–area scaling to estimate glacier volume from their more readily observable areas. Although tidewater glaciers may also be affected by changes in outflow related to calving, the complexity of the associated processes means that most studies limit themselves to assessing the effects of SMB changes.

13.2 Past Sea Level Change

13.2.1 The Geological Record

Records of past sea level change provide insight into the sensitivity of sea level to past climate change as well as context for understanding current changes and evaluating projected changes. Since the AR4, important progress has been made in understanding the amplitude and variability of sea level during past intervals when climate was warmer than pre-industrial, largely through better accounting of the effects of proxy uncertainties and GIA on coastal sequences (Kopp et al., 2009, 2013; Raymo et al., 2011; Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Lambeck et al., 2012; Raymo and Mitrovica, 2012) (Chapter 5). Here we summarize the constraints provided by the record of past sea level variations during times when global temperature was similar to or warmer than today.

13.2.1.1 The Middle Pliocene

There is medium confidence that during the warm intervals of the middle Pliocene (3.3 to 3.0 Ma), global mean surface temperatures were 2°C to 3.5°C warmer than for pre-industrial climate (Section 5.3.1). There are multiple lines of evidence that GMSL during these middle Pliocene warm periods was higher than today, but low agreement on how high it reached (Section 5.6.1). The most robust lines of evidence come from proximal sedimentary records that suggest periodic deglaciation of the West Antarctic ice sheet (WAIS) and parts of the East Antarctic ice sheet (EAIS) (Naish et al., 2009; Passchier, 2011) and from ice-sheet models that suggest near-complete deglaciation of the Greenland ice sheet, WAIS and partial deglaciation of the EAIS.
(Pollard and DeConto, 2009; Hill et al., 2010; Dolan et al., 2011). The assessment by Chapter 5 suggests that GMSL was above present, but that it did not exceed 20 m above present, during the middle Pliocene warm periods (high confidence).

### 13.2.1.2 Marine Isotope Stage 11

During marine isotope stage 11 (MIS 11; 401 to 411 ka), Antarctic ice core and tropical Pacific paleo temperature estimates suggest that global temperature was 1.5°C to 2.0°C warmer than pre-industrial (low confidence) with peak global annual sea surface temperature fluctuations in GMSL during this interval have generated highly divergent estimates. Since the AR4, studies have accounted for GIA effects (Raymo and Mitrovica, 2012) or reported elevations from sites where the GIA effects are expected to be small (Muhs et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2012). From this evidence, our assessment is that MIS 11 GMSL reached 6 to 15 m higher than present (medium confidence), requiring a loss of most or all of the present Greenland ice sheet and WAIS plus a reduction in the EAIS of up to 5 m equivalent sea level if sea level rise was at the higher end of the range.

### 13.2.1.3 The Last Interglacial Period

New data syntheses and model simulations since the AR4 indicate that during the Last Interglacial Period (LIG, ~129 to 116 ka), global mean annual temperature was 1°C to 2°C warmer than pre-industrial (medium confidence) with peak global annual sea surface temperatures (SSTs) that were 0.7°C ± 0.6°C warmer (medium confidence) (Section 5.3.4). High latitude surface temperature, averaged over several thousand years, was at least 2°C warmer than present (high confidence) (Section 5.3.4). There is robust evidence and high agreement that under the different orbital forcing and warmer climate of the LIG, sea level was higher than present. There have been a large number of estimates of the magnitude of LIG GMSL rise from localities around the globe, but they are generally from a small number of RSL reconstructions, and do not consider GIA effects, which can be substantial (Section 5.6.2). Since the AR4, two approaches have addressed GIA effects in order to infer LIG sea level from RSL observations at coastal sites. Kopp et al. (2009, 2013) obtained a probabilistic estimate of GMSL based on a large and geographically broadly distributed database of LIG sea level indicators. Their analysis accounted for GIA effects (and their uncertainties) as well as uncertainties in geochronology, the interpretation of sea level indicators, and regional tectonic uplift and subsidence. Kopp et al. (2013) concluded that GMSL was 6.4 m (95% probability) and 7.7 m (67% probability) higher than present, and with a 33% probability that it exceeded 8.8 m. The other approach, taken by Dutton and Lambeck (2012), used data from far-field sites that are tectonically stable. Their estimate of 5.5 to 9 m LIG GMSL is consistent with the probabilistic estimates made by Kopp et al. (2009, 2013). Chapter 5 thus concluded there is very high confidence that the maximum GMSL during the LIG was at least 5 m higher than present and high confidence it did not exceed 10 m. The best estimate is 6 m higher than present. Chapter 5 also concluded from ice-sheet model simulations and elevation changes derived from a new Greenland ice core that the Greenland ice sheet very likely contributed between 1.4 and 4.3 m sea level equivalent. This implies with medium confidence a contribution from the Antarctic ice sheet to the global mean sea level during the last interglacial period, but this is not yet supported by observational and model evidence.

There is medium confidence for a sea level fluctuation of up to 4 m during the LIG, but regional sea level variability and uncertainties in sea level proxies and their ages cause differences in the timing and amplitude of the reported fluctuation (Kopp et al., 2009, 2013; Thompson et al., 2011). For the time interval during the LIG in which GMSL was above present, there is high confidence that the maximum 1000-year average rate of GMSL rise associated with the sea level fluctuation exceeded 2 m kyr$^{-1}$ but that it did not exceed 7 m kyr$^{-1}$ (Chapter 5) (Kopp et al., 2013). Faster rates lasting less than a millennium cannot be ruled out by these data. Therefore, there is high confidence that there were intervals when rates of GMSL rise during the LIG exceeded the 20th century rate of 1.7 [1.5 to 1.9] mm yr$^{-1}$.

### 13.2.1.4 The Late Holocene

Since the AR4, there has been significant progress in resolving the sea level history of the last 7000 years. RSL records indicate that from ~7 to 3 ka, GMSL likely rose 2 to 3 m to near present-day levels (Chapter 5). Based on local sea level records spanning the last 2000 years, there is medium confidence that fluctuations in GMSL during this interval have not exceeded ~±0.25 m on time scales of a few hundred years (Section 5.6.3, Figure 13.3a). The most robust signal captured in salt marsh records from both Northern and Southern Hemispheres supports the AR4 conclusion for a transition from relatively low rates of change during the late Holocene (order tenths of mm yr$^{-1}$) to modern rates (order mm yr$^{-1}$) (Section 5.6.3, Figure 13.3b). However, there is variability in the magnitude and the timing (1840–1920) of this increase in both paleo and instrumental (tide gauge) records (Section 3.7). By combining paleo sea level records with tide gauge records at the same localities, Gehrels and Woodworth (2013) concluded that sea level began to rise above the late Holocene background rate between 1905 and 1945, consistent with the conclusions by Lambeck et al. (2004).

### 13.2.2 The Instrumental Record (~1700–2012)

The instrumental record of sea level change is mainly comprised of tide gauge measurements over the past two to three centuries (Figures 13.3b and 13.3c) and, since the early 1990s, of satellite-based radar altimeter measurements (Figure 13.3d).

#### 13.2.2.1 The Tide Gauge Record (~1700–2012)

The number of tide gauges has increased since the first gauges at some northern European ports were installed in the 18th century; Southern Hemisphere (SH) measurements started only in the late 19th century. Section 3.7 assesses 20th century sea level rise estimates from tide gauges (Douglas, 2001; Church and White, 2006, 2011; Jevrejeva et al., 2006, 2008; Holgate, 2007; Ray and Douglas, 2011), and concludes that even though different strategies were developed to account for inhomogeneous tide gauge data coverage in space and time, and to correct for vertical crustal motions (also sensed by tide gauges, in addition to sea level change and variability), it is very likely
Figure 13.3 | (a) Paleo sea level data for the last 3000 years from Northern and Southern Hemisphere sites. The effects of glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) have been removed from these records. Light green = Iceland (Gehrels et al., 2006), purple = Nova Scotia (Gehrels et al., 2005), bright blue = Connecticut (Donnelly et al., 2004), blue = Nova Scotia (Gehrels et al., 2005), red = United Kingdom (Gehrels et al., 2011), green = North Carolina (Kemp et al., 2011), brown = New Zealand (Gehrels et al., 2008), grey = mid-Pacific Ocean (Woodroffe et al., 2012). (b) Paleo sea level data from salt marshes since 1700 from Northern and Southern Hemisphere sites compared to sea level reconstruction from tide gauges (blue time series with uncertainty) (Jevrejeva et al., 2008). The effects of GIA have been removed from these records by subtracting the long-term trend (Gehrels and Woodworth, 2013). Ordinate axis on the left corresponds to the paleo sea level data. Ordinate axis on the right corresponds to tide gauge data. Green and light green = North Carolina (Kemp et al., 2011), orange = Iceland (Gehrels et al., 2006), purple = New Zealand (Gehrels et al., 2008), dark green = Tasmania (Gehrels et al., 2012), brown = Nova Scotia (Gehrels et al., 2005). (c) Yearly average global mean sea level (GMSL) reconstructed from tide gauges by three different approaches. Orange from Church and White (2011), blue from Jevrejeva et al. (2008), green from Ray and Douglas (2011) (see Section 3.7). (d) Altimetry data sets from five groups (University of Colorado (CU), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Goddard Space Flight Centre (GSFC), Archiving, Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic (AVISO), Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO)) with mean of the five shown as bright blue line (see Section 3.7). (e) Comparison of the paleo data from salt marshes (purple symbols, from (b)), with tide gauge and altimetry data sets (same line colours as in (c) and (d)). All paleo data were shifted by mean of 1700–1850 derived from the Sand Point, North Carolina data. The Jevrejeva et al. (2008) tide gauge data were shifted by their mean for 1700–1850; other two tide gauge data sets were shifted by the same amount. The altimeter time series has been shifted vertically upwards so that their mean value over the 1993–2007 period aligns with the mean value of the average of all three tide gauge time series over the same period.
Frequently Asked Questions

FAQ 13.1 | Why Does Local Sea Level Change Differ from the Global Average?

*Shifting surface winds, the expansion of warming ocean water, and the addition of melting ice can alter ocean currents which, in turn, lead to changes in sea level that vary from place to place. Past and present variations in the distribution of land ice affect the shape and gravitational field of the Earth, which also cause regional fluctuations in sea level. Additional variations in sea level are caused by the influence of more localized processes such as sediment compaction and tectonics.*

Along any coast, vertical motion of either the sea or land surface can cause changes in sea level relative to the land (known as relative sea level). For example, a local change can be caused by an increase in sea surface height, or by a decrease in land height. Over relatively short time spans (hours to years), the influence of tides, storms and climatic variability—such as El Niño—dominates sea level variations. Earthquakes and landslides can also have an effect by causing changes in land height and, sometimes, tsunamis. Over longer time spans (decades to centuries), the influence of climate change—with consequent changes in volume of ocean water and land ice—is the main contributor to sea level change in most regions. Over these longer time scales, various processes may also cause vertical motion of the land surface, which can also result in substantial changes in relative sea level.

Since the late 20th century, satellite measurements of the height of the ocean surface relative to the center of the Earth (known as geocentric sea level) show differing rates of geocentric sea level change around the world (see FAQ 13.1, Figure 1). For example, in the western Pacific Ocean, rates were about three times greater than the global mean value of about 3 mm per year from 1993 to 2012. In contrast, those in the eastern Pacific Ocean are lower than the global mean value, with much of the west coast of the Americas experiencing a fall in sea surface height over the same period. *(continued on next page)*

FAQ13.1, Figure 1 | Map of rates of change in sea surface height (geocentric sea level) for the period 1993–2012 from satellite altimetry. Also shown are relative sea level changes (grey lines) from selected tide gauge stations for the period 1950–2012. For comparison, an estimate of global mean sea level change is also shown (red lines) with each tide gauge time series. The relatively large, short-term oscillations in local sea level (grey lines) are due to the natural climate variability described in the main text. For example, the large, regular deviations at Pago Pago are associated with the El Niño-Southern Oscillation.
FAQ 13.1 (continued)

Much of the spatial variation shown in FAQ 13.1, Figure 1 is a result of natural climate variability—such as El Niño and the Pacific Decadal Oscillation—over time scales from about a year to several decades. These climate variations alter surface winds, ocean currents, temperature and salinity, and hence affect sea level. The influence of these processes will continue during the 21st century, and will be superimposed on the spatial pattern of sea level change associated with longer term climate change, which also arises through changes in surface winds, ocean currents, temperature and salinity, as well as ocean volume. However, in contrast to the natural variability, the longer term trends accumulate over time and so are expected to dominate over the 21st century. The resulting rates of geocentric sea level change over this longer period may therefore exhibit a very different pattern from that shown in FAQ 13.1, Figure 1.

Tide gauges measure relative sea level, and so they include changes resulting from vertical motion of both the land and the sea surface. Over many coastal regions, vertical land motion is small, and so the long-term rate of sea level change recorded by coastal and island tide gauges is similar to the global mean value (see records at San Francisco and Pago Pago in FAQ 13.1, Figure 1). In some regions, vertical land motion has had an important influence. For example, the steady fall in sea level recorded at Stockholm (FAQ 13.1, Figure 1) is caused by uplift of this region after the melting of a large (>1 km thick) continental ice sheet at the end of the last Ice Age, between ~20,000 and ~9000 years ago. Such ongoing land deformation as a response to the melting of ancient ice sheets is a significant contributor to regional sea level changes in North America and northwest Eurasia, which were covered by large continental ice sheets during the peak of the last Ice Age.

In other regions, this process can also lead to land subsidence, which elevates relative sea levels, as it has at Charlestown, where a relatively large increase has been observed, compared to the global mean rate (FAQ 13.1, Figure 1). Vertical land motion due to movement of the Earth’s tectonic plates can also cause departures from the global mean sea level trend in some areas—most significantly, those located near active subduction zones, where one tectonic plate slips beneath another. For the case of Antofagasta (FAQ 13.1, Figure 1) this appears to result in steady land uplift and therefore relative sea level fall.

In addition to regional influences of vertical land motion on relative sea level change, some processes lead to land motion that is rapid but highly localized. For example, the greater rate of rise relative to the global mean at Manila (FAQ 13.1, Figure 1) is dominated by land subsidence caused by intensive groundwater pumping. Land subsidence due to natural and anthropogenic processes, such as the extraction of groundwater or hydrocarbons, is common in many coastal regions, particularly in large river deltas.

It is commonly assumed that melting ice from glaciers or the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets would cause globally uniform sea level rise, much like filling a bathtub with water. In fact, such melting results in regional variations in sea level due to a variety of processes, including changes in ocean currents, winds, the Earth’s gravity field and land height. For example, computer models that simulate these latter two processes predict a regional fall in relative sea level around the melting ice sheets, because the gravitational attraction between ice and ocean water is reduced, and the land tends to rise as the ice melts (FAQ 13.1, Figure 2). However, further away from the ice sheet melting, sea level rise is enhanced, compared to the global average value.

In summary, a variety of processes drive height changes of the ocean surface and ocean floor, resulting in distinct spatial patterns of sea level change at local to regional scales. The combination of these processes produces a complex pattern of total sea level change, which varies through time as the relative contribution of each process changes. The global average change is a useful single value that reflects the contribution of climatic processes (e.g., land-ice melting and ocean warming), and represents a good estimate of sea level change at many coastal locations. At the same time, however, where the various regional processes result in a strong signal, there can be large departures from the global average value.
that the long-term trend estimate in GMSL is 1.7 [1.5 to 1.9] mm yr\(^{-1}\) between 1901 and 2010 for a total sea level rise of 0.19 [0.17 to 0.21] m (Figure 13.3c). Interannual and decadal-scale variability is superimposed on the long-term MSL trend, and Chapter 3 noted that discrepancies between the various published MSL records are present at these shorter time scales.

Section 3.7 also concludes that it is likely that the rate of sea level rise increased from the 19th century to the 20th century. Taking this evidence in conjunction with the proxy evidence for a change of rate (Sections 5.6.3 and 13.2.1; Figure 13.3b), there is high confidence that the rate of sea level rise has increased during the last two centuries, and it is likely that GMSL has accelerated since the early 1900’s. Because of the presence of low-frequency variations (e.g., multi-decadal variations seen in some tide gauge records; Chambers et al. (2012)), sea level acceleration results are sensitive to the choice of the analysis time span. When a 60-year oscillation is modelled along with an acceleration term, the estimated acceleration in GMSL (twice the quadratic term) computed over 1900–2010 ranges from 0.000 [−0.002 to 0.002] mm yr\(^{-2}\) in the Ray and Douglas (2011) record, to 0.013 [0.007 to 0.019] mm yr\(^{-2}\) in the Jevrejeva et al. (2008) record, and 0.012 [0.009 to 0.015] mm yr\(^{-2}\) in the Church and White (2011) record. For comparison, Church and White (2011) estimated the acceleration term to be 0.009 [0.004 to 0.014] mm yr\(^{-2}\) over the 1880–2009 time span when the 60-year cycle is not considered.

13.2.2.2 The Satellite Altimeter Record (1993–2012)

The high-precision satellite altimetry record started in 1992 and provides nearly global (±66°) sea level measurements at 10-day intervals. Ollivier et al. (2012) showed that Envisat, which observes to ±82° latitude, provides comparable GMSL estimates. Although there are slight differences at interannual time scales in the altimetry-based GMSL time series produced by different groups (Masters et al., 2012), there is very good agreement on the 20-year long GMSL trend (Figure 13.3d). After accounting for the ~0.3 mm yr\(^{-1}\) correction related to the increasing size of the global ocean basins due to GIA (Peltier, 2009), a GMSL rate of 3.2 [2.8 to 3.6] mm yr\(^{-1}\) over 1993–2012 is found by the different altimetry data processing groups. The current level of precision is derived from assessments of all source of errors affecting the altimetric measurements (Ablain et al., 2009) and from tide gauge comparisons (Beckley et al., 2010; Nerem et al., 2010). Chapter 3 concludes that the GMSL trend since 1993 is very likely higher compared to the mean rates over the 20th century, and that it is likely that GMSL rose between 1920 and 1950 at a rate comparable to that observed since 1993. This recent higher rate is also seen in tide gauge data over the same period, but on the basis of observations alone it does not necessarily reflect a recent acceleration, considering the previously reported multi-decadal variations of mean sea level. The rapid increase in GMSL since 2011 is related to the recovery from the 2011 La Niña event (Section 13.3.5) (Boening et al., 2012).

13.3 Contributions to Global Mean Sea Level Rise During the Instrumental Period

In order to assess our understanding of the causes of observed changes and our confidence in projecting future changes we compare observational estimates of contributions with results derived from AOGCM experiments, beginning in the late 19th century, forced with estimated past time-dependent anthroopgenic changes in atmospheric composition and natural forcings due to volcanic aerosols and variations in solar irradiance (Section 10.1). This period and these simulations are often referred to as “historical.”

13.3.1 Thermal Expansion Contribution

13.3.1.1 Observed

Important progress has been realized since AR4 in quantifying the observed thermal expansion component of global mean sea level rise. This progress reflects (1) the detection of systematic time-dependent depth biases affecting historical expendable bathythermograph data (Gouretski and Koltermann, 2007) (Chapter 3), (2) the newly available Argo Project ocean (temperature and salinity) data with almost global coverage (not including ice-covered regions and marginal seas) of the oceans down to 2000 m since 2004–2005, and (3) estimates of the deep-ocean contribution using ship-based data collected during the World Ocean Circulation Experiment and revisit cruises (Johnson and Gruber, 2007; Johnson et al., 2007; Purkey and Johnson, 2010; Kouketsu et al., 2011).

For the period 1971–2010, the rate for the 0 to 700 m depth range is 0.6 [0.4 to 0.8] mm yr\(^{-1}\) (Section 3.7.2 and Table 3.1). Including the deep-ocean contribution for the same period increases the value to 0.8 [0.5 to 1.1] mm yr\(^{-1}\) (Table 13.1). Over the altimetry period (1993–2010), the rate for the 0 to 700 m depth range is 0.8 [0.5 to 1.1] mm yr\(^{-1}\) and 1.1 [0.8 to 1.4] mm yr\(^{-1}\) when accounting for the deep ocean (Section 3.7.2, Table 3.1, Table 13.1).

13.3.1.2 Modelled

GMSL rise due to thermal expansion is approximately proportional to the increase in ocean heat content (Section 13.4.1). Historical GMSL rise due to thermal expansion simulated by CMIP5 models is shown in Table 13.1 and Figure 13.4a. The model spread is due to uncertainty in RF and modelled climate response (Sections 8.5.2, 9.4.2.2, 9.7.2.5 and 13.4.1).

In the time mean of several decades, there is a negative volcanic forcing if there is more volcanic activity than is typical of the long term, and a positive forcing if there is less. In the decades after major volcanic eruptions, the rate of expansion is temporarily enhanced, as the ocean recovers from the cooling caused by the volcanic forcing (Church et al., 2005; Gregory et al., 2006) (Figure 13.4a). During 1961–1999, a period when there were several large volcanic eruptions, the CMIP3 simulations with both natural and anthropogenic forcing have substantially smaller increasing trends in the upper 700 m than those with anthropogenic forcing only (Domingues et al., 2008) because the natural volcanic forcing tends to cool the climate system, thus reducing ocean
heat uptake (Levitus et al., 2001). The models including natural forcing are closer to observations, though with a tendency to underestimate the trend by about 10% (Sections 9.4.2.2 and 10.4.1).

Gregory (2010) and Gregory et al. (2013a) proposed that AOGCMs underestimate ocean heat uptake in their historical simulations because their control experiments usually omit volcanic forcing, so the imposition of historical volcanic forcing on the simulated climate system represents a time mean negative forcing relative to the control climate. The apparent long persistence of the simulated oceanic cooling following the 1883 eruption of Krakatau (Delworth et al., 2005; Gleckler et al., 2006a, 2006b; Gregory et al., 2006) is a consequence of this bias, which also causes a model-dependent underestimate of up to 0.2 mm yr\(^{-1}\) of thermal expansion on average during the 20th century (Gregory et al., 2013a, 2013b). This implies that CMIP5 results may be similarly underestimated, depending on the details of the individual model control runs. Church et al. (2013) proposed a correction of 0.1 mm yr\(^{-1}\) to the model mean rate, which we apply in the sea level budget in Table 13.1 and Figure 13.7. The corrected CMIP5 model mean rate for 1971–2010 is close to the central observational estimate; the model mean rate for 1993–2010 exceeds the central observational estimate but they are not statistically different given the uncertainties (Table 13.1 and Figure 13.4a). This correction is not made to projections of thermal expansion because it is very small compared with the projected increase in the rate (Section 13.5.1).

In view of the improvement in observational estimates of thermal expansion, the good agreement of historical model results with observational estimates, and their consistency with understanding of the energy budget and RF of the climate system (Box 13.1), we have high confidence in the projections of thermal expansion using AOGCMs.

### 13.3.2 Glaciers

#### 13.3.2.1 Observed

‘Glaciers’ are defined here as all land-ice masses, including those peripheral to (but not including) the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. The term ‘glaciers and ice caps’ was applied to this category in the AR4. Changes in aggregate glacier volume have conventionally been determined by various methods of repeat mapping of surface elevation to detect elevation (and thus volume) change. Mass changes are determined by compilation and upscaling of limited direct observations of surface mass balance (SMB). Since 2003, gravity observations from Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellites have been used to detect mass change of the world’s glaciers.

The combined records indicate that a net decline of global glacier volume began in the 19th century, before significant anthropogenic RF had started, and was probably the result of warming associated with the termination of the Little Ice Age (Crowley, 2000; Gregory et al., 2006, 2013b). Global rates of glacier volume loss did not increase significantly during much of the 20th century (Figure 4.12). In part this may have been because of an enhanced rate of loss due to unforced high-latitude variability early in the century, while anthropogenic warming was still comparatively small (Section 13.3.2.2). It is likely that anthropogenic forcing played a statistically significant role in acceleration of global glacier losses in the latter decades of the 20th century.

### Table 13.1 | Global mean sea level budget (mm yr\(^{-1}\)) over different time intervals from observations and from model-based contributions. Uncertainties are 5 to 95%. The Atmosphere–Ocean General Circulation Model (AOGCM) historical integrations end in 2005; projections for RCP4.5 are used for 2006–2010. The modelled thermal expansion and glacier contributions are computed from the CMIP5 results, using the model of Marzeion et al. (2012a) for glaciers. The land water contribution is due to anthropogenic intervention only, not including climate-related fluctuations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.8 [0.5 to 1.1]</td>
<td>1.1 [0.8 to 1.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaciers except in Greenland and Antarctica(^a)</td>
<td>0.54 [0.47 to 0.61]</td>
<td>0.62 [0.25 to 0.99]</td>
<td>0.76 [0.39 to 1.13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaciers in Greenland(^b)</td>
<td>0.15 [0.10 to 0.19]</td>
<td>0.06 [0.03 to 0.09]</td>
<td>0.10 [0.07 to 0.13](^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland ice sheet</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.33 [0.25 to 0.41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic ice sheet</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.27 [0.16 to 0.38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land water storage</td>
<td>–0.11 [–0.16 to –0.06]</td>
<td>0.12 [0.03 to 0.22]</td>
<td>0.38 [0.26 to 0.49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of contributions</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.8 [2.3 to 3.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed GMSL rise</td>
<td>1.5 [1.3 to 1.7]</td>
<td>2.0 [1.7 to 2.3]</td>
<td>3.2 [2.8 to 3.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modelling contributions to GMSL rise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion</td>
<td>0.37 [0.06 to 0.67]</td>
<td>0.96 [0.51 to 1.41]</td>
<td>1.49 [0.97 to 2.02]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaciers except in Greenland and Antarctica</td>
<td>0.63 [0.37 to 0.89]</td>
<td>0.62 [0.41 to 0.84]</td>
<td>0.78 [0.43 to 1.13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaciers in Greenland</td>
<td>0.07 [0.02 to 0.16]</td>
<td>0.10 [0.05 to 0.15]</td>
<td>0.14 [0.06 to 0.23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total including land water storage</td>
<td>1.0 [0.5 to 1.4]</td>
<td>1.8 [1.3 to 2.3]</td>
<td>2.8 [2.1 to 3.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual(^c)</td>
<td>0.5 [0.1 to 1.0]</td>
<td>0.2 [–0.4 to 0.8]</td>
<td>0.4 [–0.4 to 1.2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
\(^a\) Data for all glaciers extend to 2009, not 2010.
\(^b\) This contribution is not included in the total because glaciers in Greenland are included in the observational assessment of the Greenland ice sheet.
\(^c\) Observed GMSL rise – modelled thermal expansion – modelled glaciers – observed land water storage.
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Figure 13.4 | Comparison of modelled and observed components of global mean sea level change since 1900. Changes in glaciers, ice sheets and land water storage are shown as positive sea level rise when mass is added to the ocean. (a) Ocean thermal expansion. Individual CMIP5 Atmosphere–Ocean General Circulation Model (AOGCM) simulations are shown in grey, the AOGCM average is black, observations in teal with the 5 to 95% uncertainties shaded. (b) Glaciers (excluding Antarctic peripheral glaciers). Model simulations by Marzeion et al. (2012a) with input from individual AOGCMs are shown in grey with the average of these results in bright purple. Model simulations by Marzeion et al. (2012a) forced by observed climate are shown in light blue. The observational estimates by Cogley (2009b) are shown in green (dashed) and by Leclercq et al. (2011) in red (dashed). (c) Changes in land water storage (yellow/orange, the sum of groundwater depletion and reservoir storage) start at zero in 1900. The Greenland ice sheet (green), the Antarctic ice sheet (blue) and the sum of the ice sheets (red), start at zero at the start of the record in 1991. (d) The rate of change (19-year centred trends) for the terms in (a)–(c), and for the ice sheets (5-year centred trends). All curves in (a) and (b) are shown with zero time-mean over the period 1986–2005 and the colours in (d) are matched to earlier panels. (Updated from Church et al., 2013)
century relative to rates in the 19th century (Section 10.5.2.2). It is also likely that, during the 20th century, the progressive loss of glacier area significantly restricted the rate of mass loss (Gregory et al., 2013b).

The earliest sea level assessments recognized that glaciers have been significant contributors to GMSL rise (Meier, 1984). As assessed in Chapter 4, observations, improved methods of analysis and a new, globally complete inventory indicate that glaciers, including those around the ice-sheet peripheries, very likely continue to be significant contributors to sea level, but are also highly variable on annual to decadal time scales. It is assumed that all glacier losses contribute to sea level rise, but the potential role of terrestrial interception of runoff, either in lakes formed following future ice retreat or in groundwater, has yet to be evaluated. For the period 2003–2009, the sea level contribution of all glaciers globally, including those glaciers surrounding the periphery of the two ice sheets, is 0.71 [0.64 to 0.79] mm yr\(^{-1}\) sea level equivalent (SLE) (Section 4.3.3, Table 4.4). Depending on the method used, however, loss-rate measurements of the two ice sheets can be very difficult to separate from losses of the peripheral glaciers. To avoid double counting, total cryospheric losses are determined by adding estimates of glacier losses excluding the peripheral glaciers to losses from the ice sheets including their peripheral glaciers. The sea level contribution of all glaciers excluding those glaciers surrounding the periphery of the two ice sheets was 0.54 [0.47-0.61] mm yr\(^{-1}\) SLE for 1901-1990, 0.62 [0.25-0.99] mm yr\(^{-1}\) SLE for 1971-2009, 0.76 [0.39-1.13] mm yr\(^{-1}\) SLE for 1993-2009, and 0.83 [0.46-1.20] mm yr\(^{-1}\) SLE for 2005-2009 (Section 4.3.3.4, Table 13.1).

13.3.3 Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets

13.3.3.1 Observed Mass Balance

The Greenland ice sheet's mass balance is comprised of its surface mass balance and outflow, whereas Antarctica's mass budget is dominated by accumulation and outflow in the form of calving and ice flow into floating (and therefore sea level neutral) ice shelves. Knowledge of the contribution of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets to observed sea level changes over the last two decades comes primarily from satellite and airborne surveys. Three main techniques are employed: the mass budget method, repeat altimetry, and gravimetric methods that measure temporal variations in the Earth's gravity field (Section 4.4.2). Observations indicate that the Greenland contribution to GMSL has very likely increased from 0.09 [–0.02 to 0.20] mm yr\(^{-1}\) for 1992–2001 to 0.59 [0.43 to 0.76] mm yr\(^{-1}\) for 2002–2011 (Section 4.4.3, Figure 13.4). The average rate of the Antarctica contribution to sea level rise likely increased from 0.08 [–0.10 to 0.27] mm yr\(^{-1}\) for 1992–2001 to 0.40 [0.20 to 0.61] mm yr\(^{-1}\) for 2002–2011 (Section 4.4.3). For the budget period 1993–2010, the combined contribution of the ice sheets is 0.60 [0.42 to 0.78] mm yr\(^{-1}\). For comparison, the AR4’s assessment for the period 1993–2003 was 0.21 ± 0.07 mm yr\(^{-1}\) for Greenland and 0.21 ± 0.35 mm yr\(^{-1}\) for Antarctica.

13.3.3.2 Modelled Surface Mass Balance

Projections of changes in the SMB of the Antarctic and Greenland ice sheets are obtained from RCM or downscaled AOGCM simulations (Sections 13.4.3.1 and 13.4.4.1). A spatial resolution of a few tens of kilometres or finer is required in order to resolve the strong gradients in SMB across the steep slopes of the ice-sheet margins. Although simulations of SMB at particular locations may have errors of 5 to 20% compared with in situ observations, there is good agreement between methods involving RCMs and observational methods of evaluating ice-sheet mass balance (Shepherd et al., 2012). In the present climate, for both Greenland and Antarctica, the mean SMB over the ice-sheet area is positive, giving a negative number when expressed as sea level equivalent (SLE).

In Greenland, the average and standard deviation of accumulation (precipitation minus sublimation) estimates for 1961–1990 is –1.62 ± 0.21 mm yr\(^{-1}\) SLE from the models in Table 13.2, agreeing with
All of these models indicate that Greenland ice sheet SMB showed no significant trend from the 1960s to the 1980s, then started becoming less positive (becoming less negative expressed as SLE) in the early 1990s, on average by 3% yr\(^{-1}\). This results in a statistically significant and increasing (i.e., becoming more positive) contribution to the rate of GMSL rise (SMB trend column of Table 13.2, Figure 13.5). The largest trends are found in models with coupled snow and atmosphere simulations using the Regional Atmospheric Climate Model 2 (RACMO2) and the Modèle Atmosphérique Régional (MAR). Van den Broeke et al. (2009) concluded that the mass loss during 2000–2008 is equally split between SMB and dynamical change. Rignot et al. (2011) indicated that SMB change accounts for about 60% of the mass loss since 1992 and Sasgen et al. (2012) showed that SMB change, simulated by RACMO2 (Ettema et al., 2009, an earlier version of the model in Table 13.2), accounts for about 60% of the observed rate of mass loss during 2002–2010, with an observational estimate of the increase in ice outflow accounting for the remainder. This satisfactory consistency, within uncertainties, in estimates for the Greenland ice-sheet mass budget gives confidence in SMB simulations of the past, and hence also in the similar models used for projections of SMB changes (Section 13.4.3.1).

This recent trend towards increasingly less positive SMB is caused almost entirely by increased melting and subsequent runoff, with variability in accumulation being comparatively small (Sasgen et al., 2012; Vernon et al., 2013). This tendency is related to pronounced regional warming, which may be attributed to some combination of anthropogenic climate change and anomalous regional variability in recent years (Hanna et al., 2008; 2012; Fettweis et al., 2013). Greenland SMB models forced by boundary conditions from AOGCM historical simulations (Rae et al., 2012; Fettweis et al., 2013) do not show statistically significant trends towards increasing contributions to GMSL, implying that the dominant contribution is internally generated regional climate variability, which is not expected to be reproduced by AOGCM historical simulations (Section 10.2). We have high confidence in projections of future warming in Greenland because of the agreement of models in predicting amplified warming at high northern latitudes (Sections 12.4.3.1, 14.8.2) for well-understood physical reasons, although there remains uncertainty in the size of the amplification, and we have high confidence in projections of increasing surface melting (Section 13.4.3.1) because of the sensitivity to warming demonstrated by SMB models of the past.

All Greenland SMB simulations for the first half of the 20th century depend on reconstructions of meteorological variability over the ice sheet made using empirical relationships based on observations from coastal stations and estimates of accumulation from ice cores. Despite the similar input data sets in all cases, the various climate reconstruction and SMB methods used have led to a range of results (Fettweis et al., 2008; Wake et al., 2009; Hanna et al., 2011; Box, 2013; Box and Colgan, 2013; Box et al., 2013; Gregory et al., 2013b). For 1901–1990, Hanna et al. (2011) have a time-mean GMSL contribution of –0.3 mm yr\(^{-1}\), while Box and Colgan (2013) have a weakly positive contribution and the others are about zero. In all cases, there is substantial variability associated with regional climate fluctuations, in particular the warm episode in the 1930s, during which glaciers retreated in southeastern Greenland (Björk et al., 2012). Chylek et al. (2004) argued that this episode was associated with the NAO rather than with global climate change.

In Antarctica, accumulation (precipitation minus sublimation) approximates SMB because surface melting and runoff are negligible in the present climate (Section 4.4.2.1.1). There are uncertainties in model- and observation-based estimates of Antarctic SMB. Global climate models do not account for snow hydrology or for drifting snow processes which remove an estimated 7% of the accumulated snow ( Lenaerts et al., 2012), and the ice sheet’s steep coastal slopes are not well captured by coarse-resolution models. Observation-based estimates rely on sparse accumulation measurements with very little coverage in high-accumulation areas. For the Antarctic ice sheet and ice shelves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference and Model (^a)</th>
<th>Time-Mean SMB 1961–1990 mm yr(^{-1}) SLE</th>
<th>Rate of Change of SMB 1991–2010 mm yr(^{-1}) SLE</th>
<th>Time-Mean SMB Anomaly (With Respect to 1961–1990 Time-Mean SMB) (^b) mm yr(^{-1}) SLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RACMO2, Van Angelen et al. (2012), 11 km RCM</td>
<td>–1.13 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.33, 0.23 ± 0.30, 0.47 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR, Fettweis et al. (2011), 25 km RCM</td>
<td>–1.17 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.38, 0.36 ± 0.33, 0.64 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMS, Box et al. (2009), 25 km RCM</td>
<td>–0.98 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.19, 0.10 ± 0.22, 0.23 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMWFd, Hanna et al. (2011), 5 km PDD</td>
<td>–0.77 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.28, 0.12 ± 0.27, 0.24 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnowModel, Mernild and Liston (2012), 5 km EBM</td>
<td>–0.54 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.25, 0.19 ± 0.24, 0.36 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Average</td>
<td>–0.92 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.05, 0.20 ± 0.10, 0.39 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

\(^{a}\) The approximate spatial resolution is stated and the model type denoted by PDD = positive degree day, EBM = Energy Balance Model, RCM = Regional Climate Model.

\(^{b}\) Difference from the time-mean SMB of 1961–1990. This difference equals the sea level contribution from Greenland SMB changes if the ice sheet is assumed to have been near zero mass balance during 1961–1990 (Hanna et al., 2005; Sasgen et al., 2012).
together, CMIP3 AOGCMs simulate SMB for 1979–2000 of $-7.1 \pm 1.5$ mm yr$^{-1}$ SLE (Connolley and Bracegirdle, 2007; Uotila et al., 2007), the mean being about 10% larger in magnitude than observation-based estimates, for instance $-6.3$ mm yr$^{-1}$ SLE from Vaughan et al. (1999). For the SMB of the grounded ice sheet alone, four global reanalysis models, with resolutions of 38 to 125 km (Bromwich et al., 2011), give $-5.2 \pm 0.5$ mm yr$^{-1}$ SLE for 1979–2010, which compares well with an observational estimate of $-4.9 \pm 0.1$ mm yr$^{-1}$ SLE for 1950–2000 (Arthern et al., 2006). Because of higher accumulation near the coast, the regional climate model RACMO2 gives the somewhat larger value of $-5.5 \pm 0.3$ mm yr$^{-1}$ SLE for 1979–2000 (Lenaerts et al., 2012). This relatively good agreement, combined with the similarity of the geographical distribution of modelled and observed SMB, give medium confidence in the realism of the RCM SMB simulation.

Some global reanalyses have been shown to contain spurious trends in various quantities in the SH related to changes in the observing systems, for example, new satellite observations (Bromwich et al., 2007; 2011). In the RCMs and in global reanalyses that are not affected by spurious trends, no significant trend is present in accumulation since 1980 (Section 4.4.2.3). This agrees with observation-based studies (Monaghan et al., 2006; Anschütz et al., 2009) (Chapter 4) and implies that Antarctic SMB change has not contributed significantly to recent changes in the rate of GMSL rise. Likewise, CMIP3 historical simulations do not exhibit any systematic trend in Antarctic precipitation during the late 20th century (Uotila et al., 2007). No observational assessments have been made of variability in SMB for the whole ice sheet for the earlier part of the 20th century, or of its longer term mean.

General Circulation Model (GCM) and Regional Circulation Model (RCM) projections consistently indicate significant Antarctic warming and concomitant increase in precipitation. We have high confidence in expecting a relationship between these quantities on physical grounds (Section 13.4.4.1) and from ice core evidence (Van Ommen et al., 2004; Lemieux-Dudon et al., 2010; Stenni et al., 2011). The absence of a significant trend in Antarctic precipitation up to the present is not inconsistent with the expected relationship, because observed temperature trends over the majority of the continent are weak (Section 10.5.2.1) and trends in Antarctic precipitation simulated for recent decades are much smaller than interannual variability (van den Broeke et al., 2006; Uotila et al., 2007). Taking all these considerations together, we have medium confidence in model projections of a future Antarctic SMB increase, implying a negative contribution to GMSL rise (see also Sections 13.4.4.1, 13.5.3 and 14.8.15).

### 13.3.4 Contributions from Water Storage on Land

Changes in water storage on land in response to climate change and variability (i.e., water stored in rivers, lakes, wetlands, the vadose zone, aquifers and snow pack at high latitudes and altitudes) and from direct human-induced effects (i.e., storage of water in reservoirs and groundwater pumping) have the potential to contribute to sea level change. Based on satellite observations of the Northern Hemisphere (NH) snowpack, Biancamaria et al. (2011) found no significant trend in the contribution of snow to sea level. Estimates of climate-related changes in land water storage over the past few decades rely on global hydrological models because corresponding observations are inadequate (Milly et al., 2003). In assessing the relation between terrestrial water storage and climate, Milly et al. (2003) and Ngo-Duc et al. (2005) found no long-term climatic trend in total water storage, but documented interannual to decadal fluctuations, equivalent to several millimetres of sea level. Recent studies have shown that interannual variability in observed GMSL correlates with ENSO indices (Nerem et al., 2010) and is inversely related to ENSO-driven changes of terrestrial water storage, especially in the tropics (Llovel et al., 2011). During El Niño events, sea level (and ocean mass) tends to be higher because ocean precipitation increases and land precipitation decreases in the tropics (Cazenave et al., 2012). The reverse happens during La Niña events, as
seen during 2010–2011, when there was a decrease in GMSL due to a temporary increase in water storage on the land, especially in Australia, northern South America, and southeast Asia (Boening et al., 2012) (Section 13.3.5).

Direct human interventions on land water storage also induce sea level changes (Sahagian, 2000; Gornitz, 2001; Huntington, 2008; Lettenmaier and Milly, 2009). The largest contributions come from impoundment in reservoirs and groundwater withdrawal. Over the past half-century, storage in tens of thousands of reservoirs has offset some of the sea level rise that would otherwise have occurred. Chao et al. (2008) estimated that the nearly 30,000 reservoirs built during the 20th century resulted in nominal reservoir storage up to 2007 equivalent to ~23 mm of sea level fall (mostly since 1940), with a stabilization in recent years. Chao et al. further assumed that the reservoirs were 85% full, and by including seepage into groundwater as estimated from a model, they obtained a total of 30 mm of sea level fall (equivalent to a rate of sea level fall of 0.55 mm yr⁻¹ from 1950 to 2000). Their seepage estimate was argued to be unrealistically large, however, because it assumes aquifers are infinite and have no interfering boundary conditions (Lettenmaier and Milly, 2009; Konikow, 2013). Chao et al. (2008) argued that sedimentation of reservoirs does not reduce their sea level contribution, but their argument is disputed (Gregory et al., 2013b). Lettenmaier and Milly (2009) suggested a loss of capacity due to sedimentation at 1% yr⁻¹. Given the uncertainty about them, neither the seepage nor the effect of sedimentation is included in the budget (Section 13.3.6). Here the (negative) GMSL contribution from reservoir storage is estimated as 85% [70 to 100%] of the nominal capacity (with the lower limit coming from Pokhrel et al. (2012)).

Konikow (2011) estimated that human-induced groundwater depletion contributed 0.26 ± 0.07 mm yr⁻¹ to GMSL rise over 1971–2008 and 0.34 ± 0.07 mm yr⁻¹ over 1993–2008 (based mostly on observational methods), whereas Wada et al. (2012) estimated values of 0.42 ± 0.08 mm yr⁻¹ over 1971–2008 and 0.54 ± 0.09 mm yr⁻¹ over 1993–2008 (based on modelling of water fluxes). The average of these two series with the difference as a measure of the uncertainty is used in the sea level budget (Section 13.3.6). Pokhrel et al. (2012) estimated a larger groundwater depletion, but Konikow (2013) (disputed by Pokhrel et al. (2013)) argued that their underlying assumptions of defining depletion as equivalent to groundwater use, and allowing unlimited extraction to meet water demand, led to substantial over-estimates of depletion.

In summary, climate-related changes in water and snow storage on land do not show significant long-term trends for the recent decades. However, direct human interventions in land water storage (reservoir impoundment and groundwater depletion) have each contributed at least several tenths of mm yr⁻¹ of sea level change (Figure 13.4, Table 13.1). Reservoir impoundment exceeded groundwater depletion for the majority of the 20th century but groundwater depletion has increased and now exceeds current rates of impoundment, contributing to an increased rate of GMSL rise. The net contribution for the 20th century is estimated by adding the average of the two groundwater depletion estimates to the reservoir storage term (Figure 13.4c). The trends are -0.11 [-0.16 to -0.06] mm yr⁻¹ for 1901-1990, 0.12 [0.03 to 0.22] mm yr⁻¹ for 1971 to 2010 and 0.38 [0.26 to 0.49] mm yr⁻¹ for 1993 to 2010 (Table 13.1).

### 13.3.5 Ocean Mass Observations from Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment

As discussed in Chapter 3, it has been possible to directly estimate changes in ocean mass using satellite gravity data from GRACE since 2002 (Chambers et al., 2004, 2010; Chambers, 2006; Cazenave et al., 2009; Leuliette and Miller, 2009; Llovel et al., 2010). These measurements represent the sum of total land ice plus land water components, and thus provide an independent assessment of these contributions. However, GRACE is also sensitive to mass redistribution associated with GIA and requires that this effect (on the order of ~0.7 to ~1.3 mm yr⁻¹ when averaged over the ocean domain) (Paulson et al., 2007; Peltier, 2009; Chambers et al., 2010; Tamisiea, 2011) be removed before estimating the ocean-mass component. Most recent estimates (Leuliette and Willis, 2011; von Schuckmann and Le Traon, 2011) report a global mean ocean mass increase of 1.8 [1.4 to 2.2] mm yr⁻¹ over 2003–2012 after correcting for the GIA component. The associated error results from the low signal-to-noise ratio over the ocean domain and uncertainty in the model-based GIA correction (Quinn and Ponte, 2010).

Chapter 3 notes that, in terms of global averages, the sum of the contribution to GMSL due to change in global ocean mass (the barystatic contribution), measured by GRACE, and the contribution due to global ocean thermal expansion (the thermosteric contribution), measured by the Argo Project, agrees within uncertainties with the GMSL change observed by satellite altimetry (Leuliette and Willis, 2011; von Schuckmann and Le Traon, 2011), although there is still a missing contribution from expansion in the deep ocean below 2000 m. These data sets have allowed an investigation of the cause of variability in sea level over the last few years (Figure 13.6). In particular, Boening et al. (2012) concluded that the decrease in GMSL over 2010–2011 followed by a rapid increase since 2011 was related to the 2011 La Niña event, where-by changes in land/ocean precipitation patterns caused a temporary increase in water storage on the land (and corresponding decrease in GMSL) during the La Niña event, especially in Australia, northern South America and southeast Asia (Boening et al., 2012).

### 13.3.6 Budget of Global Mean Sea Level Rise

Drawing on Sections 13.3.1 to 13.3.5, the budget of GMSL rise (Table 13.1, Figure 13.7) is analysed using models and observations for the periods 1901–1990 (the 20th century, excluding the period after 1990 when ice-sheet contributions to GMSL rise have increased; Sections 4.4 and 13.3.3.1), since 1971 (when significantly more ocean data became available and systematic glacier reconstructions began), and since 1993 (when precise satellite sea level altimetry began). The 2005–2010 period when Argo and GRACE data are available is short and strongly affected by interannual climate variability, as discussed in the previous subsection (Section 13.3.5 and Figure 13.6). Such variability is not externally forced and is therefore not expected to be reproduced in AOGCM historical experiments. For the contribution from land water storage (Figure 13.4c) we use the estimated effect of human intervention and neglect effects from climate-related variation, which
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are unimportant on multi-decadal time scales (Section 13.3.4). Contributions due to runoff from thawed permafrost, change in atmospheric moisture content, and sedimentation in the ocean are not considered in the budget because they are negligible compared with observed GMSL rise and the uncertainties.

For 1993–2010, allowing for uncertainties, the observed GMSL rise is consistent with the sum of the observationally estimated contributions (high confidence) (Table 13.1, Figure 13.7e). The two largest terms are ocean thermal expansion (accounting for about 35% of the observed GMSL rise) and glacier mass loss (accounting for a further 25%, not including that from Greenland and Antarctica). Observations indicate an increased ice-sheet contribution over the last two decades (Sections 4.4.2.2, 4.4.2.3 and 13.3.3.1) (Shepherd et al., 2012). The closure of the observational budget since 1993, within uncertainties, represents a significant advance since the AR4 in physical understanding of the causes of past GMSL change, and provides an improved basis for critical evaluation of models of these contributions in order to assess their reliability for making projections.

The observational budget cannot be rigorously assessed for 1901–1990 or 1971–2010 because there is insufficient observational information to estimate ice-sheet contributions with high confidence before the 1990s, and ocean data sampling is too sparse to permit an estimate of global mean thermal expansion before the 1970s. However, a closed observational GMSL budget since the 1970s can be demonstrated with reasonable estimates of ice-sheet contributions (Church et al., 2011a; Moore et al., 2011) (Table 13.1, Figure 13.7). For 1971–2010, the observed contributions from thermal expansion and mass loss from glaciers (not including those in Antarctica) alone explain about 75% of the observed GMSL (high confidence).

AOGCM-based estimates of thermal expansion, which agree well with observations since 1971, observational estimates of the glacier contribution, and the estimated change in land water storage (Figure 13.4c), which is relatively small, can all be made from the start of the 20th century (Sections 13.3.1.2, 13.3.2.2 and 13.3.4, Table 13.1). Model estimates of Greenland ice-sheet SMB changes give an uncertain but relatively small contribution during most of the 20th century, increasing since the early 1990s (Section 13.3.3.2). There could be a small constant contribution from the Antarctic ice sheet (Huybrechts et al., 2011; Gregory et al., 2013b) due to long-term adjustment to climate change in previous millennia. Any secular rate of sea level rise in the late Holocene was small (order of few tenths mm yr⁻¹) (Section

Figure 13.6 | Global mean sea level from altimetry from 2005 to 2012 (blue line). Ocean mass changes are shown in green (as measured by Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE)) and thermosteric sea level changes (as measured by the Argo Project) are shown in red. The black line shows the sum of the ocean mass and thermosteric contributions. (Updated from Boening et al., 2012)
Figure 13.7 | (a) The observed and modelled sea level for 1900 to 2010. (b) The rates of sea level change for the same period, with the satellite altimeter data shown as a red dot for the rate. (c) The observed and modelled sea level for 1961 to 2010. (d) The observed and modelled sea level for 1990 to 2010. Panel (e) compares the sum of the observed contributions (orange) and the observed sea level from the satellite altimeter data (red). The estimates of global mean sea level are from Jevrejeva et al. (2008), Church and White (2011), and Ray and Douglas (2011), with the shading indicating the uncertainty estimates (two standard deviations). The satellite altimeter data since 1993 are shown in red. The grey lines in panels (a)-(d) are the sums of the contributions from modelled ocean thermal expansion and glaciers (excluding glaciers peripheral to the Antarctic ice sheet; from Marzeion et al., 2012a), plus changes in land-water storage (see Figure 13.4). The black line is the mean of the grey lines plus a correction of thermal expansion for the omission of volcanic forcing in the AOGCM control experiments (see Section 13.3.1.2). The dashed black line (adjusted model mean) is the sum of the corrected model mean thermal expansion, the change in land water storage, the Marzeion et al. (2012a) glacier estimate using observed (rather than modelled) climate (see Figure 13.4), and an illustrative long-term ice-sheet contribution (of 0.1 mm yr$^{-1}$). The dotted black line is the adjusted model mean but now including the observed ice-sheet contributions, which begin in 1993. Because the observational ice-sheet estimates include the glaciers peripheral to the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets (from Section 4.4), the contribution from glaciers to the adjusted model mean excludes the peripheral glaciers to avoid double counting. (Figure and caption updated from Church et al., 2013).
13.2.1.4), probably less than 0.2 mm yr\(^{-1}\) (see discussion in Gregory et al., (2013b). Including these ice-sheet contributions (but omitting Antarctic SMB variations, for which no observationally based information for the ice sheet as a whole is available for the majority of the 20th century), GMSL rise during the 20th century can be accounted for within uncertainties, including the observation that the linear trend of GMSL rise during the last 50 years is little larger than for the 20th century, despite the increasing anthropogenic forcing (Gregory et al., 2013b). Model-based attribution of sea level change to RFs is discussed in Section 10.4.3.

The sum of CMIP5 AOGCM thermal expansion (Section 13.3.1.2), glacier model results with CMIP5 AOGCM input (not including glaciers in Antarctica; Section 13.3.2.2; Marzeion et al., (2012a)), and anthropogenic intervention in land water storage (Section 13.3.4) accounts for about 65% of the observed rate of GMSL rise for 1901–1990, and 90% for 1971–2010 and 1993–2010 (high confidence) (Table 13.1; Figure 13.7). In all periods, the residual is small enough to be attributed to the ice sheets (Section 13.3.3.2).

The unusually warm conditions in the Arctic during the 1930s (Chylek et al., 2004), which are attributed to unforced climate variability (Dewhurst and Knutson, 2000) and are therefore not expected to be simulated by AOGCMs, likely produced a greater mass loss by glaciers in high northern latitudes (Section 13.3.2.2). The difference between the glacier mass loss calculated with the Marzeion et al. (2012a) model when it is forced with observed climate rather than AOGCM simulated climate (the purple and blue curves in Figure 13.4b) is an estimate of this effect.

If the glacier model results for observational input are used (Marzeion et al. (2012a), not including glaciers in Antarctica) and an illustrative value of 0.1 mm yr\(^{-1}\) is included for a long-term Antarctic contribution, the model mean is within 20% of the observed GMSL rise for the 20th century (Figure 13.7a,c, dashed line), and 10% since 1993 (Figure 13.7d, dashed line; Church et al. (2013)). When the observed ice-sheet contributions since 1992 are included as well, the sum is almost equivalent to the observed rise (dotted line in Figure 13.7). Both observations and models have a maximum rate of rise in the 1930–1950 period, a minimum rate in the 1960s and a maximum rate over the last two decades (Figure 13.7b). This agreement provides evidence that the rate of rise since 1990, with a significant component of ocean thermal expansion (Figure 13.4d), results from increased RF (both natural and anthropogenic) and increased ice-sheet discharge, rather than a natural oscillation (medium confidence) (Church et al., 2013).

In summary, the evidence now available gives a clearer account of observed GMSL change than in previous IPCC assessments, in two respects. First, reasonable agreement can be demonstrated throughout the period since 1900 between GMSL rise as observed and as calculated from the sum of contributions. From 1993, all contributions can be estimated from observations; for earlier periods, a combination of models and observations is needed. Second, when both models and observations are available, they are consistent within uncertainties. These two advances give confidence in the 21st century sea level projections. The ice-sheet contributions have the potential to increase substantially due to rapid dynamical change (Sections 13.1.4.1, 13.4.3.2 and 13.4.4.2) but have been relatively small up to the present (Sections 4.4 and 13.3.3.2). Therefore, the closure of the sea level budget to date does not test the reliability of ice-sheet models in projecting future rapid dynamical change; we have only medium confidence in these models, on the basis of theoretical and empirical understanding of the relevant processes and observations of changes up to the present (13.4.3.2, 13.4.4.2).

---

Box 13.1 | The Global Energy Budget

The global energy balance is a fundamental aspect of the Earth’s climate system. At the top of the atmosphere (TOA), the boundary of the climate system, the balance involves shortwave radiation received from the Sun, and shortwave radiation reflected and longwave radiation emitted by the Earth (Section 1.2.2). The rate of storage of energy in the Earth system must be equal to the net downward radiative flux at the TOA.

The TOA fluxes (Section 2.3) have been measured by the Earth Radiation Budget Experiment (ERBE) satellites from 1985 to 1999 (Wong et al., 2006) and the Cloud and the Earth’s Radiant Energy System (CERES) satellites from March 2000 to the present (Loeb et al., 2009). The TOA radiative flux measurements are highly precise, allowing identification of changes in the Earth’s net energy budget from year to year within the ERBE and CERES missions (Kato, 2009; Stackhouse et al., 2010; Loeb et al., 2012), but the absolute calibration of the instruments is not sufficiently accurate to allow determination of the absolute TOA energy flux or to provide continuity across missions (Loeb et al., 2009).

The ocean has stored more than 90% of the increase in energy in the climate system over recent decades (Box 3.1), resulting in ocean thermal expansion and hence sea level rise (Sections 3.7, 9.4 and 13.3.1). Thus the energy and sea level budgets are linked and must be consistent (Church et al., 2011b). This Box focuses on the Earth’s global energy budget since 1970 when better global observational data coverage is available. The RFs (from Chapter 8), the global averaged surface temperatures (Hadley Centre/Climate Research Unit gridded surface temperature data set 4 (HadCRUT4) (Morice et al., 2012), and the rate of energy storage are relative to the time mean of 1860 to 1879. Otto et al. (2013) used an energy imbalance over this reference period of 0.08 ± 0.03 W m\(^{-2}\), which is subtracted from the observed energy storage. (continued on next page)
Since 1970, the effective radiative forcing (ERF) of the climate system has been positive as a result of increased greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations (well-mixed and short-lived GHGs, tropospheric and stratospheric ozone, and stratospheric water vapour) and a small increase in solar irradiance (Box 13.1, Figure 1a). This positive ERF has been partly compensated by changes in tropospheric aerosols which predominantly reflect sunlight and modify cloud properties and structure in ways that tend to reinforce the negative ERF, although black carbon produces positive forcing. Explosive volcanic eruptions (such as El Chichón in Mexico in 1982 and Mt. Pinatubo in the Philippines in 1991) can inject sulphur dioxide into the stratosphere, giving rise to stratospheric aerosol, which persists for several years. This reflects some of the incoming solar radiation, and thus gives a further negative forcing. Changes in surface albedo from land-use change have also led to a greater reflection of shortwave radiation back to space and hence a negative forcing. Since 1970, the net ERF of the climate system (including black carbon on snow and combined contrails and contrail-induced cirrus, not shown) has increased (Chapter 8), resulting in a cumulative total energy inflow (Box 13.1, Figure 1a). From 1971 to 2010, the total energy inflow (relative to the reference period 1860–1879) is estimated to be 790 [105 to 1,370] ZJ (1 ZJ = 10^{21} J).

If the ERF were fixed, the climate system would eventually warm sufficiently that the radiative response would balance the ERF, and there would be zero net heat flux into the system. As the ERF is increasing, the ocean’s large capacity to store heat means the climate system is not in equilibrium (Hansen et al., 2005), and continues to store energy (Box 3.1 and Box 13.1, Figure 1b). This storage provides
Box 13.1 (continued)

strong evidence of a changing climate. The majority of this additional heat is in the upper 700 m of the ocean but there is also warming in the deep and abyssal ocean (Box 3.1). The associated thermal expansion of the ocean has contributed about 40% of the observed sea level rise since 1971 (Sections 13.3.1, 13.3.6; Church et al., 2011b). A small amount of additional heat has been used to warm the continents, warm and melt glacial and sea ice, and warm the atmosphere. The estimated increase in energy in the Earth system between 1971 and 2010 is 274 [196 to 351] ZJ (Box 3.1).

As the climate system warms, energy is lost to space through increased outgoing radiation. This radiative response by the system is predominantly due to increased thermal grey-body radiation emitted by the atmosphere and surface, but is modified by climate feedbacks, such as changes in water vapour, surface albedo and clouds, which affect both outgoing longwave and reflected shortwave radiation. Following Murphy et al. (2009), Box 13.1, Figure 1b relates the cumulative total energy inflow to the Earth system to the change in energy storage and the cumulative outgoing radiation. Calculation of the latter is based on the observed globally averaged surface temperature change \( \Delta T \) relative to a reference temperature for which the Earth system would be in radiative balance. This temperature change is multiplied by the climate feedback parameter \( \alpha \), which in turn is related to the equilibrium climate sensitivity. For equilibrium climate sensitivities of 4.5°C, 3.0°C to 1.5°C (Box 12.2) and an ERF for a doubled CO\(_2\) concentration of 3.7 ± 0.74 W m\(^{-2}\) (Sections 8.1, 8.3), the corresponding estimates of the climate feedback parameter \( \alpha \) are 0.82, 1.23 and 2.47 W m\(^{-2}\) °C\(^{-1}\).

In addition to these forced variations in the Earth’s energy budget, there is also internal variability on decadal time scales. Observations and models indicate that because of the comparatively small heat capacity of the atmosphere, a decade of steady or even decreasing surface temperature can occur in a warming world (Easterling and Wehner, 2009; Palmer et al., 2011). General Circulation Model simulations indicate that these periods are associated with a transfer of heat from the upper to the deeper ocean, of order 0.1 W m\(^{-2}\) (Katsman and van Oldenborgh, 2011; Meehl et al., 2011), with a near steady (Meehl et al., 2011) or an increased radiation to space (Katsman and van Oldenborgh, 2011), again of order 0.1 W m\(^{-2}\). Although these natural fluctuations represent a large amount of heat, they are significantly smaller than the anthropogenic forcing of the Earth’s energy budget (Huber and Knutti, 2012), particularly when looking at time scales of several decades or more (Santer et al., 2011).

These independent estimates of ERF, observed heat storage, and surface warming combine to give an energy budget for the Earth that is consistent with the assessed likely range of climate sensitivity (1.5°C to 4.5°C; Box 12.2) to within estimated uncertainties (high confidence). Quantification of the terms in the Earth’s energy budget and verification that these terms balance over recent decades provides strong evidence for our understanding of anthropogenic climate change. Changes in the Earth’s energy storage are a powerful observation for the detection and attribution of climate change (Section 10.3) (Gleckler et al., 2012; Huber and Knutti, 2012).

13.4 Projected Contributions to Global Mean Sea Level

13.4.1 Ocean Heat Uptake and Thermal Expansion

More than 90% of the net energy increase of the climate system on multiannual time scales is stored in the ocean (Box 3.1). GMSL rise due to thermal expansion is approximately proportional to the increase in ocean heat content. The constant of proportionality is 0.11 ± 0.01 m per 1°C change in ocean temperature (Meehl et al., 2007; Rahmstorf, 2007a; Gregory and Forster, 2008; Katsman et al., 2008; Schwartz, 2012), with the constant of proportionality (in m per °C) being the ocean heat uptake efficiency \( \kappa \).

The ocean heat uptake efficiency quantifies the effect of ocean heat uptake on moderating time-dependent climate change; neglecting the small fraction of heat stored elsewhere in the climate system, the surface warming can be approximated as \( F(\alpha + \kappa) \), where \( F \) is the RF and \( \alpha \) is the climate feedback parameter (Raper et al., 2002), and hence the rate of ocean heat uptake is approximately \( \kappa F(\alpha + \kappa) \). In CMIP3 and CMIP5, the model spread in projections of surface warming is dominated by the spread in \( F \) and \( \alpha \), but the spread in \( \kappa \) accounts for a substantial part of the spread in projections of ocean heat uptake (Dufresne and Bony, 2008; Gregory and Forster, 2008; Knutti and Tomassini, 2008; Geoffroy et al., 2012; Sriver et al., 2012; Forster et al., 2013).

The spread in \( \kappa \) relates to differences among models in heat-transport processes within the ocean. The warming spreads downwards from the surface over time, and the greatest increases in projected ocean
heat content occur where the warming penetrates most deeply, in the Southern Ocean and the North Atlantic (Figure 12.12; Section 12.4.7.1) (Kuhlbrodt and Gregory, 2012). Changes in convection and the large-scale vertical circulation are particularly important to heat uptake in the North Atlantic (Banks and Gregory, 2006; Rugenstein et al., 2013). Heat is also transported vertically by eddies, especially in the Southern Ocean, and by turbulent mixing. These processes are parameterized in models when they occur at unresolved scales. Observed ocean heat uptake has been used in conjunction with observed global SAT change to constrain the ocean effective thermal diffusivity representing all unresolved vertical transports in simple climate models and EMICs (Forest et al., 2008; Knutti and Tomassini, 2008; Marčelja, 2010; Sokolov et al., 2010). The simulated ocean vertical temperature profile and the depth of penetration of the warming in AOGCMs have also been evaluated by comparison with observations, and both bear a relationship to \( \kappa \) (Hallberg et al., 2012; Kuhlbrodt and Gregory, 2012). Such comparisons suggest that model projections might be biased towards overestimating ocean heat uptake and thermal expansion for a given surface warming (Sections 9.4.2.2, 10.8.3 and 13.3.1.2). The physical causes of this tendency are unclear. Although the simulated vertical temperature profile is affected by the model representation of vertical heat transport processes, Brierley et al. (2010) found only a small effect on \( \kappa \) from variation of model parameters that influence interior heat transport.

Because the ocean integrates the surface heat flux, thermal expansion projections following different scenarios do not significantly diverge for several decades. Scenarios assuming strong mitigation of GHG emissions begin to show a reduced rate of thermal expansion beyond about 2040; the amount by 2100 is about one third less than in a non-mitigation scenario (Washington et al., 2009; Pardaens et al., 2011b; Körper et al., 2013), and half as much in RCP2.6 as in RCP8.5 (Yin, 2012) (Section 13.5.1). The integrating effect means that thermal expansion depends not only on the cumulative total, but also on the pathway of \( \text{CO}_2 \) emissions; reducing emissions earlier rather than later, for the same cumulative total, leads to a larger mitigation of sea level rise due to thermal expansion (Zickfeld et al., 2012; Bouttes et al., 2013). The integrating effect also means that annual time series of global ocean thermal expansion show less interannual variability than time series of global SAT. For the present assessment of GMSL rise, projections of ocean heat uptake and thermal expansion up to 2100 have been derived from the CMIPS AOGCMs (Yin, 2012). Methods are described in Section 13.5.1 and the Supplementary Material and the results for ocean heat uptake are shown in Figure 13.8, and for thermal expansion in Table 13.5 and Figures 13.10 and 13.11.

Ocean heat uptake efficiency is not constant on time scales of many decades or in scenarios of stable or decreasing RF (Rahmstorf, 2007a; Schewe et al., 2011; Bouttes et al., 2013). A good representation of AOGCM behaviour is obtained by distinguishing a shallow layer, which is associated with surface temperature variations on decadal time scales, from a deep layer, which has the majority of the heat capacity (Hansen et al., 1985; Knutti et al., 2008; Held et al., 2010; Olivé et al., 2012; Schwartz, 2012; Bouttes et al., 2013; Geoffroy et al., 2013). Ocean heat uptake and thermal expansion take place not only while atmospheric GHG concentrations are rising, but continue for many centuries to millennia after stabilization of RF, at a rate which declines on a centennial time scale (Stouffer, 2004; Meehl et al., 2005; 2007; Solomon et al., 2009; Hansen et al., 2011; Meehl et al., 2012; Schwartz, 2012; Bouttes et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Zickfeld et al., 2013). This is because the time scale for warming the deep ocean is much longer than for the shallow ocean (Gregory, 2000; Held et al., 2010).

**Figure 13.8** | Heat uptake by the climate system during the 21st century relative to 1986–2005 projected by CMIP5 Atmosphere–Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs) under RCP scenarios (141 J = 10^14 J). The heat uptake is diagnosed by two different methods. The thick solid lines, and the coloured ranges for RCP2.6 and RCP8.5, are the time- and global integral of the net downward radiative flux perturbation at the top of the atmosphere, from the 21 AOGCMs used to make the global mean sea level projections (in some cases estimated from other scenarios, as described in the Supplementary Material). The broken solid lines, and the thin solid lines delimiting ranges for RCP2.6 and RCP8.5, are the global volume integral of ocean temperature change, in a smaller and different set of AOGCMs for each scenario. The difference between the two diagnoses is due partly to the different sets of models (which is a consequence of diagnostics available in the CMIP5 data set), and partly to heat uptake in other parts of the simulated climate system than the ocean water. In both methods, climate drift in the pre-industrial control run has been subtracted.
The rate and the stabilization time scale for thermal expansion depend on the GHG stabilization level. For the highest scenario (RCP8.5), GMSL rise due to thermal expansion can exceed 2 m above the pre-industrial level by the year 2500 (Section 12.5.2, Figure 12.44, Figure 13.14a), and is still rising at that time. Changes in ocean circulation, particularly due to a reduction in deep water formation, can also have a large effect on global ocean heat uptake, and may relate nonlinearly to global surface warming (Levemann et al., 2005; Fluckiger et al., 2006; Vellinga and Wood, 2008). As the rate of ocean heat uptake decreases, the surface warming approaches the level determined by the equilibrium climate sensitivity.

On a multi-millennial time scale, the range from Earth System Models of Intermediate Complexity suggests that thermal expansion contributes between 0.20 to 0.63 m per degree Celsius of global mean temperature increase (Meehl et al., 2007; Zickfeld et al., 2013) (Section 12.5.2 and Figure 13.14a). The median of the six models of 0.42 m °C⁻¹ is consistent with a thermal expansion of 0.38 m °C⁻¹ that would result from a uniform increase in ocean temperature from the presently observed temperature and salinity distribution (Levitus et al., 2009). Uncertainty arises due to the different spatial distribution of the warming in models and the dependence of the expansion on local temperature and salinity.

13.4.2 Glaciers

The 21st century sea level contribution from glaciers presented in the AR4 assessment ranged from 0.06 to 0.15 m SLE by 2100 across a range of scenarios (Meehl et al., 2007). The Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI) (Arendt et al., 2012) has improved projections of glacier contribution to sea level rise by providing the first globally complete accounting of glacier location, area, and area-elevation distribution (hypsometry). Several analyses of scenario-dependent SMB glacier projections (referred to here as process-based models) have been produced using the RGI, including Marzeion et al. (2012a), Giesen and Oerlemans (2013), and Radić et al. (2013). The Marzeion and Radić approaches each used different suites of CMIP5 AOGCM models to calculate SMB terms from RCP forcings, and the model by Slangen and van de Wal (2011) was used to calculate SMB terms from RCP forcings (Supplementary Material 13.SM.1). Giesen and Oerlemans (2013) used CRU forcing but calculated SMB from three different combinations of variations in modelled temperature, precipitation, and atmospheric transmissivity. Only their results for varying temperature are shown here. Machguth et al. (2013) is also included in Table 13.3, but this projection represents changes in Greenland peripheral glaciers only, and is not included in the global glacier summaries. Although these details differ among the models, all share a generally common time-evolving structure, with SMB initially determined by model-generated climate forcing applied to a subset of global glaciers, the ensuing volume change converted to area change via volume-area scaling, and this result upscaled to a new global distribution and hypsometry to create initial conditions for the subsequent time step. These methods are described further in Section 13.5.1 and in the Supplementary Material. Related results are shown in Table 13.5 and Figures 13.10 and 13.11.

Although the peripheral glaciers surrounding the ice sheets are included with the ice sheets in assessment of present-day changes (Table 13.1), future projections should ideally assess the peripheral glaciers separately, as these are too small and dynamically responsive to be modelled adequately with coarse-grid, non-dynamic ice-sheet SMB models. The peripheral glaciers surrounding both the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets are thus included in the process-based models described above, but for projections shown in Table 13.5, the Antarctic peripheral glaciers are included with the Antarctic ice sheet whereas the Greenland peripheral glaciers are included with the remaining world’s glaciers. Projected losses from glaciers peripheral to both ice sheets are listed separately in Table 13.3.

Several glacier loss projections derived from model types other than process-based models have been published since 2007; their projections range from 0.08 to 0.39 m SLE by 2100 (Table 13.3). These used methods of projecting future losses from glaciers developed in response to the absence of a global compilation of glacier observations after 2005 and the absence of a globally complete glacier inventory to provide geographic boundary conditions for conventional modelling. These methods include extrapolation from observed rates (Meier et al., 2007), semi-empirical methods applied to sea level change components (Jevrejeva et al., 2012b), kinetic (or ‘limit seeking’) projections (Pfeffer et al., 2008), and power-law scaling estimates based on re-establishing equilibrium accumulation-area ratios (AARs) from initial non-equilibrium AARs (Bahr et al., 2009). Strengths of these approaches include the fact that observations used to calibrate extrapolation and semi-empirical projection partially account for future dynamically forced losses, that semi-empirical methods use modelled future forcings as guidance for projections, and that AAR equilibration has strong physical and theoretical underpinnings and gives generalized but robust projections. These strengths partially offset the weaknesses of these models, which include, in the case of extrapolation and semi-empirical projection, an assumption of statistical stationarity that may not be valid, while the AAR equilibration approach gives only a final steady state value, so that rates or cumulative losses at any intermediate time must be estimated by area-response time scaling. However, these alternate methods are valuable because of their construction on fundamental and robust principles together with their use of the limited available information to produce projections that, although imprecise, are transparent, and require less detailed input information or knowledge of details of complex processes in comparison to process-based models.

Published results from process-based models are shown in Table 13.3. Glacier contributions at 2100, expressed as SLE, range between 0.04 and 0.11 m for Special Report on Emission Scenarios (SRES) A1B, 0.07 and 0.17 m for RCP2.6, between 0.07 and 0.20 m for RCP4.5, between 0.07 and 0.20 m for RCP6.0, and between 0.12 and 0.26 m for RCP8.5.

The projections derived from alternative models are also shown in Table 13.3; the mean and range of these models listed here is 0.24 [0.08 to 0.39] m SLE, consistent with the process-based models. Results from the process-based models, plotted as time series and grouped by forcing scenario, are shown in Figure 13.9. See Table 13.3 for specific start/end dates for each projection.

Unresolved uncertainties in the projection of glacier contributions to sea level rise include the potential for near-term dynamic response
Table 13.3 | Twenty-first century sea level rise projections for global glaciers, from process-based surface mass balance models, and from alternate model strategies. Dates for beginning and end of model period are as shown; mean and 5% to 95% confidence sea level equivalents are shown in metres. Process-based models all use variations on Atmosphere–Ocean General Circulation Model (AOGCM) mass balance forcing applied to inventoried glacier hypsometries on a subset of global glaciers and upscaling by power-law techniques to the global total. Calving and rapid dynamic response are not included in any of the models except for Jevrejeva et al. (2012b), where calving losses are present to a limited degree in input data, and NRC (2012), where calving is explicitly included in future losses. Other model details are discussed in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Starting Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Projected SLR (m) from Glaciers except Antarctic PGs</th>
<th>Peripheral Glacier (PG) Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Process-based Surface Mass Balance (SMB) Models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario RCP2.6</td>
<td>Marzeion et al. (2012a)</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.12 [0.07–0.17]</td>
<td>0.007–0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slangen and van de Wal (2011)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.10 [0.07–0.13]</td>
<td>0.004–0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario RCP4.5</td>
<td>Marzeion et al. (2012a)</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.14 [0.08–0.20]</td>
<td>0.009–0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radic et al. (2013)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.13 [0.07–0.20]</td>
<td>0.0–0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slangen and van de Wal (2011)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.12 [0.07–0.17]</td>
<td>0.005–0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario RCP6.0</td>
<td>Marzeion et al. (2012a)</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.15 [0.09–0.20]</td>
<td>0.01–0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slangen and van de Wal (2011)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.14 [0.07–0.20]</td>
<td>0.006–0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario RCP8.5</td>
<td>Marzeion et al. (2012a)</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.18 [0.12–0.25]</td>
<td>0.015–0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radic et al. (2013)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.19 [0.12–0.26]</td>
<td>0.009–0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slangen and van de Wal (2011)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.18 [0.12–0.24]</td>
<td>0.008–0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A1B</td>
<td>Giesen and Oerlemans (2013)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>0.08 [0.04–0.11]</td>
<td>0.004–0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A1B and RCP4.5</td>
<td>Machguth et al. (2013)*</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2098</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006–0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate Models</td>
<td>Meier et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Extrapolation with fixed rate</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.3 [0.08–0.13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extrapolation with fixed acceleration</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.24 [0.11–0.37]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pfeffer et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Low-range projection</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.17 [0.11–0.27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-range projection</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.24 [0.11–0.37]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bahr et al. (2009)</td>
<td>AAR fixed at present values</td>
<td>Find equilibrium value</td>
<td>0.18 [0.15–0.27]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AAR declines at current rate</td>
<td>Find equilibrium value</td>
<td>0.38 [0.35–0.39]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Research Council (2012)</td>
<td>Generalized linear model extrapolation, variable rate</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.14 [0.13–0.16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeverjeva et al. (2012b)</td>
<td>Semi-empirical projection of components of sea level rise, forced by radiation</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.26 [0.13–0.16]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes
* This projection represents changes in Greenland peripheral glaciers only, and is not included in the global glacier summaries.

from marine-terminating glaciers and interception of terrestrial runoff. Of the about 734,000 km$^2$ of global glacier area exclusive of that peripheral to the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, 280,500 km$^2$ (38%) drains through marine-terminating outlets (Gardner et al., 2013). Although the long-term potential for dynamic discharge from glaciers (as opposed to ice sheets) is limited by their small total volume, dynamic losses may be an important component of total sea level rise on the decade-to-century scale. In Alaska, Columbia Glacier lost 7.65 Gt yr$^{-1}$ between 1996 and 2007, with 94% of that loss coming from rapid tidewater retreat (Rasmussen et al., 2011); the loss from this single 1000 km$^2$ glacier is 1.3% of the global cryospheric component of sea level rise during 1993–2010 (Table 13.1) and 0.7% of total sea level rise. The observations required to estimate the potential for similar dynamic response from other glacier regions do not exist at this time, but the dynamic contribution could be large on the century time scale. If the basin-wide thinning rate observed at Columbia Glacier
over the past 25 years (about 5 m yr⁻¹) were to occur over the area of global glaciers draining through marine outlets (280,500 km²) during the next 89 years (2011–2100), the sea level contribution would be approximately 30 cm SLE, compatible with Jeverajeva et al’s (2012b) projected loss of 26 cm SLE from glaciers. Although this is a rough calculation and an upper bound, because drainage through marine outlets does not guarantee tidewater instability, it indicates that the potential for a significant sea level response to dynamic retreat of glaciers cannot be rejected a priori.

Completion of the global glacier inventory has allowed large improvements in assessment and modelling, but further uncertainties related to the inventory remain to be resolved, including those arising from the size cutoff decided for the inventory (Bahr and Radić, 2012). Another source of uncertainty is interception of glacier runoff by land hydrology. Despite rapidly growing knowledge of changes in terrestrial water storage, especially through increased reliability of GRACE observations, glacier mass loss is still generally assumed to flow directly to the ocean, with no delay or interception by surface or aquifer storage. Although this probably will not apply to discharge from glaciers located near coasts (e.g., Canadian Arctic, Patagonia, Alaska, ice-sheet peripheries), runoff from interior regions (e.g., Alps, High Mountain Asia) may be significantly intercepted before reaching the ocean. Whether terrestrial interception has any significant effect on the net glacier contribution to sea level rise is undetermined at this time.

13.4.3 Greenland Ice Sheet

13.4.3.1 Surface Mass Balance Change

Greenland SMB is positive in the present climate but shows a decreasing trend (Section 13.3.3.2), which implies an increasing contribution to GMSL rise. Like the AR4, all recent studies have indicated that the future sea level contribution from Greenland SMB change will be increasingly positive because the increase in ablation (mostly runoff) outweighs that in accumulation (mostly snowfall), and that scenarios
of greater RF lead to a larger sea level contribution. Precipitation is projected to increase at about 5% per °C of annual-mean warming over Greenland, but the increase in snowfall is smaller because the fraction of rain increases as temperature rises (Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006; Fettweis et al., 2013).

We compare post-AR4 studies of Greenland SMB change using time-dependent simulations of the 21st century by CMIP3 AOGCMs for scenario SRES A1B and CMIP5 AOGCMs for scenario RCP4.5 (Table 13.4). The time-integral of the Greenland SMB anomaly with respect to a reference period is interpreted as a contribution to GMSL rise, on the assumption that the ice sheet was in approximate mass balance during the reference period (see discussion in Sections 13.1.4.1 and 13.3.3.2); this assumption can be avoided only if ice-sheet outflow is also modelled. Making this assumption, the Greenland SMB contribution lies in the range 0.00 to 0.13 m for these two scenarios.

The spread in the magnitude and patterns of Greenland climate change projected by the AOGCMs causes a large part of the spread in the projected contribution to GMSL rise (Table 13.4). Yoshimori and Abe-Ouchi (2012) found that the inter-model spread in global mean SAT change accounts for about 60% of the spread in the change of projected Greenland ablation. Two important contributions to the remaining spread are the weakening of the AMOC, which affects the magnitude of warming over Greenland, and the SAT of Greenland in the model control climate, which affects the sensitivity of melting to warming (Yoshimori and Abe-Ouchi, 2012; Fettweis et al., 2013).

Ablation is computed using either an EBM, which may be stand-alone or part of a regional climate model, or from surface air temperature using an empirical temperature index method, mostly commonly the positive-degree-day (PDD) method, in which melting is proportional to the time-integral of temperature above the freezing point. Meltwater production increases faster than linearly with temperature increase because of reduced albedo due to refreezing of meltwater in the snowpack and expansion of the area of bare ice (van Angelen et al., 2012; Fettweis et al., 2013; Franco et al., 2013). The simulation of this positive albedo feedback on mass loss depends sensitively on the model resolution and on the method used to calculate the positive feedback.

Table 13.4 | Contribution to sea level rise from change in the surface mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet during the 21st century. Where given, ranges are 5 to 95% estimated from the published results and indicate the uncertainty due to the climate change modelling by Atmosphere–Ocean General Circulation Model (AOGCMs), except where noted otherwise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Modela</th>
<th>Contribution to Global Mean Sea Level Rise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>starting from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scenario SRES A1B, CMIP3 AOGCMs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR4 (Meehl et al., 2007)c</td>
<td>20 km PDD</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bengtsson et al. (2011)c</td>
<td>60 km (T213) EBM</td>
<td>1959–1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fettweis et al. (2008)c</td>
<td>Tl from 25 km EBM</td>
<td>1970–1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graversen et al. (2011)</td>
<td>10 km PDD</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mernild et al. (2010)</td>
<td>25 km EBM</td>
<td>1980–1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rae et al. (2012)c</td>
<td>25 km EBM</td>
<td>1980–1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seddik et al. (2012)</td>
<td>10 km PDD</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshimori and Abe-Ouchi (2012)</td>
<td>1–2 km Tl</td>
<td>1980–1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scenario RCP4.5, CMIP5 AOGCMs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fettweis et al. (2013)c</td>
<td>25 km RCM</td>
<td>1980–1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory and Huybrechts (2006)h</td>
<td>20 km PDD</td>
<td>1980–1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Angelen et al. (2012)c</td>
<td>11 km RCM</td>
<td>1960–1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshimori and Abe-Ouchi (2012)c</td>
<td>1–2 km Tl</td>
<td>1980–1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- The spatial resolution is stated and the surface mass balance (SMB) method denoted by Tl = temperature index, PDD = positive degree day, EBM = Energy Balance Model.
- The amount of sea level rise is the time-integral of the SMB anomaly from the period or date labelled ‘starting from’ to the one labelled ‘up to’. Unless otherwise indicated, the SMB anomaly is calculated relative to the mean SMB for the ‘starting from’ period, and the rate of sea level rise is the SMB anomaly in the ‘up to’ period.
- These results are estimated from global mean surface air temperature (SAT) change, using formulae fitted to results from a Greenland SMB model.
- The SMB anomaly is relative to the late 19th century.
- This experiment used time-slices, with boundary conditions from the European Centre for Medium range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) and Hamburg 5 (ECHAM5) GCM, rather than a simulation of the complete century; thus, results are not available for the amount.
- Fettweis et al. (2008) and Franco et al. (2011) used a hybrid approach: they derived a regression relationship from simulations of the recent past using a Regional Climate Model (RCM), incorporating an EBM, between annual anomalies in Greenland climate and in Greenland SMB, then applied this relationship to project future SMB from projected future climate anomalies. The method assumes that a relationship derived from past variability will also hold for future forced climate change.
- Range including uncertainty in choice of emission scenario (B1, A1B or A2), SMB modelling and ice-sheet dynamical modelling, as well as uncertainty in climate modelling.
- Results are given for the Hadley Centre Regional Model 3P (HadRM3P), High-Resolution Hamburg climate model 5 (HIRHAMS) and the Modèle Atmosphérique Régional (MAR) RCMs driven with the same boundary conditions from the ECHAM5/MPI-OM AOGCM.
- Results are given for two ice sheet models (Elmer/Ice, Simulation CDoe for POLythermal Ice Sheets (SICOPOLIS)) using the same AOGCM climate boundary conditions. The resolution given is for SICOPOLIS, Elmer/Ice has variable resolution.
- Results calculated from CMIP5 AOGCMs by the same method as used in the paper.
- These results were obtained from the model of Van Angelen et al. (2012) using boundary conditions from the HadGEM2-ES AOGCM and are shown by Fettweis et al. (2013).
- With respect to 1992–2011 as a reference period, during which there is a significant simulated trend in SMB (Section 13.3.3.2), the amount is 0.07 m and the rate 1.4 mm yr−1.
snow-albedo parameterization (Rae et al., 2012). Goelzer et al. (2013) projected 14 to 31% more runoff during the 21st century when using an EBM than when using a PDD method, mainly because of the omission of the ablated feedback in the latter. However, other studies using temperature index methods (Graversen et al., 2011; Yoshimori and Abe-Ouchi, 2012) have ranges extending to higher values than those from EBMs, indicating that this is not the only difference between these classes of methods (Table 13.4).

SMB simulations are also particularly sensitive to the treatment of meltwater refreezing (Bougamont et al., 2007; Rae et al., 2012). The pore space in the present-day percolation zone could accommodate 1 to 5 mm SLE of refrozen meltwater over the next several decades (Harper et al., 2012), and the importance of meltwater refreezing will become greater as melting becomes prevalent in areas where it has previously been rare. On the other hand, refreezing will be restricted, and runoff consequently increased, by the expansion of the area of bare ice (Fettweis et al., 2013).

Another source of model spread is the representation of topography, which is lower when represented at coarser resolution. This allows precipitation to spread further inland because of reduced topographic barriers (Bengtsson et al., 2011), and enhances ablation because there is more area at lower, warmer altitudes (Bengtsson et al., 2011; Seddik et al., 2012). Most of the models in Table 13.4 use a fixed Greenland topography, and thus cannot simulate the positive feedback on ablation that can be expected as the ice-sheet surface becomes lower. Dynamical models are required to simulate this effect (Section 13.4.3.2).

For the present assessment of GMSL rise, changes in Greenland ice sheet SMB up to 2100 have been computed from global mean SAT change projections derived from the CMIPS AOGCMs, following methods described in Section 13.5.1 and the Supplementary Material. The distribution of results, shown in Table 13.5 and Figures 13.10 and 13.11, covers the ranges obtained using the methods of Fettweis et al. (2013), Gregory and Huybrechts (2006), and Yoshimori and Abe-Ouchi (2012).

On multi-centennial to millennial time scales, feedbacks between regional climate and the ice sheet become increasingly relevant, especially under strong climate change scenarios, thus requiring coupled climate-ice-sheet models to capture potential feedbacks beyond the year 2100. These models apply a reduced spatial resolution in order to be computationally efficient enough to evaluate longer time scales and to combine the different climatic components. Consistent with regional climate models for the 21st century, they project an increasingly negative mass balance for the Greenland ice sheet for all warming scenarios which is mainly due to a decreasing SMB (Ridley et al., 2005; Winguth et al., 2005; Driesschaert et al., 2007; Mikolajewicz et al., 2007a; Swingedouw et al., 2008; Vizcaíno et al., 2008, 2010; Huybrechts et al., 2011; Goelzer et al., 2013). The main feedbacks between climate and the ice sheet arise from changes in ice elevation, atmospheric and ocean circulation, and sea-ice distribution.

Comparing the different feedbacks, high confidence can be assigned to the models’ ability to capture the feedback between SMB and surface elevation. As a consequence, a nonlinear increase in ice loss from Greenland with increasing regional RF is found across different scenarios (Driesschaert et al., 2007). This nonlinearity arises from the increase in both the length of the ablation season and the daily amount of melting as the ice-sheet surface lowers. This SMB-surface elevation feedback is also the main reason for the threshold behaviour of the Greenland ice sheet on multi-millennial time scales (Section 13.4.3.3).

Medium-to-low confidence is assigned to the models’ representation of the atmospheric and ocean circulation and sea-ice changes. On multi-centennial time scales, Swingedouw et al. (2008) found enhanced ice loss from Greenland in a coupled simulation (compared to the uncoupled version) in which ice topography and meltwater flux influence the ocean and atmospheric circulation as well as sea-ice distribution. Vizcaíno et al. (2010) found reduced ice loss due to the coupling, mainly caused by the effect of topographic changes on the surface temperature, but less pronounced in amplitude compared with Swingedouw et al. (2008). Both the atmospheric circulation and the ocean currents, especially in coastal areas, are poorly resolved by these models. It is therefore likely that the time scales associated with ocean transport processes are distorted and there is low confidence that these feedbacks, although existing, can be quantified accurately by the applied models.

The AMOC exerts a strong influence on regional climate around the Greenland ice sheet and consequently its SMB. Most CMIPS models show a reduction of the AMOC under future warming during the 21st century and beyond (Section 12.4.7.2). Although coupled climate–ice sheet models show some influence of meltwater from Greenland on the AMOC, the uncertainty between models with respect to the AMOC response to warming is significantly larger than the difference between simulations with or without this feedback within one model.

In the coupled climate–ice sheet model applied by Mikolajewicz et al. (2007a) and Vizcaíno et al. (2008), the AMOC shows a strongly nonlinear response to global warming. A weak AMOC reduction is found for 1%-per-year-CO$_2$-increase scenarios up to 560 and 840 ppm, and a near-complete cessation of the AMOC for 1120 ppm. As a consequence, after 600 years of integration, the sea level contribution for the 1120 ppm scenario is similar to that of the 560 ppm scenario, but doubles for the medium scenario, which stabilizes at 840 ppm. In the most recent model version (Vizcaíno et al., 2010), the AMOC shows a strong weakening of the AMOC in all scenarios (~60% reduction in 560 ppm scenario; ~80% for 1120 ppm). The total sea level contribution from Greenland, including the effect of the AMOC weakening, is ~1 m (corresponding to an average rate of 1.7 mm yr$^{-1}$) for 560 ppm CO$_2$ and ~3 m (5 mm yr$^{-1}$) for 1120 ppm CO$_2$.

Even though the AMOC weakening in the model by Huybrechts et al. (2011) is less pronounced (10 to 25%), the ice loss through melting is significantly weaker in this model. During the first 1000 years of integration, the Greenland ice sheet contributes 0.36 m (corresponding to an average rate of 0.36 mm yr$^{-1}$) for 560 ppm CO$_2$ and 2.59 m (2.59 mm yr$^{-1}$) for 1120 ppm CO$_2$. In Huybrechts et al. (2011), the respective increases in global mean SAT are 2.4°C (2 × CO$_2$) and 6.3°C (4 × CO$_2$) after 1000 years with respect to pre-industrial. This relatively weak warming response to GHG forcing compared to CMIPS models and
the climate model used in Vizcaíno et al. (2010) explains the relatively small sea level response.

Using the same model as Huybrechts et al. (2011), albeit with a slightly higher polar warming, Goelzer et al. (2012) computed temperatures and sea level under the SRES scenarios B1, A1B and A2, with subsequent GHG stabilization after the year 2100. As in Huybrechts et al. (2011), the ice-sheet evolution is dominated by the SMB. They find sea level contributions of 1.4, 2.6 and 4.2 mm in the year 3000 for the scenarios B1, A1B and B2, which correspond to mean rates of sea level rise of 1.4 mm yr\(^{-1}\), 2.6 mm yr\(^{-1}\), and 4.2 mm yr\(^{-1}\), respectively.

In summary, coupled climate-ice sheet models consistently show an increasingly negative mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet due mainly to a decreasing SMB under warming scenarios on centennial time scales beyond 2100. On multi-millennial time scales, these models show a threshold temperature beyond which the melting of the Greenland ice sheet self-amplifies and the ice volume is reduced to less than 30% of its present volume (Section 13.4.3.3).

### 13.4.3.2 Dynamical Change

Observations suggest three main mechanisms by which climate change can affect the dynamics of ice flow in Greenland (Sections 4.4.3 and 4.4.4): by directly affecting ice loss (outflow) through the calving of icebergs and marine melt from marine-terminating outlet glaciers; by altering basal sliding through the interaction of surface melt water with the glacier bed; and indirectly through the interaction between SMB and ice flow. We assess the consequences of each of these processes.

Section 4.4.3.2 presents the observational basis on which concerns about increased ice loss by calving and marine melt are based. In particular, recent increases in loss are thought to be linked to the migration of subtropical water masses around the coast of Greenland (Holland et al., 2008) and its occupation of coastal fjords (Straneo et al., 2010; Christoffersen et al., 2011). Output from 19 AOGCMs under scenario A1B showed warming of 1.7°C to 2.0°C around Greenland over the course of the 21st century (Yin et al., 2011), suggesting that the trend towards increased outflow triggered by warming coastal waters will continue.

Although projections of outflow are at a fairly early stage, literature now exists to make an assessment. Flowline modelling has successfully simulated the observed retreat and associated acceleration of the main outlet glaciers of the Greenland ice sheet (Helheim and Petermann Glaciers (Nick et al., 2009, 2012); Jakobshavn Isbrae (Vieli and Nick, 2011)). The same model has been used to project mass loss from these glaciers (Nick et al., 2013), as well as Kangerdlugssuaq Glacier, using ocean and atmosphere forcing based on scenarios A1B and RCP8.5. At 2100, total projected SLR spans 8 to 13 mm for A1B and 11 to 17 mm for RCP8.5. These figures generalize to 40 to 63 mm and 57 to 85 mm, respectively, for the whole ice sheet based on a simple scaling between modelled and total ice-sheet area (a factor of ~5). Price et al. (2011) modelled the century-scale response of the ice sheet to the observed recent retreat of three outlet glaciers (Jakobshavn Isbrae, and Helheim and Kangerdlugssuaq Glaciers). At 2100, the projected SLR associated with the three modelled outlet glaciers is 0.6 to 1.4 mm, which equates to SLR of 4 to 8 mm after scaling (by a factor of ~6) to all outlet glaciers based on observed mass loss (van den Broeke et al., 2009). Total projected SLR then varies between 10 and 45 mm at 2100 if successive retreats are specified with a notional repeat interval between 50 and 10 years.

Goelzer et al. (2013) implemented the Nick et al. (2013) retreat chronology within a 5-km resolution ice-sheet model along with their own generalization for including unsampled outlet glaciers. Associated SLR at 2100 is projected to vary between 8 and 18 mm. Graversen et al. (2011) attempted to capture the effect of increased outflow by enhancing basal sliding and generated SLR of 9 to 24 mm at 2100.

Two estimates of the effect of dynamical change on Greenland's contribution to SLR by 2100 have been made on the basis of physical intuition. Pfeffer et al. (2008) developed a low scenario by assuming a first-decade doubling of outlet glacier velocity throughout the ice sheet that equates to 93 mm SLR, while a high scenario that assumes an order of magnitude increase on the same time scale contributes 467 mm. Katsman et al. (2011) used a similar methodology to obtain an estimate of 100 mm SLR.

Based primarily on Nick et al. (2013), we assess the upper limit of the likely range of this dynamical effect to be 85 mm for RCP8.5 and 63 mm for all other RCP scenarios for the year 2100. We have medium confidence in this as an upper limit because it is compatible with Katsman et al. (2011), the low scenario of Pfeffer et al. (2008), and Price et al. (2011) in the probable event of a sub-decadal recurrence interval. Although the likely upper limit is less than the high scenario of Pfeffer et al. (2008), process modelling gives no support to the order of magnitude increase in flow on which this scenario is based. It is higher than the contributions found by Goelzer et al. (2013) and Graversen et al. (2011) for which there are two potential explanations. First, the generalization used to extrapolate from the modelled sample to all outlet glaciers differs. Nick et al. (2013) used a scaling similar to the independently derived value of Price et al. (2011), while the implied scaling used by Goelzer et al. (2013) is substantially lower. Second, Goelzer et al. (2013) suggested that surface ice melt and calving each remove marginal ice (see below), implying that by not including surface melt, overall mass loss by dynamics may be over predicted by the flowline model of Nick et al. (2013). At present, these studies cannot be reconciled and we therefore use the more inclusive range.

The lower limit of the likely range is assessed as 20 mm for RCP8.5 and 14 mm for all other RCP scenarios. This reflects the individual outlet glacier projections of Nick et al. (2013) but uses a lower generalization more similar to that found by Goelzer et al. (2013). This assessment of the lower limit is compatible with Price et al. (2011) and Graversen et al. (2011).

Section 4.4.3.2 assesses understanding of the link between abundant summer meltwater, lubrication of the ice-sheet base, and enhanced ice flow. Although this mechanism appears important in modulating present-day ice flow, it is not supported as the cause of recent mass loss. Goelzer et al. (2013) incorporated a parameterization of this effect based on field observations, which results in less than a millimetre SLR by 2100 in their projections. Bindschadler et al. (2013) reported a suite
of experiments assessing this effect in an eight-model ensemble, but their parameterization appears overly simplistic and may well exaggerate the importance of the effect. These projections do not incorporate the effect on ice flow of the latent heat released by increased future quantities of melt water within the ice sheet (Phillips et al., 2010; 2013), for which no projections are currently available. Basal lubrication is therefore assessed as making an insignificant contribution to the likely range of SLR over the next century and is omitted in the remainder of the assessment. We have medium confidence in projections of this effect primarily because recent improvements in process-based understanding show that it has little contribution to mass loss (Section 4.4.3.2); the potential of latent-heat effects in the future limits a higher level of confidence.

Finally, we assess the level of interaction between SMB change and ice flow. In AR4, this effect is assessed as 0 ± 10% (likely range) of SMB, based on Huybrechts and de Wolde (1999) and Gregory and Huybrechts (2006). This assessment included both the positive feedback between SMB and the height of the ice sheet, and a countering negative feedback involving ice flow and depletion effects. The latter effect is partly included in our assessment of the direct impacts of climate change on ice flow, and we therefore limit our assessment to the SMB-height feedback. Few studies explicitly determine this effect, but Goelzer et al. (2013) reported that it amounts to 5 to 15% of SMB over the course of the 21st century, which we extend slightly (0 to 15%) to reflect possible interaction with mass loss by calving (Goelzer et al., 2013).

Goelzer et al. (2013) and Gillet-Chaulet et al. (2012) suggested that SMB and ice dynamics cannot be assessed separately because of the strong interaction between ice loss and climate due to, for instance, calving and SMB. The current assessment has by necessity separated these effects because the type of coupled ice sheet-climate models needed to make a full assessment do not yet exist. These interactions may well combine to reduce SLR in comparison to the assessed range because of the mass-depletion effect of retreating outlet glaciers. Another source of uncertainty is the bedrock topography of Greenland, although recent improvements in data coverage (Bamber et al., 2013) suggest that the majority of the ice sheet rests on bedrock above sea level and the number of deep bedrock troughs penetrating into the interior of Greenland are limited, thus limiting the potential for marine ice-sheet instability (see Box 13.2).

Although not strictly comparable because they contain a different balance of ice-dynamical effects, the assessment is consistent with Bindschadler et al. (2013), who reported an extensive model inter-comparison exercise in which standardization experiments are combined to represent the impact of climate change under RCP8.5 on the Greenland ice sheet. The resultant projection included contributions from lubrication, marine melt and SMB-coupling and generated a mean SLR at 2100 of 162 mm over five models, or 53 mm if an outlier with anomalously high response is removed (including SMB results in SLR at 2100 of 223 and 114 mm for five- and four-model means, respectively). This comparison provides further weight to our confidence.

In summary, dynamical change within the Greenland ice sheet is likely (medium confidence) to lead to SLR during the next century with a range of 20 to 85 mm for RCP8.5, and 14 to 63 mm for all other scenarios by year 2100. The latter are assumed to have uniform SLR in the absence of literature allowing these scenarios to be assessed individually, although dependency on scenario is expected to exist. In addition, mass loss associated with SMB-height feedback is likely to contribute a further 0 to 15% of SMB (in itself scenario dependent). This equates to, for example, 0 to 14 mm by 2100 based on the central estimate of RCP8.5. The peripheral glaciers of Greenland are not included here but are in the assessment of global glaciers’ contribution to SLR (Section 13.4.2). All the available literature suggests that this dynamical contribution to sea level rise will continue well beyond 2100.

13.4.3.3 Possible Irreversibility of Greenland Ice Loss and Associated Temperature Threshold

A number of model results agree in showing that the Greenland ice sheet, like other climatic subsystems (Lenton et al., 2008; Levermann et al., 2012) (see Section 12.5.5), exhibits a strongly nonlinear and potentially irreversible response to surface warming. The mechanism of this threshold behaviour is the SMB-height feedback (Section 13.4.3.2); that is, as the surface is lowered due to ice loss, the associated warming of the near surface increases ablation, leading to further ice loss. This feedback is small but not negligible in the 21st century (Section 13.4.3.2) and becomes important for projections for the 22nd century (Goelzer et al. 2013) and beyond. This nonlinear behaviour may be accelerated by a reduced surface albedo caused by surface melting which tends to further decrease the surface mass balance (Box et al., 2012) (Section 13.4.3.1).

Although the mean SMB of the Greenland ice sheet is positive, in a steady state it must be balanced by ice outflow, so the ice sheet must extend to the coast. In a warmer climate, the mean SMB is reduced (Section 13.4.3.1) and the steady-state ice sheet will have a lower surface and volume. Models show a threshold in surface warming beyond which self-amplifying feedbacks result in a partial or near-complete ice loss on Greenland (Greve, 2000; Driesschaert et al., 2007; Charbit et al., 2008; Ridley et al., 2010; Robinson et al., 2012). If a temperature above this threshold is maintained over a multi-millennial time period, the majority of the Greenland ice sheet will be lost by changes in SMB on a millennial to multi-millennial time scale (equivalent to a sea level rise of about 7 m; Table 4.1). During the Middle Pliocene warm intervals, when global mean temperature was 2°C to 3.5°C higher than pre-industrial, ice-sheet models suggest near-complete deglaciation of Greenland (Hill et al., 2010).

A simplifying assumption is that the threshold is the warming required with the current ice-sheet topography to reduce the mean SMB to zero, on the argument that the ice sheet margin must then retreat from the coast. Using this criterion, Gregory and Huybrechts (2006) estimated that the SMB threshold occurs for a GMST increase of 3.1 [1.9 to 4.6] °C (4.5 [3.0 to 6.0] °C for Greenland surface temperature) above pre-industrial (assumed to be a steady state). More recent studies have found thresholds below or in the lower part of this range. In a coupled ice-sheet–climate model of intermediate complexity, Huybrechts et al. (2011) found this threshold at 2.5°C for annual average Greenland SAT. Comparing three regional climate models, Rae et al. (2012) found a strong dependence of the threshold on the model formulation of the SMB. Based on the model’s performance against observations and the
physical detail of its surface scheme, MAR is considered the most realistic model, and yields a threshold value 2.8 [2.1 to 3.4] °C for changes in Greenland annual average temperature compared to pre-industrial. Using MAR driven with output from various CMIP5 AOGCMs, Fettweis et al. (2013) evaluated the threshold as −3°C in GMST above 1980–1999 (hence about 3.5°C relative to pre-industrial), and found that it is not exceeded in the 21st century under the RCP4.5 scenario but is reached around 2070 under the RCP8.5 scenario.

Some of the uncertainty in the threshold results from the value assumed for the steady state ice-sheet SMB (see Table 13.2), and whether this is assumed to be pre-industrial or a more recent period. For 400 Gt yr⁻¹ (Fettweis et al., 2013), the parameterization of Greenland ice sheet SMB used for present assessment of 21st century changes (Section 13.4.3.1, Supplementary Material) gives a global warming threshold of 3.0 [2.1 to 4.1] °C with respect to 1860–1879 (the reference period used in Box 13.1); for 225 Gt yr⁻¹ (Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006, following Church et al., 2001), the threshold is 2.1 [1.5 to 3.0] °C.

Although a negative SMB is a sufficient condition for passing the threshold, it will overestimate the value of the threshold quantitatively, because the SMB–height feedback (even without passing the threshold) means that the steady-state SMB is reduced by more than is calculated assuming fixed topography. The actual SMB change will depend on the dynamical response of the ice sheet that determines its topography. Constraining simulations with a dynamic ice-sheet model to changes during the last interglacial, Robinson et al. (2012) estimated the threshold as 1.6 [0.9 to 2.8] °C global averaged temperature above pre-industrial. In these simulations, they find that the threshold is passed when southeastern Greenland has a negative SMB. The near-complete ice loss then occurs through ice flow and SMB.

The complete loss of the ice sheet is not inevitable because it has a long time scale (tens of millennia near the threshold and a millennium or more for temperatures a few degrees above the threshold). If the surrounding temperatures decline before the ice sheet is eliminated, the ice sheet might regrow. In the context of future GHG emissions, the time scale of ice loss is competing with the time scale of temperature decline after a reduction of GHG emissions (Allen et al., 2009; Solomon et al., 2009; Zickfeld et al., 2009). The outcome therefore depends on both the CO₂ concentration and on how long it is sustained. Charbit et al. (2008) found that loss of the ice sheet is inevitable for cumulative emissions above about 3000 GtC, but a partial loss followed by regrowth occurs for cumulative emissions less than 2500 GtC. Ridley et al. (2010) identified three steady states of the ice sheet. If the CO₂ concentration is returned to pre-industrial when more than 20 to 40% of the ice sheet has been lost, it will regrow only to 80% of its original volume due to a local climate feedback in one region; if 50% or more, it regrows to 20 to 40% of the original. Similar states with ice volume around 20%, 60 to 80% and 100% of the initial ice volume are also found in other models (Langen et al., 2012; Robinson et al., 2012). If all the ice is lost, temperatures must decline to below a critical threshold for regrowth of the ice sheet (Robinson et al., 2012; Solgaard and Langen, 2012).

On the evidence of paleo data and modelling (Section 5.6.2.3, 13.2.1), it is likely that during the LIG, when global mean temperature never exceeded 2°C pre-industrial, the Greenland ice sheet contributed no more than −4 m to GMSL. This could indicate that the threshold for near-complete deglaciation had not been passed, or that it was not greatly exceeded so that the rate of mass loss was low; however, the forcing responsible for the LIG warming was orbital rather than from CO₂ (van de Berg et al., 2011), so it is not a direct analogue and the applicable threshold may be different. Studies with fixed-topography ice sheets indicate a threshold of 2°C or above of global warming with respect to pre-industrial for near-complete loss of the Greenland ice sheet, while the one study (and therefore low confidence) presently available with a dynamical ice sheet suggests that the threshold could be as low as about 1°C (Robinson et al., 2012). Recent studies with fixed-topography ice sheets indicate that the threshold is less than about 4°C (medium confidence because of multiple studies). With currently available information, we do not have sufficient confidence to assign a likely range for the threshold. If the threshold is exceeded temporarily, an irreversible loss of part or most of the Greenland ice sheet could result, depending on the duration and amount that the threshold is exceeded.

13.4.4 Antarctic Ice Sheet

13.4.4.1 Surface Mass Balance Change

Because the ice loss from Antarctica due to surface melt and runoff is about 1% of the total mass gain from snowfall, most ice loss occurs through solid ice discharge into the ocean. In the 21st century, ablation is projected to remain small on the Antarctic ice sheet because low surface temperatures inhibit surface melting, except near the coast and on the Antarctic Peninsula, and meltwater and rain continue to freeze in the snowpack (Ligtenberg et al., 2013). Projections of Antarctic SMB changes over the 21st century thus indicate a negative contribution to sea level because of the projected widespread increase in snowfall associated with warming air temperatures (Krinner et al., 2007; Uotila et al., 2007; Bracegirdle et al., 2008). Several studies (Krinner et al., 2007; Uotila et al., 2007; Bengtsson et al., 2011) have shown that the precipitation increase is directly linked to atmospheric warming via the increased moisture holding capacity of warmer air, and is therefore larger for scenarios of greater warming. The relationship is exponential, resulting in an increase of SMB as a function of Antarctic SAT change evaluated in various recent studies with high-resolution (~60 km) models as 3.7% °C⁻¹ (Bengtsson et al., 2011), 4.8% °C⁻¹ (Ligtenberg et al., 2013) and ~7% °C⁻¹ (Krinner et al., 2007). These agree well with the sensitivity of 5.1 ± 1.5% °C⁻¹ (one standard deviation) of CMIP3 AOGCMs (Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006).

The effect of atmospheric circulation changes on continental-mean SMB is an order of magnitude smaller than the effect of warming, but circulation changes can have a large influence on regional changes in accumulation, particularly near the ice-sheet margins (Uotila et al., 2007) where increased accumulation may induce additional ice flow across the grounding line (Huybrechts and De Wolde, 1999; Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006; Winkelmann et al., 2012). Simulated SMB is strongly and nonlinearly influenced by ocean surface temperature and sea-ice conditions (Swingedouw et al., 2008). This dependence means that the biases in the model-control climate may distort the SMB sensitivity to climate change, suggesting that more accurate predictions
may be obtained from regional models by using boundary conditions constructed by combining observed present-day climate with projected climate change (Krinner et al., 2008). There is a tendency for higher resolution models to simulate a stronger future precipitation increase because of better representation of coastal and orographic precipitation processes (Genthon et al., 2009).

For the present assessment of GMSL rise, changes in Antarctic ice-sheet SMB up to 2100 have been computed from global mean SAT change projections derived from the CMIP5 AOGCMs, using the range of sensitivities of precipitation increase to atmospheric warming summarized above, and the ratio of Antarctic to global warming evaluated from CMIP3 AOGCMs by Gregory and Huybrechts (2006) (see also Section 13.5.1 and Supplementary Material). The results are shown in Table 13.5 and Figures 13.10 and 13.11. The projected change in ice outflow is affected by the SMB because of the influence of topography on ice dynamics (Section 13.4.4.2 and Supplementary Material). Ozone recovery, through its influence on atmospheric circulation at high southern latitudes (Section 10.3.3.3), may offset some effects of GHG increase in the 21st century, but Antarctic precipitation is nonetheless projected to increase (Polvani et al., 2011). Bintanja et al. (2013) suggested that Antarctic warming and precipitation increase may be suppressed in the future by expansion of Antarctic sea ice, promoted by freshening of the surface ocean, caused by basal melting of ice shelves, and they conducted an AOGCM sensitivity test of this hypothesis. We consider these possibilities in Section 13.5.3.

Beyond the year 2100, regional climate simulations run at high spatial resolution (5 to 55 km) but without climate-ice sheet feedbacks included show a net ice gain until the year 2200 (Ligtenberg et al., 2013). During the 22nd century, the ice gain is equivalent to an average rate of sea level fall of 1.2 mm yr\(^{-1}\) for the A1B scenario and 0.46 mm yr\(^{-1}\) for the E1 scenario.

For multi-centennial to multi-millennial projections, feedbacks between the ice sheet and regional climate need to be accounted for. This is currently done using ice-sheet models coupled to climate models of intermediate complexity, which have a significantly lower spatial resolution in the atmospheric component than regional climate models used to assess future SMB within the 21st century. These coarser resolution models capture the increase in snowfall under future warming, but the regional distribution is represented less accurately. Accordingly, there is low confidence in their ability to model spatial melting and accumulation patterns accurately. In contrast, medium confidence can be assigned to the models’ projection of total accumulation on Antarctica, as it is controlled by the large-scale moisture transport toward the continent.

In idealized scenarios of 1% increase of CO\(_2\) yr\(^{-1}\) up to 560 ppm with subsequent stabilization, Vizcaíno et al. (2010) and Huybrechts et al. (2011) found an initial increase of ice volume due to additional snowfall during the first 600 years of integration. In both models, the changes in SMB dominate the mass changes during and beyond the first 100 years. After 600 years of integration, Vizcaíno et al. (2010) found a mass gain corresponding to a sea level fall of 0.15 m (−0.25 mm yr\(^{-1}\) on average). For the same experiment and the same period, Huybrechts et al. (2011) found a sea level fall of 0.08 m (−0.13 mm yr\(^{-1}\) on average). In a similar experiment but allowing GHG concentrations to reach 1120 ppm CO\(_2\) before being stabilized, both models show a net positive sea level contribution after 600 years of integration. Huybrechts et al. (2011) found a weak sea level contribution during the first 500 years of integration followed by a stronger and relatively constant long-term average rate of ~2 mm yr\(^{-1}\) after 1000 years of integration up to a total contribution of ~4 m SLE after 3000 years of integration. Although they found some grounding line retreat due to basal ice-shelf melt, the multi-centennial evolution of the ice sheet is dominated by changes in SMB whereas the solid-ice discharge after an initial increase shows a significant decrease during the scenario.

For the same scenario, Vizcaíno et al. (2010) found that the initial mass gain is followed by a weak mass loss. After 250 years of integration, the contribution is stronger and relatively constant at a rate of about 3 mm yr\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a net contribution of 1.2 m to global mean sea level rise after 600 years.

The same model as in Huybrechts et al. (2011), although with a slightly stronger polar amplification, was applied to the three SRES scenarios used in the AR4 (B1, A1B, A2) with stabilization in the year 2100 (Goelzer et al., 2012). For the lowest scenario (B1), they found practically no net Antarctic contribution to sea level in the year 3000. Under the medium scenario (A1B), the ice sheet contributes 0.26 m, and under the highest scenario (A2), it contributes 0.94 m SLE in the year 3000.

These simulations include a negative feedback on the regional climate by ice-sheet melt through which summer temperatures can be significantly reduced over Antarctica (Swingedouw et al., 2008). However, due to the coarse resolution and the high polar amplification, there is low confidence in the model’s representation of oceanic circulation changes around Antarctica.

In both models (Vizcaíno et al., 2010; Huybrechts et al., 2011), the ice sheets are not equilibrated with the surrounding climate after the integration period under the 1120 ppm CO\(_2\) forcing. Though GHG concentrations were stabilized after 120 years of integration, the Antarctic ice sheet continues to contribute to sea level rise at a relatively constant rate for another 480 years in Vizcaíno et al. (2010) and 2880 years in Huybrechts et al. (2011). This is consistent with a positive sea level contribution from Antarctica during past warmer climates (Sections 13.2.1 and 13.5.4).

In summary, both coupled ice sheet-climate models consistently show that for high-emission scenarios, the surface melt increases and leads to an ice loss on multi-centennial time scales. The long time period over which the Antarctic ice sheet continues to lose mass indicates a potential role of the feedback between climate and ice sheet. Consistent with regional climate models for the 21st and 22nd centuries, both coarse-resolution coupled models show a positive SMB change for most of the first 100 years of climate change. Due to the inertia in the climate system, regional temperatures continue to rise after that. Together with enhanced solid ice discharge, this results in mass loss of the ice sheet. The corresponding decline in surface elevation increases the surface temperature and leads to additional ice loss.
13.4.4.2 Dynamical Change

The Antarctic ice sheet represents the largest potential source of future SLR; the West Antarctic ice sheet alone has the potential to raise sea level by ~4.3 m (Fretwell et al., 2013). The rate at which this reservoir will be depleted and cause sea level to rise, however, is not easily quantifiable. In this section, we focus on dynamical changes (i.e., those related to the flow of the ice sheet) that affect SLR by altering the flux of ice across the grounding line (or outflow) that separates ice resting on bedrock (some of which does not currently displace ocean water) from floating ice shelves (which already displace ocean water and have only a negligible effect on sea level) (Jenkins and Holland, 2007).

Issues associated with the inability of models to reproduce recently observed changes in the dynamics of the Antarctic ice sheet prevented the AR4 from quantifying the effect of these changes on future sea level. Since the AR4, progress has been made in understanding the observations (Sections 4.4.3 and 4.4.4), and projections are becoming available. It must be stressed, however, that this field has yet to reach the same level of development that exists for modelling many other components of the Earth system. There is an underlying concern that observations presage the onset of large-scale grounding line retreat in what is termed the Marine Ice Sheet Instability (MISI; Box 13.2), and much of the research assessed here attempts to understand the applicability of this theoretical concept to projected SLR from Antarctica.

There are three distinct processes that could link climate change to dynamical change of the Antarctic ice sheet and potentially trigger increased outflow. These may operate directly through the increased potential for melt ponds to form on the upper surface of ice shelves, which may destabilize them, or by increases in submarine melt experienced by ice shelves as a consequence of oceanic warming, which leads to their thinning, as well as indirectly by coupling between SMB and ice flow. Section 4.4.3.2 presents the observational basis on which understanding of these processes is based, while their potential future importance is assessed here. Literature on the two mechanisms directly linked to climate change will be assessed first, followed by their relation to outflow change and lastly SMB coupling.

There is strong evidence that regional warming and increased melt water ponding in the Antarctic Peninsula led to the collapse of ice shelves along the length of peninsula (Cook and Vaughan, 2010), most notably the Larsen B ice shelf (MacAyeal et al., 2003). Substantial local warming (~5 to 7 °C) would, however, be required before the main Antarctic ice shelves (the Ross and Filchner-Ronne ice shelves) would become threatened (Joughin and Alley, 2011). An assessment of the AR4 AOGCM ensemble under scenario A1B yielded an Antarctic continental-average warming rate of 0.034 ± 0.01°C yr⁻¹ (Bracegirdle et al., 2008), suggesting that the required level of warming may not be approached by the end of the 21st century. Using an intermediate complexity model with scenario A2, Fyke et al. (2010) found that melt starts to reach significant levels over these ice shelves around 2100 to 2300. Barrand et al. (2013) made a process-based assessment of the effect of ice-shelf collapse on outflow from the Antarctic Peninsula, which yields a range of SLR at 2100 between 10 and 20 mm, with a bounding maximum of 40 mm.

There is good evidence linking the focus of current Antarctic mass loss in the Amundsen Sea sector of the WAIS (containing Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers) (Shepherd and Wingham, 2007; Rignot et al., 2008; Pritchard et al., 2009) to the presence of relatively warm Circumpolar Deep Water on the continental shelf (Thoma et al., 2008; Jenkins et al., 2010). However, it is not possible to determine whether this upwelling was related directly or indirectly to a rise in global mean temperature. Yin et al. (2011) assessed output from 19 AOGCMs under scenario A1B to determine how subsurface temperatures are projected to evolve around the West and East Antarctic ice sheets. They showed decadal-mean warming of 0.4°C to 0.7°C and 0.4°C to 0.9°C around West and East Antarctica, respectively (25th to 75th percentiles of ensemble) by the end of the 21st century. More detailed regional modelling using scenario A1B illustrates the potential for warm water to invade the ocean cavity underlying the Filchner-Ronne ice shelf in the second half of the 21st century, with an associated 20-fold increase in melt (Hellmer et al., 2012). Based on the limited literature, there is medium confidence that oceanic processes may potentially trigger further dynamical change particularly in the latter part of the 21st century, while there is also medium confidence that atmospheric change will not affect dynamics outside of the Antarctic Peninsula during the 21st century.

Several process-based projections of the future evolution of Pine Island Glacier have now been made, and some of the issues that this modelling faced (such as the need for sub-kilometre resolution to ensure consistent results; Cornford et al. (2013), Durand et al. (2009)) are being resolved (Pattyn et al., 2013). In experiments using an idealized increase in marine melt, Joughin et al. (2010) demonstrated only limited (~25 km) retreat of the grounding line before a new equilibrium position was established. Gladstone et al. (2012) used a flowline model forced with ocean-model output (Hellmer et al., 2012) to identify two modes of retreat: one similar to that identified by Joughin et al. (2010), and a second characterized by complete collapse from 2150 onwards. More sophisticated ice-flow modelling (albeit with idealized forcing) suggests grounding line retreat of ~100 km in 50 years (Cornford et al., 2013). These studies support the theoretical finding of Gudmundsson et al. (2012) that grounding line retreat, if triggered, does not inevitably lead to MISI but may halt if local buttressing from ice rises or channel sidewalls is sufficient. Parizek et al. (2013) used a flowline model to study Thwaites Glacier and found that grounding line retreat is possible only under extreme ocean forcing. It is also thought that considerably less back pressure is exerted by Thwaites’ ice shelf in comparison to Pine Island’s (Rignot, 2001; 2008), which may make it less sensitive to forcing by submarine melt (Schoof, 2007a; Goldberg et al., 2012). Based on this literature, there is high confidence that the retreat of Pine Island Glacier (if it occurs) can be characterized by a SLR measured in centimetres by 2100, although there is low confidence in the models’ ability to determine the probability or timing of any such retreat. There is also medium confidence (in the light of the limited literature) that Thwaites Glacier is probably less prone to undergo ocean-driven grounding line retreat than its neighbour in the 21st century. No process-based modelling is available on which to base projections of EAIS glaciers currently losing mass, such as Totten and Cook Glaciers.

Bindschadler et al. (2013) reported a model inter-comparison exercise on the impact of climate change under RCP8.5 on the Antarctic ice
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sheet. The resultant projection includes contributions from increased marine melt in the Amundsen Sea and Amery sectors, and generated a mean SLR at 2100 of ~100 mm over four models (with overall SLR of 81 mm when SMB change was included). There is, however, low confidence in the projection because of the unproven ability of many of the contributing models to simulate grounding line motion. Bindschadler et al. (2013) also reported idealized experiments in which ice-shelf melt is increased by 2, 20 and 200 m yr\(^{-1}\). The resulting five-model mean SLR of 69, 693 and 3477 mm by 2100, respectively, can be considered only as a general indication because of the shortcomings of the contributing models (e.g., two do not include ice shelves) which may be offset by the use of a multi-model mean. Although grounding line migration in the 20 m yr\(^{-1}\) experiment is extensive in some models and consistent with what might be expected under MISI (Bindschadler et al., 2013), the 200 m yr\(^{-1}\) experiment is unrealistic, even if used as a proxy for the improbable atmosphere-driven collapse of the major ice shelves, and is not considered further.

We now assess two alternatives to process-based modelling that exist in the literature: the development of plausible high-end projections based on physical intuition (Pfeffer et al., 2008; Katsman et al., 2011) and the use of a probabilistic framework for extrapolating current observations of the ice sheet’s mass budget (Little et al., 2013a; 2013b). Pfeffer et al. (2008) postulated a possible but extreme scenario of 615 mm SLR based on vastly accelerated outflow in the Amundsen Sea sector and East Antarctica, whereas a more plausible scenario involving reduced acceleration in the Amundsen Sea sector yields 136 mm. Katsman et al. (2011) used similar assumptions in a ‘modest’ scenario that generates SLR of 70 to 150 mm, and a ‘severe’ scenario that attempts to capture the consequences of the collapse of the WAIS through the MISI and has a SLR contribution of 490 mm. The NRC (2012) extrapolated mass-budget observations of the ice sheet to generate a projection of 157 to 323 mm (including future SMB change), with an additional 77 to 462 mm accounting for 21st-century increases in outflow (summing as 234 to 785 mm).

Little et al. (2013a) applied a range of linear growth rates to present-day SMB and outflow observations of Antarctic sectors (Rignot et al., 2008; Shuman et al., 2011; Zwally and Giovinetto, 2011), which are then weighted using a continental-scale observational synthesis (Shepherd et al., 2012) (consistent with the assessment of Chapter 4). In the case of Pine Island Glacier, growth rates are based on the process-based modelling of Joughin et al. (2010). Within this framework, SLR at 2100 has a 5 to 95% range of ~20 to 185 mm for dynamical change only, and ~86 to 133 mm when SMB change is included (based on Uotila et al. (2007)). Projections for the Antarctic Peninsula are consistent with the process-based modelling of Barrand et al. (2013). Further, Little et al. (2013a) found that the upper (95%) limit of the projected range can only approach 400 mm under scenarios expected for MISI (such as the immediate collapse of Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers or all marine-based sectors experiencing the same rates of mass loss as Pine Island Glacier).

Our assessment of the likely range of SLR is based on the weighted 5-95% range (~20 to 185 mm) of Little et al. (2013), which is consistent with the lower scenarios of Katsman et al. (2011) (70 to 150 mm) and Pfeffer et al. (2008) (136 mm), and with the RCP8.5 projection and low-melt experiment of Bindschadler et al. (2013) (~100 and 69 mm, respectively). The base projection of the NRC (2012) (157 to 323 mm including future SMB change), however, is less compatible. This moderate level of consistency across a range of techniques suggests medium confidence in this assessment. We assess this as the likely (as opposed to very likely) range because it is based primarily on perturbations of the ice sheet’s present-day state of mass imbalance and does not include the potentially large increases in outflow that may be associated with the MISI discussed below.

The probability of extensive grounding line retreat being both triggered and continuing to the extent that it contributes to significant SLR in the 21st century is very poorly constrained, as the results of a recent expert elicitation indicate (Bamber and Aspinall, 2013). We have medium confidence, however, that this probability lies outside of the likely range of SLR. Five arguments support this assessment. First, the partial loss of Pine Island Glacier is included by Little et al. (2013a) in their range and the full loss of the ice stream (if it were to occur) is thought to raise sea level by centimetres only (consistent with the use of the Little et al. (2013a) 5 to 95% range as the assessed likely range). Second, the current grounding line position of the neighboring Thwaites Glacier appears to be more stable than that of Pine Island Glacier (Parizek et al., 2013) so that its potentially large contribution to SLR by 2100 is assessed to have a significantly lower probability. Third, there is a low probability that atmospheric warming in the 21st century will lead to extensive grounding line retreat outside of the Antarctic Peninsula because summer air temperatures will not rise to the level where significant surface melt and ponding occur. Fourth, although this retreat may be triggered by oceanic warming during the 21st century (in particular, under the Filchner-Ronne ice shelf), current literature suggests that this may occur late in the century (Hellmer et al., 2012), reducing the time over which enhanced outflow could affect end-of-century SLR. Finally, there are theoretical grounds to believe that grounding line retreat may stabilize (Gudmundsson et al., 2012) so that MISI (and associated high SLR) is not inevitable.

We next assess the magnitude of potential SLR at 2100 in the event that MISI affects the Antarctic ice sheet. Bindschadler et al. (2013), Katsman et al. (2011), the NRC (2012), and Pfeffer et al. (2008) presented contrasting approaches that can be used to make this assessment, which are upper-end estimates of 693, 490, 785 and 615 mm, respectively. Together this literature suggests with medium confidence that this contribution would be several tenths of a metre. The literature does not offer a means of assessing the probability of this contribution, however, other than our assessment (above) that it lies above the likely range.

Literature investigating the relation between the SLR generated by dynamical change and emission scenario does not currently exist. There is also a lack of literature on the relation between emission scenario and the intrusions of warm water into ice-shelf cavities thought to be important in triggering observed mass loss (Jacobs et al., 2011) and potentially important in the future (Hellmer et al., 2012). It is therefore premature to attach a scenario dependence to projections of dynamical change, even though such a dependency is expected to exist.

Likely increases in snowfall over the next century (Section 13.4.4.1) will affect the amount of ice lost by outflow across the grounding
line because of local changes in ice thickness and stress regime (Huybrechts and De Wolde, 1999). This effect was incorporated in AR4 projections for 2100 as a compensatory mass loss amounting to 0 to 10% of the SMB mass gain (Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006). Winkelmann et al. (2012) re-evaluated the effect and reported a range of 15 to 35% for the next century (30 to 65% after 500 years). The two studies are difficult to compare because of differences in model physics and experimental design so that the use of their joint range (0 to 35%) is an appropriate assessment of the likely range of this effect. This range is supported by Barrand et al. (2013), who quantified the effect for the Antarctic Peninsula as ~15% of SMB. Moreover, because this contribution relies on similar physics to the grounding line migration discussed above, it is appropriate to assume that their uncertainties are correlated. Winkelmann et al. (2012) showed that the fractional size of this compensatory effect is independent of scenario. Accounting for this effect equates to SLR of 0 to 32 mm by 2100 based on the SMB range over all emission-scenario projections in Section 13.5.1.1.

Beyond the 21st century, only projections with coarse-resolution ice sheet–climate models are available (Vizzaino et al., 2010; Huybrechts et al., 2011). Confidence in the ability of these two models to capture both change in the oceanic circulation around Antarctica and the response of the ice sheet to these changes, especially a potential grounding line retreat, is low. The model applied by Vizzaino et al. (2010) lacks a dynamic representation of ice shelves. Because dynamic ice discharge from Antarctica occurs predomnately through ice shelves, it is likely that the projections using this model considerably underestimate the Antarctic contribution.

In summary, it is likely that dynamical change within the Antarctic ice sheet will lead to SLR during the next century with a range of ~20 to 185 mm. SLR beyond the likely range is poorly constrained and considerably larger increases are possible (the underlying probability distribution is asymmetric towards larger rise), which will probably be associated with the MISI (Box 13.2). Although the likelihood of such SLR cannot yet be assessed more precisely than falling above the likely range, literature suggests (with medium confidence) that its potential magnitude is several tenths of a metre. We are unable to assess SLR as a function of emission scenario, although a dependency of SLR on scenario is expected to exist. In addition, coupling between SMB and dynamical change is likely to make a further contribution to SLR of 0 to 35% of the SMB. All the available literature suggests that this dynamical contribution to sea level rise will continue well beyond 2100.

13.4.4.3 Possible Irreversibility of Ice Loss from West Antarctica

Due to relatively weak snowfall on Antarctica and the slow ice motion in its interior, it can be expected that the WAIS would take at least several thousand years to regrow if it was eliminated by dynamic ice discharge. Consequently any significant ice loss from West Antarctica that occurs within the next century will be irreversible on a multi-centennial to millennial time scale. We discuss here the possibility of abrupt dynamic ice loss from West Antarctica (see Section 12.5.5 for definition of abrupt).

Information on the ice and bed topography of WAIS suggests that it has about 3.3 m of equivalent global sea level grounded on areas with downward sloping bedrock (Bamber et al., 2009). As detailed in Box 13.2, large areas of the WAIS may therefore be subject to potential ice loss via the MISI. As it is the case for other potential instabilities within the climate system (Section 12.5.5), there are four lines of evidence to assess the likelihood of a potential occurrence: theoretical understanding, present-day observations, numerical simulations, and paleo records.

The MISI is based on a number of studies that indicated the theoretical existence of the instability (Weertman, 1961; Schoof, 2007a) (see also Box 13.2). The most fundamental derivation, that is, starting from a first-principle ice equation, states that in one-dimensional ice flow the grounding line between grounded ice sheet and floating ice shelf cannot be stable on a landward sloping bed. The limitation of the one-dimensional case disregards possible stabilizing effects of the ice shelves (Dupont and Alley, 2005). Although it is clear that ice shelves that are laterally constrained by embayments inhibit ice flow into the ocean, the effect has not been quantified against the MISI. The same is true for other potentially stabilizing effects such as sedimentation near the grounding line (Alley et al., 2007) or the influence of large-scale bedrock roughness (i.e., topographic pinning points) on ice flow. Although these stabilizing effects need to be further investigated and quantified against the destabilizing effect of the MISI, no studies are available that would allow dismissing the MISI on theoretical grounds.

Although direct observations of ice dynamics are available, they are neither detailed enough nor cover a sufficiently long period to allow the monitoring of the temporal evolution of an MISI. Most Antarctic ice loss that has been detected during the satellite period has come from the WAIS (Rignot et al., 2008; Pritchard et al., 2012). Some studies have found an acceleration of ice loss (Rignot et al., 2011) as well as enhanced basal ice-shelf melting (Pritchard et al., 2012), but the short period of observations does not allow one to either dismiss or confirm that these changes are associated with destabilization of WAIS.

Paleo records suggest that WAIS may have deglaciated several times during warm periods of the last 5 million years, but they contain no information on rates (Naish et al., 2009). Although coarse-resolution models are in principle capable of modelling the MISI, there is medium confidence in their ability to simulate the correct response time to external perturbations on decadal to centennial time scales (Pattyn et al., 2013). One of these models (Pollard and DeConto, 2009) reproduced paleo records of deglaciation with a forced ice-sheet model at 40 km resolution and parameterized ice flow across the grounding line according to Schoof (2007a). These simulations showed a sea level rise of about 7 m over time spans of 1000 to 7000 years with approximately equal contributions from West and East Antarctica. However, no available model results or paleo records have indicated the possibility of self-accelerated ice discharge from these regions.

In summary, ice-dynamics theory, numerical simulations, and paleo records indicate that the existence of a marine-ice sheet instability associated with abrupt and irreversible ice loss from the Antarctic ice sheet is possible in response to climate forcing. However, theoretical considerations, current observations, numerical models, and paleo records currently do not allow a quantification of the timing of the onset of such an instability or of the magnitude of its multi-century contribution.
Box 13.2 | History of the Marine Ice-Sheet Instability Hypothesis

Marine ice sheets rest on bedrock that is submerged below sea level (often by 2 to 3 km). The most well-researched marine ice sheet is the West Antarctic ice sheet (WAIS) where approximately 75% of the ice sheet’s area currently rests on bedrock below sea level. The East Antarctic ice sheet (EAIS), however, also has appreciable areas grounded below sea level (~35%), in particular around the Totten and Cook Glaciers.

These ice sheets are fringed by floating ice shelves, which are fed by flow from grounded ice across a grounding line (GL). The GL is free to migrate both seawards and landwards as a consequence of the local balance between the weight of ice and displaced ocean water. Depending on a number of factors, which include ice-shelf extent and geometry, ice outflow to the ocean generally (but not always) increases with ice thickness at the GL. Accordingly, when the ice sheet rests on a bed that deepens towards the ice-sheet interior (see Box 13.2, Figure 1a), the ice outflow to the ocean will generally increase as the GL retreats. It is this feature that gives rise to the Marine Ice-Sheet Instability (MISI), which states that a GL cannot remain stable on a landward-deepening slope. Even if snow accumulation and outflow were initially in balance (Box 13.2, Figure 1b), natural fluctuations in climate cause the GL to fluctuate slightly (Box 13.2, Figure 1c). In the case of a retreat, the new GL position is then associated with deeper bedrock and thicker ice, so that outflow increases (Box 13.2, Figure 1d). This increased outflow leads to further, self-sustaining retreat until a region of shallower, seaward-sloping bedrock is reached. Stable configurations can therefore exist only where the GL rests on slopes that deepen towards the ocean. A change in climate can therefore potentially force a large-scale retreat of the GL from one bedrock ridge to another further inland. (continued on next page)

Box 13.2, Figure 1 | Schematic of the processes leading to the potentially unstable retreat of a grounding line showing (a) geometry and ice fluxes of a marine ice sheet, (b) the grounding line in steady state, (c) climate change triggering mass outflow from the ice sheet and the start of grounding line retreat and (d) self-sustained retreat of the grounding line.
Chapter 13 Sea Level Change

13.4.5 Anthropogenic Intervention in Water Storage on Land

The potential future effects that human activities have on changing water storage on land, thus affecting sea level, have been little studied in the published peer-reviewed scientific literature. For depletion of groundwater arising from extraction (for agriculture and other uses), we consider two possibilities. The first assumes that this contribution to GMSL rise continues throughout the 21st century at the rate of 0.40 ± 0.11 mm yr\(^{-1}\) (mean ± SD) assessed for 2001–2008 by Konikow (2011), amounting to 38 [21 to 55] mm by 2081–2100 relative to 1986–2005. The second uses results from land surface hydrology models (Wada et al., 2012) with input from climate and socioeconomic projections for SRES scenarios, yielding 70 [51 to 90] mm for the same time interval. Because of the improved treatment of groundwater recharge by Wada et al. (2012), this is less than Rahmstorf et al. (2012b) obtained by assuming that the groundwater extraction estimates of Wada et al. (2010) can be scaled up in the future with global population. These two possibilities indicate a range of about 20 to 90 mm for the contribution of groundwater depletion to GMSL rise.

For the rate of impoundment of water in reservoirs, we evaluate two possibilities. The first assumes it will be zero after 2010 (i.e., no further net impoundment), giving a negative contribution to GMSL rise of –19 [–11 to –27] mm by 2081–2100 relative to 1986–2005. The second assumes it will be zero after 2010 (i.e., no further net impoundment), as shown for the 1990s and 2000s by Lettenmaier and Milly (2009) (see Section 13.3.4 for discussion). A zero contribution implies a balance between further construction of reservoir capacity and reduction of storage volume by sedimentation, each of which could plausibly...
Frequently Asked Questions

FAQ 13.2: Will the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets Contribute to Sea Level Change over the Rest of the Century?

The Greenland, West and East Antarctic ice sheets are the largest reservoirs of freshwater on the planet. As such, they have contributed to sea level change over geological and recent times. They gain mass through accumulation (snowfall) and lose it by surface ablation (mostly ice melt) and outflow at their marine boundaries, either to a floating ice shelf, or directly to the ocean through iceberg calving. Increases in accumulation cause global mean sea level to fall, while increases in surface ablation and outflow cause it to rise. Fluctuations in these mass fluxes depend on a range of processes, both within the ice sheet and without, in the atmosphere and oceans. Over the course of this century, however, sources of mass loss appear set to exceed sources of mass gain, so that a continuing positive contribution to global sea level can be expected. This FAQ summarizes current research on the topic and provides indicative magnitudes for the various end-of-century (2081-2100 with respect to 1986-2005) sea level contributions from the full assessment, which are reported as the two-in-three probability level across all emission scenarios.

Over millennia, the slow horizontal flow of an ice sheet carries mass from areas of net accumulation (generally, in the high-elevation interior) to areas of net loss (generally, the low-elevation periphery and the coastal perimeter). At present, Greenland loses roughly half of its accumulated ice by surface ablation, and half by calving. Antarctica, on the other hand, loses virtually all its accumulation by calving and submarine melt from its fringing ice shelves. Ice shelves are floating, so their loss has only a negligible direct effect on sea level, although they can affect sea level indirectly by altering the mass budget of their parent ice sheet (see below).

In East Antarctica, some studies using satellite radar altimetry suggest that snowfall has increased, but recent atmospheric modelling and satellite measurements of changes in gravity find no significant increase. This apparent disagreement may be because relatively small long-term trends are masked by the strong interannual variability of snowfall. Projections suggest a substantial increase in 21st century Antarctic snowfall, mainly because a warmer atmosphere would be able to carry more moisture into polar regions. Regional changes in atmospheric circulation probably play a secondary role. For the whole of the Antarctic ice sheet, this process is projected to contribute between 0 and 70 mm to sea level fall.

Currently, air temperatures around Antarctica are too cold for substantial surface ablation. Field and satellite-based observations, however, indicate enhanced outflow—manifested as ice-surface lowering—in a few localized coastal regions. These areas (Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers in West Antarctica, and Totten and Cook Glaciers in East Antarctica) all lie within kilometre-deep bedrock troughs towards the edge of Antarctica’s continental shelf. The increase in outflow is thought to have been triggered by regional changes in ocean circulation, bringing warmer water in contact with floating ice shelves.

On the more northerly Antarctic Peninsula, there is a well-documented record of ice-shelf collapse, which appears to be related to the increased surface melting caused by atmospheric warming over recent decades. The subsequent thinning of glaciers draining into these ice shelves has had a positive—but minor—effect on sea level, as will any further such events on the Peninsula. Regional projections of 21st century atmospheric temperature change suggest that this process will probably not affect the stability of the large ice shelves of both the West and East Antarctica, although these ice shelves may be threatened by future oceanic change (see below).

Estimates of the contribution of the Antarctic ice sheets to sea level over the last few decades vary widely, but great strides have recently been made in reconciling the observations. There are strong indications that enhanced outflow (primarily in West Antarctica) currently outweighs any increase in snow accumulation (mainly in East Antarctica), implying a tendency towards sea level rise. Before reliable projections of outflow over the 21st century can be made with greater confidence, models that simulate ice flow need to be improved, especially of any changes in the grounding line that separates floating ice from that resting on bedrock and of interactions between ice shelves and the ocean. The concept of ‘marine ice-sheet instability’ is based on the idea that the outflow from an ice sheet resting on bedrock below sea level increases if ice at the grounding line is thicker and, therefore, faster flowing. On bedrock that slopes downward towards the ice-sheet interior, this creates a vicious cycle of increased outflow, causing ice at the grounding line to thin and go afloat. The grounding line then retreats down slope into thicker ice that, in turn, drives further increases in outflow. This feedback could potentially result in the rapid loss of parts of the ice sheet, as grounding lines retreat along troughs and basins that deepen towards the ice sheet’s interior.
Future climate forcing could trigger such an unstable collapse, which may then continue independently of climate. This potential collapse might unfold over centuries for individual bedrock troughs in West Antarctica and sectors of East Antarctica. Much research is focussed on understanding how important this theoretical concept is for those ice sheets. Sea level could rise if the effects of marine instability become important, but there is not enough evidence at present to unambiguously identify the precursor of such an unstable retreat. Change in outflow is projected to contribute between \(-20\) (i.e., fall) and \(185\) mm to sea level rise by year 2100, although the uncertain impact of marine ice-sheet instability could increase this figure by several tenths of a metre. Overall, increased snowfall seems set to only partially offset sea level rise caused by increased outflow.

In Greenland, mass loss through more surface ablation and outflow dominates a possible recent trend towards increased accumulation in the interior. Estimated mass loss due to surface ablation has doubled since the early 1990s. This trend is expected to continue over the next century as more of the ice sheet experiences surface ablation for longer periods. Indeed, projections for the 21st century suggest that increasing mass loss will dominate over weakly increasing accumulation. The refreezing of melt water within the snow pack high up on the ice sheet offers an important (though perhaps temporary) dampening effect on the relation between atmospheric warming and mass loss.

Although the observed response of outlet glaciers is both complex and highly variable, iceberg calving from many of Greenland’s major outlet glaciers has increased substantially over the last decade, and constitutes an appreciable additional mass loss. This seems to be related to the intrusion of warm water into the coastal seas around Greenland, but it is not clear whether this phenomenon is related to inter-decadal variability, such as the North Atlantic (continued on next page)
FAQ 13.2 (continued)

Oscillation, or a longer term trend associated with greenhouse gas–induced warming. Projecting its effect on 21st century outflow is therefore difficult, but it does highlight the apparent sensitivity of outflow to ocean warming. The effects of more surface melt water on the lubrication of the ice sheet's bed, and the ability of warmer ice to deform more easily, may lead to greater rates of flow, but the link to recent increases in outflow is unclear. Change in the net difference between surface ablation and accumulation is projected to contribute between 10 and 160 mm to sea level rise in 2081-2100 (relative to 1986-2005), while increased outflow is projected to contribute a further 10 to 70 mm (Table 13.5).

The Greenland ice sheet has contributed to a rise in global mean sea level over the last few decades, and this trend is expected to increase during this century. Unlike Antarctica, Greenland has no known large-scale instabilities that might generate an abrupt increase in sea level rise over the 21st century. A threshold may exist, however, so that continued shrinkage might become irreversible over multi-centennial time scales, even if the climate were to return to a pre-industrial state over centennial time scales. Although mass loss through the calving of icebergs may increase in future decades, this process will eventually end when the ice margin retreats onto bedrock above sea level where the bulk of the ice sheet resides.

13.5 Projections of Global Mean Sea Level Rise

Process-based projections for GMSL rise during the 21st century, given in Section 13.5.1, are the sum of contributions derived from models that were evaluated by comparison with observations in Section 13.3 and used to project the contributions in Section 13.4. Projections of GMSL rise by semi-empirical models (SEMs) are given in Section 13.5.2. We compare these two and other approaches in Section 13.5.3 and assess the level of confidence that we can place in each approach. Longer term projections are discussed in Section 13.5.4.

13.5.1 Process-Based Projections for the 21st Century

The process-based projections of GMSL rise for each RCP scenario are based on results from 21 CMIP5 AOGCMs from which projections of SAT change and thermal expansion are available (see Section 13.4.1). Where CMIP5 results were not available for a particular AOGCM and scenario, they were estimated (Good et al., 2011; 2013) (Section 12.4.1.2; Supplementary Material). The projections of thermal expansion do not include an adjustment for the omission of volcanic forcing in AOGCM spin-up (Section 13.3.4.2), as this is uncertain and relatively small (about 10 mm during the 21st century). Changes in glacier and ice-sheet SMB are calculated from the global mean SAT projections using parameterizations derived from the results of process-based models of these components (note that glaciers on Antarctica are covered by the Antarctic ice-sheet SMB projection, and are therefore not included in the glacier projections) (Sections 13.4.2, 13.4.3.1, 13.4.4.1 and Supplementary Material). According to the assessment in Section 12.4.1.2, global mean SAT change is likely to lie within the 5 to 95% range of the projections of CMIP5 models. Following this assessment, the 5 to 95% range of model results for each of the GMSL rise contributions that is projected on the basis of CMIP5 results is interpreted as the likely range.

Possible ice-sheet dynamical changes by 2100 are assessed from the published literature (Sections 13.4.3.2 and 13.4.4.2), which as yet provides only a partial basis for making projections related to particular scenarios. They are thus treated as independent of scenario, except that a higher rate of change is used for Greenland ice sheet outflow under RCP8.5. Projections of changes in land water storage due to human intervention are also treated as independent of emissions scenario, because we do not have sufficient information to give ranges for individual scenarios. The scenario-independent treatment does not imply that the contributions concerned will not depend on the scenario followed, only that the current state of knowledge does not permit a quantitative assessment of the dependence. For each of these contributions, our assessment of the literature provides a 5-95% range for the late 21st century (2100 for Greenland and Antarctic ice-sheet dynamics, 2081-2100 for land water storage). For consistency with the treatment of the CMIP5-derived results, we interpret this range as the likely range. We assume that each of these contributions begins from its present-day rate and that the rate increases linearly in time, in order to interpolate from the present day to the late 21st century (see Supplementary Material for details).

The likely range of GMSL rise given for each RCP combines the uncertainty in global climate change, represented by the CMIP5 ensemble (Section 12.4.1.2), with the uncertainties in modelling the contributions to GMSL. The part of the uncertainty related to the magnitude of global
climate change is correlated among all the scenario-dependent contributions, while the methodological uncertainties are treated as independent (see also Supplementary Material).

The sum of the projected contributions gives the likely range for future GMSL rise. The median projections for GMSL in all scenarios lie within a range of 0.05 m until the middle of the century (Figure 13.11), because the divergence of the climate projections has a delayed effect owing to the time-integrating characteristic of sea level. By the late 21st century (over an interval of 95 years, between the 20-year mean of 2081–2100 and the 20-year mean of 1986–2005), they have a spread of about 0.25 m, with RCP2.6 giving the least amount of rise (0.40 [0.26 to 0.55] m) (likely range) and RCP8.5 giving the most (0.63 [0.45 to 0.82] m). RCP4.5 and RCP6.0 are very similar at the end of the century (0.47 [0.32 to 0.63] m and 0.48 [0.33 to 0.63]) m respectively), but RCP4.5 has a greater rate of rise earlier in the century than RCP6.0 (Figure 13.10 and Table 13.5). At 2100, the likely ranges are 0.44 [0.28–0.61] m (RCP2.6), 0.53 [0.36–0.71] m (RCP4.5), 0.55 [0.38–0.73] m (RCP6.0), and 0.74 [0.52–0.98] m (RCP8.5).

In all scenarios, the rate of rise at the start of the RCP projections (2007–2013) is about 3.7 mm yr⁻¹, slightly above the observational range of 3.2 [2.8 to 3.6] mm yr⁻¹ for 1993–2010, because the modelled contributions for recent years, although consistent with observations for 1993–2010 (Section 13.3), are all in the upper part of the observational ranges, perhaps related to the simulated rate of climatic warming being greater than has been observed (Box 9.2). In the projections, the rate of rise initially increases. In RCP2.6 it becomes roughly constant (central projection 4.5 mm yr⁻¹) before the middle of the century, and subsequently declines slightly. The rate of rise becomes roughly constant in RCP4.5 and RCP6.0 by the end of the century, whereas acceleration continues throughout the century in RCP8.5, reaching 11 [8 to 16] mm yr⁻¹ in 2081–2100.

In all scenarios, thermal expansion is the largest contribution, accounting for about 30 to 55% of the projections. Glaciers are the next largest, accounting for 15–35% of the projections. By 2100, 15 to 55% of the present volume of glaciers outside Antarctica is projected to be eliminated under RCP2.6, and 35 to 85% under RCP8.5 (Table 13.SM.2). SMB change on the Greenland ice sheet makes a positive contribution, whereas SMB change in Antarctica gives a negative contribution (Sections 13.4.3.1 and 13.4.4.1). The positive contribution due to rapid dynamical changes that result in increased ice outflow from both ice sheets together has a likely range of 0.03 to 0.20 m in RCP8.5 and 0.03 to 0.19 m in the other RCPs. There is a relatively small positive contribution from human intervention in land water storage, predominantly due to increasing extraction of groundwater.

**Figure 13.10** | Projections from process-based models with likely ranges and median values for global mean sea level rise and its contributions in 2081–2100 relative to 1986–2005 for the four RCP scenarios and scenario SRES A1B used in the AR4. The contributions from ice sheets include the contributions from ice-sheet rapid dynamical change, which are also shown separately. The contributions from ice-sheet rapid dynamical change and anthropogenic land water storage are treated as having uniform probability distributions, and as independent of scenario (except that a higher rate of change is used for Greenland ice sheet outflow under RCP8.5). This treatment does not imply that the contributions concerned will not depend on the scenario followed, only that the current state of knowledge does not permit a quantitative assessment of the dependence. See discussion in Sections 13.5.1 and 13.5.3 and Supplementary Material for methods. Only the collapse of the marine-based sectors of the Antarctic ice sheet, if initiated, could cause global mean sea level (GMSL) to rise substantially above the likely range during the 21st century. This potential additional contribution cannot be precisely quantified but there is medium confidence that it would not exceed several tenths of a meter of sea level rise.
Figure 13.11 | Projections from process-based models of (a) global mean sea level (GMSL) rise relative to 1986–2005 and (b) the rate of GMSL rise and its contributions as a function of time for the four RCP scenarios and scenario SRES A1B. The lines show the median projections. For GMSL rise and the thermal expansion contribution, the likely range is shown as a shaded band. The contributions from ice sheets include the contributions from ice-sheet rapid dynamical change, which are also shown separately. The time series for GMSL rise plotted in (a) are tabulated in Annex II (Table AII.7.7), and the time series of GMSL rise and all of its contributions are available in the Supplementary Material. The rates in (b) are calculated as linear trends in overlapping 5-year periods. Only the collapse of the marine-based sectors of the Antarctic ice sheet, if initiated, could cause GMSL to rise substantially above the likely range during the 21st century. This potential additional contribution cannot be precisely quantified but there is medium confidence that it would not exceed several tenths of a metre of sea level rise.
Table 13.5 | Median values and likely ranges for projections of global mean sea level (GMSL) rise and its contributions in metres in 2081–2100 relative to 1986–2005 for the four RCP scenarios and SRES A1B. GMSL rise in 2046–2065 and 2100, and rates of GMSL rise in mm yr$^{-1}$ in 2081–2100. See Section 13.5.1 concerning how the likely range is defined. Because some of the uncertainties in modelling the contributions are treated as uncorrelated, the sum of the lower bound of contributions does not equal the lower bound of the sum, and similarly for the upper bound (see Supplementary Material). Because of imprecision from rounding, the sum of the medians of contributions may not exactly equal the median of the sum. The net contribution (surface mass balance (SMB) + dynamics) for each ice sheet, and the contribution from rapid dynamical change in both ice sheets together, are shown as additional lines below the sum; they are not contributions in addition to those given above the sum. The contributions from ice-sheet rapid dynamical change and anthropogenic land water storage are treated as having uniform probability distributions, uncorrelated with the magnitude of global climate change (except for the interaction between Antarctic ice sheet SMB and outflow), and as independent of scenario (except that a higher rate of change is used for Greenland ice sheet outflow under RCP8.5). This treatment does not imply that the contributions concerned will not depend on the scenario followed, only that the current state of knowledge does not permit a quantitative assessment of the dependence. Regional sea level change is expected in general to differ from the global mean (see Section 13.6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>SRES A1B</th>
<th>RCP2.6</th>
<th>RCP4.5</th>
<th>RCP6.0</th>
<th>RCP8.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion</td>
<td>0.21 [0.16 to 0.26]</td>
<td>0.14 [0.10 to 0.18]</td>
<td>0.19 [0.14 to 0.23]</td>
<td>0.19 [0.15 to 0.24]</td>
<td>0.27 [0.21 to 0.33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaciers$^a$</td>
<td>0.14 [0.08 to 0.21]</td>
<td>0.10 [0.04 to 0.16]</td>
<td>0.12 [0.06 to 0.19]</td>
<td>0.12 [0.06 to 0.19]</td>
<td>0.16 [0.09 to 0.23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland ice-sheet SMB$^b$</td>
<td>0.05 [0.02 to 0.12]</td>
<td>0.03 [0.01 to 0.07]</td>
<td>0.04 [0.01 to 0.09]</td>
<td>0.04 [0.01 to 0.09]</td>
<td>0.07 [0.03 to 0.16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic ice-sheet SMB$^c$</td>
<td>–0.03 [–0.06 to –0.01]</td>
<td>–0.02 [–0.04 to –0.00]</td>
<td>–0.02 [–0.05 to –0.01]</td>
<td>–0.02 [–0.05 to –0.01]</td>
<td>–0.04 [–0.07 to –0.01]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland ice-sheet rapid dynamics</td>
<td>0.04 [0.01 to 0.06]</td>
<td>0.04 [0.01 to 0.06]</td>
<td>0.04 [0.01 to 0.06]</td>
<td>0.04 [0.01 to 0.06]</td>
<td>0.05 [0.02 to 0.07]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic ice-sheet rapid dynamics</td>
<td>0.07 [–0.01 to 0.16]</td>
<td>0.07 [–0.01 to 0.16]</td>
<td>0.07 [–0.01 to 0.16]</td>
<td>0.07 [–0.01 to 0.16]</td>
<td>0.07 [–0.01 to 0.16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land water storage</td>
<td>0.04 [–0.01 to 0.09]</td>
<td>0.04 [–0.01 to 0.09]</td>
<td>0.04 [–0.01 to 0.09]</td>
<td>0.04 [–0.01 to 0.09]</td>
<td>0.04 [–0.01 to 0.09]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global mean sea level rise in 2081–2100</td>
<td>0.52 [0.37 to 0.69]</td>
<td>0.40 [0.26 to 0.55]</td>
<td>0.47 [0.32 to 0.63]</td>
<td>0.48 [0.33 to 0.63]</td>
<td>0.63 [0.45 to 0.82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland ice sheet</td>
<td>0.09 [0.05 to 0.15]</td>
<td>0.06 [0.04 to 0.10]</td>
<td>0.08 [0.04 to 0.13]</td>
<td>0.08 [0.04 to 0.13]</td>
<td>0.12 [0.07 to 0.21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic ice sheet</td>
<td>0.04 [–0.05 to 0.13]</td>
<td>0.05 [–0.03 to 0.14]</td>
<td>0.05 [–0.04 to 0.13]</td>
<td>0.05 [–0.04 to 0.13]</td>
<td>0.04 [–0.06 to 0.12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice-sheet rapid dynamics</td>
<td>0.10 [0.03 to 0.19]</td>
<td>0.10 [0.03 to 0.19]</td>
<td>0.10 [0.03 to 0.19]</td>
<td>0.10 [0.03 to 0.19]</td>
<td>0.12 [0.03 to 0.20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of global mean sea level rise</td>
<td>8.1 [5.1 to 11.4]</td>
<td>4.4 [2.0 to 6.8]</td>
<td>6.1 [3.5 to 8.8]</td>
<td>7.4 [4.7 to 10.3]</td>
<td>11.2 [7.5 to 15.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global mean sea level rise in 2046–2065</td>
<td>0.27 [0.19 to 0.34]</td>
<td>0.24 [0.17 to 0.32]</td>
<td>0.26 [0.19 to 0.33]</td>
<td>0.25 [0.18 to 0.32]</td>
<td>0.30 [0.22 to 0.38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global mean sea level rise in 2100</td>
<td>0.60 [0.42 to 0.80]</td>
<td>0.44 [0.28 to 0.61]</td>
<td>0.53 [0.36 to 0.71]</td>
<td>0.55 [0.38 to 0.73]</td>
<td>0.74 [0.52 to 0.98]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

$^a$ Excluding glaciers on Antarctica but including glaciers peripheral to the Greenland ice sheet.

$^b$ Including the height–SMB feedback.

$^c$ Including the interaction between SMB change and outflow.

13.5.2 Semi-Empirical Projections for the 21st Century

The development of semi-empirical models (SEMs) was motivated by two problems. First, process-based modelling was incomplete in the AR4 because of the unavailability of ice-sheet dynamical models which could be used to simulate the observed recent accelerations in ice flow and make projections with confidence (Meehl et al., 2007) (Sections 13.1.4.1, 13.4.3.2 and 13.4.4.2). Second, in all previous IPCC assessments, observed GMSL rise during the 20th century could not be completely accounted for by the contributions to GMSL from thermal expansion, glaciers and ice sheets. For example, the AR4 assessed the mean observational rate for 1961–2003 as 1.8 ± 0.5 mm yr$^{-1}$, and the sum of contributions as 1.1 ± 0.5 mm yr$^{-1}$ (Bindoff et al., 2007; Hegerl et al., 2007). With the central estimates, only about 60% of observed sea level rise was thus explained, and the potential implication was that projections using process-based models which reproduce only those known contributions would underestimate future sea level rise (Rahmstorf, 2007a; Jevrejeva et al., 2009; Grinsted et al., 2010). SEMs do not aim to solve the two problems that motivated their development, but instead provide an alternative approach for projecting GMSL. The semi-empirical approach regards a change in sea level as an integrated response of the entire climate system, reflecting changes in the dynamics and thermodynamics of the atmosphere, ocean and cryosphere; it does not explicitly attribute sea level rise to its individual physical components. SEMs use simple physically motivated relationships, with various analytical formulations and parameters determined from observational time series, to predict GMSL for the 21st century (Figure 13.12 and Table 13.6) and beyond, from either global mean SAT (Rahmstorf, 2007a; Horton et al., 2008; Vermeer and Rahmstorf, 2009; Grinsted et al., 2010; Rahmstorf et al., 2012b) or RF (Jevrejeva et al., 2009; 2010, 2012a).

SEMs are designed to reproduce the observed sea level record over their period of calibration, as this provides them with model parameters needed to make projections (Rahmstorf, 2007a; Jevrejeva et al., 2009; Vermeer and Rahmstorf, 2009; Grinsted et al., 2010). A test of the predictive skill of the models requires simulating a part of the observed record that has not been used for calibration. For instance, Rahmstorf (2007b) calibrated for 1880–1940 and predicted 1940–2000, obtaining results within 0.02 m of observed. Jevrejeva et al. (2012b) calibrated...
Furthermore, there is some sensitivity to the choice of data sets used for calibration. For instance, when calibrated up to 1960 and used to predict 1961–2003, the model of Bittermann et al. (2013) overestimates the GMSL data set of Jevrejeva et al. (2008) by 75%, but makes an accurate estimate for the Church and White (2011) data set, although these two data sets have similar rates of sea level rise in the predicted period. The central projections of Rahmstorf et al. (2012b) for 2100 under RCP4.5 (Table 13.6) for calibration with the GMSL data set of Church and White (2006) are about 0.2 m more than for calibration with the Church and White (2011) data set, although the two Church and White (2006, 2011) data sets differ at all times by less than one standard deviation. The ranges of the projections by Grinsted et al. (2010) and Jevrejeva et al. (2010, 2012a, 2012b) allow for the uncertainty in the GMSL reconstructions through the use of an uncertainty covariance matrix in determining the model parameters. Grinsted et al. (2010) also investigated the sensitivity to the temperature data set used as predictor, and Jevrejeva et al. (2010) investigated the sensitivity to RF as predictor (Table 13.6). In the latter case, three data sets gave median projections under RCP4.5 for 2100 within a range of about ±0.20 m.

SEM projections will be biased unless contributions to past GMSL rise which correlate with but are not physically related to contemporary changes in the predictor variable (either global mean SAT change or RF) are subtracted from the observational sea level record before the calibration (Vermeer and Rahmstorf, 2009; Jevrejeva et al., 2012b; Rahmstorf et al., 2012b; Orlić and Pasarić, 2013). These include groundwater depletion due to anthropogenic intervention and storage of water by dams (Section 13.3.4), ongoing adjustment of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets to climate change in previous centuries and millennia (Section 13.3.6), and the effects of internally generated regional climate variability on glaciers (Marzeion et al., 2012a; Church et al., 2013, Sections 13.3.2.2 and 13.3.6) and ice sheets (Section 13.3.3.2). For instance, Jevrejeva et al. (2012b) found that their median projections for 2100 were reduced by 0.02 to 0.10 m by excluding some such contributions.

Making projections with a SEM assumes that sea level change in the future will have the same relationship as it has had in the past to RF or global mean temperature change. The appropriate choice for the formulation of the SEM may depend on the nature of the climate forcing and the time scale, and potentially nonlinear physical processes may not scale in the future in ways which can be calibrated from the past (von Storch et al., 2008; Vermeer and Rahmstorf, 2009; Rahmstorf et al., 2012b; Orlić and Pasarić, 2013). Two such effects that could lead to overestimated or underestimated projections by SEMs have been discussed in the literature.

First, AOGCMs indicate that the ocean heat uptake efficiency tends to decline as warming continues and heat penetrates more deeply (Gregory and Forster, 2008). A linear scaling of the rate of global ocean heat uptake with global SAT determined from the past, as proposed by Rahmstorf (2007a), will thus overestimate future time-integrated heat content change and the consequent global ocean thermal expansion on a century time scale (Orlić and Pasarić, 2013). Rahmstorf (2007a) found that the linear scaling overestimated by 0.12 m (about 30%) the thermal expansion simulated by a climate model with a 3D ocean from 1990 to 2100 under scenario SRES A1FI. Furthermore, the Rahmstorf (2007a) model is inadequate for simulating sea level variations of the
last millennium (von Storch et al., 2008), which arise predominantly from episodic volcanic forcing, rather than the sustained forcing on multi-decadal time scales for which it was intended. In both applications, the AOGCM behaviour is more accurately reproduced by taking into account the vertical profile of warming, at least by distinguishing the upper (mixed layer) and lower (thermocline) layers (Vermeer and Rahmstorf, 2009; Held et al., 2010) (Section 13.4.1), or by introducing a relaxation time scale for sea level rise (Jevrejeva et al., 2012b).

Second, the sensitivity of glaciers to warming will tend to decrease as the area most prone to ablation and the remaining volume decrease, partly counteracted by lowering of the surface due to thinning (Huss et al., 2012) (Section 13.4.2). On the other hand, glaciers at high latitudes that currently have negligible surface melting will begin to ablate as the climate becomes warmer, tending to give an increase in sensitivity (Rahmstorf et al., 2012b) (Section 13.4.2). Estimating the balance of these two effects will require detailed modelling of glacier SMB. The absence of a multidecadal acceleration in the rate of glacier mass loss in observations of the 20th and simulations of the 21st centuries (Section 4.3.3) (Radic and Hock, 2010; Marzeion et al., 2012a), despite rising global temperatures, suggests that the reduction in sensitivity may dominate (Gregory et al., 2013b).

### 13.5.3 Confidence in Likely Ranges and Bounds

The AR4 (Meehl et al., 2007) presented process-model-based projections of GMSL rise for the end of the 21st century, but did not provide a best estimate or likely range principally because scientific

#### Table 13.6 | Global mean sea level (GMSL) rise (metres) projected by semi-empirical models and compared with the IPCC AR4 and AR5 projections. In each case the results have a probability distribution whose 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles are shown in the columns as indicated. The AR5 5 to 95% process-based model range is interpreted as a likely range (medium confidence) (Section 13.5.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario SRES A1B</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>5%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPCC AR4</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCC AR4</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCC AR5 (also in Table 13.5)</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahmstorf (2007a)</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horton et al. (2008)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermeer and Rahmstorf (2009)</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinsted et al. (2010) with Brohan et al. (2006) temperature for calibration</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinsted et al. (2010) with Moberg et al. (2005) temperature for calibration</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jevrejeva et al. (2010) with Crowley et al. (2003) forcing for calibration</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jevrejeva et al. (2010) with Goosse et al. (2005) forcing for calibration</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jevrejeva et al. (2010) with Tett et al. (2007) forcing for calibration</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario RCP4.5</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>5%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPCC AR5 (also in Table 13.5)</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinsted et al. (2010) calibrated with Moberg et al. (2005) temperature</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahmstorf et al. (2012b) calibrated with Church and White (2006) GMSL</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahmstorf et al. (2012b) calibrated with Church and White (2011) GMSL</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahmstorf et al. (2012b) calibrated with Jevrejeva et al. (2008) GMSL</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahmstorf et al. (2012b) calibrated with proxy data</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jevrejeva et al. (2012a) calibrated with Goosse et al. (2005) radiative forcing</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jevrejeva et al. (2012a) calibrated with Crowley et al. (2003) radiative forcing</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jevrejeva et al. (2012a) calibrated with Tett et al. (2007) radiative forcing</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaeffer et al. (2012)</td>
<td>1986–2005</td>
<td>2081–2100</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- a Extrapolated to 2100 using the projected rates of sea level rise for 2090–2099 in Table 10.7 of Meehl et al. (2007).
- b Including scaled-up ice-sheet discharge given in Table 10.7 of Meehl et al. (2007) and extrapolated to 2100 as an illustration of the possible magnitude of this effect.
- c Uncertainty range not given.
- d The mean value and the range are shown for semi-empirical model projections based on results from 11 GCMs.
For a given RCP, some SEMs project a range that overlaps the process-based range while others project a median and 95-percentile that are about twice as large as the process-based models. In nearly every case, the SEM 95-percentile is above the process-based likely range (Figure 13.12). Two physical explanations have been suggested for the higher projections. First, the contribution from accelerated calving of tidewater glaciers may be substantial and included in SEMs but not process-based models (Jevrejeva et al., 2012b); however, this could account for only 0.1 to 0.2 m of additional GMSL rise. Second, SEMs may allow for rapid ice-sheet dynamical change (Section 4.4.4) in response to future climate change (Grinsted et al., 2010; Little et al., 2013a). In order for large ice-sheet dynamical changes to be predictable by SEMs, two conditions must be met. First, these changes must have contributed substantially to sea level rise during the period of calibration. This is very unlikely to be the case, because it is very likely that dynamical changes have contributed only a small part of the observed sea level rise during the 20th century, rising to about 15% during 1993–2010 (Section 13.3.6). Second, the changes must have a link to global surface temperature or RF. Current understanding of recent dynamical changes in Greenland and Antarctica is that they have been triggered by local changes in ocean temperature (Holland et al., 2008; Thoma et al., 2008; Jacobs et al., 2011), but a link has not been demonstrated between these changes and global climate change or its drivers. Consequently there is great uncertainty regarding whether recent ice-sheet dynamical changes indicate a long-term trend or instead arise from internal variability (Bamber and Aspinall, 2013). Hence there is no evidence that ice-sheet dynamical change is the explanation for the higher GMSL rise projections of SEMs, implying that either there is some other contribution which is presently unidentified or underestimated by process-based models, or that the projections of SEMs are overestimates (cf. Section 13.5.2). Because of the limited or medium evidence supporting SEMs, and the low agreement about their reliability, we have low confidence in their projections.

Since the publication of the AR4, upper bounds of up to 2.4 m for GMSL rise by 2100 have been estimated by other approaches, namely SEMs (Section 13.5.2), evidence from past climates (Section 13.2.1) and physical constraints on ice-sheet dynamics (Sections 13.4.3.2 and 13.4.4.2). The broad range of values reflects the different methodologies for obtaining the upper bound, involving different constraining factors and sources of evidence. In particular, the upper bound is strongly affected by the choice of probability level, which in some approaches is unknown because the probability of the underlying assumptions is not quantified (Little et al., 2013b).

The confidence that can be placed in projections of GMSL rise and its upper bound by the various approaches must be considered. Confidence arises from the nature, quantity, quality and consistency of the evidence.

The first approach is based on process-based projections, which use the results from several models for each contribution (Sections 13.4 and 13.5.1; Table 13.5). There is medium evidence in support of this approach, arising from our understanding of the modelled physical processes, the consistency of the models with wider physical understanding of those processes as elements of the climate system (e.g., Box 13.1), the consistency of modelled and observed contributions (Sections 13.3.1 to 13.3.5), the consistency of observed and modelled GMSL (Section 13.3.6), and the consistency of process-based projections based on the CMIP5 ensemble of AOGCMs, which have a range of 50 to 60% of the ensemble mean under a given scenario (Table 13.5). Considering this evidence, we have medium confidence in the process-based projections.

The second approach uses SEMs (Section 13.5.2, Table 13.6), which make projections by calibrating a physically motivated relationship between GMSL and some other parameter of the climate system in the past and applying it to the future, without quantifying the contributory physical processes. If we had no physical understanding of the causes of sea level rise, the semi-empirical approach to projections would be the only possible one, but extrapolation beyond the range of calibration implies uncertainty that is difficult to quantify, owing to the assumption that sea level change in the future will have the same relationship as it has had in the past to RF or global mean temperature change (Section 13.5.2). As a result, there is low agreement and no consensus in the scientific community about the reliability of SEM projections, despite their successful calibration and evaluation against the observed 20th century sea level record.

For a given RCP, some SEMs project a range that overlaps the process-based likely range while others project a median and 95-percentile
et al. (2011) of 1.15 m, for GMSL rise by 2100, including ice-sheet rapid dynamical acceleration. Although these authors considered their scenarios to be physically possible, they are unable to quantify their likelihood, because the probability of the assumptions on which they depend cannot be estimated from observations of the response of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets to climate change or variability on century time scales. These scenarios involve contributions of ~0.5 m from Antarctica. This is much greater than any process-based projections of dynamical ice-sheet change (Section 13.4.4.2), and would require either a sustained high increase in outflow in all marine-based sectors or the localized collapse of the ice sheet in the Amundsen Sea sector (Little et al., 2013a).

In summary, we have greater confidence in the process-based projections than in the other approaches, and our assessment is that GMSL rise during the 21st century for each RCP scenario is likely (medium confidence) to lie within the 5 to 95% range given by the process-based projections (Section 13.5.1 and Table 13.5; see Section 13.5.4 for following centuries), which are consistent with the likely ranges projected for global mean surface air temperature change (Section 12.4.1.2). We are not able to assess a very likely range on the same basis, because there is no assessment available of the very likely range for global mean SAT change, and because we cannot robustly quantify the probability of ice-sheet dynamical changes which would give rise to greater values.

Under the RCP8.5 scenario, which has the highest RF, the likely range reaches 0.98 m by 2100 relative to 1986–2005. Observations do not show an increase in Antarctic precipitation, which is projected by models and makes a negative contribution to the projected GMSL rise (Table 13.5). The recovery of Antarctic stratospheric ozone concentration and increased basal melting of Antarctic ice shelves have both been suggested as giving rise to mechanisms whereby the Antarctic warming and precipitation increase might be suppressed with respect to CMIP5 projections (Section 13.4.4.1). If the Antarctic precipitation increase is omitted from the process-based projections, the likely range for RCP8.5 at 2100 reaches 1.03 m (assuming uncorrelated errors). Higher values for 2100 are given in the scientific literature on the basis of various approaches: 1.15 m (Katsman et al., 2011), 1.21 m (Schaef-fer et al., 2012) (for RCP4.5), 1.40 m (National Research Council, 2012), 1.65 m (Jevrejeva et al., 2012b) (for RCP8.5), 1.79 m (Vermeer and Rahmstorf, 2009) (for SRES A1FI), 1.90 m (Rahmstorf et al., 2012b) (with proxy calibration, for RCP8.5), 2.0 m (Pfeffer et al., 2008), 2.25 m (Srver et al., 2012), and 2.4 m (Nicholls et al., 2011). Considering this inconsistent evidence, we conclude that the probability of specific levels above the likely range cannot be reliably evaluated.

Only the collapse of marine-based sectors of the Antarctic ice sheet could cause GMSL rise substantially above the likely range during the 21st century. Expert estimates of contributions from this source have a wide spread (Bamber and Aspinall, 2013), indicating a lack of consensus on the probability for such a collapse. The potential additional contribution to GMSL rise also cannot be precisely quantified, but there is medium confidence that, if a collapse were initiated, it would not exceed several tenths of a metre during the 21st century (Section 13.4.4.2).

The time mean rate of GMSL rise during the 21st century is very likely to exceed the rate of 2.0 [1.7 to 2.3] mm yr$^{-1}$ observed during 1971–2010, because the process-based GMSL projections indicate a significantly greater rate even under the RCP2.6 scenario, which has the lowest RF. It has been asserted that the acceleration of GMSL rise implied by the IPCC AR4 projections is inconsistent with the observed magnitude of acceleration during the 20th century (Boretti, 2011, 2012b, 2012a, 2012c, 2013a, 2013b, 2013c; Boretti and Watson, 2012; Parker, 2013a, 2013b, 2013c). Refuting this argument, Hunter and Brown (2013) show that the acceleration projected in the AR4 is consistent with observations since 1990s. Present understanding of the contributions to GMSL rise (Section 13.3) gives an explanation of the rate of 20th century GMSL rise and confidence in the process-based projections, which indicate a greater rate of rise in the 21st century because of increasing forcing.

The improved agreement of process-based models with observations and physical understanding represents progress since the AR4, in which there was insufficient confidence to give likely ranges for 21st century GMSL rise, as we have done here. For scenario SRES A1B, which was assessed in the AR4, the likely range on the basis of science assessed in the AR5 is 0.60 [0.42 to 0.80] m by 2100 relative to 1986–2005, and 0.57 [0.40 to 0.76] m by 2090–2099 relative to 1990. Compared with the AR4 projection of 0.21 to 0.48 m for the same scenario and period, the largest increase is from the inclusion of rapid changes in Greenland and Antarctic ice sheet outflow, for which the combined likely range is 0.03 to 0.21 m by 2091–2100 (assuming uncorrelated uncertainties). These terms were omitted in the AR4 because a basis to make projections was not available in published literature at that time. The contribution from thermal expansion is similar to the AR4 projection and has smaller uncertainty. The contribution from glaciers is larger than in the AR4 primarily because of the greater estimate of the present glacier volume in new inventories (although the glacier area estimate is similar, Table 4.1), and the Greenland SMB contribution is larger because of recent improvement in models of relevant surface processes. Further progress on modelling each of the contributions is still needed in order to attain high confidence in GMSL projections, in particular concerning the probability distribution of GMSL above the likely ranges.

### 13.5.4 Long-term Scenarios

Less information is available on climate change beyond the year 2100 than there is up to the year 2100. However, the ocean and ice sheets will continue to respond to changes in external forcing on multi-centennial to multi-millennial time scales. For the period up to the year 2500, available physical model projections discussed in Sections 13.4.1-4 are combined into an assessment of future sea level rise. Paleo simulations are combined with paleo data to estimate the sea level commitment on a multi-millennial time scale beyond 2500 for different levels of sustained increases in global mean temperature.

The RCPs, as applied in Chapter 12 and Sections 13.4 and 13.5.1, are defined up to the year 2100. Their extension up to the year 2300 is used to project long-term climate change (Section 12.3.1.3) (Meinshausen et al., 2011), but they are not directly derived from integrated assessment models. In simulations that are reported here up to the year 2500, the RF has generally been kept constant at the 2300 level.
except for RCP2.6, in which the forcing continues to decline at the 2300 rate. Some model simulations of ice sheets and ocean warming assessed here have used scenarios different from the RCP scenarios. Because of the limited number of available simulations, sea level projections beyond the year 2100 have thus been grouped into three categories according to their GHG concentration in the 22nd century: low scenarios in which atmospheric GHG concentrations peak and decline and do not exceed values that are equivalent to 500 ppm CO2, medium scenarios with concentrations between 500 and 700 ppm CO2-eq, and high scenarios above 700 ppm. As a consequence, the model spread shown in Figure 13.13 and Table 13.8 combines different scenarios and is not merely due to different model physics. The low scenarios include RCP2.6, SRES B1 and scenarios with 0.5 and 2% yr⁻¹ increases in CO2, followed by no emissions after 450 ppm has been reached, and the commitment scenarios, CC, in Goelzer et al. (2013) which stabilize CO2 at present-day levels. In a number of the low scenarios, the global mean temperature peaks during the 21st century and declines thereafter. These peak-and-decline scenarios include RCP2.6 as well as all scenarios with no GHG emissions after a specified year. Even in these scenarios sea level continues to rise up to the year 2500 in accordance with the multi-millennial sea level commitment of about 2 m °C⁻¹ as discussed in Section 13.5.4.2. The medium scenarios include RCP4.5 as well as scenarios with 1% yr⁻¹ increase in CO2, up to 560 ppm and SRES-B1 and SRES-A1B. The high scenarios include RCP6.0 and RCP8.5 as well as 1120 ppm scenarios and SRES A2. Also included are scenarios with 0.5 and 2% increase in CO2 and a SRES A2 scenario with zero emissions after 1200 and 1120 ppm have been reached, respectively.

13.5.4.1 Multi-centennial Projections

The multi-centennial sea level contributions from ocean expansion and the cryospheric components are discussed in Sections 13.4.1 to 13.4.4. A synthesis of these contributions is provided in Table 13.8 and Figure 13.13 for the end of each century until the year 2500. Thermal expansion contributions (dark blue bars, Figure 13.13) were obtained from coarse-resolution coupled climate models (Vizcaino et al., 2008; Solomon et al., 2009; Gillett et al., 2011; Schew et al., 2011; Zickfeld et al., 2013). For comparison, the full model spread of the CMIP5 models which were integrated beyond 2100 is provided in Table 13.7 and as light blue bars in Figure 13.13. Even though the models used for the long-term projections (Table 13.8) are less complex compared to the CMIP5 models, their model spread for the different periods and scenarios encompasses the CMIP5 spread, which provides medium confidence in the application of the less complex models beyond 2300.

Contributions from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets were obtained with climate models of comparable complexity coupled to ice-sheet models (Vizcaino et al., 2010; Huybrechts et al., 2011; Goelzer et al., 2012). Glacier projections were obtained by application of the method by Marzeion et al. (2012a) to the CMIP5 model output for scenarios and models that were integrated up to the year 2300. For 2400 and 2500, the same model spread as for 2300 is shown. This is probably underestimating the glacier’s sea level contribution beyond 2300.

The ranges of sea level contributions provided in Figure 13.13 and Table 13.8 only represent the model spread and cannot be interpreted as uncertainty ranges. An uncertainty assessment cannot be provided beyond the year 2100 because of the small number of available simulations, the fact that different scenarios were combined within one scenario group, and the overall low confidence in the ability of the coarse-resolution ice-sheet models to capture the dynamic ice discharge from Greenland and Antarctica, as discussed below. The range for the total sea level change was obtained by taking the sum of contributions that result in the lowest and the highest sea level rise and thereby covers the largest possible model spread.

Except for the glacier models (Section 13.4.2), the models used here for the period beyond 2100 are different from the models used for the 21st century (Sections 13.4.1, 13.4.3, 13.4.4, and 13.5.1). Generally, the model spread for the total sea level contribution in 2100 is slightly lower than the likely range provided in Section 13.5.1 (light red bars in Figure 13.13). This is due to the ice-sheet models, particularly of the Antarctic ice sheet, as coarse-resolution model results for thermal expansion cover the range of the CMIP5 projections (light blue vertical lines in Figure 13.13 and Table 13.7.) and the glacier contribution is the same.

Projections beyond 2100 show positive contributions to sea level from thermal expansion, glaciers and changes in Greenland ice sheet SMB. Due to enhanced accumulation under warming, the Antarctic ice sheet SMB change makes a negative contribution to sea level in scenarios below 700 ppm CO2-eq. These results were obtained with fully coupled climate–ice sheet models which need to apply a relatively low spatial resolution. In light of the discussion in Section 13.3.3.2 and the assessment of the 21st century changes in Section 13.4.4.1, there is low confidence in this result. For scenarios above 700 ppm CO2-eq, Antarctic SMB change is contributing positively to GMSL.

As discussed in Sections 13.4.3.2 and 13.4.4.2, there is medium confidence in the ability of coupled ice sheet–climate models to project sea level contributions from dynamic ice-sheet changes in Greenland and Antarctica for the 21st century. In Greenland, dynamic mass loss is limited by topographically defined outlets regions. Furthermore, solid ice discharge induced from interaction with the ocean is self-limiting because retreat of the ice sheet results in less contact with the ocean and less mass loss by iceberg calving (Pfeffer et al., 2008; Graversen et al., 2011; Price et al., 2011). By contrast, the bedrock topography of Antarctica is such that parts of the retreating ice sheet will remain in contact with the ocean. In particular, due to topography that is sloping landward, especially in West Antarctica, enhanced rates of mass loss are expected as the ice retreats.

Although the model used by Huybrechts et al. (2011) is in principle capable of capturing grounding line motion of marine ice sheets (see Box 13.2), low confidence is assigned to the model’s ability to capture the associated time scale and the perturbation required to initiate a retreat (Pattyn et al., 2013). The model used by Vizcaino et al. (2010) does not represent ice-shelf dynamics and is thus lacking a fundamental process that can trigger the instability. As stated by the authors, low confidence is thus also assigned to the model’s ability to project future solid ice discharge from Antarctica. It is thus likely that the values depicted in Figure 13.13 systematically underestimate Antarctica’s future contribution. As detailed in Section 13.5.4.2, simulations of the last 5 Myr (Pollard and DeConto, 2009) indicate that on
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Figure 13.13 | Sea level projections beyond the year 2100 are grouped into three categories according to the concentration of GHG concentration (in CO₂-eq) in the year 2100 (upper panel: >700 ppm including RCP6.0 and RCP8.5; middle panel: 500–700 ppm including RCP4.5; lower panel: <500 ppm including RCP2.6). Colored bars show the full model spread. Horizontal lines provide the specific model simulations. The different contributions are given from left to right as thermal expansion from the CMIP5 simulations up to 2300 (as used for the 21st century projections, section 13.5.1, light blue, with the median indicated by the horizontal bar), thermal expansion for the models considered in this section (dark blue), glaciers (light green), Greenland ice sheet (dark green), Antarctic ice sheet (orange), and the total contribution (red). The range provided for the total sea level change represents the maximum possible spread that can be obtained from the four different contributions. Light red-shaded bars show the likely range for the 21st century total sea level projection of the corresponding scenarios from Figure 13.10 with the median as the horizontal line. In the upper panel, the left light red bar corresponds to RCP6.0 and the right light red bar corresponds to RCP8.5.

multi-millennial time scales, the Antarctic ice sheet loses mass for elevated temperatures, in contrast to the projections until the year 2500 for the low and medium scenarios.

The model spread of total sea level change in 2300 ranges from 0.41 to 0.85 m for the low scenario (Table 13.8). Using an SEM, Schaeffer et al. (2012) obtained a significantly larger 90% confidence range of 1.3 to 3.3 m for the RCP2.6 scenario. The RCP4.5 scenario, for which they obtained a range of 2.3 to 5.5 m, is categorized here as a medium scenario, and is also significantly higher than the range of 0.27 to 1.51 m computed by the process-based models. Using a different semi-empirical approach, Jevrejeva et al. (2012a) obtained a 90% confidence range of 0.13 to 1.74 m for RCP2.6 in the year 2500, which encloses the model spread of 0.50 to 1.02 m for the low scenario from the process-based models. For the medium and high scenarios, however, they obtained ranges of 0.72 to 4.3 m and 1.0 to 11.5 m, respectively, which are significantly higher than the corresponding process-based model spread of 0.18 to 2.32 m and 1.51 to 6.63 m (Table 13.8). Because projections of land water storage are not available for years beyond 2100 these were not included here.
The higher estimates from the SEMs than the process-based models used here for the long-term projections are consistent with the relation between the two modelling approaches for the 21st century (Figure 13.12). Section 13.5.3 concluded that the limited or medium evidence supporting SEMs, and the low agreement about their reliability, provides low confidence in their projections for the 21st century. We note here that the confidence in the ability of SEMs is further reduced with the length of the extrapolation period and the deviation of the future forcing from the forcing of the learning period (Schaeffer et al., 2012), thus decreasing confidence over the long time frames considered here.

For increasing global mean SAT, sea level is virtually certain to continue to rise beyond the year 2500 as shown by available process-based model simulations of thermal expansion and ice sheets that were computed beyond 2500 (Rahmstorf and Ganopolski, 1999; Ridley et al., 2005; Winguth et al., 2005; Driesschaert et al., 2007; Mikolajewicz et al., 2007b; Swingedouw et al., 2008; Vizcaíno et al., 2008; Solomon et al., 2009; Vizcaíno et al., 2010; Gillett et al., 2011; Goelzer et al., 2011; Huybrechts et al., 2011; Schewe et al., 2011).

13.5.4.2 Multi-Millennial Projections

Here sea level commitment in response to a global mean temperature increase on a multi-millennial time scale is assessed. Figure 13.14 shows the sea level rise after several millennia of constant global mean temperature increase above pre-industrial. The thermal expansion of the ocean was taken from 1000-year integrations with six coupled climate models as used in the AR4 (models Bern2D, CGoldstein, CLIMate and BiophysERE-2 (CLIMBER-2), Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), MoBiDiC, and Loch-Vecobe-Edbilt-Clio-aglsm Model (LOVE-CLIM) in Figure 10.34 in Meehl et al. (2007)). These yield a rate of sea level change in the range of 0.20 to 0.63 m °C⁻¹ (Figure 13.14a). For reference, a spatially uniform increase of ocean temperature yields a global mean sea level rise of 0.38 m °C⁻¹ when added to observed data (Levitus et al., 2009) (black dots in Figure 13.14a). Uncertainty arises due to the different spatial distribution of the warming in models and the dependence of the expansion on local temperature and salinity. The contribution for glaciers was obtained with the models from Mazzoni et al. (2012a) and Radic and Hock (2011) by integration with fixed boundary conditions corresponding to different global mean SAT levels for 3000 years.

As detailed in Sections 13.4.3.2 and 13.4.4.2, there is low confidence in the ability of current Antarctic ice-sheet models to capture the temporal response to changes in external forcing on a decadal to centennial time scale. On multi-centennial to multi-millennial time scales, however, these models can be validated against paleo sea level records. The contributions from the Greenland ice sheet were computed with a dynamic ice-sheet model coupled to an energy-moisture balance model for the SMB (Robinson et al., 2012). The model’s parameters were constrained by comparison with SMB estimates and topographic data for the present day and with estimated summit-elevation changes from ice-core records for the Last Interglacial period (LIG), in order to ensure that the coupled model ensemble has a realistic sensitivity to climatic change. The parameter spread leads to a spread in ice-sheet responses (dark green lines in Figure 13.14c). The contribution to sea level commitment from the Greenland ice sheet is relatively weak (on average 0.18 m °C⁻¹ up to 1°C and 0.34 m °C⁻¹ between 2°C and 4°C) apart from the abrupt threshold of ice loss between 0.8°C and 2.2°C above pre-industrial (90% confidence interval in the particular model calculations reported here) (Figure 13.14c). This represents a change from a fully ice-covered Greenland to an essentially ice-free state, reducing the ice sheet to around 10% of present-day volume and raising sea level by over 6 m (Ridley et al., 2005; Ridley et al., 2010). The threshold temperature is lower than estimates obtained from the assumption that the threshold coincides with a negative total SMB of the Greenland ice sheet (see Section 13.4.3.3 for a more complete discussion).

The Antarctic ice sheet contribution comes from a simulation of the last 5 million years (Pollard and DeConto, 2009), which is in good agreement with regional paleo records (Naish et al., 2009). The sensitivity of the ice sheet was extracted from this model simulation by correlating the ice volume with the global mean temperature which forces the simulation. The standard deviation of the resulting scatter is used as a measure of uncertainty (Figure 13.14d). Uncertainty arises from uncertainty in the forcing data, the ice physics representation, and from the time-dependent nature of the simulation. For example, the existence of hysteresis behavior on the sub-continental scale can lead to different contributions for the same temperature increase. The Antarctic ice sheet shows a relatively constant commitment of 1.2 m °C⁻¹. Paleorecords indicate that a potential hysteresis behaviour of East Antarctica requires a temperature increase above 4°C and is thereby outside of the scope discussed here (Foster and Rohling, 2013).

In order to compare the model results with past sea level anomalies for the temperature range up to 4°C, we focus on the three previous periods of warmer climates and higher sea levels than pre-industrial that were assessed in Sections 5.6.1, 5.6.2 and 13.2.1: the middle Pliocene, MIS 11, and the LIG (Figure 13.14e). In each case, there is reasonable agreement between the model result of a long-term sea level response for a given temperature with the information from the paleo record.

The ability of the physical models to reproduce paleo sea level records on a multi-millennial time scale provides confidence in applying them to millennial time frames. After 2000 years, the sea level contribution will be largely independent of the exact warming path during the first century. As can be seen from Figure 10.34 of AR4, the oceanic heat content will be largely equilibrated after 2000 years; the same is true for the glacier component. The situation for Antarctica is slightly more complicated, but as can be inferred from Pollard and DeConto (2009), much of the retreat of the West Antarctic ice sheet will have already occurred by 2000 years, especially if the warming occurs on a decadal to centennial time scale. The opposite and smaller trend in East Antarctic ice volume due to increased snowfall in a warmer environment will also have largely equilibrated (Uotila et al., 2007; Winkelmann et al., 2012).

The most significant difference arises from the contribution of the Greenland ice sheet. Consistent with previous estimates (Huybrechts et al., 2011; Goelzer et al., 2012), the rate of the sea level contribution from Greenland increases with temperature. The transient simulations for an instantaneous temperature increase show a quasi-quadratic dependence of the sea level contribution on this temperature increase after 2000 years (Figure 13.14h) (Robinson et al., 2012). The results are
quantitatively consistent with previous estimates on a millennial time scale (Huybrechts et al., 2011; Goelzer et al., 2012). The sea level contribution of the Greenland ice sheet after 2000 years of integration at 560 ppm was plotted against the average Greenland temperature divided by the standard polar amplification of 1.5 between global mean and Greenland mean temperature increase (Gregory and Huybrechts, 2006, black dot in Figure 13.14h). Taken together, these results imply that a sea level rise of 1 to 3 m °C⁻¹ is expected if the warming is sustained for several millennia (low confidence) (Figure 13.14e, 13.14j).

Figure 13.14 | (Left column) Multi-millennial sea level commitment per degree Celsius of warming as obtained from physical model simulations of (a) ocean warming, (b) mountain glaciers and (c) the Greenland and (d) the Antarctic ice sheets. (e) The corresponding total sea level commitment, compared to paleo estimates from past warm periods (PI = pre-industrial, LIG = last interglacial period, M11 = Marine Isotope Stage 11, Plio = Mid-Pliocene). Temperatures are relative to pre-industrial. Dashed lines provide linear approximations in (d) and (e) with constant slopes of 1.2, 1.8 and 2.3 m °C⁻¹. Shading as well as the vertical line represents the uncertainty range as detailed in the text. (Right column) 2000-year-sea level commitment. The difference in total sea level commitment (j) compared to the fully equilibrated situation (e) arises from the Greenland ice sheet which equilibrates on tens of thousands of years. After 2000 years one finds a nonlinear dependence on the temperature increase (h) consistent with coupled climate–ice sheet simulations by Huybrechts et al. (2011) (black dot). The total sea level commitment after 2000 years is quasi-linear with a slope of 2.3 m °C⁻¹.
13.6 Regional Sea Level Changes

Regional sea level changes may differ substantially from a global average, showing complex spatial patterns which result from ocean dynamical processes, movements of the sea floor, and changes in gravity due to water mass redistribution (land ice and other terrestrial water storage) in the climate system. The regional distribution is associated with natural or anthropogenic climate modes rather than factors causing changes in the global average value, and include such processes as a dynamical redistribution of water masses and a change of water mass properties caused by changes in winds and air pressure, air–sea heat and freshwater fluxes and ocean currents. Because the characteristic time scales of all involved processes are different, their relative contribution to net regional sea level variability or change will depend fundamentally on the time scale considered.

13.6.1 Regional Sea Level Changes, Climate Modes and Forced Sea Level Response

As discussed in Chapter 3, most of the regional sea level changes observed during the recent altimetry era or reconstructed during past decades from tide gauges appear to be steric (Levitus et al., 2005, 2009; Lombard et al., 2005a, 2005b; Ishii and Kimoto, 2009; Stammer et al., 2013). Moreover, steric changes observed during the altimetry era appear to be primarily thermosteric in nature, although halosteric effects, which can reduce or enhance thermosteric changes, are also important in some regions (e.g., Atlantic Ocean, Bay of Bengal). Ocean models and ocean reanalysis-based results (Carton et al., 2005; Wunsch and Heimbach, 2007; Stammer et al., 2011) as well as ocean circulation models without data assimilation (Lombard et al., 2009) confirm these results.

Observations and ocean reanalysis (Stammer et al., 2011; 2013) also agree in showing that steric spatial patterns over the last half of the 20th century fluctuate in space and time as part of modes of the coupled ocean–atmosphere system such as ENSO, the NAO, and the PDO (Levitus et al., 2005; Lombard et al., 2005a; Di Lorenzo et al., 2010; Lozier et al., 2010; Zhang and Church, 2012). In these cases, regional sea level variability is associated with changing wind fields and resulting changes in the ocean circulation (Kohl and Stammer, 2008).
correspond to an increase in the strength of the trade winds in the central and eastern tropical Pacific over the same period (Timmermann et al., 2010; Merrifield and Maltrud, 2011; Nidheesh et al., 2012). The long-term sea level trend from 1958 to 2001 in the tropical Pacific can also be explained as the ocean’s dynamical response to variations in the wind forcing (Qiu and Chen, 2006; Timmermann et al., 2010).

Spatial variations in trends in regional sea level may also be specific to a particular sea or ocean basin. For example, a sea level rise of 5.4 ± 0.3 mm yr⁻¹ in the region between Japan and Korea from 1993 to 2001 is nearly two times the GMSL trend, with more than 80% of this rise being thermosteric (Kang et al., 2005). Han et al. (2010) found that regional changes of sea level in the Indian Ocean that have emerged since the 1960s are driven by changing surface winds associated with a combined enhancement of Hadley and Walker Cells.

13.6.2 Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5

CMIP5 projections of regional sea level provide information primarily about dynamical sea level changes resulting from increased heat uptake and changes in the wind forcing. On decadal time scales, the CMIP5 model ensemble identifies strong interannual variability (up to 8 cm, root-mean square (RMS)) associated with ENSO and dynamics of the equatorial current system in the tropical Pacific and Indian Oceans (Figure 13.15a). Similar variability in the amplitude of sea level change but due to other climate modes is also apparent in the North Atlantic (Yin et al., 2010; Pardaens et al., 2011a).

Figure 13.15 | (a) Root-mean square (RMS) interannual dynamic sea level variability (millimetres) in a CMIP5 multi-model ensemble (21 models), built from the historically forced experiments during the period 1951–2005. (b) Changes in the ensemble average interannual dynamic sea level variability (standard deviation (SD), in millimetres) evaluated over the period 2081–2100 relative to the reference period 1986–2005. The projection data (2081–2100) are from the CMIP5 RCP4.5 experiment.

Toward the end of the 21st century, the CMIP5 results indicate that it is possible that the interannual to decadal variability of dynamic sea level can weaken in some parts of the world ocean, for example, the western low-latitude Pacific and parts of the Indian Ocean, whereas it could be amplified in other parts, for example, the North Pacific, the eastern tropical Pacific, the eastern subtropical Atlantic and the Arctic (Figure 13.15b).

Longer-than-decadal-time-scale regional sea level changes can increasingly be expected to result from long-term changes in the wind field, changes in the regional and global ocean heat and freshwater content and the associated dynamical adjustment (with associated redistribution of ocean properties), and (to a lesser extent) from atmospheric pressure. The CMIP5 projections of steric sea level changes toward the end of the 21st century reveal a clear regional pattern in dynamical sea level change (Figure 13.16), in which the Southern Ocean shows a net decline relative to the global mean, while the remaining global ocean displays complex ridge-and-trough structures superimposed on a generally rising sea level (Yin, 2012). For example, in the North Atlantic, the largest sea level rise is along and north of the North Atlantic Current, but less so further to the south in the center of the warmer subtropical gyre. A similar dipole pattern was observed in CMIP3 results there due to a weakening of the AMOC which leads to a local steric sea level rise east of North America, resulting in more water on the shelf and directly impacting northeastern North America (Levermann et al., 2005; Landerer et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2010). A similar pattern can be observed in the North Pacific, but here and in other parts of the world ocean (e.g., Southern Ocean), regional sea level patterns are largely the result of changes in wind forcing, associated changes in the circulation, and an associated redistribution of heat and freshwater. Some regional changes can also be expected to result from modifications in the expansion coefficient due to changes in the ocean’s regional heat content (Kuhlbrodt and Gregory, 2012).

The CMIP5 ensemble indicates that regions showing an enhanced sea level toward the end of the 21st century coincide with those showing the largest uncertainty (Figure 13.16b). Although this also appeared in the earlier CMIP3 SRES A1B results, the CMIP5 results, by comparison, show a general reduction in the ensemble spread, especially in high latitudes. On a global average, this reduction is from 5.7 cm to 2.1 cm, RMS.

The contribution of changes of global ocean heat storage to regional steric sea level anomalies is virtually certain to increase with time as the climate warming signal increasingly penetrates into the deep ocean (Pardaens et al., 2011a). For the last three decades of the 21st century, the AR4 climate model ensemble mean shows a significant heat storage increase (Yin et al., 2010), about half of which is stored in the ocean below 700 m depth. Recent detection of ongoing changes in the ocean salinity structure (Durack and Wijffels, 2010) (Section 3.3.2) may also contribute to future regional steric sea level changes. Halosteric effects can dominate in some regions, especially in regions of high-latitude water mass formation where long-term heat and freshwater changes are expected to occur (e.g., in the subpolar North Atlantic, the Arctic, the Southern Ocean) (Yin et al., 2010; Pardaens et al., 2011a). Because of an anticipated increase in atmospheric moisture transport from low to high latitudes (Pardaens et al., 2003), halosteric anomalies are positive in the Arctic Ocean and dominate regional sea level.
13.6.3 Response to Atmospheric Pressure Changes

Regional sea level also adjusts to regional changes in atmospheric sea level pressure relative to its instantaneous mean over the ocean. Over time scales longer than a few days, the adjustment is nearly isostatic. Sea level pressure is projected to increase over the subtropics and mid-latitudes (depressing sea level) and decrease over high latitudes (raising sea level), especially over the Arctic (order several millibars), by the end of the 21st century associated with a poleward expansion of the Hadley Circulation and a poleward shift of the storm tracks of several degrees latitude (Section 12.4.4) (Held and Soden, 2006). These changes may therefore contribute positively to the sea level rise in the Arctic in the range of up to 1.5 cm and about 2.5 cm for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively (Yin et al., 2010) (Figure 13.17). In contrast, air pressure changes oppose sea level rise in mid- and low latitudes albeit with small amplitudes. Air pressure may also influence regional sea level elsewhere, as demonstrated by sea level changes in the Mediterranean in the second half of the 20th century (Tsimplis et al., 2005).

13.6.4 Response to Freshwater Forcing

Enhanced freshwater fluxes derived from an increase in ice-sheet meltwater at high latitudes results in a regional pattern of sea level rise

anomalies there (Yin et al., 2010). It is likely that future thermosteric changes will dominate the steric variations in the Southern Ocean, and strong compensation between thermosteric and halosteric change will characterize the Atlantic (Pardaens et al., 2011a).
Chapter 13 Sea Level Change

13.6.4.1 Dynamic Ocean Response to Cryospheric Freshwater Forcing

The addition of freshwater from glaciers and ice sheets to the ocean leads to an instantaneous increase in global mean sea level, but because it is communicated around the ocean basins via a dynamical adjustment, it is not instantaneously globally uniform (Kawase, 1987; Cane, 1989). For the addition of mass, the barotropic adjustment of the ocean takes place in a few days (Gower, 2010; Lorbercher et al., 2012). The addition of freshwater to the ocean from melting of the Greenland ice sheet results in an additional basin-wide steric response of the North Atlantic within months and is communicated to the global ocean via boundary waves, equatorial Kelvin waves, and westward propagating baroclinic Rossby waves on decadal time scales (Stammer, 2008). A similar response but with a different pattern can be observed from Antarctic meltwater input. In both cases, an associated complete baroclinic adjustment of the global ocean might take as long as several centuries. The adjustment of the ocean to high-latitude meltwater input also involves atmospheric teleconnections; such a response to Greenland meltwater pulses could lead to sea level changes in the Pacific within months (Stammer et al., 2011). On longer-than-decadal time scales, the freshwater input to the North Atlantic raises sea level in the Arctic Ocean and leads to an anomalous southward Bering Strait throughflow, transporting colder, fresher water from the Arctic Ocean into the North Pacific (Hu et al., 2010) and causing North Pacific cooling (Okumura et al., 2009). Meltwater forcing in the subpolar North Atlantic also causes changes of the AMOC (Section 12.4.7.2), which in turn causes dynamical changes of sea level in the North Atlantic, particularly in its northwestern region (Lorbercher et al., 2010). The combination of this dynamic sea level rise and the global mean sea level rise makes the northeastern North American coast vulnerable to some of the fastest and largest sea level rises during this century (Yin et al., 2009).

13.6.4.2 Earth and Gravitational Response to Contemporary Surface Water Mass Redistribution

Deformational, rotational and gravitational responses to mass redistribution between the cryosphere, the land and the oceans produce distinctive regional departures from GMSL, referred to as sea level fingerprints (Mitrovica et al., 2001, 2009; Gomez et al., 2010a; Riva et al., 2010) (Section 13.1, FAQ 13.1). Many existing studies of these effects have not defined a specific rate of ice-sheet mass loss (Mitrovica et al., 2001) or are based on end-member scenarios of ice retreat, such as from the WAIS (Bamber et al., 2009; Mitrovica et al., 2009; Gomez et al., 2010a) and marine-based parts of the East Antarctic ice sheet (Gomez et al., 2010a). Bamber and Riva (2010) calculated the sea level fingerprint of all contemporary land-ice melt and each of its major components. Spada et al. (2013) examined the regional sea level pattern from future ice melt based on the A1B scenario.

As can be seen from Figure 13.18, a characteristic of the sea level fingerprints is that regions adjacent to the source of the mass loss are subject to relative sea level fall of about an order of magnitude greater than the equivalent GMSL rise from these mass contributions, whereas in the far field the sea level rise is larger (up to about 30%) than the global average rise (Mitrovica et al., 2001, 2009; Gomez et al., 2010a). Gomez et al. (2010a) and Mitrovica et al. (2011) showed that differences in the maximum predicted rise (relative to the global mean) between published results is due to the accuracy with which water expulsion from the deglaciated marine basins is calculated. These changes are in addition to the ongoing response to past changes (e.g., glacial isostatic adjustment in response to the last deglaciation). Mitrovica et al. (2001) suggested that the lower rates of sea level change inferred from tide gauge records at European sites relative to the global average were consistent with 20th century melting from Greenland. Similarly, Gehrels and Woodworth (2013) suggested that the larger magnitude of the early 20th century sea level acceleration observed in Australia and New Zealand, as compared with the North Atlantic, may represent a fingerprint of the increased melt contributions of Greenland and Arctic glaciers in the 1930s. Nevertheless, current rates of ice-sheet melt are difficult to distinguish from dynamic variability (Kopp et al., 2010; Hay et al., 2013), but it is likely that with further ice-sheet melting they will begin to dominate the regional patterns of sea level change toward the end of the 21st century, especially under climate forcing conditions for which ice-sheet melting contributes more than 20-cm equivalent sea level rise (Kopp et al., 2010). These changes are in addition to the ongoing response to past changes (e.g., GIA in response to the last deglaciation; Figure 13.18).

Water mass redistributions associated with land hydrology changes other than those from land ice may also produce spatially variable fingerprints in sea level (Fiedler and Conrad, 2010). In particular, regional changes in the terrestrial storage of water can lead to a sea level response on interannual and longer time scales, specifically near large river basins (Riva et al., 2010).

13.6.5 Regional Relative Sea Level Changes

Regional relative sea level change projections can be estimated from a combination of the various contributions to sea level change described above, emerging from the ocean, atmospheric pressure loading and the solid Earth.

Over the next few decades, regional relative sea level changes over most parts of the world are likely to be dominated by dynamical changes (mass redistribution and steric components) resulting from natural variability, although exceptions are possible at sites near rapidly melting ice sheets where static effects could become large. However, towards the end of the 21st century, regional patterns in sea level from all other contributions will progressively emerge and eventually dominate over the natural variability.

Ensemble mean estimates of relative sea level change during the period 2081–2100 relative to 1986–2000 resulting from GIA and from glacier and ice-sheet melting for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios (Figure 13.18) suggest that for the 21st century, past, present and future loss of land-ice mass will very likely be an important contributor to spatial patterns in relative sea level change, leading to rates of maximum rise at low-to-mid latitudes. Hu et al. (2011) and Sallenger et al. (2012) also
suggested that steric and dynamical sea level changes can potentially increase the sea level near the northeastern coast of North America and in the western Pacific. Considerable uncertainties remain, however, in both the sea level budget and in the regional expression of sea level rise. In addition, local sea level rise can also partly be compensated by vertical land movement resulting from GIA, especially in some formerly glaciated high-latitude regions where high rates of land uplift may lead to a decrease of relative sea level. For example, Johansson et al. (2014) reported a 29 cm sea level rise in the Gulf of Finland and 27 cm fall in the Bay of Bothnia.

The ensemble mean regional relative sea level change between 1986–2005 and 2081–2100 for the RCP4.5 scenario (not including the dynamic ocean contribution in response to the influx of freshwater associated with land-ice loss and changes in terrestrial ground water) reveals that many regions are likely to experience regional sea level changes that differ substantially from the global mean (Figure 13.19).

Figure 13.18 | Ensemble mean regional contributions to sea level change (metres) from (a) glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA), (b) glaciers and (c) ice-sheet surface mass balance (SMB). Panels (b) and (c) are based on information available from scenario RCP4.5. All panels represent changes between the periods 1986–2000 and 2081–2100.

Figure 13.19 | (a) Ensemble mean regional relative sea level change (m) evaluated from 21 models of the CMIP5 scenario RCP 4.5, including atmospheric loading, plus land-ice, GIA and terrestrial water sources, between 1986–2005 and 2081–2100. Global mean is 0.48 m, with a total range of -1.74 to +0.71 m. (b) The local, lower 90% uncertainty bound (p=0.05) for RCP4.5 scenario sea level rise (plus non-scenario components). (c) The local, upper 90% uncertainty bound (p=0.95) for RCP4.5 scenario sea level rise (plus non-scenario components). Note that the global mean is different from the value in Table 13.5, by less than 0.01 m, because a slightly different set of CMIP5 models was used (see the Supplementary Material) and that panels (b) and (c) contain local uncertainties not present in global uncertainties.

It is very likely that over about 95% of the world ocean, regional relative sea level rise will be positive, while most regions that will experience a sea level fall are located near current and former glaciers and ice sheets. Figure 13.21b shows that over most of the oceans (except for limited regions around western Antarctica, Greenland, and high Arctic regions), estimated regional sea level changes are significant at the 90% confidence limit. Local sea level changes deviate more than 10% and 25% from the global mean projection for as much as 30% and 9% of the ocean area, respectively, indicating that spatial
Figure 13.20 | Ensemble mean regional relative sea level change (metres) evaluated from 21 CMIP5 models for the RCP scenarios (a) 2.6, (b) 4.5, (c) 6.0 and (d) 8.5 between 1986–2005 and 2081–2100. Each map includes effects of atmospheric loading, plus land ice, glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) and terrestrial water sources.

Figure 13.21 | (a) Percentage of the deviation of the ensemble mean regional relative sea level change between 1986–2005 and 2081–2100 from the global mean value. The figure was computed for RCP4.5, but to first order is representative for all RCPs. (b) Total RCP4.5 sea level change (plus all other components) divided by the combined standard error of all components (see Supplementary Material Section 13.SM.2). Assuming a normal distribution, or a t-distribution given the number of models as an approximation of the number of degrees of freedom, a region passes the 90% confidence level where the change is greater than 2 standard errors, which is most of the ocean except for limited regions around western Antarctica, Greenland and high Arctic regions.

Figure 13.22 shows that, between 1986–2005 and 2081–2100, sea level changes along the world’s coastlines associated with the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios have a substantially skewed non-Gaussian distribution, with significant coastal deviations from the global mean. When the coastlines around Antarctica and Greenland are excluded (Figure 13.22b), many negative changes disappear, but the general structures of the global histograms remain. In general, changes along the coastlines will range from about 30 cm to 55 cm for an RCP 4.5 scenario, peaking near 50 cm, and from about 40 cm to more than 80 cm under a RCP 8.5 scenario, peaking near 65 cm. About 68% and 72% of the coastlines will experience a relative sea level change within ±20% of the GMSL change for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively. In both cases, the maximum of the histogram is slightly higher than the GMSL, whereas the arithmetic mean is lower. Only some coastlines will experience a sea level rise of up to about 40% above GMSL change.

Figure 13.23 shows the combination of the natural variability (annual mean) and the CMIP5 projected sea level rise for the RCP4.5 scenario for a number of locations distributed around the world. For example, at Pago Pago (14°S, 195°E) in the western equatorial Pacific, the variations can be large. Regional changes in sea level reach values of up to 30% above the global mean value in the Southern Ocean and around North America, between 10 and 20% in equatorial regions and up to 50% below the global mean in the Arctic region and some regions near Antarctica (Figure 13.21a).
historical record indicates that annual variability in mean sea level has been about 21 cm (5 to 95% range). Projections by individual climate models indicate that it is very likely that a similar range of natural variability will continue through the 21st century (Figure 13.15b). However, by 2100, the average projected sea level for the RCP4.5 scenario of 0.52 [0.32 to 0.70] m is greater than any observations of annual mean sea level in the instrumental record. Of all the examples shown, the greatest sea level increase will be in New York, which is representative of the enhanced sea level rise there due to ocean processes and GIA in the region (compare Figures 13.16 and 13.18). The figure also reveals the large spatial inhomogeneity of interannual to decadal variability. In each case, monthly variability and extreme sea levels from winds and waves associated with weather phenomena (Section 13.7) need to be considered in addition to these projections of regional sea level.

13.6.6 Uncertainties and Sensitivity to Ocean/Climate Model Formulations and Parameterizations

Uncertainties of climate models are discussed in detail in Chapter 9. Sea level is a property of the ocean connected to nearly all dynamical and thermodynamical processes over the full ocean column, from the surface fluxes to the ocean bottom. Although many of the processes are to first order correctly simulated in climate models, differences between models (Figure 13.24) indicate that uncertainties in simulated and projected steric sea level (globally and regionally) remain poorly understood. Moreover, the spread in ocean heat uptake efficiency among models is responsible for 50% of the spread in heat uptake (Kuhlbrodt and Gregory, 2012). In addition, some processes are not part of the CMIP5 simulations, such as the dynamical response of the ocean to meltwater input or the GIARotational/gravitational processes associated with this ice mass loss. Stammer and Hüttemann (2008) showed that coupled climate models that do not include the effect of changes in atmospheric moisture content on sea level pressure will underestimate future regional atmospheric pressure loading effects by up to 2 cm. Other uncertainties result from GIARotational/gravitational effects as well as from uncertainties in air–sea fluxes.

Improvements in the skill of a sea level projection require (1) better parameterizations of unresolved physical processes, (2) improved numerical algorithms for such processes as temperature and salinity advection, (3) refined grid resolution to better represent such features as boundary currents and mesoscale eddies, and (4) the elimination of obsolete assumptions that have a direct impact on sea level (Griffies and Greatbatch, 2012). Among the many limiting approximations made in ocean models, the Boussinesq assumption has been found to only marginally impact regional patterns (i.e., deviations from global mean) when directly compared to non-Boussinesq simulations (Losch et al., 2004), thus lending greater confidence in Boussinesq models for addressing questions of regional sea level change. Furthermore, for global sea level, the now-standard a posteriori adjustment (Greatbatch, 1994; Griffies and Greatbatch, 2012) accurately incorporates the missing global steric effect. The representation of dense overflows can also affect sea level simulations, and is particularly problematic in many ocean models used for climate studies, with direct impacts on the simulated vertical patterns of ocean heat uptake (Legg et al., 2009).

Coarse-resolution ocean–climate simulations require a parameterization of mesoscale and smaller eddies, but the parameterizations as well as the details of their numerical implementations can greatly impact the simulation. As shown by Hallberg and Gnanadesikan (2006) and Farneti et al. (2010), coarse-resolution climate models may be overestimating the Antarctic Circumpolar Current response to wind changes. Better implementations of eddy parameterizations reduce such biases (Farneti and Gent, 2011; Gent and Danabasoglu, 2011), and they form the basis for some, but not all, of the CMIP5 simulations. Moreover, Vinogradov and Ponte (2011) suggested that as one considers regional sea level variability and its relevant dynamics and forcing, mesoscale ocean features become important factors on a sub-decadal time scale. Suzuki et al. (2005) compared changes in mean dynamic sea level in 2080–2100 relative to 1980–2000 as obtained from a low- and a high-resolution ocean component of a coupled model and concluded that although changes are comparable between runs, the
high-resolution model captures enhanced details owing to resolving ocean eddy dynamics.

Even with a perfect ocean model, skill in sea level projections depends on skill of the coupled climate model in which errors impacting sea level may originate from non-ocean components. Furthermore, initialization is fundamental to the prediction problem, particularly for simulation of low-frequency climate variability modes (Meehl et al., 2010). Projections of land-ice melting and the resultant sea level rise patterns also have large uncertainties, with additional uncertainties arising from GIA models such as the mantle viscosity structure. Each of the many uncertainties and errors results in considerable spread in the projected patterns of sea level change (Figure 13.24) (Pardaens et al., 2011a; Slangen et al., 2012). In addition to ocean–climate model formulations and parameterizations, uncertainty in predictions of sea level change may be associated with specified freshwater forcing. Whether or not an ocean model is coupled with an ice-sheet model, the forcing should distinguish between runoff and iceberg flux. Martin and Adcroft (2010) reported the only attempt thus far to explicitly represent iceberg drift and melting in a fully coupled climate model.

Figure 13.23 | Observed and projected relative sea level change (compare Figure 13.20) near nine representative coastal locations for which long tide-gauge measurements are available. The observed in situ relative sea level records from tide gauges (since 1970) are plotted in yellow, and the satellite record (since 1993) is provided as purple lines. The projected range from 21 CMIP5 RCP4.5 scenario runs (90% uncertainty) is shown by the shaded region for the period 2006–2100, with the bold line showing the ensemble mean. Coloured lines represent three individual climate model realizations drawn randomly from three different climate models used in the ensemble. Station locations of tide gauges are: (a) San Francisco: 37.8°N, 122.5°W; (b) New York: 40.7°N, 74.0°W; (c) Ijmuiden: 52.5°N, 4.6°E; (d) Haldia: 22.0°N, 88.1°E; (e) Kanmen, China: 28.1°N, 121.3°E; (f) Brest: 48.4°N, 4.5°W; (g) Mar del Plata, Argentina: 38.0°S, 57.5°W; (h) Fremantle: 32.1°S, 115.7°E; (i) Pago Pago: 14.3°S, 170.7°W. Vertical bars at the right sides of each panel represent the ensemble mean and ensemble spread (5 to 95%) of the likely (medium confidence) sea level change at each respective location at the year 2100 inferred from RCPs 2.6 (dark blue), 4.5 (light blue), 6.0 (yellow) and 8.5 (red).
Figure 13.24 | Projected relative sea level change (in m) from the combined global steric plus dynamic topography and glacier contributions for the RCP4.5 scenario over the period from 1986–2005 to 2081–2100 for each individual climate model used in the production of Figure 13.16a.
13.7 Projections of 21st Century Sea Level Extremes and Waves

Climate change will affect sea levels extremes and ocean waves in two principal ways. First, because extratropical and tropical storms are one of the key drivers of sea level extremes and waves, future changes in intensity, frequency, duration, and path of these storms will impact them. Second, sea level rise adds to the heights of sea level extremes, regardless of any changes in the storm-related component. MSL change may also accentuate the threat of coastal inundation due to changes in wave runup. Observations of changes in sea level extremes and waves are discussed in Chapter 3. Sea level extremes at the coast occur mainly in the form of storm surges and tsunamis, but because the latter are not climate driven, we assess only projections for sea level extremes based on estimates of future storminess and MSL change.

13.7.1 Observed Changes in Sea Level Extremes

As discussed in the AR4 (Bindoff et al., 2007) and confirmed by more recent studies (Menéndez and Woodworth, 2010), statistical analyses of tide-gauge observations have shown an increase in observed sea level extremes worldwide that are caused primarily by an increase in MSL (Chapter 3). Dominant modes of climate variability, particularly ENSO and NAO, also have a measureable influence on sea level extremes in many regions (Lowe et al., 2010; Walsh et al., 2011). These impacts are due to sea level anomalies associated with climate modes, as well as mode-related changes in storminess. There has been some indication that the amplitude and phase of major tidal constituents have exhibited long-term change (Jay, 2009; Muller et al., 2011), but their impacts on extreme sea level are not well understood. Using particle size analysis of cores collected in the Mackenzie Delta in the Arctic region, Vermaire et al. (2013) inferred increased storm surge activity in the region during the last approximately 150 years, which they related to the annual mean temperature anomaly in the NH and a decrease in summer sea-ice extent.

13.7.2 Projections of Sea Level Extremes

13.7.2.1 Recent Assessments of Projections of Sea Level Extremes

The AR4 assessed projections of storm surges for a few regions (Europe, Australia, the Bay of Bengal) based on a limited number of dynamical modelling studies (Christensen et al., 2007). Although these results generally indicated higher magnitude surges in future scenarios, there was low confidence in these projections because of the wide spread in underlying AOGCM and RCM projections.

Studies since the AR4 have further assessed the relative contributions of sea level rise and storminess on projected sea level extremes. Lowe et al. (2010) concluded that the increases in the observed sea level extremes in the 20th century occurred primarily through an increase in MSL, and that the same applies to projections for the 21st century. The IPCC Special Report on Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation (SREX) assessment concluded that it is very likely that MSL rise will contribute to an increase in future sea level extremes (Seneviratne et al., 2012). It noted that changes in storminess may also affect sea level extremes but the limited geographical coverage of studies and uncertainties associated with storminess changes prevent a general assessment. The global tropical cyclone frequency will likely decrease or remain roughly constant, but it is more likely than not that the frequency of the most intense storms will increase in some ocean basins (Chapter 14). Uncertainties in projections of cyclone frequency and tracks make it difficult to project how these changes will impact particular regions. Similarly, while the SREX and the current assessment (Chapter 14) find that it is likely that there has been a poleward shift in the main northern and southern extra-tropical cyclone tracks during the last 50 years, and that regional changes may be substantial, there is only low confidence in region-specific projections.

13.7.2.2 Projections Based on Dynamical and Statistical Approaches

Projected changes in storm surges (relative to MSL) have been assessed by applying climate–model forcing to storm-surge models. Return periods of sea level extremes (see Glossary) exceeding a given threshold level, referred to as return levels, are used in quantifying projected changes. Using three regionally downscaled GCMs for A2, B2 and A1B scenarios, Debernard and Roed (2008) found an 8 to 10% increase in the 99th percentile surge heights between 1961–1990 and 2071–2100, mainly during the winter season, along the coastlines of the eastern North Sea and the northwestern British Isles, and decreases south of Iceland. Using a downscaled GCM under an A1B scenario, Wang et al. (2008) projected a significant increase in wintertime storm surges around most of Ireland between 1961–1990 and 2031–2060.

Sterl et al. (2009) concatenated the output from a 17-member ensemble of A1B simulations from a GCM over the periods 1950–2000 and 2050–2100 into a single longer time series to estimate 10,000-year return levels of surge heights along the Dutch coastline. No statistically significant change in this value was projected for the 21st century because projected wind speed changes were not associated with the maximum surge-generating northerlies. Using an ensemble of three climate models under A2 simulations, Colberg and Mclnnes (2012) found that changes in the 95th percentile sea level height (with respect to mean sea level) across the southern Australian coast in 2081–2100 compared to 1981–2000 were small (±0.1 m), mostly negative, and despite some inter-model differences, resembled the changes in wind patterns simulated by the climate models (Mclnnes et al., 2011). These studies demonstrate that the results are sensitive to the particular choice of GCM or RCM, therefore identifying uncertainties associated with the projections. For the tropical east coast of Australia, Harper et al. (2009) found that a 10% increase in tropical cyclone intensity for 2050 led to increases in the 100-year return level (including tides) that at most locations were smaller than 0.1 m with respect to mean sea level.

Several regional storm-surge studies have considered the relative contribution of the two main causative factors on changes in future sea level extremes (e.g., Mclnnes et al. (2009, 2013) for the southeastern coast of Australia; Brown et al. (2010) for the eastern Irish Sea; Woth et al. (2006) for the North Sea; Lowe et al. (2009) for the United Kingdom coast). They concluded that sea level rise has a greater potential than meteorological changes to increase sea level extremes by the end of the 21st century in these locations. Unnikrishnan et al. (2011) used...
RCM simulations to force a storm-surge model for the Bay of Bengal and found that the combined effect of MSL rise of 4 mm yr\(^{-1}\) and RCM projections for the A2 scenario (2071–2100) gave an increase in 100-year return levels of total sea level (including tides) between 0.40 to 0.67 m (about 15 to 20%) along the northern part of the east coast of India, except around the head of the bay, compared to those in the base line (1961–1990) scenario.

Using six hypothetical hurricanes producing approximate 100-year return levels, Smith et al. (2010) found that in the regions of large surges on the southeastern Louisiana coast, the effect of MSL rise added linearly to the simulated surges. However, in the regions of moderate surges (2–3 m), particularly in wetland-fronted areas, the increase in surge height was 1–3 m larger than the increase in mean sea level rise. They showed that sea level rise alters the speed of propagation of surges and their amplification in different regions of the coast. For the Gulf of Mexico, Mousavi et al. (2011) developed a simple relationship between hurricane-induced storm surges, sea level rise and hurricane intensification through increased SSTs for three modelled major historical cyclones, concluding that the dynamic interaction of surge and sea level rise lowered or amplified the surge at different points within a shallow coastal bay.

Higher mean sea levels can significantly decrease the return period for exceeding given threshold levels. For a network of 198 tide gauges covering much of the globe, Hunter (2012) determined the factor by which the frequency of sea levels exceeding a given height would be increased for a MSL rise of 0.5 m (Figure 13.25a). These calculations have been repeated here (Figure 13.25b) using regional RSL projections and their uncertainty using the RCP4.5 scenario (Section 13.6, Figure 13.19a). This multiplication factor depends exponentially on the inverse of the Gumbel scale parameter (a factor that describes the statistics of sea level extremes caused by the combination of tides and storm surges) (Coles and Tawn, 1990). The scale parameter is generally

Figure 13.25 | The estimated multiplication factor (shown at tide gauge locations by colored dots), by which the frequency of flooding events of a given height increase for (a) a mean sea level (MSL) rise of 0.5 m (b) using regional projections of MSL for the RCP4.5 scenario, shown in Figure 13.19a.
large where tides and/or storm surges are large, leading to a small multiplication factor, and vice versa. Figure 13.25a shows that a 0.5 m MSL rise would likely result in the frequency of sea level extremes increasing by an order of magnitude or more in some regions. The multiplication factors are found to be similar or slightly higher, in general, when accounting for regional MSL projections (Figure 13.25b). Specifically, in regions having higher regional projections of MSL, such as the east coast of Canada and the USA (where GIA results in a larger sea level rise) and/or in regions of large uncertainty (e.g. in regions near the former Laurentide ice sheet where the GIA uncertainty is large), the multiplication factor is higher, whereas in regions having lower regional projections of MSL, such as the northwest region of North America (where the land is rising due to present changes in glaciers and ice caps), the multiplication factor is lower. In another study, large increases in the frequency of sea level extremes for 2050 were found for a network of sites around the USA coastline based on semi-empirical MSL rise projections and 20th century statistics of extremes (Tebaldi et al., 2012). Using projected time series of tides, MSL rise, components of sea level fluctuations from projected MSLP and wind stress fields, and a contribution for ENSO variability through projected SSTs for the 21st century, Cayan et al. (2008) showed that for high-end scenarios of MSL rise, the frequency and magnitude of extremes along the California coast increases considerably relative to those experienced in the 20th century.

In summary, dynamical and statistical methods on regional scales show that it is very likely that there will be an increase in the occurrence of future sea level extremes in some regions by 2100, with a likely increase in the early 21st century. The combined effects of MSL rise and changes in storminess will affect future extremes. There is high confidence that extremes will increase with MSL rise yet there is low confidence in region-specific projections in storminess and storm surges.

13.7.3 Projections of Ocean Waves

Changes in ocean wave conditions are determined by changes in the major wind systems, especially in the main areas affected by tropical and extra-tropical storms. Based on in situ and satellite altimeter observations and wave-model hindcasts, it is likely that mean significant wave heights (SWH, defined as the average of the highest one third of wave heights) have increased in regions of the North Pacific and the North Atlantic over the past half century, and in the South ern Ocean since the mid 1980s (Chapter 3, Seneviratne et al., 2012). The limited observational wave record makes it difficult to separate long-term trends from multi-decadal variability (Young et al., 2011). A number of studies have related changes in wind–wave climatologies to modes of climate variability such as ENSO (Allan and Komar, 2006; Adams et al., 2008; Menéndez et al., 2008), the NAO (Woolf et al., 2002; Izaguirre et al., 2010), and the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) (Hemer et al., 2010; Izaguirre et al., 2011). Although anthropogenic influences have been considered (Wang et al., 2009), it is likely that reported SWH trends over the past half-century largely reflect natural variations in wind forcing. Recent reductions in summer sea ice extent have resulted in enhanced wave activity in the Arctic Ocean due to increased fetch area and longer duration of the open-water season (Francis et al., 2011; Overeem et al., 2011).

In general, there is low confidence in projections of future storm conditions (Chapters 12 and 14) and hence in projections of ocean waves. Nevertheless, there has been continued progress in translating climate model outputs into wind–wave projections. In the AR4, projected changes in global SWHs were based on a single statistical model (Wang and Swail, 2006). The projected conditions were consistent with increased wind speeds associated with mid-latitude storms, but they considered only a limited five-member ensemble for a single future emission scenario (SRES A2); wave parameters other than SWH were not considered.

Since the AR4, global wave–climate projections for the end of the 21st century have been made by dynamically downsampling CMIP3 AOGCM results. A multi-model ensemble based on dynamical models forced with various GHG emission scenarios (SRES A1B: Mori et al. (2010), Fan et al. (2013), Semedo et al. (2013); SRES A2: Hemer et al. (2012a), as well as the statistical model of Wang and Swail (2006) forced with emission scenarios IS92a and SRES A2 and B2, has been constructed as part of the Coordinated Ocean Wave Climate Project (COWCLIP) (Hemer et al., 2013). In general, the ensemble projected changes of annual mean SWH (Figure 13.26a) resemble the statistical projections of Wang and Swail (2006) under an A2 scenario. The largest change is projected to be in the Southern Ocean, where mean SWHs at the end of the 21st century are approximately 5 to 10% higher than the present-day mean. SWH increase in this region reflects the projected strengthening of the westerlies over the Southern Ocean, particularly during austral winter (Figure 13.26c). Another region of SWH increase in the ensembles is in the tropical South Pacific associated with a projected strengthening of austral winter easterly trade winds in the CMIP3 multi-model data set (Figure 13.26c). Negligible change or a mean SWH decrease is projected for all other ocean basins, with decreases identified in the trade wind region of the North Pacific, the mid-latitude westerlies in all basins, and in the trade and monsoon wind regions of the Indian Ocean. Hemer et al. (2013) found that variance of wave–climate projections associated with wave downscaling methodology dominated other sources of variance within the projections such as the climate scenario or climate model uncertainties. Mori et al. (2013) reported similar findings.

Three CMIP3-based model projections (Mori et al., 2010; Hemer et al., 2012b; Fan et al., 2013) were used to compare projections of wave direction and period (Hemer et al., 2013). Wave direction (Figure 13.26d) exhibits clockwise rotation in the tropics, consistent with a higher contribution from northward propagating swell from the Southern Ocean. Wave period (Figure 13.26e) shows an increase over the eastern Pacific, which is also attributed to enhanced wave generation in the Southern Ocean and northward swell propagation. A projected decrease in wave periods in the North Atlantic and western and central North Pacific is symptomatic of weaker wind forcing in these regions.

SWH projections based on CMIP5 winds for emission scenarios RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 (Dobrynin et al., 2012) exhibit similar regional patterns for the end of the 21st century to the CMIP3 results presented in Figure 13.26a. Dobrynin et al. (2012) reported SWH increases in the Arctic Ocean, an area not considered by Hemer et al. (2013), and in basins connected to the Southern Ocean, particularly for RCP8.5. The probability of extreme wave heights is projected to increase in the SH, the
Figure 13.26 | Projected changes in wind–wave conditions (~2075–2100 compared with ~1980–2009) derived from the Coordinated Ocean Wave Climate Projection (COWCLIP) Project (Hemer et al., 2013). (a) Percentage difference in annual mean significant wave height. (b) Percentage difference in means of January to March significant wave height. (c) Percentage difference in means of July to September significant wave height. Hashed regions indicate projected change is greater than the 5-member ensemble standard deviation. (d) As for (a), but displaying absolute changes in mean wave direction, with positive values representing projected clockwise rotation relative to displayed vectors, and colours shown only where ensemble members agree on sign of change. (e) As for (a), but displaying absolute changes in mean wave period. The symbol ~ is used to indicate that the reference periods differ slightly for the various model studies considered.
Arctic and Indian Oceans, but decrease in the North and Equatorial Atlantic and in the Pacific. In addition to wind changes, the projected loss of summer sea ice extent in the Arctic Ocean is very likely to increase overall wave activity there (Manson and Solomon, 2007; Overeem et al., 2011).

Model intercomparisons are starting to identify common features of global wave projections but in general there is low confidence in wave model projections because of uncertainties regarding future wind states, particularly storm geography, the limited number of model simulations used in the ensemble averages, and the different methodologies used to downscale climate model results to regional scales (Hemer et al., 2012a). Despite these uncertainties, it appears likely (medium confidence) that enhanced westerly surface winds in the SH (discussed in Chapter 12) will lead to enhanced wave generation in that region by the end of the 21st century.

A number of dynamical wave projection studies have been carried out with a regional focus. For the Mediterranean Sea, Lionello et al. (2008; 2010) projected a widespread shift of the wave height distribution to lower values by the mid-21st century under an SRES A1B scenario, implying a decrease in mean and extreme wave heights. Caires et al. (2008) and Debernard and Roed (2008) reported a decrease (4 to 6% of present values) in the annual 99th percentile SWH south of Iceland by the end of the 21st century, and an increase (6 to 8%) along the North Sea east coast (SRES A2, B2, A1B scenarios). Grabemann and Weisse (2008) found increases (up to 18% of present values) in annual 99th percentile SWH in the North Sea by the end of the 21st century, with an increase in the frequency of extreme wave events over large areas of the southern and eastern North Sea (SRES A2, B2 scenarios). Charles et al. (2012) projected a general decrease in wave heights in the Bay of Biscay by the end of the 21st century (SRES A2, A1B, B1 scenarios), accompanied by clockwise rotations in winter swell (attributed to a projected northward shift in North Atlantic storm tracks) and summer sea and intermediate waves (attributed to a projected slackening of westerly winds). Along the Portuguese coast, Andrade et al. (2007) found little projected change in SWH and a tendency for a more northerly wave direction than present (SRES A2 scenario).

In the Pacific, multi-model projections by Graham et al. (2013) (SRES A2 scenario) indicate a decrease in boreal winter upper-quantile SWHs over the mid-latitude North Pacific by the end of the 21st century associated with a projected decrease in wind speeds along the southern flank of the main westerlies. There is a less robust tendency for higher extreme waves at higher latitudes. On the southeastern Australian coast, Hemer et al. (2012b) used multi-model projections (SRES A2 and B1 scenarios) to identify a decrease in mean SWH (<0.2 m) by the end of the 21st century compared to present due to a projected decrease in regional storm wave energy, and a shift to a more southerly wave direction, consistent with a projected southward shift of the subtropical ridge in the forcing fields.

13.8 Synthesis and Key Uncertainties

There has been significant progress in our understanding of sea level change since the AR4. Paleo data now provide high confidence that sea levels were substantially higher when GHG concentrations were higher or surface temperatures were warmer than pre-industrial. The combination of paleo sea level data and long tide gauge records confirms that the rate of rise has increased from low rates of change during the late Holocene (order tenths of mm yr\(^{-1}\)) to rates of almost 2 mm yr\(^{-1}\) averaged over the 20th century, with a likely continuing acceleration during the 20th century (Figure 13.27). Since 1993, the sum of observed contributions to sea level rise is in good agreement with the observed rise.

Understanding of the components that contribute to total sea level rise has improved significantly. For the 20th century, the range from an ensemble of such process-based models encompasses the observed rise when allowances are made for lack of inclusion of volcanic forcing in AOGCM control simulations, natural climate variability, and a possible small long-term ice-sheet contribution. Ice-sheet contributions to the 20th century sea level rise were small, however, and this agreement is thus not an evaluation of ice-sheet models. Nevertheless, there has been significant improvement in accounting for important physical processes in ice-sheet models, particularly of the dynamical response of individual glacier systems to warmer ocean waters in the immediate vicinity of the outlet glaciers. Although there are as yet no complete simulations of regional ocean temperature changes near ice sheets and of the ice-sheet response to realistic climate change forcing, the publications to date have allowed an assessment of the likely range of sea level rise for the 21st century (Figure 13.27).

![Figure 13.27](image-url) Compilation of paleo sea level data, tide gauge data, altimeter data (from Figure 13.3), and central estimates and likely ranges for projections of global mean sea level rise for RCP2.6 (blue) and RCP8.5 (red) scenarios (Section 13.5.1), all relative to pre-industrial values.
These observations, together with our current scientific understanding and projections of future climate and sea level, imply that it is virtually certain that sea level will continue to rise during the 21st century and beyond. For the first few decades of the 21st century, regional sea level change will be dominated by climate variability superimposed on the climate change signal. For all scenarios, the rate of 21st century GMSL rise is very likely to exceed the average rate during the 20th century. For the RCP8.5 scenario, the projected rate of GMSL rise by the end of the 21st century will approach average rates experienced during the deglaciation of the Earth after the Last Glacial Maximum. These rates imply a significant transfer of mass from the ice sheets to the oceans and associated regional departures of sea level rise from the global average, in addition to the regional patterns from changing atmosphere–ocean interactions.

Sea level rise has already led to a significant increase in the return frequency of sea level extremes at many locations, and it is very likely that this will continue during the 21st century, although there is low confidence in projections of changes in storminess. The first assessment of surface waves indicates a likely (medium confidence) increase in the height of waves in the Southern Ocean.

Despite this progress, significant uncertainties remain, particularly related to the magnitude and rate of the ice-sheet contribution for the 21st century and beyond, the regional distribution of sea level rise, and the regional changes in storm frequency and intensity. For coastal planning, sea level rise needs to be considered in a risk management framework, requiring knowledge of the frequency of sea level variability (from climate variability and extreme events) in future climates, projected changes in mean sea level, and the uncertainty of the sea level projections (Hunter, 2010, 2012), as well as local issues such as the compaction of sediments in deltaic regions and the changing supply of these sediments to maintain the height of the deltas (Syvitski et al., 2009). Although improved understanding has allowed the projection of a likely range of sea level rise during the 21st century, it has not been possible to quantify a very likely range or give an upper bound to future rise. The potential collapse of ice shelves, as observed on the Antarctic Peninsula (Rignot et al., 2004; Scambos et al., 2004; Rott et al., 2011), could lead to a larger 21st century rise of up to several tenths of a metre.

Sea level will continue to rise for centuries, even if GHG concentrations are stabilized, with the amount of rise dependent on future GHG emissions. For higher emission scenarios and warmer temperatures, surface melting of the Greenland ice sheet is projected to exceed accumulation, leading to its long-term decay and a sea level rise of metres, consistent with paleo sea level data.
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