AR6 WGI Report – List of corrigenda to be implemented

The corrigenda listed below will be implemented in the Annex during copy-editing.

ANNEX II

Document (Chapter, Annex, Supp. Mat…)	Section	Page :Line (based on the final pdf FGD version)	Detailed info on correction to make
Annex II		18:2 Title of first column.	Change title to: Institution, Full Name, County or Region
Annex-II	Table AII.4	18:2	Replace “Taiwan” with “Taiwan, China”

Annex II: Models

Coordinating Lead Authors:
José Manuel Gutiérrez (Spain), Anne-Marie Treguier (France)

Lead Authors:
Paul J. Durack (United States of America/Australia), Seita Emori (Japan), Malte Meinhausen (Australia/Germany), Sophie Nowicki (United States of America /France), Lucas Ruiz (Argentina)

Contributing Authors:
Javier Díez Sierra (Spain), Eric Guilyardi (France), Yusuke Satoh (Japan), Martina Stockhause (Germany)

This Annex should be cited as:
IPCC, 2021: Annex II: Models [Gutiérrez, J. M., A-M. Treguier (eds.)]. In: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R. Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. In Press.

Date: August 2021

This document is subject to copy-editing, corrigenda and trickle backs.

This Annex provides information on the numerical models used in this assessment.

AII.1 Regional Climate Models (RCMs) participating in CORDEX

The Coordinated Regional Climate Downscaling Experiment (CORDEX, (Gutowski Jr. et al., 2016)) coordinates regional downscaling activities worldwide over a number of defined domains. Regional downscaling is performed using Regional Climate Models (RCMs) run over limited geographical regions, driven at the boundaries by the output from CMIP global model simulations. CORDEX relies on the same infrastructure as the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP) to make the multi-model output publicly available in a standardized format: the data is disseminated via the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF, Williams et al. 2016).

Table AII.1 lists the current CORDEX domains, displaying the different resolutions (from the lowest 0.44º, to the highest 0.11º, typically in rotated coordinates), with data available through the ESGF. Figure Atlas.7 provides a geographical map of the domains. Note that 0.44º and 0.22º are the prioritized resolution in the CORDEX and CORDEX-CORE experimental designs, respectively, and only some domains provide information for higher resolution (0.11º); see Atlas, Section Atlas.1.4.4 and https://cordex.org for further details. Table AII.1 also displays the number of simulations available for the following experiments: "evaluation" (ERA-Interim driven simulations), and the "historical", "RCP26", "RCP45" and "RCP85" CMIP5-driven simulations (Taylor et al., 2012). This table illustrates the heterogeneity of information available across the different domains which limits the assessment of some scenarios in some regions.

The RCMs contributing to CORDEX (as available from ESGF) are listed in Table AII.2, including the main references and details on model components relevant for the WGI AR6 assessment.

Finally, Tables AII.3 and AII.4 provide information on the CMIP5 and RCM models used in the different CORDEX domains, respectively (the numbers in each cell indicate the number of available simulations for each scenario). Note that CORDEX information is complex to describe since each particular simulation is produced by a single combination of a CMIP5 boundary forcing, or “driving model” (or reanalysis for the evaluation experiment) and an RCM model from Table AII.2. These two tables together provide comprehensive information on the GCM/RCM composition of the ensembles available in each domain, which is key to understanding the assessment done in WGI chapters (in particular the regional Chapters 10, 11, 12 and Atlas).

[START TABLE AII.1 HERE]

Table AII.1: CORDEX regional domains

List of CORDEX domains. Column 1: name of the domain. Column 2: domain code (as in ESGF specification). Column 2: horizontal grid resolutions (11, 22, 44 for 0.11º, 0.22º and 0.44º resolution in the original rotated coordinates, and the suffix “i” indicating regular interpolated domains). Columns 4 to 8 indicate the number of simulations available at each resolution, for the evaluation, historical, RCP26, RCP45, RCP85 experiments, respectively, as archived in the ESGF as of 31 January 2021. Note that MED-CORDEX data is stored on a dedicated server (details at http://www.medcordex.eu) and include experiments with only atmosphere (the standard for other domains) and atmosphere-ocean coupled regional climate models (denoted by MED and OMED, respectively). See Section Atlas 1.4.4 for more details on CORDEX and CORDEX-CORE experiments.

CORDEX Domains	Code	Resolutions	evaluation	historical	RCP26	RCP45	RCP85
1: South America	SAM	[20, 22, 44]	[1, 2, 5]	[3, 6, 14]	[0, 6, 6]	[3, 0, 12]	[3, 6, 13]
2: Central America	CAM	[22, 44]	[3, 2]	[9, 15]	[6, 5]	[0, 3]	[9, 14]
3: North America	NAM	[11, 22, 44]	[1, 5, 7]	[0, 17, 13]	[0, 3, 1]	[0, 5, 6]	[0, 17, 13]

4: Africa	AFR	[22, 44]	[4, 10]	[10, 33]	[9, 13]	[1, 22]	[10, 29]
5: Europe	EUR	[11, 22, 44]	[14, 2, 15]	[65, 3, 27]	[29, 3, 11]	[26, 0, 21]	[63, 3, 26]
6: South Asia	WAS	[22, 44, 44i]	[3, 3, 0]	[9, 18, 1]	[8, 7, 1]	[0, 18, 1]	[9, 18, 1]
7: East Asia	EAS	[22, 44]	[5, 3]	[6, 5]	[6, 0]	[0, 5]	[6, 5]
8: Central Asia	CAS	[22, 44]	[2, 2]	[4, 2]	[4, 0]	[1, 2]	[4, 2]
9: Australasia	AUS	[22, 44, 44i]	[2, 6, 1]	[6, 34, 24]	[6, 0, 0]	[0, 25, 17]	[6, 25, 17]
10: Antarctica	ANT	[22, 44]	[4, 0]	[12, 6]	[2, 0]	[8, 5]	[10, 5]
11: Arctic	ARC	[22, 44, 44i]	[2, 13, 2]	[1, 11, 0]	[0, 1, 0]	[1, 6, 0]	[1, 13, 1]
12: Mediterranean	MED	[11, 22, 44]	[6, 3, 20]	[2, 2,13]	[1, 0, 1]	[2, 0, 7]	[1, 2, 12
	OMED	[11, 22, 44]	[5, 4, 9]	[1, 1, 8]	[0, 0, 1]	[1, 0, 4]	[1, 1, 7]
13: Middle East North Africa	MNA	[22, 44]	[1, 2]	[2, 6]	[0, 1]	[0, 6]	[2, 6]
14: South-East Asia	SEA	[22]	[3]	[12]	[6]	[5]	[11]

[END TABLE AII.1 HERE]

[START TABLE AII.2 HERE]

Table AII.2: Regional Climate Models contributing to CORDEX experiments.

Salient features of the Regional Climate Models (RCMs) participating in CORDEX scenario experiments (CMIP5-driven). Column 1: sponsoring institution(s). Column 2: name of the model (and versions); subsequent columns for each of the model components with main references. Column 3: atmospheric component with number of vertical levels and main reference; Column 4: aerosols component (interactive or prescribed, with component details when interactive). Column 5: land component (number of levels and component name). Column 6: ocean component (prescribed or interactive, with model details when interactive). Column 7: additional components (lake, urban, river models) and comments on versions and/or different configurations of the same model. See Table AII.1 for the codes of CORDEX domains.

Institution (Country)	Model	**Atmosphere** **1) number of levels** **2) main references**	**Aerosols** **1) interactive or prescribed** **2) component name (when interactive)**	**Land** **1) number of levels** **2) component name**	**Ocean** **1) interactive or prescribed** **2) component name** **3) details**	**Additional components / comments** **Lake (LK), urban (UR), or river (RI) models, etc.** **Comments on the different versions**
CNRM (France)	ALADIN52_v1 ALADIN53_v1	1) 31 2) (Colin et al., 2010)	1) Prescribed; (Szopa et al., 2013) dataset for eval and GCM forcing for scen runs, 5 classes, 2D spatial pattern, vertical profile, seasonal cycle, temporal evolution	1) 3 2) ISBA (Noilhan and Mahfouf, 1996)	1) Prescribed SST (ice cover defined by a SST threshold)	LK: no UR: no ALADIN53_v1 is same as ALADIN52_v1 except for the radiation scheme (RRTM for the LW, Mlawer et al. (1997) and FMR-6bands for the SW, Fouquart and Bonnel, (1980); Morcrette et al. (2008), for the turbulent air-sea fluxes (ECUME) and for the mixing length based on Lenderink's work.
CNRM (France)	ALADIN63_v1 ALADIN63_v2	1) 91 2) (Nabat et al., 2020)	1) Prescribed; TACTIC dataset for eval and GCM forcing for scen, 5 classes, 2D spatial pattern, vertical profile, seasonal cycle, temporal evolution	1) 14 2) SURFEX8-ISBA (Decharme et al., 2019). No land use land cover change is taken into account	1) Prescribed SST (ice cover defined by a SST threshold)	LK: Flake (Le Moigne et al., 2016), pronostic lake ice. UR: Urban areas are considered as rock (Daniel et al., 2019) ALADIN63_v1 and ALADIN63_v2 are identical. v2 label is used to indicate that the runs driven by the CNRM-CM5 GCM use the corrected version of the CNRM-CM5 Atmospheric-LBCs contrary to ALADIN53_v1
RMIB-UGent (Belgium)	ALARO-0_v1	1) 46 2) (Giot et al., 2016; Top et al., 2021)	1) Prescribed	1) 2 2) ISBA (Douville et al., 2000)	1) Prescribed SST	N/A
CCCma (Canada)	CanRCM4_r2	1) 25 2) (Scinocca et al., 2016)	1) Interactive 2) described in main reference	1) 3 2) CLASS 2.7	1) Prescribed SST	Full atmospheric physics package identical to that used by parent global model, CanAM4, used by CanESM2 for CMIP5. Historical + RCP8.5 large ensemble (50 members) of 'NAM-44' available for large ensemble (50 members) of its parent model CanESM2.
CSIRO (Australia)	CCAM_v1 CCAM-1704_v1	1) 27 2) (Hoffmann et al., 2016)	1) Interactive 2) sulfate, black carbon, organic aerosol, mineral dust and sea salt (Rotstayn and Lohmann, 2002; Rotstayn et al., 2011)	1) 6 2) CABLE (Kowalczyk et al., 2013)	1) Prescribed SST after bias and variance correction (CCAM_V1) or just bias correction (CCAM-1704_v1)). No atmospheric nudging.	UR: UCLEM (Lipson et al., 2018)
CSIRO (Australia)	CCAM-2008_v1	1) 35 2) (Thatcher and McGregor, 2009)	1) Interactive 2) sulfate, black carbon, organic aerosol, mineral dust and sea salt (Rotstayn and Lohmann, 2002; Rotstayn et al., 2011)	1) 6 2) CABLE (Kowalczyk et al., 2013)	1) Prescribed SST	UR: UCLEM (Lipson et al., 2018)
CLM-	CCLM4-8-17-	1) 35	1) Prescribed	1) 9	1) Prescribed SST	N/A

Community: BTU, CMCC, DWD, ETH, GUF, HZG, JLU, KIT, WEGC ZAMG (Europe)	CLM3-5_v1	2) (Di Virgilio et al., 2019)		2) CLM (Dickinson et al., 2006)		
CLM-Community (Europe)	CCLM4-8-17_v1	1) 35 2) (Panitz et al., 2014)	1) Prescribed	1) 9 2) soil-vegetation-atmosphere-transfer TERRA-ML (Schrodin and Heise, 2002)	1) Prescribed SST	N/A
CLM-Community (Europe)	CCLM5-0-2_v1	1) 45 2) (Li et al., 2018)	1) Prescribed. Aerosol optical thickness: NASA/GISS (Global Aerosol Climatology Project)	1) 9 Multilayer soil model TERRA-ML (Schrodin and Heise, 2002)	1) Prescribed SST	Surface roughness: GLOBE (NOAA/NGDC); Global Land Cover 2000 Project (GLC2000)
CLM-Community: HZG and KIT (Germany)	CCLM5-0-15_v1	1) 57 2) N/A	1) Prescribed	1) 9 2) TERRA-ML (Schrodin and Heise, 2002)	1) Prescribed SST	LK: FLake (Mironov et al., 2010)
CLM-Community: GUF (Germany)	CCLM5-0-9-NEMOMED12-3-6	1) 40 2) (Akhtar et al., 2018)	1)Prescribed; AeroCom Global AOD data is used for Aerosol representation (Kinne et al., 2006)	1) 9 TERRA-ML (Schrodin and Heise, 2002)	1) Interactive 2) NEMOMED12 (1/12° resolution) is the interactive ocean model component (Beuvier et al., 2012) 3) The CCLM and NEMOMED12 models are coupled via OASIS3-MCT (Valcke, 2013) with a 1-h coupling time.	RI: TRIP (Total Runoff Integrating Pathways) is used as the interactive river component for rivers over the Mediterranean Basin to feed runoff at the river mouths to the Mediterranean Sea (NEMOMED12)
CLM-Community: ETH (Switzerland)	COSMO-crCLIM-v1-1_v1	1) 40 (EUR-11), 57 (WAS-22) 2) (Leutwyler et al., 2017)	1) Prescribed; AeroCom1 aerosol monthly climatology dataset (Kinne et al., 2006).	1) 9 2) TERRA-ML with a soil hydrology scheme (Schlemmer et al., 2018)	1) Prescribed SST	COSMO-crCLIM is similar to CCLM. Its main characteristics are that it runs on GPUs and includes the soil hydrology scheme of Schlemmer et al. (2018). Other adjustments include changing the upper level damping to only relax the vertical velocity instead of all dynamical fields (Klemp et al., 2008)
OURANOS (Canada)	CRCM5_v1	1) 56 (TOA 10 hPa) 2) (Martynov et al., 2013; Šeparović et al., 2013)	1) Prescribed	1) 17 (to 15 m) 2) CLASS3.5c (Verseghy et al., 1993)	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice fraction	LK: Flake

UQAM (Canada)	CRCM5_v1	1) 56 (TOA 10 hPa) 2) (Martynov et al., 2013)	1) Prescribed; not varying in time; higher values at the equator, lower at the poles; higher values over land than over the ocean	1) 26 (to 60 m) 2) CLASS3.5+	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice fraction	LK: FLake
INPE (Brazil)	Eta_v1	1) 38 (TOA 25hPa) 2) (Chou et al., 2014a, 2014b)	1) Prescribed	1) 4 2) NOAH scheme (Ek et al., 2003) 12 Vegetation types and 9 soil types.	1) Prescribed SST	No orography smoothing; No internal or lateral boundary relaxation nudging.
DMI (Denmark)	HIRHAM5_v1 HIRHAM5_v2 HIRHAM5_v3	1) 31 2) (Christensen et al., 2007)	1) Prescribed	1) 5 2) ECHAM5	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice	The different versions v1, v2, v3, are simulation versions due to necessary re-runs, not different model versions.
MOHC (U.K.)	HadREM3-GA7-05_v1 HadREM3-GA7-05_v2	1) 63 2) (Walters et al., 2019)	1) Prescribed. MACv2-SP dataset (Stevens et al., 2017), total aerosol properties, 9 bands. EasyAerosol (Voigt et al., 2014) RCP scenarios	1) 4 2) (Walters et al., 2019)	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice from driving GCM/reanalysis	LK: no The "v2" runs are using CNRM boundary conditions from pressure level 3d data. No differences in the RCM, only a different source of lbcs.
LMD (France)	LMDZ4NEMOMED8_v1 LMDZ4NEMOMED8_v2	1) N/A 2) (L'Hévéder et al., 2013; Vadsaria et al., 2020)	1) Prescribed	1) 2 2) ORCHIDEE	1) interactive 2) NEMOMED8 (Beuvier et al., 2010) 3) Interactive Mediterranean Sea only; 43 vertical levels with a 6-m thick first level; daily coupling frequency by the OASIS coupler (Valcke, 2013)	RI: Interactive river coupling in v2. No river coupling in v1
ULg (Belgium)	MAR311_v1	1) 24 2) (Agosta et al., 2019; Kittel et al., 2021)	1) Prescribed, RCP scenarios	1) 7 2) SISVAT (De Ridder, 1997; De Ridder and Schayes, 1997), (Gallée and Duynkerke, 1997; Gallée et al., 2001; Lefebre, 2003)	1) Prescribed SST and SIC (evolution of the snow properties simulated by SISVAT)	SISVAT model: 30 snow/ice layers over the ice sheet and two sub-pixels (rocs and permanent ice-covered area)
UB Belgarde (Serbia)	EBU-POM2c_v1	1) 32 2) (Djurdjevic and Rajkovic, 2008, 2010; Kržič et al., 2011)	1) Prescribed	1) 4 2) NOAH-LSM (Ek et al., 2003)	1) Interactive 2) POM - Princeton ocean model (30km, L21, coupling frequency 6 min)	N/A
ENEA (Italy)	PROTHEUS_v2	1) 18 2) (Artale et al., 2010; Soto-Navarro et al., 2020)	1) no active aerosol chemical model	1) 2 2) BATS1e (Dickinson et al., 1993). Air–sea exchanges by Zeng et al.	1) Interactive 2) MITMED8 (1/8° resolution) is the interactive ocean model component (Sannino et al.,	RI: Fully interactive (daily coupling) using the TRIP river routine model

				(1998) to improve excessive evaporation from warm ocean surfaces (Pal et al., 2007) in the original BATS package.	2009)	
KNMI (Netherlands)	RACMO21P_v1 RACMO21P_v2	1) 40 2) (van Meijgaard et al., 2008)	1) Prescribed (Tegen et al., 1997) four classes (land, maritime, dust, urban) + stratospheric + (optionally) volcanic	1) 4 2) baseline LSM TESSEL (van den Hurk et al., 2000); Land-ice tile added for ice-sheet modelling. Multi-layer snow-ice-refreezing scheme (Ettema et al., 2010); snow albedo scheme (Kuipers Munneke et al., 2011); snow drift scheme (Lenaerts et al., 2012)	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice concentration; inferred from re-analysis or GCM	Model versions: Simulations with RACMO21P_v2 are straight reruns of RACMO21P_v1 employing the same model system and parameter settings. In ANT-44 simulations, v2 is only used with MOHC-HadGEM2-ES forcing to fix the remapping of SST to the RACMO grid in the v1-simulation
KNMI (Netherlands)	RACMO22E_v1 RACMO22E_v2	1) 40 2) (van Meijgaard et al., 2012)	1) Prescribed; inferred from CAM inventory (except volcanic); historical and rcp pathways (Lamarque et al., 2010, 2011; van Vuuren et al., 2011); also used in evaluation. Sulfate, particulate organic matter black carbon, sea salt, desert dust stratospheric aerosols, volcanic aerosol. Spatial maps and vertical profiles per species. Monthly variations and decadal trends.	1) 4 2) HTESSEL (Balsamo et al., 2009)	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice concentration; inferred from re-analysis or GCM	Model versions: Simulations with RACMO22E_v2 are straight reruns of RACMO22E_v1 employing the same model system and parameter settings. Meaning of v2 depends on forcing GCM: i) MOHC-HadGEM2-ES: remapping of GCM-SST to RACMO grid erroneous in v1, corrected in v2 ii) CNRM-CERFACS-CNRM-CM5: atmospheric forcings derived from pressure level fields, because of error in CNRM-CM5 model level fields
KNMI (Netherlands)	RACMO22T_v1 RACMO22T_v2	1) 40 2) (van Meijgaard et al., 2012)	1) Prescribed, as in RACMO22E	1) 4 2) HTESSEL (Balsamo et al., 2009)	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice concentration; inferred from re-analysis of GCM	Model versions: Simulations with RACMO22T_v2 are straight reruns of RACMO22T_v1 employing the same model system and parameter settings. In AFR-44, v2 is only used with MOHC-HadGEM2-ES forcing to fix the remapping of SST to the RACMO grid in the v1-simulation
SMHI (Sweden)	RCA4_v1 RCA4_v1a RCA4_v2	1) 40 2) (Samuelsson et al., 2015; Strandberg et al., 2015)	1) Prescribed: single integrated class, parameterized aerosol effect on radiation fluxes,spatially uniform, static.	1) 3 2) a tile-based scheme with physiography based on ECOCLIMAP (Samuelsson et al., 2015)	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice from daily driving GCMs/reanalysis	LK: Flake (pronostic lake ice). (Mironov et al., 2010) Model versions: i) RCA4-v1a is simply a re-run because a restart file to start the scenario experiment was taken from another

	RCA4_v3 RCA4-SN_v1					simulations, ii) RCA4-v2 and RCA4-v3 are slightly tuned versions of RCA4-v1 (some parameters) but parameterizations are the same. RCA-SN indicates spectral nudging.
CNRM (France)	RCSM4_v1	1) 31 2) (Sevault et al., 2014)	1) Prescribed (Szopa et al., 2013) dataset for evaluation and GCM forcing for scen runs, 5 classes, 2D spatial pattern, vertical profile, seasonal cycle, temporal evolution	1) 3 2) ISBA (Noilhan and Mahfouf, 1996)	1) Interactive 2) NEMOMED8 (Beuvier et al., 2010) 3) Mediterranean Sea only; 43 vertical levels with a 6-m thick first level; daily coupling frequency by the OASIS coupler (Valcke, 2013)	1) interactive rivers connecting the atmosphere to the ocean 2) TRIP (Oki and Sud, 1998; Decharme et al., 2010) 3) 50km spatial resolution
GERICS and MPI-CSC (Germany)	REMO2009_v1 REMO2015_v1 REMO2015_v2	1) 27 2) (Jacob and Podzun, 1997; Jacob, 2001)	1) Prescribed (Tanré et al., 1984)	1) 5 2) a tile-based scheme including annual cycle of albedo (Rechid et al., 2009)	1) Prescribed SST and SIC	REMO2009_v1 and REMO2015_v1 and V2 are essentially the same, just with some technical changes
GERICS-AWI (Germany)	ROM ROM_v1	1) 27 2) (Sein et al., 2015)	See above	See above	1) Interactive. 2) SST, SIC and SIT are calculated in ocean model MPIOM	1) interactive rivers connecting the atmosphere to the ocean 2) Hydrological Discharge (HD) model 3) 50km spatial
MGO (Russia)	RRCM_v1	1) 25 2) (Shkolnik and Efimov, 2013)	1) Prescribed	1) 4 2) MGO-2	1) Prescribed SST	N/A
ITU (Turkey)	RegCM4-BATS_v1	1) 18 2) (Ruti et al., 2016; Turuncoglu, 2019)	1) no active aerosol chemical model	1) 2 2) BATS1e	1) Prescribed; surface layer (Zeng et al., 1998)	In MED-11, Wave Model (WAM) Cycle-4 (4.5.3-MPI) coupled with Atmospheric model
ITU (Turkey)	RegESM	1) 18 2) (Ruti et al., 2016; Turuncoglu, 2019)	See above	See above	1) Interactive 2) ROMS-revision 809; (Haidvogel et al., 2008)	In MED-11, Wave Model (WAM) Cycle-4 (4.5.3-MPI) coupled with Atmospheric model
ICTP (Italy) RU-CORE (Thailand)	RegCM4-3_v4	1) 18 2) (Giorgi et al., 2012)	1) no active aerosol chemical model	1) 2 2) BATS1e [SAM-44: 1) 10 2) CLM3.5]	1) Prescribed; surface layer (Zeng et al., 1998)	N/A
ICTP (Italy) BOUN (Turkey)	RegCM4-3_v5	1) 18 2) (Ozturk et al., 2017, 2018)	1) no active aerosol chemical model	1) 1 2) BATS 1e	1) Prescribed; surface layer (Zeng et al., 1998)	N/A
ICTP (Italy)	RegCM4-4_v0	1) 18 2) (Giorgi et al., 2012)	1) no active aerosol chemical model	1) 2 2) BATS1e	1) Prescribed; surface layer (Zeng et al., 1998)	N/A
ICTP (Italy)	RegCM4-4_v5	1) 18 2) (Giorgi et al., 2012;	1) no active aerosol chemical model	1) 10 2) CLM4.5	1) Prescribed; surface layer (Zeng et al., 1998)	UR: CLM4.5

IITM (India)		Sanjay et al., 2017, 2020)				
ICTP (Italy)	RegCM4-6_v1	1) 23 2) (Giorgi et al., 2012)	1) no active aerosol chemical model	1) 10 2) CLM4.5	1) Prescribed; surface layer (Zeng et al., 1998)	UR: CLM4.5
ICTP (Italy) ORNL (USA)	RegCM4-7_v0	1) 23 2) (Giorgi et al., 2012)	1) no active aerosol chemical model	1) 10 2) CLM4.5	1) Prescribed; surface layer (Zeng et al., 1998)	UR: CLM4.5
ICTP (Italy) ISU (USA)	RegCM4_v4-4-rc8	1) 18 2) (Giorgi andAnyah, 2012; Mearns et al., 2017; Bukovsky and Mearns, 2020)	N/A	1) 3 soil layers 2) BATS	1) Prescribed SST; no sea-ice prescribed, atmospheric skin temperature instead	LK: (Hostetler et al., 1994)
UB (Serbia)	EBU	1) 32 2) N/A	Same as EBU-POM2c_v1	Same as EBU-POM2c_v1	1) Prescribed SST	N/A
UCAN (Spain)	WRF341I_v2	1) 30 2) (Skamarock et al., 2008)	1) Prescribed uniform background with vertical profile. Constant in time.	1) 4 2) Noah (Chen and Dudhia, 2001)	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice	WRF v3.4.1. "I" stands for the coordinated physics configuration used within CORDEX. "v2" refers to the variable GHG input and noleap calendar in scenario (CanESM2) simulations. Otherwise, fully comparable to v1 in ERA-Interim (fixed GHG, standard cal.)
CYI (Cyprus)	WRF351_v1	1) 30 2) (Zittis et al., 2014; Zittis and Hadjinicolaou, 2017)	1) Prescribed	1) 4 2) Noah (Chen and Dudhia, 2001)	1) Prescribed SST	N/A
UNSW (Australia)	WRF360J_v1 WRF360K_v1	1) 30 2) (Powers et al., 2017; Evans et al., 2020)	1) Prescribed	1) 4 2) Noah (Chen and Dudhia, 2001)	1) Prescribed SST (ice with SST threshold)	N/A
UNSW (Australia)	WRF360L_v1	1) 30 2) (Powers et al., 2017; Di Virgilio et al., 2019)	1) Prescribed	1) 4 2) Noah (Chen and Dudhia, 2001)	1) Prescribed SST (ice with SST threshold)	N/A
UHOH (Germany)	WRF361H_v1	1) 50 2) (Skamarock et al., 2008)	1) Prescribed uniform background with vertical profile. Constant in time.	1) 4 2) NOAH (Chen and Dudhia, 2001)	1) Prescribed SST (ice with SST threshold)	N/A
CRC (France)	WRF381_v1	1) 50 2) https://doi.org/10.25666/dataosu-2021-03-05-02 https://doi.org/10.25666/dataosu-2021-03-05	1) Prescribed (Tegen et al., 1997)	1) 4 2) Noah_mp (Niu et al., 2011) Modis land categories	1) Prescribed SST (ice with SST threshold) from global model	Allow sub-grid cloud fraction interaction with radiation (Alapaty et al., 2012) The forcing variables have been bias-corrected using ERA-Interim fields for 1981-2005, as in Bruyère et al. (2014).
IPSL (France)	WRF381P_v1 WRF381P_v2	1) 31 2) (Skamarock et al., 2008)	1) Prescribed aerosols	1) 4	1) Prescribed SST and sea-ice (from global model)	N/A

NCAR and UA (USA)	WRF_v3-5-1	1) 28 2) (Skamarock et al., 2008; Mearns et al., 2017; Bukovsky and Mearns, 2020)	1) Prescribed	1) 4 soil levels 2) Noah	1) Prescribed SST, prescribed sea-ice for GFDL and MPI-driven simulations, sea-ice with an SST threshold for HadGEM-driven simulation	WRF v3.5.1 Spectral nudging used.

[END TABLE AII.2 HERE]

[START TABLE AII.3 HERE]

Table AII.3: CMIP5 models used for downscaling in the different CORDEX domains

Climate models participating in CMIP5 (rows) used as boundary conditions for the CORDEX regional simulations in the different domains (columns). Each cell indicates the number of simulations available for the historical, RCP26, RCP45 and RCP85 experiments (see the color legend). Salient features of these models are described in IPCC-AR5 Appendix 9.A (model names are taken from table 9.A.1). Further details on these simulations (e.g. particular GCM-RCM combinations in each cell) are given in the November 2021 list of simulations available in the CORDEX website https://cordex.org. (*) For the Mediterranean domain, only the coupled atmosphere-ocean simulations are listed.

GCM/Domain	SAM				CAM				NAM				AFR				EUR-11			
CanESM2_r1i1p1	3		3	3	3			3	6		5	6	4		4	3				
CNRM-CM5_r1i1p1					2			2	1		1	1	2		2	2	10	5	6	9
ACCESS1-0_r1i1p1																				
ACCESS1-3_r1i1p1																				
CSIRO-Mk3-6-0_r1i1p1	1		1	1	1			1					1		1	1				
EC-EARTH_r12i1p1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	3	3	8	5	4	8
EC-EARTH_r1i1p1													2		1	2	4		1	4
EC-EARTH_r3i1p1									1		1	1	2		1	2	4	1	1	4
PSL-CM5A-LR_r1i1p1													1	1		1	1	1		
IPSL-CM5A-MR_r1i1p1	1		1	1	1			1					1		1	1	5		2	5
MIROC5_r1i1p1	2	1	2	2	1	1		1					2	2	1	2		2		
HadGEM2-CC_r1i1p1																				
HadGEM2-ES_r1i1p1	5	3	3	5	4	3	1	4	5	1		5	8	6	3	8	9	6	5	9
HadGEM2-ES_r2i1p1					1			1												
MPI-ESM-LR_r1i1p1	3	3	2	3	2	2	1	2	8	1	2	8	6	4	4	5	9	4	3	#
MPI-ESM-LR_r2i1p1													1			1	3	1	1	3
MPI-ESM-LR_r3i1p1													1			1	3			3
MPI-ESM-MR_r1i1p1	2	1		2	2	1		2	2			2	2	1		2				
MPI-ESM-MR_r2i1p1					1															
CCSM4_r1i1p1																				
CCSM4_r6i1p1																				
NorESM1-M_r1i1p1	3	3	1	3	2	2		2	1	1		1	4	4	1	4	8	3	3	8
GFDL-CM3_r1i1p1																				

GCM/Domain	WAS				EAS				CAS				AUS				ANT			
CanESM2_r1i1p1	2		2	2									3		3	3	1		1	1
CNRM-CM5_r1i1p1	2		2	2	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	2		2	2				
ACCESS1-0_r1i1p1													9		5	5	1		1	1
ACCESS1-3_r1i1p1													6		2	2	1			1
CSIRO-Mk3-6-0_r1i1p1	2		2	2																
EC-EARTH_r12i1p1	2	1	1	2	1		1	1					1		1	1	1	1		
EC-EARTH_r1i1p1																	1		1	1
EC-EARTH_r3i1p1					1		1	1									1		1	1
PSL-CM5A-LR_r1i1p1	1		1	1																
IPSL-CM5A-MR_r1i1p1	1		1	1																
MIROC5_r1i1p1	2	2	1	2									2		2	2	1		1	1
HadGEM2-CC_r1i1p1													1		1	1				
HadGEM2-ES_r1i1p1	2	2	1	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	1	2	2	2		2	1	1	1	1
HadGEM2-ES_r2i1p1																				
MPI-ESM-LR_r1i1p1	5	5	3	5	2	1	1	2	1	1		1	4	2	2	4				
MPI-ESM-LR_r2i1p1																				
MPI-ESM-LR_r3i1p1																				
MPI-ESM-MR_r1i1p1	2	1	1	2	1	1		1	1		1	1								
MPI-ESM-MR_r2i1p1																				
CCSM4_r1i1p1													1		1	1				
CCSM4_r6i1p1																				
NorESM1-M_r1i1p1	4	4	1	4	2	2		2	1	1		1	5	2	3	5	2		1	2
GFDL-CM3_r1i1p1													1		1	1				

GCM/Domain	ARC				MED(*)				MNA				SEA			
CanESM2_r1i1p1	4		3	4												
CNRM-CM5_r1i1p1					3	1	2	2	1		1	1	1			
ACCESS1-0_r1i1p1																
ACCESS1-3_r1i1p1																
CSIRO-Mk3-6-0_r1i1p1																
EC-EARTH_r12i1p1	2	1	1	2	1			1	2	1	1	2				
EC-EARTH_r1i1p1													1		1	1
EC-EARTH_r3i1p1	1		1	1												
PSL-CM5A-LR_r1i1p1													1		1	1
IPSL-CM5A-MR_r1i1p1					2		1	2								
MIROC5_r1i1p1																
HadGEM2-CC_r1i1p1																
HadGEM2-ES_r1i1p1									1		1	1	4	2	2	4
HadGEM2-ES_r2i1p1																
MPI-ESM-LR_r1i1p1	3		1	5	3		1	3					1	1		1
MPI-ESM-LR_r2i1p1																
MPI-ESM-LR_r3i1p1																
MPI-ESM-MR_r1i1p1	1			1	1			1	1		1	1	2	1	1	2
MPI-ESM-MR_r2i1p1																
CCSM4_r1i1p1																
CCSM4_r6i1p1									1		1	1				
NorESM1-M_r1i1p1	1		1	1									2	2		2
GFDL-CM3_r1i1p1																

GFDL-ESM2G_r1i1p1				1 / 1 / – / –	1 / 1 / – / –									
GFDL-ESM2M_r1i1p1	2 / – / 1 / 1	3 / 1 / – / 3	5 / – / 1 / 5	1 / – / 1 / 1		2 / – / 2 / 2			2 / – / 2 / 2	1 / – / 1 / 1		1 / – / 1 / 1	2 / – / 1 / 2	

Color legend: historical RCP2.6 RCP4.5 RCP8.5

(Cell values are given in the order historical / RCP2.6 / RCP4.5 / RCP8.5, as shown by the legend colours; – = no value.)

[END TABLE AII.3 HERE]

[START TABLE AII.4 HERE]

Table AII.4: Regional models used for downscaling in the different CORDEX domains

Regional simulations contributed by the different models participating in CORDEX (rows, grouped by model families, see Table AII.2) in the different domains (columns). Each cell indicates the number of simulations available for the historical, RCP26, RCP45 and RCP85 experiments (see the color legend). Further details on these simulations (e.g. particular GCM-RCM combinations in each cell) are given in the November 2021 list of simulations available in the CORDEX website https://cordex.org. (*) For the Mediterranean domain, only the coupled atmosphere-ocean simulations are listed.

RCM/Domain	SAM	CAM	NAM	AFR	EUR-11	WAS	EAS	CAS	AUS	ANT	ARC	MED(*)	MNA	SEA
ALADIN63					5 / 2 / 2 / 5									
ALARO					1 / 1 / 1 / 1			1 / 1 / 1 / 1						
CCAM									1 / – / 1 / 1	6 / – / 5 / 5				
CCLM				7 / 3 / 4 / 7	4 / 2 / 4 / 4		4 / – / 4 / 4		7 / 3 / 4 / 7			2 / – / 1 / 2		
COSMO-crCLIM					8 / – / – / 8	3 / 2 / – / 3								
CRCM5		4 / – / – / 4	1 / – / 6 / 1	2 / – / 2 / –							2 / – / – / 2			
CanRCM4			2 / – / 2 / 2	2 / – / 2 / 2							2 / – / 2 / 2			
EBU-POM2c												1 / – / – / 1		
Eta	3 / – / 3 / 3													
HIRHAM5			1 / – / 1 / 1	1 / – / 1 / 1	8 / 2 / 3 / 8		1 / – / 1 / 1			1 / – / 1 / 1	1 / – / 1 / 3			
HadREM3-GA7-					5 / 2 / – / 4									
LMDZ4NEMOM												4 / – / 1 / 4		
MAR311										2 / – / – / 2				
PROTHEUS												1 / – / 1 / –		
RACMO				3 / 2 / 2 / 2	8 / 5 / 4 / 8					3 / 2 / 2 / 2				

RCA4	9	5	9	9	1	5	3	1	2	1	2	2	1	5	1	1	1	5	7	1	1	5	1	1				
RCSM4																												
REMO2009	1	1	1	1									6	6	2	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2				
REMO2015	3	3		3	3	3		3	3	3		3	3	3		3	6	7	3	4	3	3		3	3	3		3
ROM																												
RRCM																												
RegCM4_3	3		1	2	4			3					2			2												
RegCM4-4*6*7	3	3		3	3	3		3	6			6	3	3		3	3	2		3	9	3	6	9	3	3		3
WRF	1		1	1					6			6					5		1	6								

RCA4													8	1	4	8					5	1	3	5	1		1	1
RCSM4																	1	1	1	1								
REMO2009																												
REMO2015	3	3		3	3	3		3																	3	3		3
ROM																	2		1	2								
RRCM													1			1												
RegCM4_3	2		2	2																	2		2	2	5		4	4
RegCM4-4*6*7																									3	3		3
WRF					#		6	6													1		1	1				

Color legend: historical RCP2.6 RCP4.5 RCP8.5

[END TABLE AII.4 HERE]

AII.2 Earth System Models and General Circulation Models for climate projections

Detailed and structured information about climate models, simulations and their conformance to common experimental protocols is not only important for scientific interpretation but, under increased scrutiny from society, it is also demanded of climate science that purports to be mature, credible, open, transparent and reproducible (Guilyardi et al., 2013). Scientific publications remain an essential way of documenting models but remain largely scattered and not easily accessible by the growing community of users of model output. To address these challenges, the Earth System Documentation (ES-DOC) project offers an eco-system of tools and services in support of Earth System modelling documentation creation, analysis and dissemination. ES-DOC is coordinated with other community efforts such as the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP) via the World Climate Research Programme work group on Climate Modelling (WGCM) and its Infrastructure Panel WIP (Balaji et al., 2018).

The objective of CMIP is to better understand past, present and future global climate changes arising from natural, unforced variability or in response to changes in radiative forcing in a multi-model context (Chapter 1, Section 1.5.4). This understanding includes assessments of model performance during the historical period (Chapter 3) and quantifications of the causes of the spread in future projections (Chapters 4, 7). Idealised experiments are also used to increase understanding of the model responses. In addition to these long time scale responses, experiments are performed to investigate the predictability of the climate system on various time and space scales as well as making predictions from initialised climate states. The different activities (MIP) endorsed by CMIP6 are listed in Chapter 1, Table 1.3 (Eyring et al., 2016). A set of common experiments, the DECK (Diagnostic, Evaluation and Characterization of Klima) and CMIP historical simulations (1850–2014) were introduced that will maintain continuity with previous CMIP phases and help document basic and evolving characteristics of models. ScenarioMIP is the framework for future climate projections (O'Neill et al., 2016). The infrastructure panel of the World Climate Research Programme coordinates framework developments and defines data standards for CMIP. A key aspect is the dissemination of the data via the Earth System Grid Federation, ESGF (Williams et al., 2016; Petrie et al., 2021)

A new online service, ES-DOC, provides information about all aspects of CMIP6. Building on the Common Information Model concepts and standards (Lawrence et al., 2012), a number of documents are created for the CMIP6 Project, as illustrated on https://es-doc.org/cmip6/. These include documents to describe experiments, ensembles simulations, models, conformance to the numerical requirements of the CMIP6 protocol (see (Pascoe et al., 2019) for CMIP6 experiments) and other important aspects of the CMIP6 model archive. These different documents are either produced automatically or provided in a standard way by modelling groups. Hundreds of clearly structured properties are harvested and stored on a database to be used by clients and portals (e.g. https://search.es-doc.org/ and https://explore.es-doc.org/). Another entry point to the database is provided by the one-stop-shop "further_info_url" global attribute in each CMIP6 netcdf data file. ES-DOC also includes the CMIP6 errata system (https://errata.es-doc.org), which tracks issues with the model data and the potential corrections made. ES-DOC includes information at the model level and the experiment level.

Model datasets shared on ESGF are characterized by their institution, model, experiment, variable, and ensemble member (the different types of ensemble strategies are introduced in Chapter 1, Section 1.4). Each ensemble member is designated by a label of four letters, each associated with a number: "r" for realization, "i" for initialization, "p" for physics, and "f" for forcing. For example, Table Atlas.A.2 lists the ensemble member label of each CMIP6 dataset used in the Atlas. In the future, ES-DOC will document in more detail how each individual member differs from the other members of a given ensemble.

The key new model developments since AR5 are summarized in Chapter 1, Section 1.3.5, and model results are assessed in multiple chapters of this report. In this Annex, Table AII.5 presents the main features of the CMIP6 coupled models, in a format comparable with AR5 table 9.A.1 for CMIP5 (Flato et al., 2013). At the date of March 2021, 136 models had registered for CMIP6, including the 23 CMIP6-endorsed MIPs (Chapter 1, Table 1.3). For conciseness, Table AII.5 documents only the coupled models used in the CMIP6 "DECK" experiments and ScenarioMIP, excluding atmosphere-only and ocean-only components (AMIP and

OMIP), radiative transfer models (RFMIP), etc. Registered coupled model that have not submitted data in time to be used in this report are not included. The high-resolution models used for HighResMIP (Haarsma et al., 2016) are listed in Table AII.6, and ice sheet models are documented in section AII.3. The citation information for all CMIP6 model datasets is compiled in section AII.4, Table AII.10

[START TABLE AII.5 HERE]

Table AII.5: Coupled Climate and Earth System Models participating in CMIP6 DECK, historical simulation, and ScenarioMIP

Salient features of the coupled General Circulation Models (GCMs) and Earth System Models (ESMs) participating in the CMIP6 DECK, historical simulation, and ScenarioMIP. Column 1: sponsoring institution(s), Column 2: names of model configurations; Column 3: main reference(s); subsequent columns for each of the model components, with names and main component reference(s). In addition, there are standard entries for the atmosphere component: horizontal grid resolution, number of vertical levels, grid top; and for the ocean component: horizontal grid resolution, number of vertical levels, vertical coordinate type. The horizontal resolution (rounded to 10km) is the square root of the number of grid points divided by the surface area of the Earth, or the number of surface ocean grid points divided by the area of the ocean surface, for the atmosphere and ocean respectively. When reported in hPa, the atmosphere top heights are converted into km assuming standard atmosphere (ISO 2533:1975, 1975). Aerosols are either prescribed or computed from emissions (emission-driven). For land carbon, a list of active processes is provided among: active land carbon cycle (Land carbon), active nitrogen cycle (N cycle), prognostic biogeography of vegetation (Prog. veg.), carbon included in a permafrost pool (Permafrost), and dynamic fires (Fires). A blank entry indicates that information was not available. The information contained in the table is consistent with version 6.2.55.10 of the CMIP6 Controlled Vocabularies (https://github.com/WCRP-CMIP/CMIP6_CVs).

institution full name country	Models	Main references	Atmosphere 1) Component name 2) resolution (km) and number of levels 3) Top 4) references	Aerosol 1) Component name 2) emission-driven or prescribed 3) references	Atmospheric Chemistry 1) Component name 2) details 3) references	Ocean 1) Component name 2) horizontal resolution and number of levels 3) vertical grid 4) references	Cryosphere 1) Sea ice 2) Land ice	Land 1) component name 2) reference	Land carbon Active processes	ocean interactive biogeochemistry 1) component name 2) reference
AS-RCEC Research Center for Environmental Changes, Academia Sinica, **Taiwan**	**TaiESM1.0**	(Lee et al., 2020)	1) TaiAM1 2) 100 km, 30L 3) Top 43 km	1) SNAP 2) emission-driven 3) (Chen et al., 2013)	none	1) POP2 2) 60 km, 60 L 3) z	1) CICE4	1) CLM4.0 with modified surface solar radiation 2) (Lee et al., 2013)	Land carbon N cycle Fires	none
AWI Alfred Wegener Institute, **Germany**	**AWI-CM-1-1-LR** **AWI-CM-1-1-MR** **AWI-ESM-1-1-LR**	(Sidorenko et al., 2015) (Semmler et al., 2020)	1)ECHAM6.3.04p1 2) **LR**: 170 km, 47L ; **MR:** 80 km, 95L 3) Top 80 km	2) prescribed MACv2-SP 3) (Stevens et al., 2017)	none	1) FESOM1.4 2) **LR**: 50 km, 46L **MR** : 20km, 46L 3) z	1) FESOM1.4	JSBACH 3.20	**AWI-CM:** none **AWI-ESM**: Land carbon N cycle Prog. Veg. Fires	none
BCC Beijing Climate Centre, **China**	**BCC-CSM2-MR**	(Wu et al., 2019)	1) AGCM3 2) 100 km, 46L 3) Top 45 km	2) prescribed MACv2-SP 3) (Stevens et al., 2017)	1) none	1) MOM4 2) 80 km, 40L 3) z	1) SIS1	BCC_AVIM2 (Li et al., 2019)	none	none
BCC	**BCC-ESM1**	(Wu et al., 2020b)	1) AGCM3 2) 250 km, 26L 3) Top 42 km	2) emission-driven	1) BCC-AGCM3-Chem 2) interactive 3) (Wu et al., 2020b)	1) MOM4 2) 80 km, 40L 3) z	1) SIS1	BCC_AVIM2 (Li et al., 2019)	Land Carbon	none
CAMS Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences **China**	**CAMS-CSM1-0**	(Rong et al., 2018)	1) ECHAM5_CAMS 2) 100 km, 31L 3) Top 31.2 km	2) prescribed MACv2-SP 3) (Stevens et al., 2017)	none	1) MOM4 2) 90 km, 50L 3) z	1) SIS1	CoLM	none	none
CAS Chinese Academy of Sciences **China**	**FGOALS-f3-L**	(He et al., 2020)	1) FAMIL2.2 2) 90 km, 32 L 3) Top 42.1 km (He et al., 2019)	2) prescribed 3) (He et al., 2019)	none	1) LICOM3.0, 2) 80 km, 30L 3) z 4) (Lin et al., 2020a)	1) CICE4.0	CLM4.0 / CAS-LSM (Xie et al., 2018)	none	none

CAS	**FGOALS-g3**	(Li et al., 2020)	1) GAMIL3 2) 190 km, 26 L 3) Top 42 km	2) prescribed 3) (Li et al., 2020)	none	1) LICOM3.0, 2) 80 km, 30L 3) z 4) (Lin et al., 2020a)	1) CICE4.0	CLM4.0 / CAS-LSM (Xie et al., 2018)	none	none
CCCMa Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis **Canada**	**CanESM5** **CanESM5-CanOE**	(Swart et al., 2019)	1) CanAM5 2) 250 km, 49L 3) Top 48. km	2) emission-driven 3) (von Salzen et al., 2013)	2) specified oxidants, interactive sulfur 3) (von Salzen et al., 2013)	2) NEMO3.4.1 2) 70 km, 45 L 3) z	1) LIM2	1)Physics, CLASS3.6 Biogeochemistry, CTEM1.2 2) (Verseghy, 2000; Arora and Boer, 2010)	Land carbon	**CanESM5:** CMOC **CanESM5-CanOE:** CanOE
CCCR-IITM Centre for Climate Change Research, Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, **India**	**IITM-ESM**	(Swapna et al., 2018)	1) IITM-GFS 2) 170 km, 64L 3) Top 61 km	2) prescribed MAC-v2 3) (Stevens et al., 2017; Fiedler et al., 2019)	none	1) MOM4p1 2) 90 km, 50L 3) z	1) SISv1.0	NOAH LSMv2.7.1	none	TOPAZv2.0
CMCC Centro Euro-Mediterraneo sui Cambiamenti Climatici **Italy**	**CMCC-CM2-SR5** **CMCC-ESM2**	(Cherchi et al., 2019)	1) CAM5.3 2) 100 km, 30L 3) Top 43 km	1) MAM3 2) emission-driven 3) (Liu et al., 2012a)	2) Specified oxidants based on MOZART simulations	1) NEMO3.6 2) 70 km, 50L 3) z	1) CICE4.0	1) CLM4.5 2) (Oleson et al., 2013)	Land carbon N cycle Permafrost Fires	**CM2-SR5**: none **ESM2:** BFM5.2
CNRM Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques, **and CERFACS** Centre Européen de Recherche et de Formation Avancée en Calcul Scientifique **France**	**CNRM-CM6-1** **CNRM-CM6-1-HR**	(Voldoire et al., 2019; Saint-Martin et al., 2020)	1) Arpege 6.3; 2) **CM6-1 :** 140 km, 91L; **CM6-1-HR:** 50km, 91L 3) Top 78 km 4) (Roehrig et al., 2020)	1) TACTIC_v2 2 prescribed 3) (Michou et al., 2020)	1) OZL_V2 2) linear ozone	1) NEMO3.6 2) **CM6-1:** 70 km, 75L **CM6-1-HR:** 20 km, 75L 3) z	1) Gelato 6.1	1) ISBA-CTRIP 2) (Voldoire et al., 2017; Decharme et al., 2019)	none	none
CNRM and CERFACS	**CNRM-ESM2-1**	(Séférian et al., 2019)	1) Arpege 6.3; 2) 140 km, 91L; 3) Top 78 km	1) TACTIC_v2 2) emission-driven 3) (Michou et al., 2020)	1) REPROBUS-C-V2 2) Stratosphere only (above 560hPa)	1) NEMO3.6 2) 70 km, 75L 3) z	1) Gelato 6.1	1) ISBA-CTRIP 2) (Delire et al., 2020)	Land carbon Fires	Pisces 2.s (Aumont et al., 2015; Séférian et al., 2020)
CSIRO Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research	**ACCESS-ESM1-5**	(Ziehn et al., 2020)	1) HadGAM2 r1.1 2) 140 km, 38L 3) Top 39 km	1) CLASSIC (v1.0) 2) emission-driven 3) (Bellouin et	2) Specified oxidants for aerosols	1) ACCESS-OM2 GFDL-MOM5 2) 70 km, 50L 3) z	1) CICE4.1	1) CABLE2.4 2) (Ziehn et al., 2020)	Land carbon N cycle	Wombat1.0

Organisation **Australia**				al., 2011)		4) (Kiss et al., 2020)				
CSIRO-ARCCSS CSIRO and Austr. Res. Council Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science **Australia**	**ACCESS-CM2**	(Bi et al., 2020)	1) HadGEM3-GA7.1 2) 140 km, 85L 3) Top 85 km	1) UKCA-GLOMAP-mode 2) emission-driven 3) (Mulcahy et al., 2020)	2) Specified oxidants for aerosols	ACCESS-OM2 GFDL-MOM5 2) 70 km, 50L 3) z 4) (Kiss et al., 2020)	1) CICE5.1.2 (Ridley et al., 2018a)	1) CABLE2.5 2) (Bi et al., 2020)	none	none
E3SM National laboratories consortium **U.S.A**	**E3SM 1.0** **E3SM-1-1** **E3SM-1-1-ECA**	(Golaz et al., 2019)	1) E3M v1.0 2) 100 km, 72L; 3) Top 66 km 4) (Rasch et al.)	1) MAM4 2) emission-driven 3) (Wang et al., 2020)	2) Specified oxidants for aerosols ; linear interactive stratospheric ozone (LINOZ v2)	1) MPAS-Ocean v6.0 2) 40 km, 60L 3) z* 4) (Petersen et al., 2019)	1) MPAS-Seaice v6.0	1) ELM v1.0, based on CLM4.5 2) **E3SM-1.0:** (Golaz et al., 2019) **E3SM-1.1**: (Burrows et al., 2020)	**ES3M 1.0**: none **ES3M1.1**: Land carbon, N cycle, Fires	none
EC-Earth consortium **Europe**	**EC-Earth3** **EC-Earth3-LR** **Options:** **AerChem,** **Veg**	(Döscher et al., 2021)	**1)** IFS cy36r4 **2) EC-Earth3:** 80 km, 91L; **EC-Earth3-LR:** 120 km, 62L 3) **EC-Earth3:** Top 80 km **EC-Earth3-LR:** Top 36 km	**EC-Earth3** 2) Prescribed, MACv2-SP **AerChem:** 1)TM5 2) emission-driven 3)(van Noije et al., 2014, 2020)	**EC-Earth3** none **AerChem :** 1) TM5 2) interactive 3) (van Noije et al., 2014, 2020)	**NEMO3.6** 2) 70 km, 75L 3) z	1) LIM3 (Rousset et al., 2015)	**EC-Earth3** 1) H-TESSEL 2) (Balsamo et al., 2009) **Veg**: 1) H-TESSEL and LPJ-GUESS 2) (Smith et al., 2014)	**EC-Earth3** none **Veg:** N cycle Prog. Veg Fires	none
EC-Earth	**EC-Earth3-CC**	(Döscher et al., 2021)	**1)** IFS cy36r4 **2)** 80 km, 91L; 3) Top 80 km	2) prescribed, MACv2-SP	none	**NEMO3.6** 2) 70 km, 75L 3) z	1) LIM3 (Rousset et al., 2015)	1) H-TESSEL and LPJ-GUESS 2)) (Smith et al., 2014)	Land carbon N cycle Prog. Veg Fires	PISCES v2
FIO-QNLM First Institute of Oceanography , and Pilot National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (Qingdao), **China**	**FIO-ESM-2-0**	(Bao et al., 2020)	1) CAM5 2) 100km, 26L 3) Top 43 km	2) prescribed MACv2-SP (Stevens et al., 2017)	none	POP-W with MASNUM surface wave model 2) 60 km, 60L 3) z 4) (Qiao et al., 2013)	1) CICE4.0 (Hunke and Lipscomp, 2008)	1) CLM4.0 2) (Lawrence et al., 2011)	Land carbon N cycle	BEC

HAMMOZ-Consortium Switzerland, Germany, UK, Finland	**MPI-ESM-1-2-HAM**	(Neubauer et al., 2019)	1) ECHAM6.3 2) 170 km, 47L 3) Top 80 km	1) HAM2.3 2) emission-driven 3) (Tegen et al., 2019)	2) Specified oxidants, sulfur chemistry 3)(Feichter et al., 1996; Inness et al., 2013)	1) MPIOM 1.63 2) 100 km, 40L 3) z	**1)** (Notz et al., 2013a)	1) JSBACH3.20 2) (Reick et al., 2021)	Land carbon N Cycle Prog. Veg. Fires	HAMOCC6
INM Institute for Numerical Mathematics **Russia**	**INM-CM4-8** **INM-CM5-0**	INM-CM4-8: (Volodin Evgenii M et al., 2018) INM-CM5-0: (Volodin et al., 2017)	**CM4:** 1) INM-AM4-8 : 2) 150 km, 21L 3) Top 31 km **CM5**: 1) INM-AM5.0 2) 150 km, 73L 3) Top 61 km	1) INM-AER1 2) emission-driven 3) (Volodin and Kostrykin, 2016)	none	INM-OM5 2) **CM4**: 70 km, 40L **CM5**: 30 km, 40L 3) sigma 4) (Zalesny et al., 2010)	1) INM-ICE1 (Yakovlev, 2009)	INM-LND1	Land carbon	none
IPSL Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace **France**	**IPSL-CM6A-LR**	(Boucher et al., 2020)	1) LMDZ NPv6 2) 160 km, 79L 3) Top 80 km (Hourdin et al., 2020)	2) prescribed 3) (Lurton et al., 2020)	2) specified oxidants for aerosols	1) NEMO 3.6 2) 70 km, 75L 3) z	1) NEMO-LIM3 (Rousset et al., 2015)	ORCHIDEE (v2.0, Water/Carbon/Energy mode)	none	PISCES
IPSL	**IPSL-CM5A2-INCA**		1) LMDZ APv5 2) 240 km, 79L, 3) Top 80 km (Hourdin et al., 2020)	1) INCA 2) emission-driven	1) INCA 2) interactive 3) (Hauglustaine et al., 2014)	1) NEMO 3.6 2) 150 km, 30L 3) z	1) NEMO-LIM3 (Rousset et al., 2015)	ORCHIDEE (IPSLCM5A2.1, Water/Carbon/Energy mode)	Land carbon	PISCES
KIOST Korea Institute of Ocean Science & Technology **Korea**	**KIOST-ESM**	(Pak et al., 2021)	1) GFDL-AM2.0 2) 190 km, 32L 3) Top 43 km 4) (Anderson et al., 2004)	1) GFDL-AM2.0 2) emission-driven 3) (Anderson et al., 2004)	none	1) GFDL-MOM5.0 2) 90km, 52L 3) z	1) GFDL-SIS	GFDL-LM3.0 (Milly et al., 2014)	Land Carbon N cycle Prog.Veg.	TOPAZ2
MIROC consortium JAMSTEC, AORI, NIES, R-CCS **Japan**	**MIROC-ES2L** **MIROC-ES2H** **MIROC6**	**ES2L:** (Hajima et al., 2020) **ES2H :** (Kawamiya et al., 2020) **MIROC6**: (Tatebe et al., 2019)	**ES2L**: 1) CCSR AGCM 2) 250 km, 40L; 3) Top 40 km **ES2H, MIROC6:** 1) CCSR AGCM 2) 120 km, 81L; 3) Top 80 km	1) SPRINTARS 2) emission-driven 3) (Takemura et al., 2000, 2005, 2009)	**ES2L, MIROC6:** 2) prescribed oxidants **ES2H**: 1) CHASER 2) interactive 3) (Sudo et al., 2002b, 2002a; Morgenstern et al., 2017)	1) COCO4.9 2) 80 km, 63L 3) z	1) COCO4.9	**MIROC6:** MATSIRO6.0 (Takata et al., 2003; Nitta et al., 2014, 2017) **ES2L & ES2H** MATSIRO6.0 And visit-e ver 1.0 (Hajima et al., 2020)	**MIROC6:** none **ES2L & ES2H** Land carbon N cycle	OECO v2.0
MOHC Met Office Hadley Centre **U.K.**	**HADGEM3-GC31-LL** **HADGEM3-GC31-MM**	(Williams et al., 2018) (Kuhlbrodt et al., 2018) (Sellar et al., 2019)	1) MetUM-HadGEM3-GA7.1 2) **LL**: 140 km, 85L **MM**: 60 km, 85L 3) Top 85 km	1) UK-GLOMAP 2) emission-driven 3) (Mulcahy et al., 2020)	none	1) NEMO-HadGEM3-GO6.0 2) **LL** : 70 km, 75L **MM**:20 km, 75L	1) CICE HadGEM3-GSI8 (Ridley et al., 2018b)	JULES-HadGEM3-GL7.1	none	none

						3) z				
MOHC	**UK-ESM1.0-LL**	(Sellar et al., 2019)	1) MetUM-HadGEM3-GA7.1 2) 140 km, 85L 3) Top 85 km	1) UK-GLOMAP 2) emission-driven 3) (Mulcahy et al., 2020)	1) UKCA-StratTrop 3) (Archibald et al., 2020)	1) NEMO-HadGEM3-GO6.0 2) 70 km, 75L 3) z	1) CICE HadGEM3-GSI8 (Ridley et al., 2018b)	JULES-HadGEM3-GL7.1 (Sellar et al., 2019; Wiltshire et al., 2020)	Land carbon N cycle Prog. Veg	MEDUSA2
MPI-M Max Planck Institute for Meteorology **Germany**	**MPI-ESM1-2-LR** **MPI-ESM1-2-HR**	**MPI-ESM** (Mauritsen et al., 2019) **MPI-ESM1-2-HR** (Müller et al., 2018)	1) ECHAM6.3 2) **LR:** 170 km, 47L **HR:** 80 km 95L 3) Top 80 km	2) prescribed MACv2-SP	none	**1)** MPIOM 1.63 **2)** **LR:**100 km, 40L **HR:** 40 km, 40L 3) z	1) (Notz et al., 2013b)	1) JSBACH3.20 2) (Reick et al., 2021)	**LR:** Land Carbon N cycle Prog. Veg. Fires **HR:** none	HAMOCC6
MRI Meteorological Research Institute **Japan**	**MRI-ESM-2.0**	**MRI-ESM-2.0** (Yukimoto et al., 2019) (Mizuta et al., 2012)	**1)** MRI-AGCM3.5 2) 100 km, 80L 3) Top 80 km	1) MASINGAR mk-2r4c 2) emission-driven 3) (Yukimoto et al., 2019; Oshima et al., 2020)	1) MRI-CCM2.1 2) interactive 3) (Deushi and Shibata, 2011)	**1)** MRI.COM4.4 2) 60 km, 61L 3) z 4) (Tsujino et al., 2017a)	**1)** MRI.COM4.4 (Tsujino et al., 2017b)	1) HAL 1.0 and MRI-LCCM2 2) (Obata and Shibata, 2012; Yukimoto et al., 2012; Obata and Adachi, 2019)	Land carbon Prog. veg. Fires	MRI.COM4.4 (Nakano et al., 2015)
NASA-GISS Goddard Institute for Space Studies **U.S.A.**	**GISS-E2-1-G** **GISS-E2-1-H** **GISS-E2.1-G-CC** **GISS-E2-2-G**	(Kelley et al., 2020) **GISS-E2-2-G:** (Rind et al., 2020)	**GISS-E2-1 :** 1) GISS-E2.1 2) 200 km, 40L 3) Top 66 km **GISS-E2-2-G :** 1) GISS-E2-2 2) 200km, 102L 3) Top 88 km	Varies with physics-version p1 p3 OMA, p5 MATRIX 3) (Bauer et al., 2020)	Varies with physics-version p1 None, p3, p5 GPUCCINI, interactive 3) (Shindell et al., 2006)	**GISS-E2-1-G, GISS-E2-2-G :** 1) GISS ocean 2) 100 km, 40L 3) z **GISS-E2-1-H :** 1) HYCOM 2) 70 km, 32L 3) hybrid	1) GISS-SI	1) GISS-LSM	none	**GISS-E2-1-G-CC:** NOBM Others: none
NCAR National Center for Atmospheric Research **U.S.A.**	**CESM2** **CESM2-FV2** **CESM2-WACCM** **CESM2-WACCM-FV2**	(Danabasoglu et al., 2020)	1) CAM6 **2) CESM2:** 100 km **FV2 variants**: 190 km 3) **CESM2:** 32L, Top 42 km **WACCM variants:** 70L, Top 80 km.	1) MAM4 2) emission-driven 3) (Liu et al., 2016)	**CESM2:** 2) prescribed oxidants **WACM variants**: 2) interactive 3) (Emmons et al., 2020)	1) POP2 2) 60 km, 60L 3) z	1) CICE5.1, (Hunke et al., 2015) 2) CISM2.1, (Lipscomb et al., 2019)	1) CLM5 **2)** (Lawrence et al., 2019)	Land carbon N cycle Permafrost Fires	MARBL, Moore et. al, 2013
NCC NorESM Climate Modelling Consortium **Norway**	**NorCPM1** **NorESM1-F**	**NorESM1-F:** (Guo et al., 2019)	1) CAM4 2) 190 km, 26L 3) Top 43 km	**NorCPM1:** 1) OsloAero4.1 2) emission-driven 3) **NorESM1-F:** 2) prescribed	**NorCPM1 :** 1) OsloAero4.1 2) prescribed oxidants for aerosols **NorESM1-F:** none	1) MICOM1.1 2) 60 km, 53L 3) isopycnal	1) CICE4	1) CLM4	Land carbon N cycle Fires	HAMOCC5.1 (Tjiputra et al., 2013; Schwinger et al., 2016)

NCC	**NorESM2-LM** **NorESM2-MM**	**NorESM2:** (Seland et al., 2020)	1) CAM6-Nor 2) **NorESM2-LM:** 190 km, 32L **NorESM2-MM:** 100km, 32L 3) Top 40 km	1) OsloAero6 2) emission-driven 3) (Kirkevåg et al., 2018; Seland et al., 2020)	1) OsloAero6 2) prescribed oxidants, interactive sulfur chemistry, SOA precursor chemistry	1) BLOM1.0 2) 60 km, 70L 3) isopycnal;	**1)** CICE5.1	1) CLM5	Land carbon N cycle Permafrost Fires	iHAMOCC (Tjiputra et al., 2020)
NIMS-KMA National Institute of Meteorological Sciences, Korea Meteorological Administration**,** **Korea**	**KACE-1-0-G**	(Lee et al., 2019)	1) MetUM-HadGEM3-GA7.1 2) 140 km, 85L 3) Top 85 km	1) UKCA-GLOMAP-mode 2) emission-driven	2) specified oxidants for aerosols	**1)** MOM4p1 2) 90 km, 50L 3) z	1) CICE-HadGEM3-GSI8	JULES-HadGEM3-GL7.1	none	none
NOAA-GFDL National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory **U.S.A.**	**GFDL-CM4**	(Held et al., 2019)	1) GFDL-AM4.0.1 2) 100 km, 33L 3) Top 48 km (Zhao et al., 2018a, 2018c)	1) GFDL-AM4.0.1; 2) emission-driven 3) (Zhao et al., 2018a, 2018c)	1) GFDL-AM4.0.1; 2) specified oxidants, fast chemistry, aerosol only	1) GFDL-OM4p25 (GFDL-MOM6); **2)** 20 km, 75L 3) hybrid; 4) (Adcroft et al., 2019a)	1) GFDL-SIM4p25 (GFDL-SIS2.0); (Adcroft et al., 2019b)	GFDL-LM4.0.1 (Zhao et al., 2018b, 2018d)	Land carbon Prog. Veg. Fires	GFDL-BLINGv2 (Dunne et al., 2020a)
NOAA-GFDL	**GFDL-ESM4**	(Dunne et al., 2020b)	1) GFDL-AM4.1; 2) 100 km, 49L 3) Top 80 km 4) (Horowitz et al., 2020)	1) GFDL-AM4.1 2) emission-driven 3) (Horowitz et al., 2020)	1) GFDL-ATMCHEM4.1; 2) interactive 3) (Horowitz et al., 2020)	GFDL-OM4p5 (GFDL-MOM6); 2) 40 km, 75L 3) hybrid 4) (Adcroft et al., 2019a)	1) GFDL-SIM4p5 (GFDL-SIS2.0); (Adcroft et al., 2019a)	GFDL-LM4.1	Land carbon Prog. Veg. Fires	GFDL-COBALTv2 (Stock et al., 2020)
NUIST Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology **China**	**NESM3**	(Cao et al., 2018)	1) ECHAM v6.3 2) 170 km, 47L 3) Top 48 km	2) prescribed	none	1) NEMO v3.4 2) 70 km, 46L 3) z	1) CICE 4.1	JSBACH v3.1	Land carbon Prog. Veg.	none
SNU Seoul National University **Korea**	**SAM0-UNICON**	(Park et al., 2019)	**1)** CAM5.3 with UNICON 2) 100 km 30L 3) Top 43 km	1) MAM3 2) emission-driven 3) (Liu et al., 2012b)	none	1) POP2 2) 60 km, 60L 3) z	1) CICE4.0	CLM 4.0	Land carbon N cycle Fires	none
THU Department of Earth System Science **China**	**CIESM**	(Lin et al., 2020b)	1) CIESM-AM 2) 100 km, 30L 3) Top 42 km	2) Prescribed MACv2-SP 3) (Stevens et al., 2017)	none	1) CIESM-OM 2) 60 km, 46L 3) z	1) CICE4	CIESM-LM (modified CLM4.0)	none	none

University of Arizona (U.S.A.)	**MCM-UA-1-0**	(Delworth et al., 2002)	1) Manabe R30L14 2) 260 km, 14L 3) Top 29 km	none	none	**1)** MOM1.0 2) 190 km, 18L 3) z	1) thermo-dynamic simplified sea ice	Manabe bucket scheme (Manabe, 1969)	none	none

[END TABLE AII.5 HERE]

[START TABLE AII.6 HERE]

Table AII.6: High resolution coupled models participating in HighResMIP. Full names of the institutions are found in table AII.5. The horizontal resolution (rounded to 10 km, when larger than 10 km) is the square root of the number of grid points divided by the surface area of the Earth, or the number of surface ocean grid points divided by the area of the ocean surface, for the atmosphere and ocean respectively. When reported in hPa, the atmosphere top heights are converted into km assuming standard atmosphere (ISO 2533:1975, 1975).

Institution	**Model**	**Reference**	**Atmosphere top**	**Atmosphere resolution** *Horizontal (km), Number of vertical levels*	**Ocean resolution** *Horizontal (km), Number of vertical levels*
AWI	AWI-CM-1-1-HR	(Sein et al., 2017, 2018)	80 km	80 km, N=95	20 km, N=46
BCC	BCC-CSM2-HR	(Wu et al., 2020a)	66 km	40 km, N=56	20 km, N=40
CAS	FGOALS-f3-H		42 km	20 km, N=32	8 km, N=55
CMCC	CMCC-CM2-HR4	(Scoccimarro et al., 2020; Bellucci et al., 2021)	43 km	100 km, N=26	20 km, N=50
	CMCC-CM2-VHR4		43 km	20 km, N=26	20 km, N=50
CNRM	CNRM-CM6-1-HR	(Saint-Martin et al., 2020)	78 km	50 km, N=91	20 km, N=75
EC-Earth	EC-Earth3P-HR	(Haarsma et al., 2020)	80 km	40 km, N=91	20 km, N=75
ECMWF	ECMWF-IFS-MR	(Roberts et al., 2018)	80 km	60 km, N=91	20 km, N=75
	ECMWF-IFS-HR		80 km	30 km, N=91	20 km, N=75
INM	INM-CM5-H		61 km	50 km, N=73	10 km, N=40
MOHC	HadGEM3-GC31-MH	(Roberts et al., 2019)	85 km	60 km, N=85	7 km, N=75
	HadGEM3-GC31-HM		85 km	30 km, N=85	20 km, N=75
	HadGEM3-GC31-HH		85 km	30 km, N=85	7 km, N=75
MPI	MPI-ESM1-2-HR	(Gutjahr et al., 2019)	80 km	80 km, N=95	40 km, N=40
	MPI-ESM1-2-XR		80 km	40 km, N=95	40 km, N=40
NCAR	CESM1-CAM5-SE-HR	(Small et al., 2014; Meehl et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2020)	42 km	30 km, N=30	8 km, N=62
NOAA-GFDL	GFDL-CM4C192	(Zhao, 2020)	48 km	50 km, N=33	20 km, N=75

[END TABLE AII.6 HERE]

AII.3 Models used in Ice sheet and glacier model intercomparison studies

Ice sheet and glacier models are used to assess the contribution of ice sheets and glaciers to future sea level rise as described in Section 9.6.3. New to AR6, the projections of the future sea level contribution from ice sheets and glaciers comes from the ensemble of model intercomparison studies (Box 9.3; Section 9.4.1.2; Section 9.4.2.2; Section 9.5.1.3). The tables here describe the models used for Greenland ISMIP6 (Table AII.7), Antarctica ISMIP6 and LARMIP-2 (Table AII.8) and GlacierMIP (Table AII.9).

More specific information on the model capabilities and parameter choices used for each ice sheet and glacier MIPs are presented in the following papers: ISMIP6 initMIP-Greenland (Goelzer et al., 2018), ISMIP6 projection-Greenland (Goelzer et al., 2020), ISMIP6 initMIP-Antarctica (Seroussi et al., 2019), ISMIP6 Antarctica projection (Seroussi et al., 2020), LARMIP-2 Antarctica projections (Levermann et al., 2020), and GlacierMIP (Hock et al., 2019; Marzeion et al., 2020).

[START TABLE AII.7 HERE]

Table AII.7: Models used in Greenland model intercomparison studies (initMIP and/or ISMIP6 projections)

Institution **Full name** **Country**	**Model**	**Reference**	**Resolution** (min-max) (km)	**MIP activity**
AWI Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, and University of Bremen **Germany**	AWI-ISSM	(Larour et al., 2012) (Rückamp et al., 2020)	0.75-7.5	initMIP ISMIP6
BGC Bristol Glaciology Center **UK**	BGC-BISICLES	(Lee et al., 2015) (Cornford et al., 2013)	1.2-4.8	initMIP ISMIP6
DMI Danish Meteorological Institute **Denmark**	DMI-PISM	(Bueler and Brown, 2009) (Aschwanden et al., 2016)	5	initMIP
GSFC Goddard Space Flight Center NASA **U.S.A.**	GSFC-ISSM	(Larour et al., 2012)	0.5-25	ISMIP6
IGE Institut des Géosciences de L'Environnement **France**	IGE-ELMER	(Gillet-Chaulet et al., 2012)	1-4.5	initMIP
ILTSPIK Institute of Low Temperature Science **Japan** Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research **Germany**	ILTSPIK-SICOPOLIS	(Greve and Blatter, 2016) (Greve and SICOPOLIS Developer Team, 2019) (Greve et al., 2020)	5	initMIP ISMIP6
IMAU Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research **The Netherlands**	IMAUICE	(de Boer et al., 2014)	8-16	initMIP ISMIP6
JPL Jet Propulsion Laboratory **U.S.A**	JPL-ISSM	(Larour et al., 2012) (Seroussi et al., 2013)	0.25 – 15	initMIP ISMIP6
JPL Jet Propulsion Laboratory	JPL-ISSMPALEO	(Larour et al., 2012)	3 – 30	initMIP ISMIP6

U.S.A		(Cuzzone et al., 2018)		
LSCE Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement **France**	LSCE-GRISLI	(Quiquet et al., 2018)	5	initMIP ISMIP6
MIROC Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology The University of Tokyo **Japan**	MIROC-IcIES	(Saito et al., 2016)	10	initMIP
MPIM Max Planck Institute for Meteorology **Germany**	MPIM-PISM	(Bueler and Brown, 2009) (Aschwanden et al., 2016)	5	initMIP
MUN Memorial University of Newfoundland **Canada**	MUN-GSM	(Tarasov and Peltier, 1999) (Tarasov and Peltier, 2003)	5-14	ISMIP6
NCAR National Center for Atmospheric Research **U.S.A**	NCAR-CISM	(Lipscomb et al., 2019)	4	initMIP ISMIP6
UAF University of Alaska Fairbanks **U.S.A**	UAF-PISM	(Bueler and Brown, 2009) (Aschwanden et al., 2016)	0.9	initMIP ISMIP6
UCIJPL University of California Irvine Jet Propulsion Laboratory **U.S.A**	UCIJPL-ISSM	(Larour et al., 2012) (Morlighem et al., 2010)	0.2-30	initMIP ISMIP6
ULB Université Libre de Bruxelles **Belgium**	ULB-FETISH	(Pattyn, 2017)	10	initMIP
VUB Vrije Universiteit Brussel **Belgium**	VUB-GISM	(Huybrechts, 2002) (Fürst et al., 2015)	5	initMIP ISMIP6
VUW Victoria University of Wellington **New Zealand**	VUW-PISM	(Bueler and Brown, 2009) (Golledge et al., 2019)	2	initMIP ISMIP6

[END TABLE AII.7 HERE]

[START TABLE AII.8 HERE]

Table AII.8: Models used in Antarctica model intercomparison studies (initMIP and/or ISMIP6 projections and/or LARMIP-2 projections)

Institution **Full name** **Country**	**Model**	**Reference**	**Resolution** (min-max) (km)	**MIP activity**
AWI Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, and	AWI-PISM	(Bueler and Brown, 2009) (Winkelmann et al., 2011)	8-16	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2

University of Bremen **Germany**				
LBL Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, **U.S.A** Swansea University, **UK**	LBL-BISICLES	(Cornford et al., 2013) (Cornford et al., 2015)	0.5-8	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
DOE Los Alamos National Laboratory **U.S.A**	DOE-MALI	(Hoffman et al., 2018)	2-20	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
DMI Danish Meteorological Institute **Danmark**	DMI-PISM	(Bueler and Brown, 2009)	5-16	initMIP LARMIP-2
IGE Institut des Géosciences de L'Environnement **France**	IGE-ELMER	(Gillet-Chaulet et al., 2012)	1-4.5	initMIP
ILTSPIK Institute of Low Temperature Science **Japan** Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research **Germany**	ILTSPIK-SICOPOLIS	(Greve and Blatter, 2016) (Greve and SICOPOLIS Developer Team, 2019) (Greve et al., 2020)	8	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
IMAU Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research **The Netherlands**	IMAUICE	(de Boer et al., 2014)	32	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
JPL Jet Propulsion Laboratory **U.S.A**	JPL-ISSM	(Larour et al., 2012) (Seroussi et al., 2013)	2-50	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
LSCE Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement **France**	LSCE-GRISLI	(Quiquet et al., 2018)	16	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
NCAR National Center for Atmospheric Research **U.S.A**	NCAR-CISM	(Lipscomb et al., 2019)	4	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
PIK Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research **Germany**	PIK-PISM	(Winkelmann et al., 2011)	4-8	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
PSU Pennsylvania State University **U.S.A**	PSUICE3D	(Pollard and DeConto 2012) (Pollard et al., 2015)	16	initMIP LARMIP-2
UCIJPL University of California Irvine Jet Propulsion Laboratory **U.S.A**	UCIJPL-ISSM	(Larour et al., 2012) (Morlighem et al., 2010)	3-50	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
ULB Université Libre de Bruxelles **Belgium**	ULB-FETISH	(Pattyn, 2017)	16-32	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
UNN University of Northumbria **UK**	UNN-UA	(Gudmundsson et al., 2012)	1-40	LARMIP-2

UTAS University of Tasmania, **Australia** University of Lapland, **Finland** CSC-IT Center for Science, **Finland**	UTAS-ELMER	(Gillet-Chaulet et al., 2016)	4-40	ISMIP6
VUB Vrije Universiteit Brussel **Belgium**	VUB-AISPALEO	(Huybrechts, 2002)	20	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2
VUW Victoria University of Wellington **New Zealand**	VUW-PISM	(Bueler and Brown, 2009) (Golledge et al., 2019)	16	initMIP ISMIP6 LARMIP-2

[END TABLE AII.8 HERE]

[START TABLE AII.9 HERE]

Table AII.9: Models used in the GlacierMIP2 model intercomparison.

Institution Full name, Country	**Model name**	**Reference**	**Resolution** (km)	**Domain** (global/regional)
Nagoya University, **Japan**	GLIMB	(Sakai and Fujita, 2017)	0.5° grid and 50 m elevation bands for mass balance, each glacier for geometry change	Global
ETH Zurich, **Switzerland** University of Fribourg, **Switzerland** University of Alaska Fairbanks, **USA** Uppsala University, **Sweden**	GloGEM	(Huss and Hock, 2015)	Each glacier, 10m elevation bands	Global
University of British Columbia, **Canada** University of Alaska Fairbanks, **USA** Scott Polar Research Institute, **UK** Trent University, **Canada**	RAD2014	(Radić et al., 2014)	Each glacier, 20-25 m elevation bands	Global
Utrecht University, **Netherlands** ETH Zurich, **Switzerland**	WAL2001	(Van de Wal and Wild, 2001)	Each glacier	Global
University of Exeter, **UK** University of Bristol, **UK** University of Reading, **UK** Met Office, **UK** University of Fribourg, **Switzerland** ETH Zurich, **Switzerland** University of Crete, **Greece** University of Exeter, **UK**	JULES	(Shannon et al., 2019)	0.5° grid, 250 m elevation bands	Global except Antarctica
University of Innsbruck,	MAR2012	(Marzeion et al.,	Each glacier, considering	Global except

Austria		2012)	elevation range	Antarctica
University of Innsbruck, **Austria** University of Bremen, **Germany** University of Grenoble Alpes, **France** ETH Zurich, **Switzerland** WSL, **Switzerland** University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, **Austria** University of Canterbury, **New Zealand**	OGGM	(Maussion et al., 2019)	Each glacier, 20 - 400 m spacing of grid points on flow line	Global except Antarctica
Utrecht University, **Netherlands** FutureWater, **Netherlands** ICIMOD, **Nepal**	KRA2017	(Kraaijenbrink et al., 2017)	Each glacier, variable elevation bands	High Mountain Asia
University of Alaska Fairbanks, **USA** University of Washington, **USA**	PyGEM	(Rounce et al., 2020)	Each glacier, 20 m elevation bands	High Mountain Asia
Victoria University of Wellington, **New Zealand**	AND2012	(Anderson and Mackintosh, 2012)	100 m	New Zealand
ETH Zurich, **Switzerland** WSL, **Switzerland** University of Fribourg, **Switzerland**	GloGEMflow	(Zekollari et al., 2019)	Each glacier, 10 - 202 m spacing of grid points on flow line	Central Europe

[END TABLE AII.9 HERE]

AII.4 CMIP model datasets used in the report

[START TABLE AII.10 HERE]

Table AII.10: List of CMIP6 model datasets used in this report

Institute: Model	Activity ID	Data citation and DOI Link
AER:LBLRTM-12-8	RFMIP	Mlawer et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2003
AER:RRTMG-LW-4-91	RFMIP	Mlawer et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9961
AER:RRTMG-SW-4-02	RFMIP	Mlawer et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9963
AS-RCEC:HiRAM-SIT-HR	HighResMIP	Tu (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13301
AS-RCEC:HiRAM-SIT-LR	HighResMIP	Tu (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13303
AS-RCEC:TaiESM1	AerChemMIP	Tsai et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9682
AS-RCEC:TaiESM1	CFMIP	Shiu et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9683
AS-RCEC:TaiESM1	CMIP	Lee et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9684
AS-RCEC:TaiESM1	GMMIP	Wang et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9685
AS-RCEC:TaiESM1	PAMIP	Hong et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15214

AS-RCEC:TaiESM1	ScenarioMIP	Lee et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9688
AWI:AWI-CM-1-1-HR	HighResMIP	Semmler et al. (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1202
AWI:AWI-CM-1-1-LR	HighResMIP	Semmler et al. (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1209
AWI:AWI-CM-1-1-MR	CMIP	Semmler et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.359
AWI:AWI-CM-1-1-MR	PAMIP	Semmler et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12021
AWI:AWI-CM-1-1-MR	ScenarioMIP	Semmler et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.376
AWI:AWI-ESM-1-1-LR	CMIP	Danek et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9301
AWI:AWI-ESM-1-1-LR	PMIP	Shi et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9302
BCC:BCC-CSM2-HR	HighResMIP	Jie et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1722
BCC:BCC-CSM2-MR	C4MIP	Zhang et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1723
BCC:BCC-CSM2-MR	CFMIP	Zhang et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1724
BCC:BCC-CSM2-MR	CMIP	Xin et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1725
BCC:BCC-CSM2-MR	DAMIP	Xin et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1726
BCC:BCC-CSM2-MR	DCPP	Fang et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1727
BCC:BCC-CSM2-MR	GMMIP	Zhang et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1728
BCC:BCC-CSM2-MR	LS3MIP	Li et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1729
BCC:BCC-CSM2-MR	LUMIP	Zhang et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1730
BCC:BCC-CSM2-MR	ScenarioMIP	Xin et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1732
BCC:BCC-ESM1	AerChemMIP	Zhang et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1733
BCC:BCC-ESM1	CMIP	Zhang et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1734
CAMS:CAMS-CSM1-0	CMIP	Rong (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1399
CAMS:CAMS-CSM1-0	GMMIP	Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences (CAMS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11002
CAMS:CAMS-CSM1-0	HighResMIP	Rong (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11003
CAMS:CAMS-CSM1-0	ScenarioMIP	Rong (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11004
CAS:CAS-ESM2-0	CMIP	Chai (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1944
CAS:CAS-ESM2-0	FAFMIP	Chai (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1948
CAS:CAS-ESM2-0	OMIP	Chai (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1954
CAS:FGOALS-f3-H	HighResMIP	Bao et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2041
CAS:FGOALS-f3-H	OMIP	Lin (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13261
CAS:FGOALS-f3-L	CMIP	YU (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1782
CAS:FGOALS-f3-L	GMMIP	He et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2043
CAS:FGOALS-f3-L	HighResMIP	Bao et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12001
CAS:FGOALS-f3-L	OMIP	Lin (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2044
CAS:FGOALS-f3-L	PAMIP	He et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11497
CAS:FGOALS-f3-L	PMIP	Zheng et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12002
CAS:FGOALS-f3-L	ScenarioMIP	YU (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2046
CAS:FGOALS-g3	CMIP	Li (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1783
CAS:FGOALS-g3	DAMIP	Li (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2048
CAS:FGOALS-g3	FAFMIP	Lin (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2050

CAS:FGOALS-g3	GMMIP	Li (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2051
CAS:FGOALS-g3	LS3MIP	Jia et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2052
CAS:FGOALS-g3	PMIP	Zheng et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2054
CAS:FGOALS-g3	ScenarioMIP	Li (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2056
CCCR-IITM:IITM-ESM	CMIP	AG et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.44
CCCR-IITM:IITM-ESM	GMMIP	AG et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.825
CCCR-IITM:IITM-ESM	ScenarioMIP	Panickal et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14741
CCCma:CanESM5	C4MIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1301
CCCma:CanESM5	CDRMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10201
CCCma:CanESM5	CFMIP	Cole et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1302
CCCma:CanESM5	CMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1303
CCCma:CanESM5	DAMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1305
CCCma:CanESM5	DCPP	Sospedra-Alfonso et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1306
CCCma:CanESM5	FAFMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1308
CCCma:CanESM5	GMMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1309
CCCma:CanESM5	GeoMIP	Cole et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1310
CCCma:CanESM5	LUMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1313
CCCma:CanESM5	OMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1314
CCCma:CanESM5	PAMIP	Sigmond et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13942
CCCma:CanESM5	RFMIP	Cole et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1315
CCCma:CanESM5	ScenarioMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1317
CCCma:CanESM5	VolMIP	Cole et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10202
CCCma:CanESM5-CanOE	C4MIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10203
CCCma:CanESM5-CanOE	CDRMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10204
CCCma:CanESM5-CanOE	CMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10205
CCCma:CanESM5-CanOE	OMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10206
CCCma:CanESM5-CanOE	ScenarioMIP	Swart et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10207
CMCC:CMCC-CM2-HR4	CMIP	Scoccimarro et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1358
CMCC:CMCC-CM2-HR4	HighResMIP	Scoccimarro et al. (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1359
CMCC:CMCC-CM2-HR4	OMIP	Fogli et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13161
CMCC:CMCC-CM2-SR5	CMIP	Lovato et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1362
CMCC:CMCC-CM2-SR5	DCPP	Nicolý et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1363
CMCC:CMCC-CM2-SR5	OMIP	Fogli et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13162
CMCC:CMCC-CM2-SR5	ScenarioMIP	Lovato et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1365
CMCC:CMCC-CM2-VHR4	HighResMIP	Scoccimarro et al. (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1367

CMCC:CMCC-ESM2	C4MIP	Lovato et al. (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13163
CMCC:CMCC-ESM2	CMIP	Lovato et al. (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13164
CMCC:CMCC-ESM2	LS3MIP	Peano et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13165
CMCC:CMCC-ESM2	LUMIP	Peano et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13166
CMCC:CMCC-ESM2	OMIP	Lovato et al. (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13167
CMCC:CMCC-ESM2	ScenarioMIP	Lovato et al. (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13168
CMCC:CMCC-ESM2-SR5	LS3MIP	Peano et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1372
CMCC:CMCC-ESM2-SR5	LUMIP	Peano et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1373
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	CFMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1374
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	CMIP	Voldoire (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1375
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	DAMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1376
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	DCPP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1377
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	GMMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1379
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	HighResMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1925
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	LS3MIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1381
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	OMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10336
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	PAMIP	Voldoire (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9561
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	PMIP	Voldoire (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1382
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	RFMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1383
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1	ScenarioMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1384
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1-HR	CMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1385
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1-HR	GMMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13921
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1-HR	HighResMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1387

CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1-HR	OMIP	Voldoire (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10337
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-CM6-1-HR	ScenarioMIP	Voldoire (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1388
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	AerChemMIP	Seferian (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1389
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	C4MIP	Seferian (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1390
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	CDRMIP	Seferian (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9562
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	CMIP	Seferian (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1391
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	GMMIP	Seferian (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13922
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	GeoMIP	Seferian (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1392
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	LS3MIP	Seferian (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9564
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	LUMIP	Seferian (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1393
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	OMIP	Seferian (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1394
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	RFMIP	Seferian (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9565
CNRM-CERFACS:CNRM-ESM2-1	ScenarioMIP	Seferian (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1395
CSIRO-ARCCSS:ACCESS-CM2	CMIP	Dix et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2281
CSIRO-ARCCSS:ACCESS-CM2	FAFMIP	Savita et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2282
CSIRO-ARCCSS:ACCESS-CM2	RFMIP	Dix et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2284
CSIRO-ARCCSS:ACCESS-CM2	ScenarioMIP	Dix et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2285
CSIRO:ACCESS-ESM1-5	C4MIP	Ziehn et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2286
CSIRO:ACCESS-ESM1-5	CDRMIP	Ziehn et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2287
CSIRO:ACCESS-ESM1-5	CMIP	Ziehn et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2288
CSIRO:ACCESS-ESM1-5	DAMIP	Ziehn et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14362

CSIRO:ACCESS-ESM1-5	PMIP	Yeung et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13701
CSIRO:ACCESS-ESM1-5	RFMIP	Ziehn et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2290
CSIRO:ACCESS-ESM1-5	ScenarioMIP	Ziehn et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2291
DKRZ:MPI-ESM1-2-HR	ScenarioMIP	Schupfner et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2450
DWD:MPI-ESM1-2-HR	ScenarioMIP	Steger et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1869
E3SM-Project:E3SM-1-0	CMIP	Bader et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2294
E3SM-Project:E3SM-1-1	C4MIP	Bader et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11441
E3SM-Project:E3SM-1-1	CMIP	Bader et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11442
E3SM-Project:E3SM-1-1	ScenarioMIP	Bader et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15103
E3SM-Project:E3SM-1-1-ECA	C4MIP	Bader et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11443
E3SM-Project:E3SM-1-1-ECA	CMIP	Bader et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11444
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3	CMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.181
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3	DAMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14701
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3	DCPP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.227
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3	LS3MIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.218
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3	OMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14702
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3	RFMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.242
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3	ScenarioMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.251
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-AerChem	AerChemMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.699
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-AerChem	CMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.639
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-AerChem	RFMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15326
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-AerChem	ScenarioMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.724
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-CC	C4MIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.650
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-CC	CMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.640
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-CC	ScenarioMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15327
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-	CMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.202

Earth3-LR		
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-LR	PMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.247
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-Veg	CMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.642
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-Veg	LS3MIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.672
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-Veg	LUMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.692
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-Veg	ScenarioMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.727
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-Veg-LR	CMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.643
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-Veg-LR	PMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.718
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3-Veg-LR	ScenarioMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.728
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3P	HighResMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2322
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3P-HR	HighResMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2323
EC-Earth-Consortium:EC-Earth3P-VHR	CMIP	EC-Earth Consortium (EC-Earth) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2326
ECMWF:ECMWF-IFS-HR	HighResMIP	Roberts et al. (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2461
ECMWF:ECMWF-IFS-LR	HighResMIP	Roberts et al. (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2463
ECMWF:ECMWF-IFS-MR	HighResMIP	Roberts et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2465
FIO-QLNM:FIO-ESM-2-0	CMIP	Song et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9047
FIO-QLNM:FIO-ESM-2-0	GMMIP	Song et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9049
FIO-QLNM:FIO-ESM-2-0	ScenarioMIP	Song et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9051
HAMMOZ-Consortium:MPI-ESM-1-2-HAM	AerChemMIP	Neubauer et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1621
HAMMOZ-Consortium:MPI-ESM-1-2-HAM	CMIP	Neubauer et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1622
HAMMOZ-Consortium:MPI-ESM-1-2-HAM	RFMIP	Neubauer et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14724
INM:INM-CM4-8	CMIP	Volodin et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1422
INM:INM-CM4-8	PMIP	Volodin et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2295

INM:INM-CM4-8	ScenarioMIP	Volodin et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12321
INM:INM-CM5-0	CMIP	Volodin et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1423
INM:INM-CM5-0	ScenarioMIP	Volodin et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12322
INM:INM-CM5-H	HighResMIP	Volodin et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14041
IPSL:4AOP-v1-5	RFMIP	Boucher et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12340
IPSL:IPSL-CM5A2-INCA	CMIP	Boucher et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13642
IPSL:IPSL-CM5A2-INCA	LUMIP	Boucher et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15666
IPSL:IPSL-CM5A2-INCA	ScenarioMIP	Boucher et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15667
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-ATM-HR	HighResMIP	Boucher et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2361
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	C4MIP	Boucher et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1521
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	CFMIP	Boucher et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1522
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	CMIP	Boucher et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1534
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	DAMIP	Boucher et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13801
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	DCPP	Boucher et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1523
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	GMMIP	Boucher et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1525
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	GeoMIP	Boucher et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1526
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	HighResMIP	Boucher et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13803
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	LS3MIP	Boucher et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1527
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	LUMIP	Boucher et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1528
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	OMIP	Boucher et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1529
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	PAMIP	Boucher et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13802
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	PMIP	Boucher et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1530
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	RFMIP	Boucher et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1531
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR	ScenarioMIP	Boucher et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1532
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR-INCA	AerChemMIP	Boucher et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13581
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR-INCA	CMIP	Boucher et al. (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13582
IPSL:IPSL-CM6A-LR-INCA	RFMIP	Boucher et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14583
KIOST:KIOST-ESM	CMIP	Kim et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1922
KIOST:KIOST-ESM	ScenarioMIP	Kim et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11241
LLNL:E3SM-1-0	CFMIP	Qin et al. (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15093
MIROC:MIROC-	CMIP	Watanabe et al. (2021),

ES2H		https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.901
MIROC:MIROC-ES2H	GeoMIP	Watanabe et al. (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.907
MIROC:MIROC-ES2H-NB	AerChemMIP	Sudo et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13305
MIROC:MIROC-ES2L	C4MIP	Hajima et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.906
MIROC:MIROC-ES2L	CDRMIP	Hajima et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2161
MIROC:MIROC-ES2L	CMIP	Hajima et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.902
MIROC:MIROC-ES2L	DAMIP	Ohgaito et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15241
MIROC:MIROC-ES2L	LUMIP	Hajima et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.922
MIROC:MIROC-ES2L	OMIP	Watanabe et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.934
MIROC:MIROC-ES2L	PMIP	Ohgaito et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.932
MIROC:MIROC-ES2L	ScenarioMIP	Tachiiri et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.936
MIROC:MIROC-ES2L	VolMIP	Abe et al. (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.918
MIROC:MIROC6	AerChemMIP	Takemura (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9121
MIROC:MIROC6	CFMIP	Ogura et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.885
MIROC:MIROC6	CMIP	Tatebe et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.881
MIROC:MIROC6	DAMIP	Shiogama (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.894
MIROC:MIROC6	DCPP	Mochizuki et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.890
MIROC:MIROC6	FAFMIP	Suzuki (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.892
MIROC:MIROC6	GMMIP	Watanabe et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.886
MIROC:MIROC6	LS3MIP	Onuma et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.887
MIROC:MIROC6	OMIP	Komuro (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.897
MIROC:MIROC6	PAMIP	Mori (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2162
MIROC:MIROC6	RFMIP	Sekiguchi et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.895
MIROC:MIROC6	ScenarioMIP	Shiogama et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.898
MIROC:NICAM16-7S	HighResMIP	Kodama et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1033
MIROC:NICAM16-8S	HighResMIP	Kodama et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1034
MIROC:NICAM16-9S	HighResMIP	Kodama et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1036
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-HH	HighResMIP	Roberts (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.445
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-HM	HighResMIP	Roberts (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.446
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	CFMIP	Webb (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.435
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	CMIP	Ridley et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.419
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	DAMIP	Jones (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.471
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	HighResMIP	Roberts (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1901
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	LS3MIP	Wiltshire et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14460
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	LUMIP	Wiltshire et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14461

MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	RFMIP	Andrews (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.475
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	ScenarioMIP	Good (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10845
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-LM	HighResMIP	Roberts (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1321
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-MH	HighResMIP	Roberts (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1762
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-MM	CMIP	Ridley et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.420
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-MM	DCPP	Hermanson (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.456
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-MM	HighResMIP	Roberts (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1902
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-MM	PAMIP	Eade (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14627
MOHC:HadGEM3-GC31-MM	ScenarioMIP	Jackson (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10846
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	AerChemMIP	O'Connor (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1561
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	C4MIP	Liddicoat et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1562
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	CDRMIP	Jones et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12181
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	CMIP	Tang et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1569
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	DAMIP	Rumbold et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14830
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	GeoMIP	Jones (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1563
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	LS3MIP	Wiltshire et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14462
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	LUMIP	Wiltshire et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1564
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	RFMIP	O'Connor et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11061
MOHC:UKESM1-0-LL	ScenarioMIP	Good et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1567
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-HR	CMIP	Jungclaus et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.741
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-HR	DCPP	Pohlmann et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.768
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-HR	FAFMIP	Haak et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.774
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-HR	GeoMIP	Niemeier et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15294
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-HR	HighResMIP	von Storch et al. (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.762
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-LR	C4MIP	Brovkin et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.748
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-LR	CMIP	Wieners et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.742
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-LR	DAMIP	M³ller et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.15016
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-LR	GeoMIP	Niemeier et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.751
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-LR	LS3MIP	Stracke et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.760
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-	LUMIP	Pongratz et al. (2019),

LR		https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.772
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-LR	PMIP	Jungclaus et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.787
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-LR	RFMIP	Fiedler et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.784
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-LR	ScenarioMIP	Wieners et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.793
MPI-M:MPI-ESM1-2-XR	HighResMIP	von Storch et al. (2017), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10290
MRI:MRI-AGCM3-2-H	HighResMIP	Mizuta et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10942
MRI:MRI-AGCM3-2-S	HighResMIP	Mizuta et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1625
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	AerChemMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.633
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	C4MIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.623
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	CFMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.625
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	CMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.621
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	DAMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.634
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	DCPP	Yukimoto et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.630
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	FAFMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.632
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	GMMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.626
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	OMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.637
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	PMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.636
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	RFMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.635
MRI:MRI-ESM2-0	ScenarioMIP	Yukimoto et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.638
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	AerChemMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2059
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	C4MIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2060
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	CFMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2061
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	CMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1400
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	DAMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2062
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	ISMIP6	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2066
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	LS3MIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2067
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	LUMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2068
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	PMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2071
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	RFMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2072
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G	ScenarioMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2074

NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G-CC	C4MIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11656
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-G-CC	CMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11657
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-H	CFMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13941
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-1-H	CMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1421
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-2-G	CFMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11659
NASA-GISS:GISS-E2-2-G	CMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2081
NASA-GISS:GISS-E3-G	RFMIP	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2098
NCAR:CESM1-1-CAM5-CMIP5	DCPP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11542
NCAR:CESM1-CAM5-SE-HR	HighResMIP	Gent (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14220
NCAR:CESM1-CAM5-SE-LR	HighResMIP	Gent (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14262
NCAR:CESM1-WACCM-SC	PAMIP	Peings (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12281
NCAR:CESM2	AerChemMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2181
NCAR:CESM2	C4MIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2182
NCAR:CESM2	CDRMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2183
NCAR:CESM2	CFMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2184
NCAR:CESM2	CMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2185
NCAR:CESM2	DAMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2187
NCAR:CESM2	FAFMIP	Danabasoglu (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14052
NCAR:CESM2	GMMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2190
NCAR:CESM2	ISMIP6	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2193
NCAR:CESM2	LS3MIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2194
NCAR:CESM2	LUMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2195
NCAR:CESM2	OMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2196
NCAR:CESM2	PAMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2197
NCAR:CESM2	PMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2198
NCAR:CESM2	RFMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2199
NCAR:CESM2	ScenarioMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2201
NCAR:CESM2-FV2	CMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11281
NCAR:CESM2-WACCM	AerChemMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10023
NCAR:CESM2-WACCM	CMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10024
NCAR:CESM2-WACCM	GeoMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10025
NCAR:CESM2-WACCM	RFMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14053
NCAR:CESM2-WACCM	ScenarioMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10026
NCAR:CESM2-WACCM-FV2	CMIP	Danabasoglu (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11282
NCC:NorCPM1	CMIP	Bethke et al. (2019),

		https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10843
NCC:NorCPM1	DCPP	Bethke et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10844
NCC:NorESM1-F	CMIP	Guo et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11543
NCC:NorESM1-F	PMIP	Guo et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11544
NCC:NorESM2-LM	AerChemMIP	OliviÞ et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.574
NCC:NorESM2-LM	C4MIP	Schwinger et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13721
NCC:NorESM2-LM	CDRMIP	Tjiputra et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13722
NCC:NorESM2-LM	CMIP	Seland et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.502
NCC:NorESM2-LM	DAMIP	Seland et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.580
NCC:NorESM2-LM	LUMIP	Cai et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.562
NCC:NorESM2-LM	OMIP	Bentsen et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.598
NCC:NorESM2-LM	PAMIP	Graff et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13723
NCC:NorESM2-LM	PMIP	Zhang et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.592
NCC:NorESM2-LM	RFMIP	OliviÞ et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.586
NCC:NorESM2-LM	ScenarioMIP	Seland et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.604
NCC:NorESM2-MM	CMIP	Bentsen et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.506
NCC:NorESM2-MM	RFMIP	OliviÞ et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.590
NCC:NorESM2-MM	ScenarioMIP	Bentsen et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.608
NERC:HadGEM3-GC31-HH	HighResMIP	Coward et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1822
NERC:HadGEM3-GC31-HM	HighResMIP	Schiemann et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1824
NERC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	FAFMIP	Gregory (2021), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12065
NERC:HadGEM3-GC31-LL	PMIP	Williams et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.12067
NERC:UKESM1-0-LL	AerChemMIP	O'Connor (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.405
NIMS-KMA:KACE-1-0-G	CMIP	Byun et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2241
NIMS-KMA:KACE-1-0-G	ScenarioMIP	Byun et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2242
NIMS-KMA:UKESM1-0-LL	AerChemMIP	Shim et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2243
NIMS-KMA:UKESM1-0-LL	CMIP	Shim et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2245
NIMS-KMA:UKESM1-0-LL	ScenarioMIP	Shim et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2250
NIWA:UKESM1-0-LL	AerChemMIP	Dalvi et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1741
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-AM4	CMIP	Zhao et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1401
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-CM4	CFMIP	Silvers et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1641
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-CM4	CMIP	Guo et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1402
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-CM4	DAMIP	Ploshay et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11383
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-CM4	GMMIP	Xiang et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1642
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-CM4	OMIP	Adcroft et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1403

NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-CM4	RFMIP	Paynter et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1643
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-CM4	ScenarioMIP	Guo et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.9242
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-CM4C192	HighResMIP	Zhao et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2262
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-ESM2M	FAFMIP	Hurlin et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1645
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-ESM4	AerChemMIP	Horowitz et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1404
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-ESM4	C4MIP	Krasting et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1405
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-ESM4	CDRMIP	John et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1981
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-ESM4	CMIP	Krasting et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1407
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-ESM4	DAMIP	Horowitz et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1408
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-ESM4	LUMIP	Malyshev et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1411
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-ESM4	RFMIP	Paynter et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.11961
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-ESM4	ScenarioMIP	John et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1414
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-GRTCODE	RFMIP	Paynter et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10404
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-OM4p5B	OMIP	Zadeh et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2264
NOAA-GFDL:GFDL-RFM-DISORT	RFMIP	Paynter et al. (2018), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10406
NTU:TaiESM1-TIMCOM	OMIP	Tseng et al. (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.14323
NUIST:NESM3	CMIP	Cao et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2021
NUIST:NESM3	PMIP	Cao (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2026
NUIST:NESM3	ScenarioMIP	Cao (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2027
RTE-RRTMGP-Consortium:RTE-RRTMGP-181204	RFMIP	Pincus (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10124
SNU:SAM0-UNICON	CMIP	Park et al. (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1489
THU:CIESM	CMIP	Huang (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1352
THU:CIESM	GMMIP	Xue (2020), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1354
THU:CIESM	ScenarioMIP	Huang (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.1357
UA:MCM-UA-1-0	CMIP	Stouffer (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2421
UA:MCM-UA-1-0	ScenarioMIP	Stouffer (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.13816
UHH:ARTS-2-3	RFMIP	Brath (2019), https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2001

[END TABLE AII.10 HERE]

References

Adcroft, A., Anderson, W., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Bushuk, M., Dufour, C. O., et al. (2019a). The GFDL Global Ocean and Sea Ice Model OM4.0: Model Description and Simulation Features. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 3167–3211. doi:10.1029/2019MS001726.

Adcroft, A., Anderson, W., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Bushuk, M., Dufour, C. O., et al. (2019b). The GFDL Global Ocean and Sea Ice Model OM4.0: Model Description and Simulation Features. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 3167–3211. doi:10.1029/2019MS001726.

Agosta, C., Amory, C., Kittel, C., Orsi, A., Favier, V., Gallée, H., et al. (2019). Estimation of the Antarctic surface mass balance using the regional climate model MAR (1979–2015) and identification of dominant processes. *Cryosph.* 13, 281–296. doi:10.5194/tc-13-281-2019.

Akhtar, N., Brauch, J., and Ahrens, B. (2018). Climate modeling over the Mediterranean Sea: impact of resolution and ocean coupling. *Clim. Dyn.* 51, 933–948. doi:10.1007/s00382-017-3570-8.

Alapaty, K., Mathur, R., Pleim, J., Hogrefe, C., Rao, S. T., Ramaswamy, V., et al. (2012). New Directions: Understanding interactions of air quality and climate change at regional scales. *Atmos. Environ.* 49, 419–421. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.12.016.

Anderson, B., and Mackintosh, A. (2012). Controls on mass balance sensitivity of maritime glaciers in the Southern Alps, New Zealand: The role of debris cover. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* 117. doi:10.1029/2011JF002064.

Anderson, J. L., Balaji, V., Broccoli, A. J., Cooke, W. F., Delworth, T. L., Dixon, K. W., et al. (2004). The New GFDL Global Atmosphere and Land Model AM2–LM2: Evaluation with Prescribed SST Simulations. *J. Clim.* 17, 4641–4673. doi:10.1175/JCLI-3223.1.

Archibald, A. T., O'Connor, F. M., Abraham, N. L., Archer-Nicholls, S., Chipperfield, M. P., Dalvi, M., et al. (2020). Description and evaluation of the UKCA stratosphere--troposphere chemistry scheme (StratTrop vn 1.0) implemented in UKESM1. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 1223–1266. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-1223-2020.

Arora, V. K., and Boer, G. J. (2010). Uncertainties in the 20th century carbon budget associated with land use change. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16, 3327–3348. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02202.x.

Artale, V., Calmanti, S., Carillo, A., Dell'Aquila, A., Herrmann, M., Pisacane, G., et al. (2010). An atmosphere–ocean regional climate model for the Mediterranean area: assessment of a present climate simulation. *Clim. Dyn.* 35, 721–740. doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0691-8.

Aschwanden, A., Fahnestock, M. A., and Truffer, M. (2016). Complex Greenland outlet glacier flow captured. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10524. doi:10.1038/ncomms10524.

Aumont, O., Ethé, C., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., and Gehlen, M. (2015). PISCES-v2: an ocean biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystem studies. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 8, 2465–2513. doi:10.5194/gmd-8-2465-2015.

Balaji, V., Taylor, K. E., Juckes, M., Lawrence, B. N., Durack, P. J., Lautenschlager, M., et al. (2018). Requirements for a global data infrastructure in support of CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 3659–3680. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-3659-2018.

Balsamo, G., Beljaars, A., Scipal, K., Viterbo, P., van den Hurk, B., Hirschi, M., et al. (2009). A Revised Hydrology for the ECMWF Model: Verification from Field Site to Terrestrial Water Storage and Impact in the Integrated Forecast System. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 10, 623–643. doi:10.1175/2008JHM1068.1.

Bao, Y., Song, Z., and Qiao, F. (2020). FIO-ESM Version 2.0: Model Description and Evaluation. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.* 125, e2019JC016036. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC016036.

Bauer, S. E., Tsigaridis, K., Faluvegi, G., Kelley, M., Lo, K. K., Miller, R. L., et al. (2020). Historical (1850–2014) Aerosol Evolution and Role on Climate Forcing Using the GISS ModelE2.1 Contribution to CMIP6. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS001978. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001978.

Bellouin, N., Rae, J., Jones, A., Johnson, C., Haywood, J., and Boucher, O. (2011). Aerosol forcing in the Climate Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) simulations by HadGEM2-ES and the role of ammonium nitrate. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 116. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016074.

Bellucci, A., Athanasiadis, P. J., Scoccimarro, E., Ruggieri, P., Gualdi, S., Fedele, G., et al. (2021). Air-Sea interaction over the Gulf Stream in an ensemble of HighResMIP present climate simulations. *Clim. Dyn.* doi:10.1007/s00382-020-05573-z.

Beuvier, J., Brossier, C. L., B´eranger, K., Arsouze, T., Bourdall´e-Badie, R., Deltel, C., et al. (2012). MED12, OCEANIC COMPONENT FOR THE MODELING OF THE REGIONAL MEDITERRANEAN EARTH SYSTEM. *Mercat. Ocean Q. Newsletter, #46 <hal-01138958>*.

Beuvier, J., Sevault, F., Herrmann, M., Kontoyiannis, H., Ludwig, W., Rixen, M., et al. (2010). Modeling the Mediterranean Sea interannual variability during 1961–2000: Focus on the Eastern Mediterranean Transient. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, C08017. doi:10.1029/2009JC005950.

Bi, D., Dix, M., Marsland, S., O'Farrell, S., Sullivan, A., Bodman, R., et al. (2020). Configuration and spin-up of ACCESS-CM2, the new generation Australian Community Climate and Earth System Simulator Coupled Model. *J. South. Hemisph. Earth Syst. Sci.* doi:10.1071/es19040.

Boucher, O., Servonnat, J., Albright, A. L., Aumont, O., Balkanski, Y., Bastrikov, V., et al. (2020). Presentation and

Evaluation of the IPSL-CM6A-LR Climate Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12. doi:10.1029/2019MS002010.

Bruyère, C. L., Done, J. M., Holland, G. J., and Fredrick, S. (2014). Bias corrections of global models for regional climate simulations of high-impact weather. *Clim. Dyn.* 43, 1847–1856. doi:10.1007/s00382-013-2011-6.

Bueler, E., and Brown, J. (2009). Shallow shelf approximation as a “sliding law” in a thermomechanically coupled ice sheet model. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114, F03008. doi:10.1029/2008JF001179.

Bukovsky, M. S., and Mearns, L. O. (2020). Regional climate change projections from NA-CORDEX and their relation to climate sensitivity. *Clim. Change* 162, 645–665. doi:10.1007/s10584-020-02835-x.

Burrows, S. M., Maltrud, M., Yang, X., Zhu, Q., Jeffery, N., Shi, X., et al. (2020). The DOE E3SM v1.1 Biogeochemistry Configuration: Description and Simulated Ecosystem-Climate Responses to Historical Changes in Forcing. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS001766. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001766.

Cao, J., Wang, B., Yang, Y.-M., Ma, L., Li, J., Sun, B., et al. (2018). The NUIST Earth System Model~(NESM) version 3: description and preliminary evaluation. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 2975–2993. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-2975-2018.

Chang, P., Zhang, S., Danabasoglu, G., Yeager, S. G., Fu, H., Wang, H., et al. (2020). An Unprecedented Set of High-Resolution Earth System Simulations for Understanding Multiscale Interactions in Climate Variability and Change. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2020MS002298. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002298.

Chen, F., and Dudhia, J. (2001). Coupling an Advanced Land Surface–Hydrology Model with the Penn State–NCAR MM5 Modeling System. Part I: Model Implementation and Sensitivity. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 129, 569–585. doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129<0569:CAALSH>2.0.CO;2.

Chen, J.-P., Tsai, I.-C., and Lin, Y.-C. (2013). A statistical–numerical aerosol parameterization scheme. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 10483–10504. doi:10.5194/acp-13-10483-2013.

Cherchi, A., Fogli, P. G., Lovato, T., Peano, D., Iovino, D., Gualdi, S., et al. (2019). Global Mean Climate and Main Patterns of Variability in the CMCC-CM2 Coupled Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 185–209. doi:10.1029/2018MS001369.

Chou, S. C., Lyra, A., Mourão, C., Dereczynski, C., Pilotto, I., Gomes, J., et al. (2014a). Assessment of Climate Change over South America under RCP 4.5 and 8.5 Downscaling Scenarios. *Am. J. Clim. Chang.* 03, 512–527. doi:10.4236/ajcc.2014.35043.

Chou, S. C., Lyra, A., Mourão, C., Dereczynski, C., Pilotto, I., Gomes, J., et al. (2014b). Evaluation of the Eta Simulations Nested in Three Global Climate Models. *Am. J. Clim. Chang.* 03, 438–454. doi:10.4236/ajcc.2014.35039.

Christensen, O. B., Drews, M., Christensen, J. H., Danish Climate Centre, DMI, Dethloff, K., et al. (2007). The HIRHAM Regional Climate Model. Available at: www.dmi.dk/dmi/tr06-17.

Colin, J., Déqué, M., Radu, R., and Somot, S. (2010). Sensitivity study of heavy precipitation in Limited Area Model climate simulations: influence of the size of the domain and the use of the spectral nudging technique. *Tellus A Dyn. Meteorol. Oceanogr.* 62, 591–604. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0870.2010.00467.x.

Cornford, S. L., Martin, D. F., Graves, D. T., Ranken, D. F., Le Brocq, A. M., Gladstone, R. M., et al. (2013). Adaptive mesh, finite volume modeling of marine ice sheets. *J. Comput. Phys.* 232, 529–549. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2012.08.037.

Cornford, S. L., Martin, D. F., Payne, A. J., Ng, E. G., Le Brocq, A. M., Gladstone, R. M., et al. (2015). Century-scale simulations of the response of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet to a warming climate. *Cryosph.* 9, 1579–1600. doi:10.5194/tc-9-1579-2015.

Cuzzone, J. K., Morlighem, M., Larour, E., Schlegel, N., and Seroussi, H. (2018). Implementation of higher-order vertical finite elements in ISSM v4.13 for improved ice sheet flow modeling over paleoclimate timescales. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 1683–1694. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-1683-2018.

Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J.-F., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K., Edwards, J., et al. (2020). The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS001916. doi:10.1029/2019MS001916.

Daniel, M., Lemonsu, A., Déqué, M., Somot, S., Alias, A., and Masson, V. (2019). Benefits of explicit urban parameterization in regional climate modeling to study climate and city interactions. *Clim. Dyn.* 52, 2745–2764. doi:10.1007/s00382-018-4289-x.

de Boer, B., Stocchi, P., and van de Wal, R. S. W. (2014). A fully coupled 3-D ice-sheet–sea-level model: algorithm and applications. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 7, 2141–2156. doi:10.5194/gmd-7-2141-2014.

De Ridder, K. (1997). Radiative Transfer in the IAGL Land Surface Model. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* 36, 12–21. doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1997)036<0012:RTITIL>2.0.CO;2.

De Ridder, K., and Schayes, G. (1997). The IAGL Land Surface Model. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* 36, 167–182. doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1997)036<0167:TILSM>2.0.CO;2.

Decharme, B., Alkama, R., Douville, H., Becker, M., and Cazenave, A. (2010). Global Evaluation of the ISBA-TRIP Continental Hydrological System. Part II: Uncertainties in River Routing Simulation Related to Flow Velocity and Groundwater Storage. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 11, 601–617. doi:10.1175/2010JHM1212.1.

Decharme, B., Delire, C., Minvielle, M., Colin, J., Vergnes, J.-P., Alias, A., et al. (2019). Recent Changes in the ISBA-CTRIP Land Surface System for Use in the CNRM-CM6 Climate Model and in Global Off-Line Hydrological Applications. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 1207–1252. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001545.

Delire, C., Séférian, R., Decharme, B., Alkama, R., Calvet, J.-C., Carrer, D., et al. (2020). The Global Land Carbon Cycle Simulated With ISBA-CTRIP: Improvements Over the Last Decade. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS001886. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001886.

Delworth, T., Ronald, S., Dixon, K., MJ, S., TR, K., Broccoli, A., et al. (2002). Simulation of climate variability and change by the GFDL R30 coupled climate model. *Clim. Dyn.* 19, 555–574. doi:10.1007/s00382-002-0249-5.

Deushi, M., and Shibata, K. (2011). Development of a Meteorological Research Institute Chemistry-Climate Model version 2 for the Study of Tropospheric and Stratospheric Chemistry. *Pap. Meteorol. Geophys.* 62, 1–46. doi:10.2467/mripapers.62.1.

Di Virgilio, G., Evans, J. P., Di Luca, A., Olson, R., Argüeso, D., Kala, J., et al. (2019). Evaluating reanalysis-driven CORDEX regional climate models over Australia: model performance and errors. *Clim. Dyn.* 53, 2985–3005. doi:10.1007/s00382-019-04672-w.

Dickinson, R. E., Henderson-Sellers, A., and Kennedy, P. J. (1993). Biosphere-Atmosphere Transfer Scheme (BATS) version le as coupled to the NCAR community climate model. Technical note. [NCAR (National Center for Atmospheric Research)]. United States Available at: https://www.osti.gov/biblio/5733868-biosphere-atmosphere-transfer-scheme-bats-version-le-coupled-ncar-community-climate-model-technical-note-ncar-national-center-atmospheric-research.

Dickinson, R. E., Oleson, K. W., Bonan, G., Hoffman, F., Thornton, P., Vertenstein, M., et al. (2006). The Community Land Model and Its Climate Statistics as a Component of the Community Climate System Model. *J. Clim.* 19, 2302–2324. doi:10.1175/JCLI3742.1.

Djurdjevic, V., and Rajkovic, B. (2008). Verification of a coupled atmosphere-ocean model using satellite observations over the Adriatic Sea. *Ann. Geophys.* 26, 1935–1954. doi:10.5194/angeo-26-1935-2008.

Djurdjevic, V., and Rajkovic, B. (2010). "Development of the EBU-POM coupled regional climate model and results from climate change experiments," in *Advances in Environmental Modeling and Measurements*, eds. T. D. Mihajlovic and B. Lalic (Nova Publishers), 23–32.

Döscher, R., Acosta, M., Alessandri, A., Anthoni, P., Arneth, A., Arsouze, T., et al. (2021). The EC-Earth3 Earth System Model for the Climate Model Intercomparison Project 6. *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.* 2021, 1–90. doi:10.5194/gmd-2020-446.

Douville, H., Planton, S., Royer, J.-F., Stephenson, D. B., Tyteca, S., Kergoat, L., et al. (2000). Importance of vegetation feedbacks in doubled-CO 2 climate experiments. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 105, 14841–14861. doi:10.1029/1999JD901086.

Dunne, J. P., Bociu, I., Bronselaer, B., Guo, H., John, J. G., Krasting, J. P., et al. (2020a). Simple Global Ocean Biogeochemistry With Light, Iron, Nutrients and Gas Version 2 (BLINGv2): Model Description and Simulation Characteristics in GFDL's CM4.0. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS002008. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002008.

Dunne, J. P., Horowitz, L. W., Adcroft, A. J., Ginoux, P., Held, I. M., John, J. G., et al. (2020b). The GFDL Earth System Model version 4.1 (GFDL-ESM 4.1): Overall coupled model description and simulation characteristics. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* n/a, e2019MS002015. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002015.

Ek, M. B., Mitchell, K. E., Lin, Y., Rogers, E., Grunmann, P., Koren, V., et al. (2003). Implementation of Noah land surface model advances in the National Centers for Environmental Prediction operational mesoscale Eta model. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 108, 2002JD003296. doi:10.1029/2002JD003296.

Emmons, L. K., Schwantes, R. H., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G., Kinnison, D., Lamarque, J.-F., et al. (2020). The Chemistry Mechanism in the Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS001882. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001882.

Ettema, J., van den Broeke, M. R., van Meijgaard, E., van de Berg, W. J., Box, J. E., and Steffen, K. (2010). Climate of the Greenland ice sheet using a high-resolution climate model – Part 1: Evaluation. *Cryosph.* 4, 511–527. doi:10.5194/tc-4-511-2010.

Evans, J. P., Di Virgilio, G., Hirsch, A. L., Hoffmann, P., Remedio, A. R., Ji, F., et al. (2020). The CORDEX-Australasia ensemble: evaluation and future projections. *Clim. Dyn.* doi:10.1007/s00382-020-05459-0.

Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., et al. (2016). Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 1937–1958. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016.

Feichter, J., Kjellström, E., Rodhe, H., Dentener, F., Lelieveldi, J., and Roelofs, G.-J. (1996). Simulation of the tropospheric sulfur cycle in a global climate model. *Atmos. Environ.* 30, 1693–1707. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(95)00394-0.

Fiedler, S., Stevens, B., Gidden, M., Smith, S. J., Riahi, K., and van Vuuren, D. (2019). First forcing estimates from the future CMIP6 scenarios of anthropogenic aerosol optical properties and an associated Twomey effect. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 989–1007. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-989-2019.

Flato, G., Marotzke, J., Abiodun, B., Braconnot, P., Chou, S. C., Collins, W., et al. (2013). "Evaluation of Climate Models," in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, eds. T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, et al. (Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge

University Press), 741–866. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.020.
Fouquart, Y., and Bonnel, B. (1980). Computations of solar heating of the Earth's atmosphere: A new parameterization. Available at: https://pascal-francis.inist.fr/vibad/index.php?action=getRecordDetail&idt=PASCAL8030435628.
Fürst, J. J., Goelzer, H., and Huybrechts, P. (2015). Ice-dynamic projections of the Greenland ice sheet in response to atmospheric and oceanic warming. *Cryosph.* 9, 1039–1062. doi:10.5194/tc-9-1039-2015.
Gallée, H., and Duynkerke, P. G. (1997). Air-snow interactions and the surface energy and mass balance over the melting zone of west Greenland during the Greenland Ice Margin Experiment. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 102, 13813–13824. doi:10.1029/96JD03358.
Gallée, H., Guyomarc'h, G., and Brun, E. (2001). Impact Of Snow Drift On The Antarctic Ice Sheet Surface Mass Balance: Possible Sensitivity To Snow-Surface Properties. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.* 99, 1–19. doi:10.1023/A:1018776422809.
Gillet-Chaulet, F., Durand, G., Gagliardini, O., Mosbeux, C., Mouginot, J., Rémy, F., et al. (2016). Assimilation of surface velocities acquired between 1996 and 2010 to constrain the form of the basal friction law under Pine Island Glacier. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43, 10,311-10,321. doi:10.1002/2016GL069937.
Gillet-Chaulet, F., Gagliardini, O., Seddik, H., Nodet, M., Durand, G., Ritz, C., et al. (2012). Greenland ice sheet contribution to sea-level rise from a new-generation ice-sheet model. *Cryosph.* 6, 1561–1576. doi:10.5194/tc-6-1561-2012.
Giorgi, F., and Anyah, R. (2012). INTRODUCTION The road towards RegCM4 F. Giorgi1,*, R. O. Anyah2. *Clim. Res.* 52, 3–6. doi:10.3354/cr01089.
Giorgi, F., Coppola, E., Solmon, F., Mariotti, L., Sylla, M., Bi, X., et al. (2012). RegCM4: model description and preliminary tests over multiple CORDEX domains. *Clim. Res.* 52, 7–29. doi:10.3354/cr01018.
Giot, O., Termonia, P., Degrauwe, D., De Troch, R., Caluwaerts, S., Smet, G., et al. (2016). Validation of the ALARO-0 model within the EURO-CORDEX framework. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 1143–1152. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-1143-2016.
Goelzer, H., Nowicki, S., Edwards, T., Beckley, M., Abe-Ouchi, A., Aschwanden, A., et al. (2018). Design and results of the ice sheet model initialisation experiments initMIP-Greenland: an ISMIP6 intercomparison. *Cryosph.* 12, 1433–1460. doi:10.5194/tc-12-1433-2018.
Goelzer, H., Nowicki, S., Payne, A., Larour, E., Seroussi, H., Lipscomb, W. H., et al. (2020). The future sea-level contribution of the Greenland ice sheet: a multi-model ensemble study of ISMIP6. *Cryosph.* 14, 3071–3096. doi:10.5194/tc-14-3071-2020.
Golaz, J.-C., Caldwell, P. M., Van Roekel, L. P., Petersen, M. R., Tang, Q., Wolfe, J. D., et al. (2019). The DOE E3SM Coupled Model Version 1: Overview and Evaluation at Standard Resolution. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 2089–2129. doi:10.1029/2018MS001603.
Golledge, N. R., Keller, E. D., Gomez, N., Naughten, K. A., Bernales, J., Trusel, L. D., et al. (2019). Global environmental consequences of twenty-first-century ice-sheet melt. *Nature* 566, 65–72. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0889-9.
Greve, R., and Blatter, H. (2016). Comparison of thermodynamics solvers in the polythermal ice sheet model SICOPOLIS. *Polar Sci.* 10, 11–23. doi:10.1016/j.polar.2015.12.004.
Greve, R., Chambers, C., and Calov, R. (2020). ISMIP6 future projections for the Greenland ice sheet with the model SICOPOLIS. Zenodo. Available at: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4035941.
Greve, R., and SICOPOLIS Developer Team (2019). SICOPOLIS v5.1 (Version 5.1). Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.3727511.
Gudmundsson, G. H., Krug, J., Durand, G., Favier, L., and Gagliardini, O. (2012). The stability of grounding lines on retrograde slopes. *Cryosph.* 6, 1497–1505. doi:10.5194/tc-6-1497-2012.
Guilyardi, E., Balaji, V., Lawrence, B., Callaghan, S., Deluca, C., Denvil, S., et al. (2013). Documenting Climate Models and Their Simulations. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 94, 623–627. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00035.1.
Guo, C., Bentsen, M., Bethke, I., Ilicak, M., Tjiputra, J., Toniazzo, T., et al. (2019). Description and evaluation of NorESM1-F: a fast version of the Norwegian Earth System Model (NorESM). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 343–362. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-343-2019.
Gutjahr, O., Putrasahan, D., Lohmann, K., Jungclaus, J. H., von Storch, J.-S., Brüggemann, N., et al. (2019). Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM1.2) for the High-Resolution Model Intercomparison Project (HighResMIP). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 3241–3281. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-3241-2019.
Gutowski Jr., W. J., Giorgi, F., Timbal, B., Frigon, A., Jacob, D., Kang, H.-S., et al. (2016). WCRP COordinated Regional Downscaling EXperiment (CORDEX): a diagnostic MIP for CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 4087–4095. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-4087-2016.
Haarsma, R., Acosta, M., Bakhshi, R., Bretonnière, P.-A., Caron, L.-P., Castrillo, M., et al. (2020). HighResMIP versions of EC-Earth: EC-Earth3P and EC-Earth3P-HR -- description, model computational performance and basic validation. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 3507–3527. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-3507-2020.
Haarsma, R. J., Roberts, M. J., Vidale, P. L., Senior, C. A., Bellucci, A., Bao, Q., et al. (2016). High Resolution Model Intercomparison Project (HighResMIP v1.0) for CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 4185–4208. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-4185-2016.

Haidvogel, D. B., Arango, H., Budgell, W. P., Cornuelle, B. D., Curchitser, E., Di Lorenzo, E., et al. (2008). Ocean forecasting in terrain-following coordinates: Formulation and skill assessment of the Regional Ocean Modeling System. *J. Comput. Phys.* 227, 3595–3624. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2007.06.016.

Hajima, T., Watanabe, M., Yamamoto, A., Tatebe, H., Noguchi, M. A., Abe, M., et al. (2020). Development of the MIROC-ES2L Earth system model and the evaluation of biogeochemical processes and feedbacks. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 2197–2244. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-2197-2020.

Hauglustaine, D. A., Balkanski, Y., and Schulz, M. (2014). A global model simulation of present and future nitrate aerosols and their direct radiative forcing of climate. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 14, 11031–11063. doi:10.5194/acp-14-11031-2014.

He, B., Bao, Q., Wang, X., Zhou, L., Wu, X., Liu, Y., et al. (2019). CAS FGOALS-f3-L Model Datasets for CMIP6 Historical Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project Simulation. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* 36, 771–778. doi:10.1007/s00376-019-9027-8.

He, B., Yu, Y., Bao, Q., LIN, P., LIU, H., LI, J., et al. (2020). CAS FGOALS-f3-L model dataset descriptions for CMIP6 DECK experiments. *Atmos. Ocean. Sci. Lett.* 13, 582–588. doi:10.1080/16742834.2020.1778419.

Held, I. M., Guo, H., Adcroft, A., Dunne, J. P., Horowitz, L. W., Krasting, J., et al. (2019). Structure and Performance of GFDL's CM4.0 Climate Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 3691–3727. doi:10.1029/2019MS001829.

Hock, R., Bliss, A., Marzeion, B. E. N., Giesen, R. H., Hirabayashi, Y., Huss, M., et al. (2019). GlacierMIP – A model intercomparison of global-scale glacier mass-balance models and projections. *J. Glaciol.* 65, 453–467. doi:10.1017/jog.2019.22.

Hoffman, M. J., Perego, M., Price, S. F., Lipscomb, W. H., Zhang, T., Jacobsen, D., et al. (2018). MPAS-Albany Land Ice (MALI): a variable-resolution ice sheet model for Earth system modeling using Voronoi grids. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 3747–3780. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-3747-2018.

Hoffmann, P., Katzfey, J. J., McGregor, J. L., and Thatcher, M. (2016). Bias and variance correction of sea surface temperatures used for dynamical downscaling. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 121, 12,877-12,890. doi:10.1002/2016JD025383.

Horowitz, L. W., Naik, V., Paulot, F., Ginoux, P. A., Dunne, J. P., Mao, J., et al. (2020). The GFDL Global Atmospheric Chemistry-Climate Model AM4.1: Model Description and Simulation Characteristics. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS002032. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002032.

Hostetler, S. W., Giorgi, F., Bates, G. T., and Bartlein, P. J. (1994). Lake-Atmosphere Feedbacks Associated with Paleolakes Bonneville and Lahontan. *Science (80-.).* 263, 665–668. doi:10.1126/science.263.5147.665.

Hourdin, F., Rio, C., Grandpeix, J.-Y., Madeleine, J.-B., Cheruy, F., Rochetin, N., et al. (2020). LMDZ6A: The Atmospheric Component of the IPSL Climate Model With Improved and Better Tuned Physics. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS001892. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001892.

Hunke, E. C., Lipscomb, W. H., Turner, A. K., Jeffery, N., and Elliott, S. (2015). CICE: the Los Alamos Sea Ice Model Documentation and Software User's Manual Version 4.1 LA-CC-06-012.

Hunke, E., and Lipscomp, W. H. (2008). CICE: The Los Alamos Sea Ice Model Documentation and Software User's Manual Version 4.1. Los Alamos.

Huss, M., and Hock, R. (2015). A new model for global glacier change and sea-level rise. *Front. Earth Sci.* 3. doi:10.3389/feart.2015.00054.

Huybrechts, P. (2002). Sea-level changes at the LGM from ice-dynamic reconstructions of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets during the glacial cycles. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 21, 203–231. doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00082-8.

Inness, A., Baier, F., Benedetti, A., Bouarar, I., Chabrillat, S., Clark, H., et al. (2013). The MACC reanalysis: an 8 yr data set of atmospheric composition. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 4073–4109. doi:10.5194/acp-13-4073-2013.

Jacob, D. (2001). A note to the simulation of the annual and inter-annual variability of the water budget over the Baltic Sea drainage basin. *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.* 77, 61–73. doi:10.1007/s007030170017.

Jacob, D., and Podzun, R. (1997). Sensitivity studies with the regional climate model REMO. *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.* 63, 119–129. doi:10.1007/BF01025368.

Kawamiya, M., Hajima, T., Tachiiri, K., Watanabe, S., and Yokohata, T. (2020). Two decades of Earth system modeling with an emphasis on Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (MIROC). *Prog. Earth Planet. Sci.* 7, 64. doi:10.1186/s40645-020-00369-5.

Kelley, M., Schmidt, G. A., Nazarenko, L. S., Bauer, S. E., Ruedy, R., Russell, G. L., et al. (2020). GISS-E2.1: Configurations and Climatology. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12. doi:10.1029/2019MS002025.

Kinne, S., Schulz, M., Textor, C., Guibert, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S. E., et al. (2006). An AeroCom initial assessment – optical properties in aerosol component modules of global models. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 6, 1815–1834. doi:10.5194/acp-6-1815-2006.

Kirkevåg, A., Grini, A., Olivié, D., Seland, Ø., Alterskjær, K., Hummel, M., et al. (2018). A production-tagged aerosol module for Earth system models, OsloAero5.3 -- extensions and updates for CAM5.3-Oslo. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 3945–3982. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-3945-2018.

Kiss, A. E., McC Hogg, A., Hannah, N., Boeira Dias, F., B Brassington, G., Chamberlain, M. A., et al. (2020). ACCESS-OM2 v1.0: A global ocean-sea ice model at three resolutions. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 401–442. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-401-2020.

Kittel, C., Amory, C., Agosta, C., Jourdain, N. C., Hofer, S., Delhasse, A., et al. (2021). Diverging future surface mass balance between the Antarctic ice shelves and grounded ice sheet. *Cryosph.* 15, 1215–1236. doi:10.5194/tc-15-1215-2021.

Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., and Hassiotis, A. D. (2008). An Upper Gravity-Wave Absorbing Layer for NWP Applications. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 136, 3987–4004. doi:10.1175/2008MWR2596.1.

Kowalczyk, E., Stevens, L., Law, R., Dix, M., Wang, Y., Harman, I., et al. (2013). The land surface model component of ACCESS: description and impact on the simulated surface climatology. *Aust. Meteorol. Oceanogr. J.* 63, 65–82. doi:10.22499/2.6301.005.

Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Bierkens, M. F. P., Lutz, A. F., and Immerzeel, W. W. (2017). Impact of a global temperature rise of 1.5 degrees Celsius on Asia’s glaciers. *Nature* 549, 257–260. doi:10.1038/nature23878.

Kržič, A., Tošić, I., Djurdjević, V., Veljović, K., and Rajković, B. (2011). Changes in climate indices for Serbia according to the SRES-A1B and SRES-A2 scenarios. *Clim. Res.* 49, 73–86. doi:10.3354/cr01008.

Kuhlbrodt, T., Jones, C. G., Sellar, A., Storkey, D., Blockley, E., Stringer, M., et al. (2018). The Low-Resolution Version of HadGEM3 GC3.1: Development and Evaluation for Global Climate. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 2865–2888. doi:10.1029/2018MS001370.

Kuipers Munneke, P., van den Broeke, M. R., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Flanner, M. G., Gardner, A. S., and van de Berg, W. J. (2011). A new albedo parameterization for use in climate models over the Antarctic ice sheet. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, D05114. doi:10.1029/2010JD015113.

L’Hévéder, B., Li, L., Sevault, F., and Somot, S. (2013). Interannual variability of deep convection in the Northwestern Mediterranean simulated with a coupled AORCM. *Clim. Dyn.* 41, 937–960. doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1527-5.

Lamarque, J.-F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., et al. (2010). Historical (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 10, 7017–7039. doi:10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010.

Lamarque, J.-F., McConnell, J. R., Shindell, D. T., Orlando, J. J., and Tyndall, G. S. (2011). Understanding the drivers for the 20th century change of hydrogen peroxide in Antarctic ice-cores. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, n/a-n/a. doi:10.1029/2010GL045992.

Larour, E., Seroussi, H., Morlighem, M., and Rignot, E. (2012). Continental scale, high order, high spatial resolution, ice sheet modeling using the Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM). *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* 117, F01022. doi:10.1029/2011JF002140.

Lawrence, B. N., Balaji, V., Bentley, P., Callaghan, S., DeLuca, C., Denvil, S., et al. (2012). Describing Earth system simulations with the Metafor CIM. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 5, 1493–1500. doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1493-2012.

Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R. A., Koven, C. D., Oleson, K. W., Swenson, S. C., Bonan, G., et al. (2019). The Community Land Model Version 5: Description of New Features, Benchmarking, and Impact of Forcing Uncertainty. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 4245–4287. doi:10.1029/2018MS001583.

Lawrence, D. M., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thornton, P. E., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, P. J., et al. (2011). Parameterization improvements and functional and structural advances in Version 4 of the Community Land Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 3. doi:10.1029/2011MS00045.

Le Moigne, P., Colin, J., and Decharme, B. (2016). Impact of lake surface temperatures simulated by the FLake scheme in the CNRM-CM5 climate model. *Tellus A Dyn. Meteorol. Oceanogr.* 68, 31274. doi:10.3402/tellusa.v68.31274.

Lee, J., Kim, J., Sun, M.-A., Kim, B.-H., Moon, H., Sung, H. M., et al. (2019). Evaluation of the Korea Meteorological Administration Advanced Community Earth-System model (K-ACE). *Asia-Pacific J. Atmos. Sci.* doi:10.1007/s13143-019-00144-7.

Lee, V., Cornford, S. L., and Payne, A. J. (2015). Initialization of an ice-sheet model for present-day Greenland. *Ann. Glaciol.* 56, 129–140. doi:10.3189/2015AoG70A121.

Lee, W.-L., Liou, K. N., and Wang, C. (2013). Impact of 3-D topography on surface radiation budget over the Tibetan Plateau. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 113, 95–103. doi:10.1007/s00704-012-0767-y.

Lee, W.-L., Wang, Y.-C., Shiu, C.-J., Tsai, I., Tu, C.-Y., Lan, Y.-Y., et al. (2020). Taiwan Earth System Model Version 1: description and evaluation of mean state. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 3887–3904. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-3887-2020.

Lefebre, F. (2003). Modeling of snow and ice melt at ETH Camp (West Greenland): A study of surface albedo. *J. Geophys. Res.* 108, 4231. doi:10.1029/2001JD001160.

Lenaerts, J. T. M., van den Broeke, M. R., Déry, S. J., van Meijgaard, E., van de Berg, W. J., Palm, S. P., et al. (2012). Modeling drifting snow in Antarctica with a regional climate model: 1. Methods and model evaluation. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 117, n/a-n/a. doi:10.1029/2011JD016145.

Leutwyler, D., Lüthi, D., Ban, N., Fuhrer, O., and Schär, C. (2017). Evaluation of the convection-resolving climate modeling approach on continental scales. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 122, 5237–5258. doi:10.1002/2016JD026013.

Levermann, A., Winkelmann, R., Albrecht, T., Goelzer, H., Golledge, N. R., Greve, R., et al. (2020). Projecting Antarctica’s contribution to future sea level rise from basal ice shelf melt using linear response functions of 16 ice sheet models (LARMIP-2). *Earth Syst. Dyn.* 11, 35–76. doi:10.5194/esd-11-35-2020.

Li, D., Yin, B., Feng, J., Dosio, A., Geyer, B., Qi, J., et al. (2018). Present Climate Evaluation and Added Value Analysis of Dynamically Downscaled Simulations of CORDEX—East Asia. *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.* 57, 2317–2341. doi:10.1175/JAMC-D-18-0008.1.

Li, L., Yu, Y., Tang, Y., Lin, P., Xie, J., Song, M., et al. (2020). The Flexible Global Ocean-Atmosphere-Land System Model Grid-Point Version 3 (FGOALS-g3): Description and Evaluation. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS002012. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002012.

Li, W., Zhang, Y., Shi, X., Zhou, W., Huang, A., Mu, M., et al. (2019). Development of Land Surface Model BCC_AVIM2.0 and Its Preliminary Performance in LS3MIP/CMIP6. *J. Meteorol. Res.* 33, 851–869. doi:10.1007/s13351-019-9016-y.

Lin, P., Yu, Z., Liu, H., Yu, Y., Li, Y., Jiang, J., et al. (2020a). LICOM Model Datasets for the CMIP6 Ocean Model Intercomparison Project. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* 37, 239–249. doi:10.1007/s00376-019-9208-5.

Lin, Y., Huang, X., Liang, Y., Qin, Y., Xu, S., Huang, W., et al. (2020b). Community Integrated Earth System Model (CIESM): Description and Evaluation. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS002036. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002036.

Lipscomb, W. H., Price, S. F., Hoffman, M. J., Leguy, G. R., Bennett, A. R., Bradley, S. L., et al. (2019). Description and evaluation of the Community Ice Sheet Model (CISM) v2.1. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 387–424. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-387-2019.

Lipson, M. J., Thatcher, M., Hart, M. A., and Pitman, A. (2018). A building energy demand and urban land surface model. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 144, 1572–1590. doi:10.1002/qj.3317.

Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Zaveri, R., Rasch, P., Shi, X., et al. (2012a). Toward a minimal representation of aerosols in climate models: description and evaluation in the Community Atmosphere Model CAM5. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 5, 709–739. doi:10.5194/gmd-5-709-2012.

Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Zaveri, R., Rasch, P., Shi, X., et al. (2012b). Toward a minimal representation of aerosols in climate models: description and evaluation in the Community Atmosphere Model CAM5. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 5, 709–739. doi:10.5194/gmd-5-709-2012.

Liu, X., Ma, P.-L., Wang, H., Tilmes, S., Singh, B., Easter, R. C., et al. (2016). Description and evaluation of a new four-mode version of the Modal Aerosol Module (MAM4) within version 5.3 of the Community Atmosphere Model. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 505–522. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-505-2016.

Lurton, T., Balkanski, Y., Bastrikov, V., Bekki, S., Bopp, L., Braconnot, P., et al. (2020). Implementation of the CMIP6 Forcing Data in the IPSL-CM6A-LR Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS001940. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001940.

Manabe, S. (1969). Climate and the Ocean Circulation : I. The Atmospheric Circulation and the Hydrology of the Earth's Surface. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 97, 739–774.

Martynov, A., Laprise, R., Sushama, L., Winger, K., Šeparović, L., and Dugas, B. (2013). Reanalysis-driven climate simulation over CORDEX North America domain using the Canadian Regional Climate Model, version 5: model performance evaluation. *Clim. Dyn.* 41, 2973–3005. doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1778-9.

Marzeion, B., Hock, R., Anderson, B., Bliss, A., Champollion, N., Fujita, K., et al. (2020). Partitioning the Uncertainty of Ensemble Projections of Global Glacier Mass Change. *Earth's Futur.* 8, e2019EF001470. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EF001470.

Marzeion, B., Jarosch, A. H., and Hofer, M. (2012). Past and future sea-level change from the surface mass balance of glaciers. *Cryosph.* 6, 1295–1322. doi:10.5194/tc-6-1295-2012.

Mauritsen, T., Bader, J., Becker, T., Behrens, J., Bittner, M., Brokopf, R., et al. (2019). Developments in the MPI-M Earth System Model version 1.2 (MPI-ESM 1.2) and its response to increasing CO2. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 0. doi:10.1029/2018MS001400.

Maussion, F., Butenko, A., Eis, J., Fourteau, K., Jarosch, A. H., Landmann, J., et al. (2019). The Open Global Glacier Model (OGGM) v1.0. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 909–931. doi:10.5194/gmd-2018-9.

Mearns, L., McGinnis, S., Korytina, D., Arritt, R., Biner, S., Bukovsky, M., et al. (2017). The NA-CORDEX dataset, version 1.0. NCAR Climate Data Gateway. Boulder CO doi:10.5065/D6SJ1JCH.

Meehl, G. A., Yang, D., Arblaster, J. M., Bates, S. C., Rosenbloom, N., Neale, R., et al. (2019). Effects of Model Resolution, Physics, and Coupling on Southern Hemisphere Storm Tracks in CESM1.3. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46, 12408–12416. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084057.

Michou, M., Nabat, P., Saint-Martin, D., Bock, J., Decharme, B., Mallet, M., et al. (2020). Present-Day and Historical Aerosol and Ozone Characteristics in CNRM CMIP6 Simulations. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS001816. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001816.

Milly, P. C. D., Malyshev, S. L., Shevliakova, E., Dunne, K. A., Findell, K. L., Gleeson, T., et al. (2014). An Enhanced Model of Land Water and Energy for Global Hydrologic and Earth-System Studies. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 15, 1739–1761. doi:10.1175/JHM-D-13-0162.1.

Mironov, D., Heise, E., Kourzeneva, E., Ritter, B., Schneider, N., and Terzhevik, A. (2010). Implementation of the lake parameterisation scheme FLake into the numerical weather prediction model COSMO. *Boreal Environ. Res. 15* 15, 218–230. Available at: https://helda.helsinki.fi/handle/10138/233087.

Mizuta, R., Yoshimura, H., Murakami, H., Matsueda, M., Endo, H., Ose, T., et al. (2012). Climate Simulations Using MRI-AGCM3.2 with 20-km Grid. *J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan. Ser. II* 90A, 233–258. doi:10.2151/jmsj.2012-A12.

Mlawer, E. J., Taubman, S. J., Brown, P. D., Iacono, M. J., and Clough, S. A. (1997). Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous atmospheres: RRTM, a validated correlated-k model for the longwave. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*

102, 16663–16682. doi:10.1029/97JD00237.
Morcrette, J.-J., Barker, H. W., Cole, J. N. S., Iacono, M. J., and Pincus, R. (2008). Impact of a New Radiation Package, McRad, in the ECMWF Integrated Forecasting System. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 136, 4773–4798. doi:10.1175/2008MWR2363.1.
Morgenstern, O., Hegglin, M. I., Rozanov, E., O'Connor, F. M., Abraham, N. L., Akiyoshi, H., et al. (2017). Review of the global models used within phase 1 of the Chemistry–Climate Model Initiative (CCMI). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 10, 639–671. doi:10.5194/gmd-10-639-2017.
Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., Seroussi, H., Larour, E., Ben Dhia, H., and Aubry, D. (2010). Spatial patterns of basal drag inferred using control methods from a full-Stokes and simpler models for Pine Island Glacier, West Antarctica. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37, L14502. doi:10.1029/2010GL043853.
Mulcahy, J. P., Johnson, C., Jones, C. G., Povey, A. C., Scott, C. E., Sellar, A., et al. (2020). Description and evaluation of aerosol in UKESM1 and HadGEM3-GC3.1 CMIP6 historical simulations. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 6383–6423. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-6383-2020.
Müller, W. A., Jungclaus, J. H., Mauritsen, T., Baehr, J., Bittner, M., Budich, R., et al. (2018). A Higher-resolution Version of the Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM1.2-HR). *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 1383–1413. doi:10.1029/2017MS001217.
Nabat, P., Somot, S., Cassou, C., Mallet, M., Michou, M., Bouniol, D., et al. (2020). Modulation of radiative aerosols effects by atmospheric circulation over the Euro-Mediterranean region. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 20, 8315–8349. doi:10.5194/acp-20-8315-2020.
Nakano, H., Ishii, M., Rodgers, K. B., Tsujino, H., and Yamanaka, G. (2015). Anthropogenic CO2 uptake, transport, storage, and dynamical controls in the ocean imposed by the meridional overturning circulation: A modeling study. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 29, 1706–1724. doi:10.1002/2015GB005128.
Neubauer, D., Ferrachat, S., Siegenthaler-Le Drian, C., Stier, P., Partridge, D. G., Tegen, I., et al. (2019). The global aerosol--climate model ECHAM6.3--HAM2.3 -- Part 2: Cloud evaluation, aerosol radiative forcing, and climate sensitivity. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 3609–3639. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-3609-2019.
Nitta, T., Yoshimura, K., and Abe-Ouchi, A. (2017). Impact of Arctic Wetlands on the Climate System: Model Sensitivity Simulations with the MIROC5 AGCM and a Snow-Fed Wetland Scheme. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 18, 2923–2936. doi:10.1175/JHM-D-16-0105.1.
Nitta, T., Yoshimura, K., Takata, K., O'ishi, R., Sueyoshi, T., Kanae, S., et al. (2014). Representing Variability in Subgrid Snow Cover and Snow Depth in a Global Land Model: Offline Validation. *J. Clim.* 27, 3318–3330. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00310.1.
Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Mitchell, K. E., Chen, F., Ek, M. B., Barlage, M., et al. (2011). The community Noah land surface model with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP): 1. Model description and evaluation with local-scale measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, D12109. doi:10.1029/2010JD015139.
Noilhan, J., and Mahfouf, J.-F. (1996). The ISBA land surface parameterisation scheme. *Glob. Planet. Change* 13, 145–159. doi:10.1016/0921-8181(95)00043-7.
Notz, D., Haumann, F. A., Haak, H., Jungclaus, J. H., and Marotzke, J. (2013a). Arctic sea-ice evolution as modeled by Max Planck Institute for Meteorology's Earth system model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 5, 173–194. doi:10.1002/jame.20016.
Notz, D., Haumann, F. A., Haak, H., Jungclaus, J. H., and Marotzke, J. (2013b). Arctic sea-ice evolution as modeled by Max Planck Institute for Meteorology's Earth system model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 5, 173–194. doi:10.1002/jame.20016.
O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D. P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., et al. (2016). The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 3461–3482. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016.
Obata, A., and Adachi, Y. (2019). Earth System Model Response to Large Midlatitude and High-latitude Volcanic Eruptions. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* 124, 1865–1886. doi:10.1029/2018JG004696.
Obata, A., and Shibata, K. (2012). Damage of Land Biosphere due to Intense Warming by 1000-Fold Rapid Increase in Atmospheric Methane: Estimation with a Climate–Carbon Cycle Model. *J. Clim.* 25, 8524–8541. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00533.1.
Oki, T., and Sud, Y. C. (1998). Design of Total Runoff Integrating Pathways (TRIP)—A Global River Channel Network. *Earth Interact.* 2, 1–37. doi:10.1175/1087-3562(1998)002<0001:DOTRIP>2.3.CO;2.
Oleson, K., Lawrence, D. M., Bonan, G. B., Drewniak, B., Huang, M., Koven, C. D., et al. (2013). Technical description of version 4.5 of the Community Land Model (CLM). Boulder, CO, U.S.A. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5065/D6RR1W7M.
Oshima, N., Yukimoto, S., Deushi, M., Koshiro, T., Kawai, H., Tanaka, T. Y., et al. (2020). Global and Arctic effective radiative forcing of anthropogenic gases and aerosols in MRI-ESM2.0. *Prog. Earth Planet. Sci.* 7, 38. doi:10.1186/s40645-020-00348-w.
Ozturk, T., Turp, M. T., Türkeş, M., and Kurnaz, M. L. (2017). Projected changes in temperature and precipitation climatology of Central Asia CORDEX Region 8 by using RegCM4.3.5. *Atmos. Res.* 183, 296–307. doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.09.008.

Ozturk, T., Turp, M. T., Türkeş, M., and Kurnaz, M. L. (2018). Future projections of temperature and precipitation climatology for CORDEX-MENA domain using RegCM4.4. *Atmos. Res.* 206, 87–107. doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.02.009.

Pak, G., Noh, Y., Lee, M.-I., Yeh, S.-W., Kim, D., Kim, S.-Y., et al. (2021). Korea Institute of Ocean Science and Technology Earth System Model and its simulation characteristics. *Ocean Sci. J.* 56.

Pal, J. S., Giorgi, F., Bi, X., Elguindi, N., Solmon, F., Gao, X., et al. (2007). Regional Climate Modeling for the Developing World: The ICTP RegCM3 and RegCNET. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 88, 1395–1410. doi:10.1175/BAMS-88-9-1395.

Panitz, H.-J., Dosio, A., Büchner, M., Lüthi, D., and Keuler, K. (2014). COSMO-CLM (CCLM) climate simulations over CORDEX-Africa domain: analysis of the ERA-Interim driven simulations at 0.44° and 0.22° resolution. *Clim. Dyn.* 42, 3015–3038. doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1834-5.

Park, S., Shin, J., Kim, S., Oh, E., and Kim, Y. (2019). Global Climate Simulated by the Seoul National University Atmosphere Model Version 0 with a Unified Convection Scheme (SAM0-UNICON). *J. Clim.* 32, 2917–2949. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0796.1.

Pascoe, C., Lawrence, B. N., Guilyardi, E., Juckes, M., and Taylor, K. E. (2019). Designing and Documenting Experiments in CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.*, 1–27. doi:10.5194/gmd-2019-98.

Pattyn, F. (2017). Sea-level response to melting of Antarctic ice shelves on multi-centennial timescales with the fast Elementary Thermomechanical Ice Sheet model (f.ETISh v1.0). *Cryosph.* 11, 1851–1878. doi:10.5194/tc-11-1851-2017.

Petersen, M. R., Asay-Davis, X. S., Berres, A. S., Chen, Q., Feige, N., Hoffman, M. J., et al. (2019). An Evaluation of the Ocean and Sea Ice Climate of E3SM Using MPAS and Interannual CORE-II Forcing. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 1438–1458. doi:10.1029/2018MS001373.

Petrie, R., Denvil, S., Ames, S., Levavasseur, G., Fiore, S., Allen, C., et al. (2021). Coordinating an operational data distribution network for CMIP6 data. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 14, 629–644. doi:10.5194/gmd-14-629-2021.

Pollard, D., and DeConto, R. M. (2012). Description of a hybrid ice sheet-shelf model, and application to Antarctica. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 5, 1273–1295. doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1273-2012.

Pollard, D., DeConto, R. M., and Alley, R. B. (2015). Potential Antarctic Ice Sheet retreat driven by hydrofracturing and ice cliff failure. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 412, 112–121. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.12.035.

Powers, J. G., Klemp, J. B., Skamarock, W. C., Davis, C. A., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., et al. (2017). The Weather Research and Forecasting Model: Overview, System Efforts, and Future Directions. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 98, 1717–1737. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00308.1.

Qiao, F., Song, Z., Bao, Y., Song, Y., Shu, Q., Huang, C., et al. (2013). Development and evaluation of an Earth System Model with surface gravity waves. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.* 118, 4514–4524. doi:10.1002/jgrc.20327.

Quiquet, A., Dumas, C., Ritz, C., Peyaud, V., and Roche, D. M. (2018). The GRISLI ice sheet model (version 2.0): calibration and validation for multi-millennial changes of the Antarctic ice sheet. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 5003–5025. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-5003-2018.

Radić, V., Bliss, A., Beedlow, A. C., Hock, R., Miles, E., and Cogley, J. G. (2014). Regional and global projections of twenty-first century glacier mass changes in response to climate scenarios from global climate models. *Clim. Dyn.* 42, 37–58. doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1719-7.

Rasch, P. J., Xie, S., Ma, P.-L., Lin, W., Wang, H., Tang, Q., et al. An Overview of the Atmospheric Component of the Energy Exascale Earth System Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 0. doi:10.1029/2019MS001629.

Rechid, D., Raddatz, T. J., and Jacob, D. (2009). Parameterization of snow-free land surface albedo as a function of vegetation phenology based on MODIS data and applied in climate modelling. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 95, 245–255. doi:10.1007/s00704-008-0003-y.

Reick, C. H., Gayler, V., Goll, D., Hagemann, S., Heidkamp, M., Nabel, J. E. M. S., et al. (2021). JSBACH 3 - The land component of the MPI Earth System Model: documentation of version 3.2. Hamburg, Germany doi:10.17617/2.3279802.

Ridley, J. K., Blockley, E. W., Keen, A. B., Rae, J. G. L., West, A. E., and Schroeder, D. (2018a). The sea ice model component of HadGEM3-GC3.1. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 713–723. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-713-2018.

Ridley, J. K., Blockley, E. W., Keen, A. B., Rae, J. G. L., West, A. E., and Schroeder, D. (2018b). The sea ice model component of HadGEM3-GC3.1. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 713–723. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-713-2018.

Rind, D., Orbe, C., Jonas, J., Nazarenko, L., Zhou, T., Kelley, M., et al. (2020). GISS Model E2.2: A Climate Model Optimized for the Middle Atmosphere—Model Structure, Climatology, Variability, and Climate Sensitivity. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 125. doi:10.1029/2019JD032204.

Roberts, C. D., Senan, R., Molteni, F., Boussetta, S., Mayer, M., and Keeley, S. P. E. (2018). Climate model configurations of the ECMWF Integrated Forecasting System (ECMWF-IFS cycle 43r1) for HighResMIP. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 11, 3681–3712. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-3681-2018.

Roberts, M. J., Baker, A., Blockley, E. W., Calvert, D., Coward, A., Hewitt, H. T., et al. (2019). Description of the resolution hierarchy of the global coupled HadGEM3-GC3.1 model as used in CMIP6 HighResMIP experiments. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 4999–5028. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-4999-2019.

Roehrig, R., Beau, I., Saint-Martin, D., Alias, A., Decharme, B., Guérémy, J.-F., et al. (2020). The CNRM Global

Atmosphere Model ARPEGE-Climat 6.3: Description and Evaluation. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2020MS002075. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002075.
Rong, X., Li, J., Chen, H., Xin, Y., Su, J., Hua, L., et al. (2018). The CAMS Climate System Model and a Basic Evaluation of Its Climatology and Climate Variability Simulation. *J. Meteorol. Res.* 32, 839–861. doi:10.1007/s13351-018-8058-x.
Rotstayn, L. D., Collier, M. A., Mitchell, R. M., Qin, Y., Campbell, S. K., and Dravitzki, S. M. (2011). Simulated enhancement of ENSO-related rainfall variability due to Australian dust. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 6575–6592. doi:10.5194/acp-11-6575-2011.
Rotstayn, L. D., and Lohmann, U. (2002). Simulation of the tropospheric sulfur cycle in a global model with a physically based cloud scheme. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 107, AAC 20-1-AAC 20-21. doi:10.1029/2002JD002128.
Rounce, D. R., Hock, R., and Shean, D. E. (2020). Glacier Mass Change in High Mountain Asia Through 2100 Using the Open-Source Python Glacier Evolution Model (PyGEM). *Front. Earth Sci.* 7, 331. doi:10.3389/feart.2019.00331.
Rousset, C., Vancoppenolle, M., Madec, G., Fichefet, T., Flavoni, S., Barthélemy, A., et al. (2015). The Louvain-La-Neuve sea ice model LIM3.6: global and regional capabilities. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 8, 2991–3005. doi:10.5194/gmd-8-2991-2015.
Rückamp, M., Goelzer, H., and Humbert, A. (2020). Sensitivity of Greenland ice sheet projections to spatial resolution in higher-order simulations: the Alfred Wegener Institute (AWI) contribution to ISMIP6 Greenland using the Ice-sheet and Sea-level System Model (ISSM). *Cryosph.* 14, 3309–3327. doi:10.5194/tc-14-3309-2020.
Ruti, P. M., Somot, S., Giorgi, F., Dubois, C., Flaounas, E., Obermann, A., et al. (2016). Med-CORDEX Initiative for Mediterranean Climate Studies. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 97, 1187–1208. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00176.1.
Saint-Martin, D., Geoffroy, O., Voldoire, A., Cattiaux, J., Brient, F., Chauvin, F., et al. (2020). Tracking changes in climate sensitivity in CNRM climate models. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* n/a, e2020MS002190. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002190.
Saito, F., Abe-Ouchi, A., Takahashi, K., and Blatter, H. (2016). SeaRISE experiments revisited: potential sources of spread in multi-model projections of the Greenland ice sheet. *Cryosph.* 10, 43–63. doi:10.5194/tc-10-43-2016.
Sakai, A., and Fujita, K. (2017). Contrasting glacier responses to recent climate change in high-mountain Asia. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 13717. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-14256-5.
Samuelsson, P., Gollvik, S., Jansson, C., Kupiainen, M., Kourzeneva, E., and van de Berg, W. J. (2015). The surface processes of the Rossby Centre regional atmospheric climate model (RCA4). Available at: http://www.smhi.se/polopoly_fs/1.89799!/Menu/general/extGroup/attachmentColHold/mainCol1/file/meteorologi_157.pdf.
Sanjay, J., Krishnan, R., Shrestha, A. B., Rajbhandari, R., and Ren, G.-Y. (2017). Downscaled climate change projections for the Hindu Kush Himalayan region using CORDEX South Asia regional climate models. *Adv. Clim. Chang. Res.* 8, 185–198. doi:10.1016/j.accre.2017.08.003.
Sanjay, J., Revadekar, J. V., Ramarao, M. V. S., Borgaonkar, H., Sengupta, S., Kothawale, D. R., et al. (2020). "Temperature Changes in India," in *Assessment of Climate Change over the Indian Region* (Singapore: Springer Singapore), 21–45. doi:10.1007/978-981-15-4327-2_2.
Sannino, G., Herrmann, M., Carillo, A., Rupolo, V., Ruggiero, V., Artale, V., et al. (2009). An eddy-permitting model of the Mediterranean Sea with a two-way grid refinement at the Strait of Gibraltar. *Ocean Model.* 30, 56–72. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.06.002.
Schlemmer, L., Schär, C., Lüthi, D., and Strebel, L. (2018). A Groundwater and Runoff Formulation for Weather and Climate Models. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 1809–1832. doi:10.1029/2017MS001260.
Schrodin, E., and Heise, E. (2002). A New Multi-Layer Soil Model. COSMO Newsletter No. 2. 149–151. Available at: http://www.cosmo-model.org.
Schwinger, J., Goris, N., Tjiputra, J. F., Kriest, I., Bentsen, M., Bethke, I., et al. (2016). Evaluation of NorESM-OC (versions~1 and 1.2), the ocean carbon-cycle stand-alone configuration of the Norwegian Earth System Model (NorESM1). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 2589–2622. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-2589-2016.
Scinocca, J. F., Kharin, V. V., Jiao, Y., Qian, M. W., Lazare, M., Solheim, L., et al. (2016). Coordinated Global and Regional Climate Modeling*. *J. Clim.* 29, 17–35. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0161.1.
Scoccimarro, E., Gualdi, S., Bellucci, A., Peano, D., Cherchi, A., Vecchi, G. A., et al. (2020). The typhoon-induced drying of the Maritime Continent. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117, 3983–3988. doi:10.1073/pnas.1915364117.
Séférian, R., Berthet, S., Yool, A., Palmiéri, J., Bopp, L., Tagliabue, A., et al. (2020). Tracking Improvement in Simulated Marine Biogeochemistry Between CMIP5 and CMIP6. *Curr. Clim. Chang. Reports* 6, 95–119. doi:10.1007/s40641-020-00160-0.
Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., et al. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2-1: Role of Earth System Processes in Present-Day and Future Climate. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 4182–4227. doi:10.1029/2019MS001791.
Sein, D. V., Mikolajewicz, U., Gröger, M., Fast, I., Cabos, W., Pinto, J. G., et al. (2015). Regionally coupled atmosphere-ocean-sea ice-marine biogeochemistry model ROM: 1. Description and validation. *J. Adv. Model.*

Earth Syst. 7, 268–304. doi:10.1002/2014MS000357.
Sein, D. V, Koldunov, N. V, Danilov, S., Sidorenko, D., Wekerle, C., Cabos, W., et al. (2018). The Relative Influence of Atmospheric and Oceanic Model Resolution on the Circulation of the North Atlantic Ocean in a Coupled Climate Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 2026–2041. doi:10.1029/2018MS001327.
Sein, D. V, Koldunov, N. V, Danilov, S., Wang, Q., Sidorenko, D., Fast, I., et al. (2017). Ocean Modeling on a Mesh With Resolution Following the Local Rossby Radius. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 9, 2601–2614. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS001099.
Seland, Ø., Bentsen, M., Olivié, D., Toniazzo, T., Gjermundsen, A., Graff, L. S., et al. (2020). Overview of the Norwegian Earth System Model (NorESM2) and key climate response of CMIP6 DECK, historical, and scenario simulations. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 6165–6200. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-6165-2020.
Sellar, A. A., Jones, C. G., Mulcahy, J. P., Tang, Y., Yool, A., Wiltshire, A., et al. (2019). UKESM1: Description and Evaluation of the U.K. Earth System Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* n/a. doi:10.1029/2019MS001739.
Semmler, T., Danilov, S., Gierz, P., Goessling, H. F., Hegewald, J., Hinrichs, C., et al. (2020). Simulations for CMIP6 With the AWI Climate Model AWI-CM-1-1. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS002009. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002009.
Šeparović, L., Alexandru, A., Laprise, R., Martynov, A., Sushama, L., Winger, K., et al. (2013). Present climate and climate change over North America as simulated by the fifth-generation Canadian regional climate model. *Clim. Dyn.* 41, 3167–3201. doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1737-5.
Seroussi, H., Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., Khazendar, A., Larour, E., and Mouginot, J. (2013). Dependence of century-scale projections of the Greenland ice sheet on its thermal regime. *J. Glaciol.* 59, 1024–1034. doi:10.3189/2013JoG13J054.
Seroussi, H., Nowicki, S., Payne, A. J., Goelzer, H., Lipscomb, W. H., Abe-Ouchi, A., et al. (2020). ISMIP6 Antarctica: a multi-model ensemble of the Antarctic ice sheet evolution over the 21st century. *Cryosph.* 14, 3033–3070. doi:10.5194/tc-14-3033-2020.
Seroussi, H., Nowicki, S., Simon, E., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albrecht, T., Brondex, J., et al. (2019). initMIP-Antarctica: an ice sheet model initialization experiment of ISMIP6. *Cryosph.* 13, 1441–1471. doi:10.5194/tc-13-1441-2019.
Sevault, F., Somot, S., Alias, A., Dubois, C., Lebeaupin-Brossier, C., Nabat, P., et al. (2014). A fully coupled Mediterranean regional climate system model: design and evaluation of the ocean component for the 1980–2012 period. *Tellus A Dyn. Meteorol. Oceanogr.* 66, 23967. doi:10.3402/tellusa.v66.23967.
Shannon, S., Smith, R., Wiltshire, A., Payne, T., Huss, M., Betts, R., et al. (2019). Global glacier volume projections under high-end climate change scenarios. *Cryosph.* 13, 325–350. doi:10.5194/tc-13-325-2019.
Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Unger, N., Aguilar, E., Schmidt, G. A., Koch, D. M., et al. (2006). Simulations of preindustrial, present-day, and 2100 conditions in the NASA GISS composition and climate model G-PUCCINI. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 6, 4427–4459. doi:10.5194/acp-6-4427-2006.
Shkolnik, I. M., and Efimov, S. V (2013). Cyclonic activity in high latitudes as simulated by a regional atmospheric climate model: added value and uncertainties. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 8, 045007. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/045007.
Sidorenko, D., Rackow, T., Jung, T., Semmler, T., Barbi, D., Danilov, S., et al. (2015). Towards multi-resolution global climate modeling with ECHAM6--FESOM. Part I: model formulation and mean climate. *Clim. Dyn.* 44, 757–780. doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2290-6.
Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D., Duda, M. G., et al. (2008). A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3 (No. NCAR/TN-475+STR). Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.5065/D68S4MVH.
Small, R. J., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D., Baker, A., Bishop, S., Bryan, F., et al. (2014). A new synoptic scale resolving global climate simulation using the Community Earth System Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 6, 1065–1094. doi:10.1002/2014MS000363.
Smith, B., Wårlind, D., Arneth, A., Hickler, T., Leadley, P., Siltberg, J., et al. (2014). Implications of incorporating N cycling and N limitations on primary production in an individual-based dynamic vegetation model. *Biogeosciences* 11, 2027–2054. doi:10.5194/bg-11-2027-2014.
Soto-Navarro, J., Jordá, G., Amores, A., Cabos, W., Somot, S., Sevault, F., et al. (2020). Evolution of Mediterranean Sea water properties under climate change scenarios in the Med-CORDEX ensemble. *Clim. Dyn.* 54, 2135–2165. doi:10.1007/s00382-019-05105-4.
Stevens, B., Fiedler, S., Kinne, S., Peters, K., Rast, S., Müsse, J., et al. (2017). MACv2-SP: a parameterization of anthropogenic aerosol optical properties and an associated Twomey effect for use in CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 10, 433–452. doi:10.5194/gmd-10-433-2017.
Stock, C. A., Dunne, J. P., Fan, S., Ginoux, P., John, J., Krasting, J. P., et al. (2020). Ocean Biogeochemistry in GFDL's Earth System Model 4.1 and Its Response to Increasing Atmospheric CO2. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS002043. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002043.
Strandberg, G., Bärring, L., Hansson, U., Jansson, C., Jones, C., Kjellström, E., et al. (2015). CORDEX scenarios for Europe from the Rossby Centre regional climate model RCA4. Available at: https://www.smhi.se/en/publications/cordex-scenarios-for-europe-from-the-rossby-centre-regional-climate-model-rca4-1.90274.

Sudo, K., Takahashi, M., and Akimoto, H. (2002a). CHASER: A global chemical model of the troposphere 2. Model results and evaluation. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 107, ACH 9-1-ACH 9-39. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001114.
Sudo, K., Takahashi, M., Kurokawa, J., and Akimoto, H. (2002b). CHASER: A global chemical model of the troposphere 1. Model description. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 107, ACH 7-1-ACH 7-20. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001113.
Swapna, P., Krishnan, R., Sandeep, N., Prajeesh, A. G., Ayantika, D. C., Manmeet, S., et al. (2018). Long-Term Climate Simulations Using the IITM Earth System Model (IITM-ESMv2) With Focus on the South Asian Monsoon. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 1127–1149. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2017MS001262.
Swart, N. C., Cole, J. N. S., Kharin, V. V, Lazare, M., Scinocca, J. F., Gillett, N. P., et al. (2019). The Canadian Earth System Model version 5 (CanESM5.0.3). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 4823–4873. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019.
Szopa, S., Balkanski, Y., Schulz, M., Bekki, S., Cugnet, D., Fortems-Cheiney, A., et al. (2013). Aerosol and ozone changes as forcing for climate evolution between 1850 and 2100. *Clim. Dyn.* 40, 2223–2250. doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1408-y.
Takata, K., Emori, S., and Watanabe, T. (2003). Development of the minimal advanced treatments of surface interaction and runoff. *Glob. Planet. Change* 38, 209–222. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(03)00030-4.
Takemura, T., Egashira, M., Matsuzawa, K., Ichijo, H., O'ishi, R., and Abe-Ouchi, A. (2009). A simulation of the global distribution and radiative forcing of soil dust aerosols at the Last Glacial Maximum. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 9, 3061–3073. doi:10.5194/acp-9-3061-2009.
Takemura, T., Nozawa, T., Emori, S., Nakajima, T. Y., and Nakajima, T. (2005). Simulation of climate response to aerosol direct and indirect effects with aerosol transport-radiation model. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 110. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005029.
Takemura, T., Okamoto, H., Maruyama, Y., Numaguti, A., Higurashi, A., and Nakajima, T. (2000). Global three-dimensional simulation of aerosol optical thickness distribution of various origins. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 105, 17853–17873. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900265.
Tanré, D., Geleyn, J.-F., and Slingo, J. M. (1984). "First results of the introduction of an advanced aerosol-radiation interaction in the ECMWF low resolution global model," in *Aerosols and their Climatic Effects*, eds. H. E. Gerber and A. Deepak (Hampton, Va, USA: Deepak Publishing), 133–177.
Tarasov, L., and Peltier, W. R. (1999). Impact of thermomechanical ice sheet coupling on a model of the 100 kyr ice age cycle. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 104, 9517–9545. doi:10.1029/1998JD200120.
Tarasov, L., and Peltier, W. R. (2003). Greenland glacial history, borehole constraints, and Eemian extent. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 108. doi:10.1029/2001JB001731.
Tatebe, H., Ogura, T., Nitta, T., Komuro, Y., Ogochi, K., Takemura, T., et al. (2019). Description and basic evaluation of simulated mean state, internal variability, and climate sensitivity in MIROC6. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 2727–2765. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-2727-2019.
Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A. (2012). An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 93, 485–498. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1.
Tegen, I., Hollrig, P., Chin, M., Fung, I., Jacob, D., and Penner, J. (1997). Contribution of different aerosol species to the global aerosol extinction optical thickness: Estimates from model results. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 102, 23895–23915. doi:10.1029/97JD01864.
Tegen, I., Neubauer, D., Ferrachat, S., Siegenthaler-Le Drian, C., Bey, I., Schutgens, N., et al. (2019). The global aerosol--climate model ECHAM6.3--HAM2.3 -- Part 1: Aerosol evaluation. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 1643–1677. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-1643-2019.
Thatcher, M., and McGregor, J. L. (2009). Using a Scale-Selective Filter for Dynamical Downscaling with the Conformal Cubic Atmospheric Model. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 137, 1742–1752. doi:10.1175/2008MWR2599.1.
Tjiputra, J. F., Roelandt, C., Bentsen, M., Lawrence, D. M., Lorentzen, T., Schwinger, J., et al. (2013). Evaluation of the carbon cycle components in the Norwegian Earth System Model (NorESM). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 6, 301–325. doi:10.5194/gmd-6-301-2013.
Tjiputra, J. F., Schwinger, J., Bentsen, M., Morée, A. L., Gao, S., Bethke, I., et al. (2020). Ocean biogeochemistry in the Norwegian Earth System Model version 2 (NorESM2). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 2393–2431. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-2393-2020.
Top, S., Kotova, L., De Cruz, L., Aniskevich, S., Bobylev, L., De Troch, R., et al. (2021). Evaluation of regional climate models ALARO-0 and REMO2015 at 0.22° resolution over the CORDEX Central Asia domain. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 14, 1267–1293. doi:10.5194/gmd-14-1267-2021.
Tsujino, H., Nakano, H., Sakamoto, K., Urakawa, S., Hirabara, M., Ishizaki, H., et al. (2017a). Reference Manual for the Meteorological Research Institute Community Ocean Model version 4(MRI.COMv4). Available at: https://www.mri-jma.go.jp/Publish/Technical/DATA/VOL_80/index_en.html.
Tsujino, H., Nakano, H., Sakamoto, K., Urakawa, S., Hirabara, M., Ishizaki, H., et al. (2017b). Reference Manual for the Meteorological Research Institute Community Ocean Model version 4(MRI.COMv4).
Turuncoglu, U. U. (2019). Toward modular in situ visualization in Earth system models: the regional modeling system RegESM 1.1. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 233–259. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-233-2019.

Vadsaria, T., Li, L., Ramstein, G., and Dutay, J.-C. (2020). Development of a sequential tool, LMDZ-NEMO-med-V1, to conduct global-to-regional past climate simulation for the Mediterranean basin: an Early Holocene case study. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 2337–2354. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-2337-2020.

Valcke, S. (2013). The OASIS3 coupler: a European climate modelling community software. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 6, 373–388. doi:10.5194/gmd-6-373-2013.

Van de Wal, R. S. W., and Wild, M. (2001). Modelling the response of glaciers to climate change by applying volume-area scaling in combination with a high resolution GCM. *Clim. Dyn.* 18, 359–366. doi:10.1007/s003820100184.

van den Hurk, B. J. J. M., Viterbo, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., and Betts, A. K. (2000). *Offline validation of the ERA40 surface scheme*. Reading: ECMWF doi:10.21957/9aoaspz8.

van Meijgaard, E., van Ulft, B., van de Berg, Willem Jan Bosveld, F. C., van den Hurk, B., Lenderink, G., and Siebesma, A. P. (2008). The KNMI regional atmospheric climate model RACMO, version 2.1, KNMI number: TR-302. Available at: https://www.knmi.nl/kennis-en-datacentrum/publicatie/the-knmi-regional-atmospheric-climate-model-racmo-version-2-1.

van Meijgaard, E., van Ulft, L. H., Lenderink, G., de Roode, S. R., Wipfler, E. L., Boers, R., et al. (2012). Refinement and application of a regional atmospheric model for climate scenario calculations of Western Europe. Available at: https://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/wurpubs/427097.

van Noije, T., Bergman, T., Le Sager, P., O'Donnell, D., Makkonen, R., Gonçalves-Ageitos, M., et al. (2020). EC-Earth3-AerChem, a global climate model with interactive aerosols and atmospheric chemistry participating in CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.* 2020, 1–46. doi:10.5194/gmd-2020-413.

van Noije, T. P. C., Le Sager, P., Segers, A. J., van Velthoven, P. F. J., Krol, M. C., Hazeleger, W., et al. (2014). Simulation of tropospheric chemistry and aerosols with the climate model EC-Earth. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 7, 2435–2475. doi:10.5194/gmd-7-2435-2014.

van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., et al. (2011). The representative concentration pathways: an overview. *Clim. Change* 109, 5–31. doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z.

Verseghy, D. L. (2000). The Canadian land surface scheme (CLASS): Its history and future. *Atmosphere-Ocean* 38, 1–13. doi:10.1080/07055900.2000.9649637.

Verseghy, D. L., McFarlane, N. A., and Lazare, M. (1993). Class—A Canadian land surface scheme for GCMS, II. Vegetation model and coupled runs. *Int. J. Climatol.* 13, 347–370. doi:10.1002/joc.3370130402.

Voigt, A., Stevens, B., Bony, S., and Boucher, O. (2014). Easy Aerosol - a modeling framework to study robustness and sources of uncertainties in aerosol-induced changes of the large-scale atmospheric circulation. Available at: https://www.wcrp-climate.org/images/grand_challenges/clouds/documents/easyaerosol_projectdescription_expprotocol.pdf.

Voldoire, A., Decharme, B., Pianezze, J., Lebeaupin Brossier, C., Sevault, F., Seyfried, L., et al. (2017). SURFEX v8.0 interface with OASIS3-MCT to couple atmosphere with hydrology, ocean, waves and sea-ice models, from coastal \hack{\newline}to global scales. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 10, 4207–4227. doi:10.5194/gmd-10-4207-2017.

Voldoire, A., Saint-Martin, D., Sénési, S., Decharme, B., Alias, A., Chevallier, M., et al. (2019). Evaluation of CMIP6 DECK Experiments With CNRM-CM6-1. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 11, 2177–2213. doi:10.1029/2019MS001683.

Volodin, E. M., and Kostrykin, S. V (2016). The aerosol module in the INM RAS climate model. *Russ. Meteorol. Hydrol.* 41, 519–528. doi:10.3103/S106837391608001X.

Volodin, E. M., Mortikov, E. V, Kostrykin, S. V, Galin, V. Y., Lykossov, V. N., Gritsun, A. S., et al. (2017). Simulation of the present-day climate with the climate model INMCM5. *Clim. Dyn.* 49, 3715–3734. doi:10.1007/s00382-017-3539-7.

Volodin Evgenii M, V, M. E., V, K. S., Ya., G. V., N, L. V., S, G. A., et al. (2018). Simulation of the modern climate using the INM-CM48 climate model. *Russ. J. Numer. Anal. Math. Model.* 33, 367. doi:10.1515/rnam-2018-0032.

von Salzen, K., Scinocca, J. F., McFarlane, N. A., Li, J., Cole, J. N. S., Plummer, D., et al. (2013). The Canadian Fourth Generation Atmospheric Global Climate Model (CanAM4). Part I: Representation of Physical Processes. *Atmosphere-Ocean* 51, 104–125. doi:10.1080/07055900.2012.755610.

Walters, D., Baran, A. J., Boutle, I., Brooks, M., Earnshaw, P., Edwards, J., et al. (2019). The Met Office Unified Model Global Atmosphere 7.0/7.1 and JULES Global Land 7.0 configurations. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 1909–1963. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-1909-2019.

Wang, H., Easter, R. C., Zhang, R., Ma, P.-L., Singh, B., Zhang, K., et al. (2020). Aerosols in the E3SM Version 1: New Developments and Their Impacts on Radiative Forcing. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 12, e2019MS001851. doi:10.1029/2019MS001851.

Williams, D. N., Balaji, V., Cinquini, L., Denvil, S., Duffy, D., Evans, B., et al. (2016). A global repository for planet-sized experiments and observations. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 97, 755–766. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00132.1.

Williams, K. D., Copsey, D., Blockley, E. W., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Calvert, D., Comer, R., et al. (2018). The Met Office Global Coupled Model 3.0 and 3.1 (GC3.0 and GC3.1) Configurations. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 357–380. doi:10.1002/2017MS001115.

Wiltshire, A. J., Burke, E. J., Chadburn, S. E., Jones, C. D., Cox, P. M., Davies-Barnard, T., et al. (2020). JULES-CN: a coupled terrestrial Carbon-Nitrogen Scheme (JULES vn5.1). *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.* 2020, 1–40.

doi:10.5194/gmd-2020-205.
Winkelmann, R., Martin, M. A., Haseloff, M., Albrecht, T., Bueler, E., Khroulev, C., et al. (2011). The Potsdam Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM-PIK) – Part 1: Model description. *Cryosph.* 5, 715–726. doi:10.5194/tc-5-715-2011.
Wu, T., Lu, Y., Fang, Y., Xin, X., Li, L., Li, W., et al. (2019). The Beijing Climate Center Climate System Model (BCC-CSM): the main progress from CMIP5 to CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 1573–1600. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-1573-2019.
Wu, T., Yu, R., Lu, Y., Jie, W., Fang, Y., Zhang, J., et al. (2020a). BCC-CSM2-HR: A High-Resolution Version of the Beijing Climate Center Climate System Model. *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.* 2020, 1–64. doi:10.5194/gmd-2020-284.
Wu, T., Zhang, F., Zhang, J., Jie, W., Zhang, Y., Wu, F., et al. (2020b). Beijing Climate Center Earth System Model version 1 (BCC-ESM1): model description and evaluation of aerosol simulations. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 977–1005. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-977-2020.
Xie, Z., Liu, S., Zeng, Y., Gao, J., Qin, P., Jia, B., et al. (2018). A High-Resolution Land Model With Groundwater Lateral Flow, Water Use, and Soil Freeze-Thaw Front Dynamics and its Applications in an Endorheic Basin. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 123, 7204–7222. doi:10.1029/2018JD028369.
Yakovlev, N. G. (2009). Reproduction of the large-scale state of water and sea ice in the Arctic Ocean in 1948–2002: Part I. Numerical model. *Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys.* 45, 357–371. doi:10.1134/S0001433809030098.
Yukimoto, S., ADACHI, Y., HOSAKA, M., SAKAMI, T., YOSHIMURA, H., HIRABARA, M., et al. (2012). A New Global Climate Model of the Meteorological Research Institute: MRI-CGCM3 -Model Description and Basic Performance. *J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan. Ser. II* 90A, 23–64. doi:10.2151/jmsj.2012-A02.
Yukimoto, S., Kawai, H., Koshiro, T., Oshima, N., YOSHIDA, K., URAKAWA, S., et al. (2019). The Meteorological Research Institute Earth System Model Version 2.0, MRI-ESM2.0: Description and Basic Evaluation of the Physical Component. *J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan. Ser. II* 97, 931–965. doi:10.2151/jmsj.2019-051.
Zalesny, V. B., Marchuk, G. ., Agoshkov, V. I., Bagno, A. V., Gusev, A. V., Diansky, N. A., et al. (2010). Numerical simulation of large-scale ocean circulationbased on the multicomponent splitting method. *Russ. J. Numer. Anal. Math. Model.* 25, 581§609.
Zekollari, H., Huss, M., and Farinotti, D. (2019). Modelling the future evolution of glaciers in the European Alps under the EURO-CORDEX RCM ensemble. *Cryosph.* 13, 1125–1146. doi:10.5194/tc-13-1125-2019.
Zeng, X., Zhao, M., and Dickinson, R. E. (1998). Intercomparison of Bulk Aerodynamic Algorithms for the Computation of Sea Surface Fluxes Using TOGA COARE and TAO Data. *J. Clim.* 11, 2628–2644. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1998)011<2628:IOBAAF>2.0.CO;2.
Zhao, M. (2020). Simulations of Atmospheric Rivers, Their Variability, and Response to Global Warming Using GFDL's New High-Resolution General Circulation Model. *J. Clim.* 33, 10287–10303. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0241.1.
Zhao, M., Golaz, J.-C., Held, I. M., Guo, H., Balaji, V., Benson, R., et al. (2018a). The GFDL Global Atmosphere and Land Model AM4.0/LM4.0: 1. Simulation Characteristics With Prescribed SSTs. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 691–734. doi:10.1002/2017MS001208.
Zhao, M., Golaz, J.-C., Held, I. M., Guo, H., Balaji, V., Benson, R., et al. (2018b). The GFDL Global Atmosphere and Land Model AM4.0/LM4.0: 1. Simulation Characteristics With Prescribed SSTs. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 691–734. doi:10.1002/2017MS001208.
Zhao, M., Golaz, J.-C., Held, I. M., Guo, H., Balaji, V., Benson, R., et al. (2018c). The GFDL Global Atmosphere and Land Model AM4.0/LM4.0: 2. Model Description, Sensitivity Studies, and Tuning Strategies. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 735–769. doi:10.1002/2017MS001209.
Zhao, M., Golaz, J.-C., Held, I. M., Guo, H., Balaji, V., Benson, R., et al. (2018d). The GFDL Global Atmosphere and Land Model AM4.0/LM4.0: 2. Model Description, Sensitivity Studies, and Tuning Strategies. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 10, 735–769. doi:10.1002/2017MS001209.
Ziehn, T., Chamberlain, M. A., Law, R. M., Lenton, A., Bodman, R. W., Dix, M., et al. (2020). The Australian Earth System Model: ACCESS-ESM1.5. *J. South. Hemisph. Earth Syst. Sci.* 70, 193–214. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1071/ES19035.
Zittis, G., and Hadjinicolaou, P. (2017). The effect of radiation parameterization schemes on surface temperature in regional climate simulations over the MENA-CORDEX domain. *Int. J. Climatol.* 37, 3847–3862. doi:10.1002/joc.4959.
Zittis, G., Hadjinicolaou, P., and Lelieveld, J. (2014). Comparison of WRF Model Physics Parameterizations over the MENA-CORDEX Domain. *Am. J. Clim. Chang.* 03, 490–511. doi:10.4236/ajcc.2014.35042.