Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response	
					This is a useful list but it might easier to deal with if reserved for	Noted and accepted. The list is now streamlined by more	
28854	0	0	_	_	datasets that authors have used to make direct calcuations as would	than 50%. In addition to datasets used to make calculations,	
		0	0	0	be shorter and more relevent? [Piers Piers Forster, United Kingdom (of	the purpose of the AR6 Annexes is to list the data sources	
					Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	that are used across more than one chapter.	
0426	•		_	_	Page and volume numbers needs to be updated for all papers from	Noted: Citations will be corrected following the SOD review	
8136	0	0	0	0	Journal Science. [Sebastian Naeher, New Zealand]		
04.40	•		_	0	Doi should be in one format only. Either as website link or as doi	Editorial	
8140	0	0	0	U	number only. [Sebastian Naeher, New Zealand]		
					Nice idea to include a paleo data annex! Having just looked through	Accepted: The term Common Era defined in CH2 and now	
					Chapter 2, I was confronted with terminology referring to the	added to the IPCC glossary.	
					"Common Era", which was not familiar to me. Looking through the list		
54042	0				of reference periods described on page 1 here, I didn't find the		
					Common Era. Is this an oversight, or is the term used in Ch 2 yet to be		
					accorded acceptance in the paleo community? Some co-ordination		
					may be needed. [Timothy Carter, Finland]		
					A good source to find some data references is the paper of Durack et	Noted: This could be useful for Annex I, but does not include	
9256	0				al., 2018, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO101751 . It includes	any references to paleo data.	
9256	U					references to papers as well as data in the references. [Martina	
					Stockhause, Germany]		
					It is a lot of work, but the uncertainties need defining better for this	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit	
					table to be useful. Are they measurement error, or a probabilistic	values for each metric in this Annex.	
					estimate of the value of the variable? Are they hard maximal ranges,		
8668	0				or more like some (1,2,3?) standard deviation ranges? Also, please		
					make the table available as downloadable data. [Julia Hargreaves,		
					United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]		
					I am generally suspicious of the modernity of the citations here. I find	Taken into account: Previous syntheses are not thrown away,	
					it hard to believe that comprehensive new synthesis papers of	but AR6 (including this Annex) focuses on updates reported in	
					practically all these variables have been produced since the last IPCC	the literature since AR5.	
					report. It is not correct to only be citing new results based on a small		
8670	0				number of measurements if previous syntheses exist. If no new		
					synthesis exists, you can update an older synthesis with the new data		
					points, but should not be throwing away the previous result. [Julia		
					Hargreaves, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]		
29670	1	1	14	16	I think that this Annex is a good idea! [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom	Noted: Thanks to the reviewer for the comment.	
29070	1	1	14	10	(of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]		
	3		4 3		It does not "document". It provides a reference list of all Palea Climate	Accepted: revised as suggested	
26164		4		7	Data Sets [Stephen Taylor, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and		
					Northern Ireland)]		
29672	3	3 5			I would split this long sentence and put the citation clause later in the	Accepted: revised as suggested	
			3	8	paragraph. [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and		
					Northern Ireland)]		
29674	3	11	3	11	and = > as well as [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and	Accepted: revised as suggested	
230/4	3	11	3	11	Northern Ireland)]		
					PETM/EECO:global:temperature: despite coming from the same	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit	
29696	3	13	13 3	3 13	references, the wrt baselines are different. [Chris Brierley, United	values for each metric in this Annex.	
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]		

Do Not Quote, Cite, or Distribute 1 of 6

Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response
					EECO:global:temp: I would remove Huber and Caballero line. Or fold	Accepted: Rows combined as suggested
29698	3	13	3	13	into line above. [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and	
					Northern Ireland)]	
					MPWP:global:atmo-CO2: Does these error bars represent instrumental	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit
29684	3	13	3	13	uncertainty or glacial-interglacail variability [Chris Brierley, United	values for each metric in this Annex.
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
22525		4.0		4.0	Making different tables to go with Fig 2.14 would be helpful. [Chris	Not applicable:it is unclear what the reviewer is suggesting.
29686	3	13	3	13	Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					I feel strongly that you ought to be providing an assess value for these	Rejected: The purpose of the Annex is to list the individual
20500		4.0		4.0	lines. Rather than providing multiple rows for individual estimates	data sources that underlie the assessed values. Assessed
29688	3	13	3	13	[Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern	values are in the text and some are in the summary figure
					Ireland)]	(SOD Fig. 2.33)
					EI:global:CO2 rate of change: I would scrap this line - looking at the	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit
29690	3	13	3	13	timeseries Figures in Chap 2 are more informative. [Chris Brierley,	values for each metric in this Annex.
					United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					XX:global:CH4 conc: I feel that including present (or past-decade)	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit
29692	3	13	3	13	concentrations may be helpful in this table. [Chris Brierley, United	values for each metric in this Annex.
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					MH:??:dust emissions: I wonder if these are accurate enough to be	Noted: The goal is to document datasets that underlie
20504		4.0		4.0	precisely gunatified in this Annex at the moment. [Chris Brierley,	assessments in the report, including both certain and low
29694	3	13	3	13	United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	certainty statements. In addition, at LAM3, Paleo BOG
						decided to omit values for each metric in this Annex.
15434	3	16	3	16	PPWP' should be changed with 'MPWP'. [Feng SHI, China]	Accepted: Corrected
56464	2	1.0	2	4.6	The acronym should be mPWP (or MPWP) rather than PPWP. [Ning	Accepted: Corrected
56164	3	16	3	16	Zhao, Germany]	
20676	2	1.0	2	4.6	PPWP => MPWP [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and	Accepted: Corrected
29676	3	16	3	16	Northern Ireland)]	
					remove "late". Whilst this is in the late Pliocene, it previously was	Accepted: Corrected
20670	2	16	3	16	commonly known as the "mid-Pliocene" so the literature wil be	
29678	3	16	3	16	confusing. Specification of "late" is not required. [Chris Brierley, United	
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
40342	3	16			PPWP should be MPWP [Chenxi Xu, China]	Accepted: Corrected
					Rename? The geologist have an official defintion of "present" as 1950.	Accept: revised as suggested
29680	3	24	3	24	Your definition is different. May I suggest "Past Decade", which	
29080	3	24	3	24	coincidentally has the same acronym as present-day. [Chris Brierley,	
					United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					Division by time period makes most sense for organization. I can	Noted: Agreed that subdivision by period makes sense,
					envision scenarios wherein there are multiple overlapping "major	although many datasets apply to multiple periods. Adopted
7228	3	26	3	31	Earth spheres" and it would be challenging to organize cross-listings.	compromise approach (deep past versus post glacial)
7228	3	20	3	31	While this is also likely to happen for time periods, it is easier to	
					mentally organize in chronological order. [Hillman Aubrey, United	
					States of America]	
					MPWP:global:atmo-CO2: Does these error bars represent instrumental	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit
29714	3	33	3	33	uncertainty or glacial-interglacail variability [Chris Brierley, United	values for each metric in this Annex.
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
29716	3	33	3	33	Making different tables to go with Fig 2.14 would be helpful. [Chris	Not applicable: it is unclear what the reviewer is suggesting.
29/10	3	33	3	33	Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	

Do Not Quote, Cite, or Distribute

Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response						
					I feel strongly that you ought to be providing an assess value for these	Reject: The purpose of Annex II is to document datasets that						
20740				lines. Rather than providing multiple rows for individual estimates	underlie assessments in the report, not to present the							
29/18	29718 3	33	3	33	[Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern	outcome of the assessment. Best values are assessed in text.						
					Ireland)]							
					EI:global:CO2 rate of change: I would scrap this line - looking at the	Reject: While looking at time series is informative, it does not						
29720	3	33	3	33	timeseries Figures in Chap 2 are more informative. [Chris Brierley,	substitute for a table of values. Nonetheless, At LAM3, Paleo						
29720	3	33	3	33	United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	BOG decided to omit values for each metric in this Annex.						
					XX:global:CH4 conc: I feel that including present (or past-decade)	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit						
29722	3	33	3	33	concentrations may be helpful in this table. [Chris Brierley, United	values for each metric in this Annex.						
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]							
					MH:??:dust emissions: I wonder if these are accurate enough to be	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit						
29724	3	33	3	33	precisely qunatified in this Annex at the moment. [Chris Brierley,	values for each metric in this Annex.						
					United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]							
					please add Dyez et al. 2018 to MPWP CO2 estimates; there are also	Not sure which paper the reviewer is referring to. Dyez et al.						
19230	3	33	3	33	two rows for the MPWP, which can be combined [Baerbel Hoenisch,	,2018 (doi: 10.1029/2018PA003349) is on early Pleistocene,						
					United States of America]	not MPWP.						
					PETM/EECO:global:temperature: despite coming from the same	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit						
29726	3	33	3	33	references, the wrt baselines are different. [Chris Brierley, United	values for each metric in this Annex.						
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]							
					EECO:global:temp: I would fold Huber and Caballero into above line to	Accepted: Citations have been combined						
29728	3	33	3	33	better reflect the sentence upon 2-34-38. [Chris Brierley, United							
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]							
			33 3	33	Given the preponderance of Kopp email as a reference, perhap Robert	Taken into account. Email citations omitted. Additions to						
20720	•	33			Kopp should be brought onboard as a contributing author. [Chris	author list solicited						
29730	3				Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]							
		33	33 3	3 33	EI:global:CO2: These feel duplicated. Can you please assess and	Reject: The purpose of Annex II is to document datasets that						
29732	3				provide common value? Including the value for the present may also	underlie assessments in the report, not to present the						
29/32	3	33	3	33	be informative for CO2 [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great	outcome of the assessment. Best values are assessed in text.						
					Britain and Northern Ireland)]							
					MPWP/LIG:global:temp_ann: You need more information about the	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit						
29734	3	33	3	33	Snyder scaling. Perhaps a footnote. [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of	values for each metric in this Annex.						
					Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]							
											LGM/MH:land:temp: Complete wrt ?? For Harrison et al (2015) [Chris	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit
29736	3	33	3	33	Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	values for each metric in this Annex.						
					LGM:global:temp_variability: needs better specification for the "wrt	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit						
29738	3	33	3	33	Holocene". 0-5ka, I think. [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great	values for each metric in this Annex.						
					Britain and Northern Ireland)]							
29740			33 3	3 33	Holocene:Ant/NH:scaling: It's hard to understand what these numbers	Not sure what this comment is referring to, but NH:Ant						
	3	33			mean. Personally, I would remove - not discussed in Chap 2. [Chris	scaling not included in SOD						
		33			Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]							
					MH:Nam/EU:temp: Why do the two Marsicek numbers have different	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit						
29742	3	33	3	33	baselines? [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and	values for each metric in this Annex.						
					Northern Ireland)]							

Do Not Quote, Cite, or Distribute

29744						
29744					CE:global:temp: The Common Era is not defined as time period on	Accepted, define CE in reference periods and Glossary
29744 3	3	33	3	33	lines 14-24 [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and	
					Northern Ireland)]	
					CE:global:temp: Is this metric not just detecting the Industrial increase	Taken into account: CE = past 2000 years; instruments extend
29746	3	33	3	33	using less accurate dataset than instruments [Chris Brierley, United	back about 150 years only
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	, ,
					PAGES2k: As this are the only sources for the variables, is it really	Accepted: PAGES 2k data are discussed in CH2. The database
20740		22		22	worth including in the PalaeoAnnex. I feel this would be better	is also included in the Annex 2000 yr GMST is included in
29748	3	33	3	33	discussed with a few sentences in Chap2 [Chris Brierley, United	other chapters.
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					??:N-Hemi:temp-gradient: Would it be possible to have an LGM value	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit
29750	3	33	3	33	for this? [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and	values for each metric in this Annex.
					Northern Ireland)]	
					P:N-Hemi:temp-gradient: The present-day value is given as in brackets	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit
	_		_		in the PETM/EECO temp annual rows. Please be consistent [Chris	values for each metric in this Annex.
29752	3	33	3	33	Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					??:??:bio forest turnover: Can you explain a little more about the	Taken into account: Variable is described in CH2 text.
	_		3		variable. I do not intiutively know if it getting higher or lower is good	
29754	3	33		33	or bad. Perhaps a footnote [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great	
					Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
20756		22			EECO:treeline: Citation missing [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of	Accepted: Citation will be added following the SOD review.
29756	3	33	3	33	Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	·
					MCA:LIA:EI:treeline: Is there are much to be gained by including all	Noted: That the values are the same is the basis for increased
29758	3	33	3	33	three common era values here? [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of	confidence.
					Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					MH:Europe:open land: What is open land? If is defined as anything	Accepted: added "non-forested"
20752	_	33	33 3	22	that is not forest, then perhaps "unforested" is more understandable.	·
29760	3			33	[Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern	
					Ireland)]	
	_	22			El:forcing:aerosol: Please assess into a combined row [Chris Brierley,	Accepted: Combined as suggested
29762	3	33	3	33	United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					MH-El:global:irradiance: Would adding a NH-only equivalent be useful	Reject: No hemisphere-specific assessment of irradiance or
29764	3	33	3	33	to highlight the orbital changes? [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of	orbital forcing is conducted in AR6.
					Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
20755	_	22		22	MH:glacier: relative to EI is in the wrong column. [Chris Brierley,	Accepted: Corrected
29766	3	33	3	33	United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	·
20750	_	22		22	MPWP:hydro: surname is spelt Fedorov [Chris Brierley, United	Taken into account: indicator no longer included in table.
29768	3	33	3	33	Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
	3		33 3		MCA/LIA:NOA: A better description is needed of the units, as I had to	Taken into account: NOA no longer included because metric
29770		33		33	refer to the Chap text to understand them [Chris Brierley, United	is not explicit.
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	· ·
	3		33 3	3 33	LGM/EH:AMOC: several additional more recent references are included	Noted: AMOC no longer included in Annex II because
29772		33			•	no/limited quantitative values are available.
					Northern Ireland)]	
			t		??:global:sea level: Please separate mean and rate of changes to be	Accepted: as suggested
						, 55
29774	3	33	3	33	separated bloacks of rows [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great	

4 of 6

Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response
29776	3	33	3		??:global:sea_level: There are an excessive amount of columns. These would benefit strongly from being combined into single rows with IPCC assessed values and/or ranges. Bring in help if needed [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit values for each metric in this Annex.
29778	3	33	3		P:global:SLR: Either provide the present value for all, or none of the variables. [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit values for each metric in this Annex.
29780	3	33	3	33	LIG:SST: The thw Hoffmand and Capron papers should be assessed to form combined rows. [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Taken into account: Integrated into atmospheric indicators (GMST)
29682	3	33	3		MPWP:global:atmo-CO2: The Haywood et al (2016) reference seems out of place. These authors do not reconstruct CO2 themselves, so must be referring to literature. PlioMIP2 has a CO2 leve of 400ppm. [Chris Brierley, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Noted: omit as suggested
51738	3				This definition of present is inconsistent with the definition used in radio-carbon dating [Heather Pardoe, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Noted: the reference period call "present" is defined in Cross- Chapter Box 1.2, which is now cited Annex II
51740	3				Perhaps you could produce a separate table for each of the reference periods [Heather Pardoe, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Noted: Table is now divided by deep-past versus post glacial. Subdividing further would require repeating the same dataset for multiple sub-tables, which would be cumbersome.
51744	3				Could averages be separated out from rate of change? [Heather Pardoe, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Taken into account: At LAM3, Paleo BOG decided to omit values for each metric in this Annex.
51742	4				Could you standardise to either ppm or ppb [Heather Pardoe, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Reject: CO2 is traditionally reported as ppm while CH4 as ppb
51746	7				Is it relative to 2 degrees C or 20 degrees C [Heather Pardoe, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Unclear what this comment is referring to.
8138	10	12	10	12	Paper currently in review, currently only discussion paper. Needs to be updated with final reference when published. [Sebastian Naeher, New Zealand]	, ,, ,
19232	12	42	12	47	Martinez-Boti et al. 2015 reference is duplicated and listed as a and b [Baerbel Hoenisch, United States of America]	Accepted: reference corrected

Do Not Quote, Cite, or Distribute

Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response
					For the MCA and the LIA, as well as for other time periods, it is	Taken into account: The FOD Annex II is in its early form and
					important to provide an "envelope" of different temperature	awaiting for input from other chapters. However, AR6 is
					estimates as in the Palaeo chapter of IPCC AR5 (2013) and not just cite	focused on updates reported in the literature since AR5 was
					a single study (that may or not be representative). The range of	published. Annex II is not a comprehensive review of all
					estimates are very wide and to a high degree data dependent. Studies	available data. Regarding CH2 text: While many studies have
					including more proxies typically also provide a lower amplitude as a	reported regional temperatures for MCA and LIA, as well
					higher proportion of the proxies has a low signal-to-noise ratio.	other for other time periods, CH2 is focused on global mean
					[Charpentier Ljungqvist Fredrik, Sweden]	surface temperature, for which there are few estimates. The
						text focuses on the one study because (1) it is based on the
						most comprehensive and recent data synthesis; (2) it
						addresses methodological differences seven different
						statistical procedures are reported in the one study; (3) it is
46836						the only study that reports GMST, except for Tardif et al.,
						2018, which is not highlighted because it is more model-
						dependent; (4) the underlying data have been shown to yield
						reconstruction that are less method dependent (Wang et al.,
						2015); (5) as stated, the reconstruction agrees with the
						patterns reported in previous reconstructions; and (6) the
						length of text devoted to 2k temperature must be balanced
						against that devoted to all other reference periods in context
						of the exceedingly limited number of words available for
						assessing GMST of past climate states. Finally, the PAGES 2k
						reconstruction is now compared with GMST based on a new
						compilation of borehole temperatures.

Do Not Quote, Cite, or Distribute 6 of 6