Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response
28852	0	0	0	0	This is useful and thank you. I can see it being a nightmare to error check though. Can we maybe only focus on key datasets that affect ES points or where authors have analysed them directly rather than the fact that these data are used in underlynig papers or figures. Maybe you say this in your intro but it isn't that clear If this is genuinely the case it would be fewer in my mind [Piers Piers Forster, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Noted. At present the brief for this Annex covers all data sets used directly or cited, but not necessarily those used in papers which are cited.
54016	0				This is an excellent addition to the WG I report - well done for including it! It could be a very valuable source of information for researchers looking for state-of-the-ence datasets to work with, which have also been subjected to IPCC assessment. It also raises some interesting questions for the AR6 in general. As the authors point out, yes of course it is currently incomplete from a WG I perspective in this FOD, but more broadly I wonder if there are datasets reported in WG II (especially in the regional chapters, but also the sector chapters) and even in WG III that would merit inclusion here in a comprehensive, consistent and cross-WG obervational dataset addendum to the entire AR6. No doubt this could be a topic for TG-Data to discuss. Presumably, these are physical, chemical or biological datasets that are monitored operationally (though this isn't stated explicitly). Thus, I think the introductory description of the coverage needs to be more precise than it is currently (what are the criteria for inclusion?). Are land use data included? What about other human-related variables like emissions? It would be easy to restrict this to WG I chapter material, but since some chapters are supposed to be cross-cutting with other WGs, the distinctions become a little blurred. I would argue for a cross-WG effort. That way the methods of reporting can be standardised and there is less room for divergence in reporting some of the same datasets and their (often) varied applications. Ideal TG-Data territory, with cross WG TSU liaison and LA participation in TG-Data and AR6 are different. [Timothy Carter, Finland]	Noted. The brief for this annex currently only covers Working Group I.
12534	1	0	1	0	Apart from ERA5, none of the datasets distributed through the Climate Data Store of the Copernicus Climate Change Service is reported here. For example, apart from many other datasets, soil moisture is updated every 10 days until the recent present: https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/satellite- soil-moisture?tab=overview [Wouter Dorigo, Austria]	Taken into account. The Annex in FOD is known to be incomplete as some chapters provided limited input. The SOD version is more complete. It should also be noted that data sets are not included where the only reference to them is the direct citation of a result from a paper.
12536	1	0	1	0	Datasets on soil moisture are incomplete, e.g. SMOS, AMSR2, and Copernicus Global land Service data are missing g [Wouter Dorigo, Austria]	Taken into account. The Annex in FOD is known to be incomplete as some chapters provided limited input. The SOD version is more complete. It should also be noted that data sets are not included where the only reference to them is the direct citation of a result from a paper.

Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response
12538	1	0	16	0	For many of the entries, it is not mentioned what variable is concerned, e.g. it just says AMSR-E or AMSR2 (I guess these are intended to refer to precipitation, but mayn other variables have been derived from them, e.g. soil moisture) [Wouter Dorigo, Austria]	Rejected. The fields reported are constrained by space available. For some data sets (e.g. the major reanalyses), the number of variables would be unmanageably large to report.
12540	1	0	16	0	GLEAM evaporation and root-zone soil moisture (Martens et al., 2017; https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-1903-2017; Miralles et al., 2011; https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-453-2011) needs to be reported here as it was used in Figure 8.11 [Wouter Dorigo, Austria]	Taken into account. The Annex in FOD is known to be incomplete as some chapters provided limited input. The SOD version is more complete. It should also be noted that data sets are not included where the only reference to them is the direct citation of a result from a paper.
48914	1	3	1	5	While the purpose of the Observational Annex is clearly stated, as an introductory section, referring the chapters and sections where general discussions on how observations are used could be helpful? A reader may first go to the Observational Annex for this information. For example, 1.4, 10.2. [Chaincy Kuo, United States of America]	Taken into account. A cross-section to the relevant part of Chapter 1 will be included.
26162	3	3	3	7	It should start "This section provides a reference list of all data sets used in this report and contributing papers.". [Stephen Taylor, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Taken into account. The annex only covers the report itself and results directly cited in it, not all contributing papers.
48934	3	17	3	21	The observational annex is used in the FOD as a reference for specific data used in the AR6 figures, etc. If that becomes it sole purpose, it could make sense to order the data sequentially by chapter number. However, the observtional annex is referenced in some chapters, seemingly with the understanding that the data will be grouped by data type (10.2.1.2, for example). The observational annex could have one table listing the data used in the AR6 sequentially by chapter number, and then other tables regrouped based on the type such as satellite remote sensing, in situ observational networks, reanalysis, etc. [Chaincy Kuo, United States of America]	Taken into account. No attempt was made to order the entries in FOD other than in the order in which the information was received by the CLA. More systematic ordering is used in SOD.
44494	3	22	16	1	The reference Rajeevan, et al., 2006 is for the gridded precipitation data only. For gridded temperature data, the reference Srivastava et al., (2009) should be used. Srivastava, A.K., Rajeevan, M., Kshirsagar, S.R. (2009) "Development of high resolution daily gridded temperature data set (1969-2005) for the Indian region"Atmospheric Sci. Lett., 10, 249–25, DOI; 10.1002/a.s.l.232. [VIJAY SONI, India]	Accepted. Additional reference has been added.
35338	7		7		HadISD 2.0.2.2017f only includes data to 31/12/2017 at 23:00 and has a Dunn 2016 reference. Dunn 2012 refers to HadISD version 1 (1973-2015). HadISD 3.0.0.2018f goes to 31/12/2018 (Dunn et al, 2019 Hadley Centre Technical Note) and HadISD 3.0.1.2019x are monthly updates thereafter. [Dunn Robert, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	Accepted. Citations and version number amended. The 2018 version was used for the work cited in this chapter.
12530	8	0	8	0	The currect version of ESA CCI Soil Moisture (v4.4) goes through June 2018 -> to be updated; The data have a daily, not monthly resolution -> to be updated. In general, for all datasets I recommend using the DOIs instead of the ftp URLs, when available. In this concrete case, this would be http://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/dff5410043ee4d2094cdf3b9b5284a63 [Wouter Dorigo, Austria]	Accepted. We are not currently using DOIs as many data sets (especially older ones) in the annex do not have them, but will reassess that in later drafts.

Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response
55456	9	8	9	8	The time period ends in 2014. However, on the link provided, the periods end in 2015 (for precipation) and 2016 (for temperature). [GENITO MAURE.	Accepted. Precipitation end date changed to 2015 (temperature is dealt with separately).
	-	_	-	-	Mozambiguel	(
29096	10	15	10	15	lguchi et al. (2000, 2009) is suitable instead of Haddad et al. (1997). [Takuji Kubota. Japan]	Accepted. Reference to be changed.
					"GPOF" is a typo. "GPROF" is correct. Kummerow et al. (2015) will be suitable.	Accepted. Name changed but reference remains,
29098	10	16	10	16	[Takuji Kubota, Japan]	as is more current.
29100	10	17	10	17	Caylor et al. (1997) is not a paper of the TRMM PR 3A25. This can be merged to a line of the TRMM PR. [Takuji Kubota, Japan]	Accepted. Reference to be modified.
29102	10	18	10	18	Kummerow et al. (1998) is suitable instead of Wentz et al. 2001 [Takuji Kubota, Japan]	Accepted. Reference to be changed.
	40				3B42 is "TRMM Multi-satellite Preciptiation Analysis (TMPA)", not "TRMM	Taken into account. TRMM references have been
29104	10	18	11	1	3B42". Huffman et al. (2007) shoud be cited. [Takuji Kubota, Japan]	consolidated.
					Please add the folowing dataset: Name: Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Precipitation Radar (PR) 2A25, Version: 7.0, Type: Remote sensing,	Accepted. Reference changed.
43850	10		11		Resolution: Orbital, 5km horizontal, 250m vertical, Chapter: 10, 11, Time Period: 1997-2014, Citation: Iguchi et al. 2000: Rain-profiling algorithm for the	
					TRMM Precipitation Radar. J. Appl. Meteorol. 39, 2038–2052. [Izuru Takayabu, Japan]	
					Name: Global Precipitation Measurements (GPM) Dual-frequency Precipitation Radar (DPR), Version: 6.0, Type: Remote sensing, Resolution: Orbital, 5km horizontal, 250m vertical, Chapter: 10, 11, Time Period: 2014-2019, Citation:	Rejected. Iguchi 2019 is not cited in the current versions of either Chapter 10 or Chapter 11.
43852	10		11		Iguchi, T., 2019: Dual-frequency Precipitation Radar (DPR) on the Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) mission's core observatory. Satellite precipitation measurement, Springer, submitted. [Izuru Takayabu, Japan]	
					Please add the folowing dataset: Name: Global Satellite Mapping of Precipitation (GSMaP) Version: GSMaP-NWV, Type: Remote sensing	Rejected. No specific results are cited from GSMaP
					Resolution: 1-hourly, 0.1deg x 0.1deg, Chapter: 8,10, Time Period: 1997-2019,	
1005.1					Citation: Kubota, T., S. Shige, H. Hashizume, K. Aonashi, N. Takahashi, S. Seto, M.	
43854	10		11		Hirose, Y. N. Takayabu, K. Nakagawa, K. Iwanami, T. Ushio, M. Kachi and K.	
					Okamoto, 2007: Global precipitation map using satelliteborne microwave	
					radiometers by the GSMaP project : Production and validation. IEEE Trans.	
					Geosci. Remote Sens., 45, 2259-2275. [Izuru Takayabu, Japan]	
					Please add the following dataset: Name: TRMM Spectral Latent Heating (TRMM	Rejected. No specific results are cited from this
					SLH), Version: 7.0, Type: Remote sensing, Resolution: Orbital, 5km horizontal, 9	dataset.
42956	10		11		layers in troposphere, Chapter: 10, Time Period: 1997-2014, Citation: Shige, S.,	
43850					1. N. Takayabu, WN. Tao, and CL. Sile, 2007. Spectral retrieval of fatent	
					heating estimates over tronical ocean regions Appl Meteor Climatol 46	
					1098-1124. [Izuru Takavabu, Japan]	
					Please add the following dataset: Name: GPM Spectral Latent Heating (GPM	Rejected. No specific results are cited from this
			11		SLH), Version: 6.0, Type: Remote sensing, Resolution: Orbital, 5km horizontal,	dataset.
42959	10				250m vertical, Chapter: 10, Time Period: 2014-2019, Citation: Takayabu, Y. N.	
43858					and WK. Tao 2019, Latent heating retrievals from satellite observations,	
					Satellite precipitation measurement, Springer, submitted. [Izuru Takayabu,	
	1				Japan]	

Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response
46518	10		11		please check "TRMM Microwave Imager(TRMM 3B42)" to "TRMM 3B42" [Park	Taken into account. TRMM references have been
					Kyungwon, Republic of Koreaj	consolidated.
29106	11	2	11	2	This line should be merged to a line of "3B42". Huffman et al. (2014) is not a	Taken into account. TRMM references have been
					[paper of the TRMM. [Takuji Kubota, Japan]	consolidated.
46520	11		11		please correct "15-minute, 4km" to "3-hourly, 8km" from GRID-sat. [Park	Accepted. Details corrected.
					[Kyungwon, Republic of Korea]	
12532	12	0	12	0	ESA CCI Soil Moisture Dataset is repeated here -> to be removed [Wouter	Accepted. Duplicate deleted.
					Dorigo, Austria]	
	12	2	12	2	Imaoka et al. (2010) is suitable for the AMSR2, instead of Kummerow et al.	Rejected. Imaoka et al 2010 appears to be a
29108					(2015) [Takuji Kubota, Japan]	reference for the instrument, whereas Kummerow
						et al. 2015 is more relevant to the specific data
						cited in the chapter.
					The reference for the Randolph Glacier Inventory is not Scherler et al. 2018 but:	Accepted. Reference changed.
45626	12				RGI Consortium (2017). Randolph Glacier Inventory – A Dataset of Global Glacier	
15626	13	1			Outlines: Version 6.0: Technical Report, Global Land Ice Measurements from	
					Space, Colorado, USA. Digital Media. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7265/N5-RGI-60	
-					[Michael Zemp, Switzerland]	
	AI-11				1.5 km horizontal resolution is misleading. This is the footprint size but there are	Taken into account. 1.5km x 500m are the stated
0010					big gaps in spatial coverage (nadir looking sensor) and much of the space is	specifications for the most recent instrument. A
9810					simply not sampled. I beleive that verticale resolution is wrong too, at least for	note has been added stating that resolution varies
					some products for which it is 240 m (2C-SNOWPROFILE an so on) [Christophe	over time.
					Genthon, Francej	
					Only two atmospheric inversion reanalyses are reported in this chapter, while	Taken into account. The Annex in FOD is known to
					many more are used in Chapter 5. This is abusive. If IPCC does not support the	be incomplete as some chapters provided limited
10000					datasets it uses, it may have less material to exploit next time. Being explicitely	input. The SOD version is more complete. It should
13330					used by IPCC is important not only for the two happy few here. [Frederic	also be noted that data sets are not included
					Chevallier, Francej	where the only reference to them is the direct
						citation of a result from a paper.
					In the entry for ERA5, "ERA-5" should be "ERA5". The reference should be	Accepted. Citation amended.
37546					Hersbach et al. (2019, submitted) for the time being. [Adrian Simmons, United	
					Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					In the table entry for NOAAGlobalTemp, specifying version 4.0.1 is not sufficient.	Taken into account. The WGI AR6 will archive the
					The year and month of the version downloaded has to be specified. This is	exact data set used for calculations in the IPCC
275.40					because a version of the complete dataset is issued each month, and newly	data repository.
57546					acquired data for months prior to the latest month may be used to update the	
					values for these prior months. [Adrian Simmons, United Kingdom (of Great	
					Britain and Northern Ireland)]	
					In the tabel entry for GISTEMP, the latest month and year of the downloaded	Taken into account. The WGI AR6 will archive the
					data must be specified. This is because, as for NOAAGlobalTemp, a version of	exact data set used for calculations in the IPCC
27550					the complete dataset is issued each month, and newly acquired data for months	data repository.
3/550					prior to the latest month may be used to update the values for these prior	
					months. [Adrian Simmons, United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern	
					Ireland)]	

Comment ID	From Page	From Line	To Page	To Line	Comment	Response
					The table entry entitled ERA 20th Century (ERA-20C) reanalysis ensemble mixes	Accepted. This will be changed.
					up two datasets. There is an analysis ensemble that is properly part of ERA-20C,	
					for which the reference is Poli et al. (2016), as in the previous table entry. Then	
37552					there is ERA-20CM, which is an ensemble of AMIP-type runs of a model similar	
					to those used to produce recent ERA reanalyses. The reference for this is	
					Hersbach et al. (2015) as specified. [Adrian Simmons, United Kingdom (of Great	
					Britain and Northern Ireland)]	