

# Climate-Resilient Development Pathways Supplementary Material

**Coordinating Lead Authors:** E. Lisa F. Schipper (Sweden/UK), Aromar Revi (India), Benjamin L. Preston (Australia/USA)

**Lead Authors:** Edward R. Carr (USA), Siri H. Eriksen (Norway), Luis R. Fernández-Carril (Mexico), Bruce Glavovic (South Africa/New Zealand), Nathalie J.M. Hilmi (France/Monaco), Debora Ley (Mexico/Guatemala), Rupa Mukerji (India/Switzerland), M. Silvia Muylaert de Araujo (Brazil), Rosa Perez (Philippines), Steven K. Rose (USA), Pramod K. Singh (India)

**Contributing Authors:** Paulina Aldunce (Chile), Aditya Bahadur (India), Natália Barbosa de Carvalho (Brazil), Ritwika Basu (India), Nick Brooks (UK), Donald A. Brown (USA), Anna Carthy (Ireland), Vanesa Castán Broto (Spain/UK), Ralph Chami (USA), John Cook (USA), Daniel de Berrêdo Viana (Brazil), Frode Degvold (Norway), Shekoofeh Farahmend (Iran), Roger Few (UK), Gianfranco Gianfrate (France), H. Carina Keskitalo (Sweden), Florian Krampe (Germany/Sweden), Rinchen Lama (South Africa), Julia Leventon (Czech Republic/UK), Rebecca McNaught (New Zealand), Yu Mo (China/UK), Marianne Mosberg (Norway), Michelle Mycoo (Trinidad and Tobago), Johanna Nalau (Australia/Finland), Karen O'Brien (Norway), Meg Parsons (New Zealand), Alain Safa (France), Majid Sameti (Iran), Zoha Shawoo (Pakistan/UK), Marcus Taylor (Canada/UK), Mark G.L. Tebboth (UK), Bejoy K. Thomas (India), Kirsten Ulsrud (Norway), Saskia Werners (the Netherlands/Germany), Keren Zhu (China), Monika Zurek (Germany/UK)

**Review Editors:** Diana Liverman (USA), Nobuo Mimura (Japan)

**Chapter Scientists:** Ritwika Basu (India/UK), Zoha Shawoo (Pakistan/UK), Yu Mo (China/UK)

**This Supplementary Material should be cited as:**

Schipper, E.L.F., A. Revi, B.L. Preston, E.R. Carr, S.H. Eriksen, L.R. Fernandez-Carril, B. Glavovic, N.J.M. Hilmi, D. Ley, R. Mukerji, M.S. Muylaert de Araujo, R. Perez, S.K. Rose, and P.K. Singh, 2022: Climate-Resilient Development Pathways Supplementary Material. In: *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Lösschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Available from <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/>.

## Table of Contents

<b>Cross-Chapter Box GENDER: Gender, Climate Justice and Transformative Pathways Supplementary Material</b> .....	3
<b>References</b> .....	9
<b>Cross-Chapter Box FEASIB: Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options: An Update of the SR1.5 Supplementary Material</b> .....	11
SMCCB FEASIB.1 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options as Presented in Section CCB FEASIB.2 .....	11
SMCCB FEASIB.2 Adaptation and Mitigation Synergies and Trade-Offs as Discussed in Section CCB FEASIB.4 .....	32
<b>References</b> .....	43

### Cross-Chapter Box GENDER: Gender, Climate Justice and Transformative Pathways Supplementary Material

Authors: Anjal Prakash (India), Cecilia Conde (Mexico), Ayansina Ayanlade (Nigeria), Rachel Bezner Kerr (Canada/USA), Emily Boyd (Sweden), Martina A Caretta (Sweden), Susan Clayton (USA), Marta G. Rivera Ferre (Spain), Laura Ramajo Gallardo (Chile), Sharina Abdul Halim (Malaysia), Nina Lansbury (Australia), Oksana Lipka (Russia), Ruth Morgan (Australia), Joyashree Roy (India), Diana Reckien (the Netherlands/Germany), E. Lisa F. Schipper (Sweden/UK), Chandni Singh (India), Maria Cristina Tirado von der Pahlen (Spain/USA),

Edmond Totin (Benin), Kripa Vasant (India), Morgan Wairiu (Solomon Islands), Zelina Zaiton Ibrahim (Malaysia).

Contributing Authors: Seema Arora-Jonsson (Sweden/India), Emily Baker (USA), Graeme Dean (Ireland), Emily Hillenbrand (USA), Alison Irvine (Canada), Farjana Islam (Bangladesh/ UK), Katriona McGlade (UK/Germany), Hanson Nyantakyi-Frimpong (Ghana), Nitya Rao (UK/India), Federica Ravera (Italy), Emilia Reyes (Mexico), Diana Hinge Salili (Fiji), Corinne Schuster-Wallace (Canada), Alcade C. Segnon (Benin), Divya Solomon (India), Shreya Some (India), Indrakshi Tandon (India), Sumit Vij (India), Katharine Vincent (UK/South Africa), Margreet Zwartveen (the Netherlands)

Table SMCCB GENDER.1 | Inter-relations between SDG5 (gender equality) and adaptation initiatives in nine major sectors

Sector	SDG 5 (net impact)	Main messages
Terrestrial	+(enabling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Community-based natural resource management increases the participation of women, most likely if they are organised into women groups.</li> <li>+ National forest conservation/reforestation programs (e.g., through CDM and REDD+) and forest sequestration programmes can improve the family and social status of women and the creation of women’s group in forest conservation programs can create more income for women and new connections which increases women’s leadership and power in the local context.</li> <li>– However, too restricted rules of REDD+ that do not include traditional uses from local communities can hamper women and girls’ traditional activities.</li> <li>– Payment for ecosystem services in the ‘forest protection’ category based on property size reduce women’s income as compared with men because women tend to have smaller size of property.</li> <li>± Engagement in aquaculture has the potential to bring respect and popularity to women if they succeed, which depends on the gendered approach in introducing any technology, otherwise the gender gap can increase despite the many potential benefits of such technology.</li> </ul>
Ocean	– (counteracting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) tend to reproduce existing gender disparities in relation to leadership and power. Research on MPAs in Kenya, Tanzania, Madagascar, Indonesia and the Philippines has concluded that women are less likely to participate in MPA governance and activities because MPAs restrict fishing and women have to find other sources of income to support their families.</li> <li>– Decision making in relation to a mangrove restoration project: women’s participation was low as they were not informed of focus group discussion. Also, in some places, men were culturally deterred from participating in mangrove restoration activities due to the low associated pay which was felt to be more suitable for women.</li> <li>+ Workshops conducted in the Pacific highlighted how women are taking the lead in a range of local-scale adaptation actions that innovate as well as build on traditional knowledge.</li> <li>± In sustainable aquaculture practices, gender roles are clearly defined. Women are not directly involved in commercial activities and almost all those involved in subsistence aquaculture are female owing to the perceived lack of immediate economic gains. However, aquaculture provides opportunities for income diversification for both women and men.</li> </ul>
Mountain	+(enabling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Being pushed into new roles, domains and spaces, women’s skills and capacities have increased. Strategies adopted to address domestic water scarcity by conserving and recycling water are strengthening women’s role in the community.</li> <li>+ Women are engaged and recognised for such preparatory measures in advance of floods to stock up on essential items such as grains, oil and kerosene, as well as drying fish and vegetables for future use.</li> <li>+ Investment in education systems, programmes on women empowerment, pro-poor policies on access to affordable credit facilities, social protection schemes for the vulnerable and access to markets especially for livestock are likely to enhance both men and women’s adaptation.</li> <li>– Changes in gender roles to respond to climatic and socioeconomic stressors is not supported by institutional practices, mechanisms and policies that remain patriarchal.</li> <li>– Adaptation strategies adopted do not change or exacerbate the incidences of violence against women and children, which remain as the root cause of increased vulnerabilities.</li> <li>– Female-headed households are more vulnerable as they are less likely to adopt technologies because female heads have less access to information and other resources (including financial) due to traditional barriers.</li> </ul>

18SM

Sector	SDG 5 (net impact)	Main messages
Food	+(enabling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Women's power to participate in intra-household adaptation decision making is significantly correlated with their livelihood diversification through non-farm income earnings.</li> <li>+ Women have reported that by implementing best management practices (BMPs), changes were experienced in multiple domains, such as financial and physical capital, better nutrition (more food available to eat) and improved health care, as well as gaining more social and human capital.</li> <li>+ Agroecological training in farming communities seems to increase gender-related sensitivity increasing girls schooling opportunities.</li> <li>+ Access to ICT facilities enhances the resilience of women households by connecting them to new opportunities by increasing agricultural production incomes.</li> <li>+ With well-organised water collection management, women and marginalised groups have equitable access to water springs, which was timesaving for them.</li> <li>– But the water infrastructure (micro-watershed) did not take the safety aspect for women and children into account to minimise accident risk.</li> <li>– Mobile phones are critical for access to climate information systems, but women perceive limited impact as they face some challenges that prevent them from accessing mobile phones such as low income levels, lack of training, inability to interpret climate information and convert it into actions, limited access to mobile phones (mostly by women), and inability to afford to call credits.</li> </ul> <p>Therefore, the design and delivery of climate information services need to be both relevant to the specific context and gender sensitive in content</p>
Water	+(enabling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Women experienced enhanced respect and trust through a hygiene and sanitation transformation approach, where the participatory programmes included men, women and girls.</li> <li>+ Improved water and sanitation facilities have shown to increase enrolment as well as reduce repetition and dropout rates for girls in school, and higher education of women is shown to be correlated with reduced incidence of diarrhoea.</li> <li>+ Infrastructure that is developed to respond to natural disasters and take into account gender-specific needs, such as sanitation facilities, can create security and safety for women and girls and provide a place to gather for support and foster empowerment</li> <li>+ In developing countries, women are responding to water scarcity through collection adaptations, such as small rainwater harvesting systems and storage tanks, greywater recycling systems and fog water collection. These adaptation measures reduce physical burden and time commitment spent on collecting water, therefore increasing time to be spent on other activities such as school.</li> <li>+ Women play a significant role in response to natural disasters and when they are involved in pre-disaster planning, space is created to address women's specific needs, such as building sanitation facilities above the flood line.</li> <li>+ In adapting to climate variability, women use unique storage practices to manage water resources; this includes storing water in cool, dark areas, using plastic containers or rooftops and underground tanks. Also, fog harvesting is an innovative water storage technique that alleviates the physical and social burden of water collection on women and girls.</li> <li>– When women travel further distances to collect water it puts their safety at risk including exposure to violence and sexual assault.</li> <li>– Lack of access to adequate hygiene and sanitation facilities often restricts women and girls from fully participating in the job place or regularly attending school.</li> </ul>
Poverty	– (constraining)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Women are experimenting and driving innovative adaptation options, such as homestay-based ecotourism and fruit farming, present promising adaptation strategies that are being taken up by others in the community.</li> <li>– But patriarchal institutions and structural discriminations result in less access to services and economic resources as compared with men, including less control over income, fewer productive assets, lack of property rights, less access to credit and less access to irrigation, climate information and seeds, which lead to devaluation of women's farm-related adaptation options.</li> <li>– Continued exclusion of women's ideas and views from policy processes will inhibit adaptation and may lead to discriminatory outcomes.</li> <li>– Female care workers from the Global South entering global care chains leave a care gap in the places they are migrating from, adding additional care burdens to those [women and girls] who remain behind.</li> <li>– A feminist political economy view of disaster recovery across four empirical case studies (USA, Thailand, Philippines and New Zealand) shows that processes of enclosure, exclusion, encroachment and entrenchment can distort disaster-relief supports and safety nets, to preserve or exacerbate gender, class and ethnic disparities.</li> </ul> <p>Formalising women's land registration and adopting equitable business models and policies are needed. Prompt attention is required to address structural social inequalities and gendered power relations during disaster recovery.</p>

Sector	SDG 5 (net impact)	Main messages
Cities	+(enabling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Urban agriculture has positively impacted women's participation by improving their well-being, food security and income by increasing their social capital and also allows women to more efficiently and successfully perform their gender roles and responsibilities and gain social and economic empowerment.</li> <li>+ Well-designed transit-oriented development have positive impacts on gender equality including women's freedom of movement and security.</li> <li>+ ICT programs that explicitly target women and address their specific vulnerabilities have shown to have positive impacts on women's livelihoods and well-being, expanding their socioeconomic, physical and political space</li> <li>– In houses poorly adapted to heat, women experience higher impacts of extreme heat because, culturally, women have limited mobility outside the home and effective techniques to reduce indoor temperatures are not available (except air-conditioning at night and low-cost techniques at daytime for some people).</li> <li>– Current urban policies around climate resilience do not address structural barriers to gender participation such as inadequate recognition of women's unpaid work, inadequate participation and leadership in decision making.</li> <li>– Relocation can also force women into low paid jobs or informal economy, creating a vicious circle where women's time poverty further reduces their social capital and opportunities for self-development in terms of education or formal employment.</li> </ul>
Industry	– (constraining)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Male workers had a significant increase in overall claims during moderate-severity heatwaves, while no significant change was observed for female workers.</li> <li>– Women find it difficult to carry out the strenuous physical activity during menstruation and unequal wages distribution creates additional threats to cope with their health problems.</li> <li>± There should be a separate neat and clean toilet for the women workers in workplaces. Wherever possible, the eligible women workers should be given maternity benefits in industrial and organised sectors. Exhaustive and comprehensive legislation is urgently needed for regulating working conditions, wage structure and welfare measures of the women workers. Communication through self-help groups of women and NGOs is needed for the receptivity among workers and employers.</li> <li>± There are many women groups and NGOs which work with migrant populations in the unorganised sector. Empowering these groups with the relevant latest IEC materials would enable them to fight the heatwave.</li> </ul>
Health	+(enabling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Village savings and loans and other micro-credit programmes that focus on women can increase their access to credit, income, social networks, adaptive capacity and improve women's overall well-being.</li> <li>+ Some climate change adaptation policies explicitly address violence against women and girls that can increase during disasters or drought.</li> <li>+ Maternal education can significantly reduce the risk of child malnutrition in a post-flooding event or post-drought.</li> <li>+ Climate change policies which address mitigation and adaptation can improve women's well-being and mental health, through mechanisms such as increased access to green spaces, reduced air pollution, increased use of bicycles and walking as transport.</li> <li>+ Engaging men and boys in addressing gender inequity through educational methods can be effective and help build household and community cohesion and adaptive capacity.</li> <li>– During recovery efforts from hurricanes, floods and other extreme climate events, expecting women to participate in rebuilding can be hard for them due to competing childcare and other household responsibilities, and communal living during the recovery period can create additional dangers for sexual and physical violence for women and girls post-recovery.</li> <li>– In low-resource settings, women have reduced agency to make healthcare decisions, the impacts of disease and disability are likely to be further magnified.</li> <li>– Some indigenous cultural beliefs affect women and girls, compelling them to eat less food as a form of intra-household adaptation to climate change.</li> <li>– Some disaster risk management programmes exclude women from income-generating activities, or leadership roles in the programme, and only consider their caregiving role.</li> <li>– Women often have less access to credit for climate change adaptation practices, including post-disaster relief, for example, to deal with salinisation of water or flooding impacts.</li> <li>– Some ecosystem-based adaptation initiatives do not take gender inequities into account and can reinforce gender and other social inequities in terms of discrimination.</li> </ul> <p>Development and climate programmes have to be redesigned to accommodate more context-specific policies instead of one-size-fits-all packages that will effectively address women's (and men's) differential needs and unequal relations and circumstances.</p> <p>Limited research and policy initiatives which consider climate change impacts on women's health and childcare and nutrition, particularly for vulnerable groups.</p>

Table SMCCB GENDER.2 | Gender integration in regional climate change policies.

Countries	How is gender considered in climate policy?	Illustrative examples	Barriers to gender integration
<b>Central and South America</b>			
Regional assessment of Central and South America	Gender sensitive; gender specific (varies by policy)	NDCs (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Costa Rica, Paraguay and Uruguay) (Tramutola, 2019); National Plans and Programs of the IUCN (2012) for Costa Rica and Panamá; Ministerio del Ambiente (2015) for Perú; and IUCN (2014) for Cuba. International and Ibero-American Foundation of Administration and Public Policies (FIIAPP): Regional approach promoting learning and exchange of experiences between local actors and decision-makers in the three countries. Intersectoral coordination initiative Gender and Climate Change Action Plans (PAGcc), adopted in some Latin American and Caribbean countries (Casas Varez, 2017).	Insufficient commitment and capacities of actors involved; few relevant tools in both the design and implementation phases; scarce specialists, resources, disaggregated data, documented cases in the region added to the difficulty in generating significant indicators (Tramutola, 2019). The vulnerability and resilience of women are dependent on household income diversification (Andersen et al., 2017) and household type.
Cuba, Guatemala, Ecuador, Perú, Costa Rica, Panamá, Colombia	Gender specific	(Cuba) Gender-based research in an integrated coastal zone management program (Montero et al., 2015).	(Cuba) Gender inequality in the integrated coastal zone management programme.
		(Guatemala) Gender environmental policy is mainly linked to climate change adaptation (Bárcena Ibarra et al., 2020).	
		(Ecuador) <i>Sumak Kawsay</i> or <i>Buen Vivir</i> is a concept included in the Constitution of Ecuador in 2008 (Cáceres-Arteaga et al., 2020). Food Security and Gender Considerations (FORECCSA) policy uses a bottom-up approach, managed through community-based adaptation (Bárcena Ibarra et al., 2020).	(Ecuador) Indigenous women remain invisible in land tenure discussions (Radcliffe, 2014).
(Perú, Cuba, Costa Rica, Panamá) Intersectoral coordination initiative Gender and Climate Change Action Plans (PAGcc).			
Colombia, Ecuador, Perú, Chile	Gender sensitive	(Colombia, Ecuador, Perú) International and Ibero-American Foundation of Administration and Public Policies (FIIAPP): Regional approach promoting learning and exchange of experiences between local actors and decision makers in the three countries.	(Columbia and Chile) Discrimination in land tenure for women (Tafur et al., 2015).
<b>North America</b>			
USA	Gender specific	Declaration for Climate, Justice and Peace proposed by a movement Earth Democracy and a non-profit organisation Women's International League for Peace and Freedom (Price, 2014).	Differentiated economic interest conflict with addressing climate change and the gender gap (Tranter and Booth, 2015). Policies often have a homogenised cultural vision that erases Indigenous People's autonomy (Gay-Antaki, 2020) and fails to address the intersection of race, ethnicity and gender for vulnerability to climate change (Vinyeta et al., 2016).
Canada, Mexico	Gender sensitive	(Canada) New Feminist International Assistance Policy aims to incorporate the empowerment of women and girls, including policies addressing climate change (Sellers, 2018). Relevance of gendered climate adaptation emphasising traditional food systems (Burch et al., 2014; Sellers, 2018; Williams, 2018). (Mexico) Mexican Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) states gender equality and human rights as cross-cutting principles both for mitigation and adaptation. Legal reforms on water management (Silva Rodríguez de San Miguel, 2018).	(Canada) Research to support gender-sensitive policies (Natcher et al., 2020). Policies often have a homogenised cultural vision that erases Indigenous People's autonomy (Gay-Antaki, 2020). (Mexico) Discrimination in land tenure; gender division of labour; salary gap and barriers to job placement (Griffin Cohen, 2014), and the unequal distribution of benefits (Vázquez García, 2015).
<b>Africa</b>			
<i>Africa regional assessment</i>	Gender neutral	A gender lens is rarely applied in national policies or programmes (e.g., Ethiopia's Climate Resilience Green Economy policy (Mersha and van Laerhoven, 2019), beyond the initial consultation stage (Holvoet and Inberg, 2014). Some gender and climate change action strategies and/or plans in several countries, including Liberia, Mozambique, Tanzania and Zambia (Environmental Protection Agency, 2012; Republic of Mozambique and IUCN, 2014; Zambia and IUCN, 2017)	Practical constraints such as lack of staff capacity on gender, and lack of funding to support gender integration in policy (Kristjanson et al., 2017); other forms of resistance which lead to inaction (Acosta et al., 2019); poor integration of women in decision making processes. In African contexts, local institutions do not value fair access to production resources, such as land and financial capital, which increase the vulnerability of marginalised groups, including women and youth, under climate change conditions (Van Wijk et al., 2018; Edward, 2020) (see also Box 9.2).

Countries	How is gender considered in climate policy?	Illustrative examples	Barriers to gender integration
<b>Europe</b>			
European Union, Russian Federation, Norway, Sweden, Russian Federation, Turkey	Gender sensitive Gender weak	<p>Gender equality is integrated into Constitutions, special laws on women's rights and the implementation of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women.</p> <p>There are no examples of direct gender mention from National adaptation plan.</p> <p>Sweden adopted the SDGs as local goals and the County's Comprehensive Plan is evaluated on these, e.g., considering gender in the use, access and safety of public spaces, and emphasising development that facilitates climate-resilient lifestyles (Leander et al., 2021).</p> <p>Despite progress over the last decade, gender differences are still a challenge, and are frequently wider among vulnerable groups. Girls from lower-income backgrounds have significantly lower school enrollment rates than boys, while among the higher-income bracket, gender parity has been achieved (World Bank, 2018).</p>	<p>European climate change adaptation strategies and national policies are generally weak on gender, LGBTQI and other social equity issues (Boeckmann and Zeeb, 2014; Allwood, 2020).</p> <p>Turkey Country Gender Assessment 2018 (World Bank, 2018).</p>
<b>Asia</b>			
Malaysia	Gender specific	<p>Engagement of civil society, especially youth, in the development of the 2050 National Transformation Plan, a policy planning document outlining economic, social and environmental targets (Susskind et al., 2020).</p> <p>NGO advocacy for climate change actions studied impacts on sexual and reproductive health and rights among indigenous communities (Penita and Arrow, 2015).</p> <p>The National Policy on Climate Change includes specific mention of women, children, youth, Indigenous Peoples and their communities, as 'Major Groups' and 'Stakeholders' in addressing climate change, with a focus on effective participation (MNRE, 2010).</p>	<p>Despite national initiatives to increase women's participation in the workforce to provide them with social support (Lim, 2019) and to empower them (Baqutayan, 2020), gender mainstreaming, in general, is hampered by lack of full comprehension of gender issues (Ismail and Zakuan, 2014).</p>
India, Nepal	Gender sensitive	<p>Recognition of gendered vulnerability and need for targeting women in NAPCC (Roy et al., 2018).</p> <p>Gendered vulnerability is recognised across national and state climate action planning (Jafry, 2016; Singh et al., 2021).</p> <p>Skill enhancement programmes in agriculture and fisheries to increase and diversify livelihood opportunities (Ministry of Agriculture, 2015; Stacey et al., 2019).</p> <p>The NAPA and the CCADRMA recognise the gender-differentiated impacts of climate change in agriculture (Paudyal et al., 2019; Khatri-Chhetri et al., 2020).</p> <p>Localised action for climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction (Bhattarai et al., 2015; Ojha et al., 2016; Vij et al., 2019).</p>	<p>Underlying structural inequalities around workforce participation, inadequate diverse gender representation in decision making bodies and processes. Women are less represented in local decision making committees in almost all Asian countries. Organised community collectives such as women self-help groups have had a mixed experience in gaining rights and benefits for women with positive impacts such as improved incomes (Salas et al., 2017) and somewhat negative impacts on women's participation in local adaptation decisions (Singh, 2019).</p> <p>The continuing failure of development policy and practices to address structural inequalities such as land ownership and access to gender-sensitive agro-biodiversity management services and technologies and forests (Bhattarai et al., 2015; Khadka et al., 2015).</p>
<b>The Small Island States</b>			
Pacific Island countries (e.g., Fiji and Vanuatu)	Gender specific/ Gender sensitive	<p>Gender considerations are included in National Climate Change and Disaster Risk Reduction policies and frameworks (Community, 2015; Sawyer et al., 2020).</p> <p>The inclusion of gender considerations strengthens national planning (Community, 2015; International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, 2020). Pacific Island countries are beginning to integrate gender into their climate change initiatives using a toolkit designed to support climate change practitioners in the region to integrate gender into their programmes and projects (South Pacific Regional Environment, 2014).</p>	<p>Barriers include financing to implement gender considerations in national policies (McLeod et al., 2018; Kleiber et al., 2019).</p>

Countries	How is gender considered in climate policy?	Illustrative examples	Barriers to gender integration
<b>Australasia</b>			
Australia	Gender neutral/ Gender specific	<p>Across all levels of government, there is minimal consideration of gender in climate change policy that may increase vulnerability and social exclusion and affect adaptive capacity and resilience (Alston, 2017). Scant attention to gender in policies for emergency management and disaster response. National gender and emergency management guidelines were drafted in 2017 in Australia (Parkinson et al., 2017), but these have not been endorsed or adopted by any Australian government.</p> <p>The only literature located on climate and gender in Australia examined the different effects of drought on rural men and women (Pearce et al., 2018).</p>	Lack of consideration of gender may be partially due to a lack of diverse gender representation in decision making processes (Parkinson et al., 2017).
Aotearoa, New Zealand	Gender sensitive	<p>Recent government-commissioned climate change risk assessments explicitly identify gender as one of the limiting factors for equitable resources in response to climate-related risks, including housing, employment, childcare and safety (Ministry for the Environment, 2020). New Zealand-based Pacific Islander women have already demonstrated leadership roles in mobilising to respond to climate-related disasters and collaborations on their ancestral islands, demonstrating their abilities and networks of use in climate change adaptation and planning (Masaki, 2015).</p>	No action is yet released by the government that details how vulnerability will be reduced (Ministry for the Environment, 2020). A lack of gender-responsive design and implementation overlooks the growing evidence of the gendered experience of the impacts and projected risks of climate change and disasters, as well as the gendered nature of emergency management in Australasia. This research identified gendered impacts on physical and mental health (Zara et al., 2016), as well as gender-based violence (Parkinson et al., 2017; Rees and Wells, 2020) and discrimination (Dominey-Howes et al., 2016; Gorman-Murray et al., 2017).

Climate policies span from the gender blind (implicitly men-biased, excluding women) to gender transformative/ redistributive (interventions that intend to transform the existing distribution of resources and responsibilities to create more equitable gender relations). Along this continuum, policies can also take varied approaches such as gender neutral (leave existing distribution of resources/ responsibility unchanged or unquestioned), gender specific (targeting needs of women/men, but within existing distribution of resources/ responsibilities), and gender sensitive (focusing on identifying and reconfiguring processes which shape gender relations).



## References

- Acosta, M., et al., 2019: Discursive translations of gender mainstreaming norms: the case of agricultural and climate change policies in Uganda. *Womens Stud. Int. Forum*, **74**, 9–19, doi:10.1016/j.wsif.2019.02.010.
- Allwood, G., 2020: Gender equality in European Union development policy in times of crisis. *Polit. Stud. Rev.*, **18**(3), 329–345, doi:10.1177/1478929919863224.
- Alston, M., 2017: Gendered outcomes in post-disaster sites: public policy and resource distribution. In: *Climate Change and Gender in Rich Countries: Work, Public Policy and Action*. Routledge, Oxfordshire, UK, pp. 133–149. doi:10.4324/9781315407906.
- Andersen, L.E., D. Verner and M. Wiebelt, 2017: Gender and climate change in Latin America: an analysis of vulnerability, adaptation and resilience based on household surveys. *J. Int. Dev.*, **29**(7), 857–876.
- World Bank, 2018: *Turkey Country Gender Assessment 2018*. World Bank Group, Washington, D.C.
- Baqutayan, S.M.S., 2020: Women empowerment is needed to boost gender equality in Malaysia. *Curr. Res. J. Soc. Sci. Humanit.*, **3**(1), 95–107, doi:10.12944/CRJSSH.3.1.09.
- Bárceña Ibarra, A., J. Samaniego, W. Peres and J.E. Alatorre, 2020: *The Climate Emergency in Latin America and the Caribbean: The Path Ahead – Resignation or Action?* ECLAC Books, No. 160 (LC/PUB.2019/23-P), Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), Santiago, Chile.
- Bhattarai, B., R. Beilin and R. Ford, 2015: Gender, agrobiodiversity, and climate change: a study of adaptation practices in the Nepal Himalayas. *World Dev.*, **70**, 122–132, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.01.003.
- Boeckmann, M. and H. Zeeb, 2014: Using a social justice and health framework to assess European climate change adaptation strategies. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **11**(12), 12389–12411, doi:10.3390/ijerph111212389.
- Burch, S., A. Shaw, A. Dale and J. Robinson, 2014: Triggering transformative change: a development path approach to climate change response in communities. *Clim. Policy*, **14**(4), 467–487.
- Cáceres-Arteaga, N., K. María and D. Lane, 2020: Agroecological practices as a climate change adaptation mechanism in four highland communities in Ecuador. *J. Lat. Am. Geogr.*, **19**(3), 47–73, doi:10.1353/lag.2020.0071.
- Casas Varez, M., 2017: *La transversalización del enfoque de género en las políticas públicas frente al cambio climático en América Latina*. Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (CEPAL), Santiago, Chile.
- Community, S. o. t. P., 2015: *Vanuatu Climate Change and Disaster Risk Reduction Policy 2016–2030*. Quality Print, Suva, Fiji Islands, ISBN 978-9820009387.
- Dominey-Howes, D., A. Gorman-Murray and S. McKinnon, 2016: Emergency management response and recovery plans in relation to sexual and gender minorities in NEW South Wales, Australia. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, **16**, 1–11.
- Edward, M.C., 2020: Gender inequality and climate change adaptation strategies for food security in Tanzania. *Afr. J. Land Policy Geospat. Sci.*, **3**(3), 28–39.
- Environmental Protection Agency, 2012: *National Climate Change Adaptation Strategy*. Environmental Protection Agency, Accra, Ghana.
- Ministry for the Environment, 2020: *National Climate Change Risk Assessment for Aotearoa New Zealand: Main report – Arotakenga Tūraru mō te Huringa Āhuarangi o Aotearoa: Pūrongo whakatōpū*. Ministry for the Environment, Wellington.
- Gay-Antaki, M., 2020: Feminist geographies of climate change: negotiating gender at climate talks. *Geoforum*, **115**, 1–10.
- Gorman-Murray, A., et al., 2017: Problems and possibilities on the margins: LGBT experiences in the 2011 Queensland floods. *Gend. Place Cult.*, **24**(1), 37–51.
- Griffin Cohen, M., 2014: Gender in government actions on climate change and work. *Women Environ. Int. Mag.*, **94/95**, 11–15.
- Holvoet, N. and L. Inberg, 2014: Gender sensitivity of Sub-Saharan Africa National Adaptation Programmes of Action: findings from a desk review of 31 countries. *Clim. Dev.*, **6**(3), 266–276.
- International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, 2020: *Law and Policies that Protect the Most Vulnerable Against Climate Related Disaster Risks. Findings and Lessons Learned from Pacific Island Countries*. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Ismail, N.S.S. and U.A.A. Zakuan, 2014: *Gender Mainstreaming Process in the Public Sectors in Malaysia: Is it Possible?* International Conference on Economics, Education and Humanities (ICEEH'14) Dec. 10–11, 2014, Bali Indonesia. <http://icehm.org/upload/6315ED1214113.pdf>, Accessed 2022-3-16.
- IUCN, 2012: *The Art of Implementation Gender Strategies Transforming National and Regional Climate Change Decision Making*. International Union for Conservation of Nature, Washington, DC.
- IUCN, 2014: *Lineamientos para la transversalización del tema de género en los esfuerzos por mitigar y adaptar al cambio climático en Cuba*. International Union for Conservation of Nature, Washington, DC.
- Jafray, T., 2016: Making the case for gender sensitive climate policy – lessons from South Asia/IIGP. *Int. J. Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*, **8**(4), 559–577, doi:10.1108/IJCCSM-04-2015-0049.
- Khadka, M., et al., 2015: *Gender and Social Equity in Climate Change Adaptation in the Koshi Basin: an Analysis for Action*, 1049–1076. ISBN 978-3642386695.
- Khatiri-Chhetri, A., P.P. Regmi, N. Chanana and P.K. Aggarwal, 2020: Potential of climate-smart agriculture in reducing women farmers' drudgery in high climatic risk areas. *Clim. Change*, **158**(1), 29–42, doi:10.1007/s10584-018-2350-8.
- Kleiber, D., P. Cohen, C. Gomese and C. McDougall, 2019: *Gender Integrated Research for Development in Pacific Coastal Fisheries*.
- Kristjanson, P., et al., 2017: Addressing gender in agricultural research for development in the face of a changing climate: where are we and where should we be going? *Int. J. Agric. Sustain.*, **15**(5), 482–500.
- Leander, F.G., K. Fehir, J. Kiiskinen and M. Månsson, 2021: *Voluntary Local Review City of Malmo 2021*. Accessed 2021.
- Lim, B.F.Y., 2019: Women left behind? Closing the gender gap in Malaysia. *Japan Labor Issues*, **3**(17), 22–29.
- Masaki, H., 2015: *Exploring Climate Change, Gender and Transnationalism: Perspectives of Pacific Women in Auckland*. Masters Thesis. University of Auckland, Auckland.
- McLeod, E., et al., 2018: Raising the voices of Pacific Island women to inform climate adaptation policies. *Mar. Policy*, **93**, 178–185.
- Mersha, A.A. and F. van Laerhoven, 2019: Gender and climate policy: a discursive institutional analysis of Ethiopia's climate resilient strategy. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **19**(2), 429–440.
- Ministerio del Ambiente, 2015: *Plan de Acción en Género y Cambio Climático*. Ministerio del Ambiente, Lima, Perú.
- Ministry of Agriculture, 2015: *Empowered Women Contribute to Community and Nation Building: NIFPHATT's Endeavors to Fisherwomen Empowerment*. National Institute of Fisheries Post Harvest Technology and Training, Government of India, Cochin, India.
- MNRE, 2010: *National Policy on Climate Change*. Malaysian Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Montero, O.P., et al., 2015: Gender approach in the integrated coastal zone management program at Sevilla, Cuba. *Ocean Yearb. Online*, **29**(1), 192–221.
- Natcher, D., A.M. Bogdan, A. Lieverse and K. Spiers, 2020: Gender and Arctic climate change science in Canada. *Palgrave Commun.*, **6**(1), 32.
- Ojha, H.R., et al., 2016: Policy without politics: technocratic control of climate change adaptation policy making in Nepal. *Clim. Policy*, **16**(4), 415–433, doi:10.1080/14693062.2014.1003775.

- Parkinson, D., A. Duncan and K. Joyce, 2017: National gender and emergency management guidelines. *Aust. J. Emerg. Manag.*, **32**(1), 21.
- Paudyal, B.R., et al., 2019: Gender integration in climate change and agricultural policies: the case of Nepal. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **3**, 66, doi:10.3389/fsufs.2019.00066.
- Pearce, T.D., E.H. Rodríguez, D. Fawcett and J.D. Ford, 2018: How is Australia adapting to climate change based on a systematic review? *Sustainability*, **10**(9), 3280, doi:10.3390/su10093280.
- PENITA and ARROW, 2015: *A Scoping Study: a Focus on Indigenous Communities Malaysia*. PENITA Initiative Malaysia and Asian-Pacific Resource and Research Centre for Women, Subang Jaya and Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Price, N., 2014: Declaration for climate, justice and peace. *Peace Freedom*, **74**(1), 4–5.
- Radcliffe, S.A., 2014: Gendered frontiers of land control: indigenous territory, women and contests over land in Ecuador. *Gen. Place Cult.*, **21**(7), 854–871, doi:10.1080/0966369X.2013.802675.
- Rees, S. and R. Wells, 2020: Bushfires, COVID-19 and the urgent need for an Australian Task Force on gender, mental health and disaster. *Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry*, **54**(11), 1135–1136, doi:10.1177/0004867420954276.
- Republic of Mozambique and IUCN, 2014: *Climate Change and Gender Action Plan for the Republic of Mozambique*. The Global Gender Office of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN GGO), [https://portals.iucn.org/union/sites/union/files/doc/mozambique\\_0.pdf](https://portals.iucn.org/union/sites/union/files/doc/mozambique_0.pdf), Accessed 2019-09-24.
- Roy, J., P. Tschakert, H. Waisman, S. Abdul Halim, P. Antwi-Agyei, P. Dasgupta, B. Hayward, M. Kanninen, D. Liverman, C. Okereke, P.F. Pinho, K. Riahi, and A.G. Suarez Rodriguez, 2018: Sustainable Development, Poverty Eradication and Reducing Inequalities. In: *Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty* [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J.B.R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (eds.)]. In Press. ISBN 978-9291691517.
- Salas, S., et al., 2017: Entrepreneurship based empowerment among fisherwomen self help groups of Kerala. *Indian J. Fish.*, **64**, doi:10.21077/ijf.2017.64.4.63956-15.
- Sawyer, M., F. Jenkins and K. Downing, 2020: *How Gender Can Transform the Social Sciences Innovation and Impact: Innovation and Impact*. Palgrave Pivot, Cham, Switzerland, ISBN 978-3030432355.
- Sellers, S., 2018: *Climate Change and Gender in Canada: a review*. Women's Environment and Development Organization, New York, New York.
- Silva Rodríguez de San Miguel, J.A., 2018: Gender and water management in Mexico. *Manag. Environ. Qual. Int. J.*, **29**(5), 842–858, doi:10.1108/MEQ-10-2017-0112.
- Singh, C., 2019: Migration as a driver of changing household structures: implications for local livelihoods and adaptation. *Migr. Dev.*, **8**(3), 301–319, doi:10.1080/21632324.2019.1589073.
- Singh, C., D. Solomon and N. Rao, 2021: How does climate change adaptation policy in India consider gender? An analysis of 28 state action plans. *Clim. Policy*, **21**(7), 958–975, doi:10.1080/14693062.2021.1953434.
- South Pacific Regional Environment Programme, 2014: *Gender and Climate Change Adaptation*. Pacific Adaptation to Climate Change Experiences, No. 3, Apia, Samoa, ISSN 2311-861X.
- Stacey, N., et al., 2019: Enhancing coastal livelihoods in Indonesia: an evaluation of recent initiatives on gender, women and sustainable livelihoods in small-scale fisheries. *Marit. Stud.*, **18**(3), 359–371, doi:10.1007/s40152-019-00142-5.
- Susskind, L., et al., 2020: Breaking out of carbon lock-in: Malaysia's path to decarbonization. *Front. Built Environ.*, **6**, 21, doi:10.3389/fbuil.2020.00021.
- Tafur, M., et al., 2015: *Avances en la inclusión de intereses y necesidades de mujeres rurales en políticas públicas agropecuarias y de cambio climático: el caso de Colombia*. CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Tramutola, M.J., 2019: *Adaptación al Cambio Climático ¿Con Perspectiva de Género?* LatinoAdapta, [http://www.cambioclimaticoydecisiones.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/PolicyBrief-Genero\\_5\\_09\\_2019.pdf](http://www.cambioclimaticoydecisiones.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/PolicyBrief-Genero_5_09_2019.pdf), Accessed 2022-3-16.
- Tranter, B. and K. Booth, 2015: Scepticism in a changing climate: a cross-national study. *Glob. Environ. Change*, **33**, 154–164, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.05.003.
- Van Wijk, M., J. Hammond, R. Frelat and S. Fraval, 2018: *Unequal Access to Land: Consequences for the Food Security of Smallholder Farmers in Sub Saharan Africa*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ISBN 978-0081005965.
- Vázquez García, V., 2015: Community forest management, governance and gender in Hidalgo, Mexico. *Rev. Mex. Sociol.*, **77**(4), 611–635.
- Vij, S., et al., 2019: Power interplay between actors: using material and ideational resources to shape local adaptation plans of action (LAPAs) in Nepal. *Clim. Policy*, **19**(5), 571–584, doi:10.1080/14693062.2018.1534723.
- Vinyeta, K., K. Whyte and K. Lynn, 2016: *Climate Change Through an Intersectional Lens: Gendered Vulnerability and Resilience in Indigenous Communities in the United States*. Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY, <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=2770089>, Accessed 2020-3-16.
- Williams, L., 2018: Climate change, colonialism, and women's well-being in Canada: what is to be done? *Can. J. Public Health*, **109**(2), 268–271, doi:10.17269/s41997-018-0031-z.
- Zambia, R. o. and IUCN, 2017: *Climate Change Gender Action Plan of The Republic of Zambia*. International Union for Conservation of Nature Global Gender Office (IUCN GGO), <https://portals.iucn.org/union/sites/union/files/doc/ccgap-zambia-final-web.pdf>, Accessed 2019-09-24, .
- Zara, C., D. Parkinson, A. Duncan and K. Joyce, 2016: Men and disaster: men's experiences of the black saturday bushfires and the aftermath. *Australian Journal of Emergency Management*, **31**, 40–48.

### Cross-Chapter Box FEASIB: Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options: An Update of the SR1.5 Supplementary Material

Authors: Debora Ley (Guatemala/Mexico), Helen Adams (UK), Malcolm Araos (Canada/USA), Ritwika Basu (India/UK), Amir Bazaz (India), Luigi Conte (Italy), Katy Davis (UK), Constantino Dockendorff (Chile/Germany), James Ford (UK/Canada), Sabine Fuss (Germany), Elisabeth A Gilmore (USA/Canada), Tania Guillén Bolaños (Nicaragua/Germany), Ove Hoegh-Guldberg (Australia), Mark Howden (Australia), Bavisha Kalyan (South Africa/USA), Laura Moro (Italy), Anuszka Mosurska (UK/Poland), Reinhard Mechler (Germany), Joana Portugal-Pereira (Brazil), Aromar Revi (India), Swarnika Sharma (India), Anne J. Sietsma (the Netherlands/UK), Chandni Singh (India), Alessandro Triacca (Italy),

Bianca van Bavel (Canada/Ireland/UK), Ivan Villaverde Canosa (Spain/UK), Mustafa Babiker (Sudan/Saudi Arabia), Paolo Bertoldi (Italy), Brett Cohen (South Africa), Annette Cowie (Australia), Kiane de Kleijne (the Netherlands), Jeremy Emmet-Booth (Ireland), Amit Garg (India), Gert-Jan Nabuurs (the Netherlands), André Frossard Pereira de Lucena (Brazil), Adrian Leip (Italy/Germany), Lars J. Nilsson (Sweden), Pete Smith (UK), Linda Steg (the Netherlands), Masahiro Sugiyama (Japan)

#### SMCCB FEASIB.1 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options as Presented in Section CCB FEASIB.2

##### SMCCB FEASIB.1.1 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options in Energy System Transitions

**Table SMCCB FEASIB.1** | Feasibility assessment of energy system transition adaptation option: resilient power infrastructure, increased power reliability and sustainable water management.

	Evidence Agreement	Resilient power systems	Energy reliability	Water use efficiency
		Robust	Medium	Medium
		High	High	High
<b>Economic</b>	Microeconomic viability	O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quinones (2018); Hallegatte et al. (2019); Mazur et al. (2019); Marto et al. (2018); Meltzer (2018); Mishra et al. (2020); Meltzer (2018); Kwasinski et al. (2019); Helgeson and O'Rear (2018); Mola et al. (2018); Holt et al. (2021); Stephenson et al. (2021); Balezentis et al. (2021); Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Bennett et al. (2021); Ratnam et al. (2020)	Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Arowolo et al. (2019); Kim et al. (2019); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Nik and Perera (2020); Espinoza et al. (2016); Chester et al. (2020); Lambert et al. (2011); Panteli et al. (2017)	Zhou et al. (2020); Ceseña et al. (2019); Zhang et al. (2018); Wu et al. (2019); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019)
	Macroeconomic viability	Hallegatte et al. (2019); Marto et al. (2018); Stephenson et al. (2021); Meltzer (2018); Bajwa et al. (2019); Kwasinski et al. (2019); Bennett et al. (2021); Ratnam et al. (2020)	Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Arowolo et al. (2019); Panteli and Mancarella (2017); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Kim et al. (2019); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Espinoza et al. (2016); Chester et al. (2020); Lambert et al. (2011); Panteli et al. (2017)	Zhou et al. (2020); Ceseña et al. (2019); Zhang et al. (2018); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018)
	Socioeconomic vulnerability reduction potential	O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quinones (2018); Hallegatte et al. (2019); Mazur et al. (2019); Marto et al. (2018); Meltzer (2018); Kwasinski et al. (2019); Holt et al. (2021); Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Balezentis et al. (2021); Schweikert and Deinert (2021); Bennett et al. (2021); Ratnam et al. (2020)	Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Arowolo et al. (2019); Panteli and Mancarella (2017); Kim et al. (2019); Verschuuren (2013); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Dawson et al. (2018); Espinoza et al. (2016); Panteli et al. (2017)	Zhou et al. (2020); Zhang et al. (2018); Wu et al. (2019); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018)
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential	Hallegatte et al. (2019); Mazur et al. (2019); Gebreslassie (2020); Balezentis et al. (2021); Jasiūnas et al. (2021)	Arowolo et al. (2019); Kim et al. (2019); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Chester et al. (2020); Lambert et al. (2011); Panteli et al. (2017)	NE



	Evidence Agreement	Resilient power systems	Energy reliability	Water use efficiency
		Robust	Medium	Medium
		High	High	High
Technological	Technical resource availability	O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quinones (2018); Hallegatte et al. (2019); Mazur et al. (2019); Bajwa et al. (2019); O'Neill-Carrillo et al. (2019); Holt et al. (2021); Raoufi et al. (2020); Schweikert and Deinert (2021); Bennett et al. (2021); Ratnam et al. (2020)	Bennett et al. (2021); Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Helmrich and Chester (2020); Bustamante et al. (2019); Arowolo et al. (2019); Panteli and Mancarella (2017); Kim et al. (2019); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Espinoza et al. (2016); Chester et al. (2020); Lambert et al. (2011); Panteli et al. (2017)	Zhou et al. (2020); Ceseña et al. (2019); Zhang et al. (2018); Wu et al. (2019); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018)
	Risks mitigation potential	O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quinones (2018); Hallegatte et al. (2019); Mazur et al. (2019); Kwasinski et al. (2019); O'Neill-Carrillo et al. (2019); Raoufi et al. (2020); Bajwa et al. (2019); Schweikert and Deinert (2021); Bennett et al. (2021); Ratnam et al. (2020)	Bennett et al. (2021); Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Helmrich and Chester (2020); Bustamante et al. (2019); Arowolo et al. (2019); Panteli and Mancarella (2017); Kim et al. (2019); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Espinoza et al. (2016); Chester et al. (2020); Lambert et al. (2011); Panteli et al. (2017)	Zhou et al. (2020); Ceseña et al. (2019); Zhang et al. (2018); Wu et al. (2019); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018)
Institutional	Political acceptability	Hallegatte et al. (2019); Marto et al. (2018); Mola et al. (2018); Farahmand et al. (2020); Stephenson et al. (2021); Osabuohien et al. (2021); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021)	Arowolo et al. (2019); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Nik and Perera (2020); Lambert et al. (2011); Panteli et al. (2017)	Zhang et al. (2018); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability	Marto et al. (2018); Helgeson and O'Rear (2018); Osabuohien et al. (2021); Farahmand et al. (2020); Stephenson et al. (2021); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021)	Arowolo et al. (2019); Verschuuren (2013); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Lambert et al. (2011)	LE Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018)
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility	Marto et al. (2018); Farahmand et al. (2020); Osabuohien et al. (2021); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021)	Arowolo et al. (2019); Kim et al. (2019); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018);	Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019)
	Transparency and accountability potential	Marto et al. (2018); Farahmand et al. (2020); Osabuohien et al. (2021); Holt et al. (2021); Stephenson et al. (2021)	NE	NE
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)	Mazur et al. (2019); Helgeson and O'Rear (2018); Vuichard et al. (2021); Aklin et al. (2018); Balezentis et al. (2021); Johnson et al. (2020); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021)	Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Arowolo et al. (2019); Kim et al. (2019); Azevedo de Almeida and Mostafavi (2016); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Nik and Perera (2020); Espinoza et al. (2016); Chester et al. (2020); Lambert et al. (2011)	LE Zhang et al. (2018); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019)
	Socio-cultural acceptability	Hallegatte et al. (2019); Mazur et al. (2019); Vuichard et al. (2021); Aklin et al. (2018); Balezentis et al. (2021); Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Johnson et al. (2020); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021)	Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Arowolo et al. (2019); Kim et al. (2019); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Nik and Perera (2020); Espinoza et al. (2016); Chester et al. (2020); Lambert (2011)	LE Basheer (2019)
	Social and regional inclusiveness	Hallegatte et al. (2019); Mazur et al. (2019); Vuichard et al. (2021); Aklin et al. (2018); Balezentis et al. (2021); Johnson et al. (2020); Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021)	Jasiūnas et al. (2021); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Nik and Perera (2020)	NE
	Gender equity	Hallegatte et al. (2019); Mazur et al. (2019); Aklin et al. (2018); Johnson et al. (2020); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021)	LE Jasiūnas et al. (2021)	NE
	Intergenerational equity	Mazur et al. (2019); Aklin et al. (2018); Johnson et al. (2020); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021)	LE Jasiūnas et al. (2021)	NE

	Evidence	Resilient power systems		Energy reliability		Water use efficiency	
		Robust		Medium		Medium	
		High		High		High	
Environmental/ ecological	Ecological capacity		Mazur et al. (2019); Osabuohien et al. (2021)	NE			Zhou et al. (2020); Ceseña et al. (2019); Zhang et al. (2018); Wu et al. (2019); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018)
	Adaptive capacity/ resilience		Hallegatte et al. (2019); Mazur et al. (2019); Mishra et al. (2020); Holt et al. (2021); Oviedo-Toral et al. (2021); Schweikert and Deinert (2021)		Bennett et al. (2021); Verschuuren (2013); Dawson et al. (2018); Espinoza et al. (2016); Lambert et al. (2011)		Zhou et al. (2020); Ceseña et al. (2019); Zhang et al. (2018); Wu et al. (2019); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility	NA		NA			Zhou et al. (2020); Ceseña et al. (2019); Zhang et al. (2018); Wu et al. (2019); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018)
	Land use change enhancement potential	NA		NA			Zhou (2020); Ceseña et al. (2019); Zhang et al. (2018); Wu et al. (2019); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018)
	Hazard risk reduction potential		Mazur et al. (2019); Marto et al. (2018); Mishra et al. (2020); Bajwa et al. (2019); Holt et al. (2021)	NA			Zhou et al. (2020); Ceseña et al. (2019); Zhang et al. (2018); Wu et al. (2019); Gjorgiev and Sansavini (2018); Padilha Campos Lopes et al. (2020); Basheer and Ahmed Elagib (2019); Lim et al. (2018)



SMCCB FEASIB.1.2 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options in Land and Ecosystem Transition

**Table SMCCB FEASIB.2** | Feasibility assessment of land and ecosystem transition adaptation options: integrated coastal zone management (including integrated soil management, conservation agriculture), sustainable aquaculture, and coastal defence and hardening.

	Evidence	Integrated coastal zone management		Sustainable aquaculture and fisheries		Coastal defence and hardening	
		Robust		Medium		Robust	
		High		Medium		Medium	
Economic	Microeconomic viability		Runting et al. (2017); Jones et al. (2020); Romañach et al. (2018); Perera-Valderrama et al. (2020)		Boonstra and Hanh (2015); Joffre et al. (2015); FAO (2016); FAO (2018); Pérez-Escamilla (2017); Galappaththi et al. (2020); Blasiak and Wabnitz (2018); Shaffril et al. (2017); Chan et al. (2019); Massa et al. (2020); Mustafa et al. (2021); Thomas et al. (2021); Xuan and Sandorf (2020); Xuan and Sandorf (2020); Ahmed et al. (2018); Ahmed and Turchini (2021); Oyebola et al. (2021)		Firth et al. (2014); Barbier (2015a); Elliott and Wolanski (2015); Diaz (2016); Cardona et al. (2020); Betzold and Mohamed (2017); Siders and Keenan (2020); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Waryszak et al. (2021); Waryszak et al. (2021); Lima and Coelho (2021)

		Integrated coastal zone management		Sustainable aquaculture and fisheries		Coastal defence and hardening	
	Evidence	Robust		Medium		Robust	
	Agreement	High		Medium		Medium	
Economic	Macroeconomic viability		Antunes do Carmo (2018); Erftemeijer et al. (2020); Hanley et al. (2020); Morris et al. (2019); Propato et al. (2018); Runting et al. (2017); Villamizar et al. (2017); Baills et al. (2020); Magnan and Duvat (2018); Rosendo et al. (2018); O'Mahony et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Jones et al. (2020); Donatti et al. (2020); Hassanali (2017); Lamari et al. (2016); Barragán Muñoz (2020); Caviedes et al. (2020); Botero and Zielinski (2020); Martuti et al. (2020); Lin et al. (2021); Mestanza-Ramón et al. (2019)		UNEP (2013); Edwards (2015); Moffat (2017); Mustafa et al. (2021); Osmundsen et al. (2020); Thomas et al. (2021); Ahmed et al. (2018); Boyd et al. (2020)		Hinkel et al. (2014); Estrada et al. (2017); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Magnan and Duvat (2018); Morris et al. (2019); Morris et al. (2018); Baills et al. (2020); Voudoukas et al. (2016); Kuhl et al. (2020)
	Socioeconomic vulnerability reduction potential		Morecroft et al. (2019); Donatti et al. (2020); Pérez-Cayeyro and Chica-Ruiz (2015); Phillips et al. (2018)		Smith et al. (2015); Orchard et al. (2015); Béné et al. (2016); Jennings et al. (2016); Mycoo (2017); Ahmed et al. (2018); Stentiford et al. (2020); Xuan and Sandorf (2020); Custódio et al. (2020); Thomas et al. (2021)		Rabbani et al. (2010a); Rabbani et al. (2010b); Gutiérrez et al. (2012); Arkema et al. (2013); Arkema et al. (2017); Neumann et al. (2015); Sovacool et al. (2015); Sutton-Grier et al. (2015); Betzold and Mohamed (2017); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Siders and Keenan (2020); Kind et al. (2017)
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential	LE	Morris et al. (2019); Jones et al. (2020)		Sánchez et al. (2002); De Silva and Davy (2010); Ahmed et al. (2014); Boonstra and Hanh (2015); Lacoue-Labarthe et al. (2016); Asiedu et al. (2017); Blasiak and Wabnitz (2018); Mustafa et al. (2021); Osmundsen et al. (2020); Stentiford et al. (2020); Qurani et al. (2021); Hargan et al. (2020); Jayanthi et al. (2018); FAO (2018)	NE	
Technological	Technical resource availability	LE	Baills et al. (2020); Hassanali (2017)		UNEP (2013); Ahmed et al. (2014); Brilliant (2014); Edwards (2015); Lucas (2015); Fidelman et al. (2017); Aubin et al. (2019); Shaffril et al. (2017); Galappaththi et al. (2019); Shaffril et al. (2017); Heasman et al. (2020); Mustafa et al. (2021); Mustapha et al. (2021); Osmundsen et al. (2020); Sampantamit et al. (2020); Thomas et al. (2021); Xuan and Sandorf (2020); Xuan et al. (2021); Ahmed et al. (2018); Boyd et al. (2020); Bohnes et al. (2019); Ahmed and Turchini (2021); Oyebola et al. (2021)		Arkema et al. (2013); Bosello and De Cian (2014); Smajgl et al. (2015); Hauer et al. (2016); Betzold and Mohamed (2017); Williams et al. (2018); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Baills et al. (2020); Alves et al. (2020)
	Risks mitigation potential		Baills et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Gómez Martín et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018)		Boonstra and Hanh (2015); Blanchard et al. (2017); Blasiak and Wabnitz (2018); Boyd et al. (2020); Xuan and Sandorf (2020); Xuan et al. (2021); Mustafa et al. (2021); Bricknell et al. (2021); Bohnes et al. (2019)		Firth et al. (2014); Sovacool et al. (2015); André et al. (2016); Cashman and Nagdee (2017); Brown et al. (2018); Storlazzi et al. (2018); Williams et al. (2018); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Baills et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018); Morris et al. (2019)

		Integrated coastal zone management		Sustainable aquaculture and fisheries		Coastal defence and hardening		
		Evidence	Robust		Medium		Robust	
		Agreement	High		Medium		Medium	
Institutional	Political acceptability		Le Cornu et al. (2018); Alves et al. (2020); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Villamizar et al. (2017); Magnan and Duvat (2018); Rosendo et al. (2018); Warnken and Mosadeghi (2018); Pérez-Cayeiro and Chica-Ruiz (2015); Mestanza-Ramón et al. (2019); Barragán Muñoz (2020)		Brander (2007); Bell et al. (2011); Bell and Taylor (2015); FAO (2016); Weatherdon et al. (2016); Asiedu et al. (2017); Ertör and Ortega-Cerdà (2017); Shaffril et al. (2017); Sønvisen and Vik (2021)		Duvat (2013); Nordstrom (2014); Sovacool et al. (2015); Betzold and Mohamed (2017); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Ratter et al. (2019) O'Donnell (2019); Kuhl et al. (2020)	
	Legal and regulatory acceptability		Le Cornu et al. (2018); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Morris et al. (2019); Propato et al. (2018); Runting et al. (2017); Villamizar et al. (2017); Magnan and Duvat (2018); Rosendo et al. (2018); O'Mahony et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Warnken and Mosadeghi (2018); Lamari et al. (2016); Pérez-Cayeiro and Chica-Ruiz (2015); Phillips et al. (2018); Barragán Muñoz (2020); Perera-Valderrama et al. (2020); Telave and Chandankar (2021); Ellison et al. (2020)		Broitman et al. (2017); Aubin et al. (2019); Fidelman et al. (2017); Cavallo et al. (2021); Heasman et al. (2020); Osmundsen et al. (2020); Xuan et al. (2021); Aubin et al. (2019)	LE	Foti et al. (2020); O'Donnell (2019)	
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility		Le Cornu et al. (2018); Alves et al. (2020); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Propato et al. (2018); Magnan and Duvat (2018); Rosendo et al. (2018); O'Mahony et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Warnken and Mosadeghi (2018); Donatti et al. (2020); Hassanali (2017); Lamari et al. (2016); Walsh (2019); Pérez-Cayeiro and Chica-Ruiz (2015); Phillips et al. (2018); Mathew et al. (2020); Romañach et al. (2018); Barragán Muñoz (2020); Caviedes et al. (2020); Perera-Valderrama et al. (2020); Botero and Zielinski (2020); Mestanza-Ramón et al. (2019)		Ahmed et al. (2014); Broitman et al. (2017); Fidelman et al. (2017); Galappaththi et al. (2019); Shaffril et al. (2017); Le Cornu et al. (2018); Blasiak and Wabnitz (2018); Massa et al. (2020); Sampantamit et al. (2020); Ahmed et al. (2018)		Hallegatte et al. (2013); Spalding et al. (2014); Mills et al. (2016); Estrada et al. (2017); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Hamin et al. (2018)	
	Transparency and accountability potential		O'Mahony et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018); Lamari et al. (2016)		Blasiak and Wabnitz (2018); Cavallo et al. (2021); Osmundsen et al. (2020); Stentiford et al. (2020); Xuan et al. (2021)	LE	Hamin et al. (2018)	
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)		Hanley et al. (2020); Morris et al. (2019); Propato et al. (2018); Baills et al. (2020); O'Mahony et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Jones et al. (2020); Donatti et al. (2020); Gómez Martín et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018); Erftemeijer et al. (2020); Romañach et al. (2018); Perera-Valderrama et al. (2020); Martuti et al. (2020); Telave and Chandankar (2021); Ellison et al. (2020); Mestanza-Ramón et al. (2019)		Weatherdon et al. (2016); Fidelman et al. (2017); Aubin et al. (2019); Shaffril et al. (2017); Blasiak and Wabnitz (2018); Custódio et al. (2020); Mustafa et al. (2021); Osmundsen et al. (2020); Stentiford et al. (2020); Thomas et al. (2021); Xuan and Sandorf (2020); Xuan et al. (2021); Ahmed et al. (2018); Qurani et al. (2021); Freduah et al. (2018)		Sovacool et al. (2015); Sutton-Grier et al. (2015); Arkema et al. (2017); Betzold and Mohamed (2017); Baills et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018)	
	Socio-cultural acceptability		Antunes do Carmo (2018); Magnan and Duvat (2018); O'Mahony et al. (2020); Warnken and Mosadeghi (2018); Lamari et al. (2016); Walsh (2019); Morris et al. (2019); Barragán Muñoz (2020); Caviedes et al. (2020)		Asiedu et al. (2017); Fidelman et al. (2017); Galappaththi et al. (2019); Blasiak and Wabnitz (2018) Thomas et al. (2021); Cavallo et al. (2021); Heasman et al. (2020); Kluger and Filgueira (2021); Krause et al. (2020); Mustafa et al. (2021); Xuan et al. (2021)		Sovacool et al. (2015); Gibbs (2016); Morris et al. (2016); Betzold and Mohamed (2017); Marengo et al. (2017); Siriwardane-de Zoysa (2020)	

		Integrated coastal zone management		Sustainable aquaculture and fisheries		Coastal defence and hardening	
		Evidence	Robust	Medium	Medium	Robust	Medium
		Agreement	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Socio-cultural	Social and regional inclusiveness		Alves et al. (2020); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Rosendo et al. (2018); O'Mahony et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Lamari et al. (2016); Hamin et al. (2018); Romañach et al. (2018); Barragán Muñoz (2020); Caviedes et al. (2020); Perera-Valderrama et al. (2020); Martuti et al. (2020); Mestanza-Ramón et al. (2019); Ellison et al. (2020)		Galappaththi et al. (2020); Mustafa et al. (2021); Thomas et al. (2021); Ahmed and Turchini (2021); Cavallo et al. (2021); Kluger and Figueira (2021); Massa et al. (2020); Bricknell et al. (2021); Galappaththi et al. (2020); Oyebola et al. (2021)		Antunes do Carmo (2018); Alves et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018); Siriwardane-de Zoysa (2020)
	Gender equity		Hamin et al. (2018); Barreto et al. (2020); Nguyen Mai and Dang Hoang (2018); de la Torre-Castro (2019); Hoegh-Guldberg et al. (2019); Sen (2021); Pearson et al. (2019); Milanés Batista et al. (2020)		Galappaththi et al. (2019); Stentiford et al. (2020); Leakhena et al. (2018); Alleway et al. (2018); Valenti et al. (2018); Gopal et al. (2020)	LE	Hamin et al. (2018)
	Intergenerational equity		Baills et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018); Perera-Valderrama et al. (2020);	NE		LE	Baills et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018)
Environmental/ecological	Ecological capacity		Le Cornu et al. (2018); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Erfteimeijer et al. (2020); Krauss and Osland (2020); Morris et al. (2019); Propato et al. (2018); O'Mahony et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Warnken and Mosadeghi (2018); Jones et al. (2020); Donatti et al. (2020); Gómez Martín et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018); Hanley et al. (2020); Cantasano et al. (2021); Romañach et al. (2018); Perera-Valderrama et al. (2020)		David et al. (2015); Joffre et al. (2015); Blanchard et al. (2017); Broitman et al. (2017); Ahmed (2018); Aubin et al. (2019); Custódio et al. (2020); Mustafa et al. (2021); Osmundsen et al. (2020); Qurani et al. (2021); Stentiford et al. (2020); Thomas et al. (2021); Ahmed et al. (2018); Boyd et al. (2020); Sampantamit et al. (2020); Bohnes et al. (2019); Ahmed and Turchini (2021)		Bilkovic and Mitchell (2013); Spalding et al. (2014); Joffre et al. (2015); Sutton-Grier et al. (2015); Foti et al. (2020); Morris et al. (2018); Morris et al. (2019); Hall et al. (2018); Hamin et al. (2018); Hanley et al. (2020); Winters et al. (2020); Tanaya et al. (2021); Scheres and Schüttrumpf (2019); Loon-Steensma and Vellinga (2019); Schoonees et al. (2019); Waryszak et al. (2021); Ghiasian et al. (2021); Joy and Gopinath (2021); Stender et al. (2021)
	Adaptive capacity/resilience		Le Cornu et al. (2018); Alves et al. (2020); Erfteimeijer et al. (2020); Hanley et al. (2020); Krauss and Osland (2020); Propato et al. (2018); Morris et al. (2019); Villamizar et al. (2017); Rosendo et al. (2018); O'Mahony et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Jones et al. (2020); Gómez Martín et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018); Foti et al. (2020)		Boonstra and Hanh (2015); Orchard et al. (2015); Blanchard et al. (2017); Cinner et al. (2018); Shaffril (2017); Galappaththi et al. (2020); Galappaththi et al. (2019); Bricknell et al. (2021); Freduah et al. (2018); Qurani et al. (2021); Stentiford et al. (2020); Thomas et al. (2021); Ahmed et al. (2018)		Spalding et al. (2014); Orchard et al. (2015); Fidelman et al. (2017); Morris et al. (2019); Siders and Keenan (2020); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Hamin et al. (2018); Hanley et al. (2020) Magnan and Duvat (2018); Mills et al. (2016)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility		Erfteimeijer et al. (2020); Morris et al. (2019); Propato et al. (2018); Runtung et al. (2017); Lamari et al. (2016); Magnan and Duvat (2018); Cantasano et al. (2021); Mathew et al. (2020)		David et al. (2015); Adhikari et al. (2018); Ahmed et al. (2018); Galappaththi et al. (2019); Bricknell et al. (2021); FAO (2018)		Duvat (2013); Hinkel et al. (2014); Smith et al. (2015); André et al. (2016); Cooper et al. (2016); Vousdoukas et al. (2016); Arkema et al. (2017); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Foti et al. (2020); Morris et al. (2019); Lima and Coelho (2021)



		Integrated coastal zone management	Sustainable aquaculture and fisheries	Coastal defence and hardening			
		Evidence	Robust	Medium	Robust		
		Agreement	High	Medium	Medium		
Geophysical	Land use change enhancement potential		Erfemeijer et al. (2020); Hanley et al. (2020); Krauss and Osland (2020); Morris et al. (2019); Propato et al. (2018); Baills et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Jones et al. (2020); Donatti et al. (2020); Gómez Martín et al. (2020); Hamin et al. (2018); Romañach et al. (2018); Ellison et al. (2020); Cantasano et al. (2021)		Mialhe et al. (2016); Mustafa et al. (2021); Stentiford et al. (2020); Turolla et al. (2020); Ahmed et al. (2018); Bricknell et al. (2021); Ahmed and Turchini (2021)	LE	Sutton-Grier et al. (2015); Hamin et al. (2018)
	Hazard risk reduction potential		Hanley et al. (2020); Krauss and Osland (2020); Marijnissen et al. (2020); Morris et al. (2019); Baills et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); Jones et al. (2020); Donatti et al. (2020); Gómez Martín et al. (2020); Phillips et al. (2018); Romañach et al. (2018); Martuti et al. (2020); Ellison et al. (2020); Cantasano et al. (2021)		Joffre et al. (2015); Blanchard et al. (2017); Daly et al. (2017); Hung et al. (2018); Galappaththi et al. (2019); Galappaththi et al. (2019); Mustafa et al. (2021); Stentiford et al. (2020); Ahmed et al. (2018)		Luisetti et al. (2013); Firth et al. (2014); Spalding et al. (2014); Barbier (2015b); Sutton-Grier et al. (2015); André et al. (2016); Narayan et al. (2016); Arkema et al. (2017); Fu and Song (2017); Alves et al. (2020); Antunes do Carmo (2018); Siders and Keenan (2020); Baills et al. (2020); Magnan and Duvat (2018); Tiggeoven et al. (2020); Lincke and Hinkel (2018); Lima and Coelho (2021)

**Table SMCCB FEASIB.3** | Feasibility assessment of land and ecosystem transition adaptation options: sustainable forest management and conservation, reforestation and afforestation, biodiversity management and ecosystem connectivity, and agro-forestry.

		Forest-based adaptation	Biodiversity management and ecosystem connectivity	Agro-forestry			
		Evidence	High	Medium	Medium		
		Agreement	Medium	Medium	High		
Economic	Microeconomic viability		Bustamante et al. (2019); Chow et al. (2019); Lochhead et al. (2019); Seddon et al. (2019) Seddon et al. (2020b); Seddon et al. (2020a); Ambrosino et al. (2020); Nunes et al. (2020); Ota et al. (2020)		Lausche et al. (2013); Chausson et al. (2020); Gray et al. (2020); Jones et al. (2020)		Valdivia et al. (2012); Murthy (2013); Lasco et al. (2014); Mbow et al. (2014b); Mbow et al. (2014a); Brockington et al. (2016); Iiyama et al. (2017); Jacobi et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Quandt (2020); Castle et al. (2021); Miller et al. (2020); Jordon et al. (2020); Williams et al. (2021); Sharma et al. (2020); Cechin et al. (2021); Dhyani et al. (2021)
	Macroeconomic viability		Bustamante et al. (2019); Lochhead et al. (2019); Chausson et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020b)	LE	Chausson et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a)		Valdivia et al. (2012); Lasco et al. (2014); Jacobi et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Williams et al. (2021); Sharma et al. (2020); Ogada et al. (2020); Dhyani et al. (2021); Cechin et al. (2021); Smith et al. (2021)
	Socioeconomic vulnerability reduction potential		Bustamante et al. (2019); Ambrosino et al. (2020); Fleischman et al. (2020); Nunes et al. (2020); Ota et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020b); Seddon et al. (2020a); Woroniecki et al. (2019)		Ambrosino et al. (2020); Chausson et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a)		Valdivia et al. (2012); Brockington et al. (2016); Coq-Huelva et al. (2017); Coulibaly et al. (2017); Iiyama et al. (2017); Jacobi et al. (2017); Quandt (2020); Castle et al. (2021); Williams et al. (2021); Sharma et al. (2020); Ogada et al. (2020); Cechin et al. (2021); Elagib and Al-Saidi (2020)

		Forest-based adaptation		Biodiversity management and ecosystem connectivity		Agro-forestry	
		Evidence	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
		Agreement	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
<b>Economic</b>	Employment and productivity enhancement potential		Bustamante et al. (2019); Rahman et al. (2019); Ambrosino et al. (2020); Chausson et al. (2020); Nunes et al. (2020); Ota et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020b)		Ambrosino et al. (2020); Chausson et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a)		Verchot et al. (2007); Buckeridge et al. (2012); Jain and Mehta (2020); Williams et al. (2021); Sharma et al. (2020); Ogada et al. (2020); Elagib and Al-Saidi (2020)
<b>Technological</b>	Technical resource availability		Bustamante et al. (2019); Ambrosino et al. (2020); Nunes et al. (2020)	NE	No evidence found		Verchot et al. (2007); Valdivia et al. (2012); Mbow et al. (2014b); Iiyama et al. (2017); Jacobi et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Elagib and Al-Saidi (2020)
	Risks mitigation potential		Bustamante et al. (2019); Lange et al. (2019); Moomaw et al. (2019); Morecroft et al. (2019); Seddon et al. (2020a); Seddon et al. (2020b); Sinay and Carter (2020)		Lausche et al. (2013); Lange et al. (2019); Chausson et al. (2020); Donatti et al. (2020)		Verchot et al. (2007); Jacobi et al. (2017); Abdulai et al. (2018); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Sida et al. (2018)
<b>Institutional</b>	Political acceptability		Lange et al. (2019); Chausson et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020b)		Lausche et al. (2013); Lange et al. (2019); Schmitz et al. (2015); Chausson et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a)		Buckeridge et al. (2012); Mbow et al. (2014a); Jacobi et al. (2017); De Zoysa and Inoue (2014); Coe et al. (2014); Williams et al. (2021); Cechin et al. (2021); Dhyani et al. (2021)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability		Bustamante et al. (2019); Lange et al. (2019)		Lausche et al. (2013); Schmitz et al. (2015); D'Aloia et al. (2019); Gray et al. (2020)		Place et al. (2012); Mbow et al. (2014b); Mbow et al. (2014a); Jacobi et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); De Zoysa and Inoue (2014); Coe et al. (2014); Martin et al. (2020); Dhyani et al. (2021)
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility		Bustamante et al. (2019); Chow et al. (2019); Nunes et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a); Woroniecki et al. (2019)		Lausche et al. (2013); Seddon et al. (2020a); Keeley et al. (2018b)		Buckeridge et al. (2012); Place et al. (2012); Jacobi et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); De Zoysa and Inoue (2014); Coe et al. (2014); Martin et al. (2020); Dhyani et al. (2021)
	Transparency and accountability potential	NE	No evidence found	NE	No evidence found		Nair (2012); Coe et al. (2014)
<b>Socio-cultural</b>	Social co-benefits (health, education)		Moomaw et al. (2019); Morecroft et al. (2019); Muricho et al. (2019); Rahman et al. (2019); Bhattarai (2020); Chausson et al. (2020); Ota et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a); Sinay and Carter (2020); von Holle et al. (2020)		Lausche et al. (2013); Worboys et al. (2016); Donatti et al. (2020); Chausson et al. (2020); Lavorel et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a); Van Langevelde et al. (2020); White and Razgour (2020); Gibb et al. (2020); Schmeller et al. (2020)		Brockington et al. (2016); Varela-Ortega et al. (2016); Clark and Tilman (2017); Coq-Huelva et al. (2017); Coulibaly et al. (2017); Jacobi et al. (2017); Quandt et al. (2017); Thierfelder et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Meijer et al. (2015); Dhyani et al. (2021); Castle et al. (2021); Williams et al. (2021); Ogada et al. (2020); Cechin et al. (2021); Elagib and Al-Saidi (2020)
	Socio-cultural acceptability		Lange et al. (2019); Ambrosino et al. (2020)		Lausche et al. (2013); Seddon et al. (2020a); Jones et al. (2020)		Jarvis et al. (2008); Valdivia et al. (2012); Coq-Huelva et al. (2017); Iiyama et al. (2017); Jacobi et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Cedamon et al. (2018); Quandt et al. (2017); Ghosh-Jerath et al. (2021); Santoro et al. (2020); Williams et al. (2021)
	Social and regional inclusiveness		Bustamante et al. (2019); Muricho et al. (2019); Weng et al. (2019); Ambrosino et al. (2020); Ota et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a)	LE	Worboys et al. (2016); Chausson et al. (2020)		Valdivia et al. (2012); Iiyama et al. (2017); Jacobi et al. (2017); Cedamon et al. (2018); Castle et al. (2021)

		Forest-based adaptation		Biodiversity management and ecosystem connectivity		Agro-forestry	
		Evidence	High	Medium		Medium	
		Agreement	Medium	Medium		High	
Socio-cultural	Gender equity		Ambrosino et al. (2020); Bhattarai, 2020); Ota et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a); Woroniecki et al. (2019)	LE	Worboys et al. (2016)	NA	
	Intergenerational equity		Ambrosino et al. (2020); Ota et al. (2020)	NE	No evidence found	NE	No evidence found
Environmental/ ecological	Ecological capacity		Bustamante et al. (2019); Chow et al. (2019); Lochhead et al. (2019); Morecroft et al. (2019); Shannon et al. (2019); North et al. (2019); Weng et al. (2019); Chausson et al. (2020); Nunes et al. (2020); Ontl et al. (2020); Ota et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a); Seddon et al. (2020b); Sinay and Carter (2020); Takata and Hanasaki (2020); von Holle et al. (2020); Dooley et al. (2021); Tagliari et al. (2021)		Lausche et al. (2013); Crist, 2015); Krosby et al. (2010); Schmitz et al. (2015); Thompson et al. (2017); Timpane-Padgham et al. (2017); Keeley et al. (2018a); Morecroft et al. (2019); D'Aloia et al. (2019); Gray et al. (2020); von Holle et al. (2020); Lavorel et al. (2020)		Lusiana et al. (2012); Smith et al. (2013); Murthy (2013); Lasco et al. (2014); Barral et al. (2015); Coq-Huelva et al. (2017); Quandt et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Sida et al. (2018); Schoeneberger et al. (2012); Apuri et al. (2018); Smith et al. (2021); Minang et al. (2014); Williams et al. (2021); Ogada et al. (2020); Elagib and Al-Saidi (2020)
	Adaptive capacity/ resilience		Bustamante et al. (2019); Seddon et al. (2020a); Seddon et al. (2020b); Seddon et al. (2019); Lochhead et al. (2019); Morecroft et al. (2019); North et al. (2019); Rahman et al. (2019); Chausson et al. (2020); Van Langevelde et al. (2020); White and Razgour (2020); Donatti et al. (2020); Nunes et al. (2020); Ontl et al. (2020); Ota et al. (2020); Tagliari et al. (2021)		Krosby et al. (2010); Lausche et al. (2013); Timpane-Padgham et al. (2017); Donatti et al. (2020); Morecroft et al. (2019); von Holle et al. (2020); White and Razgour (2020); Schmeller et al. (2020); Gibb et al. (2020)		Sendzimir et al. (2011); Lusiana et al. (2012); Murthy, 2013); Lasco et al. (2014); Mbow et al. (2014b); Varela-Ortega et al. (2016); Clark and Tilman (2017); Coq-Huelva et al. (2017); Thierfelder et al. (2017); Coulibaly et al. (2017); Quandt et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Charles et al. (2013); Schoeneberger et al. (2012); Apuri et al. (2018); Kmoch et al. (2018); Minang et al. (2014); Williams et al. (2021); Elagib and Al-Saidi (2020); Ogada et al. (2020); IPCC (2019)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility		Bustamante et al. (2019); Moomaw et al. (2019); Morecroft et al. (2019); Shannon et al. (2019); Nunes et al. (2020)	LE	Lausche et al. (2013); Worboys et al. (2016); Jones et al. (2020)		Coulibaly (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Martin et al. (2020); Dhyani et al. (2021); IPCC (2019)
	Land use change enhancement potential		Bustamante et al. (2019); Morecroft et al. (2019); North et al. (2019); Seddon et al. (2019); Shannon et al. (2019); Chausson et al. (2020); Favretto et al. (2020); Fleischman et al. (2020); Nunes et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a); Seddon et al. (2020b); von Holle et al. (2020); Dooley et al. (2021)		Lausche et al. (2013); Morecroft et al. (2019); Lavorel et al. (2020); Chausson et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a)		Lasco et al. (2014); Mbow et al. (2014b); Coulibaly et al. (2017); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Williams et al. (2021); Martin et al. (2020); Ogada et al. (2020); Dhyani et al. (2021); Elagib and Al-Saidi (2020); IPCC (2019)
	Hazard risk reduction potential		Bustamante et al. (2019); Morecroft et al. (2019); North et al. (2019); Rahman et al. (2019); Seddon et al. (2020a); Seddon et al. (2019); Shannon et al. (2019); Nunes et al. (2020); Takata and Hanasaki (2020)		Chausson et al. (2020); Seddon et al. (2020a); Morecroft et al. (2019); Donatti et al. (2020)		Lasco et al. (2014); Mbow et al. (2014b); Coulibaly et al. (2017); Abdulai et al. (2018); Hernández-Morcillo et al. (2018); Charles et al. (2013); Schoeneberger et al. (2012); Apuri et al. (2018); Kmoch et al. (2018); Minang et al. (2014); Williams et al. (2021); Ogada et al. (2020)

**Table SMCCB FEASIB.4** | Feasibility assessment of land and ecosystem transition adaptation options: improved cropland management (including...), efficient livestock systems, livelihood diversification, and water use efficiency and water resource management.

		Improved cropland management	Efficient livestock system	Livelihood diversification	Water use efficiency and water resource management
	Evidence	High	Medium	High	High
	Agreement	Medium	High	High	Medium
Economic	Microeconomic viability	Sharma et al. (2015); Brandes et al. (2016); Agegnehu and Amede (2017); Lalani et al. (2017); Thierfelder et al. (2017); Aryal et al. (2018c); Aryal et al. (2020a); Bedeke et al. (2019); TerAvest et al. (2019a); Lunduka et al. (2019); Adams et al. (2020); Debie (2020b)	Rivera-Ferre et al. (2016); Ericksen and Crane (2018) Gaughan et al. (2019); Schaubberger et al. (2020); Thomasz et al. (2020); Wreford and Topp (2020); Ghahramani et al. (2020)	Goulden et al. (2013); Ford et al. (2014); Oberlack and Eisenack (2014); Liu and Lan (2015); Martin and Lorenzen (2016); Barrett (2013); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Makate et al. (2016); Orchard et al. (2016); Torell et al. (2017a); Baird and Hartter, 2017); Nyantakyi-Frimpong (2017); Schuhbauer et al. (2017); Asfaw et al. (2018); Kihila (2018); Radel et al. (2018); Tian and Lemos (2018); Asfaw et al. (2019); Adam and Osbahr (2019); Brown et al. (2019); Nguyen et al. (2019a); Rosyida et al. (2019); Sina et al. (2019); Buechler and Lutz-Ley (2020); Ng'ang'a and Crane (2020); Ojea et al. (2020); Salam and Bauer (2020); Kassegn and Endris (2021); Bhowmik et al. (2021); Mohammed et al. (2021); Nnadi et al. (2021); Rahut et al. (2021); Umamaheswari et al. (2021)	Foster and Cota (2014); Mandryk et al. (2017); Song et al. (2018); Herwehe and Scott, 2018); de Frutos Cachorro et al. (2018); Koech and Langat (2018); Narayanamoorthy et al. (2020); Panigrahi et al. (2021)
	Macroeconomic viability	Gill (2014); Agegnehu and Amede (2017); Mottaleb et al. (2017); S. et al. (2018b); McFadden et al. (2019); Shah and Wu (2019b); Aryal et al. (2020a); Debie (2020a); Li et al. (2020)	Rivera-Ferre et al. (2016); Thomasz et al. (2020); Pardo and del Prado (2020)	Ford et al. (2014); Wilson (2014); Aloba Loison (2015); Tanner et al. (2015); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Baird and Hartter (2017); Torell et al. (2017b); Asfaw et al. (2018); Brown et al. (2019); Sani Ibrahim et al. (2019); Adzawla et al. (2020); Ojea et al. (2020); Salam and Bauer (2020); Rahut et al. (2021)	de Frutos Cachorro et al. (2018); Herwehe and Scott (2018); Singh et al. (2020)
	Socioeconomic vulnerability reduction potential	Gill (2014); Keil et al. (2019); Kannan and Ramappa (2017); Keil et al. (2017); Hörner and Wollni (2020a); Hörner and Wollni (2020b); TerAvest et al. (2019b); Lalani et al. (2017); Acevedo et al. (2020b)	Escarcha et al. (2018); Pardo and del Prado (2020); Thomasz et al. (2020); Schaubberger et al. (2020)	Goulden et al. (2013); Barrett (2013); Cannon (2014); Ford et al. (2014); Oberlack and Eisenack (2014); (Liu and Lan (2015); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Makate et al. (2016); Orchard et al. (2016); Anderson et al. (2017); Baird and Hartter (2017); Torell et al. (2017a); Schuhbauer et al. (2017); Asfaw et al. (2018); Asfaw et al. (2019); Adam and Osbahr (2019); Brown et al. (2019); Rosyida et al. (2019); Sani Ibrahim et al. (2019); Tian and Lemos (2018); Buechler and Lutz-Ley (2020); Sina et al. (2019); Liu et al. (2020); Maharjan et al. (2020); Ng'ang'a and Crane (2020); Ojea et al. (2020); Sarker et al. (2020); Biswas and Mallick (2021); Rahut et al. (2021); Umamaheswari et al. (2021); Voss (2021)	de Frutos Cachorro et al. (2018); Koech and Langat (2018); Singh (2018); Shyam et al. (2020); Singh et al. (2020); Narayanamoorthy et al. (2020); Panigrahi et al. (2021)

		Improved cropland management	Efficient livestock system	Livelihood diversification	Water use efficiency and water resource management	
		Evidence	High	Medium	High	High
		Agreement	Medium	High	High	Medium
Economic	Employment and productivity enhancement potential	Das et al. (2017); Jat et al. (2014); Ernst et al. (2016); Batabyal et al. (2017); Thierfelder et al. (2017); S. et al. (2018a); Sarkar et al. (2018); Yan et al. (2020); Du et al. (2018); Zhao et al. (2020); Hörner and Wollni (2020a); Agegnehu and Amede (2017); Adams et al. (2020); Adolwa et al. (2019); Mutuku et al. (2020)	Rivera-Ferre et al. (2016); Escarcha et al. (2018); Ghahramani et al. (2020)	Barrett (2013); Ford et al. (2014); Oberlack and Eisenack (2014); Liu and Lan (2015); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Herrero et al. (2016); Makate et al. (2016); Orchard et al. (2016); Paudel Khatiwada et al. (2017); Asfaw et al. (2018); Asfaw et al. (2019); Fang et al. (2018); Radel et al. (2018); Tian and Lemos (2018); Brown et al. (2019); Adam and Osbahr (2019); Sani Ibrahim et al. (2019); Buechler and Lutz-Ley (2020); Sina et al. (2019); Liu et al. (2020); Maharjan et al. (2020); Nguyen et al. (2019a); Salam and Bauer (2020)	Levidow et al. (2014); Pittock et al. (2017); Narayanamoorthy et al. (2020); Panigrahi et al. (2021)	
	Technical resource availability	Findlater et al. (2019); Dougill et al. (2017); Aryal et al. (2018a); Aryal et al. (2018b); Bhattacharyya et al. (2016); Khatri-Chhetri et al. (2016); Bhattacharya et al. (2017); Sova et al. (2018b); Acevedo et al. (2020a)	Escarcha et al. (2018); Gaughan et al. (2019); Schauburger et al. (2020); Paul et al. (2020)	Ford et al. (2014); Alobo Loison (2015); Liu and Lan (2015); Shackleton et al. (2015); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Makate et al. (2016); Baird and Hartter (2017); Brown et al. (2017); Schuhbauer et al. (2017); Tian and Lemos (2018); Umamaheswari et al. (2021)	Foster and Cota (2014); Ratna Reddy et al. (2017); Koeh and Langat (2018); Greenland et al. (2019); Singh et al. (2020); Garg et al. (2020); Misquitta et al. (2021); Jordán and Speelman (2020)	
Technological	Risks mitigation potential	Aryal et al. (2015); Khatri-Chhetri et al. (2017); Bhattacharyya et al. (2016); Bhattacharyya et al. (2015); Bhattacharya et al. (2017); Shah and Wu (2019a)	Escarcha et al. (2018); Pardo and del Prado (2020); Schauburger et al. (2020); IPCC. (2019) Ghahramani et al. (2020)	Barrett (2013); Liu and Lan (2015); Makate et al. (2016); Baird and Hartter (2017); Schuhbauer et al. (2017); Fang et al. (2018); Tian and Lemos (2018); Adam and Osbahr (2019); Asfaw et al. (2019); Salam and Bauer (2020); Mohammed et al. (2021)	Gray and Srinidhi (2013); Yazdi et al. (2013); Chaudhari and Mishra (2015); Karlberg et al. (2015); Grafton et al. (2018); Singh (2018); Garg et al. (2020); Shyam et al. (2020)	
	Political acceptability	Amjath-Babu et al. (2019); Reddy et al. (2020a); Kannan and Ramappa (2017); Bhattacharyya et al. (2016); Dougill et al. (2017)	NE	Ford et al. (2014); Shackleton et al. (2015); Rigg and Oven (2015); Nightingale (2017); Ober and Sakdapolrak (2020)	Levidow et al. (2014); Greenland et al. (2019)	
Institutional	Legal and regulatory acceptability	LE	Amjath-Babu et al. (2019); Reddy et al. (2020b)	Escarcha et al. (2018); Pardo and del Prado (2020)	Brown et al. (2017); Butler et al. (2020); Shapiro-Garza et al. (2020)	NE
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility		Aryal et al. (2020a); Aryal et al. (2020b); Chapke and Tonapi (2018); Kannan and Ramappa (2017); Dougill et al. (2017); Sova et al. (2018a)	Escarcha et al. (2018); Paul et al. (2020)	Barrett (2013); Liu and Lan (2015); Shackleton et al. (2015); Herrero et al. (2016); Makate et al. (2016); Nightingale (2017); Torell et al. (2017a); Tian and Lemos (2018); Asfaw et al. (2019); Brown et al. (2019); Sina et al. (2019); Adzawla et al. (2020); Rahut et al. (2021); Voss (2021); Bhowmik et al. (2021)	Gupta (2014); Chaudhari and Mishra (2015); Mondal et al. (2016); Ley, 2017); Villamayor-Tomas and García-López (2017); Kumari Rigaud et al. (2018); Greenland et al. (2019); Koff et al. (2020); Singh et al. (2020)
	Transparency and accountability potential	LE	Seshia Galvin (2018)	NE	Brown et al. (2019); Salam and Bauer (2020); Makate et al. (2016); Schuhbauer et al. (2017)	Singh et al. (2020)

		Improved cropland management	Efficient livestock system	Livelihood diversification	Water use efficiency and water resource management
Evidence		High	Medium	High	High
Agreement		Medium	High	High	Medium
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)	Farnworth et al. (2017); Hörner and Wollni (2020b); Lunduka et al. (2019)	Escarcha et al. (2018); Salmon et al. (2018)	Barrett (2013); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Ford et al. (2014); Torell et al. (2017a); Sani Ibrahim et al. (2019); Nyantakyi-Frimpong (2017); Buechler and Lutz-Ley (2020); Orchard et al. (2016); Brown et al. (2019); Tian and Lemos (2018); Makate et al. (2016); Fang et al. (2018); Schuhbauer et al. (2017); Adam and Osbahr (2019); Sina et al. (2019); Kassegn and Endris (2021); Nguyen et al. (2019b); Rosyida et al. (2019); Maharjan et al. (2020); Adzawla et al. (2020); Woodhouse and McCabe (2018); Sarker et al. (2020); Nnadi et al. (2021)	Syme et al. (2015); Barchiesi and Córdoba (2016); Hellin et al. (2018); Singh and Basu (2020)
	Socio-cultural acceptability	Aryal et al. (2020a); Aryal et al. (2018a); Tripathi and Mishra (2017); Kannan and Ramappa (2017); Keil et al. (2017); Findlater et al. (2019)	Escarcha et al. (2018); Salmon et al. (2018); Rivera-Ferre et al. (2016); Ericksen and Crane (2018)	Barrett (2013); Goulden et al. (2013); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Ford et al. (2014); Asfaw et al. (2018); Asfaw et al. (2019); Torell et al. (2017a); Alogo Loison (2015); Radel et al. (2018); Buechler and Lutz-Ley (2020); Brown et al. (2019); Tian and Lemos (2018); Makate et al. (2016); Adam and Osbahr (2019); Kassegn and Endris (2021); Umamaheswari et al. (2021); Rosyida et al. (2019); Ojea et al. (2020); Maharjan et al. (2020); Liu et al. (2020); Lenaiyasa et al. (2020); Woodhouse and McCabe (2018); Voss (2021); Ng'ang'a and Crane (2020)	Figueiredo and Perkins (2013); Levidow et al. (2014); Koech and Langat (2018); Greenland et al. (2019); Singh and Basu (2020); Jordán and Speelman (2020)
	Social and regional inclusiveness	Bhattacharya et al. (2017); Aryal et al. (2018a); Aryal et al. (2018b); Keil et al. (2019); Kannan and Ramappa (2017); Keil et al. (2017); Li et al. (2020); Ntshangase et al. (2018); Somasundaram et al. (2020); Van Hulst and Posthumus (2016)	Escarcha et al. (2018); Rivera-Ferre et al. (2016)	Barrett (2013); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Wilson (2014); Asfaw et al. (2018); Asfaw et al. (2019); Torell et al. (2017a); Liu and Lan (2015); Radel et al. (2018); Nyantakyi-Frimpong (2017); Buechler and Lutz-Ley (2020); Orchard et al. (2016); Brown et al. (2019); Tian and Lemos (2018); Makate et al. (2016); Fang et al. (2018); Asravor (2018); Adam and Osbahr (2019); Liao et al. (2015); Shapiro-Garza et al. (2020); Kassegn and Endris (2021); Nguyen et al. (2019b); Umamaheswari et al. (2021); Rosyida et al. (2019); Rahut et al. (2021); Ojea et al. (2020); Mohammed et al. (2021); Maharjan et al. (2020); Liu et al. (2020); Lenaiyasa et al. (2020); Williams et al. (2021)	Jägermeyr et al. (2015); Karlberg et al. (2015); MacDonald et al. (2016); Song et al. (2018); Figueiredo and Perkins (2013); Singh and Basu (2020)
	Gender equity	Gill (2014); Wekesah et al. (2019); Bhattacharya et al. (2017); Aryal et al. (2015); OECD/FAO (2017)	Luqman et al. (2018); Salmon et al. (2018)	Erwin et al. (2021); Barrett (2013); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Ford et al. (2014); Asfaw et al. (2018); Adzawla et al. (2020); Asfaw et al. (2019); Torell et al. (2017a); Nyantakyi-Frimpong (2017); Buechler and Lutz-Ley (2020); Orchard et al. (2016); Makate et al. (2016); Asravor (2018); Adam and Osbahr (2019); Kassegn and Endris (2021); Umamaheswari et al. (2021); Voss (2021); Rahut et al. (2021); Ojea et al. (2020); Nnadi et al. (2021); Sarker et al. (2020); Ng'ang'a and Crane (2020); Maharjan et al. (2020)	Theis et al. (2018); Najjar et al. (2019); Imburgia et al. (2020); Imburgia, 2019); Caretta and Börjeson (2015); Tesfamariam and Hurlbert (2017)

		Improved cropland management		Efficient livestock system		Livelihood diversification		Water use efficiency and water resource management	
		High		Medium		High		High	
		Medium		High		High		Medium	
<b>Socio-cultural</b>	Intergenerational equity	NA		NE				LE	Syme et al. (2015)
<b>Environmental/ecological</b>	Ecological capacity		Schulte et al. (2017); Agegnehu and Amede (2017); Shah and Wu (2019b); Gonzalez-Sanchez et al. (2019)		Accatino et al. (2019); Salmon et al. (2018); Rivera-Ferre et al. (2016)		Goulden et al. (2013); Wilson (2014); Herrero et al. (2016); Liu and Lan (2015); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Singh and Basu (2020); Mutabazi et al. (2015); Butler et al. (2020); Sayatham and Suhardiman (2015); Rosyida et al. (2019); Rahut et al. (2021); Ojea et al. (2020); Woodhouse and McCabe (2018)		Barchiesi and Córdoba (2016); Hellin et al. (2018); Mondal et al. (2016); Ley (2017); Ratna Reddy et al. (2017); Grafton et al. (2018); Singh (2018); Garg et al. (2020); Koeh and Langat (2018); de Frutos Cachorro et al. (2018);
<b>Environmental/ecological</b>	Adaptive capacity/resilience		Du et al. (2021); Aryal et al. (2020a); Mottaleb et al. (2017); Patra et al. (2020); S. et al. (2018a); Sarkar et al. (2018); Zomer et al. (2017); Mayer et al. (2018); Adams et al. (2020); Mutuku et al. (2020); Shah and Wu (2019b); Gonzalez-Sanchez et al. (2019); Thierfelder et al. (2017); Somasundaram et al. (2020); Sova et al. (2018b); McFadden et al. (2019)		Ericksen and Crane (2018); Salmon et al. (2018); Ghahramani et al. (2020); Pardo and del Prado (2020); Schauburger et al. (2020); Shukla et al. (2019)		Goulden et al. (2013); Wilson (2014); Tanner et al. (2015); Cochrane and Cafer (2018); Torell et al. (2017a); Barrett (2013); Brown et al. (2019); Gautam and Andersen (2016); Radel et al. (2018)		Gain et al. (2013); Gray and Srinidhi (2013); Chaudhari and Mishra (2015); Barchiesi and Córdoba; Ley (2017); Villamayor-Tomas and García-López (2017); Koeh and Langat (2018); Hellin et al. (2018); Singh (2018); Garg et al. (2020); Shyam et al. (2020); Jordán and Speelman (2020)
<b>Geophysical</b>	Physical feasibility		Aryal et al. (2018d); Das et al. (2017); DeFries et al. (2016); Kannan and Ramappa (2017); Keil et al. (2017); Prestele et al. (2018); Prestele et al. (2018)		Ericksen and Crane (2018); Escarcha et al. (2018); Accatino et al. (2019); Pardo and del Prado (2020); Shukla et al. (2019)		Sayatham and Suhardiman (2015); Wise et al. (2016); Brown et al. (2017); Shapiro-Garza et al. (2020)		Barchiesi and Córdoba; Mondal et al. (2016); Ley (2017); Ratna Reddy et al. (2017); Hellin et al. (2018); Singh (2018); Garg et al. (2020); Singh et al. (2020)

18SM

		Improved cropland management		Efficient livestock system		Livelihood diversification		Water use efficiency and water resource management			
		Evidence		High		Medium		High		High	
		Agreement		Medium		High		High		Medium	
Geophysical	Land use change enhancement potential		Shang et al. (2021); (Das et al. (2017); Aryal et al. (2020b); S. et al. (2018a); Yadav et al. (2019); Mayer et al. (2018); Wang et al. (2020); Sommer et al. (2018); Adams et al. (2020); Shah and Wu (2019b); Gonzalez-Sanchez et al. (2019); Ogle et al. (2019); VandenBygaart (2016)		Shukla et al. (2019); Rivera-Ferre et al. (2016); Ericksen and Crane (2018); Escarcha et al. (2018); Accatino et al. (2019)		Goulden et al. (2013); Wilson (2014); Liu and Lan (2015); (Herrero et al. (2016); Nyantakyi-Frimpong (2017); Shapiro-Garza et al. (2020)		Mondal et al. (2016)		
	Hazard risk reduction potential	NA		NA			Mutabazi et al. (2015); Wan et al. (2016); Anderson et al. (2017); Adam and Osbahr (2019)	LE	Karlberg et al. (2015); Barchiesi and Córdoba; Ley (2017); Hellin et al. (2018); Hostettler et al. (2019); Shyam et al. (2020); Singh et al. (2020)		

### SMCCB FEASIB.1.3 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options in Urban and Infrastructure System Transitions

**Table SMCCB FEASIB.5** | Feasibility assessment of urban and infrastructure transition adaptation options: sustainable land use and urban planning, green infrastructure and ecosystem service, and sustainable water management.

		Sustainable land- use and urban planning		Green infrastructure and ecosystem service		Sustainable water management			
		Evidence		Medium		Medium		Robust	
		Agreement		High		High		Medium	
Economic	Microeconomic viability		Georgeson et al. (2016); Woodruff et al. (2018); Shi and Varuzzo (2020); Siders and Keenan (2020); Carey (2020); Jain and Bazaz (2020)		No new evidence since SR 1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5		
	Macroeconomic viability		Meerow (2019); Zhang et al. (2019)	LE		NE			
	Socioeconomic vulnerability reduction potential		Keenan et al. (2018); Anguelovski et al. (2019a); Anguelovski et al. (2019b); Shokry et al. (2020); Elliott (2019); Paganini (2019); Jain and Bazaz (2020)		Anguelovski et al. (2019c); Buijs et al. (2019); Escobedo et al. (2019); Filazzola et al. (2019); Hewitt et al. (2020); Langemeyer and Connolly (2020); Nieuwenhuijsen (2020); Venter et al. (2020a); Venter et al. (2020b)		Liu and Jensen (2018); Oral et al. (2020); Jensen and Nair (2019)		
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential		Woodruff et al. (2018)	NE		NE			



		Sustainable land- use and urban planning		Green infrastructure and ecosystem service		Sustainable water management	
		Evidence		Medium		Robust	
		Agreement		High		Medium	
Technological	Technical resource availability		Serre and Heinzlef (2018); Szewrański et al. (2018); Goh (2020); Colven (2020); Hasan et al. (2019); Fitzgibbons and Mitchell (2019); Heikkinen et al. (2019)	NE			No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Risks mitigation potential		LE (limited new evidence since SR1.5)		Anguelovski et al. (2019c)		No new evidence since SR 1.5
Institutional	Political acceptability		Biesbroek et al. (2015); Wachsmuth et al. (2016)	LE			No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Legal and regulatory acceptability		DuPuis and Greenberg (2019)				No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility		Di Gregorio et al. (2019); Zen et al. (2019)		Davies et al. (2019); Dorst et al. (2019); Zwierzchowska et al. (2019)		Chu et al. (2018); Williams et al. (2018); Herslund and Mguni (2019); Leigh and Lee (2019); Pelling et al. (2018); Sletto et al. (2019); Esmail and Suleiman (2020); Krueger et al. (2019); Feingold et al. (2018)
	Transparency and accountability potential		LE (limited new evidence since SR1.5)	LE		NE	
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)		Keeler et al. (2019); Meerow (2019); Raymond et al. (2017); Spaans and Waterhout (2017); Klinenberg (2019)		(Escobedo et al. (2019); Filazzola et al. (2019); Hewitt et al. (2020); (Nieuwenhuijsen, 2020); Venter et al. (2020a)		(Dong et al. (2020)
	Socio-cultural acceptability		Siders (2019); Siders and Keenan (2020); Koslov (2019);		No new evidence since SR 1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Social and regional inclusiveness		Chu (2018); Fainstein (2018); Rosenzweig et al. (2018); Chu and Michael (2019); Pelling and Garschagen (2019); Ranganathan and Bratman (2021); Araos (2020); Rasmussen et al. (2021)		Anguelovski et al. (2019c); Buijs et al. (2019); Hewitt et al. (2020); Langemeyer and Connolly (2020); Nieuwenhuijsen (2020); Venter et al. (2020a); Venter et al. (2020b)		Chu et al. (2018); Williams et al. (2018); Leigh and Lee (2019); Pelling et al. (2018); Sletto et al. (2019); van den Brandeler et al. (2019)
	Gender equity	NE			Anguelovski et al. (2019c); Buijs et al. (2019); Filazzola et al. (2019); Hewitt et al. (2020); Nieuwenhuijsen (2020); Venter et al. (2020a); Venter et al. (2020b)	NE	
	Intergenerational equity		No new evidence since SR 1.5				No new evidence since SR 1.5
Environmental/ ecological	Ecological capacity		Limited evidence since SR1.5		Filazzola et al. (2019)		No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Adaptive capacity/ resilience		Limited evidence since SR1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5		Chan et al. (2018); Nguyen et al. (2019); Dai et al. (2018)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility		Limited evidence since SR1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5		Chan et al. (2018); Nguyen et al. (2019)
	Land use change enhancement potential		Limited evidence since SR1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5
	Hazard risk reduction potential		Limited evidence since SR1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5		No new evidence since SR 1.5

## SMCCB FEASIB.1.4 Feasibility Assessment of Adaptation Options in Overarching Adaptation Options

Table SMCCB FEASIB.6 | Feasibility assessment of overarching adaptation options: disaster risk management, climate service, including EWS, and risk spreading and sharing.

		Disaster risk management	Climate services	Risk spreading and sharing
	Evidence	High	High	High
	Agreement	High	High	Medium
Economic	Microeconomic viability	IPCC (2012); Mavhura et al. (2013); Yu and Gillis (2014); Johnson and Abe (2015); Mawere and Mubaya (2015); Archer (2016); Kull et al. (2016); Rose (2016); Watanabe et al. (2016); Marchezini (2020); Soetanto et al. (2020); Gartrell et al. (2020); Pokhrel et al. (2021); Sauka (2020)	Amegnaglo et al. (2017); Parton et al. (2019); Vaughan et al. (2019); Perrels (2020); Köberl et al. (2021); Brown et al. (2018)	Marcantonio and Kayitakire (2017); Mutaqin and Usami (2019); Schäfer et al. (2019); Jarzabkowski et al. (2019); Ali et al. (2020); Tesselaar et al. (2020a); Tesselaar et al. (2020c); Thinda et al. (2020); Alam et al. (2020); Tesselaar et al. (2020)
	Macroeconomic viability	Alcántara-Ayala et al. (2020); Islam et al. (2020b); Islam et al. (2020c); Nyandiko (2020); Williams et al. (2020b); Geekiyanage et al. (2021); Williams et al. (2021)	LE Perrels (2020)	Linnerooth-Bayer and Hochrainer-Stigler (2015); Porrini et al. (2019); Schäfer et al. (2019); Broberg, 2020)
	Socioeconomic vulnerability reduction potential	Forino et al. (2019)	Parton et al. (2019); Bruno Soares and Buontempo (2019); Vaughan et al. (2019); Perrels (2020); Brown et al. (2018)	Linnerooth-Bayer and Hochrainer-Stigler (2015); Schäfer et al. (2019); Thinda et al. (2020)
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential	Khalil et al. (2020); Williams et al. (2021)	Brown et al. (2018); Amegnaglo et al. (2017); Parton et al. (2019); Vaughan et al. (2019); Perrels (2020)	
Technological	Technical resource availability	Marchezini (2020); Nyandiko (2020); Smucker et al. (2020); Soetanto et al. (2020); Williams et al. (2020b); Ali et al. (2021); Mena and Hilhorst (2021); Geekiyanage et al. (2021); Goniewicz and Burkle (2019); Obi et al. (2021); Singh et al. (2021); Daron (2021); Williams et al. (2021)	Buontempo et al. (2019); Dorward et al. (2021); Findlater et al. (2021)	C Schäfer et al. (2019)
	Risks mitigation potential	Alcántara-Ayala et al. (2020); Ali et al. (2021); Obi et al. (2021); Pokhrel et al. (2021)	Hobday et al. (2018); Daly and Dessai (2018); Larosa and Mysiak (2020); Köberl et al. (2021)	C Schäfer et al. (2019); Thinda et al. (2020); Jørgensen et al. (2020); Tesselaar et al. (2020b); Lucas and Booth (2020); Miao (2020)
Institutional	Political acceptability	Smucker et al. (2020); Ruane et al. (2020)	Harjanne (2017); Bruno Soares and Buontempo (2019); Hewitt et al. (2020); Webber (2017)	Linnerooth-Bayer and Hochrainer-Stigler (2015)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability	Booth et al. (2020); Ali et al. (2021); Smucker et al. (2020); Islam et al. (2020c)	Singh et al. (2018); Bruno Soares et al. (2018); Bruno Soares and Buontempo (2019); Hewitt et al. (2020)	Loisel et al. (2020); Su (2020); Jegede et al. (2020)
	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility	Islam et al. (2020b); Islam et al. (2020c); Albris et al. (2020); Smucker et al. (2020); Villeneuve (2021); Booth et al. (2020); Islam et al. (2020b); Islam et al. (2020c); Goryushina (2021); Mena and Hilhorst (2021); Marchezini (2020); Räsänen et al. (2020); Ferreira Costa (2020); Nyandiko (2020); Soetanto et al. (2020); Sauka (2020); Islam et al. (2020a); Ruane et al. (2020); Wamsler and Johannessen (2020); Williams et al. (2020b); Yumagulova et al. (2021)	Bruno Soares et al. (2018); Vincent et al. (2018); Daly and Dessai (2018); Tall et al. (2018); Bruno Soares and Buontempo (2019); Harvey et al. (2019); Mahon et al. (2019); Vaughan et al. (2019); Perrels (2020); Bruno Soares and Buontempo (2019); Daniels et al. (2020); Larosa and Mysiak (2020); Tesfaye et al. (2020)	Schäfer et al. (2019); Budhathoki et al. (2019); Edwards et al. (2020)

		Disaster risk management		Climate services		Risk spreading and sharing	
		Evidence	High	High	High	High	
		Agreement	High	High	High	Medium	
Institutional	Transparency and accountability potential		Islam et al. (2020c); Nyandiko (2020); Geekiyanage et al. (2021); Williams et al. (2020b); Peng et al. (2020); Ruane et al. (2020); Ali et al. (2021); Glantz and Pierce (2021); Sharma and Sharma (2021)		Vincent et al. (2018); Tall et al. (2018); Bruno Soares and Buontempo (2019); Harvey et al. (2019); Mahon et al. (2019); Perrels (2020)		No new evidence since SR1.5
	Social co-benefits (health, education)		Cuatón and Su (2020); Ali et al. (2021); Smucker et al. (2020); Ruszczczyk et al. (2020)		Hunt et al. (2017)		Fisher et al. (2019); Alam et al. (2020)
Socio-cultural	Socio-cultural acceptability		Hosen et al. (2020); Ngin et al. (2020); Peng et al. (2020); Webb (2020); Ali et al. (2021); Glantz and Pierce (2021); Rasmussen et al. (2021)		Alexander and Dessai (2019); Amegnaglo et al. (2017); Buontempo et al. (2019); Dorward et al. (2021); Williams et al. (2020a); Martínez-Barón et al. (2021)		Ali et al. (2020); Jørgensen et al. (2020)
	Social and regional inclusiveness		Geekiyanage et al. (2021); Cuatón and Su (2020); Gartrell et al. (2020); Villeneuve (2021); Ali et al. (2021); Ngin et al. (2020); Islam et al. (2020a); Bronen et al. (2020); Hosen et al. (2020); Singh et al. (2020); Bordner et al. (2020); Sharma and Sharma (2021); Crawford et al. (2021); Ruszczczyk et al. (2020); Anderson and Renaud (2021); Kenney and Phibbs (2020); Son et al. (2021); Yumagulova et al. (2021)		Daly and Dessai (2018); Tall et al. (2018); Alexander and Dessai (2019); Amegnaglo et al. (2017); Vaughan et al. (2019); Dorward et al. (2021); Williams et al. (2020a); Martínez-Barón et al. (2021)		Tesselaar et al. (2020a); Tesselaar et al. (2020c)
	Gender equity		Hemachandra et al. (2020); Khalil et al. (2020); Ali et al. (2021); Seleka et al. (2017); Ruszczczyk et al. (2020); Gartrell et al. (2020); Kanfar et al. (2020)		Venkataraman et al. (2016); Gumucio et al. (2020)		Bageant and Barrett (2017); Fisher et al. (2019); Born et al. (2019); Hillier (2018); Taylor and Kumar (2016); Cannon et al. (2020)
	Intergenerational equity		Cuatón and Su (2020); Ali et al. (2021); Pokhrel et al. (2021); (Mburu, 2020)	NE			Linnerooth-Bayer and Hochrainer-Stigler (2015)
	Ecological capacity		No new evidence since SR1.5	NA		NA	
Environmental/ecological	Adaptive capacity/resilience		Kim and Marcouiller (2020); Hasan et al. (2019); Uddin et al. (2020); Webb (2020); Ji and Lee (2021)		Brown et al. (2018); Bruno Soares and Buontempo (2019); Hobday et al. (2018); Köberl et al. (2021); Martínez-Barón et al. (2021); Dorward et al. (2021); Findlater et al. (2021)		Müller et al. (2017); Schäfer et al. (2019)
Geophysical	Physical feasibility				Williams et al. (2020a)	NA	
	Land use change enhancement potential	NA		NA			No new evidence since SR1.5
	Hazard risk reduction potential		Anderson and Renaud (2021); Sauka (2020); Williams et al. (2021); Obi et al. (2021); Pokhrel et al. (2021)		Bruno Soares and Buontempo (2019); Hobday et al. (2018)		Schäfer et al. (2019)

**Table SMCCB FEASIB.7** | Feasibility assessment of cross-sectoral adaptation options: population health and health system, social safety nets, planned relocation and resettlement, and human migration and displacement.

		Population health and health system	Social safety nets	Planned relocation and resettlement	Human migration
	Evidence	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium
	Agreement	High	Medium	Medium	Medium
<b>Economic</b>	Microeconomic viability	Fox et al. (2019); Paudel and Pant (2020); Hayes et al. (2020); Khurana et al. (2021)	Castells-Quintana et al. (2018); Hansen et al. (2019); Aleksandrova (2020); Centre (2019); Mueller et al. (2020)	Liu (2020); Zickgraf (2019); Bronen and Chapin (2013); Albert et al. (2018)	Mallick et al. (2017); Suckall et al. (2017); Obokata and Veronis (2018); McLeman (2018); Nawrotzki and DeWaard (2018); Thober et al. (2018); Adams and Kay (2019b); Cattaneo et al. (2019); Jacobson et al. (2019); Semenza and Ebi (2019); Natarajan et al. (2019); Maharjan et al. (2020a); Sedova and Kalkuhl (2020); Hoffmann et al. (2020); Bragg et al. (2018); Porst et al. (2020)
	Macroeconomic viability	Gillfillan (2019); Fox et al. (2019); Khurana et al. (2021); Morgan and Fanzo (2020); Negev et al. (2021); Rameshshanker et al. (2021); Watts et al. (2021); Organization (2018)	Castells-Quintana et al. (2018); Aleksandrova (2020)	Bronen and Chapin (2013); Mortreux et al. (2018); Miller (2020); Albert et al. (2018); Boston et al. (2021); Siders (2019)	Adger et al. (2020c)
	Socioeconomic vulnerability reduction potential	Rohat et al. (2021); Johnson (2020); Schwerdtle et al. (2020); Kingsley et al. (2021); Morgan and Fanzo (2020); Negev et al. (2021)	Castells-Quintana et al. (2018); Singh et al. (2018); Centre (2019); Hansen et al. (2019); Ulrichs et al. (2019); Aleksandrova (2020); Fischer (2020); Mueller et al. (2020)	Wilmsen and Webber (2015); Mortreux et al. (2018); Dannenberg et al. (2019); Piggott-McKellar et al. (2019a); Piggott-McKellar et al. (2019b); Henrique and Tschakert (2020); Tabe (2019); Zickgraf (2019); Ajibade et al. (2020); Liu et al. (2017); Maharjan et al. (2020a); See and Wilmsen (2020); Thomas and Benjamin (2020); Desai et al. (2021); Johnson et al. (2020); Maldonado et al. (2021); Mach et al. (2019b)	Wrathall (2012); Mallick et al. (2017); Suckall et al. (2017); Bragg et al. (2018); Jessoe et al. (2018); Obokata and Veronis (2018); Banerjee et al. (2019); Semenza and Ebi (2019); Cattaneo et al. (2019); Thober et al. (2018); Hoffmann et al. (2020); Singh et al. (2020b); Sedova and Kalkuhl (2020); McLeman (2018); Nawrotzki and DeWaard (2018); Adams and Kay (2019b); Jacobson et al. (2019); Natarajan et al. (2019); Adger et al. (2020c); Maharjan et al. (2020a); Porst et al. (2020)
	Employment and productivity enhancement potential	Christopher Boyer (2020); Kaufman et al. (2020); Lee et al. (2020); Méndez et al. (2020); Khurana et al. (2021)	Castells-Quintana et al. (2018); Hansen et al. (2019); Fischer (2020); Mueller et al. (2020)	NA	Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer (2019); Zickgraf (2019); Maharjan et al. (2020a)

		Population health and health system	Social safety nets	Planned relocation and resettlement	Human migration
	Evidence	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium
	Agreement	High	Medium	Medium	Medium
Technological	Technical resource availability	Berry et al. (2018); Ebi et al. (2018); (Hanefeld et al. (2018b); Runkle et al. (2018); Austin et al. (2019); Brooke-Sumner et al. (2019); Chersich and Wright (2019); Fox et al. (2019); Liao et al. (2019); Negev et al. (2019); Albright et al. (2020); Chiristopher Boyer (2020); Hayes et al. (2020); Hussey and Arku (2020); Johnson (2020); Lowe et al. (2020); Negev et al. (2020); Reed et al. (2020); Schramm et al. (2020); Jagals and Ebi (2021); Negev et al. (2021); Negev et al. (2021); Opoku et al. (2021); Pascal et al. (2021)	Hansen et al. (2019)	Graham (2020); McNamara and Des Combes (2015); Bronen and Chapin (2013); See and Wilmsen (2020); Alverio et al. (2021); Albert et al. (2018)	Warner (2018)
	Risks mitigation potential	Lock-Wah-Hoon et al. (2020); Kouis et al. (2021); Ligsay et al. (2021)	Ulrichs et al. (2019); Centre (2019); Mueller et al. (2020); Fischer (2020); Mueller et al. (2020)	Miller (2020); Margaret and Matias (2020); See and Wilmsen (2020); Johnson et al. (2020); Maldonado et al. (2021); Mach et al. (2019a); Piggott-McKellar et al. (2019b); Thomas and Benjamin (2020)	Wrathall (2012); Singh (2019); Sedova and Kalkuhl (2020); Miller (2020); Margaret and Matias (2020); Adger et al. (2020c); Hoffmann et al. (2020); Islam and Shamsuddoha (2017); Kaczan and Orgjill-Meyer (2020)
Institutional	Political acceptability	Fox et al. (2019); Lemery et al. (2020); Lock-Wah-Hoon et al. (2020); Negev et al. (2021)	Aleksandrova (2020); Bowen et al. (2020)	Zickgraf (2019); Kothari (2014); Mortreux et al. (2018); Hughes (2020); de Salles Cavedon-Capdeville et al. (2020); Stanley and Williamson (2021); See and Wilmsen (2020); Bronen and Chapin (2013); Mach and Siders (2021)	Hauer et al. (2020); McNamara(2015); Zickgraf (2019); Honarmand Ebrahimi and Ossewaarde (2019); Hughes (2020); de Salles Cavedon-Capdeville et al. (2020); Telford (2018); McLeman (2019); Wiegel et al. (2019); Warner (2018); Kälin (2018); Deshpande et al. (2019)
	Legal and regulatory acceptability	Austin et al. (2019); Gilfillan (2019); Lee et al. (2020); Lock-Wah-Hoon et al. (2020); Kim et al. (2021); Tonmoy et al. (2020); Halabi (2020); Ristroph (2021)	Aleksandrova (2020); Fischer (2020)	Kothari (2014); Tebboth et al. (2019); Mortreux et al. (2018); Ajibade et al. (2020); de Salles Cavedon-Capdeville et al. (2020); Margaret and Matias (2020); Alverio et al. (2021); Stanley and Williamson (2021); See and Wilmsen (2020); Alverio et al. (2021); Bronen and Chapin (2013); Göransson et al. (2021)	Wrathall et al. (2019); Weber (2017); Kälin (2018); Koubi et al. (2018); Linke et al. (2018); Warner (2018); Deshpande et al. (2019); Honarmand Ebrahimi and Ossewaarde (2019); Adger et al. (2020b); de Salles Cavedon-Capdeville et al. (2020); Margaret and Matias (2020); Hauer et al. (2020); Hughes (2020); Sedova and Kalkuhl (2020); Adger et al. (2021)

		Population health and health system	Social safety nets	Planned relocation and resettlement	Human migration
	Evidence	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium
	Agreement	High	Medium	Medium	Medium
Institutional	Institutional capacity and administrative feasibility	Gould and Rudolph (2015); Fox et al. (2019); Lee et al. (2020); Li et al. (2021); Opoku et al. (2021); Pascal et al. (2021); Reed (2020); Marcus and Hanna (2020); Schramm et al. (2020); Castleden et al. (2020); Christopher Boyer (2020); Kaufman et al. (2020); Sheehan and Fox (2020); Kim et al. (2021); Whitney et al. (2021); Kingsley et al. (2021); Maria et al. (2020); Zhang et al. (2020); Iqbal (2021); Brooke-Sumner et al. (2019); Berry et al. (2018); Albright et al. (2020); Negev et al. (2020); Austin et al. (2019); Gilfillan (2019); Haines and Ebi (2019); Hussey and Arku (2020); Lowe et al. (2020); Negev et al. (2019); Sheehan and Fox (2020); Sheehan et al. (2021); Pelling et al. (2021)	Singh et al. (2018); Aleksandrova (2020); Bordon Rosa and Lykke Strøbech (2020); Bowen et al. (2020)	Mortreux et al. (2018); Ajibade et al. (2020); de Salles Cavedon-Capdeville et al. (2020); Alverio et al. (2021); Mach and Siders (2021); Siders (2019); Watts et al. (2021); Gussmann and Hinkel (2020); Albert et al. (2018); See and Wilmsen (2020); Bronen and Chapin (2013); Boston et al. (2021); Lawrence et al. (2020)	Kälin (2018); Koubi et al. (2018); Linke et al. (2018); Warner (2018); Sedova and Kalkuhl (2020); Deshpande et al. (2019); Honarmand Ebrahimi and Ossewaarde (2019); Kelman et al. (2019); Oakes (2019b); Piguet (2019); Wrathall et al. (2019); Adger et al. (2020b); Ajibade et al. (2020); de Salles Cavedon-Capdeville et al. (2020); Hauer et al. (2020); Maharjan et al. (2020a); Hughes (2020); Desai et al. (2021); Bettini and Gioli (2016)
	Transparency and accountability potential	Gostin and Friedman (2017); Huynh and Stringer (2018); Parry et al. (2019)	Hansen et al. (2019)	LE Doelle and Seck (2020); See and Wilmsen (2020); Siders and Ajibade (2021)	LE Hauer et al. (2020); Doelle and Seck (2020)
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education)	Gould and Rudolph (2015); Rudolph et al. (2020); Kligler et al. (2021); Keim et al. (2021); Kim et al. (2021); Lowe et al. (2020); Pelling et al. (2021)	Castells-Quintana et al. (2018); Ulrichs et al. (2019); Fischer (2020); Mueller et al. (2020)	Hanefeld et al. (2018a); Aktürk and Lerski (2021); Lauer et al. (2021b); Yates et al. (2021); Piggott-McKellar et al. (2019a); Henrique and Tschakert (2020); Ajibade et al. (2020); Wilmsen and Webber (2015); Dannenberg et al. (2019); Ajibade (2020); Desai (2021); Tabe (2019); Bronen and Chapin (2013); See and Wilmsen (2020); Dundon and Abkowitz (2021)	Islam and Shamsuddoha (2017); Hanefeld et al. (2018a); Schwerdtle et al. (2018); Jacobson et al. (2019); Piguet (2019); Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer (2020); Hoffmann et al. (2020); Oakes (2019a); Schwerdtle et al. (2020); Yates et al. (2021); Desai et al. (2021)

		Population health and health system	Social safety nets	Planned relocation and resettlement	Human migration	
	Evidence	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium	
	Agreement	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	
Socio-cultural	Socio-cultural acceptability	LE Gould and Rudolph (2015); Fox et al. (2019); Gilfillan (2019); Hayes et al. (2020); Keim et al. (2021); Kingsley et al. (2021); Méndez et al. (2020); Sheehan and Fox (2020); Wong-Parodi (2020); Arnold et al. (2021); Pascal et al. (2021)	LE		Zickgraf (2019); Farbotko (2018); Suliman et al. (2019); Ayeb-Karlsson et al. (2018); Piggott-McKellar et al. (2019a); Dandy et al. (2019); Geekiyana et al. (2021); Stanley and Williamson (2021); Van Praag (2021); See and Wilmsen (2020)	Koubi et al. (2018); Linke et al. (2018); Telford (2018); Hauer et al. (2020); Zickgraf (2019); Farbotko (2018); Dandy et al. (2019); Deshpande et al. (2019); Suliman et al. (2019); Ayeb-Karlsson et al. (2018); Adams and Kay (2019b); Thober et al. (2018); Kelman et al. (2019); Van Praag (2021); McLeman (2019); Wiegel et al. (2019); Adger et al. (2021); Marino and Lazrus (2015)
	Social and regional inclusiveness	LE Chersich and Wright (2019); Fox et al. (2019); Negev et al. (2019); Lewis et al. (2020); Asaaga et al. (2021); Hayes et al. (2020); Johnson (2020); Schwerdtle (2020); Rohat et al. (2021); Keim et al. (2021); Paudel and Pant (2020); Whitney et al. (2020); Kingsley et al. (2021); Méndez et al. (2020); Murray and Poland (2020)	LE	Coirolo et al. (2013); Singh et al. (2018); Aleksandrova (2020); Bordon Rosa and Lykke Strøbech (2020)	Zickgraf (2019); Doelle and Seck (2020); Kupferberg (2021); Ajibade (2019); Piggott-McKellar et al. (2019a); Henrique and Tschakert (2020); Ajibade et al. (2020); Wilmsen and Webber (2015); Dannenberg et al. (2019); Desai et al. (2021); Tabe (2019); See and Wilmsen (2020); Alverio et al. (2021); Bronen and Chapin (2013); See and Wilmsen (2020); Forsyth and Peiser (2021)	Mallick et al. (2017); Suckall et al. (2017); Jessoe et al. (2018); Kälin (2018); Koubi et al. (2018); Linke et al. (2018); Warner (2018); Adams and Kay (2019b); Cattaneo et al. (2019); Deshpande et al. (2019); Jacobson et al. (2019); Natarajan et al. (2019); Zickgraf (2019); Hauer et al. (2020); Hoffmann et al. (2020); Doelle and Seck (2020); Adger et al. (2021); Nawrotzki and DeWaard (2018)
	Gender equity	LE Lee et al. (2020); Eriksen et al. (2021)		Bee et al. (2013); Coirolo et al. (2013); Mesquita and Bursztyn (2017); Mersha and van Laerhoven (2018); Centre (2019); Bowen et al. (2020); Su et al. (2020)	LE Bronen and Chapin (2013); See and Wilmsen (2020); Alverio et al. (2021)	Mitra (2018); Thober et al. (2018); Banerjee et al. (2019); Gioli and Milan (2019); Jacobson et al. (2019); Oakes (2019b); Piguet (2019); Singh (2019); Lama et al. (2020); Sedova and Kalkuhl (2020); Singh et al. (2020b); Desai et al. (2021)
	Intergenerational equity	LE Ziba (2018); Slobodian (2020); Fiack et al. (2021)	LE	Coirolo et al. (2013); Bowen et al. (2020); Tenzing (2020)		Schwartz et al. (2021); Piggott-McKellar et al. (2019a); Boston et al. (2021)
Environmental/ecological	Ecological capacity	NA	NA		Yeboah et al. (2020); See and Wilmsen (2020)	Singh et al. (2020c); Singh et al. (2020a); Wrathall (2012)
	Adaptive capacity/resilience			Castells-Quintana et al. (2018); Hansen et al. (2019); Centre (2019); Ulrichs et al. (2019); Fischer (2020); Mueller et al. (2020); Tenzing (2020)	Tebboth et al. (2019); Watts et al. (2021); Liu et al. (2020); See and Wilmsen (2020)	Wrathall (2012); Islam and Shamsuddoha (2017); Nawrotzki and Bakhtiyarava (2017); Kälin (2018); Warner (2018); Adams and Kay (2019b); Banerjee et al. (2019); Sedova and Kalkuhl (2020); Singh (2019); Hoffmann et al. (2020); Jacobson et al. (2019); Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer (2020); Maharjan et al. (2020a); Singh et al. (2020b); McLeman (2018); Adams and Kay (2019a); Semenza and Ebi (2019); Bettini and Gioli (2016)

		Population health and health system		Social safety nets		Planned relocation and resettlement		Human migration	
	Evidence	Medium		Medium		Low		Medium	
	Agreement	High		Medium		Medium		Medium	
Geophysical	Physical feasibility	NA		NA			Dandy et al. (2019); McNamara (2015); Mortreux et al. (2018); Albert et al. (2018); Lauer et al. (2021a)		Adams and Kay (2019b); Adger et al. (2020a); Cattaneo et al. (2019)
	Land use change enhancement potential	NA		NA		NA		NA	
	Hazard risk reduction potential	NA			Ulrichs et al. (2019); Tenzing (2020); Fischer (2020); Mueller et al. (2020)		Greiving et al. (2018); Hughes (2020); Jain and Bazaz (2020)		Islam and Shamsuddoha (2017); Adams and Kay (2019b); Jacobson et al. (2019); Semenza and Ebi (2019); Hoffmann et al. (2020); Hughes (2020); Kaczan and Orgill-Meyer (2020); Singh et al. (2020b); Singh and Basu (2020); McLeman (2018)

## SMCCB FEASIB.2 Adaptation and Mitigation Synergies and Trade-Offs as Discussed in Section CCB FEASIB.4

### SMCCB FEASIB.2.1 Adaptation Options with Mitigation Synergies and Trade-Offs

**Table SMCCB FEASIB.8** | Synergies and trade-offs of adaptation options with mitigation. The strength (strong, medium, weak) of the synergy or trade-off is indicated in brackets at the beginning of each statement, together with its confidence level.

Systems transitions	Adaptation option	Implications for mitigation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Energy system transitions	Resilient power infrastructures	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Strong synergies with mitigation goals as resilient infrastructure allows power generation systems to continue operations without disruptions (or minimal disruptions). This is especially important for renewable energy systems (Kennedy et al., 2013; O'Neill-Carrillo and Rivera-Quiñones, 2018).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> In rural landscapes, resilient power infrastructure ensures electricity availability during emergencies and protects the communities from any malfunction of the infrastructure itself. (Ley, 2017; Bertheau and Blechinger, 2018; Mazur et al., 2019).</p>	
	Reliable power systems	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Strong synergies with mitigation goals as reliable systems decrease the risk of disruptions and avoid the use of fossil fuels, in the cases where the main energy system is renewable energy, either centralised or decentralised (Ley, 2017; Sun et al., 2018; Lai et al., 2019; Mishra et al., 2020).</p>	
	Improve water use efficiency	<p><b>(medium synergy, high confidence)</b> Improved water use efficiency increases generation efficiency in certain natural gas combined cycle plants (Pan et al., 2018), while at the same time improving freshwater use and ensuring that water sources' ecological flows are not disturbed (Rasul and Sharma, 2016; Sánchez and Izzo, 2017; Stańczuk-Galwiczek et al., 2018). The improved water use efficiency, for example, in community micro-hydroelectric plants, allows for integrated water management across the watersheds that ensures water for irrigation, human consumption and other productive uses (Ley, 2017).</p>	



Systems transitions	Adaptation option	Implications for mitigation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Land and ecosystem transitions	Sustainable aquaculture and fisheries	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Sustainable aquaculture can enhance carbon sequestration (Ahmed et al., 2018); (Otuoze et al., 2018; Turolla et al., 2020; Mustafa et al., 2021) and ecosystem restoration (Stentiford et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Reducing impacts of sustainable aquaculture can have important co-benefits such as maintaining large quantities of organic carbon (Ahmed et al., 2018, 'blue carbon'; see Section 3.4.4.12 of IPCC SR1.5) and carbon ('blue carbon'; see section 3.4.4.12 of IPCC SR1.5) from exposure to the atmosphere (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2018).</p>	
	Integrated coastal zone management	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Implementation of nature-based solutions for coastal management can enhance and stabilise carbon sequestration capacity of the ecosystems (Propato et al., 2018; Morecroft et al., 2019; Morris et al., 2019; Donatti et al., 2020; Erfemeijer et al., 2020; Gómez Martín et al., 2020; Hanley et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2020; Krauss and Osland, 2020).</p>	
	Coastal defence and hardening		<p><b>(medium, high confidence)</b> Hard-engineering infrastructures can affect ecosystem function and services (Antunes do Carmo, 2018; Hamin et al., 2018).</p> <p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Building and protecting hard-engineering infrastructures may affect the demand for basic materials (e.g., steel and cement), which are carbon-intensive (Hamin et al., 2018). We have not found any estimates of the potential demand (WGIII Section 11.4.4).</p>
	Sustainable forest management and conservation, reforestation and afforestation	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Forest-based adaptation strategies have positive impacts on mitigation, carbon sequestration, biodiversity and provision of wood for buildings and bioenergy (Nabuurs et al., 2017; Shrestha and Dhakal, 2019; Ontl et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Avoided deforestation, prevented forest degradation and pro-forestation strategies reduce emissions of carbon into the atmosphere, while forest restoration, afforestation options and locally adapted climate smart forestry (including provision of timber for building), remove carbon from the atmosphere (Nabuurs et al., 2017; Favero et al., 2020; Ontl et al., 2020; Ota et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> These forest-based adaptation strategies have important climate change mitigation effects in all biomes (Chausson et al., 2020; Seddon et al., 2020a; Seddon et al., 2020b).</p>	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Over reliance on forest-based adaptation strategies may lead to an increased susceptibility to other climate-related hazards, such as wildfires, which emit large amounts of carbon and other greenhouse gases (GHGs) into the atmosphere (Nunes et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Forest restoration initiatives that promote fast-growing plantations of pulp and timber species such as <i>Pinus</i> and <i>Eucalyptus</i>, which are extremely flammable, exacerbate wildfire risk and ecosystem carbon loss, leading to increased GHG emissions (Fleischman et al., 2020). A proper management and choice of a variety of tree species can counteract this risk.</p>
	Biodiversity management and ecosystem connectivity	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Adaptation options incorporating a biodiversity management-based approach can positively impact forests' resilience and their long-term capacity as carbon sinks (Seddon et al., 2019; Chausson et al., 2020; Seddon et al., 2020a; Seddon et al., 2020b).</p>	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Without adequate and locally adapted measures, including a biodiversity management-based approach, vegetation-based adaptation alternatives might result in mal-mitigation (Yousefpour et al., 2017).</p>

Systems transitions	Adaptation option	Implications for mitigation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Land and ecosystem transitions	Improved cropland management	<p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> Improved cropland management practices and technologies (e.g., tillage methods, water application, nutrient management) reduce GHG emissions significantly but depend on technology type and the stage of its adoption, e.g., direct rice seeding can reduce methane emissions while laser land levelling can reduce energy used for irrigation (Aryal et al., 2020, in South Asia).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Combinations of improved cropland practices such as reduced or no tillage, nutrient management and residue recycling have a higher rate of soil organic carbon sequestration, of 427.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> under rice–rice systems (Yadav et al., 2019 in North East India), while optimised nutrient management through organic farmyard manure and other micronutrients increases soil organic carbon in maize–mustard cropping systems by up to 9.7% (Sarkar et al., 2018 in North East India).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Improved soil management practices increase soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks, e.g., in the North China Plain, such practices have increased SOC by 56–73% compared with initial stocks in the 1980s. Implementation of such practices in just 27% of China’s cropland increased annual carbon sequestration amount in surface soils to 10.9 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, contributing an estimated 43% of total carbon sequestration in China’s croplands (Han et al., 2018).</p> <p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> Emerging cropland management practices such as minimal tillage, stubble retaining and nutrient management increase soil organic carbon stocks but the extent varies with site-specific conditions (Singh et al., 2018, global review).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Integrated soil–crop system management can reduce GHG emissions by 19% and carbon footprint by 30% compared with traditional practices (Wang et al., 2020, Yangtze River basin, China).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Integrated soil fertility management and conservation agriculture contribute to climate change mitigation by reducing SOC losses (Sommer et al., 2018, in Western Kenya; Shah and Wu, 2019, global review).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Conservation agriculture has an estimated annual carbon sequestration benefit of 143 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> (Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2019, in Africa).</p>	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Improved cropland management practices aimed at increasing carbon sequestration in agriculture soils could lead to increased greenhouse gas emissions if the nitrogen inputs are not managed effectively. By 2060, around half of sites in Europe with carbon-mitigating agricultural practices could turn into a net source of greenhouse gases (Lugato et al., 2018).</p> <p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> The increase in soil organic carbon through climate-smart agriculture practices could be offset by increased nitrous oxide emissions within corn belt states in the USA (McNunn et al., 2020).</p>
	Efficient livestock systems	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Improving efficiency of livestock systems through practices such as integrated crop–livestock systems, shifting species and/or breeds, livestock corralling and changed grazeland management practices can have significant mitigation co-benefits (Rivera-Ferre et al., 2016).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Improving animal feeding and genetics can be effective mitigation measures (Rojas-Downing et al., 2017).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Producing and feeding improved forage (most suited to intensive and semi-intensive dairy farms, and mixed systems) can reduce emission intensities by 8–24% in Kenya, and up to 27% on mixed systems in Ethiopia (Ericksen and Crane, 2018).</p>	<p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> Over-intensified grasslands can cause excessive greenhouse gas emissions and ammonia production, negating the positive effect from grassland carbon sequestration (Accatino et al., 2019) but this depends on grassland management practices and local ecosystem characteristics (Rojas-Downing et al., 2017).</p>
	Agro-forestry	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Agro-forestry is generally found to have positive impacts on mitigation by improving carbon sequestration (Tschora and Cherubini, 2020).</p>	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Thinning of natural forest canopy to establish agricultural crops such as cocoa or coffee seedlings retains more trees than in a monoculture plantation, but carbon stocks are diminished (Tschora and Cherubini, 2020). In addition, over reliance on vegetation-based adaptation strategies may lead to an increased susceptibility to wildfires, which release large amounts of carbon into the atmosphere (Nunes et al., 2020).</p>

Systems transitions	Adaptation option	Implications for mitigation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Land and ecosystem transitions	Water use efficiency and water resource management	<p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> Water-saving irrigation practices such as alternate wetting and drying and soil water potential (SWP) have mitigation co-benefits through CH<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions. SWP also significantly reduced seasonal methane emissions by ~30% when combined with better fertilizer application (Islam et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> Integrated watershed management sequesters carbon by enhancing soil carbon storage through better yields and residue returns (Sikka et al., 2018).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Drip irrigation can reduce cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> flux by 194% in a year when compared with conventional flooding in rice cultivation (Fawibe et al. 2019, in Japan), increase CH<sub>4</sub> uptake by 22% and reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by 14.6% (Wang et al. 2016, in rice in China), while micro-irrigation saves energy use by 58% compared with conventional gravity irrigation (Kumar and Perry, 2019).</p>	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Some water use efficiency practices can increase water use and hence energy demand (Song et al., 2018, in China; Berbel et al., 2018).</p>
	Livelihood diversification	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Sustainable livelihood diversification (promoted by local and global frameworks such as REDD+) that are equitable and pro-poor yield substantial co-benefits spanning adaptation, mitigation and sustainable development (e.g., coffee agro-forestry systems in West Africa, Tschora and Cherubini, 2020; in India, Guillemot et al., 2018; mixed outcomes of forest carbon projects in India, Aggarwal and Brockington, 2020).</p> <p><b>(medium, high confidence)</b> Sustained evaluation and orientation to reform are however needed to ensure equal distribution of carbon revenues in land-based sustainable livelihood diversification but also meet local livelihood needs and ensure pro-poor benefit sharing (Atela et al., 2015; Asfaw et al., 2019; Shrestha and Dhakal, 2019).</p>	<p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> However, not all livelihood diversification options are pro-climate, particularly precarious mass risk hedging strategies across the rural–urban continuum in informal economies of southern geographies (Satterthwaite et al., 2018).</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> The extent of trade-offs with mitigation targets is understudied, however, qualitatively, the consensus is building around potential trade-offs between climate transitions, acute poverty and informal economy (Heine et al., 2019; Dorband et al., 2019).</p>
Urban system transitions	Sustainable land use and urban planning	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Land use and urban planning can be a tool for resilient cities, but also can lead to reduced emissions through incentivising high-density housing or investing in public transportation to replace private automobiles (Hughes, 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Climate-resilient urban buildings can also be built with low-carbon materials (Hughes, 2020).</p>	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> High-density cities can lead to fewer carbon emissions, but risks concentrating people and infrastructure in exposed locations (Hinkel et al., 2018).</p>
	Green infrastructure and ecosystem services	<p><b>(medium, high confidence)</b> Urban forestry and agriculture has mitigation benefits through increased carbon uptake, e.g., in Lugo, Spain, urban forestry and farming collectively sequester 0.26 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (De la Sota et al., 2019).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Urban agriculture can reduce energy intensive food transportation, improve soil carbon sequestration capacity (if sustainable agricultural practices are used), and enable transitions towards low-carbon, plant-based diets (Artmann and Sartison, 2018; Grafakos et al., 2019).</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Green infrastructure options such as xeriscaping and water-sensitive urban design (permeable surfaces, bioswales, etc.) can sequester carbon and have cooling effects that indirectly lead to reduced energy consumption (Sharifi, 2021).</p>	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> The lack of consideration of the heat–water–vegetation nexus can increase heat and water stress (Afshari, 2017; Upreti et al., 2017; Zardo et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2020; Rahman et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Mitigation policies towards urban greening can sometimes incentivise urban greening with low biodiversity value (e.g., afforestation with non-native monocultures) leading to maladaptive outcomes (Seddon et al., 2020a).</p>
	Sustainable water management, urban and infrastructure system transitions	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Reduction of energy and environmental implications of water supply methods (Ding and Ghosh, 2017; Liu and Jensen, 2018; Pérez-Uresti et al., 2019).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Strong co-benefits of demand-side management measures, for example, reduced leakages and water loss (Arfanzaman and Atiq Rahman, 2017; Chen et al., 2017; Stavenhagen et al., 2018).</p>	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Desalination has high energy demand with carbon emissions attached (Darre and Toor, 2018).</p>

Systems transitions	Adaptation option	Implications for mitigation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Over-arching adaptation options	Disaster risk management	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Incorporating environmental considerations into recovery decision making (Amin Hosseini et al., 2016), implementing disaster risk management plans and increasing <i>ex ante</i> resilience to disasters are important to reduce the extent of rebuilding following disasters, and the emissions associated with recovery.</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Post-disaster recovery can help rebuild in a more resilient way with less GHG emissions, or to 'build back better', particularly where immediate impact is substantial but not overwhelming (Guarnacci, 2012; Mochizuki and Chang, 2017).</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Effective disaster risk management may reduce the need for international transport of materials and other forms of aid, which can be emissions intensive (Abrahams, 2014).</p>	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> The urgency of recovery and the surge in demand for construction materials have been observed to promote unsustainable behaviours, including deforestation (Nazara and Resosudarmo, 2007; Ongpeng et al., 2019) or uncontrolled extraction of sand and gravel (Abrahams, 2014).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> 'Building back better' requires capacity, time and mechanisms for balancing competing desires and perspectives that are not necessarily available after severe disasters, and may be challenged by both local and external influences in the rebuilding process (Abrahams, 2014; O'Hare et al., 2016; Paidakaki and Moulaert, 2017).</p>
	Risk spreading and sharing	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Some insurance schemes adopted in the forestry and agricultural sector can lead to greater amount of biomass stored in soils and hence to an increased volume of carbon sequestered (Müller et al., 2017; Jørgensen et al., 2020; Loisel et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Insurance policies sustain the reconstruction and repair of damaged property and/or infrastructure and the return to the 'status quo', which may increase GHG emissions from the production of concrete and other needed materials of industrial origin (Cannon et al., 2020; Collier and Cox, 2021).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Access to crop and weather-indexed insurance schemes can drive farmers to adopt more intensive agricultural practices and increase agricultural productivity (Jørgensen et al., 2020), potentially increasing emissions related with the use of nitrogen fertilizers, lack of action to control ammonia and potential land use changes (e.g., deforestation). Increased food production may also increase food imports and their related transport GHG emissions.</p>
	Population health and health system	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Heat management strategies, including tree planting and other green infrastructure, cool roofing and paving, and a reduction in waste heat emissions from buildings and vehicles can lessen the health risk of rising temperatures, as well as lessen greenhouse gas emissions (Stone et al., 2019).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Groundwater-source heat pumps (GWSHP) are considered environmentally friendly and economically wise to use for heating and cooling buildings, and consequently have great potential to moderate greenhouse gas emissions (Osman and Sevinc, 2019).</p>	<p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> Use of indoor air conditioning can be an effective strategy to reduce heat exposure, stress and illness. However, this is associated with large energy consumption and may increase GHG emissions (Davide et al., 2019; Viguie et al., 2020), in turn worsening air quality and human health impacts (Abel et al., 2018).</p>
	Social safety nets	No new literature since SR1.5	
	Planned relocation and resettlement	Not applicable	Not applicable
	Human migration	Not applicable	Not applicable

SMCCB FEASIB.2.2 Mitigation Options with Adaptation Synergies and Trade-Offs

**Table SMCCB FEASIB.9** | Synergies and trade-offs of mitigation options with adaptation. The strength (strong, medium, weak) of the synergy or trade-off is indicated in square brackets at the beginning of each statement, together with its confidence level.

Systems transitions	Mitigation options	Implications for adaptation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Energy system transitions	Solar energy	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Generates employment opportunities particularly during construction; reduced local pollution; clean energy access in rural areas (Joshi et al., 2019; Karlsson et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> When produced on-site, solar power provides electricity supply in the case of grid failure due to natural disasters or very high temperatures shutting off large power stations overheating the transmission/distribution network. Solar technologies such as thermal and solar cooking can also contribute to on-site energy security (Fang and Wei, 2013; Wei et al., 2013).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Solar photovoltaic is a renewable energy source whose output is relatively robust to climate change (Yalew et al., 2020; Gemaat et al., 2021), therefore it reduces emissions while increasing energy security (Shen and Lior, 2016).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Solar can be complementary to other renewable energy sources, reducing system vulnerabilities (Beluco et al., 2012; Buttler et al., 2016; Kougias et al., 2016; Viviescas et al., 2019; Shen and Lior, 2016).</p>	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Generation of e-waste; land requirement; competition with food production; vulnerability of local communities due to large scale plants, although the impact is highly location and context-specific (Stock et al., 2019).</p>
	Wind energy	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Wind can be complementary to other renewable energy sources, windmills over new forests are possible; reducing system vulnerabilities (Beluco et al., 2012; Buttler et al., 2016; Kougias et al., 2016; Viviescas et al., 2019).</p>	
	Hydroelectric power	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Multiple uses for hydropower reservoirs can bring socioeconomic benefits and reduce flood risk (Xu et al., 2015).</p> <p><b>(strong, low confidence)</b> Hydropower reservoir storage capacity can buffer changes in river flow, helping to manage hydrological variability (Schaeffer et al., 2012).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Hydropower can be complementary to other renewable energy sources, reducing system vulnerabilities (Beluco et al., 2012; Kougias et al., 2016; Viviescas et al., 2019).</p>	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> May cause loss of biodiversity; and adverse impacts on local communities and the environment. In the case of drought, there is not enough water to produce electricity or need to divert water for irrigation or human use (Oliveira et al., 2016).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> At large-scale, hydropower can result in land use conflicts, and could lead to maladaptation.</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Hydropower may be vulnerable to climate change impacts through changes in the hydrological cycle, increasing electric system vulnerability (Schaeffer et al., 2012; Lucena et al., 2018; Yalew et al., 2020).</p>
	Nuclear	<p><b>(medium, high confidence)</b> Increases energy security; provides direct non-CO<sub>2</sub> emitting energy access (Prävälje and Bandoc, 2018; Sovacool et al., 2020a).</p>	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Represents several risks to local communities, especially health and the environment in the case of a disaster (Hatch et al., 2005; Grubler, 2010; Sovacool, 2010; Howard, 2014; McCurry, 2015; Ohtsuru et al., 2015; Wheatley et al., 2016b; Wheatley et al., 2016a; Gilbert et al., 2017; Portugal-Pereira et al., 2018; Sase et al., 2021), however, there is low trade-off and medium confidence of operation outside of normal operating conditions (Prävälje and Bandoc, 2018).</p> <p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Levelised costs of electricity (LCOE) are higher than variable renewable energies (VREs). Thermal inertia of nuclear power plants (NPP) makes it challenging to complement VREs (Sovacool et al., 2020b).</p>
	Carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS)	<p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Diversification of livelihood for people in areas of geological sequestration; potential for just transition away from high polluting industry jobs (Buck et al., 2020)</p> <p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Training for workers currently engaged in fossil fuel extraction to create a community of practice on carbon management (Buck et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(medium, low confidence)</b> Even though large-scale deployment of CCS is not necessarily found to lead to higher long-term water consumption from fossil-fueled power generation compared with systems without CCS (e.g., Kyle et al., 2013), for coal-fired power plants located in water-scarce areas, the additional water consumption required by CCS could create competition with other human activities for local water resources (Merschmann et al., 2013; Rosa et al., 2020), potentially undermining local adaptation efforts.</p>



Systems transitions	Mitigation options	Implications for adaptation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Energy system transitions	Bioenergy and bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS)	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Enhanced productivity when done properly as part of ongoing agriculture and forestry; enhanced waste recycling; enhanced income for farmers and forest owners when bioenergy is derived from residues and low-quality wood; favours local employment; local energy that can compensate for fluctuations from wind and solar. Clear air quality improvement and reduced air pollution (Shyamsundar et al., 2019) and non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Garg et al., 2011), if counterfactual is to burn residues in the field.</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> When designed properly, bioenergy plantations can serve as connectivity pathways between nature areas (WGIII Section 12.5).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Modern bioenergy provides clean energy access (WGIII Section 12.5.2).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Bioelectricity complements VREs and reservoir hydropower as a balancing power source thus helping to ensure grid stability and quality, and in situations where hydro is limited due to drought (Lehtveer and Fridahl, 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Clear air quality improvement if counterfactual is to burn residues in the field (SDG 3) (Smith et al., 2019).</p>	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> There are clear absolute limits to amounts of bioenergy feasible; if derived from very large (mal-designed) bioenergy plantations then many risks and trade-offs occur with biodiversity pressure and loss, competition for food, food–water security risks, soil degradation due to overuse of fertilizers (WGIII Section 7.4.4; WGIII Section 12.5).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Poorly sited energy crops can reduce water availability for agriculture and settlements (WGIII Section 12.5.2).</p>
	Fossil fuels phase out	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Produces benefits to the local environment and health co-benefits (Ambasta and Buonocore, 2018; Lelieveld et al., 2019; Rauner et al., 2020).</p>	
	Energy storage for low-carbon grids	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Increases energy security (Gür, 2018); produces employment opportunities (Ram et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Generation of e-waste if recycling/circular economy not put into place (Gautam et al., 2021); impacts of mining of metals for battery components (Flexer et al., 2018) and unequal access to precious minerals (Prior et al., 2013; Watari, 2021).</p>
	Demand side mitigation	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Reduced energy costs; enhanced energy access (Aklin et al., 2018).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Less demand for energy, which can be supplied by emergency batteries, small generators or solar panel in case of grid failure (Sehar et al., 2016).</p>	
	System integration	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Clean, reliable, flexible and affordable energy system (Hanna et al., 2018; van der Roest et al., 2021).</p>	<p><b>(limited evidence)</b> Increase energy costs (Brown et al., 2018).</p>
Land and Ecosystem transitions	Healthy balanced diets, rich in plant-based food (less animal-based); and reduced food waste	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Reduces pressure on forests, protecting biodiversity; decreases production intensity and use of inputs; improves population health and enhances health benefits, prevents malnutrition by providing access to food (Bodirsky et al., 2020; WGIII Section 12.4; WGIII Section 7.4.5.1; WGIII Section 7.4.5.2).</p> <p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Reducing food waste may enhance access to food, reduce food prices and—if combined with measures to improve distributional inequity and counter rebound effects—lead to more equal access to food (WGIII Section 7.4.5.1; WGIII Section 7.4.5.2; WGIII Section 12.4.4).</p> <p><b>(weak, high confidence)</b> Reduction of food waste decreases use of inputs, pressure on (crop)land and reduces food costs. Solutions such as smart packaging can reduce food waste avoiding potential food safety risks (WGIII Section 7.4.5.1; WGIII Section 7.4.5.2; WGIII Section 12.4.3.5).</p>	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Mostly a measure for the affluent society; a possible decrease in the price might lead to a rebound effect; shift to unsustainable fisheries may occur; reduced farmers' incomes when transition is not done in the right manner or without support (WGIII Section 7.4.5.1; WGIII Section 7.4.5.2).</p>
	Reduce overconsumption	<p><b>(weak, high confidence)</b> Improved dietary health and other health benefits, can enhance food security and environmental protection (Bodirsky et al., 2020; WGIII Section 12.4; WGIII Section 7.4.5.1; WGIII Section 7.4.5.2).</p>	
	Reduce non-CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from agriculture	<p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> Can enhance production efficiency, nutrient recovery, reduce localised pollution, improve air quality, reduce water eutrophication (e.g., Smith et al., 2019), improve animal welfare, enhance soil quality (e.g., increase soil organic matter content), enhance rural livelihoods and food security (e.g., Di and Cameron, 2016; Herrero et al., 2016; Mbow et al., 2019; Beauchemin et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020; WGIII Section 7.4.3).</p>	<p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> Measures may cause toxicity or animal welfare issues, be antagonistic regarding different pollutants (e.g., potentially reducing N<sub>2</sub>O but increasing NH<sub>3</sub> emissions) causing localised environmental degradation, or indirectly drive increased reliance on external inputs or land use change (LUC) and associated ecological damage (e.g., from increased production of concentrates for livestock feed), all potentially impacting adaptation capacity (e.g., Beauchemin et al., 2020; Di and Cameron, 2016; Ackrill and Abdo, 2020; Ba et al., 2020; Brandt et al., 2020; Eckard and Clark, 2020; WGIII Section 7.4.3).</p>

Systems transitions	Mitigation options	Implications for adaptation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Land and Ecosystem transitions	Reforestation and restoration of other ecosystems	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Increased provision of ecosystem services and goods, such as improved regulation of microclimate, increased groundwater recharge and watershed protection, improved quality of air and water, reduced soil erosion, expansion of biomass coverage, and improved habitat for wildlife and biodiversity (Buotte et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> Poverty reduction, creation of rural jobs, diversification of farming income, increased access to financial resources and ecosystem services markets for farmers, increased supply of wood for buildings and bioenergy, increased supply of drinking water to urban centres, reduction of risks associated with natural disasters and extreme weather events such as floods and landslides (Nabuurs et al., 2017; Bustamante et al., 2019; Soto-Navarro et al., 2020; von Holle et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> May increase susceptibility to other climate-related hazards, such as fire (Nunes et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Forest restoration-based mitigation could reduce the availability of productive agricultural land with potentially significant social and environmental consequences, including potential conflicts over land for agriculture, and rights and access of local people to forest resources when restoration initiatives are not duly planned nor funding has been secured, in addition to loss of biodiversity and other ecosystem functions, such as diminished water runoff as a result of upstream reforestation, (Bustamante et al., 2019).</p>
	Enhance carbon in agricultural systems	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Can improve soil quality by enhancing soil structure or soil biodiversity, thereby improving nutrient status/cycling, water holding capacity (increasing resilience to drought), or drainage (reducing erosion and runoff risk), increase crop yields, enhance land use efficiency (e.g., from increased yields, multi-cropping, diverse crop rotations or inclusion of trees or woody shrubs) and food security (e.g., Mbow et al., 2014; Smith and Leiserowitz, 2014; Lal, 2015; Powlson et al., 2016; Lal et al., 2018; Mbow et al., 2019).</p>	<p><b>(medium, high confidence)</b> Increased nitrogen inputs may be required to increase organic matter inputs (potentially offsetting some benefits regarding sequestration or causing localised air and water pollution), the effectiveness of practices is highly context specific, certain practices (e.g., using cover crops) can have variable biophysical impacts, or may change local hydrology (e.g., agro-forestry), while there are issues around saturation and permanence, as soil organic carbon gains can be easily reversed (e.g., Hirsch et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020).</p>
	Protect and avoid conversion of forests and other ecosystems (e.g., peatlands or natural grasslands)	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Increased provision of ecosystem services and goods, such as improved regulation of microclimate, increased groundwater recharge and watershed protection, improved quality of air and water, reduced soil erosion, expansion of biomass coverage and improved habitat for wildlife and biodiversity (Buotte et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> When combined with sustainable management, it may lead to poverty reduction, creation of rural jobs, diversification of farming income, increased access to financial resources and ecosystem services markets for farmers, increased supply of drinking water to urban centres, reduction of risks associated with natural disasters and extreme weather events such as floods and landslides (Bustamante et al., 2019; Soto-Navarro et al., 2020; von Holle et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> May increase susceptibility to other climate-related hazards, such as fire (Nunes et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Favouring forests over productive uses of land may affect local and rural communities' livelihoods dependent on agriculture, including conflicts over access and rights to land (Ambrosino et al., 2020).</p>
	Sustainable management of forests and other ecosystems	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Increased provision of ecosystem services and goods, such as improved regulation of microclimate, increased groundwater recharge and watershed protection, improved quality of air and water, reduced soil erosion, expansion of biomass coverage, and improved habitat for wildlife and biodiversity (Buotte et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> sustainable provision of wood resources for building and bioenergy, poverty reduction, creation of rural jobs, increased access to financial resources and ecosystem services, reduction of risks associated with natural disasters and extreme weather events such as floods and landslides (Bustamante et al., 2019; Soto-Navarro et al., 2020; von Holle et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(weak, medium confidence)</b> May increase susceptibility to other climate-related hazards, such as fire (Nunes et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Favouring forests over productive uses of land may affect local and rural communities' livelihoods dependent on agriculture, including conflicts over access and rights to land (Ambrosino et al., 2020).</p>
	Biomass crops for bioenergy, biochar and other bio-based products	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Enhanced income for farmers and forest owners (SDG1, 8) (Smith et al., 2019).</p> <p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Strategically integrated energy crops can enhance landscape heterogeneity, produce wood for buildings and other applications, supporting biodiversity conservation, bioeconomy (SDG15), and reduce risk of flooding, soil erosion and impacts of drought (WGIII Section 12.5.2; Smith et al., 2019).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Strong synergy with SDG7 (produces energy) and also 12 if replacing fossil energy (WGIII Section 7.4.4; Smith et al., 2019).</p>	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Large-scale biomass plantations could impact conservation of biodiversity (SDG15), compete for land with food production (SDG2), and compete for water in dry areas or pollute water through heavy fertilizer use (SDG6, 3, 14) (WGIII Section 12.5.2; WGIII Section 7.4.4).</p>

Systems transitions	Mitigation options	Implications for adaptation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Urban system transitions	Envelope improvement	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Enhanced insulation leading to thermal comfort improvement, enhanced resilience from extreme temperatures (Barbosa et al., 2015; Bhikhoo et al., 2017).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Observed impacts of greening of façade/envelope/green roofs on water footprint of building; may also reduce the urban heat island effect (Razzaghmanesh et al., 2016; Castiglia Feitosa and Wilkinson, 2018).</p>	<p><b>(medium, high confidence)</b> In certain moderate to warm climates, attention must be paid to increases in air tightness in buildings due to thermal insulation, which may cause building overheating, in particular in regions without air conditioning (Dadoo and Gustavsson, 2016; Fosas et al., 2018; WGIII Section 9.7).</p> <p>For the time being, literature is divided (Baniassadi and Sailor, 2018; Collins and Dempsey, 2019).</p>
	Heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC).	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Passive cooling and energy efficient HVAC systems contribute to increased thermal comfort, reducing the cooling needs associated with higher temperatures (van Hooff et al., 2016; Andrić et al., 2019; Rosse Caldas et al., 2020; WGIII Chapter 9; Triana et al., 2018).</p>	<p><b>(medium, high confidence)</b> Mechanical (compressors-based air conditioning) may increase the outdoor air temperature and thus affect the population/household without air conditioning. Therefore passive/natural cooling is the preferable solution (Ohashi et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Space cooling can be an important determinant of peak demand in periods of extreme heat (International Energy Agency 2018). Warmer climates and higher frequency and intensity of heatwaves can lead to higher loads (Dirks et al., 2015; Auffhammer et al., 2017), increasing the risk of grid failure and supply interruptions.</p>
	Efficient appliances	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Efficient cooling and heating contribute to increased thermal comfort. Efficient domestic appliances (or off-grid appliances) use less energy and can be run on batteries during climate-induced natural disasters such as storms, hurricanes and typhoons (de Almeida et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Increasing energy efficiency may reduce the amount of energy needed to fulfil higher space cooling needs under a warming climate (Davide et al., 2019; Bezerra et al., 2021).</p>	N/A
	Change in construction methods and materials	<p><b>(medium, low confidence)</b> Biomaterials (e.g., bioconcrete) reduced emissions as well as cooling needs for ensuring thermal comfort/enhanced resilience from extreme temperatures (Rosse Caldas et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> One key feature that may reduce cooling needs is large thermal mass in buildings and increased natural ventilation, allowing, for example, nighttime cooling (Calcerano and Cecchini, 2015).</p>	N/A
	Active and passive management and operation	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Human behaviour and active energy management will reduce the energy demand and offer more flexibility to allow a higher usage of renewable energy sources. A propensity to moderate energy consumption will help in case of reduced energy supply or intermittent energy supply due to climate-induced natural disaster (Alexander and Yacoumis, 2018; Pfeiffer et al., 2021).</p>	N/A
	Digitalisation	<p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Digitalisation in buildings, water, energy and transport systems will result in more efficiency and less GHG emissions hence less energy use in the case of disruption to the energy supply (Rudram et al., 2016; Balogun et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Digitalisation could be vulnerable to climate-induced hazard or cyber security attacks; if digital system fails no subsystems would work (Fekete and Rhyner, 2020).</p>
	Flexible comfort requirements	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> Building occupants allowing for higher indoor temperatures in summer and cooler indoor temperatures in winter will reduce energy consumption and be more adapted to heating and cooling disruptions (Albatayneh et al., 2019; Ming et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Thermal comforts differ across genders, so thermal discomfort could potentially increase for women if their preferences are not incorporated into flexible comfort requirements (Jabeen, 2019; McCall et al., 2019).</p>
	Circular and shared economy	<p><b>(strong, medium confidence)</b> Shared economy would enable citizens and organisations to reduce building space and share space, for example common spaces in buildings for social activities or specific tasks or shared offices. This flexibility in space requirements could be used in case of natural disaster (Gullström et al., 2017).</p>	N/A
	Renewable energy (RE) production	<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> On-site RE production could help building resilience in face of extreme events leading to infrastructure and electricity grid disruption (Pagliaro, 2019; Mahzarnia et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Possible impact on hydro by drought or other climate-induced disaster (van Vliet et al., 2016).</p>
	Fuel efficiency in transport	<p><b>(weak, low confidence)</b> Vehicles requiring less fuel per mileage would allow for transport of people or goods in the case of disruptions to the fuel distribution chain (Liimatainen et al., 2018).</p>	N/A



Systems transitions	Mitigation options	Implications for adaptation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Urban system transitions	Electromobility	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Makes vehicles and public transport independent of fuel distribution systems and may allow for vehicles to be charged with solar or renewable energies when available, in addition it reduces the urban heat island effect and air pollution (Yamaguchi and Ihara, 2020).	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> In the case of disruption of the electricity network and the lack of on-site renewable energies, it is easier to store energy in liquid fuels than in batteries (Liimatainen et al., 2018).
	Urban land use and spatial planning	<i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Resilience towards extreme events. Avoiding buildings in areas at risk (for example from forest fires or flooding). Building new developments in areas with water supply and good and redundant communication networks (Hughes, 2020). <i>(strong, high confidence)</i> High-density cities reduce transportation and emissions from buildings (Hughes, 2020).	<i>(strong, high confidence)</i> High-density cities can concentrate people and infrastructure in exposed locations, for example enhancing the heat islands effect (Hinkel et al., 2018).
	Response option: district heating and cooling network	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Resilience to extreme temperature (Tremeac et al., 2012).	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> May be affected by natural disaster, on-site heat/cold generation could be preferable (Tremeac et al., 2012).
	Urban nature-based solutions	<i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Green and blue spaces can both aid decarbonisation and alleviate urban heat island effects, as well as potentially reduce floods impacts from storms (Alves et al., 2019).	<i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Urban nature can potentially be inequitably distributed across social and economic groups, resulting in increased vulnerability, usually for ethnic minorities and low-income groups (Amorim Maia et al., 2020; Venter et al., 2020).
	Waste prevention, minimisation and management	<i>(strong, low confidence)</i> Lead to health and environmental benefits. Free up land that can be used for greening the cities (Koop and van Leeuwen, 2017).	N/A
	Integrating sector, strategies and innovations	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Integration can both result in synergies and trade-offs for the various sectors, strategies and innovations (Uittenbroek et al., 2013).	N/A
Industrial system transitions	Industrial energy efficiency	<i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> Energy efficiency reduces the pressure on energy supplies and, if combined with demand flexibility, increases resilience of industrial production and the electricity system (WGIII Sections 11.3.4, 11.3.5). <i>(strong, high confidence)</i> Reduced energy demand, cost of production; enhances resource conservation (Goldman et al., 2012).	<i>(limited evidence)</i> There is no evidence of trade-offs between industrial energy efficiency and adaptation but some evidence of mainly positive co-benefits with SDGs (WGIII Section 11.5.3.3).
	Materials efficiency and demand management	<i>(weak, low confidence)</i> Reduced demand for basic materials (e.g., cement, steel, wood) means less pressure on primary resources and may in that way have synergies with adaptation, but we have no evidence of a clear link. There are mainly co-benefits with other SDGs (WGIII Section 11.5.3.1).	NE
	Circular economy	<i>(limited evidence)</i> Improved circularity means less pressure on primary resources and may in that way have synergies with adaptation but we have no evidence of a clear link. There are mainly co-benefits with other SDGs (WGIII Section 11.5.3.2).	<i>(limited evidence)</i> There are no obvious trade-offs with adaptation and we have found no evidence of such (WGIII Sections 11.4.4 and 11.5.3.2).
	Electrification and fuel switching	<i>(weak, high confidence)</i> Electrification is a key option to decarbonise primary materials production and it can be done in ways so that demand is flexible (e.g., with electrolysis and hydrogen storage) and thus support the balancing of electricity grids (WGIII Section 11.3.5).	<i>(limited evidence)</i> We have found no clear trade-offs with adaptation but some SDG co-benefits (WGIII Section 11.5.3.4).
	Carbon dioxide capture and utilisation (CCU)	<i>(weak, medium confidence)</i> A key strategy to avoid GHG emissions throughout the lifecycle of chemicals is to use biomass feedstock, including CCU with biogenic carbon dioxide (WGIII Section 11.4.1.3). If used to produce synthetic hydrocarbons and alcohols, these can be used by existing long-lived energy and feedstock infrastructure, transport and storage, which can compensate for seasonal supply fluctuations and contribute to enhancing energy security (WGIII Section 11.3.6). <i>(weak, low confidence)</i> CCU pathways can offer entry points for local diversification, see also CCS and enhanced weathering (EW) (Buck et al., 2020).	<i>(medium, medium confidence)</i> Some CCU pathways are subject to the same trade-offs as assessed for <i>Biomass crops for bioenergy, biochar and other bio-based products</i> , above under <b>Land and ecosystems transitions</b> , to the degree they use biomass as feedstock. <i>(strong, medium confidence)</i> Many CCU pathways consume considerable amounts of energy (Hepburn et al., 2019), potentially increasing the vulnerability of energy supply (indicating also a potential trade-off with SDG7). <i>(medium, low confidence)</i> CCU pathways involving CCS feature the same trade-offs with respect to water impacts of the capture process as outlined above (Merschmann et al., 2013; Rosa et al., 2020), indicating medium trade-offs also for SDGs 4, 6 and 15.
	Industrial CCS	The same assessment as for <i>Energy CCS and Bioenergy and BECCS</i> under <b>Energy system transitions</b> applies.	The same assessment as for <i>Energy CCS and Bioenergy and BECCS</i> under <b>Energy system transitions</b> applies.

Systems transitions	Mitigation options	Implications for adaptation	
		Synergies	Trade-offs
Cross-sectoral	Direct air carbon capture and storage (DACCS)		<p><b>(strong, high confidence)</b> High energy needs can be at odds with energy security and thus also SDG7 (WGIII Section 12.3.1.1; de Coninck et al., 2018).</p> <p><b>(medium, medium evidence)</b> Liquid solution technologies require a significant amount of water (WGIII Section 12.3.1.1; Fasihi et al., 2019) creating potential trade-offs with adaptation in dry areas, though under certain conditions water could also be produced (Fasihi et al., 2019).</p>
	Enhanced weathering (EW)	<p><b>(strong, limited evidence)</b> Enhanced agricultural yields through EW treatment, raising adaptive capacity in food provision (Smith et al., 2019; Beerling et al., 2018).</p> <p><b>(weak, limited evidence)</b> Diversification of livelihood for communities with potential for mining minerals (Buck et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(limited evidence)</b> Training to improve soil health with amendments (excluding use of minerals with risk of heavy metal release, e.g., Hartmann et al. 2013), integrated into soil health assistance (Buck et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(limited evidence)</b> Tracking application of crushed basalt to agricultural land combined with advice on yield optimisation under climate change (Buck et al., 2020).</p>	<p><b>(limited evidence)</b> Entrenching social inequalities in mining communities (Buck et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(limited evidence)</b> Control by mining corporations with low social license, limiting participation (Buck et al., 2020).</p> <p><b>(medium, medium confidence)</b> Ecological trade-offs associated with mining and transport of minerals (Smith et al. 2019) that may negatively impact adaptive capacity, which may be significantly reduced by using excess industrial silicate materials instead of expanding mining (Beerling et al., 2020).</p>

## References

- Abdulai I, Vaast P, Hoffmann MP, Asare R, Jassogne L, Van Asten P, Rötter RP, Graefe S. Cocoa agroforestry is less resilient to sub-optimal and extreme climate than cocoa in full sun. *Glob Chang Biol*. 2018 Jan;24(1):273–286. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13885. Epub 2017 Sep 28. PMID: 28865146.
- Abel, D.W., et al., 2018: Air-quality-related health impacts from climate change and from adaptation of cooling demand for buildings in the eastern United States: an interdisciplinary modeling study. *PLoS Med.*, **15**(7), e1002599, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002599.
- Abrahams, D., 2014: The barriers to environmental sustainability in post-disaster settings: a case study of transitional shelter implementation in Haiti. *Disasters*, **38**, 25–S49, doi:10.1111/disa.12054.
- Accatino, F., et al., 2019: Trade-offs and synergies between livestock production and other ecosystem services. *Agric. Syst.*, **168**, 58–72, doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2018.08.002.
- Acevedo, M., Pixley, K., Zinyengere, N. et al. A scoping review of adoption of climate-resilient crops by small-scale producers in low- and middle-income countries. *Nat. Plants* **6**, 1231–1241 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00783-z>
- Ackrill, R. and H. Abdo, 2020: On-farm anaerobic digestion uptake barriers and required incentives: a case study of the UK East Midlands region. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **264**, 121727, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121727.
- Adam, H., Osbahr, H. (2019). Factors Influencing Rural Livelihood Diversification Activities among Women in Northern Region of Ghana. *International Journal of Agricultural Science, Research and Technology in Extension and Education Systems*, **9**(3), 115–123.
- Adams, A.M., Gillespie, A.W., Dhillon, G.S., Kar, G., Minielly, C., Koala, S., Ouattara, B., Kimaro, A.A., Bationo, A., Schoenau, J.J. and Peak, D., 2020. Long-term effects of integrated soil fertility management practices on soil chemical properties in the Sahel. *Geoderma*, **366**, p.114207.
- Adams, H., Kay, S., 2019. *Migration as a human affair: Integrating individual stress thresholds into quantitative models of climate migration*, *Environmental Science & Policy*, (93), 129–138, ISSN 1462-9011, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.10.015>.
- Adger WN, de Campos RS, Siddiqui T, et al. Human security of urban migrant populations affected by length of residence and environmental hazards. *Journal of Peace Research*. 2021;58(1):50–66. doi:10.1177/0022343320973717
- Adger, W.N., Crépin, A.S., Folke, C., Ospina, D., Chapin III, F.S., Segerson, K., Seto, K.C., Anderies, J.M., Barrett, S., Bennett, E.M. and Daily, G., 2020. Urbanization, migration, and adaptation to climate change. *One Earth*, **3**(4), pp.396–399, doi:10.1016/j.oneear.2020.09.016.
- Adhikari, S., Keshav, C.A., Barlaya, G., Rathod, R., Mandal, R.N., Ikmail, S., Saha, G.S., De, H.K., Sivaraman, I., Mahapatra, A.S. and Sarkar, S., 2018. Adaptation and mitigation strategies of climate change impact in freshwater aquaculture in some states of India. *Journal of Fisheries Sciences*. com, **12**(1), pp.16–21.
- Adolwa, I.S., Schwarze, S. and Buerkert, A., 2019. Impacts of integrated soil fertility management on yield and household income: The case of Tamale (Ghana) and Kakamega (Kenya). *Ecological Economics*, **161**, pp.186–192.
- Adzawla, W., Baumüller, H., Donkoh, S.A., Serra, R., 2020. Effects of climate change and livelihood diversification on the gendered productivity gap in Northern Ghana. *Climate and Development*, **12**:8, 743–755, doi: 10.1080/17565529.2019.1689093
- Afshari, A., 2017: A new model of urban cooling demand and heat island—application to vertical greenery systems (VGS). *Energy Build.*, **157**, 204–217, doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.01.008.
- Agegnehu, G. and Amede, T., 2017. Integrated soil fertility and plant nutrient management in tropical agro-ecosystems: a review. *Pedosphere*, **27**(4), pp.662–680. doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(17)60382-5.
- Aggarwal, A. and D. Brockington, 2020: Reducing or creating poverty? Analyzing livelihood impacts of forest carbon projects with evidence from India. *Land Use Policy*, **95**, 104608, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104608.
- Ahmed, N., Bunting, S.W., Rahman, S. and Garforth, C.J., 2014. Community-based climate change adaptation strategies for integrated prawn–fish–rice farming in Bangladesh to promote social–ecological resilience. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, **6**(1), pp.20–35. doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126604.
- Ahmed, N. and Turchini, G.M., 2021. Recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS): Environmental solution and climate change adaptation. *Journal of Cleaner production*, **297**, p.126604. doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126604.
- Ahmed, N., Ward, J.D., Thompson, S., Saint, C.P. and Diana, J.S., 2018. Blue-green water nexus in aquaculture for resilience to climate change. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture*, **26**(2), pp.139–154. doi: 10.1080/23308249.2017.1373743
- Ajibade, I., 2019: Planned retreat in Global South megacities: disentangling policy, practice, and environmental justice. *Clim. Change*, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02535-1.
- Ajibade, I., M. Sullivan and M. Haeffner, 2020: “Why Climate Migration Is Not Managed Retreat: Six Justifications.” *Global environmental change*, v. 65 , pp. 102187. doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102187
- Aklin, M., C.Y. Cheng and J. Urpelainen, 2018: Social acceptance of new energy technology in developing countries: a framing experiment in rural India, 466–477. ISSN 0301-4215. doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.10.059.
- Aktürk, G., Lerski, M. Intangible cultural heritage: a benefit to climate-displaced and host communities. *J Environ Stud Sci* **11**, 305–315 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13412-021-00697-y>
- Alam, A. F., Begum, H., Masud, M.M., Al-Amin, A.Q. and Leal Filho, W., 2020. Agriculture insurance for disaster risk reduction: A case study of Malaysia. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, **47**, p.101626. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101626
- Albatayneh, A., D. Alterman, A. Page and B. Moghtaderi, 2019: The significance of the adaptive thermal comfort limits on the air-conditioning loads in a temperate climate. *Sustainability*, **11**(2), doi:10.3390/su11020328.
- Albert, S., et al., 2018: Heading for the hills: climate-driven community relocations in the Solomon Islands and Alaska provide insight for a 1.5°C future. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **18**, 1–12, doi:10.1007/s10113-017-1256-8.
- Albright, K., P. Shah, M. Santodomingo and J. Scandlyn, 2020: Dissemination of information about climate change by state and local public health departments: United states, 10(8):1184–1190. *Am J Public Health*. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2020.305723.
- Albris, K., Lauta, K.C. and Raju, E., 2020. Strengthening governance for disaster prevention: the enhancing risk management capabilities guidelines. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*, **47**, p.101647.
- Alcántara-Ayala, I., D. Rodríguez-Velázquez, R.J. Garnica-Peña and A. Maldonado-Martínez, 2020: Multi-sectoral reflections and efforts in strengthening partnerships to reduce disaster risk in Mexico: the first Muse-IDRiM conference. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Sci.*, **11**(5), 686–691, doi:10.1007/s13753-020-00302-w.
- Aleksandrova, M., 2020. Principles and considerations for mainstreaming climate change risk into national social protection frameworks in developing countries. *Climate and Development*, **12**(6), pp.511–520. doi: 10.1080/17565529.2019.1642180.
- Alexander, M. and S. Dessai, 2019: What can climate services learn from the broader services literature? *Clim. Change*, **157**, 133–149, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02388-8.
- Alexander, S. and P. Yacoumis, 2018: Degrowth, energy descent, and ‘low-tech’ living: potential pathways for increased resilience in times of crisis. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **197**, 1840–1848, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.100.
- Ali, E., A.Y.G. Egbendewe, T. Abdoulaye and D.B. Sarpong, 2020: Willingness to pay for weather index-based insurance in semi-subsistence agriculture: evidence from northern Togo. *Clim. Policy*, **20**(5), 534–547, doi:10.1080/14693062.2020.1745742.

- Ali, T., et al., 2021: Integrating Indigenous perspectives and community-based disaster risk reduction: a pathway for sustainable Indigenous development in Northern Pakistan. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, **59**, 102263, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2021.102263.
- Alleway, H.K., et al., 2018: The ecosystem services of marine aquaculture: valuing benefits to people and nature, *609*(1): 59–68. doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy137.
- Alobo Loison, S., 2015: Rural livelihood diversification in sub-Saharan africa: a literature review, *Nature, BioScience*, 1125–1138. Routledge.
- Alverio, G.N., S.H. Hoagland, P. d and K.J. Mach, 2021: The role of international organizations in equitable and just planned relocation. *J Environ Stud Sci* **11**, 511–522. doi.org/10.1007/s13412-021-00698-x
- Alves, A., et al., 2019: Assessing the co-benefits of green-blue-grey infrastructure for sustainable urban flood risk management. *J. Environ. Manag.*, **239**, 244–254, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.03.036.
- Alves, B., D.B. Angnuureng, P. Morand and R. Almar, 2020: A review on coastal erosion and flooding risks and best management practices in West Africa: what has been done and should be done. *J Coast Conserv* **24**, 38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-020-00755-7
- Ambasta, A. and J.J. Buonocore, 2018: Carbon pricing: a win-win environmental and public health policy, *Can J Public Health*, **109**(5-6):779–781. doi: 10.17269/s41997-018-0099-5
- Ambrosino, C., Hufton, B., Nyawade, B.O., Osimbo, H. and Owiti, P., 2020. Integrating climate adaptation, poverty reduction, and environmental conservation in Kwale county, Kenya. *African Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation*, pp.1–19. doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45106-6\_118
- Amegnaglo, C.J., et al., 2017: Contingent valuation study of the benefits of seasonal climate forecasts for maize farmers in the Republic of Benin, West Africa. *Clim. Serv.*, **6**, 1–11, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2017.06.007.
- Amin Hosseini, S.M., A. de la Fuente and O. Pons, 2016: Multi-criteria decision-making method for assessing the sustainability of post-disaster temporary housing units technologies: a case study in Bam, 2003. *Sustain. Cities Soc.*, **20**, 38–51, doi:10.1016/j.scs.2015.09.012.
- Amjath-Babu, T.S., P.K. Aggarwal and S. Vermeulen, (2019), Climate action for food security in South Asia? Analyzing the role of agriculture in nationally determined contributions to the Paris agreement, *Climate Policy*, **19**:3, 283–298, doi: 10.1080/14693062.2018.1501329
- Amorim Maia, A.T., et al., 2020: Hidden drivers of social injustice: uncovering unequal cultural ecosystem services behind green gentrification. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **112**, 254–263, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2020.05.021.
- Anderson, C.C. and F.G. Renaud, 2021: A review of public acceptance of nature-based solutions: the ‘why’, ‘when’, and ‘how’ of success for disaster risk reduction measures. *Ambio*, **50**(8), 1552–1573, doi:10.1007/s13280-021-01502-4.
- Anderson, S.C., Ward, E.J., Shelton, A.O., Adkison, M.D., Beaudreau, A.H., Brenner, R.E., Haynie, A.C., Shriver, J.C., Watson, J.T. and Williams, B.C., 2017. Benefits and risks of diversification for individual fishers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **114**(40), pp.10797–10802. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1702506114
- André, C., Boulet, D., Rey-Valette, H. and Rulleau, B., 2016. Protection by hard defence structures or relocation of assets exposed to coastal risks: Contributions and drawbacks of cost-benefit analysis for long-term adaptation choices to climate change. *Ocean & coastal management*, **134**, pp.173–182. doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.10.003
- Andrić, I., M. Koc and S.G. Al-Ghamdi, 2019: A review of climate change implications for built environment: impacts, mitigation measures and associated challenges in developed and developing countries. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **211**, 83–102, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.128.
- Angelovski, I., et al., 2019: New scholarly pathways on green gentrification: What does the urban ‘green turn’ mean and where is it going? *Progress in Human Geography*. 2019;43(6):1064–1086. doi:10.1177/0309132518803799
- Angelovski, I., Connolly, J.J., Pearsall, H., Shokry, G., Checker, M., Maantay, J., Gould, K., Lewis, T., Maroko, A. and Roberts, J.T., 2019. Opinion: Why green “climate gentrification” threatens poor and vulnerable populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **116**(52), pp.26139–26143.
- Angelovski, I., Irazábal-Zurita, C. and Connolly, J.J., 2019. Grabbed urban landscapes: Socio-spatial tensions in green infrastructure planning in Medellín. *International journal of urban and regional research*, **43**(1), pp.133–156.
- Apuri, I., Peprah, K. and Achana, G.T.W., 2018. Climate change adaptation through agroforestry: the case of Kassena Nankana West District, Ghana. *Environmental development*, **28**, pp.32–41.
- Araos M. (2021). Democracy underwater: public participation, technical expertise, and climate infrastructure planning in New York City. *Theory and society*, 1–34. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11186-021-09459-9
- Archer, D., 2016. Building urban climate resilience through community-driven approaches to development: Experiences from Asia. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*. **8**(5), 654–669. doi.org/10.1108/IJCCSM-03-2014-0035
- Arfanzuzaman, M. and A. Atiq Rahman, 2017: Sustainable water demand management in the face of rapid urbanization and ground water depletion for social-ecological resilience building. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.*, **10**, 9–22, doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2017.01.005.
- Arkema, K.K., et al., 2017: Linking social, ecological, and physical science to advance natural and nature-based protection for coastal communities, *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 1399(1): 5–26. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13322.
- Arkema, K.K., Guannel, G., Verutes, G., Wood, S.A., Guerry, A., Ruckelshaus, M., Kareiva, P., Lacayo, M. and Silver, J.M., 2013. Coastal habitats shield people and property from sea-level rise and storms. *Nature climate change*, **3**(10), pp.913–918. doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1944
- Arnold, J.L., et al., 2021: Rural climate resilience through built-environment interventions: modified deliberation with analysis as a tool to address barriers to adaptive capacity. *Reg. Stud. Reg. Sci.*, **8**(1), 1–24, doi:10.1080/121681376.2020.1854110.
- Arowolo, W., Blechinger, P., Cader, C. and Perez, Y., 2019. Seeking workable solutions to the electrification challenge in Nigeria: Minigrid, reverse auctions and institutional adaptation. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, **23**, pp.114–141. doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2018.12.007.
- Artmann, M. and K. Sartison, 2018: The role of urban agriculture as a nature-based solution: a review for developing a systemic assessment framework. *Sustainability*, **10**(6), doi:10.3390/su10061937.
- Aryal, J.P., et al., 2018: “Adoption of multiple climate-smart agricultural practices in the Gangetic plains of Bihar, India”, *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 407–427. doi: 10.1108/IJCCSM-02-2017-0025
- Aryal, J.P., M.B. Mehrotra, M.L. Jat and H.S. Sidhu, 2015: Impacts of laser land leveling in rice-wheat systems of the north-western indo-gangetic plains of India. *Food Sec.*, **7**, 725–738, doi:10.1007/s12571-015-0460-y.
- Aryal, J.P., et al., 2018: Factors determining the adoption of laser land leveling in the irrigated rice-wheat system in Haryana, India, *Journal of Crop Improvement*, **32**:4, 477–492, doi: 10.1080/15427528.2018.1457584
- Aryal, J.P., Rahut, D.B., Maharjan, S. and Erenstein, O. (2018), Factors affecting the adoption of multiple climate-smart agricultural practices in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. *Nat Resour Forum*, **42**: 141–158. https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-8947.12152
- Aryal, J.P., et al., 2020: Climate change and agriculture in South Asia: Adaptation options in smallholder production systems. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, **22**(6), pp.5045–5075. doi: 10.1007/s10668-019-00414-4
- Aryal, J.P., Sapkota, T.B., Rahut, D.B. and Jat, M.L., 2020. Agricultural sustainability under emerging climatic variability: the role of climate-smart agriculture and relevant policies in India. *International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development*, **14**(2), pp.219–245. doi: 10.1504/IJISD.2020.106243
- Asaaga, F.A., et al., 2021: ‘None of my ancestors ever discussed this disease before!’ How disease information shapes adaptive capacity of marginalised

- rural populations in India. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, **15**(3), e9265, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0009265.
- Asfaw, S., Pallante, G. and Palma, A., 2018. Diversification strategies and adaptation deficit: Evidence from rural communities in Niger. *World Development*, **101**, pp.219–234.
- Asfaw, S., Scognamiglio, A., Di Caprera, G., Sitko, N. and Ignaciuk, A., 2019. Heterogeneous impact of livelihood diversification on household welfare: Cross-country evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. *World Development*, **117**, pp.278–295. doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.01.017
- Asiedu, B., Adetola, J.O. and Odame Kissi, I., 2017. Aquaculture in troubled climate: Farmers' perception of climate change and their adaptation. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, **3**(1), p.1296400.
- Asravor, R.K., 2018. Livelihood diversification strategies to climate change among smallholder farmers in Northern Ghana. *Journal of International Development*, **30**(8), pp.1318–1338. doi: 10.1002/jid.3330.
- Atela, J.O., C.H. Quinn, P.A. Minang and L.A. Duguma, 2015: Implementing REDD+ in view of integrated conservation and development projects: leveraging empirical lessons. *Land Use Policy*, **48**, 329–340, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.06.011.
- Aubin, J., Callier, M., Rey-Valette, H., Mathe, S., Wilfart, A., Legendre, M., Slembrouck, J., Caruso, D., Chia, E., Masson, G. and Blancheton, J.P., 2019. Implementing ecological intensification in fish farming: definition and principles from contrasting experiences. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, **11**(1), pp.149–167.
- Auffhammer, M., P. Baylis and C.H. Hausman, 2017: Climate change is projected to have severe impacts on the frequency and intensity of peak electricity demand across the United States. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **114**(8), 1886, doi:10.1073/pnas.1613193114.
- Austin, S.E., Ford, J.D., Berrang-Ford, L., Biesbroek, R. and Ross, N.A., 2019. Enabling local public health adaptation to climate change. *Social Science & Medicine*, **220**, pp.236–244. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.11.002
- Ayeb-Karlsson, S., Smith, C.D. and Kniveton, D., 2018. A discursive review of the textual use of 'trapped' in environmental migration studies: The conceptual birth and troubled teenage years of trapped populations. *Ambio*, **47**(5), pp.557–573.
- Azevedo de Almeida, B. and A. Mostafavi, 2016: *Resilience of Infrastructure Systems to Sea-Level Rise in Coastal Areas: Impacts, Adaptation Measures, and Implementation Challenges*. Sustainability. 2016; **8**(11):1115. doi:10.3390/su8111115
- Ba, S., Q. Qu, K. Zhang and J.C.J. Groot, 2020: Meta-analysis of greenhouse gas and ammonia emissions from dairy manure composting. *Biosyst. Eng.*, **193**, 126–137, doi:10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2020.02.015.
- Bageant, E.R. and C.B. Barrett, 2017: Are there gender differences in demand for index-based livestock insurance? *J. Dev. Stud.*, **53**, 932–952, doi:10.1080/00220388.2016.1214717.
- Baills, Audrey & Garcin, Manuel & Bulteau, Thomas. (2019). Assessment of selected climate change adaptation measures for coastal areas. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 185. 105059. doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.105059.
- Baird, T. D., & Hartter, J. (2017). Livelihood diversification, mobile phones and information diversity in Northern Tanzania. *Land Use Policy*, **67**, 460–471.
- Bajwa, A. A., Mokhlis, H., Mekhilef, S., & Mubin, M. (2019). Enhancing power system resilience leveraging microgrids: A review. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*, **11**(3), 035503.
- Balezentis, T., Streimikiene, D., Mikalauskas, I., & Shen, Z. (2021). Towards carbon free economy and electricity: The puzzle of energy costs, sustainability and security based on willingness to pay. *Energy*, **214**, 119081.
- Balogun, A.-L., et al., 2020: Assessing the potentials of digitalization as a tool for climate change adaptation and sustainable development in urban centres. *Sustain. Cities Soc.*, **53**, 101888, doi:10.1016/j.scs.2019.101888.
- Banerjee, S., A. Hussain, S. Tuladhar and A. Mishra, 2019: Building capacities of women for climate change adaptation: insights from migrant-sending households in Nepal. *Clim. Change*, **157**, 587–609, doi:10.1007/S10584-019-02572-W.
- Baniassadi, A. and D.J. Sailor, 2018: Synergies and trade-offs between energy efficiency and resiliency to extreme heat – a case study. *Build. Environ.*, **132**, 263–272, doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.01.037.
- Barbier, E. B. (2015). Climate change impacts on rural poverty in low-elevation coastal zones. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, **165**, A1–A13. *Chicago*
- Barbier, E. B. (2015). Valuing the storm protection service of estuarine and coastal ecosystems. *Ecosystem Services*, **11**, 32–38.
- Barbosa, R., R. Vicente and R. Santos, 2015: Climate change and thermal comfort in Southern Europe housing: a case study from Lisbon. *Build. Environ.*, **92**, 440–451, doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2015.05.019.
- Barchiesi, S. and R. Córdoba (eds.), 2016: *The Tacaná Watersheds Developing Untapped Potential: Strengthening Resilience through Cross-Sectoral Collaboration*. IUCN. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.CH.2016.12.en>
- Barral, M. P., Benayas, J. M. R., Meli, P., & Maceira, N. O. (2015). Quantifying the impacts of ecological restoration on biodiversity and ecosystem services in agroecosystems: A global meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **202**, 223–231.
- Barreto, G. C., Di Domenico, M., & Medeiros, R. P. (2020). Human dimensions of marine protected areas and small-scale fisheries management: a review of the interpretations. *Marine Policy*, **119**, 104040. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104040
- Barrett, S., 2013: Local level climate justice? Adaptation finance and vulnerability reduction. *Glob. Environ. Change*, **23**(6), 1819–1829, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.07.015.
- Basheer, M., & Elagib, N. A. (2019). Temporal analysis of water-energy nexus indicators for hydropower generation and water pumping in the Lower Blue Nile Basin. *Journal of Hydrology*, **578**, 124085. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124085
- Batabyal, K., B. Mandal, D. Sarkar and S. Murmu, 2017: *Assessment of Nutrient Management Technologies for Eggplant Production Under Subtropical Conditions: a Comprehensive Approach*. Cambridge University Press, 588–608.
- Beauchemin, K. A., E.M. Ungerfeld, R.J. Eckard and M. Wang, 2020: Review: fifty years of research on rumen methanogenesis: lessons learned and future challenges for mitigation. *Animal*, **14**(S1), s2, doi:10.1017/S1751731119003100.
- Bedeke, S., Vanhove, W., Gezahegn, M., Natarajan, K., & Van Damme, P. (2019). Adoption of climate change adaptation strategies by maize-dependent smallholders in Ethiopia. *NJAS-Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, **88**, 96–104. doi: 10.1016/j.njas.2018.09.001
- Bea, B., M. Biermann and P. Tschakert, 2013: Gender, development, and rights-based approaches: lessons for climate change adaptation and adaptive social protection, 95–108. Springer Netherlands.
- Beerling, D.J., et al., 2020: Potential for large-scale CO<sub>2</sub> removal via enhanced rock weathering with croplands. *Nature*, **583**(7815), 242–248, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2448-9.
- Beerling, D.J., et al., 2018: Farming with crops and rocks to address global climate, food and soil security. *Nat. Plants*, **4**(3), 138–147, doi:10.1038/s41477-018-0108-y.
- Bell, J., J. Johnson and A. Hobday (eds.), 2011: *Vulnerability of Tropical Pacific Fisheries and Aquaculture to Climate Change*. Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC), Auckland, ISBN 978-9820004719. 925 pp.
- Bell, J.D. and M. Taylor (eds.), *Building Climate-Resilient Food Systems for Pacific Islands*. WorldFish, Penang, Malaysia. 72 pp.
- Beluco, A., P. Kroeff de Souza and A. Krenzinger, 2012: A method to evaluate the effect of complementarity in time between hydro and solar energy on the performance of hybrid hydro PV generating plants. *Renew. Energy*, **45**, 24–30, doi:10.1016/j.renene.2012.01.096.
- Béné, C., Arthur, R., Norbury, H., Allison, E. H., Beveridge, M., Bush, S., ... & Williams, M. (2016). Contribution of fisheries and aquaculture to food security and poverty reduction: assessing the current evidence. *World Development*, **79**, 177–196. doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.11.007.

- Bennett, J. A., Trevisan, C. N., DeCarolis, J. F., Ortiz-García, C., Pérez-Lugo, M., Etienne, B. T., & Clarens, A. F. (2021). Extending energy system modelling to include extreme weather risks and application to hurricane events in Puerto Rico. *Nature Energy*, 6(3), 240–249. doi: 10.1038/s41560-020-00758-6
- Berbel, J., C. Gutiérrez-Martín and A. Expósito, 2018: Impacts of irrigation efficiency improvement on water use, water consumption and response to water price at field level. *Agric. Water Manag.*, 203, 423–429, doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2018.02.026.
- Berry, P., Enright, P. M., Shumake-Guillemot, J., Villalobos Prats, E., & Campbell-Lendrum, D. (2018). Assessing health vulnerabilities and adaptation to climate change: a review of international progress. *International Journal of Environmental research and public health*, 15(12), 2626. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15122626
- Bertheau, P. and P. Blechinger, 2018: 2. Overview of Philippine islands' energy situation.
- Bettini, G. and G. Gioli, 2016: Waltz with development: insights on the developmentalization of climate-induced migration. *Migr. Dev.*, 5(2), 171–189, doi:10.1080/21632324.2015.1096143.
- Betzold, C., & Mohamed, I. (2017). Seawalls as a response to coastal erosion and flooding: a case study from Grande Comore, Comoros (West Indian Ocean). *Regional Environmental Change*, 17(4), 1077–1087. doi: 10.1007/s10113-016-1044-x.
- Bezerra, P., et al., 2021: Impacts of a warmer world on space cooling demand in Brazilian households. *Energy Build.*, 234, 110696, doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.110696.
- Bhattacharya, S., K. Giannakas and K. Schoengold, 2017: Market and welfare effects of renewable portfolio standards in United States electricity markets. *Energy Econ.*, 64, 384–401, doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2017.03.011.
- Bhattacharyya, R., Ghosh, B. N., Dogra, P., Mishra, P. K., Santra, P., Kumar, S., ... & Parmar, B. (2016). Soil conservation issues in India. *Sustainability*, 8(6), 565. doi: 10.3390/su8060565.
- Bhattacharyya, R., Ghosh, B. N., Mishra, P. K., Mandal, B., Rao, C. S., Sarkar, D., ... & Franzluebbers, A. J. (2015). Soil degradation in India: Challenges and potential solutions. *Sustainability*, 7(4), 3528–3570. doi: 10.3390/su7043528
- Bhattarai, B., 2020. How do gender relations shape a community's ability to adapt to climate change? Insights from Nepal's community forestry. *Climate and Development*, 12(10), pp.876–887. doi: 10.1080/17565529.2019.1701971
- Bhikhoo, N., A. Hashemi and H. Cruickshank, 2017: Improving thermal comfort of low-income housing in Thailand through passive design strategies. *Sustainability*, 9(8), doi:10.3390/su9081440.
- Bhowmik, J., Irfanullah, H.M. and Selim, S.A., 2021. Empirical evidence from Bangladesh of assessing climate hazard-related loss and damage and state of adaptive capacity to address them. *Climate Risk Management*, 31, p.100273. ISSN : 2212-0963
- Biesbroek, R., Dupuis, J., Jordan, A., Wellstead, A., Howlett, M., Cairney, P., Rayner, J. and Davidson, D., 2015. Opening up the black box of adaptation decision-making. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(6), pp.493–494.
- Bilkovic, D.M. and Mitchell, M.M., 2013. Ecological tradeoffs of stabilized salt marshes as a shoreline protection strategy: effects of artificial structures on macrobenthic assemblages. *Ecological Engineering*, 61, pp.469–481. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.10.011.
- Biswas, B. and Mallick, B., 2021. Livelihood diversification as key to long-term non-migration: evidence from coastal Bangladesh. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 23(6), pp.8924–8948. doi: 10.1007/s10668-020-01005-4
- Blanchard, J.L., Watson, R.A., Fulton, E.A., Cottrell, R.S., Nash, K.L., Bryndum-Buchholz, A., Büchner, M., Carozza, D.A., Cheung, W.W., Elliott, J. and Davidson, L.N., 2017. Linked sustainability challenges and trade-offs among fisheries, aquaculture and agriculture. *Nature ecology & evolution*, 1(9), pp.1240–1249. doi: 10.1038/s41559-017-0258-8
- Blasiak, R. and Wabnitz, C.C., 2018. Aligning fisheries aid with international development targets and goals. *Marine Policy*, 88, pp.86–92. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2017.11.018
- Bodirsky, B.L., et al., 2020: The ongoing nutrition transition thwarts long-term targets for food security, public health and environmental protection. *Sci. Rep.*, 10(1), 19778, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-75213-3.
- Bohnes, F.A., Hauschild, M.Z., Schlundt, J. and Laurent, A., 2019. Life cycle assessments of aquaculture systems: a critical review of reported findings with recommendations for policy and system development. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 11(4), pp.1061–1079. Vancouver. doi: 10.1111/raq.12280
- Boonstra, W.J. and Hanh, T.T.H., 2015. Adaptation to climate change as social-ecological trap: a case study of fishing and aquaculture in the Tam Giang Lagoon, Vietnam. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 17(6), pp.1527–1544.
- Booth, L., et al., 2020: Simulating synergies between Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction stakeholders to improve management of transboundary disasters in Europe. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 49, 101668, doi:10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101668.
- Bordner, A.S., C.E. Ferguson and L. Orotolano, 2020: Colonial dynamics limit climate adaptation in Oceania: perspectives from the Marshall Islands. *Glob. Environ. Change*, 61, 102054, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102054.
- Bordon Rosa, A. and H. Lykke Strøbæch (eds.), *Addressing the risk of Maladaptation in Social Protection: The case of World Food Programme*. Lund University, ISBN 978-4646222736.
- Born, L., C. Spillane and U. Murray, 2019: Integrating gender into index-based agricultural insurance: a focus on South Africa. *Dev. Pract.*, 29, 409–423, doi:10.1080/09614524.2018.1556608.
- Bosello, F. and De Cian, E., 2014. Climate change, sea level rise, and coastal disasters. A review of modeling practices. *Energy Economics*, 46, pp.593–605. doi: 10.1016/j.eneco.2013.09.002
- Boston, J., Panda, A., & Surminski, S. (2021). Designing a funding framework for the impacts of slow-onset climate change—insights from recent experiences with planned relocation. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 50, 159–168. doi: 10.1016/j.cosust.2021.04.001.
- Botero, C. M., & Zielinski, S. (2020). The implementation of a world-famous tourism ecolabel triggers political support for beach management. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 35, 100691. doi: 10.1016/j.tmp.2020.100691
- Bowen, T., et al., 2020: *Adaptive Social Protection: Building Resilience to Shocks*. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Boyd, C.E., D'Abramo, L.R., Glencross, B.D., Huyben, D.C., Juarez, L.M., Lockwood, G.S., McNeven, A.A., Tacon, A.G., Teletchea, F., Tomasso Jr, J.R. and Tucker, C.S., 2020. Achieving sustainable aquaculture: Historical and current perspectives and future needs and challenges. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 51(3), pp.578–633. doi: 10.1111/jwas.12714
- Boyer, C.J., Bowen, K., Murray, V., Hadley, J., Jaghoro Hilly, J., Hess, J.J., Ebi, K.L., 2020: Using implementation science for health adaptation: opportunities for Pacific island countries. *Health Aff.*, 39(12), 2160–2167, doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01101.
- Bragg, C., et al., 2018: Remittances as aid following major sudden-onset natural disasters. *Disasters*, 42, 3–18, doi:10.1111/DISA.12229.
- Brander, K.M., 2007. Global fish production and climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(50), pp.19709–19714. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0702059104
- Brandes, E., McNunn, G.S., Schulte, L.A., Bonner, I.J., Muth, D.J., Babcock, B.A., Sharma, B. and Heaton, E.A., 2016. Subfield profitability analysis reveals an economic case for cropland diversification. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(1), p.014009. Vancouver
- Brandt, P., G. Yesuf, M. Herold and M.C. Rufino, 2020: Intensification of dairy production can increase the GHG mitigation potential of the land use sector in East Africa. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 26(2), 568–585, doi:10.1111/gcb.14870.
- Bricknell, I.R., Birkel, S.D., Brawley, S.H., Van Kirk, T., Hamlin, H.J., Capistrant-Fossa, K., Huguenard, K., Van Walsum, G.P., Liu, Z.L., Zhu, L.H. and Grebe, G., 2021. Resilience of cold water aquaculture: a review of likely scenarios as climate changes in the Gulf of Maine. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 13(1), pp.460–503. doi: 10.1111/raq.12483

- Brillant, S. (ed.), *Aquaculture*. Fédération canadienne de la faune, Canada. ISBN 978-0874216561. 33 pp.
- Broberg, M., 2020. Parametric loss and damage insurance schemes as a means to enhance climate change resilience in developing countries. *Climate Policy*, 20(6), pp.693–703. doi: 10.1080/14693062.2019.1641461
- Brockington, J.D., Harris, I.M., Brook, R.M., 2016. 'Beyond the project cycle: an evaluation of agroforestry adoption and diffusion over the medium term in a south Indian village', *Agroforestry Systems*, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 489–508. doi: 10.1007/s10457-015-9872-0
- Broitman, B.R., et al., 2017. Dynamic interactions among boundaries and the expansion of sustainable aquaculture. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, p.15. doi: /10.3389/fmars.2017.00015
- Bronen, R. and F.S. Chapin, 2013: Adaptive governance and institutional strategies for climate-induced community relocations in Alaska. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 110, 9320–9325, doi:10.1073/pnas.1210508110.
- Bronen, R., et al., 2020: Usteq: integrating indigenous knowledge and social and physical sciences to coproduce knowledge and support community-based adaptation. *Polar Geogr.*, 43(2-3), 188–205, doi:10.1080/1088937X.2019.1679271.
- Brooke-Sumner, C., Petersen-Williams, P., Kruger, J., Mahomed, H. and Myers, B., 2019. 'Doing more with less': a qualitative investigation of perceptions of South African health service managers on implementation of health innovations. *Health Policy and Planning*, 34(2), pp.132–140.
- Brown, B., Nuberg, I. and Llewellyn, R., 2017. Negative evaluation of conservation agriculture: perspectives from African smallholder farmers. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 15(4), pp.467–481. doi: 10.1080/14735903.2017.1336051
- Brown, B., Nuberg, I. and Llewellyn, R., 2017. Negative evaluation of conservation agriculture: perspectives from African smallholder farmers. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 15(4), pp.467–481. doi: 10.1080/14735903.2017.1336051
- Brown, P.R., Afroz, S., Chialue, L., Chiranjeevi, T., El, S., Grünbühel, C.M., Khan, I., Pitkin, C., Reddy, V.R., Roth, C.H. and Sacklokham, S., 2019. Constraints to the capacity of smallholder farming households to adapt to climate change in South and Southeast Asia. *Climate and Development*, 11(5), pp.383–400.
- Brown, S., Nicholls, R.J., Goodwin, P., Haigh, I.D., Lincke, D., Vafeidis, A.T. and Hinkel, J., 2018. Quantifying land and people exposed to sea-level rise with no mitigation and 1.5 C and 2.0 C rise in global temperatures to year 2300. *Earth's Future*, 6(3), pp.583–600. doi: 10.1002/2017EF000738
- Brown, T., et al., 2018: Synergies of sector coupling and transmission reinforcement in a cost-optimised, highly renewable European energy system. *Energy*, 160, 720–739, doi:10.1016/j.energy.2018.06.222.
- Bruno Soares, M., M. Alexander and S. Dessai, 2018: Sectoral use of climate information in Europe: a synoptic overview. *Clim. Serv.*, 9, 5–20, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2017.06.001.
- Bruno Soares, M. and C. Buontempo, 2019: Challenges to the sustainability of climate services in Europe. *WIREs Clim. Change*, 10(4), e587, doi:10.1002/wcc.587.
- Buck, H.J., et al., 2020: Adaptation and carbon removal. *One Earth*, 3(4), 425–435, doi:10.1016/j.oneear.2020.09.008.
- Buckeridge, M.S., et al., 2012: Ethanol from sugarcane in Brazil: a 'midway' strategy for increasing ethanol production while maximizing environmental benefits, 119–126. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Budhathoki, N.K., Lassa, J.A., Pun, S. and Zander, K.K., 2019. Farmers' interest and willingness-to-pay for index-based crop insurance in the lowlands of Nepal. *Land Use Policy*, 85, pp.1–10.
- Buechler, S. and Lutz-Ley, A., 2020. Livelihoods with multiple stressors: Gendered youth decision-making under global change in rural northwest Mexico. *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space*, 3(4), pp.1096–1119. doi: 10.1177/2514848619878603
- Buijs, A., et al., 2019: Mosaic governance for urban green infrastructure: Upscaling active citizenship from a local government perspective. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 40, pp.53–62. doi 10.1016/j.ufug.2018.06.011:
- Buontempo, C., et al., 2019: Fostering the development of climate services through Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) for agriculture applications. *Weather Clim. Extremes*, 27, 100226, doi:10.1016/j.wace.2019.100226.
- Buotte, P.C., B.E. Law, W.J. Ripple and L.T. Berner, 2020: Carbon sequestration and biodiversity co-benefits of preserving forests in the western United States. *Ecol. Appl.*, 30(2), e2039, doi:10.1002/eap.2039.
- Bustamante, M.M.C., et al., 2019: Ecological restoration as a strategy for mitigating and adapting to climate change: lessons and challenges from Brazil. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 24(7), pp.1249–1270. doi: 10.1007/s11027-018-9837-5.
- Butler, J.R., et al., 2020. How feasible is the scaling-out of livelihood and food system adaptation in Asia-Pacific Islands?. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, p.43. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2020.00043
- Buttler, A., F. Dinkel, S. Franz and H. Spliethoff, 2016: Variability of wind and solar power – an assessment of the current situation in the European Union based on the year 2014. *Energy*, 106, 147–161, doi:10.1016/j.energy.2016.03.041.
- Calcerano, F. and Cecchini, C., 2015. Passive cooling strategies in refurbishment of Mediterranean buildings: simulation analysis of thermal mass and natural ventilation combination. In *Proc. 2nd IBPSA Italy Conf* (pp. 4–6).
- Cannon, C., K.F. Gotham, K. Lauve-Moon and B. Powers, 2020: The climate change double whammy: flood damage and the determinants of flood insurance coverage, the case of post-Katrina New Orleans. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, 27, 100210, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2019.100210.
- Cannon, T., 2014: 4. Rural livelihood diversification and adaptation to climate change. Climate Change and Gender Justice. In: Practical Action Publishing, Rugby, Warwickshire, UK, pp. 55–76.
- Cantasano, N., Caloiero, T., Pellicone, G., Aristodemo, F., De Marco, A. and Tagarelli, G., 2021. Can ICZM Contribute to the Mitigation of Erosion and of Human Activities Threatening the Natural and Cultural Heritage of the Coastal Landscape of Calabria?. *Sustainability*, 13(3), p.1122. doi: 10.3390/su13031122
- Cardona, FS, Ferreira, JC & Lopes, AM 2020, 'Cost and Benefit Analysis of Climate Change Adaptation Strategies in Coastal Areas at Risk', *Journal Of Coastal Research*, vol. 95, no. 95(SI), pp. 764–768. <https://doi.org/10.2112/SI95-149.1>
- Caretta, M.A. and L. Börjeson, 2015: Local gender contract and adaptive capacity in smallholder irrigation farming: a case study from the Kenyan drylands. *Gen. Place Cult.*, 22(5), 644–661, doi:10.1080/0966369X.2014.885888.
- Carey, J., 2020: Core concept: managed retreat increasingly seen as necessary in response to climate change's fury. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 117(24), 13182, doi:10.1073/pnas.2008198117.
- Carmo, J.S.A.D., 2018. Climate change, adaptation measures, and integrated coastal zone management: The new protection paradigm for the Portuguese coastal zone. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 34(3), pp.687–703.
- Cashman, A. and Nagdee, M.R., 2017. Impacts of climate change on settlements and infrastructure in the coastal and marine environments of Caribbean small island developing states (SIDS). *Science Review*, 2017, pp.155–173. *Vancouver*
- Castells-Quintana, D., del Pilar Lopez-Urbe, M. and McDermott, T.K., 2018. Adaptation to climate change: A review through a development economics lens. *World Development*, 104, pp.183–196.
- Castiglia Feitosa, R. and S.J. Wilkinson, 2018: Attenuating heat stress through green roof and green wall retrofit. *Build. Environ.*, 140, 11–22, doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.05.034.
- Castle, S.E., Miller, D.C., Ordonez, P.J., Baylis, K. and Hughes, K., 2021. The impacts of agroforestry interventions on agricultural productivity, ecosystem services, and human well-being in low-and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 17(2), p.e1167. doi: 10.1002/cl2.1167
- Castleden, H., J. Lin and M. Darrach, 2020: The public health emergency of climate change: how/are Canadian post-secondary public health sciences

- programs responding? *Can. J. Public Health*, **111**(6), 836–844, doi:10.17269/s41997-020-00386-3.
- Cattaneo, C., et al., 2019: Human migration in the era of climate change. *Rev. Environ. Econ. Policy*, **13**(2), 189–206, doi:10.1093/reep/rez008.
- Cavallo, M., Perez Agundez, J.A., Raux, P. and Frangoudes, K., 2021. Is existing legislation supporting socially acceptable aquaculture in the European Union? A transversal analysis of France, Italy and Spain. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, **13**(3), pp.1683–1694.
- Caviedes, V., Arenas-Granados, P. and Barragán-Muñoz, J.M., 2020. Regional public policy for integrated coastal zone management in central America. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, **186**, p.105114. doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105114
- Cechin, A., da Silva Araújo, V. and Amand, L., 2021. Exploring the synergy between Community Supported Agriculture and agroforestry: Institutional innovation from smallholders in a Brazilian rural settlement. *Journal of Rural Studies*, **81**, pp.246–258. doi: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.10.031
- Cedamon, E., Nuberg, I., Pandit, B.H. and Shrestha, K.K., 2018. Adaptation factors and futures of agroforestry systems in Nepal. *Agroforestry systems*, **92**(5), pp.1437–1453. doi: 10.1007/s10457-017-0090-9.
- Ceseña, E.M., Panteli, M., Mutale, J., Mancarella, P., Tomlinson, J. and Harou, J.J., 2019, June. Integrated Energy-Water Model for Interdependent Storage, Run-of-River and Pump Hydropower. in *2019 IEEE Milan PowerTech*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PTC.2019.8810468>
- Chan, C.Y., Tran, N., Pethiyagoda, S., Crissman, C.C., Sulser, T.B. and Phillips, M.J., 2019. Prospects and challenges of fish for food security in Africa. *Global food security*, **20**, pp.17–25. doi: 10.1016/j.gfs.2018.12.002
- Chan, F.K.S., et al., 2018: “Sponge City” in China—a breakthrough of planning and flood risk management in the urban context. *Land Use Policy*, **76**, 772–778, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.03.005.
- Chapke, R.R. and Tonapi, V.A., 2018. Socio-economic impact and adoption of improved post-rainy sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) production technologies in Maharashtra. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **88**(7), pp.992–7.
- Charles, R.L., Munishi, P.K.T. and Nzunda, E.F., 2013. Agroforestry as adaptation strategy under climate change in Mwangi District, Kilimanjaro, Tanzania. *International Journal of Environmental Protection*, **3**(11), pp.29–38. ISSN: 2319-5584
- Chaudhari, V.R. and Mishra, A., 2016. Multilevel policy responses to mainstream climate adaptation through watershed development in rainfed farming systems of India. *Climate and Development*, **8**(4), pp.324–335. *Vancouver*. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2019.00001.
- Chausson, A., Turner, B., Seddon, D., Chabaneix, N., Girardin, C.A., Kapos, V., Key, I., Roe, D., Smith, A., Woroniecki, S. and Seddon, N., 2020. Mapping the effectiveness of nature-based solutions for climate change adaptation. *Global Change Biology*, **26**(11), pp.6134–6155. doi: 10.1111/gcb.15310.
- Chen, X., et al., 2017: Water resources management in the urban agglomeration of the Lake Biwa region, Japan: an ecosystem services-based sustainability assessment. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **586**, 174–187, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.197.
- Chen, X., et al., 2019: Canopy transpiration and its cooling effect of three urban tree species in a subtropical city- Guangzhou, China. *Urban For. Urban Green.*, **43**, 126368, doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2019.126368.
- Chersich, M.F. and C.Y. Wright, 2019: Climate change adaptation in South Africa: a case study on the role of the health sector. *Global Health*, **15**(1), 22, doi:10.1186/s12992-019-0466-x.
- Chester, M.V., Underwood, B.S. and Samaras, C., 2020. Keeping infrastructure reliable under climate uncertainty. *Nature Climate Change*, **10**(6), pp.488–490. doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0741-0
- Chow, J., Khanom, T., Hossain, R. and Khadim, J., 2019. Forest management for climate change adaptation in Bangladesh. In *Confronting Climate Change in Bangladesh* (pp. 39–50). Springer, Cham.
- Chu, E. and Michael, K., 2019. Recognition in urban climate justice: Marginality and exclusion of migrants in Indian cities. *Environment and Urbanization*, **31**(1), pp.139–156. doi: 10.1177/0956247818814449
- Chu, E., T. Schenk and J. Patterson, 2018: The dilemmas of citizen inclusion in urban planning and governance to enable a 1.5°C climate change scenario, **3**(2): 128–140. *Cogitatio Press* ISSN: 2183–7635. doi: 0.17645/up.v3i2.1292
- Chu, E.K., 2018. Urban climate adaptation and the reshaping of state–society relations: The politics of community knowledge and mobilisation in Indore, India. *Urban Studies*, **55**(8), pp.1766–1782. doi: 10.1177/0042098016686509
- Cinner, J.E., et al., 2018: Building adaptive capacity to climate change in tropical coastal communities, 117–123.
- Clark, M. and Tilman, D., 2017. Comparative analysis of environmental impacts of agricultural production systems, agricultural input efficiency, and food choice. *Environmental Research Letters*, **12**(6), p.064016. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aa6cd5
- Cochrane, L. and Cafer, A., 2018. Does diversification enhance community resilience? A critical perspective. *Resilience*, **6**(2), pp.129–143. doi: 10.1080/21693293.2017.1406849
- Coe, R., Sinclair, F. and Barrios, E., 2014. Scaling up agroforestry requires research ‘in’ rather than ‘for’ development. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, **6**, pp.73–77. doi: 10.1016/j.cosust.2013.10.013.
- Coirolo, C., Commins, S., Haque, I. and Pierce, G., 2013. Climate change and social protection in Bangladesh: are existing programmes able to address the impacts of climate change?. *Development Policy Review*, **31**, pp.o74–o90.
- Collier, S.J. and S. Cox, 2021: Governing urban resilience: insurance and the problematization of climate change. *Econ. Soc.*, **50**(2), 275–296, doi:10.1080/03085147.2021.1904621.
- Collins, M. and S. Dempsey, 2019: Residential energy efficiency retrofits: potential unintended consequences. *J. Environ. Plan. Manag.*, **62**(12), 2010–2025, doi:10.1080/09640568.2018.1509788.
- Colven, E., 2020. Thinking beyond success and failure: Dutch water expertise and friction in postcolonial Jakarta. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, **38**(6), pp.961–979. doi: 10.1177/2399654420911947
- Cooper, A, O’Connor, M & McIvor, S 2016, ‘Coastal defences versus coastal ecosystems: A regional appraisal’, *Marine Policy*, vol. tbc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2016.02.021>
- Cooq-Huelva, D., Higuchi, A., Alfalla-Luque, R., Burgos-Morán, R. and Arias-Gutiérrez, R., 2017. Co-evolution and bio-social construction: The Kichwa agroforestry systems (chakras) in the Ecuadorian Amazonia. *Sustainability*, **9**(10), p.1920. doi: 10.3390/su9101920
- Coulbaly, J.Y., Chiputwa, B., Nakelse, T. and Kundhlande, G., 2017. Adoption of agroforestry and the impact on household food security among farmers in Malawi. *Agricultural systems*, **155**, pp.52–69.
- Crist, Patrick. (2013). *Conservation Issues: Wildlife Connectivity for Climate Change Adaptation*. Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.09354-4.
- Cuatón, G.P. and Y. Su, 2020: Local-indigenous knowledge on disaster risk reduction: insights from the Mamanwa indigenous peoples in Basey, Samar after Typhoon Haiyan in the Philippines. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, **48**, 101596, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101596.
- Custódio, Marco & Villasante, Sebastian & Calado, Ricardo & Lillebø, Ana Isabel. (2019). Valuation of Ecosystem Services to promote sustainable aquaculture practices. *Reviews in Aquaculture*. 1–14. doi:10.1111/raq.12324.
- D’Aloia, C.C., et al., 2019: Coupled Networks of Permanent Protected Areas and Dynamic Conservation Areas for Biodiversity Conservation Under Climate Change. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*. **7**. 27. doi:10.3389/fevo.2019.00027.
- Dai, Liping & Wörner, Rebecca & Rijswijk, H.F.M.W.. (2017). Rainproof cities in the Netherlands: approaches in Dutch water governance to climate-adaptive urban planning. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*. **34**. doi:10.1080/07900627.2017.1372273.
- Daly, M. and S. Dessai, 2018: Examining the goals of the regional climate outlook forums: what role for user engagement? *Weather Clim. Soc.*, **10**(4), 693–708, doi:10.1175/WCAS-D-18-0015.1.
- Daly, Patrick & Halim, Agus & Nizamuddin, & Ardiansyah, & Hundlani, Divya & Ho, Ezra & Mahdi, Saiful. (2017). Rehabilitating coastal agriculture and aquaculture after inundation events: Spatial analysis of livelihood recovery



- in post-tsunami Aceh, Indonesia. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 142. 218–232. [10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.03.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.03.027).
- Dandy, J., et al., 2019: Leaving home: place attachment and decisions to move in the face of environmental change. *Regional Environmental Change*. 19. [10.1007/s10113-019-01463-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-019-01463-1).
- Daniels, E., et al., 2020: Refocusing the climate services lens: Introducing a framework for codesigning “transdisciplinary knowledge integration processes” to build climate resilience. *Clim. Serv.*, 19, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2020.100181.
- Dannenberg, A.L., H. Frumkin, J.J. Hess and K.L. Ebi, 2019: Managed retreat as a strategy for climate change adaptation in small communities: public health implications. *Clim. Change*, 153(1), 1–14, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02382-0.
- Darre, N.C. and G.S. Toor, 2018: Desalination of water: a review. *Curr. Pollut. Rep.*, 4(2), 104–111, doi:10.1007/s40726-018-0085-9.
- Das, A., et al., 2017: Impact of seven years of organic farming on soil and produce quality and crop yields in eastern Himalayas, India. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 236, 142–153, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2016.09.007.
- David, Gianmarco & Carvalho, E.D. & Lemos, D.E.L. & Silveira, A.N. & Sobrinho, Milton. (2015). Ecological Carrying Capacity for intensive tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) cage aquaculture in a large hydroelectrical reservoir in Southeastern Brazil. *Aquacultural Engineering*. 66. [10.1016/j.aquaeng.2015.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2015.02.003).
- Davide, M., E. De Cian and A. Bernigaud, 2019: Building a framework to understand the energy needs of adaptation. *Sustainability*, 11(15), doi:10.3390/su11154085.
- Davies, C.M., et al., 2019: August. Potential of precision livestock farming in small ruminant farming systems. In 70. Annual meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science (EAAP) (Vol. 25, No. 1ère Ed., pp. 717-p). Wageningen Academic Publishers.
- Dawson, R.J., Thompson, D., Johns, D., Wood, R., Darch, G., Chapman, L., Hughes, P.N., Watson, G.V., Paulson, K., Bell, S. and Gosling, S.N., 2018. A systems framework for national assessment of climate risks to infrastructure. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 376(2121), p.20170298. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2017.0298
- de Almeida, A., P. Moura and N. Quaresma, 2020: Energy-efficient off-grid systems—review. *Energy Effic.*, 13(2), 349–376, doi:10.1007/s12053-019-09813-y.
- de Frutos Cachorro, J., A. Gobin and J. Buysse, 2018: Farm-level adaptation to climate change: the case of the Loam region in Belgium. *Agric. Syst.*, 165, 164–176, doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2018.06.007.
- De la Sota, C., V.J. Ruffato-Ferreira, L. Ruiz-García and S. Alvarez, 2019: Urban green infrastructure as a strategy of climate change mitigation. A case study in northern Spain. *Urban For. Urban Green.*, 40, 145–151, doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2018.09.004.
- De la Torre-Castro, M., 2019. Inclusive management through gender consideration in small-scale fisheries: the why and the how. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6, p.156. Vancouver. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00156
- de Salles Cavedon-Capdeville, F., et al., 2020: Climate Change, Extreme Events and Human Mobility in Latin America: Exploring the Links Through National Laws and Policies. [10.1007/978-3-030-37425-9\\_34](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37425-9_34).
- De Silva, S.S. and F.B. Davy, 2010: *Success Stories in Asian Aquaculture*. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1–214.
- De Zoysa, Mangala & Inoue, Makoto. (2014). Climate Change Impacts, Agroforestry Adaptation and Policy Environment in Sri Lanka. *Open Journal of Forestry*. 04. 439–456. [10.4236/ojfor.2014.45049](https://doi.org/10.4236/ojfor.2014.45049).
- Debie, E., 2020: Multi-criteria evaluation of soil conservation practices to sustainable cropland management in northwest highlands of Ethiopia . Authorea. doi: 10.22541/au.159221547.73795848
- DeFries, R., Mondal, P., Singh, D., Agrawal, I., Fanzo, J., Remans, R. and Wood, S., 2016. Synergies and trade-offs for sustainable agriculture: Nutritional yields and climate-resilience for cereal crops in Central India. *Global Food Security*, 11, pp.44–53, doi: 10.1016/j.gfs.2016.07.001.
- Desai, B., Bresch, D.N., Cazabat, C., Hochrainer-Stigler, S., Mechler, R., Ponslerre, S. and Schewe, J., 2021. Addressing the human cost in a changing climate. *Science*, 372(6548), pp.1284–1287, doi: 10.1126/science.abh4283..
- Deshpande, T., Michael, K. and Bhaskara, K., 2019. Barriers and enablers of local adaptive measures: A case study of Bengaluru’s informal settlement dwellers. *Local Environment*, 24(3), pp.167–179, doi: 10.1080/13549839.2018.1555578 .
- Dhyani, S., Murthy, I.K., Kadaverugu, R., Dasgupta, R., Kumar, M. and Adesh Gadpayle, K., 2021. Agroforestry to achieve global climate adaptation and mitigation targets: Are South Asian countries sufficiently prepared?. *Forests*, 12(3), p.303, doi: 10.3390/f12030303.
- Di Gregorio, M., Fatorelli, L., Paavola, J., Locatelli, B., Pramova, E., Nurrochmat, D.R., May, P.H., Brockhaus, M., Sari, I.M. and Kusumadewi, S.D., 2019. Multi-level governance and power in climate change policy networks. *Global Environmental Change*, 54, pp.64–77, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.10.003.
- Di, H.J. and Cameron, K.C., 2016. Inhibition of nitrification to mitigate nitrate leaching and nitrous oxide emissions in grazed grassland: a review. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 16(5), pp.1401–1420, doi: 10.1007/s11368-016-1403-8.
- Diaz, D.B., 2016. Estimating global damages from sea level rise with the Coastal Impact and Adaptation Model (CIAM). *Climatic Change*, 137(1), pp.143–156, doi: 10.1007/s10584-016-1675-4.
- Ding, G.K.C. and Ghosh, S., 2017: Sustainable water management – a strategy for maintaining future water resources. *Encyclopedia of Sustainable Technologies*, 91–103, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.10171-X.
- Dirks, J.A., Gorrissen, W.J., Hathaway, J.H., Skorski, D.C., Scott, M.J., Pulsipher, T.C., Huang, M., Liu, Y. and Rice, J.S., 2015. Impacts of climate change on energy consumption and peak demand in buildings: A detailed regional approach. *Energy*, 79, pp.20–32, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2014.08.081.
- Doodoo, A. and Gustavsson, L., 2016. Energy use and overheating risk of Swedish multi-storey residential buildings under different climate scenarios. *Energy*, 97, pp.534–548, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2015.12.086.
- Doelle, M. and Seck, S., 2020. Loss & damage from climate change: from concept to remedy?. *Climate Policy*, 20(6), pp.669–680, doi: 10.1080/14693062.2019.1630353.
- Donatti, C.I., Harvey, C.A., Hole, D., Panfil, S.N. and Schurman, H., 2020. Indicators to measure the climate change adaptation outcomes of ecosystem-based adaptation. *Climatic change*, 158(3), pp.413–433, doi: 10.1007/s10584-019-02565-9.
- Dong, X., Jiang, L., Zeng, S., Guo, R. and Zeng, Y., 2020. Vulnerability of urban water infrastructures to climate change at city level. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 161, p.104918, doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104918.
- Dooley, K., Harrould-Kolieb, E. and Talberg, A., 2021. Carbon-dioxide Removal and Biodiversity: A Threat Identification Framework. *Global Policy*, 12, pp.34–44, doi: 10.1111/1758-5899.12828.
- Dorst, H., Van der Jagt, A., Raven, R. and Runhaar, H., 2019. Urban greening through nature-based solutions—Key characteristics of an emerging concept. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 49, p.101620, doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2019.101620.
- Dorward, P., Clarkson, G., Poskitt, S. and Stern, R., 2021. Putting the farmer at the center of climate services. *One Earth*, 4(8), pp.1059–1061, doi: 10.1016/j.oneear.2021.08.004.
- Dougill, A.J., Whitfield, S., Stringer, L.C., Vincent, K., Wood, B.T., Chinseu, E.L., Steward, P. and Mkwambisi, D.D., 2017. Mainstreaming conservation agriculture in Malawi: Knowledge gaps and institutional barriers. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 195, pp.25–34, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.09.076.
- DU, J.B., Han, T.F., Gai, J.Y., Yong, T.W., Xin, S.U.N., Wang, X.C., Feng, Y., Jiang, L., Kai, S., Liu, W.G. and Yang, W.Y., 2018. Maize-soybean strip intercropping: Achieved a balance between high productivity and sustainability. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 17(4), pp.747–754, doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(17)61789-1.
- Du, X., Jian, J., Du, C. and Stewart, R.D., 2021. Conservation management decreases surface runoff and soil erosion. *International Soil and Water*

- Conservation Research, doi.org/10.1016/j.iswcr.2021.08.001, doi: 10.1016/j.iswcr.2021.08.001.
- Dundon, L.A. and Abkowitz, M., 2021. Climate-induced managed retreat in the US: A review of current research. *Climate Risk Management*, 33, p.100337, doi: 10.1016/j.crm.2021.100337.
- DuPuis, E.M. and Greenberg, M., 2019. The right to the resilient city: progressive politics and the green growth machine in New York City. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, 9(3), pp.352–363, doi: 10.1007/s13412-019-0538-5.
- Duvat, V., 2013. Coastal protection structures in Tarawa atoll, Republic of Kiribati. *Sustainability Science*, 8(3), pp.363–379, doi: 10.1007/s11625-013-0205-9.
- Ebi, K.L., Berry, P., Hayes, K., Boyer, C., Sellers, S., Enright, P.M. and Hess, J.J., 2018. Stress testing the capacity of health systems to manage climate change-related shocks and stresses. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(11), p.2370, doi: 10.3390/ijerph15112370.
- Eckard, R.J. and Clark, H., 2018. Potential solutions to the major greenhouse-gas issues facing Australasian dairy farming. *Animal Production Science*, 60(1), pp.10–16, doi: 10.1071/AN18574.
- Edwards, I., Yapp, K., Mackay, S. and Mackey, B., 2020. Climate-related financial disclosures in the public sector. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(7), pp.588–591, doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0785-1.
- Edwards, P., 2015. Aquaculture environment interactions: past, present and likely future trends. *Aquaculture*, 447, pp.2–14, doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.02.001.
- Elagib, N.A. and Al-Saidi, M., 2020. Balancing the benefits from the water–energy–land–food nexus through agroforestry in the Sahel. *Science of the Total Environment*, 742, p.140509, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140509.
- Elliott, M. and Wolanski, E., 2015. Climate change impacts on rural poverty in low-elevation coastal zones, Edward B. Barbier. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science*, 165, pp.ii–iii, doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2015.05.035.
- Elliott, R., 2019. ‘Scarier than another storm’: values at risk in the mapping and insuring of US floodplains. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 70(3), pp.1067–1090, doi: 10.1111/1468-4446.12381.
- Ellison, A.M., Felson, A.J. and Friess, D.A., 2020. Mangrove rehabilitation and restoration as experimental adaptive management. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, p.327, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.00327.
- Erftemeijer, P.L., Agastian, T., Yamamoto, H., Cambridge, M.L., Hoekstra, R., Toms, G. and Ito, S., 2020. Mangrove planting on dredged material: three decades of nature-based coastal defence along a causeway in the Arabian Gulf. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 71(9), pp.1062–1072, doi: 10.1071/MF19289.
- Ericksen, P. and Crane, T., 2018. The feasibility of low emissions development interventions for the East African livestock sector: Lessons from Kenya and Ethiopia. ILRI Research Report 46. Nairobi, Kenya: International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), ISBN: ISBN: 92–9146–542-9.
- Eriksen, S., Schipper, E.L.F., Scoville-Simonds, M., Vincent, K., Adam, H.N., Brooks, N., Harding, B., Lenaerts, L., Liverman, D., Mills-Novoa, M. and Mosberg, M., 2021. Adaptation interventions and their effect on vulnerability in developing countries: Help, hindrance or irrelevance?. *World Development*, 141, p.105383, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105383.
- Ernst, O.R., Kemanian, A.R., Mazzilli, S.R., Cadenazzi, M. and Dogliotti, S., 2016. Depressed attainable wheat yields under continuous annual no-till agriculture suggest declining soil productivity. *Field Crops Research*, 186, pp.107–116, doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2015.11.005.
- Ertör, I. and Ortega-Cerdà, M., 2017. Unpacking the objectives and assumptions underpinning European aquaculture. *Environmental Politics*, 26(5), pp.893–914.
- Erwin, A., Ma, Z., Popovici, R., O’Brien, E.P.S., Zanotti, L., Zeballos, E.Z., Bauchet, J., Calderón, N.R. and Larrea, G.R.A., 2021. Intersectionality shapes adaptation to social-ecological change. *World development*, 138, p.105282, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105282.
- Escarcha, J.F., Lassa, J.A. and Zander, K.K., 2018. Livestock under climate change: a systematic review of impacts and adaptation. *Climate*, 6(3), p.54, doi: 10.3390/cli6030054
- Escobedo, F.J., Giannico, V., Jim, C.Y., Sanesi, G. and Laforteza, R., 2019. Urban forests, ecosystem services, green infrastructure and nature-based solutions: Nexus or evolving metaphors?. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 37, pp.3–12, doi: 10.1016/j.ufug.2018.02.011.
- Esmail, B. A. and Suleiman, L., 2020. Analyzing evidence of sustainable urban water management systems: a review through the lenses of sociotechnical transitions. *Sustainability*, 12(11), p.4481, doi: 10.3390/su12114481.
- Espinoza, S., Panteli, M., Mancarella, P. and Rudnick, H., 2016. Multi-phase assessment and adaptation of power systems resilience to natural hazards. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 136, pp.352–361, doi: 10.1016/j.epr.2016.03.019.
- Estrada, F., Botzen, W.J. and Tol, R.S., 2017. A global economic assessment of city policies to reduce climate change impacts. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(6), pp.403–406, doi: 10.1038/nclimate3301.
- Fainstein, S.S., 2018. Resilience and justice: planning for New York City. *Urban Geography*, 39(8), pp.1268–1275, doi: 10.1080/02723638.2018.1448571.
- Fang, Y. and Wei, Y., 2013. Climate change adaptation on the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau: The importance of solar energy utilization for rural household. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 18, pp.508–518, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.10.037.
- Fang, Y., Zhu, F., Qiu, X. and Zhao, S., 2018. Effects of natural disasters on livelihood resilience of rural residents in Sichuan. *Habitat International*, 76, pp.19–28, doi: 10.1016/j.habitatint.2018.05.004.
- FAO (ed.), 2016: *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016. Contributing to Food Security and Nutrition for All*. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, Italy, ISBN 978-9251091852. 200 pp.
- FAO (ed.), 2018: *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO)*, Rome, Italy, ISBN 978-92-5-130562-1. 227 pp.
- Farahmand, H., Dong, S., Mostafavi, A., Berke, P.R., Woodruff, S.C., Hannibal, B. and Vedlitz, A., 2020. Institutional congruence for resilience management in interdependent infrastructure systems. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 46, p.101515, doi: 10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101515.
- Farbotko, C., 2018. Voluntary immobility: Indigenous voices in the Pacific. *Forced Migration Review*, (57), pp.81–83.
- Farnworth, C.R., Stirling, C., B. Sapkota, T., Jat, M.L., Misiko, M. and Attwood, S., 2017. Gender and inorganic nitrogen: what are the implications of moving towards a more balanced use of nitrogen fertilizer in the tropics?. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 15(2), pp.136–152, doi: 10.1080/14735903.2017.1295343.
- Fasihi, M., Efimova, O. and Breyer, C., 2019. Techno-economic assessment of CO2 direct air capture plants. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 224, pp.957–980, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.086.
- Favero, A., Daigneault, A. and Sohngen, B., 2020. Forests: Carbon sequestration, biomass energy, or both?. *Science Advances*, 6(13), doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aay6792.
- Favretto, N., Afionis, S., Stringer, L.C., Dougill, A.J., Quinn, C.H. and Ranarijaona, H.L.T., 2020. Delivering climate-development co-benefits through multi-stakeholder forestry projects in Madagascar: Opportunities and challenges. *Land*, 9(5), p.157, doi: 10.3390/land9050157.
- Feingold, D., Koop, S. and van Leeuwen, K., 2018. The city blueprint approach: urban water management and governance in cities in the US. *Environmental Management*, 61(1), pp.9–23, doi: 10.1007/s00267-017-0952-y.
- Fekete, A. and Rhyner, J., 2020. Sustainable digital transformation of disaster risk—integrating new types of digital social vulnerability and interdependencies with critical infrastructure. *Sustainability*, 12(22), p.9324, doi: 10.3390/su12229324.
- Ferreira Costa, C.G., 2020. Disaster risk management as a process to forge climate-resilient pathways: lessons learned from Cabo Verde.

- Desenvolvimento e Meio Ambiente, 53, 250–275, doi:10.5380/dma.v53i0.67345.
- Fiack, D., Cumberbatch, J., Sutherland, M. and Zerphey, N., 2021. Sustainable adaptation: social equity and local climate adaptation planning in U.S. cities. *Cities*, 115, 103235, doi:10.1016/j.cities.2021.103235.
- Fidelman, P., Van Tuyen, T., Nong, K. and Nursey-Bray, M., 2017. The institutions-adaptive capacity nexus: insights from coastal resources co-management in Cambodia and Vietnam. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 76, pp.103–112, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2017.06.018.
- Figueiredo, P. and Perkins, P.E., 2013. Women and water management in times of climate change: participatory and inclusive processes. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 60, pp.188–194, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.02.025.
- Filazzola, A., Shrestha, N. and MacIvor, J.S., 2019. The contribution of constructed green infrastructure to urban biodiversity: A synthesis and meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 56(9), pp.2131–2143 doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.13475.
- Findlater, K., Webber, S., Kandlikar, M and Donner, S., 2021 Climate services promise better decisions but mainly focus on better data. *Nature Climate Change*, 11(9), 731–737, doi:10.1038/s41558-021-01125-3.
- Findlater, K.M., Kandlikar, M. and Satterfield, T., 2019. Misunderstanding conservation agriculture: Challenges in promoting, monitoring and evaluating sustainable farming. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 100, pp.47–54 doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2019.05.027.
- Firth, L.B., Thompson, R.C., Bohn, K., Abbiati, M., Airoidi, L., Bouma, T.J., Bozzeda, F., Ceccherelli, V.U., Colangelo, M. A., Evans, A. and Ferrario, F., 2014. Between a rock and a hard place: environmental and engineering considerations when designing coastal defence structures. *Coastal Engineering*, 87, pp.122–135, doi: 10.1016/j.coastaleng.2013.10.015.
- Fischer, H.W., 2020. Policy innovations for pro-poor climate support: social protection, small-scale infrastructure, and active citizenship under India's MGNREGA. *Climate and Development*, 12(8), pp.689–702, doi: 10.1080/17565529.2019.1676690.
- Fisher, E., Hellin, J., Greatrex, H. and Jensen, N., 2019. Index insurance and climate risk management: Addressing social equity. *Development Policy Review*, 37(5), pp.581–602, doi: 10.1111/dpr.12387.
- Fisher, E., Hellin, J., Greatrex, H. and Jensen, N., 2019. Index insurance and climate risk management: addressing social equity. *Development Policy Review*, 37(5), 581–602, doi:10.1111/dpr.12387.
- Fitzgibbons, J. and Mitchell, C.L., 2019. Just urban futures? Exploring equity in "100 Resilient Cities". *World Development*, 122, pp.648–659, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.06.021.
- Fleischman, F., Basant, S., Chhatre, A., Coleman, E.A., Fischer, H.W., Gupta, D., Güneralp, B., Kashwan, P., Khatri, D., Muscarella, R. and Powers, J.S., 2020. Pitfalls of tree planting show why we need people-centered natural climate solutions. *BioScience*, 70(11), pp.947–950, doi: 10.1093/biosci/biaa094.
- Flexer, V., Baspineiro, C.F. and Galli, C.I., 2018. Lithium recovery from brines: a vital raw material for green energies with a potential environmental impact in its mining and processing. *Science of the Total Environment*, 639, 1188–1204, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.223.
- Ford, J.D., McDowell, G. and Jones, J., 2014. The state of climate change adaptation in the Arctic. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9(10), p.104005, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/9/10/104005.
- Forino, G., von Meding, J. and Brewer, G., 2019. Community based initiatives to mainstream climate change adaptation into disaster risk reduction: evidence from the Hunter Valley (Australia). *Local Environment*, 24, 52–67, doi:10.1080/13549839.2018.1548010.
- Forsyth, A. and Peiser, R., 2021. Lessons from planned resettlement and new town experiences for avoiding climate sprawl. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 205, p.103957, doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.103957.
- Fosas, D., Coley, D.A., Natarajan, S., Herrera, M., de Pando, M.F. and Ramallo-Gonzalez, A., 2018. Mitigation versus adaptation: Does insulating dwellings increase overheating risk?. *Building and Environment*, 143, pp.740–759, doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.07.033.
- Foster, R. and Cota, A., 2014. Solar water pumping advances and comparative economics. *Energy Procedia*, 57, pp.1431–1436, doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2014.10.134.
- Foti, E., Musumeci, R.E. and Stagnitti, M., 2020. Coastal defence techniques and climate change: a review. *Rendiconti Lincei. Scienze Fisiche e Naturali*, 31(1), pp.123–138, doi: 10.1007/s12210-020-00877-y.
- Fox, M., Zuidema, C., Bauman, B., Burke, T. and Sheehan, M., 2019. Integrating public health into climate change policy and planning: state of practice update. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(18), p.3232, doi: 10.3390/ijerph16183232.
- Freduah, G., Fidelman, P. and Smith, T.F., 2018. Mobilising adaptive capacity to multiple stressors: Insights from small-scale coastal fisheries in the Western Region of Ghana. *Geoforum*, 91, pp.61–72, doi: 10.1016/j.geoforum.2018.02.026.
- Fu, X. and Song, J., 2017. Assessing the economic costs of sea level rise and benefits of coastal protection: A spatiotemporal approach. *Sustainability*, 9(8), p.1495, doi: 10.3390/su9081495.
- Gain, A.K., Rouillard, J.J. and Benson, D., 2013. Can integrated water resources management increase adaptive capacity to climate change adaptation? A critical review. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 2013, 5, pp.11–20, doi:10.4236/jwarp.2013.54A003.
- Galappaththi, E.K., Ford, J.D. and Bennett, E.M., 2019. A framework for assessing community adaptation to climate change in a fisheries context. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 92, pp.17–26, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2018.11.005.
- Galappaththi, E.K., Ichien, S.T., Hyman, A.A., Aubrac, C.J. and Ford, J.D., 2020. Climate change adaptation in aquaculture. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 12(4), pp.2160–2176, doi: 10.1111/raq.12427.
- Garg, A., Kankal, B. and Shukla, P.R., 2011. Methane emissions in India: sub-regional and sectoral trends. *Atmospheric Environment*, 45(28), 4922–4929, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.06.004.
- Garg, K.K., Anantha, K.H., Wani, S.P., Patil, M.D. and Nune, R., 2020. Improved Water Balance and Ecosystem Services Through Integrated Watershed Development. In *Community and Climate Resilience in the Semi-Arid Tropics* (pp. 65–80). Springer, Cham, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-29918-7\_5.
- Gartrell, A., Calgaro, E., Goddard, G. and Saorath, N., 2020. Disaster experiences of women with disabilities: barriers and opportunities for disability inclusive disaster risk reduction in Cambodia. *Global Environmental Change*, 64, 102134, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102134.
- Gaughan, J.B., Sejian, V., Mader, T.L. and Dunshea, F.R., 2019. Adaptation strategies: ruminants. *Animal Frontiers*, 9(1), pp.47–53, doi: 10.1093/af/vfy029.
- Gautam, A., Shankar, R. and Vrat, P., 2021. End-of-life solar photovoltaic e-waste assessment in India: a step towards a circular economy. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 26, 65–77, doi:10.1016/j.spc.2020.09.011.
- Gautam, Y. and Andersen, P., 2016. Rural livelihood diversification and household well-being: Insights from Humla, Nepal. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 44, pp.239–249, doi: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.02.001.
- Gebreslassie, M.G., 2020. Solar home systems in Ethiopia: Sustainability challenges and policy directions. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, 42, p.100880, doi: 10.1016/j.seta.2020.100880.
- Geekiyana, D., Keraminiyage, K., Fernando, T. and Jayawickrama, T., 2021. Factors influencing acceptance or rejection regarding being the host community for post-disaster resettlements in developing countries. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 53, p.101973, doi: 10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101973.
- Georgeson, L., Maslin, M., Poessinouw, M. and Howard, S., 2016. Adaptation responses to climate change differ between global megacities. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(6), pp.584–588, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2944.
- Gernaat, D.E., de Boer, H.S., Daioglou, V., Yalew, S.G., Müller, C. and van Vuuren, D.P., 2021. Climate change impacts on renewable energy supply. *Nature Climate Change*, 11(2), pp.119–125, doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-00949-9.

- Ghahramani, A., Kingwell, R.S. and Maraseni, T.N., 2020. Land use change in Australian mixed crop-livestock systems as a transformative climate change adaptation. *Agricultural Systems*, 180, 102791, doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102791.
- Ghiasi, M., Carrick, J., Rhode-Barbarigos, L., Haus, B., Baker, A.C. and Lirman, D., 2021. Dissipation of wave energy by a hybrid artificial reef in a wave simulator: implications for coastal resilience and shoreline protection. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, 19(1), pp.1–7, doi: 10.1002/lom3.10400.
- Ghosh-Jerath, S., Kapoor, R., Ghosh, U., Singh, A., Downs, S. and Fanzo, J., 2021. Pathways of Climate Change Impact on Agroforestry, Food Consumption Pattern, and Dietary Diversity Among Indigenous Subsistence Farmers of Sauria Paharia Tribal Community of India: A Mixed Methods Study. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 5, p.174, doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2021.667297.
- Gibb, R., Franklins, L.H., Redding, D.W. and Jones, K.E., 2020. Ecosystem perspectives are needed to manage zoonotic risks in a changing climate. *BMJ*, 371, doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3389.
- Gibbs, M.T., 2016. Why is coastal retreat so hard to implement? Understanding the political risk of coastal adaptation pathways. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 130, pp.107–114, doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.06.002.
- Gilbert, A., Sovacool, B.K., Johnstone, P. and Stirling, A., 2017. Cost overruns and financial risk in the construction of nuclear power reactors: a critical appraisal. *Energy Policy*, 102, 644–649, doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2016.04.001.
- Gillfillan, D., 2019. The health sector's role in governance of climate change adaptation in Myanmar. *Climate and Development*, 11(7), 574–584, doi:10.1080/17565529.2018.1510364.
- Gill, G., 2014. An assessment of the impact of laser-assisted precision land levelling technology as a component of climate-smart agriculture in the state of Haryana, India. CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS).
- Gioli, G. and Milan, A., 2018. Gender, migration and (global) environmental change. In: *Routledge Handbook of Environmental Displacement and Migration* [McLeman, R. and G. François (eds.)]. Routledge, 11237, pp.135–150, ISBN: 9780367521509.
- Gjorgiev, B. and Sansavini, G., 2018. Electrical power generation under policy constrained water-energy nexus. *Applied Energy*, 210, pp.568–579, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.09.011.
- Glantz, M.H. and Pierce, G.E., 2021. Forecast hesitancy: why are people reluctant to believe, accept, or respond to various weather, water, and climate hazard-related forecasts? *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, 12(4), 600–609, doi:10.1007/s13753-021-00353-7.
- Goh, K., 2020. Flows in formation: The global-urban networks of climate change adaptation. *Urban Studies*, 57(11), pp.2222–2240, doi: 10.1177/0042098018807306.
- Goldman, S., Ungar, L., Capanna, S. and Simchak, T., 2012. Energy efficiency: a tool for climate change adaptation. *Alliance to Save Energy*, Washington, DC.
- Gómez Martín, E., Costa, M.M. and Máñez, K.S., 2020. An operationalized classification of Nature Based Solutions for water-related hazards: From theory to practice. *Ecological Economics*, 167, p.106460, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.106460.
- Goniewicz, K. and Burkle, F.M., 2019. Challenges in implementing Sendai framework for disaster risk reduction in Poland. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(14), doi:10.3390/ijerph16142574.
- Gonzalez-Sanchez, E.J., Veroz-Gonzalez, O., Conway, G., Moreno-Garcia, M., Kassam, A., Mkomwa, S., Ordoñez-Fernandez, R., Triviño-Tarradas, P. and Carbonell-Bojollo, R., 2019. Meta-analysis on carbon sequestration through Conservation Agriculture in Africa. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 190, pp.22–30, doi: 10.1016/j.still.2019.02.020.
- Gopal, N., Hapke, H.M., Kusakabe, K., Rajaratnam, S. and Williams, M.J., 2020. Expanding the horizons for women in fisheries and aquaculture. *Gender, Technology and Development*, 24(1), pp.1–9, doi: 10.1080/09718524.2020.1736353.
- Göransson, G., Van Well, L., Bendz, D., Danielsson, P. and Hedfors, J., 2021. Territorial governance of managed retreat in Sweden: addressing challenges. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, 11(3), pp.376–391, doi: 10.1007/s13412-021-00696-z.
- Goryushina, E.M., 2021, April. Challenges of the Sendai framework for disaster risk reduction adaptation in Russia. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 720(1), 12101, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/720/1/012101.
- Gostin, L.O. and Friedman, E.A., 2017. Global health: a pivotal moment of opportunity and peril. *Health Affairs*, 36(1), 159–165, doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2016.1492.
- Gould, S. and Rudolph, L., 2015. Challenges and opportunities for advancing work on climate change and public health. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12(12), 15649–15672, doi: 10.3390/ijerph121215010.
- Goulden, M.C., Adger, W.N., Allison, E.H. and Conway, D., 2013. Limits to resilience from livelihood diversification and social capital in lake social-ecological systems. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 103(4), pp.906–924, doi: 0.1080/00045608.2013.765771.
- Grafakos, S., Trigg, K., Landauer, M., Chelleri, L. and Dhakal, S., 2019. Analytical framework to evaluate the level of integration of climate adaptation and mitigation in cities. *Climatic Change*, 154(1), pp.87–106, doi: 10.1007/s10584-019-02394-w.
- Grafton, R.Q., Williams, J., Perry, C.J., Molle, F., Ringler, C., Steduto, P., Udall, B., Wheeler, S.A., Wang, Y., Garrick, D. and Allen, R.G., 2018. The paradox of irrigation efficiency. *Science*, 361(6404), pp.748–750, doi: 10.1126/science.aat9314.
- Graham, C., 2020. Climate-induced population displacement in sub-Saharan Africa: a review of resilience-building strategies. *Geoforum*, 117, pp.300–303, doi: 10.1016/j.geoforum.2020.07.004.
- Gray, E. and Srinidhi, A., 2013. *Watershed Development in India: Economic Valuation and Adaptation Considerations*. World Resources Institute, Washington DC, USA. 32 pp.
- Gray, M., Micheli, E., Comendant, T. and Merenlender, A., 2020. Quantifying climate-wise connectivity across a topographically diverse landscape. *Land*, 9(10), p.355, doi: 10.3390/land9100355.
- Greenland, S., Levin, E., Dalrymple, J.F. and O'Mahony, B., 2018. Sustainable innovation adoption barriers: water sustainability, food production and drip irrigation in Australia. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 15 (6), pp. 727–741, doi: 10.1108/SRJ-07-2018-0181.
- Greiving, S., Du, J. and Puntub, W., 2018. Managed retreat—a strategy for the mitigation of disaster risks with international and comparative perspectives. *Journal of Extreme Events*, 05(02n03), p.1850011, doi:10.1142/s2345737618500112.
- Grubler, A., 2010. The costs of the French nuclear scale-up: a case of negative learning by doing. *Energy Policy*, 38(9), 5174–5188, doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2010.05.003.
- Guarnacci, U., 2012. Governance for sustainable reconstruction after disasters: lessons from Nias, Indonesia. *Environmental Development*, 2, 73–85, doi:10.1016/j.envdev.2012.03.010.
- Guillemot, J., Le Maire, G., Munishamappa, M., Charbonnier, F. and Vaast, P., 2018. Native coffee agroforestry in the Western Ghats of India maintains higher carbon storage and tree diversity compared to exotic agroforestry. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 265, pp.461–469, doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2018.06.002.
- Gullström, C., Kordas, O. and Molinari, M., 2017. Sharing Spaces in the Sharing Economy—to save energy, increase well-being or boost innovation? How do new initiatives align with energy transition?. In *BIWAES- Biennial International Workshop Advances in Energy Studies*, Graz, 2017.

- Gumucio, T., Hansen, J., Huyer, S. and van Huysen, T., 2020. Gender-responsive rural climate services: a review of the literature. *Climate and Development*, 12(3), 241–254, doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1613216.
- Gupta, S., 2014. From demanding to delivering development: Challenges of NGO-led development in rural Rajasthan, India. *Journal of South Asian Development*, 9(2), pp.121–145, doi: 10.1177/0973174114536099.
- Gür, T.M., 2018. Review of electrical energy storage technologies, materials and systems: challenges and prospects for large-scale grid storage. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 11(10), 2696–2767, doi:10.1039/C8EE01419A.
- Gussmann, G. and Hinkel, J., 2020. What drives relocation policies in the Maldives?. *Climatic Change*, 163(2), pp.931–951, doi: 10.1007/s10584-020-02919-8.
- Gutiérrez, J.L., Jones, C.G., Byers, J.E., Arkema, K.K., Berkenbusch, K., Commito, J.A., Duarte, C.M., Hacker, S.D., Lambrinos, J.G., Hendriks, I.E., Hogarth, P.J., Palomo, M.G. and Wild, C., 2011. Physical Ecosystem Engineers and the Functioning of Estuaries and Coasts. In: Wolanski E and McLusky DS (eds.) *Treatise on Estuarine and Coastal Science*, Vol 7, pp. 53–81. Waltham: Academic Press, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-374711-2.00705-1.
- Haines, A. and Ebi, K., 2019. The imperative for climate action to protect health. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 380(3), pp.263–273, doi: 10.1056/NEJMr1807873.
- Halabi, S.F., 2020. Adaptation of animal and human health surveillance systems for vector-borne diseases accompanying climate change. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 48(4), 694–704, doi:10.1177/1073110520979375.
- Hallegatte, S., Green, C., Nicholls, R.J. and Corfee-Morlot, J., 2013. Future flood losses in major coastal cities. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(9), pp.802–806 doi: 10.1038/nclimate1979.
- Hallegatte, S., Rentschler, J. and Rozenberg, J., 2019. *Lifelines: The Resilient Infrastructure Opportunity*. World Bank Publications, Washington, DC.
- Hamin, E.M., Abunnasr, Y., Roman Diltthey, M., Judge, P.K., Kenney, M.A., Kirshen, P., Sheahan, T.C., DeGroot, D.J., Ryan, R.L., McAdoo, B.G. and Nurse, L., 2018. Pathways to coastal resiliency: The adaptive gradients framework. *Sustainability*, 10(8), p.2629, doi: 10.3390/su10082629.
- Han, D., Wiesmeier, M., Conant, R.T., Kühnel, A., Sun, Z., Kögel-Knabner, I., Hou, R., Cong, P., Liang, R. and Ouyang, Z., 2018. Large soil organic carbon increase due to improved agronomic management in the North China Plain from 1980s to 2010s. *Global Change Biology*, 24(3), pp.987–1000, doi: 10.1111/gcb.13898.
- Hanefeld, J., Mayhew, S., Legido-Quigley, H., Martineau, F., Karanikolos, M., Blanchet, K., Liverani, M., Yei Mokuwa, E., McKay, G. and Balabanova, D., 2018. Towards an understanding of resilience: responding to health systems shocks. *Health Policy and Planning*, 33(3), pp.355–367, doi: 10.1093/heapol/czx183.
- Hanley, M.E., Bouma, T.J. and Mossman, H.L., 2020. The gathering storm: optimizing management of coastal ecosystems in the face of a climate-driven threat. *Annals of Botany*, 125(2), pp.197–212, doi: 10.1093/aob/mcz204.
- Hanna, R., Gazis, E., Edge, J., Rhodes, A. and Gross, R., 2018. *Unlocking the potential of Energy Systems Integration*. Energy Futures Lab, Imperial College London: London, UK.
- Hansen, J., Hellin, J., Rosenstock, T., Fisher, E., Cairns, J., Stirling, C., Lamanna, C., van Etten, J., Rose, A. and Campbell, B., 2019. Climate risk management and rural poverty reduction. *Agricultural Systems*, 172, pp.28–46, doi: 10.1016/j.agsy.2018.01.019.
- Hargan, K.E., Williams, B., Nuangsaeng, B., Siriwong, S., Tassawad, P., Chaihar, C., McAdoo, B. and Los Huertos, M., 2020. Understanding the fate of shrimp aquaculture effluent in a mangrove ecosystem: Aiding management for coastal conservation. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 57(4), pp.754–765, doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.13579.
- Harjanne, A., 2017. Servitizing climate science—Institutional analysis of climate services discourse and its implications. *Global Environmental Change*, 46, 1–16, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.06.008.
- Harvey, B., Jones, L., Cochrane, L. and Singh, R., 2019. The evolving landscape of climate services in sub-Saharan Africa: What roles have NGOs played? *Climatic Change*, 157(1), 81–98, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02410-z.
- Hasan, M.R., Nasreen, M. and Chowdhury, M.A., 2019. Gender-inclusive disaster management policy in Bangladesh: A content analysis of national and international regulatory frameworks. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 41, p.101324, doi: 10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101324.
- Hasan, S., Evers, J., Zegwaard, A. and Zwartveen, M., 2019. Making waves in the Mekong Delta: Recognizing the work and the actors behind the transfer of Dutch delta planning expertise. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 62(9), pp.1583–1602, doi: 10.1080/09640568.2019.1592745.
- Hassanal, K., 2017. Challenges in mainstreaming climate change into productive coastal sectors in a Small Island State—The case of Trinidad and Tobago. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 142, pp.136–142, doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.04.001.
- Hatch, M., Ron, E., Bouville, A., Zablotka, L. and Howe, G., 2005. The Chernobyl disaster: cancer following the accident at the Chernobyl nuclear power plant. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 27(1), pp.56–66, doi: 10.1093/epirev/mxi012.
- Hauer, M.E., Evans, J.M. and Mishra, D.R., 2016. Millions projected to be at risk from sea-level rise in the continental United States. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(7), pp.691–695, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2961.
- Hauer, M.E., Fussell, E., Mueller, V., Burkett, M., Call, M., Abel, K., McLeman, R. and Wrathall, D., 2020. Sea-level rise and human migration. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 1(1), pp.28–39, doi: 10.1038/s43017-019-0002-9.
- Hayes, K., Poland, B., Cole, D.C. and Agic, B., 2020. Psychosocial adaptation to climate change in High River, Alberta: implications for policy and practice. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 111(6), 880–889, doi:10.17269/s41997-020-00380-9.
- Heasman, K.G., Scott, N., Ericson, J.A., Taylor, D.I. and Buck, B.H., 2020. Extending New Zealand’s marine shellfish aquaculture into exposed environments—adapting to modern anthropogenic challenges. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, p.751, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.565686.
- Heikkinen, M., Ylä-Anttila, T. and Juhola, S., 2019. Incremental, reformistic or transformational: what kind of change do C40 cities advocate to deal with climate change?. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 21(1), pp.90–103, doi: 10.1080/1523908X.2018.1473151.
- Helgeson, J.F., Helgeson, J.F. and Eric, G.O., 2018. *Improving the Economic Viability of Investment in Building Sustainability through the Valuation of Resilience-based Co-Benefits*. US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology Technical Note 2013, pp.27, doi: org/10.6028/NIST.TN.2013
- Hellin, J., Ratner, B.D., Meinzen-Dick, R. and Lopez-Ridaura, S., 2018. Increasing social-ecological resilience within small-scale agriculture in conflict-affected Guatemala. *Ecology and Society*, 23(3), doi: 10.5751/ES-10250-230305.
- Helmrich, A.M. and Chester, M.V., 2020. Reconciling complexity and deep uncertainty in infrastructure design for climate adaptation. *Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure*, pp.1–17, doi: 10.1080/23789689.2019.1708179.
- Henrique, K.P. and Tschakert, P., 2020. Pathways to urban transformation: from dispossession to climate justice. *Progress in Human Geography*, 45(5), pp.1169–1191, doi:10.1177/0309132520962856.
- Hepburn, C., Adlen, E., Beddington, J., Carter, E.A., Fuss, S., Mac Dowell, N., Minx, J.C., Smith, P. and Williams, C.K., 2019. The technological and economic prospects for CO<sub>2</sub> utilization and removal. *Nature*, 575(7781), pp.87–97, doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1681-6.
- Hernández-Morcillo, M., Burgess, P., Mirck, J., Pantera, A. and Plieninger, T., 2018. Scanning agroforestry-based solutions for climate change mitigation and adaptation in Europe. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 80, pp.44–52, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2017.11.013.
- Herrero, M., Addison, J., Bedelian, C., Carabine, E., Havlik, P., Henderson, B., Van De Steeg, J. and Thornton, P.K., 2016. Climate change and pastoralism: impacts, consequences and adaptation. *Rev Sci Tech*, 35(2), pp.417–433, doi: 10.20506/rst/35.2.2533.

- Herslund, L. and Mguni, P., 2019. Examining urban water management practices—Challenges and possibilities for transitions to sustainable urban water management in Sub-Saharan cities. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 48, p.101573, doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2019.101573.
- Herwehe, L. and Scott, C.A., 2018. Drought adaptation and development: Small-scale irrigated agriculture in northeast Brazil. *Climate and Development*, 10(4), pp.337–346, doi: 10.1080/17565529.2017.1301862.
- Hewitt, C.D., Allis, E., Mason, S.J., Muth, M., Pulwarty, R., Shumake-Guillemot, J., Bucher, A., Brunet, M., Fischer, A.M., Hama, A.M. and Kolli, R.K., 2020. Making society climate resilient: International progress under the global framework for climate services. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 101(2), pp.E237–E252, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0211.1.
- Hewitt, C.N., Ashworth, K. and MacKenzie, A.R., 2020. Using green infrastructure to improve urban air quality (GI4AQ). *Ambio*, 49(1), pp.62–73, doi: 10.1007/s13280-019-01164-3.
- Hillier, D., 2018. Facing risk: options and challenges in ensuring that climate/disaster risk finance and insurance deliver for poor people. *Oxfam*, pp.52, doi: 10.21201/2017.2258.
- Hinkel, J., Aerts, J.C., Brown, S., Jiménez, J.A., Lincke, D., Nicholls, R.J., Scussolini, P., Sanchez-Arcilla, A., Vafeidis, A. and Addo, K.A., 2018. The ability of societies to adapt to twenty-first-century sea-level rise. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(7), pp.570–578, doi: 10.1038/s41558-018-0176-z.
- Hinkel, J., Lincke, D., Vafeidis, A.T., Perrette, M., Nicholls, R.J., Tol, R.S., Marzeion, B., Fettweis, X., Ionescu, C. and Levermann, A., 2014. Coastal flood damage and adaptation costs under 21st century sea-level rise. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(9), pp.3292–3297, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1222469111.
- Hirsch, A.L., Prestele, R., Davin, E.L., Seneviratne, S.I., Thiery, W. and Verburg, P.H., 2018. Modelled biophysical impacts of conservation agriculture on local climates. *Global Change Biology*, 24(10), pp.4758–4774, doi: 10.1111/gcb.14362.
- Hobday, A.J., Spillman, C.M., Eveson, J.P., Hartog, J.R., Zhang, X. and Brodie, S., 2018. A framework for combining seasonal forecasts and climate projections to aid risk management for fisheries and aquaculture. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, p.137, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00137.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., D. Jacob, M. Taylor, M. Bindi, S. Brown, I. Camilloni, A. Diedhiou, R. Djalante, K.L. Ebi, F. Engelbrecht, J. Guiot, Y. Hijioka, S. Mehrotra, A. Payne, S.I. Seneviratne, A. Thomas, R. Warren, and G. Zhou, 2018. Impacts of 1.5°C Global Warming on Natural and Human Systems. In: *Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty* [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J.B.R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (eds.)]. IPCC Secretariat , pp. 175–311.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Lovelock, C., Caldeira, K., Howard, J., Chopin, T. and Gaines, S., 2019. The ocean as a solution to climate change: Five opportunities for action. Report. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute.
- Hoffmann, R., Dimitrova, A., Mutarak, R., Crespo Cuaresma, J. and Peisker, J., 2020. A meta-analysis of country-level studies on environmental change and migration. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(10), pp.904–912, doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0898-6.
- Holt, V.K., Hopkins, A.R., Mozersky D. and Das, S. 2021. Shifting Power. Transitioning to Renewable Energy in United Nations Peace Operations. The Henry L. Stimson Center & Energy Peace Partners. International Order & Conflict Report.
- Honarmand Ebrahimi, S. and Ossewaarde, M., 2019. Not a security issue: how policy experts de-politicize the climate change–migration nexus. *Social Sciences*, 8(7), p.214, doi: 10.3390/socsci8070214.
- Hörner, D. and Wollni, M., 2020. The effects of integrated soil fertility management on household welfare in Ethiopia (No. 142), Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Research Training Group (RTG) 1666 - GlobalFood, Discussion Papers, <http://hdl.handle.net/10419/215843>.
- Hörner, D. and Wollni, M., 2020. Does Integrated Soil Fertility Management increase returns to land and labor? Plot-level evidence from Ethiopia (No. 141). GlobalFood Discussion Papers doi: <http://hdl.handle.net/10419/215842>.
- Hosen, N., Nakamura, H. and Hamzah, A., 2020. Adaptation to climate change: does traditional ecological knowledge hold the key? *Sustainability*, 12(2), doi:10.3390/su12020676.
- Hostettler, S., Jöhr, A., Montes, C. and D’Acunzi, A., 2019. Community-based landslide risk reduction: a review of a Red Cross soil bioengineering for resilience program in Honduras. *Landslides*, 16(9), pp.1779–1791 doi: 10.1007/s10346-019-01161-3.
- Howard, J., 2014. Chernobyl nuclear disaster. *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online*, doi: 10.1002/9781118445112.stat03778
- Hughes, S., 2020. Principles, drivers, and policy tools for just climate change adaptation in legacy cities. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 111, pp.35–41, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2020.05.007.
- Hung, H.C., Lu, Y.T. and Hung, C.H., 2019. The determinants of integrating policy-based and community-based adaptation into coastal hazard risk management: a resilience approach. *Journal of Risk Research*, 22(10), pp.1205–1223, doi: 10.1080/13669877.2018.1454496.
- Hunt, A., Ferguson, J., Baccini, M., Watkiss, P. and Kendrovski, V., 2017. Climate and weather service provision: Economic appraisal of adaptation to health impacts. *Climate Services*, 7, pp.78–86, doi: 10.1016/j.cliser.2016.10.004.
- Hussey, L.K. and Arku, G., 2020. Are we ready for it? Health systems preparedness and capacity towards climate change-induced health risks: perspectives of health professionals in Ghana. *Climate and Development*, 12(2), pp.170–182, doi: 10.1080/17565529.2019.1610350.
- Huynh, L.T.M. and Stringer, L.C., 2018. Multi-scale assessment of social vulnerability to climate change: an empirical study in coastal Vietnam. *Climate Risk Management*, 20, 165–180, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2018.02.003.
- Iiyama, M., Derero, A., Kelemu, K., Muthuri, C., Kinuthia, R., Ayenkulu, E., Kiptot, E., Hadgu, K., Mowo, J. and Sinclair, F.L., 2017. Understanding patterns of tree adoption on farms in semi-arid and sub-humid Ethiopia. *Agroforestry Systems*, 91(2), pp.271–293, doi: 10.1007/s10457-016-9926-y.
- Imburgia, L., 2019. Irrigation and equality: an integrative gender-analytical approach to water governance with examples from Ethiopia and Argentina. *Water Alternatives*, 12(2), 571–587.
- Imburgia, L., Osbahr, H., Cardey, S. and Momsen, J., 2020. Inclusive participation, self-governance, and sustainability: current challenges and opportunities for women in leadership of communal irrigation systems. *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space*, 4(3), pp.886–914, doi:10.1177/2514848620934717.
- IPCC, 2012: Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Field, C.B., V. Barros, T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, D.J. Dokken, K.L. Ebi, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S.K. Allen, M. Tignor, and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, 582 pp. ISBN 978-1107607804.
- IPCC, 2019: Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, E. Calvo Buendia, V. Masson-Delmotte, H.-O. Pörtner, D. C. Roberts, P. Zhai, R. Slade, S. Connors, R. van Diemen, M. Ferrat, E. Haughey, S. Luz, S. Neogi, M. Pathak, J. Petzold, J. Portugal Pereira, P. Vyas, E. Huntley, K. Kissick, M. Belkacemi, J. Malley, (eds.)]. In press. pp 1–864.
- Iqbal, M.P., 2021: Effect of climate change on health in Pakistan: climate change and health in Pakistan. *Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci. B Life Environ. Sci.*, 57(3), 1–12.
- Islam, M.R. and M. Shamsuddoha, 2017: Socioeconomic consequences of climate induced human displacement and migration in Bangladesh. *Int. Sociol.*, 32, 277–298, doi:10.1177/0268580917693173.

- Islam, S., C. Chu and J.C.R. Smart, 2020: Challenges in integrating disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation: exploring the Bangladesh case. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 47, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101540.
- Islam, S., Chu, C., Smart, J.C. and Liew, L., 2020. Integrating disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation: a systematic literature review. *Climate and Development*, 12(3), pp.255–267.
- Islam, S.F., et al., 2020: Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions and reduced irrigation water use in rice production through water-saving irrigation scheduling, reduced tillage and fertiliser application strategies. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 739, 140215, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140215.
- Jabeen, H., 2019: Gendered space and climate resilience in informal settlements in Khulna City, Bangladesh. *Environ. Urban.*, 31(1), 115–138, doi:10.1177/0956247819828274.
- Jacobi, J., et al., 2017: Whose knowledge, whose development? Use and role of local and external knowledge in agroforestry projects in Bolivia. *Environ. Manag.*, 59(3), 464–476, doi:10.1007/s00267-016-0805-0.
- Jacobson, C., Crevello, S., Chea, C. and Jarihani, B., 2019. When is migration a maladaptive response to climate change?. *Regional Environmental Change*, 19(1), pp.101–112.
- Jagals, P. and K. Ebi, 2021: Core competencies for health workers to deal with climate and environmental change. *Int. J. Environ. Public Health*, 18(8), 3849. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18083849
- Jägermeyr, J., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Schaphoff, S., Kummu, M. and Lucht, W., 2015. Water savings potentials of irrigation systems: global simulation of processes and linkages. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19(7), pp.3073–3091. doi: 10.5194/hess-19-3073-2015
- Jain, A. and Mehta, N., 2020. Carbon Sequestration and Crop Productivity in Eucalyptus-Wheat Agroforestry System. *International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences*, 46(3-4), pp.223–230.
- Jain, G. and A.B. Bazaz, 2020: A multi-scalar approach for assessing costs and benefits of risk reduction alternatives for the people and the city: cases of three resettlements in Visakhapatnam, India. *Sustainability*, 12(15), doi:10.3390/su12155958.
- Jarvis, D.I., Brown, A.H., Cuong, P.H., Collado-Panduro, L., Latournerie-Moreno, L., Gyawali, S., Tanto, T., Sawadogo, M., Mar, I., Sadiki, M. and Hue, N.T.N., 2008. A global perspective of the richness and evenness of traditional crop-variety diversity maintained by farming communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 105. 5326–31. doi:10.1073/pnas.0800607105.
- Jarzbkowski, Paula & Chalkias, Konstantinos & Clarke, Daniel & Stadtmueller, Daniel & Zwick, Astrid & Iyahan, Ekhsuehi. (2019). Insurance For Climate Adaptation: Opportunities And Limitations. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.25709.95207.
- Jasiūnas, Justinas & Lund, P. & Mikkola, Jani & Koskela, Liinu. (2021). Linking socio-economic aspects to power system disruption models. *Energy*. 222. 119928. doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2021.119928.
- Jat, R.K., Sapkota, T.B., Singh, R.G., Jat, M.L., Kumar, M. and Gupta, R.K., 2014. Seven years of conservation agriculture in a rice–wheat rotation of Eastern Gangetic Plains of South Asia: yield trends and economic profitability. *Field Crops Research*, 164, pp.199–210. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.04.015.
- Jayanthi, M., Selvasekar, T., Muralidhar, M. and Pitchaiyappan, R., 2018. Impact of shrimp aquaculture on important ecosystems in India.. *Global Environmental Change*. 52. 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.05.005.
- Jegede, A.O., M. Addaney and U.C. Mokoena, 2020: Climate change risk and insurance as an adaptation strategy: an enquiry into the regulatory framework of South Africa and Ghana. In: *Handbook of Climate Services* [Leal Filho, W. and D. Jacob(eds.)]. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 279–294. ISBN 978-3030368753.
- Jennings, S., et al., 2016 Aquatic food security: Insights into challenges and solutions from an analysis of interactions between fisheries, aquaculture, food safety, human health, fish and human welfare, economy and environment. *Fish and Fisheries*. 17. doi: 10.1111/faf.12152.
- Jensen, Olivia & Nair, Sreeja. (2019). Integrated Urban Water Management and Water Security: A Comparison of Singapore and Hong Kong. *Water*. 11. 785. doi: 10.3390/w11040785.
- Jessoe, K., D.T. Manning and J.E. Taylor, 2018: Climate change and labour allocation in rural Mexico: evidence from annual fluctuations in weather. *Econ. J.*, 128, 230–261, doi:10.1111/ECOJ.12448.
- Ji, H. and D. Lee, 2021: Disaster risk reduction, community resilience, and policy effectiveness: the case of the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program in the United States. *Disasters*, 45, 378–402, doi:10.1111/disa.12424.
- Jin, L., S. Schubert, M. Hefny Salim and C. Schneider, 2020: Impact of air conditioning systems on the outdoor thermal environment during summer in Berlin, Germany. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 17(13), doi:10.3390/ijerph17134645.
- Joffre, Olivier & Bosma, Roel H. & Bregt, Arnold & Zwieten, Paul A.M. & Bush, Simon & Verreth, Johan. (2015). What drives the adoption of integrated shrimp mangrove aquaculture in Vietnam?. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 114. 53–63. doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.06.015.
- Johnson, D.A. and Abe, Y., 2015. Global overview on the role of the private sector in disaster risk reduction: Scopes, challenges, and potentials. *Disaster management and private sectors*, pp.11–29. doi: 10.1007/978-4-431-55414-1\_2.
- Johnson, K., S. Mortensen, C. Gueguen-Teil and A.R. Torre, 2021: Displaced by climate and disaster-induced relocations: experiences of cascading displacement in Fiji and the Philippines. *Disasters*, doi:10.1111/disa.12475.
- Johnson, O.W., et al., 2020: Intersectionality and energy transitions: A review of gender, social equity and low-carbon energy. *Energy Research & Social Science*. 70. 101774. doi: 10.1016/j.erss.2020.101774.
- Johnson, W.G., 2020: Using precision public health to manage climate change: opportunities, challenges, and health justice. *J. Law Med. Ethics*, 48(4), 681–693, doi:10.1177/1073110520979374.
- Jones, H., Nickel, B., Srebotnjak, T., Turner, W., González-Roglich, M., Zavaleta, E. and Hole, D., 2020. Global hotspots for coastal ecosystem-based adaptation. *PLOS ONE*. 15. e0233005. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0233005.
- Jones, K., Powlen, K., Roberts, R. and Shinbrot, X., 2020. Participation in payments for ecosystem services programs in the Global South: A systematic review. *Ecosystem Services*. 45. 101159. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoser.2020.101159.
- Jordán, C. and S. Speelman, 2020: On-farm adoption of irrigation technologies in two irrigated valleys in Central Chile: the effect of relative abundance of water resources. *Agric. Water Manag.*, 236, 106147, doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106147.
- Jordon, M., Willis, K., Harvey, W., Petrokofsky, L. and Petrokofsky, G., 2020. Implications of Temperate Agroforestry on Sheep and Cattle Productivity, Environmental Impacts and Enterprise Economics. *A Systematic Evidence Map*. *Forests*. 11. 1321. doi: 10.3390/f11121321.
- Jørgensen, S.L., M. Termansen and U. Pascual, 2020: Natural insurance as condition for market insurance: climate change adaptation in agriculture. *Ecol. Econ.*, 169, 106489, doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.106489.
- Joshi, B., M. Kay, J.K. Copper and A.B. Sproul, 2019: Evaluation of solar irradiance forecasting skills of the Australian Bureau of Meteorology’s ACCESS models. *Sol. Energy*, 188, 386–402, doi:10.1016/j.solener.2019.06.007.
- Joy, A. and Gopinath, A., 2021. The Application of Reefs in Shoreline Protection. *Handbook of Ecological and Ecosystem Engineering*, pp.295–315.
- Kaczan, David & Orgill Meyer, Jennifer. (2020). The impact of climate change on migration: a synthesis of recent empirical insights. *Climatic Change*. 158. 1–20. 10.1007/s10584-019-02560-0.
- Kälin, W., 2018: The global compact on migration: a ray of hope for disaster-displaced persons. *Int. J. Refug. Law*, 30(4), 664–667, doi:10.1093/ijrl/ey047.
- Kanfar, Moayed & Park, Jihyeon & Bak, Michael & Lee, Yuna. (2020). Addressing Gender-Inclusive Public Health in Climate Change Response: Women in Water-related Disaster. *Journal of Climate Change Research*. 11. 427–436. doi: 10.15531/kccr.2020.11.5.427.

- Kannan, E. and K.B. Ramappa, 2017: Analysis of farm-level adoption of soil nutrient management technology by paddy farmers in Karnataka, India. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.*, 19, 2317–2331, doi:10.1007/s10668-016-9858-8.
- Karlberg, Louise & Garg, Kaushal & Barron, Jennie & Wani, Suhas. (2015). Impacts of agricultural water interventions on farm income: An example from the Kothapally watershed, India. *Agricultural Systems*. 136. doi: 10.1016/j.agry.2015.02.002.
- Karlsson, Mikael & Alfredsson, Eva & Westling, Nils. (2020). Climate policy co-benefits: a review. *Climate Policy*. 20. 1–25. doi: 10.1080/14693062.2020.1724070.
- Kassegn, Andualem & Endris, Ebrahim. (2021). Review on livelihood diversification and food security situations in Ethiopia. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*. 7. 1882135. doi: 10.1080/23311932.2021.1882135.
- Kaufman, J.D., et al., 2020: Guidance to reduce the cardiovascular burden of ambient air pollutants: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 142(23), e432–e447, doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000930.
- Keeler, B.L., et al., 2019: Social-ecological and technological factors moderate the value of urban nature. *Nature Sustainability*. 2. doi: 10.1038/s41893-018-0202-1.
- Keeley, A.T.H., et al., 2018: New concepts, models, and assessments of climate-wise connectivity. *Environmental Research Letters*. 13. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aac85.
- Keeley, A.T.H., et al., 2018: Making habitat connectivity a reality. *Conservation Biology*. 32. doi: 10.1111/cobi.13158.
- Keenan, Jesse & Hill, Thomas & Gumber, Anurag. (2018). Climate gentrification: From theory to empiricism in Miami-Dade County, Florida. *Environmental Research Letters*. 13. 054001. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aabb32.
- Keil, A., A. D'souza and A. McDonald, 2017: Zero-tillage is a proven technology for sustainable wheat intensification in the Eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains: what determines farmer awareness and adoption? *Food Sec.*, 9, 723–743, doi:10.1007/s12571-017-0707-x.
- Keil, A., A. Mitra, A.K. Srivastava and A. McDonald, 2019: Social inclusion increases with time for zero-tillage wheat in the Eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains. *World Dev.*, 123, 104582, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.06.006.
- Keim, M. E., et al., 2021: Measuring the efficacy of a pilot public health intervention for engaging communities of Puerto Rico to rapidly write hurricane protection plans. *Prehosp. Disaster Med.*, 36(1), 32–41, doi:10.1017/s1049023x20001429.
- Kelman, I., et al., 2019: Does climate change influence people's migration decisions in Maldives? *Springer Neth.*, 285–299. doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02376-y
- Kennedy, C.M., et al., 2013: A global quantitative synthesis of local and landscape effects on wild bee pollinators in agroecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.*, 16, 584–599, doi:10.1111/ele.12082.
- Kenney, C. and S. Phibbs, 2020: Indigenous peoples and climate change: situating culture, identity, and place in climate change risk mitigation and resilience. In: *Handbook of Climate Change Management: Research, Leadership, Transformation* [Leal Filho, W., J. Luetz and D. Ayal(eds.)]. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 1–27. ISBN 978-3030227593.
- Khalil, M.B., B.C. Jacobs, K. McKenna and N. Kuruppu, 2020: Female contribution to grassroots innovation for climate change adaptation in Bangladesh. *Clim. Dev.*, 12(7), 664–676, doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1676188.
- Khatri-Chhetri, A., P.K. Aggarwal, P.K. Joshi and S. Vyas, 2017: Farmers' prioritization of climate-smart agriculture (CSA) technologies, 184–191. Elsevier. doi.org/10.1016/j.agry.2016.10.005
- Khatri-Chhetri, A., J.P. Aryal, T.B. Sapkota and R. Khurana, 2016: Economic benefits of climate-smart agricultural practices to smallholder farmers in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of India, *Indian Academy of Sciences*.1251–1256.
- Khurana, S., et al., 2021: Now is the time to press the reset button: Helping India's companies to become more resilient and effective in overcoming the impacts of COVID-19, climate changes and other crises. *J. Clean. Prod.*, 280, 124466, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124466.
- Kihila, J. M. (2018). Indigenous coping and adaptation strategies to climate change of local communities in Tanzania: A review. *Climate and Development*, 10(5), 406–416. doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2017.1318739
- Kim, H. and D.W. Marcouiller, 2020: Making sense of resilience planning and policy in the pursuit of sustainable development and disaster risk reduction. *Clim. Dev.*, 12, 228–240, doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1613215.
- Kim, S., F. Sun and C. Irazábal, 2021: Planning for climate change. *J. Am. Plan. Assoc.*, 87(1), 34–44, doi:10.1080/01944363.2020.1788415.
- Kim, Y., Chester, M.V., Eisenberg, D.A., & Redman, C. L. (2019). The infrastructure trolley problem: Positioning safe-to-fail infrastructure for climate change adaptation. *Earth's Future*, 7(7), 704–717. doi.org/10.1029/2019EF001208
- Kind, J., Wouter Botzen, W. J., & Aerts, J. C. (2017). Accounting for risk aversion, income distribution and social welfare in cost-benefit analysis for flood risk management. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 8(2), e446. 10.1002/wcc.446
- Kingsley, J., et al., 2021: Urban agriculture as a nature-based solution to address socio-ecological challenges in Australian cities. *Urban For. Urban Green.*, 60, 127059, doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127059.
- Kligler, B., et al., 2021: The impact of integrating environmental health into medical school curricula: a survey-based study. *BMC Med. Educ.*, 21(1), 40, doi:10.1186/s12909-020-02458-x.
- Klinenberg, E., 2019: Palaces for the people: how social infrastructure can help fight inequality, polarization, and the decline of civic life by Eric Klinenberg. *Am. J. Sociol.*, 125(2), 601–603, doi:10.1086/704770.
- Kluger, L. C., & Filgueira, R. (2021). Thinking outside the box: embracing social complexity in aquaculture carrying capacity estimations. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 78(1), 435–442. doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa063
- Kmoch, L., Pagella, T., Palm, M., & Sinclair, F. (2018). Using local agroecological knowledge in climate change adaptation: a study of tree-based options in Northern Morocco. *Sustainability*, 10(10), 3719. doi.org/10.3390/su10103719
- Köberl, J., et al., 2021: The demand side of climate services for real-time snow management in Alpine ski resorts: some empirical insights and implications for climate services development. *Clim. Serv.*, 22, 100238, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2021.100238.
- Koeh, R. and P. Langat, 2018: Improving irrigation water use efficiency: a review of advances, challenges and opportunities in the Australian context. *Water*, 10(12), 1771.
- Koff, H., Maganda, C., & Kauffer, E. (2020). Transboundary water diplomacy among small states: A giant dilemma for central American regionalism. *Water International*, 45(4), 275–291. doi.org/10.1080/02508060.2020.1734758
- Koop, S.H.A. and C.J. van Leeuwen, 2017: The challenges of water, waste and climate change in cities. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.*, 19(2), 385–418, doi:10.1007/s10668-016-9760-4.
- Koslov, L. (2019). Avoiding climate change: "Agnostic adaptation" and the politics of public silence. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 109(2), 568–580. doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2018.1549472
- Kothari, U. (2014). Political discourses of climate change and migration: resettlement policies in the Maldives. *The Geographical Journal*, 180(2), 130–140. doi.org/10.1111/geoj.12032
- Koubi, V., Böhmelt, T., Spilker, G., & Schaffer, L. (2018). The determinants of environmental migrants' conflict perception. *International Organization*, 72(4), 905–936. doi.org/10.1017/S0020818318000231
- Kougias, I., et al., 2016: A methodology for optimization of the complementarity between small-hydropower plants and solar PV systems. *Renew. Energy*, 87, 1023–1030, doi:10.1016/j.renene.2015.09.073.
- Kouis, P., et al., 2021: The MEDEA childhood asthma study design for mitigation of desert dust health effects: implementation of novel methods for assessment of air pollution exposure and lessons learned. *BMC Pediatr.*, 21(1), 13, doi:10.1186/s12887-020-02472-4.
- Krause, G., Billing, S. L., Dennis, J., Grant, J., Fanning, L., Filgueira, R., ... & Wawrzynski, W. (2020). Visualizing the social in aquaculture: how social



- dimension components illustrate the effects of aquaculture across geographic scales. *Marine Policy*, 118, 103985. doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2020.103985
- Krauss, K. W., & Osland, M. J. (2020). Tropical cyclones and the organization of mangrove forests: a review. *Annals of Botany*, 125(2), 213–234. doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz161
- Krosby, M., Tewksbury, J., Haddad, N. M., & Hoekstra, J. (2010). Ecological connectivity for a changing climate. *Conservation Biology*, 24(6), 1686–1689.
- Krueger, E., Rao, P. S. C., & Borchardt, D. (2019). Quantifying urban water supply security under global change. *Global Environmental Change*, 56, 66–74. doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.03.009
- Kuhl, L., Van Maanen, K., & Scyphers, S. (2020). An analysis of UNFCCC-financed coastal adaptation projects: Assessing patterns of project design and contributions to adaptive capacity. *World Development*, 127, 104748. doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104748
- Kull, D., et al., 2016: Building Resilience: World Bank Group Experience in Climate and Disaster Resilient Development. Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, 255–270.
- Kumar, M.D. and C.J. Perry, 2019: What can explain groundwater rejuvenation in Gujarat in recent years? 891–906. Routledge.
- Kumari Rigaud, K., et al. (ed.), Groundswell: Preparing for Internal Climate Migration. The World Bank, Washington DC, USA. 222 pp.
- Kupferberg, J. S. (2021). Migration and dignity—relocation and adaptation in the face of climate change displacement in the Pacific—a human rights perspective. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 25(10), 1793–1818. doi.org/10.1080/13642987.2021.1889515
- Kwasinski, A., Andrade, F., Castro-Sitiriche, M. J., & O'Neill-Carrillo, E. (2019). Hurricane maria effects on puerto rico electric power infrastructure. *IEEE Power and Energy Technology Systems Journal*, 6(1), 85–94. 10.1109/JPETS.2019.2900293
- Kyle, P., et al., 2013: Influence of climate change mitigation technology on global demands of water for electricity generation. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control*, 13, 112–123, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.12.006.
- Lacoue-Labarthe, T., Nunes, P. A., Ziveri, P., Cinar, M., Gazeau, F., Hall-Spencer, J. M., ... & Turley, C. (2016). Impacts of ocean acidification in a warming Mediterranean Sea: An overview. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 5, 1–11. doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2015.12.005
- Lai, X., C. Lu and J. Liu, 2019: A synthesized factor analysis on energy consumption, economy growth, and carbon emission of construction industry in China. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 26(14), 13896–13905, doi:10.1007/s11356-019-04335-7.
- Lal, R., 2015: Sequestering carbon and increasing productivity by conservation agriculture. *J. Soil Water Conserv.*, 70(3), 55A, doi:10.2489/jswc.70.3.55A.
- Lal, R., et al., 2018: The carbon sequestration potential of terrestrial ecosystems. *J. Soil Water Conserv.*, 73(6), 145A, doi:10.2489/jswc.73.6.145A.
- Lalani, B., Dorward, P., & Holloway, G. (2017). Farm-level economic analysis— is conservation agriculture helping the poor?. *Ecological Economics*, 141, 144–153. doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.05.033
- Lama, P., Hamza, M., & Wester, M. (2021). Gendered dimensions of migration in relation to climate change. *Climate and Development*, 13(4), 326–336. doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2020.1772708
- Lamari, M., Bouchard, J., Jacob, J., & Poulin-Larivière, L. (2016). Monitoring and evaluation of climate change adaptation in coastal zones: Overview of the indicators in use. *Climate Change Adaptation, Resilience and Hazards*, 3–20. doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39880-8\_1
- Lambert, J.H., C.W. Karvetski and I. Linkov, 2011: Adaptation to Climate Change and Other Emergent Conditions with Inland and Terrestrial Infrastructure Systems with Application Case Studies. Springer, Dordrecht, 575–596.
- Lange, W., et al., 2019: Ecosystem-Based Approaches for Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation in Rio de Janeiro State. Springer, Cham, 345–359.
- Langemeyer, J., & Connolly, J. J. (2020). Weaving notions of justice into urban ecosystem services research and practice. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 109, 1–14. doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2020.03.021
- Larosa, F. and J. Mysiak, 2020: Business models for climate services: an analysis. *Clim. Serv.*, 17, 100111, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2019.100111.
- Lasco, R. D., Delfino, R. J. P., & Espaldon, M. L. O. (2014). Agroforestry systems: helping smallholders adapt to climate risks while mitigating climate change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 5(6), 825–833. doi.org/10.1002/wcc.301
- Lauer, H., M. Delos Reyes and J. Birkmann, 2021: Managed retreat as adaptation option: investigating different resettlement approaches and their impacts— lessons from metro manila. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 829.
- Lauer, H., Delos Reyes, M., & Birkmann, J. (2021). Managed Retreat as Adaptation Option: Investigating Different Resettlement Approaches and Their Impacts—Lessons from Metro Manila. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 829. doi.org/10.3390/su13020829
- Lausche, B., Farrier, M., Verschuuren, J., La Viña, A. G., & Trouwborst, A. (2013). The legal aspects of connectivity conservation: A concept paper.
- Lavorel, S., Locatelli, B., Colloff, M. J., & Bruley, E. (2020). Co-producing ecosystem services for adapting to climate change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 375(1794), 20190119. doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0119
- Lawrence, J., et al., 2020: Implementing pre-emptive managed retreat: constraints and novel insights. *Curr. Clim. Change Rep.*, 6(3), 66–80, doi:10.1007/s40641-020-00161-z.
- Le Cornu, E., Doerr, A. N., Finkbeiner, E. M., Gourlie, D., & Crowder, L. B. (2018). Spatial management in small-scale fisheries: A potential approach for climate change adaptation in Pacific Islands. *Marine Policy*, 88, 350–358. doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2017.09.030 .
- Leakhena, C., Viseth, H., Somony, T., Da, C., Samnang, P., & Bunly, C. (2018). Aiming for improved gender equity in Cambodian aquaculture. *Fish for the People*, 16(2), 26–32.
- Lee, S.W., et al., 2020: Spatio-temporal patterns of the minimum rest time for outdoor workers exposed to summer heat stress in South Korea. *Int. J. Biometeorol.*, 64(10), 1755–1765, doi:10.1007/s00484-020-01956-3.
- Lehtveer, M., & Fridahl, M. (2020). Managing variable renewables with biomass in the European electricity system: Emission targets and investment preferences. *Energy*, 213, 118786. doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.118786
- Leigh, N. G., & Lee, H. (2019). Sustainable and resilient urban water systems: The role of decentralization and planning. *Sustainability*, 11(3), 918. doi.org/10.3390/su11030918
- Lelieveld, J., et al., 2019: Effects of fossil fuel and total anthropogenic emission removal on public health and climate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 116(15), 7192, doi:10.1073/pnas.1819989116.
- Lemery, J., et al., 2020: Training clinical and public health leaders in climate and health. *Health Aff.*, 39(12), 2189–2196, doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01186.
- Lenaiyasa, M. L., Bruyere, B. L., Salerno, J., & Pickering, T. (2020). Pastoralists' use of income diversification as a strategy for adapting to social-ecological change in Samburu, Kenya. *Regional Environmental Change*, 20(1), 1–11. doi.org/10.1007/s10113-020-01612-x
- Lavidow, L., Zaccaria, D., Maia, R., Vivas, E., Todorovic, M., & Scardigno, A. (2014). Improving water-efficient irrigation: Prospects and difficulties of innovative practices. *Agricultural Water Management*, 146, 84–94. doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2014.07.012
- Lewis, D., L. Williams and R. Jones, 2020: A radical revision of the public health response to environmental crisis in a warming world: contributions of Indigenous knowledges and Indigenous feminist perspectives. *Can. J. Public Health*, 111(6), 897–900, doi:10.17269/s41997-020-00388-1.
- Ley, D., 2017: Sustainable Development, Climate Change, and Renewable Energy in Rural Central America. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 187–212.
- Li, M., et al., 2020: An ecological footprint approach for cropland use sustainability based on multi-objective optimization modelling. *J. Environ. Manag.*, 273, 111147, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111147.
- Li, W., et al., 2021: Climate change risk perceptions, facilitating conditions and health risk management intentions: evidence from farmers in rural China. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, 32, 100283, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2021.100283.

- Liao, C., Barrett, C., & Kassam, K.A. (2015). Does diversification improve livelihoods? Pastoral households in Xinjiang, China. *Development and Change*, 46(6), 1302–1330. doi.org/10.1111/dech.12201
- Liao, W., Yang, L., Zhong, S., Hess, J. J., Wang, Q., Bao, J., & Huang, C. (2019). Preparing the next generation of health professionals to tackle climate change: are China's medical students ready? *Environmental research*, 168, 270–277. doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.10.006
- Ligsay, A., O. Telle and R. Paul, 2021: Challenges to mitigating the urban health burden of mosquito-borne diseases in the face of climate change. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 18(9), doi:10.3390/ijerph18095035.
- Liimatainen, H., M. Pöllänen and R. Viri, 2018: CO2 reduction costs and benefits in transport: socio-technical scenarios. *Eur. J. Futur. Res.*, 6(1), 22, doi:10.1186/s40309-018-0151-y.
- Lim, X.Y., D.C.Y. Foo and R.R. Tan, 2018: Pinch analysis for the planning of power generation sector in the United Arab Emirates: a climate-energy-water nexus study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 180, 11–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.01.158
- Lima, M. and C. Coelho, 2021: An integrated physical and cost-benefit approach to assess groins as a coastal erosion mitigation strategy. *Coastal Engineering*, 156, [103614]. doi: 10.1016/j.coastaleng.2019.103614
- Lin, T.Y., V.M.V. Onselen and L.P. Vo, 2021: Coastal erosion in Vietnam: case studies and implication for integrated coastal zone management in the Vietnamese south-central coastline. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 652(1), [012009]. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/652/1/012009
- Linares, C., et al., 2020: Impacts of climate change on the public health of the Mediterranean Basin population – Current situation, projections, preparedness and adaptation. *Environmental research*, 182, 109107.
- Lincke, D. and J. Hinkel, 2018: Lincke, D., & Hinkel, J. (2018). Economically robust protection against 21st century sea-level rise. *Global Environmental Change*. 67–73.
- Linke, Andrew; Frank D. W. Witmer; John O'Loughlin; J. Terrence McCabe & Jaroslav Tir (2018) The consequences of relocating in response to drought: human mobility and conflict in contemporary Kenya, *Environmental Research Letters* 13(9): 94014–.
- Linnerooth-Bayer, J. and S. Hochrainer-Stigler, 2015: Financial instruments for disaster risk management and climate change adaptation. *Clim. Change*, 133(1), 85–100, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-1035-6.
- Liu, L. and M.B. Jensen, 2018: Green infrastructure for sustainable urban water management: practices of five forerunner cities. *Cities*, vol. 74, pp. 126–133. doi: 10.1016/j.cities.2017.11.013
- Liu, Q., W. Zhang, M. Yao and J. Yuan, 2017: Carbon emissions performance regulation for China's top generation groups by 2020: too challenging to realize? *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, 122, 326–334, doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.03.008.
- Liu, W., et al., 2020: Exploring livelihood resilience and its impact on livelihood strategy in rural China. *Soc. Indic. Res.*, 150, doi:10.1007/s11205-020-02347-2.
- Liu, W., J. Li and J. Xu, 2020: Effects of disaster-related resettlement on the livelihood resilience of rural households in China. *Water*. 2020; 12(11):3191. doi: 10.3390/w12113191
- Liu, Z. and J. Lan, 2015: The sloping land conversion program in China: effect on the livelihood diversification of rural households. *World Development*, Elsevier, vol. 70(C), pages 147–161. doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.01.004.
- Lochhead, K., S. Ghafghazi, V. LeMay and G.Q. Bull, 2019: Lochhead, K., Ghafghazi, S., LeMay, V. and Bull, G.Q., 2019. Examining the vulnerability of localized reforestation strategies to climate change at a macroscale. *Journal of environmental management*, 252, p.109625.
- Lock-Wah-Hoon, J., et al., 2020: Exploring vector-borne disease surveillance and response systems in Beijing, China: a qualitative study from the health system perspective. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 17(22), doi:10.3390/ijerph17228512.
- Loisel, P., M. Brunette and S. Couture, 2020: Insurance and forest rotation decisions under storm risk. *Environ. Resour. Econ.*, 76, 347–367, doi:10.1007/s10640-020-00429-w.
- Lopes, M.P.C., de Andrade Neto, S., Branco, D.A.C., de Freitas, M.A.V. and da Silva Fidelis, N., 2020: Water-energy nexus: Floating photovoltaic systems promoting water security and energy generation in the semiarid region of Brazil. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 273, p.122010. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122010
- Loon-Steensma, J.M.V. and P. Vellinga, 2019: How “wide green dikes” were reintroduced in The Netherlands: a case study of the uptake of an innovative measure in long-term strategic delta planning. In: Routledge, pp. 1525–1544.
- Lowe, R., et al., 2020: Building resilience to mosquito-borne diseases in the Caribbean. *PLoS Biol.*, 18(11), e3000791, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000791.
- Lucas, C.H. and K.I. Booth, 2020: Privatizing climate adaptation: How insurance weakens solidaristic and collective disaster recovery. *WIREs Clim. Change*, 11(6), e676, doi:10.1002/wcc.676.
- Lucas, J. (2015). *Aquaculture*. *Curr. Biol.* 25, R1064-5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.08.013.
- Lucena, A.F.P., et al., 2018: Interactions between climate change mitigation and adaptation: the case of hydropower in Brazil. *Energy*, 164, 1161–1177, doi:10.1016/j.energy.2018.09.005.
- Lugato, E., A. Leip and A. Jones, 2018: Mitigation potential of soil carbon management overestimated by neglecting N2O emissions. *Nat. Clim. Change*, 8(3), 219–223, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0087-z.
- Luisetti, T., E.L. Jackson and R.K. Turner, 2013 Valuing the European ‘coastal blue carbon’ storage benefit. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 71(1-2), pp.101–106.
- Lunduka, R. W., Mateva, K. I., Magorokosho, C., & Manjeru, P. 2017: Impact of adoption of drought-tolerant maize varieties on total maize production in south Eastern Zimbabwe. *Climate and development*, 11(1), 35–46. doi: 10.1080/17565529.2017.1372269
- Luqman, M., R. Saqib, X. Shiwei and Y. Wen, 2018: Barriers to gender equality in agricultural extension in Pakistan: evidences from district Sargodha. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 34(1), 136–143.
- Lusiana, B., M. van Noordwijk and G. Cadisch, 2012: Land sparing or sharing? Exploring livestock fodder options in combination with land use zoning and consequences for livelihoods and net carbon stocks using the FALLOW model. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*. 159. 145–160. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2012.07.006.
- MacDonald, G.K., P. D'Odorico and D.A. Seekell, 2016: Pathways to sustainable intensification through crop water management. *Environmental Research Letters*. 11. 091001. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/11/9/091001.
- Mach, K.J., et al., 2019: Managed retreat through voluntary buyouts of flood-prone properties. *Sci. Adv.*, 5, doi:10.1126/SCIADV.AAX8995.
- Mach, K.J. and A.R. Siders, 2021: Reframing strategic, managed retreat for transformative climate adaptation. *Science*, 372(6548), 1294–1299.
- Magnan, A.K. and V.K.E. Duvat, 2018: Unavoidable solutions for coastal adaptation in Reunion Island (Indian Ocean). *Environmental Science & Policy*. 89. 393–400. doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2018.09.002.
- Maharjan, A., et al., 2020: Migration and Household Adaptation in Climate-Sensitive Hotspots in South Asia. *Current Climate Change Reports*. 6. doi: 10.1007/s40641-020-00153-z.
- Mahon, R., et al., 2019: Fit for purpose? Transforming national meteorological and hydrological services into national climate service centers. *Clim. Serv.*, 13, 14–23, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2019.01.002.
- Mahzarnia, M., M.P. Moghaddam, P.T. Baboli and P. Siano, 2020: A review of the measures to enhance power systems resilience. *IEEE Syst. J.*, 14(3), 4059–4070, doi:10.1109/JSYST.2020.2965993.
- Makate, C., R. Wang, M. Makate and N. Mango, 2016: Crop diversification and livelihoods of smallholder farmers in Zimbabwe: Adaptive management for environmental change. *SpringerPlus*. 5. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-2802-4.
- Maldonado, J., et al., 2021: Addressing the challenges of climate-driven community-led resettlement and site expansion: knowledge sharing,

- storytelling, healing, and collaborative coalition building. *J. Environ. Stud. Sci.*, 11(3), 294–304, doi:10.1007/s13412-021-00695-0.
- Mallick, B., B. Ahmed and J. V.-. *Environments and u.*, 2017: Living with the risks of cyclone disasters in the south-western coastal region of Bangladesh. *Environments*. 4. 1–17. doi: 10.3390/environments4010013.
- Mandryk, M., P. Reidsma and M.K. van Ittersum, 2017: Crop and farm level adaptation under future climate challenges: an exploratory study considering multiple objectives for Flevoland, the Netherlands. *Agric. Syst.*, 152, 154–164, doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2016.12.016.
- Marcantonio, F.D. and F. Kayitakire, 2017: Review of pilot projects on index-based insurance in Africa: insights and lessons learned. *Green Energy Technol.*, 0, 323–341, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-59096-7\_16.
- Marchezini, V., 2020: “What is a sociologist doing here?” an unconventional people-centered approach to improve warning implementation in the Sendai framework for disaster risk reduction. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Sci.*, 11(2), 218–229, doi:10.1007/s13753-020-00262-1.
- Marcus, H. and L. Hanna, 2020: Understanding national barriers to climate change adaptation for public health: a mixed-methods survey of national public health representatives. *Int. J. Health Gov.*, doi:10.1108/IJHG-06-2020-0061. ahead-of-print.
- Marengo, J.A., et al., 2017: A globally deployable strategy for co-development of adaptation preferences to sea-level rise: the public participation case of Santos, Brazil. *Natural Hazards*. 88. 1–15. doi: 10.1007/s11069-017-2855-x.
- Margaret, D. and S. Matias, 2020: Climate humanitarian visa: international migration opportunities as post-disaster humanitarian intervention.. *Climatic Change*. 10.1007/s10584-020-02691-9.
- Maria, D.L., G. Maria-Therese and K. Ece, 2020: Global adaptation governance: explaining the governance responses of international organizations to new issue linkages. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, 114, 204–215, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2020.07.027.
- Marijnissen, R., et al., 2020: How natural processes contribute to flood protection – a sustainable adaptation scheme for a wide green dike. *Science of The Total Environment*. 739. 139698. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139698.
- Marino, E. and H. Lazrus, 2015: Migration or forced displacement? The complex choices of climate change and disaster migrants in Shishmaref, Alaska and Nanumea, Tuvalu. *Hum. Organ.*, 74, 341–350, doi:10.17730/0018-7259-74.4.341.
- Martin, D.A., et al., 2020: Land-use history determines ecosystem services and conservation value in tropical agroforestry. *Conservation Letters*. 13. doi: 10.1111/conl.12740. .
- Martin, S.M. and K. Lorenzen, 2016: Livelihood diversification in rural Laos. *World Development*. 83. doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.01.018.
- Martínez-Barón, D., et al., 2021: Strengthening the climate services chain in Central America.
- Marto, R., C. Papageorgiou and V. Klyuev, 2018: Building resilience to natural disasters: an application to small developing states. *Journal of Development Economics*. 135. doi: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.08.008.
- Martuti, N.K.T., R. Pribadi, W.A.B.N. Sidiq and D.P. Mutiatari, 2020: Community-based integrated coastal management strategy in Tugurejo subdistrict, Semarang. doi: 10.2991/icracos-19.2020.15.
- Massa, F., et al., 2020: Selecting priorities, indicators and criteria to monitor sustainable aquaculture development lessons learned from selected case studies in the Mediterranean. *Mediterranean Fisheries and Aquaculture Research*, 3 (2), 62–74 .
- Mavhura, E., S.B. Manyena, A.E. Collins and D. Manatsa, 2013: Indigenous knowledge, coping strategies and resilience to floods in Muzarabani, Zimbabwe. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 5, 38–48, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2013.07.001.
- Mawere, M. and T.R. Mubaya, 2015: Indigenous Mechanisms for Disaster Risk Reduction: How the Shona of Zimbabwe Managed Drought and Famine? Langaa Research and Publishing CIG, Mankon, Cameroon, 1–32.
- Mayer, A., Z. Hausfather and W. Silver, 2018: The potential of agricultural land management to contribute to lower global surface temperatures. *Sci. Adv.*, 4, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aag0932.
- Mazur, C., Hoegerle, Y., Brucoli, M., van Dam, K., Guo, M., Markides, C.N. and Shah, N., 2019. A holistic resilience framework development for rural power systems in emerging economies. *Applied Energy*, 235, pp.219–232. doi: 10.3390/su14042077.
- Mbow, C., Smith, P., Skole, D., Duguma, L. and Bustamante, M., 2014. Achieving mitigation and adaptation to climate change through sustainable agroforestry practices in Africa. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 6, pp.8–14. doi: 10.1016/j.cosust.2013.09.002.
- Mbow, C., Van Noordwijk, M., Luedeling, E., Neufeldt, H., Minang, P.A. and Kowero, G., 2014. Agroforestry solutions to address food security and climate change challenges in Africa. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 6, pp.61–67. doi: 10.1016/j.cosust.2013.10.014.
- Mbow, C., C. Rosenzweig, L.G. Barioni, T.G. Benton, M. Herrero, M. Krishnapillai, E. Liwenga, P. Pradhan, M.G. Rivera-Ferre, T. Sapkota, F.N. Tubiello, Y. Xu, 2019: Food Security. In: *Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems* [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, E. Calvo Buendia, V. Masson-Delmotte, H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, P. Zhai, R. Slade, S. Connors, R. van Diemen, M. Ferrat, E. Haughey, S. Luz, S. Neogi, M. Pathak, J. Petzold, J. Portugal Pereira, P. Vyas, E. Huntley, K. Kissick, M. Belkacemi, J. Malley, (eds.)]. In press.
- Mburu, M.G., 2020: Flood Disaster Risk Reduction Strategies And Participation Rates Of Pupils In Primary Schools In Tana Delta Sub County, Tana River County. Thesis, Kenya University of Nairobi.
- McCall, T., et al., 2019: Climate change adaptation and mitigation – a hitherto neglected gender-sensitive public health perspective. *Clim. Dev.*, 11(9), 735–744, doi:10.1080/17565529.2018.1529551.
- McCurry, J., 2015: The Fukushima Daiichi disaster: 4 years on, *World Report*, 385 (9973), e23–e24. Lancet Publishing Group.
- McFadden, J., D. Smith, S. Wechsler, and S. Wallander, 2019: Development, Adoption, and Management of Drought-Tolerant Corn in the United States, *Economic Information Bulletin No. (EIB-204)*, 45, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, <https://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/pub-details/?pubid=91102>
- McLeman, R., 2018: Thresholds in climate migration. *Popul. Environ.*, 39(4), 319–338, doi:10.1007/s11111-017-0290-2.
- McLeman, R., 2019: International migration and climate adaptation in an era of hardening borders. *Nat. Clim. Change*, 9(12), 911–918, doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0634-2.
- McNamara, K.E., 2015: Cross-border Migration with Dignity in Kiribati. *Forced Migration Review*, 49, 62, Oxford (UK).
- McNamara, K.E. and H.J. Des Combes, 2015: Planning for community relocations due to climate change in Fiji. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Sci.*, 6(3), 315–319, doi:10.1007/s13753-015-0065-2.
- McNunn, G., et al., 2020: Climate smart agriculture opportunities for mitigating soil greenhouse gas emissions across the U.S. Corn-Belt. *J. Clean. Prod.*, 268, 122240, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122240.
- Meerow, S., 2019: A green infrastructure spatial planning model for evaluating ecosystem service tradeoffs and synergies across three coastal megacities. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 14, 125011, doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab502c.
- Meijer, S.S., D. Catacutan, O. C. Ajayi, G. W. Sileshi, and M. Nieuwenhuis, 2015: The role of knowledge, attitudes and perceptions in the uptake of agricultural and agroforestry innovations among smallholder farmers in sub-Saharan Africa, *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 13(1), 40–54, doi. org/10.1080/14735903.2014.912493.
- Meltzer, J. P. *Blending Climate Funds to Finance Low-Carbon, Climate-Resilient Infrastructure*, 2018. *Global Economy & Development*, Brookings Institution, Working Paper 120, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3205293>

- Mena, R. and D. Hilhorst, 2021: The (im)possibilities of disaster risk reduction in the context of high-intensity conflict: the case of Afghanistan. *Environ. Hazards*, 20(2), 188–208, doi:10.1080/17477891.2020.1771250.
- Méndez, M., G. Flores-Haro and L. Zucker, 2020: The (in)visible victims of disaster: understanding the vulnerability of undocumented Latino/a and indigenous immigrants. *Geoforum*, 116, 50–62, doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2020.07.007.
- Merschmann, P.R.C., E. Vasquez, A.S. Szklo and R. Schaeffer, 2013: Modeling water use demands for thermoelectric power plants with CCS in selected Brazilian water basins. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control*, 13, 87–101, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.12.019.
- Mersha, A.A., and F. van Laerhoven, 2018: The interplay between planned and autonomous adaptation in response to climate change: insights from rural Ethiopia. *World Development*, 107(C), 87–97, doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.03.001.
- Mesquita, P.S., and Bursztyn, M., 2017: Food acquisition programs in the Brazilian semi-arid region: benefits to farmers and impacts of climate change. *Food Sec.*, 9, 1041–1051. doi.org/10.1007/s12571-017-0711-1
- Mestanza-Ramón, C., M.S. Capa, H.F. Saavedra and J.R. Paredes, 2019: Integrated coastal zone management in continental Ecuador and Galapagos Islands: challenges and opportunities in a changing tourism and economic context. *Sustainability*, 11, 6386, doi.org/10.3390/su11226386.
- Mialhe, F., et al., 2016: The development of aquaculture on the northern coast of Manila Bay (Philippines): an analysis of long-term land-use changes and their causes. *Journal of Land Use Science*, 11(2), 236–256, doi.org/10.1080/1747423X.2015.1057245.
- Miao, R., 2020: Climate, insurance and innovation: the case of drought and innovations in drought-tolerant traits in US agriculture. *Eur. Rev. Agric. Econ.*, 47(5), 1826–1860, doi:10.1093/erae/jbaa010.
- Milán Batista, C., J. Abelardo Planas, R. Pelot and J.R. Núñez Alvarez, 2020: A new methodology incorporating public participation within Cuba's ICZM program. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 186, 105101, doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105101.
- Miller, D.C., et al., 2020: The impacts of agroforestry on agricultural productivity, ecosystem services, and human well-being in low-and middle-income countries: an evidence and gap map. *Campbell Syst. Rev.*, 16(1), e1066, doi:10.1002/cl2.1066.
- Miller, F., 2020: Exploring the consequences of climate-related displacement for just resilience in Vietnam, *Urban Studies*, 57(7), 1570–1587, doi:10.1177/0042098019830239.
- Mills, M., et al., 2016: Reconciling development and conservation under coastal squeeze from rising sea level, *Conservation Letters*, 9(5), 361–368, doi.org/10.1111/conl.12213.
- Minang, P.A., et al., 2014: Prospects for agroforestry in REDD+ landscapes in Africa. In: Elsevier, pp. 78–82.
- Ming, R., et al., 2020: Assessing energy saving potentials of office buildings based on adaptive thermal comfort using a tracking-based method. *Energy Build.*, 208, 109611, doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.109611.
- Mishra, S., K. Anderson, B. Miller, K. Boyer, A. Warren, 2020: Microgrid resilience: a holistic approach for assessing threats, identifying vulnerabilities, and designing corresponding mitigation strategies, *Applied Energy*, 264, 114726, doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.114726.
- Misquitta, K., T. Birkenholtz, 2021: Drip irrigation as a socio-technical configuration: policy design and technological choice in Western India. *Water International*, 46(1), 112–129, doi.org/10.1080/02508060.2020.185869.
- Mitra, A., 2018: Male Migrants and Women Farmers in Gorakhpur: Climate Adaptation and Changing Gender Relations. *Review of Women's Studies*, 53(17), 17.
- Mochizuki, J. and S.E. Chang, 2017: Disasters as opportunity for change: tsunami recovery and energy transition in Japan. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 21, 331–339, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2017.01.009.
- Moffat, C.F., 2017: Aquaculture, pp.128–175.
- Mohammed, K., E. Batung, M. Kansanga, H. Nyantakyi-Frimpong, and I. Luginaah, 2021: Livelihood diversification strategies and resilience to climate change in semi-arid northern Ghana, *Climatic Change*, 164(3), 1–23.
- Mola, M., M. Feofilovs, and F. Romagnoli, 2018: Energy resilience: research trends at urban, municipal and country levels, *Energy Procedia*, 147, 104–113, doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.07.039.
- Mondal, B., et al., 2016: Institutional arrangements for watershed development programmes in Bundelkhand region of Madhya Pradesh, India: an explorative study. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 32(2), 219–231, doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2015.1060195.
- Moomaw, W.R., S.A. Masino and E.K. Faison, 2019: Intact Forests in the United States: Proforestation Mitigates Climate Change and Serves the Greatest Good. *Frontiers in Forest and Global Change*, 2, 27, doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2019.00027.
- Morecroft, M.D., et al., 2019: Measuring the success of climate change adaptation and mitigation in terrestrial ecosystems. *Science*, 366, 6471, doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw9256.
- Morgan, A. and J. Fanzo, 2020: Nutrition transition and climate risks in Nigeria: moving towards food systems policy coherence. *Curr. Envir. Health Rep.*, 7, 1–12, doi:10.1007/s40572-020-00292-3.
- Morris, R., et al., 2019: Developing a nature-based coastal defence strategy for Australia, *Australian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 17:2, 167–176, doi.org/10.1080/14488353.2019.1661062.
- Morris, R.L., G. Deavin, S. Hemelryk Donald, and R.A. Coleman, 2016: Eco-engineering in urbanised coastal systems: consideration of social values, *Ecol. Manag. Restor.*, 17, 33–39, doi.org/10.1111/emr.12200.
- Morris, R.L., T.M. Konlechner, M. Ghisalberti, and S.E. Swearer, 2018: From grey to green: Efficacy of eco-engineering solutions for nature-based coastal defence. *Glob Change Biol.*, 24, 1827–1842, doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14063.
- Mortreux, C., et al., 2018: Political economy of planned relocation: a model of action and inaction in government responses. *Global Environmental Change*, 50, 123–132, doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.03.008.
- Mottaleb, K.A., et al., 2017: Benefits of the development and dissemination of climate-smart rice: ex ante impact assessment of drought-tolerant rice in South Asia, *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change* 22, 879–901, doi.org/10.1007/s11027-016-9705-0.
- Mueller, V., C. Gray, S. Handa, D. Seidenfeld, 2020: Do social protection programs foster short-term and long-term migration adaptation strategies? *Environment and Development Economics*, 25(2), 135–158, doi:10.1017/S1355770X19000214.
- Müller, B., L. Johnson and D. Kreuer, 2017: Maladaptive outcomes of climate insurance in agriculture. *Glob. Environ. Change*, 46, 23–33, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.06.010.
- Muñoz, J. M. B. (2020). Progress of coastal management in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 184, 105009.
- Muricho, D.N., D.J. Otieno, W. Oluoch-Kosura and M. Jirström, 2019: Building pastoralists' resilience to shocks for sustainable disaster risk mitigation: Lessons from West Pokot County, Kenya. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 34, 429–435, doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2018.12.012..
- Murray, S. and B. Poland, 2020: Neighbourhood climate resilience: lessons from the Lighthouse Project. *Can. J. Public Health*, 111(6), 890–896, doi.org/10.17269/s41997-020-00432-0.
- Murthy, I., et al., 2013: Carbon Sequestration Potential of Agroforestry Systems in India. *J. Earth Sci. Climate Change*, 4, 131. doi.org/10.4172/2157-7617.1000131.
- Murray, S., & Poland, B. (2020). Neighbourhood climate resilience: lessons from the Lighthouse Project. *Canadian journal of public health*, 111(6), 890–896, doi.org/10.17269/s41997-020-00432-0
- Mustafa, S., et al., 2021: Technological applications and adaptations in aquaculture for progress towards sustainable development and seafood security. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.*, 718, 012041, The 3rd International conference on Fisheries and Marine Sciences 10 September 2020, Surabaya, Indonesia, doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/718/1/012041.

- Mustapha, U.F., A.-W. Alhassan, D.-N. Jiang and G.-L. Li, 2021: Sustainable aquaculture development: a review on the roles of cloud computing, internet of things and artificial intelligence (CIA). *Rev Aquacult*, 13, 2076–2091, doi.org/10.1111/raq.12559.
- Mutabazi, K.D., T.S. Amjath-Babu and S. Sieber, 2015: Influence of livelihood resources on adaptive strategies to enhance climatic resilience of farm households in Morogoro, Tanzania: an indicator-based analysis. *Reg Environ Change* 15, 1259–1268, doi.org/10.1007/s10113-015-0800-7.
- Mutaqin, D.J., and K. Usami, 2019: Smallholder farmers' willingness to pay for agricultural production cost insurance in rural West Java, Indonesia: a contingent valuation method (CVM) approach. *Risks*, 7, doi:10.3390/risks7020069.
- Mutuku, E.A., D. Roobroeck, B. Vanlauwe, P. Boeckx, and W. M. Cornelis, 2020: Maize production under combined conservation agriculture and integrated soil fertility management in the sub-humid and semi-arid regions of Kenya. *Field Crops Research*, 254, 107833, doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2020.107833.
- Mycoo, M. A., 2017: Beyond 1.5°C: vulnerabilities and adaptation strategies for Caribbean Small Island Developing States. *Regional Environmental Change*, 18, 2341–2353, doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1248-8.
- Nabuurs, G.-J., et al., 2017: By 2050 the mitigation effects of EU forests could nearly double through climate smart forestry. *Forests*, 8(12), doi:10.3390/f8120484.
- Nair, P.K.R., 2012: Carbon sequestration studies in agroforestry systems: A reality-check. *Agroforest Syst*, 86, 243–253, doi.org/10.1007/s10457-011-9434-z.
- Najjar, D., B. Baruah and A. El Garhi, 2019: Women, irrigation and social norms in Egypt: 'The more things change, the more they stay the same?'. *Water Policy*, 21(2), 291–309, doi:10.2166/wp.2019.154.
- Narayan, S., et al., 2016: The effectiveness, costs and coastal protection benefits of natural and nature-based defences. *PLoS ONE*, 11(5), doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154735.
- Narayanamoorthy, A., N. Devika, R. Suresh and K.S. Sujitha, 2020: Efficiency and viability of drip method of irrigation in groundnut cultivation: an empirical analysis from South India. *Water Policy*, 22(6), 1109–1125, doi.org/10.2166/wp.2020.257.
- Natarajan, N. and K. B.-E. a. P. ... and u., 2019: Climate change adaptation and precarity across the rural–urban divide in Cambodia: towards a 'climate precarity' approach. *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space*, 2(4), 899–921, doi:10.1177/2514848619858155.
- Nawrotzki, R.J., and M. Bakhtsiyarava, 2017: International climate migration: evidence for the climate inhibitor mechanism and the agricultural pathway. *Population, space and place*, 23(4), e2033, doi.org/10.1002/psp.2033
- Nawrotzki, R.J. and J. DeWaard, 2018: Putting trapped populations into place: climate change and inter-district migration flows in Zambia. *Reg. Environ. Change*, 18, 533–546, doi:10.1007/s10113-017-1224-3.
- Nazara, S. and B.P. Resosudarmo, 2007: Aceh-Nias Reconstruction and Rehabilitation: Progress and Challenges at the End of 2006, 2007. Asian Development Bank (ADB) Institute, Tokyo, Japan. 56 pp.
- Negev, M., et al., 2021: Regional lessons from the COVID-19 outbreak in the Middle East: from infectious diseases to climate change adaptation. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 768, 144434, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144434.
- Negev, M., et al., 2020: City design for health and resilience in hot and dry climates. *BMJ*, 371, m3000, doi:10.1136/bmj.m3000.
- Negev, M., et al., 2019: Adaptation of health systems to climate-related migration in Sub-Saharan Africa: Closing the gap. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*, 222(2), 311–314, doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.10.004.
- Neumann, B., A.T. Vafeidis, J. Zimmermann and R.J. Nicholls, 2015: Future coastal population growth and exposure to sea-level rise and coastal flooding – A Global Assessment. *PLoS ONE*, 10(3), e0118571, doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118571.
- Ng'ang'a, T.W., and T.A. Crane, 2020: Social differentiation in climate change adaptation: one community, multiple pathways in transitioning Kenyan pastoralism. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 114, 478–485, doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2020.08.010.
- Ngin, C., J.H. Grayman, A. Neef, and N. Sanunsilp, 2020: The role of faith-based institutions in urban disaster risk reduction for immigrant communities. *Nat. Hazards*, 103(1), 299–316, doi:10.1007/s11069-020-03988-9.
- Nguyen, A.T., et al., 2019: Rural livelihood diversification of Dzao farmers in response to unpredictable risks associated with agriculture in Vietnamese Northern Mountains today. *Environ Dev Sustain* 22, 5387–5407, doi.org/10.1007/s10668-019-00429-x.
- Nguyen Mai, T.H. and T. Dang Hoang, 2018: Gender role in mangrove resource management: case study in Trieu Phong district of Quang Tri province, Vietnam. *Journal of Vietnamese Environment*, 9(2), 92–98, doi.org/10.13141/jve.vol9.no2.pp92-98.
- Nguyen, T.T., et al., 2019: Implementation of a specific urban water management – Sponge City. *Science of The Total Environment*, 652, 147–162, doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.168.
- Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., 2020: Green infrastructure and health, 317–328. *Annual Reviews of Public Health*, 42(1), 317–328, doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-090419-102511.
- Nightingale, A.J., 2017: Power and politics in climate change adaptation efforts: struggles over authority and recognition in the context of political instability. *Geoforum*, 84, 11–20, doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.05.011.
- Nik, V.M. and A.T.D. Perera, 2020: The importance of developing climate-resilient pathways for energy transition and climate change adaptation. *One Earth*, 3(4), 423–424, doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2020.09.013.
- Nnadi, O.I., J.G. Lyimo, E.T. Liwenga and M.C. Madukwe, 2021: Equity and implications of response strategies on gender relations: identifying ways of mainstreaming gender into response strategies in Southeast Nigeria. *Environmental Development*, 39, doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2021.100618.
- Nordstrom, K.F., 2014: Living with shore protection structures: a review. *Coastal and Shelf Science*, 150(A), 11–23, doi.org/10.1016/j.cess.2013.11.003.
- North, M.P., et al., 2019: Tamm review: reforestation for resilience in dry Western U.S. forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 432, 209–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.09.007>.
- Nunes, S., et al., 2020: Challenges and opportunities for large-scale reforestation in the Eastern Amazon using native species. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 466, 118120, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118120>.
- Nuzzo, J.B., et al., 2019: What makes health systems resilient against infectious disease outbreaks and natural hazards? Results from a scoping review. *BMC Public Health* 19, 1310, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7707-z>.
- Nyandiko, N., 2020: Devolution and disaster risk reduction in Kenya: progress, challenges and opportunities. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 51, 101832, doi:10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101832.
- Nyantakyi-Frimpong, H., 2017: Agricultural diversification and dietary diversity: a feminist political ecology of the everyday experiences of landless and smallholder households in northern Ghana. *Geoforum*, 86, 63–75, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.09.003>.
- O'Donnell, T., 2019: Contrasting land use policies for climate change adaptation: a case study of political and geo-legal realities for Australian coastal locations. *Land Use Policy*, 88, 104145, doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.104145.
- O'Mahony, C., S. Gray, J. Gault and V. Cummins, 2020: ICZM as a framework for climate change adaptation action – experience from Cork Harbour, Ireland. *Marine Policy*, 111, 102223, doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2015.10.008.
- O'Neill-Carrillo, E., J.D. McCalley, A. Kimber and R. Haug, 2019: Stakeholder perspectives on increasing electric power infrastructure integrity. Paper presented at 2019 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Tampa, Florida.
- O'Neill-Carrillo, E. and M. A. Rivera-Quinones, 2018: Energy Policies in Puerto Rico and their Impact on the Likelihood of a Resilient and Sustainable Electric Power Infrastructure. *CENTRO: Journal for Puerto Rican Studies*, 30(3), 147–172.
- O'Hare, P., I. White and A. Connelly, 2016: Insurance as maladaptation: resilience and the 'business as usual' paradox. *Environ. Plan. C Gov. Policy*, 34, 1175–1193, doi:10.1177/0263774X15602022.

- Oakes, R., 2019: Culture, climate change and mobility decisions in Pacific Small Island Developing States. *Popul. Environ.*, 40, 480–503, doi:10.1007/s11111-019-00321-w.
- Ober, K. and P. Sakdapolrak, 2020: Whose climate change adaptation ‘barriers’? Exploring the coloniality of climate change adaptation policy assemblages in Thailand and beyond. *Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*, 41(1), 86–104, doi.org/10.1111/sjtg.12309.
- Oberlack, C. and K. Eisenack, 2014: Alleviating barriers to urban climate change adaptation through international cooperation. *Global Environmental Change*, 24349–362, doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.08.016.
- Obi, R., M.U. Nwachukwu, D.C. Okeke and U. Jiburum, 2021: Indigenous flood control and management knowledge and flood disaster risk reduction in Nigeria’s coastal communities: an empirical analysis. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 55, 102079, doi:10.1016/j.ijdrr.2021.102079.
- Obokata, R. and L. Veronis, 2018: Transnational approaches to remittances, risk reduction, and disaster relief: evidence from post-Typhoon Haiyan experiences of Filipino immigrants in Canada. In: *Routledge Handbook of Environmental Displacement and Migration* [McLeman, R., and Gemenne F. (eds.)]. Routledge Handbooks Online, Abingdon, UK, pp. 270–276, doi:10.4324/9781315638843-21. ISBN: 9781138194465.
- OECD and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2018: *OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2017–2026*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and FAO, Paris, France, 150 pp, https://doi.org/10.1787/agr\_outlook-2017-en. ISBN 978-9264275508.
- Ogada, M.J., E.J.O. Rao, M. Radeny, J.W.Recha, and D. Solomon, 2020: Climate-smart agriculture, household income and asset accumulation among smallholder farmers in the Nyando basin of Kenya. *World Development Perspectives*, 18, 100203, doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2020.100203.
- Ogle, S.M., et al., 2019: Climate and soil characteristics determine where no-till management can store carbon in soils and mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. *Sci. Rep.*, 9, 1–8, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-47861-7.
- Ohashi, Y., et al., 2007: Influence of air-conditioning waste heat on air temperature in Tokyo during summer: numerical experiments using an urban canopy model coupled with a building energy model. *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.*, 46(1), 66–81, doi:10.1175/JAM2441.1.
- Ohtsuru, A., et al., 2015: Nuclear disasters and health: Lessons learned, challenges, and proposals. *The Lancet*, 386(9992), 489–497, doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60994-1.
- Ojea, E., S.E. Lester and D. Salgueiro-Otero, 2020: Adaptation of fishing communities to climate-driven shifts in target species. *One Earth*, 2(6), 544–556, doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2020.05.012.
- Oliveira, C., C. Zulas and D. Kashiwagi, 2016: A long-term solution to overcome the problems caused by droughts in the Brazilian power systems. *Procedia Eng.*, 145, 948–955, doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2016.04.123.
- Ongpeng, J.M.C., J.R. Dungca, K.B. Aviso and R.R. Tan, 2019: Minimizing the carbon footprint of urban reconstruction projects. *J. Clean. Prod.*, 240, 118222, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118222.
- Ontl, T.A., et al., 2020: Forest Management for Carbon Sequestration and Climate Adaptation. *Journal of Forestry*, 118(1), 86–101, doi:10.1093/jofore/fvz062.
- Opoku, S.K., W.L. Filho, F. Hubert and O. Adejumo, 2021: Climate change and health preparedness in Africa: analysing trends in six African countries. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 18(9), doi:10.3390/ijerph18094672.
- Oral, H.V., et al., 2020: A review of nature-based solutions for urban water management in European circular cities: a critical assessment based on case studies and literature. *Blue-Green Systems*, 2(1), 112–136, https://doi.org/10.2166/bgs.2020.932
- Orchard, S.E., L.C. Stringer and C.H. Quinn, 2015: Impacts of aquaculture on social networks in the mangrove systems of northern Vietnam. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 114, 1–10, doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.05.019.
- Orchard, S.E., L.C. Stringer and C.H. Quinn, 2016: Mangrove system dynamics in Southeast Asia: linking livelihoods and ecosystem services in Vietnam. *Reg. Environ. Change*, 16(3), 865–879, doi:10.1007/s10113-015-0802-5.
- Osabuohien, E.S., J.O. Ejemeyowwi, O.B. Ihayere, C.M.W. Gitau, and F.M. Oyebola, 2021: Post-Pandemic Renewable Energy Development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Sustainability and Climate Change*, 14(3), 183–192, DOI: 10.1089/scc.2020.0077.
- Osman, M.M. and H. Sevinc, 2019: Adaptation of climate-responsive building design strategies and resilience to climate change in the hot/arid region of Khartoum, Sudan. *Sustain. Cities Soc.*, 47, 101429, doi:10.1016/j.scs.2019.101429.
- Osmundsen, T.C., et al., 2020. The operationalisation of sustainability: Sustainable aquaculture production as defined by certification schemes. *Global Environmental Change*, 60, p.102025. doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.102025
- Ota, L., Herbohn, J., Gregorio, N. and Harrison, S., 2020: Reforestation and smallholder livelihoods in the humid tropics. *Land Use Policy*, 92, p.104455. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.104455
- Otuozu, A.O., M.W. Mustafa and R.M. Larik, 2018: Smart grids security challenges: classification by sources of threats. *J. Electr. Syst. Inf. Technol.*, doi:10.1016/j.jesit.2018.01.001.
- Oviedo-Toral, L.P., François, D.E. and Poganietz, W.R., 2021. Challenges for Energy Transition in Poverty-Ridden Regions—The Case of Rural Mixteca, Mexico. *Energies*, 14(9), p.2596. doi: 10.3390/en14092596
- Oyebola, O.O., Efitre, J., Musinguzi, L. and Falaye, A.E., 2021: Potential adaptation strategies for climate change impact among flood-prone fish farmers in climate hotspot Uganda. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 23(9), pp.12761–12790. doi: 10.1007/s10668-020-01183-1
- Paganini, Z., 2019: Underwater: Resilience, racialized housing, and the national flood insurance program in Canarsie, Brooklyn. *Geoforum*, 104, pp.25–35. doi: 10.1016/j.geoforum.2019.06.003
- Pagliaro, M., 2019: Renewable energy systems: enhanced resilience, lower costs. *Energy Technol.*, 7(11), 1900791, doi:10.1002/ente.201900791.
- Paidakaki, A. and F. Moulart, 2017: Disaster resilience into which direction(s)? Competing discursive and material practices in Post-Katrina New Orleans. *Hous. Theory Disc.*, 1–23, doi:10.1080/14036096.2017.1308434.
- Pan, S.-Y., et al., 2018: Cooling water use in thermoelectric power generation and its associated challenges for addressing water-energy nexus. *Water-Energy Nexus*, 1(1), 26–41, doi:10.1016/j.wen.2018.04.002.
- Panigrahi, P., Panda, R.K., Rautaray, S.K., Raychaudhury, S., Thakur, A.K. and Mishra, A., 2021: Techno-economic feasibility of year-round use of drip irrigation in a rice-based cropping system. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 70(5), pp.1085–1094. doi: 10.1002/ird.2612
- Panteli, M. and Mancarella, P., 2015: Modeling and evaluating the resilience of critical electrical power infrastructure to extreme weather events. *IEEE Systems Journal*, 11(3), pp.1733–1742. doi: 10.1109/JSYST.2015.2389272
- Panteli, M., Pickering, C., Wilkinson, S., Dawson, R. and Mancarella, P., 2016: Power system resilience to extreme weather: fragility modeling, probabilistic impact assessment, and adaptation measures. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 32(5), pp.3747–3757. doi: 10.1109/TPWRS.2016.2641463
- Pardo, G. and Del Prado, A., 2020: Guidelines for small ruminant production systems under climate emergency in Europe. *Small Ruminant Research*, 193, p.106261. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2020.106261
- Parry, L., et al., 2019: The (in)visible health risks of climate change. *Soc. Sci. Med.*, 241, 112448, doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.112448.
- Parton, K.A., J. Crean and P. Hayman, 2019: The value of seasonal climate forecasts for Australian agriculture. *Agric. Syst.*, 174, 1–10, doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2019.04.005.
- Pascal, M., et al., 2021: Evolving heat waves characteristics challenge heat warning systems and prevention plans. *Int. J. Biometeorol.*, doi:10.1007/s00484-021-02123-y.
- Paudel Khatiwada, et al., 2017: Household livelihood strategies and implication for poverty reduction in rural areas of central Nepal. *Sustainability*, 9(4), p.612. doi: 10.3390/su9040612
- Paudel, U. and K.P. Pant, 2020: Estimation of household health cost and climate adaptation cost with its health related determinants: empirical evidences

- from western Nepal. *Heliyon*, 6(11), e5492, doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05492.
- Paul, B.K., et al., 2020: Reducing agro-environmental trade-offs through sustainable livestock intensification across smallholder systems in Northern Tanzania. *Int. J. Agric. Sustain.*, 18(1), 35–54, doi:10.1080/14735903.2019.1695348.
- Pearson, J., McNamara, K.E. and Nunn, P.D., 2019: Gender-specific perspectives of mangrove ecosystem services: Case study from Bua Province, Fiji Islands. *Ecosystem Services*, 38, p.100970.. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoser.2019.100970
- Pelling, M., et al., 2021: A climate resilience research renewal agenda: learning lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic for urban climate resilience. *Climate and Development*, pp.1–8. doi: 10.1080/17565529.2021.1956411
- Pelling, M. and M. Garschagen, 2019: Put equity first in climate adaptation: Focusing on the bottom few per cent, not averages, is the best way to tackle poverty. *Nature*. 569. doi: 10.1038/d41586-019-01497-9
- Peng, L., J. Tan, W. Deng and Y. Liu, 2020: Farmers' participation in community-based disaster management: the role of trust, place attachment and self-efficacy. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 51, 101895, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101895.
- Peng, L.L.H., et al., 2020: Cooling effects of block-scale facade greening and their relationship with urban form. *Build. Environ.*, 169, 106552, doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2019.106552.
- Perera-Valderrama, S., et al., 2020: Increasing marine ecosystems conservation linking marine protected areas and integrated coastal management in southern Cuba. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 196. 105300. doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105300..
- Pérez-Cayeyro, M.L. and J.A. Chica-Ruiz, 2015: Evaluation of a programme of integrated coastal zone management: The Ecoplata Programme (Uruguay). *Marine Policy*. 527–535. doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2014.09.008.
- Pérez-Escamilla, R., 2017: Food Security and the 2015–30 Sustainable Development Goals: From Human to Planetary Health. *Current Developments in Nutrition*. 1. cdn.117.000513. doi:10.3945/cdn.117.000513.
- Pérez-Uresti, S.I., J.M. Ponce-Ortega and A. Jiménez-Gutiérrez, 2019: A multi-objective optimization approach for sustainable water management for places with over-exploited water resources. *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, 121, 158–173, doi:10.1016/j.compchemeng.2018.10.003.
- Perrels, A., 2020: Quantifying the uptake of climate services at micro and macro level. *Clim. Serv.*, 17, 100152, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2020.100152.
- Pfeiffer, C., et al., 2021: A case study of socially-accepted potentials for the use of end user flexibility by home energy management systems. *Sustainability*, 13(1), doi:10.3390/su13010132.
- Phillips, M.R., A.L. Jones and T. Thomas, 2018: Climate Change, Coastal Management and Acceptable Risk: Consequences for Tourism. *Journal of Coastal Research*. 85. 1411–1415. doi:10.2112/SI85-283.1.
- Piggott-McKellar, A.E., K.E. McNamara, P.D. Nunn and S.T. Sekinini, 2019: Moving People in a Changing Climate: Lessons from Two Case Studies in Fiji. *Social Sciences*. 8. 133. doi:10.3390/socsci8050133.
- Piguet, E., 2019: Climatic statelessness: risk assessment and policy options. *Popul. Dev. Rev.*, 45(4), 865–883, doi:10.1111/padr.12295.
- Pittock, J., H. Bjornlund, R. Stirzaker and A. van Rooyen, 2017: Communal irrigation systems in South-Eastern Africa: findings on productivity and profitability. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*. 33. 839–847. doi: 10.1080/07900627.2017.1324768.
- Place, F., et al., 2012: Improved Policies for Facilitating the Adoption of Agroforestry. doi: 10.5772/34524.
- Pokhrel, K.P., et al., 2021: Innovative practices for the promotion of local/indigenous knowledge for disaster risk reduction management in Sudur Paschim province, Nepal. *Journal of Geographical Research*. 4(3), doi:10.30564/jgr.v4i3.3223.
- Porrini, D., G. Fusco and P.P. Miglietta, 2019: Post-adversities recovery and profitability: the case of Italian farmers. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 16, doi:10.3390/ijerph16173189.
- Porst, L. and P. S.-. Population, S. a. Place and u., 2020: Gendered translocal connectedness: Rural–urban migration, remittances, and social resilience in Thailand. *Population, Space and Place*. 26. doi: 10.1002/psp.2314.
- Portugal-Pereira, J., et al., 2018: Better late than never, but never late is better: risk assessment of nuclear power construction projects. *Energy Policy*, 120, 158–166, doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2018.05.041.
- Powlson, D.S., et al., 2016: Does conservation agriculture deliver climate change mitigation through soil carbon sequestration in tropical agro-ecosystems? *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 220, 164–174, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2016.01.005.
- Prävälje, R. and G. Bandoc, 2018: Response to “Regarding nuclear energy: Between global electricity demand, worldwide decarbonisation imperativeness, and planetary environmental implications”. *Journal of environmental management*. 247. 776–779. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.06.108.
- Prestele, R., et al., 2018: A spatially explicit representation of conservation agriculture for application in global change studies. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 24, 4038–4053, doi:10.1111/gcb.14307.
- Prior, T., et al., 2013: Sustainable governance of scarce metals: the case of lithium. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 461–462, 785–791, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.05.042.
- Propato, M., J.S. Clough and A. Polaczyk, 2018: Evaluating the costs and benefits of marsh-management strategies while accounting for uncertain sea-level rise and ecosystem response. *PLOS ONE*. 13. e0200368. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0200368.
- Quandt, A., 2020: Contribution of agroforestry trees for climate change adaptation: narratives from smallholder farmers in Isiolo, Kenya. *Agroforestry Systems*. 94. doi: 10.1007/s10457-020-00535-0.
- Quandt, A., H. Neufeldt and J.T. McCabe, 2017: he role of agroforestry in building livelihood resilience to floods and drought in semiarid Kenya. *Ecology and Society* 22. doi: 10.5751/ES-09461-220310.
- Qurani, I.Z., N.I. Fawzi, R. Fadilah and W. Kismorodati, 2021: Empowering Fish-Farmer through Coastal Field School: Towards Sustainable Aquaculture Practice. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 750. 012054. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/750/1/012054.
- Rabbani, G., A. Rahman and N. Islam, 2010: Coastal zones and climate change, 17–29. ISBN: 978-0-9821935-5-6.
- Rabbani, G., A.A. Rahman and N. Islam, 2010: Climate Change and Sea Level Rise: Issues and Challenges for Coastal Communities in the Indian Ocean Region. *Stimson Center, Washington DC, USA*, 17–30.
- Radel, C., B. Schmoock, L. Carte and S. Mardero, 2018: Toward a Political Ecology of Migration: Land, Labor Migration, and Climate Change in Northwestern Nicaragua. *World Development*. 108. doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.04.023.
- Rahman, M.A., et al., 2020: Traits of trees for cooling urban heat islands: a meta-analysis. *Build. Environ.*, 170, 106606, doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2019.106606.
- Rahman, S., M.S. Islam, M.N.H. Khan and M. Touhiduzzaman, 2019: Climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction (DRR) through coastal afforestation in South-Central Coast of Bangladesh. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*. 30. doi: 10.1108/MEQ-01-2018-0021.
- Rahut, D.B., J.P. Aryal and P. Marennya, 2021: Understanding climate-risk coping strategies among farm households: evidence from five countries in Eastern and Southern Africa. *Science of The Total Environment*. 769. 145236. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145236.
- Ram, M., A. Aghahosseini and C. Breyer, 2020: Job creation during the global energy transition towards 100% renewable power system by 2050. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, 151, 119682, doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2019.06.008.
- Rameshshanker, V., S. Wyngaarden, L.L. Lau and W. Dodd, 2021: Health system resilience to extreme weather events in Asia-Pacific: a scoping review. *Clim. Dev.*, 1–15, doi:10.1080/17565529.2020.1870425.
- Ranganathan, M. and E. Bratman, 2021: From Urban Resilience to Abolitionist Climate Justice in Washington, DC. doi: 10.1111/anti.12555?af=R.
- Raoufi, H., V. Vahidinasab and K. Mehran, 2020: Power systems resilience metrics: a comprehensive review of challenges and outlook. *Sustainability*. 12. 9698. doi: 10.3390/su12229698.

- Räsänen, A., H. Lein, D. Bird and G. Setten, 2020: Conceptualizing community in disaster risk management. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 45, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101485.
- Rasmussen, D.J., R. Kopp, R. Shwom and M. Oppenheimer, 2021: The political complexity of coastal flood risk reduction: lessons for climate adaptation public works in the U.S. *Earth's Future*, 9, doi:10.1029/2020EF001575.
- Rasul, G. and B. Sharma, 2016: The nexus approach to water–energy–food security: an option for adaptation to climate change. *Clim. Policy*, 1–21. doi: 10.1080/14693062.2015.1029865
- Ratna Reddy, V., Y.S. Saharawat and B. George, 2017: Watershed Management in South Asia: A Synoptic Review. *Journal of Hydrology*, 551. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.043.
- Ratnam, E.L., et al., 2020: Electricity system resilience in a world of increased climate change and cybersecurity risk. *The Electricity Journal*, 33. 106833. doi: 10.1016/j.tej.2020.106833.
- Ratter, B., A. Hennig and Zahid, 2019: Challenges for shared responsibility – Political and social framing of coastal protection transformation in the Maldives. *Die Erde; Zeitschrift der Gesellschaft für Erdkunde zu Berlin*, 150. 169–183. doi: 10.12854/erde-2019-426.
- Rauner, S., et al., 2020: Coal-exit health and environmental damage reductions outweigh economic impacts. *Nature Climate Change*, 308–312. doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0728-x..
- Raymond, C.M., et al., 2017: A framework for assessing and implementing the co-benefits of nature-based solutions in urban areas. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 77. 15–24. doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2017.07.008.
- Razzaghamanesh, M., S. Beecham and T. Salemi, 2016: The role of green roofs in mitigating Urban Heat Island effects in the metropolitan area of Adelaide, South Australia. *Urban For. Urban Green.*, 15, 89–102, doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2015.11.013.
- Reddy, V.R., T. Chiranjeevi and G. Syme, 2020: Inclusive sustainable intensification of agriculture in West Bengal, India: policy and institutional approaches. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 18:1, 70–83, doi: 10.1080/14735903.2019.1698489.
- Reed, T., L.R. Mason and C.C. Ekenge, 2020: Adapting to climate change in the upper Mississippi river basin: exploring stakeholder perspectives on river system management and flood risk reduction. *Environ. Health Insights*, 14, 1178630220984153, doi:10.1177/1178630220984153.
- Rigg, J. and K. Oven, 2015: Building liberal resilience? A critical review from developing rural Asia. *Global Environmental Change*, 32. doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.03.007.
- Ristorph, E.B., 2021: Navigating climate change adaptation assistance for communities: a case study of Newtok Village, Alaska. *J. Environ. Stud. Sci.*, 11(3), 329–340, doi:10.1007/s13412-021-00711-3.
- Rivera-Ferre, M.G., et al., 2016: Re-framing the climate change debate in the livestock sector: mitigation and adaptation options. *WIREs Clim. Change*, 7(6), 869–892, doi:10.1002/wcc.421.
- Rohat, G., et al., 2021: Assessing urban heat-related adaptation strategies under multiple futures for a major U.S. city. *Clim. Change*, 164(3), 61, doi:10.1007/s10584-021-02990-9.
- Rojas-Downing, M.M., A.P. Nejadhashemi, T. Harrigan and S.A. Woznicki, 2017: Climate change and livestock: impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, 16, 145–163, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2017.02.001.
- Romañach, S.S., et al., 2018: Conservation and restoration of mangroves: global status, perspectives, and prognosis. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 154. 72–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2018.01.009.
- Rosa, L., J.A. Reimer, M.S. Went and P. D'Odorico, 2020: Hydrological limits to carbon capture and storage. *Nat. Sustain.*, 3(8), 658–666, doi:10.1038/s41893-020-0532-7.
- Rose, A., 2016: Capturing the Co-benefits of Disaster Risk Management on the Private Sector Side. World Bank, Washington DC, USA. 33 pp.
- Rosendo, S., L. Celliers and M. Mechisso, 2018: Doing more with the same: a reality-check on the ability of local government to implement Integrated Coastal Management for climate change adaptation. *Marine Policy*, 87. 29–39. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2017.10.001
- Rosenzweig, C., et al., 2018: Climate change and cities: second assessment report of the Urban Climate Change Research Network. *Cambridge University Press*, pp. xvii–xlii. doi: 10.1017/9781316563878.007.
- Rosse Caldas, L., A. Bernstad Saraiva, V.M. Andreola and R. Dias Toledo Filho, 2020: Bamboo bio-concrete as an alternative for buildings' climate change mitigation and adaptation. *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 263, 120652, doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.120652.
- Rosyida, I., W. Ullah, A. Helmi and M. Sasaoka, 2019: Adapting livelihoods to the impacts of tin mining in Indonesia: options and constraints. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 6. doi: 10.1016/j.exis.2019.10.018.
- Ruane, S., M.S.H. Swapan and C. Babb, 2020: Disaster risk reduction in bushfire prone areas: challenges for an integrated land use planning policy regime. *Sustainability*, 12(24), 10496.
- Rudolph, L., N. Maizlish, S. North and K. Dervin, 2020: A Public Health Learning Collaborative on Climate Change for Urban Health Departments, 2016–2018. *Public Health Reports*, 135. 003335492090246. doi: 10.1177/0033354920902468.
- Rudram, B.; Faith, B.; Prieto Martín, P. and Ramalingam, B. 2016: The Impact of Digital Technology on Environmental Sustainability and Resilience: An Evidence Review, *IDS Evidence Report 209*, Brighton: IDS. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.28618.16329.
- Runkle, J., et al., 2018: Population health adaptation approaches to the increasing severity and frequency of weather-related disasters resulting from our changing climate: a literature review and application to Charleston, South Carolina. *Current Environmental Health Reports*, 5. doi: 10.1007/s40572-018-0223-y.
- Runting, R.K., C.E. Lovelock, H.L. Beyer and J.R. Rhodes, 2017: Costs and opportunities for preserving coastal wetlands under sea level rise. *Conservation Letters*. doi: 10.1111/conl.12239.
- Ruszczuk, H.A., et al., 2020: Empowering women through participatory action research in community-based disaster risk reduction efforts. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 51, 101763, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101763.
- S., K., et al., 2018: Integrated Fertilization: an Approach for Higher Apple (*Malus domestica*) Productivity and Ecological Health of Soil. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research*, 88. 222–227.
- Salam, S. and S. Bauer, 2020: Rural non-farm economy and livelihood diversification strategies: evidence from Bangladesh. *GeoJournal*. doi: 10.1007/s10708-020-10269-2.
- Salmon, G., et al., 2018: Trade-offs in livestock development at farm level: different actors with different objectives. *Global Food Security*, 17. doi: 10.1016/j.gfs.2018.04.002.
- Sampantamit, T., et al., 2020: Aquaculture Production and Its Environmental Sustainability in Thailand: Challenges and Potential Solutions. *Sustainability*, 12. 2010. doi: 10.3390/su12052010.
- Sánchez, A. and M. Izzo, 2017: Micro hydropower: an alternative for climate change mitigation, adaptation, and development of marginalized local communities in Hispaniola Island. *Clim. Change*, 140, 79–87, doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1865-0.
- Sánchez, P., F. James and G. Lindsay, 2002: Coastal aquaculture sustainable livelihoods in Mecoaacan, Tabasco, Mexico. *Universidad y Ciencia*, 35. ISSN: 0186-2979.
- Sani Ibrahim, S., H. Ozdeser and B. Cavusoglu, 2019: Financial inclusion as a pathway to welfare enhancement and income equality: Micro-level evidence from Nigeria. *Development Southern Africa*, 36. 1–18. doi: 10.1080/0376835X.2018.1498766.
- Santoro, A., M. Venturi, R. Bertani and M. Agnoletti, 2020: A Review of the Role of Forests and Agroforestry Systems in the FAO Globally Important Agricultural Heritage Systems (GIAHS) Programme. *Forests*, 11. 860. doi: 10.3390/f11080860.



- Sarkar, D., et al., 2018: Can sustainability of maize-mustard cropping system be achieved through balanced nutrient management?. *Field Crops Research*. 225. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2018.05.018.
- Sarker, M.N.I., M. Wu, G.M. Alam and R.C. Shouse, 2020: Livelihood diversification in rural Bangladesh: patterns and determinants in disaster prone riverine islands. *Land Use Policy*. 96. 104720. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104720.
- Sase, E., C. Eddy and B.J. Polivka, 2021: Lessons from Fukushima: potassium iodide after a nuclear disaster. *AJN, American Journal of Nursing*. 121. 63–67. doi: 10.1097/01.NAJ.0000734144.20889.b0.
- Satterthwaite, D., et. al., 2018: Responding to climate change in cities and in their informal settlements and economies.
- Sauka, S., 2020: Resilient Ecosystems and Disaster Risk Reduction: Opportunities for Coastal Cities in Africa.
- Sayatham, M. and D. Suhardiman, 2015: Hydropower resettlement and livelihood adaptation: The Nam Mang 3 Project in Laos. *Water Resources and Rural Development*. 5. doi: 10.1016/j.wrr.2015.01.001.
- Schaeffer, R., et al., 2012: Energy sector vulnerability to climate change: a review. *Energy*, 38, 1–12, doi:10.1016/j.energy.2011.11.056.
- Schäfer, L., K. Warner and S. Kreft, 2019: Exploring and Managing Adaptation Frontiers with Climate Risk Insurance: Concepts, Methods and Policy Options. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-72026-5\_13.
- Schauberger, G., et al., 2020: Efficacy of adaptation measures to alleviate heat stress in confined livestock buildings in temperate climate zones. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2020.09.010.
- Scheres, B. and H. Schüttrumpf, 2019: Enhancing the Ecological Value of Sea Dikes. *Water*. 11. 1617. doi: 10.3390/w11081617.
- Schmeller, D.S., F. Courchamp and G. Killeen, 2020: Biodiversity loss, emerging pathogens and human health risks. 29. doi: 10.1007/s10531-020-02021-6.
- Schmitz, O.J., et al., 2015: Conserving Biodiversity : Practical Guidance About Climate Change Adaptation Approaches in Support of Land-use Planning. *Natural Areas Journal*. 35. 190–203. doi: 10.3375/043.035.0120.
- Schoeneberger, M., et al., 2012: Branching out: agroforestry as a climate change mitigation and adaptation tool for agriculture. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*. 67. 128A-136A. doi: 10.2489/jswc.67.5.128A.
- Schoonees, T., et al., 2019: Hard Structures for Coastal Protection, Towards Greener Designs. *Estuaries and Coasts*. 42. doi: 10.1007/s12237-019-00551-z.
- Schramm, P.J., et al., 2020: How indigenous communities are adapting to climate change: insights from the climate-ready tribes initiative. *Health Aff.*, 39(12), 2153–2159, doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00997.
- Schuhbauer, A., et al., 2017: How subsidies affect the economic viability of small-scale fisheries. *Marine Policy*. 82. 114–121. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2017.05.013.
- Schulte, L.A., et al., 2017: Prairie strips improve biodiversity and the delivery of multiple ecosystem services from corn–soybean croplands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(42), 11247–11252. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1620229114
- Schwartz, D., Y. Ryjova, A.R. Kelleghan and H. Fritz, 2021: The refugee crisis and peer relationships during childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*. 74. 101263. doi: 10.1016/j.appdev.2021.101263.
- Schweikert, A.E. and M.R. Deinert, 2021: Vulnerability and resilience of power systems infrastructure to natural hazards and climate change . *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*. 12. doi: 10.1002/wcc.724.
- Schwerdtle, P., K. Bowen and C. McMichael, 2018: The health impacts of climate-related migration. *BMC Med.*, 16, 1–7, doi:10.1186/s12916-017-0981-7.
- Schwerdtle, P., C. McMichael, I. M.-E. and u, 2020: Health and migration in the context of a changing climate: a systematic literature assessment . doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab9ece. iopscience.iop.org.
- Seddon, N., et al., 2020: Understanding the value and limits of nature-based solutions to climate change and other global challenges . *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 375. 20190120. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2019.0120.
- Seddon, N., et al., 2020: Global recognition of the importance of nature-based solutions to the impacts of climate change. *Global Sustainability*. 3. doi: 10.1017/sus.2020.8.
- Seddon, N., et al., 2019: Grounding nature-based climate solutions in sound biodiversity science. *Nature Climate Change*. 9. 84–87. doi: 10.1038/s41558-019-0405-0.
- Sedova, B. and M. Kalkuhl, 2020: Who are the climate migrants and where do they go? Evidence from rural India. *World Development*. 129. doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104848.
- See, J. and B. Wilmsen, 2020: Just adaptation? Generating new vulnerabilities and shaping adaptive capacities through the politics of climate-related resettlement in a Philippine coastal city. *Glob. Environ. Change*, 65, 102188, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102188.
- Sehar, F., M. Pipattanasomporn and S. Rahman, 2016: An energy management model to study energy and peak power savings from PV and storage in demand responsive buildings. *Appl. Energy*, 173, 406–417, doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.04.039.
- Seleka, M., B. Lough, E. Combaz and M.L. Seleka, 2017: African Young Graduates and Scholars Conference Paper.
- Semenza, J.C. and K.L. Ebi, 2019: Climate change impact on migration, travel, travel destinations and the tourism industry. *J. Travel Med.*, 26, doi:10.1093/jtm/taz026.
- Sen, S., 2021: Combating Tropical Cyclones Amphan, Yaas and After: Eco-Restoration of Coastal Zones. 6. 33–38.
- Sendzimir, J., C.P. Reij and P. Magnuszewski, 2011: Rebuilding resilience in the Sahel: greening in the Maradi and Zinder regions of Niger. *Ecology and Society*. 16. doi: 10.5751/ES-04198-160301.
- Serre, D. and C. Heinzle, 2018: Assessing and mapping urban resilience to floods with respect to cascading effects through critical infrastructure networks. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. 30. doi: 10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.02.018.
- Seshia Galvin, S., 2018: The farming of trust. *American Ethnologist*. 45. 495–507. doi: 10.1111/amet.12704.
- Shackleton, S., et al., 2015: Why is socially-just climate change adaptation in sub-Saharan Africa so challenging? A review of barriers identified from empirical cases. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*. 6. doi: 10.1002/wcc.335.
- Shaffril, H.A.M., A. Samah and J.L. D’Silva, 2017: Adapting towards climate change impacts: Strategies for small-scale fishermen in Malaysia. *Marine Policy*. 81. 196–201. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2017.03.032.
- Shah, F. and W. Wu, 2019: Soil and crop management strategies to ensure higher crop productivity within sustainable environments. *Sustainability*, 11(5), doi:10.3390/su11051485.
- Shannon, P.D., et al., 2019: Adaptation strategies and approaches for forested watersheds. *Climate Services*. 13. doi: 10.1016/j.cliser.2019.01.005.
- Shapiro-Garza, E., et al., 2020: A participatory framework for feasibility assessments of climate change resilience strategies for smallholders: lessons from coffee cooperatives in Latin America. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*. 18. 1–14. doi: 10.1080/14735903.2019.1658841.
- Sharifi, A., 2021: Co-benefits and synergies between urban climate change mitigation and adaptation measures: a literature review. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 750, 141642, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141642.
- Sharma, H., D. Kumar, L. and K.S. Pant, 2020: Agroforestry – a Sustainable Tool for Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation.. *Agricultural Situation in India*. 68. 405–408. doi: 10.18805/ag.R-1924
- Sharma, R. and R. Sharma, 2021: Community based flood risk management: local knowledge and actor’s involvement approach from lower Karnali river basin of Nepal. *J. Geosci. Environ. Prot.*, 9(6), 35–65, doi:10.4236/GEP.2021.96003.
- Sharma, V.K., R.N. Pandey and B.M. Sharma, 2015: Studies on long-term impact of STCR based integrated fertilizer use on pearl millet (*Pennisetum*

- glaucum)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system in semi arid condition of India. *Journal of environmental biology*, 36(1), 241–247.
- Sheehan, M.C. and M. A. Fox, 2020: Early warnings: the lessons of COVID-19 for public health climate preparedness. *Int. J. Health Serv.*, 50(3), 264–270, doi:10.1177/0020731420928971.
- Sheehan, M.C., M. Freire and G.S. Martinez, 2021: Piloting a city health adaptation typology with data from climate-engaged cities: toward identification of an urban health adaptation gap. *Environ. Res.*, 196, 110435, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.110435.
- Shen, P. and N. Lior, 2016: Vulnerability to climate change impacts of present renewable energy systems designed for achieving net-zero energy buildings. *Energy*, 114, 1288–1305, doi:10.1016/j.energy.2016.07.078.
- Shi, L. and A.M. Varuzzo, 2020: Surging seas, rising fiscal stress: Exploring municipal fiscal vulnerability to climate change. *Cities*. 100. 102658. doi: 10.1016/j.cities.2020.102658.
- Shokry, G., J.J. Connolly and I. Anguelovski, 2020: Understanding climate gentrification and shifting landscapes of protection and vulnerability in green resilient Philadelphia. *Urban Climate*. 31. doi: 10.1016/j.uclim.2019.100539.
- Shrestha, S. and S. Dhakal, 2019: An assessment of potential synergies and trade-offs between climate mitigation and adaptation policies of Nepal. *J. Environ. Manag.*, 235, 535–545, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.01.035.
- Shyam, D.M., K.H. Anantha, S.P. Wani and K.V. Raju, 2020: Impacts of Integrated Watershed Development Using Economic Surplus Method. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-29918-7\_7.
- Shyamsundar, P., et al., 2019: Fields on fire: Alternatives to crop residue burning in India. *Science*. 365. 536–538. doi: 10.1126/science.aaw4085.
- Sida, T.S., F. Baudron, H. Kim and K.E. Giller, 2018: Climate-smart agroforestry: *Faidherbia albida* trees buffer wheat against climatic extremes in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.10.013.
- Siders, A.R., 2019: Social justice implications of US managed retreat buyout programs. *Climatic Change*. 152. doi: 10.1007/s10584-018-2272-5.
- Siders, A.R., 2019: Managed retreat in the United States. *One Earth*, 1, 216–225, doi:10.1016/j.oneear.2019.09.008.
- Siders, A.R. and I. Ajibade, 2021: Introduction: managed retreat and environmental justice in a changing climate. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*. 11. doi: 10.1007/s13412-021-00700-6.
- Siders, A.R. and J.M. Keenan, 2020: Variables shaping coastal adaptation decisions to armor, nourish, and retreat in North Carolina. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 183. 105023. doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.105023.
- Sikka, A.K., A. Islam and K.V. Rao, 2018: Climate-smart land and water management for sustainable agriculture. *Irrig. Drain.*, 67, 72–81, doi:10.1002/ird.2162.
- Sina, D., A.Y. Chang-Richards, S. Wilkinson and R. Potangaroa, 2019: What does the future hold for relocated communities post-disaster? Factors affecting livelihood resilience. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. 34. 10.1016/j.ijdr.2018.11.015.
- Sinay, L. and R.W.B. Carter, 2020: Climate Change Adaptation Options for Coastal Communities and Local Governments. *Climate*. 8. 7. doi: 10.3390/cli8010007.
- Singh, B.P., R. Setia, M. Wiesmeier and A. Kunhikrishnan, 2018: Chapter 7 – Agricultural management practices and soil organic carbon storage. In: *Soil Carbon Storage* [Singh, B.K.(ed.)]. Academic Press, pp. 207–244. ISBN 978-0128127667.
- Singh, C., 2018: Is participatory watershed development building local adaptive capacity? Findings from a case study in Rajasthan, India. *Environmental Development*. doi: 10.1016/j.envdev.2017.11.004.
- Singh, C., 2019: Migration as a driver of changing household structures: implications for local livelihoods and adaptation. *Migration and Development*, 8:3, 301–319, doi: 10.1080/21632324.2019.1589073
- Singh, C. and R. Basu, 2020: Moving in and out of vulnerability: Interrogating migration as an adaptation strategy along a rural–urban continuum in India. *Geogr. J.*, 186, 87–102, doi:10.1111/geoj.12328.
- Singh, C., et al., 2020: Assessing the feasibility of climate change adaptation options in the water sector: examples from rural and urban landscapes. *Water Security*. doi: 10.1016/j.wasec.2020.100071.
- Singh, C., et al., 2018: The utility of weather and climate information for adaptation decision-making: current uses and future prospects in Africa and India. *Clim. Dev.*, 10(5), 389–405, doi:10.1080/17565529.2017.1318744.
- Singh, C., et al., 2020: Assessing the feasibility of adaptation options: methodological advancements and directions for climate adaptation research and practice. *Clim. Change*, doi:10.1007/s10584-020-02762-x.
- Singh, C., G. Jain, V. Sukhwani and R. Shaw, 2021: Losses and damages associated with slow-onset events: urban drought and water insecurity in Asia. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, 50, 72–86, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2021.02.006.
- Singh, C., A. Rahman, A. Srinivas and A. Bazaz, 2018: Risks and responses in rural India: Implications for local climate change adaptation action. *Climate Risk Management*. 21. 52–68. doi: 10.1016/j.crm.2018.06.001.
- Singh, D., et al., 2020: A review on feedstocks, production processes, and yield for different generations of biodiesel. *Fuel*, 262, p.116553, doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2019.116553.
- Siriwardane-de Zoysa, R., 2020: Beyond the wall: dyking as an object of everyday governance in the Bay of Manila, Philippines. *Marine Policy*, 112, 103661 pp., doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2019.103661
- Sletto, B., S. Tabory and K. Strickler, 2019: Sustainable urban water management and integrated development in informal settlements: the contested politics of co-production in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic. *Global Environmental Change*, 54, pp.195–202, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.12.004
- Slobodian, L., 2019, *Defending the Future: Intergenerational Equity in Climate Litigation*. *Geo. Envtl. L. Rev.*, 32, p.569.
- Smajgl, A., et al., 2015: Responding to rising sea levels in the Mekong Delta. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(2), pp.167–174, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2469.
- Smith, J., B.D. Pearce and M.S. Wolfe, 2013: Reconciling productivity with protection of the environment: Is temperate agroforestry the answer? *Renew. Agric. Food Syst.*, 28(1), 80–92, doi:10.1017/S1742170511000585.
- Smith, M.D., et al., 2015: Geoengineering coastlines? From accidental to intentional. In: *Coastal zones: Solutions for the 21st century* [Baztan, J., et al. (eds.)]. Elsevier, pp.99–122, ISBN: 9780128027486.
- Smith, M.M., et al., 2021: Windbreaks in the United States: a systematic review of producer-reported benefits, challenges, management activities and drivers of adoption. *Agricultural Systems*, 187, p.103032, doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2020.103032.
- Smith, N. and A. Leiserowitz, 2014: The role of emotion in global warming policy support and opposition. *Risk Anal.*, 34, 937–948, doi:10.1111/risa.12140.
- Smith, P., et al., 2019: Land-management options for greenhouse gas removal and their impacts on ecosystem services and the sustainable development goals. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, 44(1), 255–286, doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-101718-033129.
- Smith, P., et al., 2020: How to measure, report and verify soil carbon change to realize the potential of soil carbon sequestration for atmospheric greenhouse gas removal. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 26(1), 219–241, doi:10.1111/gcb.14815.
- Smucker, T.A., M. Oulu and R. Nijbroek, 2020: Foundations for convergence: sub-national collaboration at the nexus of climate change adaptation, disaster risk reduction, and land restoration under multi-level governance in Kenya. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, 51, 101834, doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101834.
- Soetanto, R., et al., 2020: Developing sustainable arrangements for “proactive” disaster risk financing in Java, Indonesia. *Int. J. Disaster Resil. Built Environ.*, doi:10.1108/IJDRBE-01-2020-0006.
- Somasundaram, J., et al., 2020: No-Till Farming and Conservation Agriculture in South Asia—Issues, Challenges, Prospects and Benefits. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 39(3), pp.236–279, doi:10.1080/07352689.2020.1782069.
- Sommer, R., B.K. Paul, J. Mukalama and J. Kihara, 2018: Reducing losses but failing to sequester carbon in soils – the case of Conservation Agriculture and Integrated Soil Fertility Management in the humid tropical agro-ecosystem of Western Kenya. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 254, pp.82–91, Doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2017.11.004.

- Son, H.N., A. Kingsbury and H.T. Hoa, 2021: Indigenous knowledge and the enhancement of community resilience to climate change in the Northern Mountainous Region of Vietnam. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, 45(4), 499–522, doi:10.1080/21683565.2020.1829777.
- Song, J., Y. Guo, P. Wu and S. Sun, 2018: The agricultural water rebound effect in China. *Ecological Economics*, 146, pp.497–506, doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.12.016.
- Sønvisen, S.A. and C. Vik, 2021: Shaping Aquaculture Management—An Interest Tug O'War. *Sustainability*, 13(16), p.8853, doi:10.3390/su13168853.
- Soto-Navarro, C., et al., 2020: Mapping co-benefits for carbon storage and biodiversity to inform conservation policy and action. *Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 375(1794), 20190128, doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0128.
- Sova, C.A., et al., 2018: Bringing the Concept of Climate-Smart Agriculture to Life. World Bank, and the International Centre for Tropical Agriculture, Washington, DC, doi:10.1596/31064.
- Sovacool, B.K., 2010: A critical evaluation of nuclear power and renewable electricity in Asia. *J. Contemp. Asia.*, 40(3), 369–400, doi:10.1080/00472331003798350.
- Sovacool, B.K., et al., 2015: The political economy of climate adaptation. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(7), pp.616–618, doi:10.1038/nclimate2665.
- Sovacool, B.K., et al., 2020: Differences in carbon emissions reduction between countries pursuing renewable electricity versus nuclear power, 928–935. *Nature Energy*, 5(11), pp.928–935, doi: 10.1038/s41560-020-00696-3.
- Spaans, M. and B. Waterhout, 2017: Building up resilience in cities worldwide – Rotterdam as participant in the 100 Resilient Cities Programme. *Cities*, 61, pp.109–116, doi: 10.1016/j.cities.2016.05.011.
- Spalding, M.D., et al., 2014: The role of ecosystems in coastal protection: adapting to climate change and coastal hazards. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 90, pp.50–57, doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2013.09.007.
- Stańczuk-Gałwiaczek, M., K. Sobolewska-Mikułska, H. Ritzema and J.M. van Loon-Steensma, 2018: Integration of water management and land consolidation in rural areas to adapt to climate change: experiences from Poland and the Netherlands. *Land Use Policy*, 77, 498–511, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.06.005.
- Stanley, S.K. and J. Williamson, 2021: Attitudes towards climate change aid and climate refugees in New Zealand: an exploration of policy support and ideological barriers. *Environmental Politics*, 30(7), pp.1259–1280, doi:10.1080/09644016.2021.1892982.
- Stavenhagen, M., J. Buurman and C. Tortajada, 2018: Saving water in cities: assessing policies for residential water demand management in four cities in Europe. *Cities*, 79, 187–195, doi:10.1016/j.cities.2018.03.008.
- Stender, Y., et al., 2021: Evaluating the feasibility and advantage of a multi-purpose submerged breakwater for harbor protection and benthic habitat enhancement at Kahului Commercial Harbor, Hawai'i: case study. *SN Applied Sciences*, 3(2), pp.1–19, doi:10.1007/s42452-020-04072-4.
- Stentiford, G.D., et al., 2020: Sustainable aquaculture through the One Health lens. *Nature Food*, 1(8), pp.468–474, doi:10.1038/s43016-020-0127-5.
- Stephenson, J.R., B.K. Sovacool and T.H.J. Inderberg, 2021: Energy cultures and national decarbonisation pathways. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 137, p.110592, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2020.110592.
- Stock, R., T. Birkenholtz and A. Garg, 2019: Let the people speak: improving regional adaptation policy by combining adaptive capacity assessments with vulnerability perceptions of farmers in Gujarat, India. *Clim. Dev.*, 11(2), 138–152, doi:10.1080/17565529.2017.1410089.
- Stone, B., et al., 2019: Urban heat management in Louisville, Kentucky: a framework for climate adaptation planning. *J. Plan. Educ. Res.*, doi:10.1177/0739456X19879214.
- Storlazzi, C.D., et al., 2018: Most atolls will be uninhabitable by the mid-21st century because of sea-level rise exacerbating wave-driven flooding. *Science Advances*, 4(4), p.eaap9741, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aap9741.
- Su, Q., 2020: Long-term flood risk assessment of watersheds under climate change based on the game cross-efficiency DEA. *Nat. Hazards*, 104(3), 2213–2237, doi:10.1007/s11069-020-04269-1.
- Su, Y., et al., 2020: Evaluating the effectiveness of labor protection policy on occupational injuries caused by extreme heat in a large subtropical city of China. *Environmental research*, 186, p.109532, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.109532.
- Suckall, N., E. Fraser and P. Forster, 2017: Reduced migration under climate change: evidence from Malawi using an aspirations and capabilities framework. *Clim. Dev.*, 9(4), 298–312, doi:10.1080/17565529.2016.1149441.
- Suliman, S., et al., 2019: Indigenous (im)mobilities in the Anthropocene. *Mobilities*, doi:10.1080/17450101.2019.1601828.
- Sun, W., et al., 2020: Climate drives global soil carbon sequestration and crop yield changes under conservation agriculture. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 26(6), 3325–3335, doi:10.1111/gcb.15001.
- Sun, Y., G. Huang, X. Xu and A.C.-K. Lai, 2018: Building-group-level performance evaluations of net zero energy buildings with non-collaborative controls. *Appl. Energy*, 212, 565–576, doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.11.076.
- Sutton-Grier, A.E., K. Wovk and H. Bamford, 2015: Future of our coasts: the potential for natural and hybrid infrastructure to enhance the resilience of our coastal communities, economies and ecosystems. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 51, pp.137–148, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2015.04.006.
- Syme, G.J., V.R. Reddy and R. Ranjan, 2015: Chapter 10 – Justice and equity in watershed development in Andhra Pradesh. In *Integrated Assessment of Scale Impacts of Watershed Intervention* [Reddy V.R. and Syme, G.J.] Elsevier, pp. 317–352, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-800067-0.00010-4.
- Szewrański, S., et al., 2018: Socio-environmental vulnerability mapping for environmental and flood resilience assessment: the case of ageing and poverty in the City of Wrocław, Poland. *Integrated environmental assessment and management*, 14(5), pp.592–597, doi: 10.1002/ieam.4077.
- Tabe, T., 2019: Climate change migration and displacement: learning from past relocations in the Pacific. *Soc. Sci.*, 8(7), 218, doi: 10.3390/socsci8070218.
- Tagliari, M.M., et al., 2021: Collaborative management as a way to enhance Araucaria Forest resilience. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation*, 19(2), pp.131–142, doi:10.1016/j.pecon.2021.03.002.
- Takata, K. and N. Hanasaki, 2020: The effects of afforestation as an adaptation option: a case study in the upper Chao Phraya River basin. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(4), p.044020, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab7462.
- Tall, A., J.Y. Coulibaly and M. Diop, 2018: Do climate services make a difference? A review of evaluation methodologies and practices to assess the value of climate information services for farmers: Implications for Africa. *Clim. Serv.*, 11, 1–12, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2018.06.001.
- Tanaya, T., et al., 2021: Improvement of the coral growth and cost-effectiveness of hybrid infrastructure by an innovative breakwater design in Naha Port, Okinawa, Japan. *Coastal Engineering Journal*, 63(3), pp.248–262, doi:10.1080/21664250.2021.1889823.
- Tanner, T., et al., 2015: Livelihood resilience in the face of climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(1), pp.23–26, doi:10.1038/nclimate2431.
- Taylor, S. and L. Kumar, 2016: Global climate change impacts on Pacific islands terrestrial biodiversity: a review. *Trop. Conserv. Sci.*, 9, 203–223, doi:10.1177/194008291600900111.
- Tebboth, M.G.L., D. Conway and W.N. Adger, 2019: Mobility endowment and entitlements mediate resilience in rural livelihood systems. *Global Environmental Change*, 54, pp.172–183, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.12.002.
- Telave, A.B. and S.R. Chandankar, 2021: Integrated coastal zone management: an Indian perspective – a review. *Eco. Env. & Cons.*, pp. S162-S167, EM International, ISSN 0971–765X.
- Telford, A., 2018: A threat to climate-secure European futures? Exploring racial logics and climate-induced migration in US and EU climate security discourses. *Geoforum*, 96, 268–277, doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2018.08.021.
- Tall, A., J.Y. Coulibaly and M. Diop, 2018: Do climate services make a difference? A review of evaluation methodologies and practices to assess the value of climate information services for farmers: Implications for Africa. *Clim. Serv.*, 11, 1–12, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2018.06.001.

- Tenzing, J.D., 2020: Integrating social protection and climate change adaptation: a review. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 11(2), p.e626, doi:10.1002/wcc.626.
- TerAvest, D., P.R. Wandschneider, C. Thierfelder and J.P. Reganold, 2019: Diversifying conservation agriculture and conventional tillage cropping systems to improve the wellbeing of smallholder farmers in Malawi. *Agricultural Systems*, 171, pp.23–35, doi:10.1016/j.agry.2019.01.004.
- Tesfamariam, Y. and M. Hurlbert, 2017: Gendered adaptation of Eritrean dryland farmers. *Int. J. Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*, 9(2), 207–224, doi:10.1108/IJCCSM-07-2016-0096.
- Tesfaye, A., et al., 2020: Actor roles and networks in agricultural climate services in Ethiopia: a social network analysis. *Clim. Dev.*, 12(8), 769–780, doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1691485.
- Tesselaar, M., W.J.W. Botzen and J.C.J.H. Aerts, 2020: Impacts of climate change and remote natural catastrophes on EU flood insurance markets: an analysis of soft and hard reinsurance markets for flood coverage. *Atmosphere*, 11, doi:10.3390/atmos11020146.
- Tesselaar, M., et al., 2020: Regional inequalities in flood insurance affordability and uptake under climate change. *Sustainability*, 12(20), doi:10.3390/su12208734.
- Theis, S., N. Lefore, R. Meinzen-Dick and E. Bryan, 2018: What happens after technology adoption? Gendered aspects of small-scale irrigation technologies in Ethiopia, Ghana, and Tanzania. *Agric. Hum. Values*, 35(3), 671–684, doi:10.1007/s10460-018-9862-8.
- Thierfelder, C., et al., 2017: How climate-smart is conservation agriculture (CA)? – its potential to deliver on adaptation, mitigation and productivity on smallholder farms in southern Africa. *Food Security*, 9(3), pp.537–560, doi:10.1007/s12571-017-0665-3.
- Thinda, K.T., A.A. Ogundeji, J.A. Belle and T.O. Ojo, 2020: Understanding the adoption of climate change adaptation strategies among smallholder farmers: evidence from land reform beneficiaries in South Africa. *Land Use Policy*, 99, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104858.
- Thober, J., N. Schwarz and K. Hermans, 2018: Agent-based modeling of environment-migration linkages: a review. *Ecology and Society*, 23(2), doi:10.5751/ES-10200-230241..
- Thomas, A. and L. Benjamin, 2020: Non-economic loss and damage: lessons from displacement in the Caribbean. *Clim. Policy*, 20(6), 715–728, doi:10.1080/14693062.2019.1640105.
- Thomas, M., et al., 2021: When more is more: taking advantage of species diversity to move towards sustainable aquaculture. *Biological Reviews*, 96(2), pp.767–784, doi:10.1111/brv.12677.
- Thomasz, E., I. Pérez-Franco and A. García-García, 2020: The economic impact of climate risk on extensive livestock: the case of lamb production in Extremadura, Spain. *Sustainability*, 12(18), p.7254, doi:10.3390/su12187254.
- Thompson, P.L., B. Rayfield and A. Gonzalez, 2017: Loss of habitat and connectivity erodes species diversity, ecosystem functioning, and stability in metacommunity networks. *Ecography*, 40(1), pp.98–108, doi:10.1111/ecog.02558.
- Tian, Q. and M.C. Lemos, 2018: Household Livelihood Differentiation and Vulnerability to Climate Hazards in Rural China. *World Development*, 108, pp.321–331, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.10.019.
- Tiggeloven, T., et al., 2020: Global-scale benefit-cost analysis of coastal flood adaptation to different flood risk drivers using structural measures. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 20(4), pp.1025–1044, doi:10.5194/nhess-20-1025-2020.
- Timpane-Padgham, B.L., T. Beechie and T. Klinger, 2017: A systematic review of ecological attributes that confer resilience to climate change in environmental restoration. *PLoS One*, 12(3), p.e0173812, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173812.
- Tonmoy, F.N., S.M. Cooke, F. Armstrong and D. Rissik, 2020: From science to policy: development of a climate change adaptation plan for the health and wellbeing sector in Queensland, Australia. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 108, pp.1–13, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2020.03.005.
- Torell, E., C. McNally, B. Crawford and G. Majubwa, 2017: Coastal livelihood diversification as a pathway out of poverty and vulnerability: experiences from Tanzania. *Coastal Management*, 45(3), pp.199–218, doi:10.1080/08920753.2017.1303718.
- Tremeac, B., et al., 2012: Influence of air conditioning management on heat island in Paris air street temperatures. *Appl. Energy*, 95, 102–110, doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.02.015.
- Triana, M.A., R. Lamberts and P. Sassi, 2018: Should we consider climate change for Brazilian social housing? Assessment of energy efficiency adaptation measures. *Energy Build.*, 158, 1379–1392, doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.11.003.
- Tripathi, A. and A.K. Mishra, 2017: Knowledge and passive adaptation to climate change: an example from Indian farmers. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, 16, 195–207, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2016.11.002.
- Tschora, H. and F. Cherubini, 2020: Co-benefits and trade-offs of agroforestry for climate change mitigation and other sustainability goals in West Africa. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.*, 22, doi:10.1016/J.GECCO.2020.E00919.
- Turolla, E., G. Castaldelli, E.A. Fano and E. Tamburini, 2020: Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Proves that Manila Clam Farming (*Ruditapes Philippinarum*) is a Fully Sustainable Aquaculture Practice and a Carbon Sink. *Sustainability*, 12(13), p.5252, doi:10.3390/su12135252.
- Uddin, M.S., C.E. Haque and M.N. Khan, 2020: Good governance and local level policy implementation for disaster-risk-reduction: actual, perceptual and contested perspectives in coastal communities in Bangladesh. *Disaster Prev. Manag. Int. J.*, 30, 94–111, doi:10.1108/DPM-03-2020-0069.
- Uittenbroek, C.J., L.B. Janssen-Jansen and H.A.C. Runhaar, 2013: Mainstreaming climate adaptation into urban planning: overcoming barriers, seizing opportunities and evaluating the results in two Dutch case studies. *Reg. Environ. Change*, 13(2), 399–411, doi:10.1007/s10113-012-0348-8.
- Ulrichs, M., Slater, R. and Costella, C., 2019. Building resilience to climate risks through social protection: from individualised models to systemic transformation. *Disasters*, 43, pp.5368–5387, doi:10.1111/disa.12339.
- Ulrichs, M., Costella, C., Holmes, R., Spano, F. and Ocampo, A., 2019. Managing climate risks through social protection: reducing rural poverty and building resilient agricultural livelihoods. *FAO/RCCISBN 978-9251318843*.
- Umamaheswari, T., et al., 2021: Vulnerability assessment of coastal fishing communities for building resilience and adaptation: evidences from Tamil Nadu, India. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 123, pp.114–130, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2021.05.009.
- UNEP, 2013: *Fisheries & Aquaculture*. United Nations Environment Programme, Geneva, Switzerland, 89–117.
- Upreti, R., Z.-H. Wang and J. Yang, 2017: Radiative shading effect of urban trees on cooling the regional built environment. *Urban For. Urban Green.*, 26, 18–24, doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2017.05.008.
- Valdivia, C., C. Barbieri and M.A. Gold, 2012: *Between Forestry and Farming: Policy and Environmental Implications of the Barriers to Agroforestry Adoption*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 155–175.
- Valenti, W.C., J.M. Kimpara, B. d. L. Preto and P. Moraes-Valenti, 2018: Indicators of sustainability to assess aquaculture systems, *Ecological indicators*, 88, 402–413. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.12.068
- van den Brandeler, F., J. Gupta and M. Hordijk, 2019: Megacities and rivers: scalar mismatches between urban water management and river basin management. *J. Hydrol.*, 573, 1067–1074, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.01.001.
- van der Roest, E., et al., 2021: The impact of system integration on system costs of a neighborhood energy and water system. *Energies*, 14(9), doi:10.3390/en14092616.
- van Hooff, T., B. Blocken, H.J.P. Timmermans and J.L.M. Hensen, 2016: Analysis of the predicted effect of passive climate adaptation measures on energy demand for cooling and heating in a residential building. *Energy*, 94, 811–820, doi:10.1016/j.energy.2015.11.036.
- Van Hulst, F.J. and H. Posthumus, 2016: Understanding (non-) adoption of conservation agriculture in Kenya using the reasoned action approach, *Land Use Policy*, 56, 303–314, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.03.002

- Van Langevelde, F. et al., 2020: *The Link Between Biodiversity Loss and the Increasing Spread of Zoonotic Diseases*. Policy Department for Economic, Scientific and Quality of Life Policies, Directorate-General for Internal Policies, European Parliament.
- Van Praag, L., 2021: Can I move or can I stay? Applying a life course perspective on immobility when facing gradual environmental changes in Morocco. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **31**, 100274, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2021.100274.
- van Vliet, M.T.H., J. Sheffield, D. Wiberg and E.F. Wood, 2016: Impacts of recent drought and warm years on water resources and electricity supply worldwide. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **11**(12), 124021, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/12/124021.
- VandenBygaart, A.J., 2016: The myth that no-till can mitigate global climate change. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **216**, 98–99, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2015.09.013.
- Varela-Ortega, C., et al., 2016: How can irrigated agriculture adapt to climate change? Insights from the Guadiana Basin in Spain. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **16**, 59–70, doi:10.1007/s10113-014-0720-y.
- Vaughan, C., et al., 2019: Evaluating agricultural weather and climate services in Africa: evidence, methods, and a learning agenda. *WIREs Clim. Change*, **10**(4), e586, doi:10.1002/wcc.586.
- Venkataraman, C., S. Ghosh and M. Kandlikar, 2016: Breaking out of the box: India and climate action on short-lived climate pollutants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **50**, 12527–12529, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b05246.
- Venter, Z.S., N.H. Krog and D.N. Barton, 2020: Linking green infrastructure to urban heat and human health risk mitigation in Oslo, Norway. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **709**, 136193, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136193.
- Venter, Z.S., et al., 2020: Green Apartheid: urban green infrastructure remains unequally distributed across income and race geographies in South Africa. *Landsc. Urban Plan.*, **203**(0349), 103889, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.103889.
- Verchot, L.V., et al., 2007: Climate change: linking adaptation and mitigation through agroforestry. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.*, **12**(5), 901–918, doi:10.1007/s11027-007-9105-6.
- Verschuuren, J., 2013: *Research Handbook on Climate Change Adaptation Law*. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, UK.
- Villamayor-Tomas, S. and G. García-López, 2017: The influence of community-based resource management institutions on adaptation capacity: A large-n study of farmer responses to climate and global market disturbances. *Glob. Environ. Change*, **47**, 153–166, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.10.002.
- Villamizar, A., et al., 2017: Climate adaptation in South America with emphasis in coastal areas: the state-of-the-art and case studies from Venezuela and Uruguay. *Clim. Dev.*, **9**(4), 364–382, doi:10.1080/17565529.2016.1146120.
- Villeneuve, M., 2021: Building a roadmap for inclusive disaster risk reduction in Australian communities. *Prog. Disaster Sci.*, **10**, 100166, doi:10.1016/j.pdisas.2021.100166.
- Vincent, K., M. Daly, C. Scannell and B. Leathes, 2018: What can climate services learn from theory and practice of co-production? *Clim. Serv.*, **12**, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2018.11.001.
- Viviescas, C., et al., 2019: Contribution of variable renewable energy to increase energy security in Latin America: complementarity and climate change impacts on wind and solar resources. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, **113**, 109232, doi:10.1016/j.rser.2019.06.039.
- von Holle, B., S. Yelenik and E.S. Gornish, 2020: Restoration at the landscape scale as a means of mitigation and adaptation to climate change. *Curr. Landsc. Ecol. Rep.*, **5**, 85–97, doi:10.1007/s40823-020-00056-7.
- Voss, R.C., 2021: On- and non-farm adaptation in Senegal: understanding differentiation and drivers of farmer strategies. *Clim. Dev.*, **14**(1), 52–66, doi:10.1080/17565529.2021.1881424
- Vousdoukas, M.I., et al., 2016: Projections of extreme storm surge levels along Europe. *Clim. Dyn.*, **47**, 3171–3190, doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3019-5.
- Vuichard, P., A. Stauch and R. Wüstenhagen, 2021: Keep it local and low-key: social acceptance of alpine solar power projects. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, **138**, 110516, doi:10.1016/j.rser.2020.110516.
- Wachsmuth, D., D.A. Cohen and H. Angelo, 2016: Expand the frontiers of urban sustainability. *Nature*, **536**, 391–393, doi:10.1038/536391a.
- Walsh, C., 2019: Integration of expertise or collaborative practice? Coastal management and climate adaptation at the Wadden Sea. *Ocean Coast Manag.*, **167**, 78–86, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2018.10.004.
- Wamsler, C. and Å. Johannessen, 2020: Meeting at the crossroads? Developing national strategies for disaster risk reduction and resilience: relevance, scope for, and challenges to, integration. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, **45**, 101452, doi:10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101452.
- Wan, J., et al., 2016: Income Diversification: a Strategy for Rural Region Risk Management. *Sustainability*, **8**(10), 1064, doi:10.3390/su8101064.
- Wang, X., et al., 2020: Cutting carbon footprints of vegetable production with integrated soil – crop system management: a case study of greenhouse pepper production, 120158. Elsevier Ltd.
- Warner, K., 2018: Coordinated approaches to large-scale movements of people: contributions of the Paris Agreement and the Global Compacts for migration and on refugees. *Popul. Environ.*, **39**(4), 384–401, doi:10.1007/s11111-018-0299-1.
- Warnken, J. and R. Mosadeghi, 2018: Challenges of implementing integrated coastal zone management into local planning policies, a case study of Queensland, Australia. *Marine Policy*, **91**, 75–84, doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2018.01.031.
- Waryszak, P., et al., 2021: Combining gray and green infrastructure to improve coastal resilience: lessons learnt from hybrid flood defenses. *Coast. Eng. J.* **63**(3) doi:10.1080/21664250.2021.1920278.
- Watanabe, T., A.C. Byers, M.A. Somos-Valenzuela and D.C. McKinney, 2016: *The Need for Community Involvement in Glacial Lake Field Research: The Case of Imja Glacial Lake, Khumbu, Nepal Himalaya*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, 235–250.
- Watari, T., 2021: Sustainable energy transitions require enhanced resource governance. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **312**, 2021–2312, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127698.
- Watts, N., et al., 2021: The 2020 report of The Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: responding to converging crises. *Lancet*, **397**(10269), 129–170, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)32290-x.
- Weatherdon, L.V., et al., 2016: Observed and Projected Impacts of Climate Change on Marine Fisheries, Aquaculture, Coastal Tourism, and Human Health: An Update. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **3**, 48, doi:10.3389/fmars.2016.00048.
- Webb, J., 2020: What difference does disaster risk reduction make? Insights from Vanuatu and tropical cyclone Pam. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **20**, doi:10.1007/s10113-020-01584-y.
- Webber, S., 2017: Circulating climate services: commercializing science for climate change adaptation in Pacific Islands. *Geoforum*, **85**, 82–91, doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.07.009.
- Weber, E., 2017: Trade agreements, labour mobility and climate change in the Pacific Islands. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **17**(4), 1089–1101, doi:10.1007/s10113-016-1047-7.
- Wei, D., L. Fang, M. Tang and C.-G. Zhan, 2013: Fundamental reaction pathway for peptide metabolism by proteasome: insights from first-principles quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical free energy calculations. *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, **117**(43), 13418–13434, doi:10.1021/jp405337v.
- Wekesah, F.M., E.N. Mutua and C.O. Izugbara, 2019: Gender and conservation agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Int. J. Agric. Sustain.*, **17**(1), 78–91, doi:10.1080/14735903.2019.1567245.
- Weng, W., L. Costa, M.K.B. Lüdeke and D.C. Zemp, 2019: Aerial river management by smart cross-border reforestation. *Land Use Policy*, **84**, 105–113, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.03.010 .
- Wheatley, S., B.K. Sovacool and D. Sornette, 2016: Reassessing the safety of nuclear power. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.*, **15**, 96–100, doi:10.1016/j.erss.2015.12.026.
- White, R.J. and O. Razgour, 2020: Emerging zoonotic diseases originating in mammals: a systematic review of effects of anthropogenic land-use change. *Mamm. Rev.*, **50**(4), 336–352, doi:10.1111/mam.12201.

- Whitney, C.K., et al., 2020: "Like the plains people losing the buffalo": perceptions of climate change impacts, fisheries management, and adaptation actions by Indigenous peoples in coastal British Columbia, Canada. *Ecol. Soc.*, **25**(4), doi:10.5751/ES-12027-250433.
- Wiegel, H., I. Boas and J. Warner, 2019: A mobilities perspective on migration in the context of environmental change. *WIREs Clim. Change*, **10**(6), e610, doi:10.1002/wcc.610.
- Williams, A.T.T., N. Rangel-Buitrago, E. Pranzini and G. Anfuso, 2018: The management of coastal erosion. *Ocean Coast. Manag.* **156**, 4–20, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.03.022
- Williams, D.S., M.M. Costa, L. Celliers and C. Sutherland, 2018: Informal Settlements and Flooding: Identifying Strengths and Weaknesses in Local Governance for Water Management. *Water*, **10**(7), 871, doi:10.3390/w10070871.
- Williams, D.S., et al., 2020: A method of assessing user capacities for effective climate services. *Clim. Serv.*, **19**, 100180, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2020.100180.
- Williams, D.S., S. Rosendo, O. Sadasing and L. Celliers, 2020: Identifying local governance capacity needs for implementing climate change adaptation in Mauritius. *Clim. Policy*, **20**(5), 548–562, doi:10.1080/14693062.2020.1745743.
- Williams, P.A., et al., 2021: Feasibility assessment of climate change adaptation options across Africa: an evidence-based review. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **16**(17), 073004, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ac092d.
- Wilmsen, B. and M. Webber, 2015: What can we learn from the practice of development-forced displacement and resettlement for organised resettlements in response to climate change? *Geoforum*, **58**, 76–85, doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.10.016.
- Wilson, N.J., 2014: *The Politics of Adaptation: Subsistence Livelihoods and Vulnerability to Climate Change in the Koyukon Athabaskan Village of Ruby, Alaska*. Springer, New York, 87–101. LLC.
- Winters, M.A., B. Leslie, E.B. Sloane and T.W. Gallien, 2020: Observations and Preliminary Vulnerability Assessment of a Hybrid Dune-Based Living Shoreline. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **8**(11), 920; doi:10.3390/jmse8110920
- Wise, R.M., et al., 2016: How climate compatible are livelihood adaptation strategies and development programs in rural Indonesia?. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **12**, 100–114, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2015.11.001.
- Wong-Parodi, G., 2020: When climate change adaptation becomes a "looming threat" to society: exploring views and responses to California wildfires and public safety power shutoffs. *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.*, **70**, 101757, doi:10.1016/j.erss.2020.101757.
- Woodhouse, E. and J.T. McCabe, 2018: Well-being and conservation: diversity and change in visions of a good life among the Maasai of northern Tanzania. *Ecol. Soc.*, **23**(1), 43, doi:10.5751/ES-09986-230143
- Woodruff, S., T.K. BenDor and A.L. Strong, 2018: Fighting the inevitable: infrastructure investment and coastal community adaptation to sea level rise. *Syst. Dyn. Rev.*, **34**(1-2), 48–77, doi:10.1002/sdr.1597.
- Worboys, G.L., et al. (ed.), 2016: *Advanced Draft, Connectivity Conservation Area Guidelines*. IUCN, 28 Rue Mauverney, Gland, Switzerland, 79 pp.
- World Health Organization, 2018: WHO Health and Climate Change Survey Report: Tracking Global Progress. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Woroniecki, S., C. Wamsler and E. Boyd, 2019: The promises and pitfalls of ecosystem-based adaptation to climate change as a vehicle for social empowerment. *Ecol. Soc.*, **24**(2), doi:10.5751/ES-10854-240204.
- Wrathall, D.J., 2012: Migration amidst social-ecological regime shift: the search for stability in Garifuna villages of northern Honduras. *Hum. Ecol.*, **40**(4), 583–596, doi:10.1007/S10745-012-9501-8.
- Wrathall, D.J., et al., 2019: Meeting the looming policy challenge of sea-level change and human migration. *Nat. Clim. Change*, **9**(12), 898–901, doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0640-4.
- Wreford, A. and C.F.E. Topp, 2020: Impacts of climate change on livestock and possible adaptations: a case study of the United Kingdom. *Agric. Syst.*, **178**, 102737, doi:10.1016/j.agry.2019.102737.
- Wu, X.D., et al., 2019: Water footprint of thermal power in China: implications from the high amount of industrial water use by plant infrastructure of coal-fired generation system. *Energy Policy*, **132**, 452–461, doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.049.
- Xu, W., J. Zhao, T. Zhao and Z. Wang, 2015: Adaptive reservoir operation model incorporating nonstationary inflow prediction. *J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag.*, **141**(8), 4014099, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000502.
- Xuan, B.B. and E.D. Sandorf, 2020: Potential for Sustainable Aquaculture: Insights from Discrete Choice Experiments. *Environ. Resour. Econ.* **77**, 401–421.
- Xuan, B.B., E.D. Sandorf and Q.T.K. Ngoc, 2021: Stakeholder perceptions towards sustainable shrimp aquaculture in Vietnam. *J. Environ. Manage.* **290**, 112585, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112585.
- Yadav, G.S., et al., 2019: Conservation tillage and nutrient management effects on productivity and soil carbon sequestration under double cropping of rice in north eastern region of India. *Ecol. Indic.*, **105**, 303–315, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.08.071.
- Yalew, S.G., et al., 2020: Impacts of climate change on energy systems in global and regional scenarios. *Nat. Energy*, **5**(10), 794–802, doi:10.1038/s41560-020-0664-z.
- Yamaguchi, K. and T. Ihara, 2020: Countermeasures to urban heat island considering urban energy usage. In: *Building in Hot and Humid Regions: Historical Perspective and Technological Advances* [Enteria, N., H. Awbi and M. Santamouris(eds.)]. Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp. 15–57. ISBN 978-9811375194.
- Yan, Y., X. Liu and Y. Wen, 2020: Quantification of the relationship among cropland area, cropland management measures, and cropland productivity using panel data model. *Int. J. Plant Prod.*, **14**, 689–702, doi:10.1007/s42106-020-00113-5.
- Yates, O.E.T., S. Manuela, A. Neef and S. Groot, 2021: Reshaping ties to land: a systematic review of the psychosocial and cultural impacts of Pacific climate-related mobility. *Clim. Dev.*, 1–18, doi:10.1080/17565529.2021.1911775
- Yazdi, J., et al., 2013: Optimal prioritisation of watershed management measures for flood risk mitigation on a watershed scale. *J. Flood Risk Manage.*, **6**(4), 372–384, doi:10.1111/jfr3.12016.
- Yeboah, A.S., T.Y. Baah-Ennumh and M. Okumah, 2020: Understanding the economic, socio-cultural, and environmental impacts of resettlement projects. *Afr. Geogr. Rev.*, **41**(1), 71–92, doi:10.1080/19376812.2020.1850299
- Yousefpoor, R., et al., 2017: A framework for modeling adaptive forest management and decision making under climate change. *Ecol. Soc.*, **22**(4), doi:10.5751/ES-09614-220440.
- Yu, X. and P.W. Gillis, 2014: Do hazard mitigation and preparedness reduce physical damage to businesses in disasters? Critical role of business disaster planning. *Nat. Hazards Rev.*, **15**, 4014007, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)NH.1527-6996.0000137.
- Yumagulova, L., et al., 2021: The role of disaster volunteering in Indigenous communities. *Environ. Hazards*, **20**(1), 45–62, doi:10.1080/17477891.2019.1657791.
- Zardo, L., D. Geneletti, M. Pérez-Soba and M. Van Eupen, 2017: Estimating the cooling capacity of green infrastructures to support urban planning. *Ecosyst. Serv.*, **26**, 225–235, doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2017.06.016.
- Zen, I.S., A.Q. Al-Amin and B. Doberstein, 2019: Mainstreaming climate adaptation and mitigation policy: towards multi-level climate governance in Melaka, Malaysia. *Urban Climate*, **30**, 100501, doi:10.1016/j.uclim.2019.100501.
- Zhang, C., L. Zhong and J. Wang, 2018: Decoupling between water use and thermolectric power generation growth in China. *Nature Energy*, **3**, 792–799, doi:10.1038/s41560-018-0236-7.
- Zhang, Y., et al., 2020: The 2020 special report of the MJA–Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: lessons learnt from Australia's "Black Summer". *Med. J. Aust.*, **213**(11), 490–492.e410, doi:10.5694/mja2.50869.

- Zhang, Z., S. Meerow, J.P. Newell and M. Lindquist, 2019: Enhancing landscape connectivity through multifunctional green infrastructure corridor modeling and design. *Urban For. Urban Green.*, **38**, 305–317, doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2018.10.014.
- Zhao, Z., et al., 2020: Responses of soil water, nitrate and yield of apple orchard to integrated soil management in Loess Plateau, China. *Agric. Water Manag.*, **240**, 106325, doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106325.
- Zhou, Y., M. Panteli, B. Wang and P. Mancarella, 2020: Quantifying the System-Level Resilience of Thermal Power Generation to Extreme Temperatures and Water Scarcity. *IEEE Syst. J.*, **14**(1), 749–759, doi:10.1109/JSYST.2019.2938332.
- Ziba, V., 2018: Climate change, an unwelcome legacy: the need to support children's rights to participate in global conversations. *Child. Youth Environ.*, **28**(1), 104–114.
- Zickgraf, C., 2019: Keeping People in Place: Political Factors of (Im)mobility and Climate Change. *Soc. Sci.*, **8**(8), 228, doi: 10.3390/socsci8080228.
- Zomer, R.J., D.A. Bossio, R. Sommer and L.V. Verchot, 2017: Global sequestration potential of increased organic carbon in cropland soils. *Sci. Rep.*, **7**, 1–8, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-15794-8.
- Zwierzchowska, I., et al., 2019: Introducing nature-based solutions into urban policy – facts and gaps. Case study of Poznań. *Land Use Policy*, **85**, 161–175, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.03.025.