Cross-Chapter Paper 6: Polar Regions
Supplementary Material

Cross-Chapter Paper Leads: Andrew J. Constable (Australia), Sherilee Harper (Canada)

Cross-Chapter Paper Authors: Jackie Dawson (Canada), Kirstin Holsman (USA), Tero Mustonen (Finland), Dieter Piepenburg (Germany), Björn Rost (Germany)

Cross-Chapter Paper Contributing Authors: Stef Bokhorst (The Netherlands), Julia Boike (Germany), Ashlee Cunsolo (Canada), Chris Derksen (Canada), Pauliina Feodoroff (Finland), James D. Ford (United Kingdom/Canada), Stephen E.L. Howell (Canada), Angélica Casanova Katny (Chile), Joanna Petrasek MacDonald (Canada), Åshild Ønvik Pedersen (Norway), Sharon Robinson (Australia), Dalee Sambo Dorough (USA), Vyacheslav Shadrin (Russian Federation), Mette Skern-Mauritzen (Norway), Sharon Smith (Canada), Dmitry Streletskiy (USA/Russian Federation), Megumu Tsujimoto (Japan), Brie Van Dam (USA)

Cross-Chapter Paper Review Editor: Philip Boyd (Australia)

Cross-Chapter Paper Scientist: David Babb (Canada)

Date of Draft: 1 October 2021

Notes: TSU Compiled Version

Table of Contents

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

SMCCP6.1 Climate Impact Drivers (Hazards) for Polar Regions

Table SMCCP6.1: Estimates of change in climatic impact drivers in the Arctic and Antarctic to support Figure CPP6. Figures taken from the WGI-AR6 Interactive Atlas (https://interactive-atlas.ipcc.ch. Scenarios are grouped as *SSP1-2.6*, *SSP5-85*. Global Warming Levels for each scenario group in each period are shown in parentheses below scenarios. WGI reference regions for the estimates - Arctic: Arctic Ocean, Russian Arctic, NW North America, NE North America, Greenland/Iceland; Antarctic: Southern Ocean, East Antarctica, West Antarctica; CMIP6-projected median annual changes against the 1850–1900 baseline (in parentheses: P5–P95)); GWL – Global Warming Level). Only climatic impact drivers with estimates from the Atlas are shown.

		Projected changes (WGI-AR6 Interactive Atlas)					
		Near-term (2021–2040)		**Medium-term (2041–2060)**		**Long-term (2081-2100)**	
Climatic Impact Driver	**Region**	***SSP1-2.6*** (1.5°C)	***SSP5-8.5*** (1.5°C)	***SSP1-2.6*** (2°C)	***SSP5-8.5*** (3°C)	***SSP1-2.6*** (2°C)	***SSP5-8.5*** (4°C)
Sea level (relative m)	Arctic	0.1 (0.0 – 0.2)	0.1 (0.0 – 0.2)	0.2 (0.0 – 0.4)	0.2 (0.0 – 0.5)	0.3 (0.0 – 0.7)	0.5 (0.1 – 1.0)
	Antarctic	0.1 (0.0 – 0.2)	0.1 (0.0 – 0.2)	0.2 (0.0 – 0.3)	0.2 (0.1 – 0.3)	0.3 (0.1 – 0.5)	0.5 (0.2 – 0.8)
Sea-surface temperature (°C)	Arctic	**0.9 °C** (0.3–1.8)	**1.0 °C** (0.3–1.6)	**1.1 °C** (0.3–2.29	**1.6 °C** (0.6–2.8)	**1.4 °C** (0.3–2.7)	**3.7 °C** (1.5–6.6)
	Southern Ocean:	**0.6 °C** (0.2–1.0)	**0.7 °C** (0.3–1.0)	**0.8 °C** (0.3–1.1)	**1.0 °C** (0.4–1.5)	**0.8 °C** (0.3–1.5)	**2.0 °C** (1.0–3-1)
Sea-ice cover	Arctic	**-11.1%** (-12.5 – -5.2)	**-12.3%** (-19.5 – -5.3)	**-14.3%** (-21.8 – -7.0)	**-19.4%** (-31.0– -9.8)	**-16.0%** (-27.6 – -6.9)	**-37.0%** (-53.0 – -21.5)
	Southern Ocean	**-4.2%** (-8.3 – -0.5)	**-4.4%** (-8.5 – -0.3)	**-4.7%** (-8.7 – -0.1)	**-6.1%** (-11.4 – -0.3)	**-4.9%** (-10.7 – -0.1)	**-11.2%** (-19.6 – -1.7)
	West Antarctic	**-8.4%** (-19.5 – -1.3)	**-8.7%** (-18.1 – -0.5)	**-9.7%** (-22.6 – -0.8)	**-13.8%** (-28.4 – -1.8)	**-10.5%** (-27.9 – -0.5)	**-28.0%** (-58.2 – -6.1)
Ocean surface pH	Arctic	**-0.2** (-0.2 – -0.2)	**-0.2** (-0.2 – -0.2)	**-0.2** (-0.3 – -0.2)	**-0.3** (-0.3 – -0.3)	**-0.2** (-0.3 – -0.2)	**-0.6** (-0.6 – -0.5)
	Antarctic	**-0.1** (-0.1 – -0.1)	**-0.1** (-0.2 – -0.1)	**-0.2** (-0.2 – -0.1)	**-0.2** (-0.2 – -0.2)	**-0.1** (-0.2 – -0.1)	**-0.5** (-0.5 – -0.4)
Atmospheric temperature (°C)	Arctic	**3.5 °C** (2.1–5.5)	**3.8 °C** (2.2–5.9)	**4.2 °C** (2.3–6.5)	**5.6 °C** (3.5–8.2)	**4.6 °C** (2.4–7.4)	**10.3 °C** (7.1–14.4)
	Antarctic *All*	**1.4 °C** (0.6–2.0)	**1.4 °C** (0.6–2.2)	**1.6 °C** (0.6–2.3)	**2.1** (0.9–3.1)	**1.7 °C** (0.6–3.0)	**4.0 °C** (1.9–5.9)
	West Antarctic	**1.9 °C** (0.8–3.3)	**2.0 °C** (0.7–3.5)	**2.3 °C** (0.8–3.6)	**3.0 °C** (1.5–5.2)	**2.3 °C** (0.8–4.1)	**5.6 °C** (2.6–9.1)
	East Antarctic	**1.8°C** (0.9–2.5)	**1.9 °C** (1.0–2.7)	**2.1 °C** (0.9–3.0)	**2.8 °C** (1.5–4.1)	**2.3 °C** (0.8–3.7)	**5.3 °C** (3.0–8.0)

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Precipitation	Arctic	**11.1%** (6.3–19.2)	**11.6%** (5.8–19.7)	**13.4%** 7.1–23.2%)	**17.4%** (9.5–29.1)	**15.1%** (8.0–29.0)	**33.8%** (19.8–53.7)
	Antarctic	**5.2%** (2.3–7.9)	**5.3%** (1.9–8.0)	**6.3%** (2.5–9.6)	**7.9%** (3.3–11.7)	**6.9%** (2.7–11.5)	**15.4%** (7.5–22.2)
Snowfall (mm/day)	Arctic	**-0.6** (-1.1 – -0.2)	**-0.6** (-1.1 – -0.3)	**-0.7** (-1.3 – -0.3)	**-0.9** (-1.4 – -0.4)	**-0.2** (-0.3 – -0.2)	**-1.7** (-2.5 – -0.9)
	Antarctic	**1.9** (0.3 – 4.0)	**1.9** (0.4 – 4.0)	**2.1** (0.5 – 4.2)	**2.6** (0.5 – 5.4)	**2.1** (0.5 – 4.1)	**4.0** (0.4 – 8.6)

SMCCP6.2 SROCC Summaries of Human Dimensions

Table SMCCP6.2: Summary of observed impacts and projected risks of climate change for human dimensions identified in Sections 3.2.4, 3.4.3 and Box 3.3 in Chapter 3 of the IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (Meredith et al., 2019).

		Affected system	**Hazard > Cascading Effect**	**Observed Impacts and Projected Risks**
Arctic				
Food, fibre & ecosystem products	Impacts	Food	> northern ecosystems > travel conditions	Food insecurity rising for Indigenous peoples - impacting access to hunting grounds, resulting in subsistence and culturally important food species may no longer be accessible or in familiar areas (*high confidence*)
	Risks	Commercial fish & shellfish	Warming	Spatial distribution/productivity of some marine species changing under most climate change scenarios (*medium confidence*)(Table CCP6.2) – impacts on distribution and economic viability of commercial fisheries (*high confidence*)
Economic activities	Impacts	Shipping	Sea ice	Activity during the summer increased over the past two decades in regions with less sea ice (*high confidence*), enabling increased marine and cruise tourism (*high confidence*), but resulting in increased accidents, ship noise and air pollution, and disruption of subsistence hunting
	Impacts	Roads	Warming	Ice roads are being impacted in winter
	Risks	Travel	Landfast sea ice	Coastal communities will be impacted by reduction of landfast sea ice, which facilitates travel in winter (*high confidence*)
Settlements & communities,	Risk	Infrastructure	Permafrost	Structural stability and functional capacities of infrastructure located on ice rich frozen ground are threatened
Human health & wellness	Impacts	Culture	Warming	Culturally important time on land and sea, and place attachment, for Indigenous communities are being impacted, disrupting inter-generational knowledge transmission/use, affecting individual and collective mental/emotional health, and spiritual and social vitality and autonomy
	Impacts	Disease	Climate	Foodborne and waterborne disease are of increasing concern in the Arctic
	Impacts	Diet	Climate	Reduced food security along with globalisation of food, impacting dietary health of Indigenous communities

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Antarctic				
Food, fibre & ecosystem products	Risk	Krill	Warming	Effects on krill will occur in the areas currently most important for the Antarctic krill fishery (Scotia Sea, northern Antarctic Peninsula) but changes in the fishery are expected to be driven by global issues external to the Southern Ocean
Economic activities	Impacts	Shipping	Warming	Reductions in sea ice increasing accessibility of marine and cruise tourism opportunities in Antarctic Peninsula (*high confidence*), which pose risks and opportunities to natural systems and people

SMCCP6.3 Adaptation to Climatic Risks for Fishing Communities

Table SMCCP6.3: Examples and approaches for climate change adaptation in fisheries.

Type / category		Summary	Reference
EBM	Decision making and management	Ecosystem based fisheries management forestalls declines and stabilizes some fisheries under climate change but does not prevent collapse under RCP8.5 (occurs after 20505)	(Holsman et al., 2020; Reum et al., 2020)
EBM	Decision making and management	Using EBM to incorporate uncertainty around the ecology of krill and the ecosystems they support can improve management of the krill fishery. Inclusion of climate risks in decision rules for setting catch limits in Antarctic fisheries.	(Constable et al., 2017; Meyer et al., 2020)
Sustainable intensification	Decision making and management	Global analyses suggest that effective management and sustainable intensification of fisheries through increased efficiency and optimized policies have the potential to offset many climate-driven declines in yield in moderate to high mitigation scenarios but risk is remains higher under RCP8.5 than 2.6, and both are higher relative to status quo.	(Cheung et al., 2018; Gaines et al., 2018; Free et al., 2020)
Transbounday /conflict	Decision making and management	Transboundary and novel opportunities will increase potential for conflict in fisheries.	(Pentz and Klenk, 2017; Pentz et al., 2018; Pinsky et al., 2018; Mendenhall et al., 2020; Palacios-Abrantes et al., 2020)
Fisheries management approaches	Decision making and management	Rights based fisheries are most sustainable in stationary conditions but low diversity in harvest portfolios can increase climate change risk, especially to climate shocks.	(Kasperski and Holland, 2013; Ojea et al., 2017)
Fisheries management approaches	Decision making and management	Adaptive co-management is key for climate readiness in fisheries.	(Wilson et al., 2018)
Resilience through diversification	Individual or community-level adaptation	Flexibility and diversification (income and food security) underpin resilience to climate shocks in coastal communities. Increasing value of a declining resource can create a "gilded trap" that locks fishers into a declining population and eventual collapse	(Fisher et al., 2021)

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Participatory decision making	Decision making/ management	Inclusive and participatory decision making underpins long-term resilience to climate change, but high cost of participation can disproportionately favor entities with strong investment and ample resources and may contribute to lock-in/maladaptation.	(Lynham et al., 2017)
Regional management adaptation/planning	Decision making/ management	Perceptions of change and impact increase with proximity to social ecological system (based on evaluation of differences between tribal and non-tribal resource managers in Arctic AK (North Slope)	(Blair and Kofinas, 2020)
Regional management adaptation/planning	Decision making/ management	Regional fisheries management largely practices "business as usual" and only 2of 17 regional plans explicitly include climate change in management plan; Few observations of fisheries management climate adaptation planning or action.	(Lindegren and Brander, 2018; Sumby et al., 2021)
Regional management adaptation/planning	Decision making/ management	RFMOs are likely not to change so to address the " responsiveness gap" between climate impacts and management response there is a need to increase the speed of climate informed scientific advice to decision makers. Critical elements necessary for regional fisheries management organizations (RFMOs) to address climate change they need "1) timely and accurate climate change science and advice, 2) monitoring and enforcement, 3) increase in MPAs, and 4) political analysis of the decision making process." Emphasizes importance of international treaties and agreements.	(Pentz and Klenk, 2017; Pentz et al., 2018)
	IK, co management, and MPAs	Inuvialuit Indigenous knowledge provides critical understanding of Beluga whale population dynamics and climate change impacts.	(Loseto et al., 2018)
	IK and comanagement	The importance of Indigenous co-management and bridging of Indigenous and western knowledge systems to manage living marine resources and prepare and respond to climate change.	(Raymond-Yakoubian et al., 2017; Raymond-Yakoubian and Daniel, 2018)

Table SMCCP6.4: Climate change and mental health risks in the Arctic: **e**vidence supporting the pathways through which climate change increases mental health risks (via hazard, exposure, and vulnerability) in the Arctic.

Risk	References
Vulnerability	
Indigenous identity	(Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013a; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013b; Petrasek MacDonald et al., 2013; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2014; Durkalec et al., 2015; Harper et al., 2015; Ostapchuk et al., 2015; Clayton et al., 2017; Cunsolo and Ellis, 2018; Markon et al., 2018; Council of Canadian Academies, 2019; ITK, 2019; Minor et al., 2019; Middleton et al., 2020a; Middleton et al., 2020b)
Socio-economic inequities	(Markon et al., 2018; ITK, 2019)
Reliance on land-based livelihoods	(Furberg et al., 2011; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2012; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013a; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013b; Durkalec et al., 2015; Harper et al., 2015; Ostapchuk et al., 2015; Clayton et al., 2017; Cunsolo and Ellis, 2018; Dodd et al., 2018; Jaakkola et al., 2018; Jantarasami et al., 2018; Markon et al., 2018; Council of Canadian Academies, 2019; Minor et al., 2019; Middleton et al., 2020a)

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Pre-existing health conditions (e.g. chronic physical and mental health conditions)	(Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013a; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2014; Clayton et al., 2017; Minor et al., 2019)
Lack of access to health sustaining resources	(Furberg et al., 2011; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013a; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2014; Durkalec et al., 2015; Harper et al., 2015; Jaakkola et al., 2018; Jantarasami et al., 2018; Kowalczewski and Klein, 2018)
Gender (genders differentially, yet equitably, affected)	(Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013a; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013b; Harper et al., 2015; Ostapchuk et al., 2015; Jaakkola et al., 2018; Markon et al., 2018; Middleton et al., 2020a)
Age (e.g. youth and Elders particulary at risk)	(Petrasek MacDonald et al., 2013; Ostapchuk et al., 2015; Petrasek MacDonald et al., 2015; Clayton et al., 2017; Jaakkola et al., 2018; Kowalczewski and Klein, 2018; Middleton et al., 2020a)
Hazards	
Acute events (e.g. storms, floods, wildfires)	(Cunsolo and Ellis, 2018; Dodd et al., 2018; Jaakkola et al., 2018; Jantarasami et al., 2018; Council of Canadian Academies, 2019; ITK, 2019; Middleton et al., 2020a)
Chronic changes (e.g. sea ice loss, sea level-rise, coastal erosion, permafrost melting, rising temperatures, changing seasonal and environmental norms, changes in wildlife & vegetation, change in place	(Furberg et al., 2011; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2012; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013a; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013b; Petrasek MacDonald et al., 2013; Durkalec et al., 2015; Harper et al., 2015; Ostapchuk et al., 2015; Clayton et al., 2017; Jaakkola et al., 2018; Jantarasami et al., 2018; Markon et al., 2018; Council of Canadian Academies, 2019; ITK, 2019; Minor et al., 2019; Middleton et al., 2020a; Middleton et al., 2020b)
Exposure	
Direct exposure(s) (e.g. experiencing an acute or chronic hazard event)	(Furberg et al., 2011; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2012; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013a; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013b; Durkalec et al., 2015; Harper et al., 2015; Ostapchuk et al., 2015; Clayton et al., 2017; Cunsolo and Ellis, 2018; Dodd et al., 2018; Jaakkola et al., 2018; Jantarasami et al., 2018; Markon et al., 2018; Council of Canadian Academies, 2019; ITK, 2019; Minor et al., 2019; Middleton et al., 2020a; Middleton et al., 2020b)
Indirect exposure(s) (e.g. disruptions to food systems, cultural activities, place-based knowledge sharing, and livelihoods; displacement and relocation)	(Furberg et al., 2011; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2012; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013a; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013b; Petrasek MacDonald et al., 2013; Durkalec et al., 2015; Harper et al., 2015; Ostapchuk et al., 2015; Petrasek MacDonald et al., 2015; Clayton et al., 2017; Cunsolo and Ellis, 2018; Dodd et al., 2018; Jaakkola et al., 2018; Jantarasami et al., 2018; Kowalczewski and Klein, 2018; Markon et al., 2018; Council of Canadian Academies, 2019; ITK, 2019; Minor et al., 2019; Middleton et al., 2020a; Middleton et al., 2020b)
Vicarious exposure(s) (e.g. seeing friends and family suffer, mediated experience of climate change; anticipating future changes)	(Furberg et al., 2011; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013b; Cunsolo Willox et al., 2014; Durkalec et al., 2015; Cunsolo and Ellis, 2018; Dodd et al., 2018; Jaakkola et al., 2018; Kowalczewski and Klein, 2018; Middleton et al., 2020a; Middleton et al., 2020b)

SMCCP6.4 Key Risk Development and Analysis

Using the expert opinion of the Cross Chapter Paper 6 author team across a range of expertise, we conducted a rapid risk assessment of sectors by WGI hazards in order to identify potential key risks (Table CCP6.6). Authors were asked to identify the risk of a (climate change) caused increase in a hazard on a given sector

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

for all of North America. These key risks were then evaluated further during the assessment and results of the rapid assessment are in Figure CCP6.4. A subset of case studies from the rapid assessment were evaluated for burning ember diagrams. For each unique combination, the hazard by sector risk was ranked as very high (very high risk & high confidence), high (significant impacts and risk, high to medium confidence), medium (impacts are detectable and attributable to climate change, medium confidence), low/ not detected /positive (risk is low or not detectable). Blank cells are those where the assessment was not applicable or not conducted. This analysis led to the development of seven key risks: KR1: Risk to marine ecosystems and species, KR2: Risks to terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems and species, KR3: Risk to economic activities and infrastructure, KR4: Risk to food and nutritional security, KR5: Risk from increased polar shipping cascading from sea ice change, KR6: Risk to mental health, well-being, and culture of northern and Indigenous Peoples, and KR7: Risk from polar change to global processes (including SLR)

.

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Table SMCCP6.5: Supplemental table for key risk assessment (Table CCP6.6; Figure CCP6.4)

Sector	Citation	Region	Climate scenario	Time period	Hazard score	Vulnerability score	Exposure score	Risk assessment
KR1								
Oceans: Marine species	(Wallhead et al., 2017)			2050–2069		3	3	Moderate
	(Hoppe et al., 2018)		~1000 µatm pCO2 (vs present-day) ~RCP 8.5		1	2	3	Moderate
			~1000 µatm pCO2 (vs present-day) ~RCP 8.5		2	2	3	High
	(Dahlke et al., 2018)	Atlantic sector	RCP 2.6	2100	1	2	1	Undetectable
		Atlantic sector	RCP 4.5	2100	2	2	2	Moderate
		Atlantic sector	RCP 8.5	2100	3	2	3	High
	(Hancock et al., 2020)	Southern Ocean			1	2		Moderate
		Southern Ocean			1	1		Undetectable
		Southern Ocean			1	1		Undetectable
	(Tedesco et al., 2019)		RCP 8.5	2061-2100				High
KR2								
Terrestrial and Freshwater: Freshwater species	(Brattland and Mustonen, 2018)		RCP 8.5					High
	(Pertierra et al., 2017)	Antarctic Peninsula						High
KR3								
Energy Resources: Fossil resources	(Leong and Donner, 2015)	Canada Western Arctic	RCP 2.6	2050	3	2	1	Moderate
		Canada Western Arctic	RCP 4.5	2050	3	2	2	High
		Canada Western Arctic	RCP 8.5	2050	3	1	2	Moderate
		Canada Western Arctic	RCP 2.6	2100	3	1	2	Moderate
		Canada Western Arctic	RCP 4.5	2100	3	2	2	High

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

		Canada Western Arctic	RCP 8.5	2100	3	3	2	High
Terrestrial and Freshwater: Polar ecosystem	(Sakai et al., 2016)	NE Siberia			3	3	3	High
Food and Fibre: Fisheries and aquaculture	(Ksenofontov et al., 2017)	NE Siberia			2	3	2	High
Poverty and Livelihoods: Indigenous traditions		E Siberia			2	2	2	High
KR4								
Food and Fibre: Fisheries and aquaculture	(Thiault et al., 2019); Table CCP6.5; Table SMCCP6.3	US	RCP 2.6	2100	1	1	1	Undetectable
		US	RCP 8.5	2100	1	1	1	Undetectable
		Canada	RCP 2.6	2100	1	2	1	Undetectable
		Canada	RCP 8.5	2100	2	2	1	Moderate
		Greenland	RCP 2.6	2100	3	3	1	High
		Greenland	RCP 8.5	2100	3	3	1	High
		Norway	RCP 2.6	2100	3	3	1	High
		Norway	RCP 8.5	2100	1	3	1	Moderate
		Norway	RCP 2.6	2100	3	3	1	High
		Norway	RCP 8.5	2100	3	3	1	High
Food and Fibre: Crops		US	RCP 2.6	2100	1	1	1	Undetectable
		US	RCP 8.5	2100	1	1	1	Undetectable
		Canada	RCP 2.6	2100	3	1	1	Undetectable
		Canada	RCP 8.5	2100	3	1	1	Undetectable
		Greenland	RCP 2.6	2100	2	1	1	Undetectable
		Greenland	RCP 8.5	2100	2	1	1	Undetectable
		Norway	RCP 2.6	2100	3	1	1	Moderate
		Norway	RCP 8.5	2100	3	1	1	Moderate

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

		Norway	RCP 2.6	2100	3	2	1	High
		Norway	RCP 8.5	2100	3	2	1	High
KR5								
Poverty and Livelihoods: Marine transportation	(Melia et al., 2016)	All Arctic	RCP 2.6	2050	3	1	2	Moderate
		All Arctic	RCP 8.5	2050	3	2	3	High
		All Arctic	RCP 2.6	2100	3	2	3	Moderate
		All Arctic	RCP 8.5	2100	3	3	3	High
	(Khon et al., 2017)	Russian Arctic		2050	3	3	3	High
				2100	1	2	2	Moderate
	(Mudryk et al., 2021)	Arctic Canada	2C above pre-industrial		2	3	3	High
			4C above pre-industrial		2	2	2	Moderate
	(Stephenson et al., 2013)	All Arctic	RCP 2.6	2050	3	3	3	High
			RCP 8.5	2050	2	2	2	Moderate
KR6								
Health and Communities: Morbidity	(Cunsolo Willox et al., 2013b)	Arctic Canada			3		3	High
	(Cunsolo Willox et al., 2012)	Arctic Canada		lifetime of community members particularly 2009-10	3		3	High
		Arctic Canada		lifetime of community members particularly 2009-10	1		3	Moderate
		Arctic Canada		lifetime of community members particularly 2009-10	3		3	High
		Arctic Canada		lifetime of community members particularly 2009-10	2		3	Moderate
		Arctic Canada		lifetime of community members particularly 2009-10	3	2	3	High

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

		Arctic Canada	lifetime of community members particularly 2009-10	3		3	High
		Arctic Canada	lifetime of community members particularly 2009-10	2		3	Moderate
	(Dodd et al., 2018)	Arctic Canada	Lived experiences of 2014 wildfire season	3		3	High
		Arctic Canada	Lived experiences of 2014 wildfire season	3	2	3	High
		Arctic Canada	Lived experiences of 2014 wildfire season	3	3	3	High
		Arctic Canada	Lived experiences of 2014 wildfire season	1		3	Moderate
		Arctic Canada	Lived experiences of 2014 wildfire season	2		3	Moderate
		Arctic Canada	Lived experiences of 2014 wildfire season	1		3	Moderate
	(Durkalec et al., 2015)	Arctic Canada		3		3	High
		Arctic Canada		2		3	Moderate
		Arctic Canada		1		3	Moderate
	(Harper et al., 2015)	Arctic Canada	Lifetime of participants	3	3	3	High
		Arctic Canada	Lifetime of participants	3	3	3	High
		Arctic Canada	Lifetime of participants	3	3	3	High
		Arctic Canada	Lifetime of participants	2	3	3	High
Terrestrial and Freshwater: Polar ecosystem	(Mustonen and Feodoroff, 2020)	Fennoscandia		3	3	3	High
KR7							
	(Cohen et al., 2014)	Global					Moderate
	(Overland et al., 2015)	Global					Moderate
	(Vihma, 2014)	Global					Moderate

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

	(Kretschmer et al., 2018b)	Global		Moderate
	(Kretschmer et al., 2018a)	Global		Moderate
	(Blackport et al., 2019)	Global		Undetectable
	(Zhang et al., 2016)	Global		Moderate

ACCEPTED VERSION
SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

SMCCP6.5 Detailed Methods for Burning Ember Diagrams

The burning embers diagram in Cross Chapter Paper 6 (Polar Regions) (Figure CCP6.5) outline risks associated with climate change as a function of global warming by degrees warming above pre-industrial. The method used to develop the embers was adapted from Zoomers et al. (2020) to include an extensive analysis of key risks and the development of a risk assessment database that helped to reveal appropriate ember focus areas. Once focus areas for ember development were established within the author team a formal expert elicitation protocol based on Zommers et al. (2020) and Oakley and O'Hagen (2016). Gosling et al. (2018) was used to develop threshold judgements on risk transitions. Figure SM CCP6.1 outlines the formal five-step process used to generate the burning ember diagrams.



Figure SMCCP6.1: Expert elicitation process for burning ember development

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Based on chapter team risk assessment and key risks identification protocols (SMCCP 6.5) it was decided that existing literature would enable robust assessments of risks to; 1) sea ice ecosystems, 2) marine mammals, 3) sea birds, 4) fisheries, 5) infrastructure, 6) local mobility, and 7) coastal erosion. All analyses cover the Arctic region and 1-4 also cover the Antarctic region. The author team was unable to make assessments on all features for the Antarctic due to either a lack of relevance or a lack of available literature. Further information on the authors involved and literature reviewed can be found in Table SMCCP6.6. A summary of risk transitions assessments can be found in SM CCP6.7.

Table SMCCP6.6: Authors and references associated with burning embers figure in Cross Chapter Paper 6 (Polar Regions)

Burning Ember	Main Authors Involved	Key References Utilized*
Sea ice ecosystems	Jackie Dawson, Bjoern Rost, Dieter Piepenburg, Kirstin Holsmon, Bjorn David Babb	*Arctic* – (Jahn et al., 2016; Notz and Stroeve, 2016; Sanderson et al., 2017; Jahn, 2018; Loseto et al., 2018; Sigmond et al., 2018; Screen and Deser, 2019; Landrum and Holland, 2020; SIMIP Community, 2020) *Antarctic* - (Bintanja et al., 2015; Roach et al., 2020)
Marine mammals	Andrew Constable, Kirstin Holsman, Bjoern Rost, Dieter Piepenburg, Jackie Dawson	*Arctic* – (Galappaththi et al., 2019; Meredith et al., 2019; Slats et al., 2019; Albouy et al., 2020; Boveng et al., 2020) *Antarctic* - (Hückstädt et al., 2020; Wege et al., 2021)

Sea birds	Andrew Constable, Kristin Holsman, Bjoern Rost, Dieter Piepenburg	*Arctic and Antarctic* – (Gutt et al., 2018; Keogan et al., 2018; Convey and Peck, 2019; Meredith et al., 2019; Bestley et al., 2020; Hindell et al., 2020; Jenouvrier et al., 2020; Kharouba and Wolkovich, 2020; Piatt et al., 2020; Romano et al., 2020; Samplonius et al., 2021)
Fisheries	Kirstin Holsmon, Andrew Constable, Jackie Dawson	*Arctic* – (Holsman et al., 2020; Huntington et al., 2020; Reum et al., 2020) *Antarctic* - (Melbourne-Thomas et al., 2016; Piñones and Fedorov, 2016; WMO and WWRP, 2017; Rogers et al., 2020; Veytia et al., 2020; Sylvester et al., 2021)
Infrastructure	Jackie Dawson, Kirstin Holsmon, Sheri Harper, Julia Boike, Dmitry Streleskiy	*Arctic* – (Perrin et al., 2015; Hjort et al., 2018; Streletskiy et al., 2019; Suter et al., 2019; Gädeke et al., 2021)
Local mobility	Jackie Dawson, Sheri Harper, Gita Ljubicic, Emma Stewart	*Arctic* – (Clark et al., 2016a; Clark et al., 2016b; Clark and Ford, 2017; Dawson et al., 2017; Debortoli et al., 2019; Ford et al., 2019; Haavisto et al., 2020; Stewart et al., 2020)
Coastal erosion	Jackie Dawson, Chris Derksen, Stephen Howell, Merce Casa-Prat	*Arctic* – (Casas-Prat and Wang, 2020a; Casas-Prat and Wang, 2020b)

SMCCP6.5.1 Sea Ice Ecosystems

Sea ice ecosystems are rapidly transforming (Lannuzel et al., 2020) resulting in an unprecedented cumulation of cascading effects that impact almost every sector of environment and society (CCP 6.2.1, 6.2.3, 6.2.4, CCPBox 6.1). Increasing light prentration initiates earlier seasonal primary production, albedo an increased warming, earlier growing season for ice algae and phytoplankton biomass, and changes in health and habitat of sea-ice fauna mega fauna, and fish species. Biophysical changes cascade to socio-economic and cultural systems by impacting safe travel in ice, subsistence hunting, changing economic opportunities, potential for Arctic maritime trade - all of which will lead to additional impacts, risks, and transformations, some of which may be inevitable and irreversible. At current levels of warming, sea ice in the Arctic is already showing clear signs of transformation and reduction in extent, thickness combined with increased mobility are expected to continue. In the Antarctic, sea ice change is more variable and future projections less certain (Bintanja et al., 2015; Jahn et al., 2016; Notz and Stroeve, 2016; Sanderson et al., 2017; Jahn, 2018; Sigmond et al., 2018; Screen and Deser, 2019; Landrum and Holland, 2020; Roach et al., 2020; SIMIP Community, 2020).

SMCCP6.5.2 Marine Mammals

Much of the observed impact on marine mammals in polar regions is linked to sea ice loss (Galappaththi et al., 2019; Meredith et al., 2019; Slats et al., 2019; Boveng et al., 2020). More evidence exists of the impact and risk of climate change for marine mammals in the Arctic compared to the Antarctic where uncertainty remains. Marine mammals respond to changes in the distribution of their preferred habitats and prey by shifting their range and altering timing based on prey shifting (Post et al., 2013; Hamilton et al., 2017; Meredith et al., 2019). For example, Beluga whales in Arctic Canada (*Delphinapterus leucas*) have changed their migration in response to altered sea-ice and other environmental conditions (Loseto et al., 2018). However, endemic marine mammals that are ice-affiliated for breeding sometimes have little scope to move and are at higher risk to climate change (Kovacs et al., 2012; Hamilton et al., 2015). Shifts in distribution and availability of suitable areas for ice-breeding seals have occurred (Bajzak et al., 2011; Boveng et al., 2020) with increases in strandings and pup mortality in years with little ice (Johnston et al., 2012; Soulen et al., 2013; Stenson and Hammill, 2014). Following record low sea-ice in the Bering Sea in 2018 and 2019, ice seal mortality and strandings were 5 times the average number of reported strandings (Boveng et al., 2020). In the Antarctic current projections are unable to determine the behaviour of sea ice relative to the location of prey fields, at least not at the scale of the ecologies of marine mammals and thus it is uncertain when this mismatch might arise. However, we know it has arisen in the Antarctic Peninsula, although it is possible that

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

this may recover to some extent with the recovery of ozone (Hückstädt et al., 2020; Wege et al., 2021). Risk transitions were established by reviewing vulnerability of over 50 species, averaging risk scores under RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 for the Arctic and Antarctic, error bounds and anomalies were considered to come to consensus.

SMCCP6.5.3 Sea Birds

Similar to marine mammals, sea ice loss plays a key role in facilitating climate-related impacts for sea birds and the loss of sea ice facilitates risks for breeding and feeding (Constable et al., 2016; Hunt et al., 2016; Gutt et al., 2018; Convey and Peck, 2019; Meredith et al., 2019; Bestley et al., 2020; Romano et al., 2020). Seabirds generally have low temperature-mediated plasticity of reproductive timing, making them vulnerable to mismatches with their prey and limiting long-term adaptation (Keogan et al., 2018; Kharouba and Wolkovich, 2020; Piatt et al., 2020; Samplonius et al., 2021). Climate-driven population trends include increases for gentoo penguins (*Pygoscelis papua*) but decreases for Adélie (*P. adeliae*), chinstrap (*P. antarctica*), king (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) and emperor (*A. forsteri*) penguins (Meredith et al., 2019). Under 1.5°C global warming above pre-industrial and to a lesser extent under 2°C, the global population decline of emperor penguin colonies around the Antarctic continent would likely be halted by 2060 (Jenouvrier et al., 2020). Foraging areas of sub-Antarctic seabirds will shift southwards (Bestley et al., 2020; Hindell et al., 2020; Hückstädt et al., 2020) with projected sea-ice retreat and associated change in prey distribution (Henley et al., 2020; McCormack, accepted), increasing leading to elevated pressure on populations due to higher foraging costs during the breeding season (Bestley et al., 2020).

SMCCP6.5.4 Fisheries

Risk transition analysis was focused on cod and pollock species in the Bering Sea under scenarios that include status quo Ecosystem Based measures including a limit on total groundfish yields (Holsman et al., 2020). These fisheries represent the largest (pollock) and one of the most valuable (Pacific cod) fisheries in the US. Warming temperatures and change in sea ice, circulation and shifts in trophic pathways to less energy efficient food chains (Hermann et al., 2019; Huntington et al., 2020) were used to drive changes in survival (predation), growth, and recruitment under future scenarios, and subsequent catch. Regional physical and biological changes in Antarctic waters are expected to result in net declines in krill habitat and growth potential, although one study indicates a potential increase (Melbourne-Thomas et al., 2016; Piñones and Fedorov, 2016; WMO and WWRP, 2017; Klein et al., 2018; Rogers et al., 2020; Veytia et al., 2020), but significant regional declines may not be detected until later in the century (Sylvester et al., 2021).

SMCCP6.5.5 Infrastructure

Infrastructure is at risk from a variety of climate change hazards including, SLR, storm surge, permafrost thaw, coastal erosion among others. Impacts have already been observed for sewage systems, municipal buildings, roadways, pipelines, railways, ice roads, and local trails between communities (Calmels et al., 2015; Perrin et al., 2015; Bashaw et al., 2016; Paulin and Caines, 2016; Riedel et al., 2017; Council of Canadian Academies, 2019; Gädeke et al., 2021). Evaluation of risk transitions for infrastructure was based on observed and projected risks from relevant climate hazards to relevant Arctic infrastructure. Consideration of potential adaptation options available including limits to adaptation (i.e. relocation, available technologies, potential for new technologies, existing building codes) were considered during expert evaluation.

SMCCP6.5.6 Local Mobility

Indigenous and northern residents rely on sea ice for local travel between communities and to hunting areas (Ford et al., 2019; Stewart et al., 2020). Risk of injury or mortality is increasing with reductions in sea ice extent, diminishing reliability in Indegenous and local knowledge of sea ice conditions due to rapid changes in ice conditions, and a lack of reliable and locally relevant weather, water, ice, and climate forecasting services (WMO and WWRP, 2017; Haavisto et al., 2020). Risk transitions considered all of these factors and additional data related to search and rescue rates which occur in the greatest frequency around -2 degrees C and during freeze thaw conditions; for example, 80% of SAR occurs between -12C and +6C (Clark et al., 2016a; Clark and Ford, 2017). Changes to landfast sea ice (i.e. immobile sea ice) duration is where human

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

mobility occurs. Projections show that landfast duration (i.e. earlier break and later freeze up) across the Canadian Arctic is expected to decrease under RCP8.5 (Cooley et al., 2020) and thus reduce local mobility. Although landfast ice duration is projected to decrease under RCP8.5 it still is projected to be present at least 5 months of the year (Laliberté et al., 2018) and thus still be utilized for local mobility. Low confidence in future projections exist because not all climate model simulations capture landfast ice very well thus does not convert to models very well (Laliberté et al., 2018). Another consideration is the thickness of landfast ice (i.e. thickness impacts its duration) is more influenced by changes in snow cover than temperature (Howell et al., 2016).

SMCCP6.5.7 Coastal Erosion

Insufficient literature on coastal erosion in Antarctic prohibited analysis. For coastal erosion in the Arctic we attribute changes under global warming are primarily associated with decreases in sea ice extent across the Arctic Ocean leading to large expanses of open water (fetch) which facilitates larger waves. Warming causes the sea ice to retreat away from the coast and increases ocean wave heights and the longer you have open water the worse it is for coastal erosion. The impact of global warming on coastal erosion is high. For ember transition analysis, we associate coastal erosion with the duration of open to water and the probability of a sea ice free Arctic under levels of global warming from model simulations. The probability of a sea ice free Arctic at 3 deg C is 63% but only 19% at 2 deg C of warming (Sigmond et al., 2018). Models simulations also suggest that coastal regions will be covered by ice for only half of the year by 2070 (Barnhart et al., 2016). Under the RCP8.5 scenario wave heights in Arctic waters ocean are projected to increase by 6 m which is ~2-3 times larger than 1979-2005 (~1 degree of warming) (Casas-Prat and Wang, 2020a). We have medium confidence in the model projections of Arctic sea ice extent over the wide expanse of the Arctic Ocean compared to the landfast regions and the Archipelago's across the Arctic.

Table SMCCP6.7: Burning Ember Risk Transitions for Polar Regions Burning Embers

Ember Focus	**Region**	**Risk Transition**	**Global mean surface temperature change above pre-industrial levels °C**		**Confidence**
Sea-ice ecosystems	Arctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	0.5	High
			Max	0.8	
		Moderate to High	Min	0.8	High
			Max	1.1	
		High to Very High	Min	1.5	Medium
			Max	2.0	
	Antarctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	0.8	Medium
			Max	1.2	
		Moderate to High	Min	1.5	High
			Max	1.8	
		High to Very High	Min	2.0	Medium
			Max	3.0	
Marine Mammals	Arctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	0.8	Medium
			Max	1.0	
		Moderate to High	Min	2.7	High
			Max	3.0	
		High to Very High	Min		Does not meet this threshold
			Max		
	Antarctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	1.3	Low

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

			Max	2.5	
		Moderate to High	Min		Low
			Max		
		High to Very High	Min		Low
			Max		
Sea birds	Arctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	0.5	Medium
			Max	0.7	
		Moderate to High	Min	1.0	High
			Max	1.2	
		High to Very High	Min	1.2	Medium
			Max	2.0	
	Antarctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	0.4	High
			Max	0.7	
		Moderate to High	Min	1.0	Medium
			Max	1.5	
		High to Very High	Min	2.1	Low
			Max	2.5	
Fisheries	Arctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	1.0	Medium
			Max	1.8	
		Moderate to High	Min	1.8	Medium
			Max	3.0	
		High to Very High	Min	3.0	High
			Max	4.2	
	Antarctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	0.8	High
			Max	1.1	
		Moderate to High	Min	1.5	Medium
			Max	2.0	
		High to Very High	Min	3.0	Medium
			Max	4.0	
Infrastructure	Arctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	0.5	Medium
			Max	1.0	
		Moderate to High	Min	2.0	Low
			Max	3.0	
		High to Very High	Min	3.5	Low
			Max	4.0	
Local mobility	Arctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	0.8	Medium
			Max	1.8	
		Moderate to High	Min	2.2	Low

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

			Max	2.8	
		High to Very High	Min	3.0	Low
			Max	4.0	
Coastal Erosion	Arctic	Undetectable to Moderate	Min	0.8	Medium
			Max	1.5	
		Moderate to High	Min	1.8	Medium
			Max	2.0	
		High to Very High	Min	3.0	Medium
			Max	4.0	

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

References

Albouy, C. et al., 2020: Global vulnerability of marine mammals to global warming. *Scientific Reports*, **10**(1), 548, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-57280-3.

Bajzak, C. E., M. O. Hammill, G. B. Stenson and S. Prinsenberg, 2011: Drifting away: implications of changes in ice conditions for a pack-ice-breeding phocid, the harp seal (Pagophilus groenlandicus). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **89**(11), 1050-1062, doi:10.1139/z11-081.

Barnhart, K. R., C. R. Miller, I. Overeem and J. E. Kay, 2016: Mapping the future expansion of Arctic open water. *Nature Climate Change*, **6**(3), 280-285, doi:10.1038/nclimate2848.

Bashaw, E., G. Hebeler, W. Phillips and G. Kane, 2016: Geologic and Subsea Permafrost Characterization for Buried Pipeline Design and Construction in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. In: *Arctic Technology Conference*, 2016/10/24/, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, Offshore Technology Conference, OTC, pp. 15, doi:10.4043/27450-MS.

Bestley, S. et al., 2020: Marine Ecosystem Assessment for the Southern Ocean: Birds and Marine Mammals in a Changing Climate. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, **8**, 338, doi:10.3389/fevo.2020.566936.

Bintanja, R., G. J. van Oldenborgh and C. A. Katsman, 2015: The effect of increased fresh water from Antarctic ice shelves on future trends in Antarctic sea ice. *Annals of Glaciology*, **56**(69), 120-126, doi:10.3189/2015AoG69A001.

Blackport, R., J. A. Screen, K. van der Wiel and R. Bintanja, 2019: Minimal influence of reduced Arctic sea ice on coincident cold winters in mid-latitudes. *Nature Climate Change*, **9**(9), 697-704, doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0551-4.

Blair, B. and G. P. Kofinas, 2020: Cross-scale risk perception: differences between tribal leaders and resource managers in Arctic Alaska. *Ecology and Society*, **25**(4), doi:10.5751/ES-11776-250409.

Boveng, P. L., H. L. Ziel, B. T. McClintock and M. F. Cameron, 2020: Body condition of phocid seals during a period of rapid environmental change in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, Alaska. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **181-182**, 104904, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2020.104904.

Brattland, C. and T. Mustonen, 2018: How Traditional Knowledge Comes to Matter in Atlantic Salmon Governance in Norway and Finland. *Arctic*, **71**(4), 365-482, doi:10.14430/arctic4751.

Calmels, F. et al. (eds.), How Permafrost Thaw May Impact Food Security of Jean Marie River First Nation, NWT. GEOQuebec 2015: Challenges from North to South, 2015.

Casas-Prat, M. and X. L. Wang, 2020a: Projections of Extreme Ocean Waves in the Arctic and Potential Implications for Coastal Inundation and Erosion. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **125**(8), e2019JC015745, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015745.

Casas-Prat, M. and X. L. Wang, 2020b: Sea Ice Retreat Contributes to Projected Increases in Extreme Arctic Ocean Surface Waves. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **47**(15), e2020GL088100, doi:10.1029/2020GL088100.

Cheung, W. W. L., M. C. Jones, G. Reygondeau and T. L. Frölicher, 2018: Opportunities for climate-risk reduction through effective fisheries management. *Global Change Biology*, **24**(11), 5149-5163, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14390.

Clark, D. G. and J. D. Ford, 2017: Emergency response in a rapidly changing Arctic. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, **189**(4), E135, doi:10.1503/cmaj.161085.

Clark, D. G. et al., 2016a: The role of environmental factors in search and rescue incidents in Nunavut, Canada. *Public Health*, **137**, 44-49, doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2016.06.003.

Clark, D. G., J. D. Ford, T. Pearce and L. Berrang-Ford, 2016b: Vulnerability to unintentional injuries associated with land-use activities and search and rescue in Nunavut, Canada. *Social Science & Medicine*, **169**, 18-26, doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.09.026.

Clayton, S., C. M. Manning, K. Krygsman and M. Speiser, 2017: *Mental Health and our Changing Climate: Impacts, Implications and Guidance*. American Psychological Association and ecoAmerica, Washington DC, 70 pp. Available at: https://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/2017/03/mental-health-climate.pdf.

Cohen, J. et al., 2014: Recent Arctic amplification and extreme mid-latitude weather. *Nature Geoscience*, **7**(9), 627-637, doi:10.1038/ngeo2234.

Constable, A. J. et al., 2016: Developing priority variables ("ecosystem Essential Ocean Variables" — eEOVs) for observing dynamics and change in Southern Ocean ecosystems. *Journal of Marine Systems*, **161**, 26-41, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.05.003.

Constable, A. J. et al., 2017: *ACE CRC Position Analysis: Managing changing in Southern Ocean ecosystems*. Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre, Hobart, Australia, 39 pp. Available at: http://wwwace.aappartnership.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/2017-ACECRC-Position-Analysis-Southern-Ocean-Ecosystems.pdf.

Convey, P. and L. S. Peck, 2019: Antarctic environmental change and biological responses. *Science Advances*, **5**(11), eaaz0888, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaz0888.

Cooley, S. W. et al., 2020: Coldest Canadian Arctic communities face greatest reductions in shorefast sea ice. *Nature Climate Change*, **10**(6), 533-538, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0757-5.

Council of Canadian Academies, 2019: *Canada's Top Climate Change Risks*. The Expert Panel on Climate Change Risks and Adaptation Potential, Council of Canadian Academies, Ottawa, ON, 88 pp. Available at: https://cca-reports.ca/reports/prioritizing-climate-change-risks/.

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Cunsolo, A. and N. R. Ellis, 2018: Ecological grief as a mental health response to climate change-related loss. *Nature Climate Change*, **8**(4), 275-281, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0092-2.

Cunsolo Willox, A. et al., 2013a: The land enriches the soul: On climatic and environmental change, affect, and emotional health and well-being in Rigolet, Nunatsiavut, Canada. *Emotion, Space and Society*, **6**, 14-24, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emospa.2011.08.005.

Cunsolo Willox, A. et al., 2013b: Climate change and mental health: an exploratory case study from Rigolet, Nunatsiavut, Canada. *Climatic Change*, **121**(2), 255-270, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0875-4.

Cunsolo Willox, A. et al., 2012: "From this place and of this place:" Climate change, sense of place, and health in Nunatsiavut, Canada. *Social Science & Medicine*, **75**(3), 538-547, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.03.043.

Cunsolo Willox, A. et al., 2014: Examining relationships between climate change and mental health in the Circumpolar North. *Regional Environmental Change*, **15**(1), 169-182, doi:10.1007/s10113-014-0630-z.

Dahlke, F. T. et al., 2018: Northern cod species face spawning habitat losses if global warming exceeds 1.5°C. *Science Advances*, **4**(11), eaas8821, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aas8821.

Dawson, J. et al., 2017: *Navigating Weather, Water, Ice and Climate Information for Safe Polar Mobilities*. World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. Available at: http://www.polarprediction.net/fileadmin/user_upload/www.polarprediction.net/Home/Organization/Task_Teams/PPP-SERA/WWRP_PPP_No_5_2017_11_OCT.pdf.

Debortoli, N. S. et al., 2019: An integrative climate change vulnerability index for Arctic aviation and marine transportation. *Nature Communications*, **10**(1), doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10347-1.

Dodd, W. et al., 2018: Lived experience of a record wildfire season in the Northwest Territories, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, **109**(3), 327-337, doi:10.17269/s41997-018-0070-5.

Durkalec, A., C. Furgal, M. W. Skinner and T. Sheldon, 2015: Climate change influences on environment as a determinant of Indigenous health: Relationships to place, sea ice, and health in an Inuit community. *Social Science & Medicine*, **136-137**, 17-26, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.04.026.

Fisher, M. C. et al., 2021: Climate shock effects and mediation in fisheries. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **118**(2), e2014379117, doi:10.1073/pnas.2014379117.

Ford, J. D. et al., 2019: Changing access to ice, land and water in Arctic communities. *Nature Climate Change*, **9**(4), 335-339, doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0435-7.

Free, C. M. et al., 2020: Realistic fisheries management reforms could mitigate the impacts of climate change in most countries. *PloS one*, **15**(3), e0224347-e0224347, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0224347.

Furberg, M., M. Evengard B Fau - Nilsson and M. Nilsson, 2011: Facing the limit of resilience: perceptions of climate change among reindeer herding Sami in Sweden. *Global Health Action*, **4**(1654-9880 (Electronic)), doi:10.3402/gha.v4i0.8417.

Gädeke, A. et al., 2021: Climate change reduces winter overland travel across the Pan-Arctic even under low-end global warming scenarios. *Environmental Research Letters*, **16**(2), 024049, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/abdcf2.

Gaines, S., D. et al., 2018: Improved fisheries management could offset many negative effects of climate change. *Science Advances*, **4**(8), eaao1378, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aao1378.

Galappaththi, E. K., J. D. Ford, E. M. Bennett and F. Berkes, 2019: Climate change and community fisheries in the arctic: A case study from Pangnirtung, Canada. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **250**, 109534, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109534.

Gosling, J. P., 2018: SHELF: The Sheffield Elicitation Framework. [Dias, L., A. Morton and J. Quigley (eds.)]. Springer, pp. 61-93.

Gutt, J. et al., 2018: Cross-disciplinarity in the advance of Antarctic ecosystem research. *Marine Genomics*, **37**, 1-17, doi:10.1016/j.margen.2017.09.006.

Haavisto, R. et al., 2020: Mapping weather, water, ice and climate (WWIC) information providers in Polar Regions: who are they and who do they serve? *Polar Geography*, **43**(2-3), 120-138, doi:10.1080/1088937X.2019.1707320.

Hamilton, C. D. et al., 2017: An Arctic predator–prey system in flux: climate change impacts on coastal space use by polar bears and ringed seals. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **86**(5), 1054-1064, doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12685.

Hamilton, C. D., C. Lydersen, R. A. Ims and K. M. Kovacs, 2015: Predictions replaced by facts: a keystone species' behavioural responses to declining arctic sea-ice. *Biology Letters*, **11**(11), 20150803, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2015.0803.

Hancock, A. M. et al., 2020: Effects of ocean acidification on Antarctic marine organisms: A meta-analysis. *Ecology and Evolution*, **10**(10), 4495-4514, doi:10.1002/ece3.6205.

Harper, S. L. et al., 2015: Climate-sensitive health priorities in Nunatsiavut, Canada. *BMC Public Health*, **15**(1), 605, doi:10.1186/s12889-015-1874-3.

Henley, S. F. et al., 2020: Changing Biogeochemistry of the Southern Ocean and Its Ecosystem Implications. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, **7**, 581, doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.00581.

Hermann, A. J. et al., 2019: Projected biophysical conditions of the Bering Sea to 2100 under multiple emission scenarios. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **76**(5), 1280-1304, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsz043.

Hindell, M. A. et al., 2020: Tracking of marine predators to protect Southern Ocean ecosystems. *Nature*, **580**(7801), 87-92, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2126-y.

Hjort, J. et al., 2018: Degrading permafrost puts Arctic infrastructure at risk by mid-century. *Nature Communications*, **9**(1), doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07557-4.

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Holsman, K. K. et al., 2020: Ecosystem-based fisheries management forestalls climate-driven collapse. *Nature Communications*, **11**(1), 4579, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18300-3.

Hoppe, C. J. M. et al., 2018: Compensation of ocean acidification effects in Arctic phytoplankton assemblages. *Nature Climate Change*, **8**(6), 529-533, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0142-9.

Howell, S. E. L. et al., 2016: Landfast ice thickness in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago from observations and models. *The Cryosphere*, **10**(4), 1463-1475, doi:10.5194/tc-10-1463-2016.

Hückstädt, L. A. et al., 2020: Projected shifts in the foraging habitat of crabeater seals along the Antarctic Peninsula. *Nature Climate Change*, **10**(5), 472-477, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0745-9.

Hunt, G. L. et al., 2016: Advection in polar and sub-polar environments: Impacts on high latitude marine ecosystems. *Progress in Oceanography*, **149**, 40-81, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.10.004.

Huntington, H. P. et al., 2020: Evidence suggests potential transformation of the Pacific Arctic ecosystem is underway. *Nature Climate Change*, **10**(4), 342-348, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0695-2.

ITK, 2019: *National Inuit Climate Change Strategy*. Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami, 48 pp. Available at: https://www.itk.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/ITK_Climate-Change-Strategy_English.pdf.

Jaakkola, J. J. K., S. Juntunen and K. Näkkäläjärvi, 2018: The Holistic Effects of Climate Change on the Culture, Well-Being, and Health of the Saami, the Only Indigenous People in the European Union. *Current Environmental Health Reports*, **5**(4), 401-417, doi:10.1007/s40572-018-0211-2.

Jahn, A., 2018: Reduced probability of ice-free summers for 1.5 °C compared to 2 °C warming. *Nature Climate Change*, **8**(5), 409-413, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0127-8.

Jahn, A., J. E. Kay, M. M. Holland and D. M. Hall, 2016: How predictable is the timing of a summer ice-free Arctic? *Geophysical Research Letters*, **43**(17), 9113-9120, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070067.

Jantarasami, L. C. et al., 2018: *Tribes and Indigenous Peoples* [Reidmiller, D. R., C. W. Avery, D. R. Easterlin, K. E. Kunkel, K. L. M. Lewis, T. K. Maycock and B. C. Stewart (eds.)]. Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II, U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington DC, USA., 572-603 pp.

Jenouvrier, S. et al., 2020: The Paris Agreement objectives will likely halt future declines of emperor penguins. *Global Change Biology*, **26**(3), 1170-1184, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14864.

Johnston, D. W., M. T. Bowers, A. S. Friedlaender and D. M. Lavigne, 2012: The Effects of Climate Change on Harp Seals (Pagophilus groenlandicus). *PLOS ONE*, **7**(1), e29158, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029158.

Kasperski, S. and D. S. Holland, 2013: Income diversification and risk for fishermen. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**(6), 2076-2081, doi:10.1073/pnas.1212278110.

Keogan, K. et al., 2018: Global phenological insensitivity to shifting ocean temperatures among seabirds. *Nature Climate Change*, **8**(4), 313-318, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0115-z.

Kharouba, H. M. and E. M. Wolkovich, 2020: Disconnects between ecological theory and data in phenological mismatch research. *Nature Climate Change*, **10**(5), 406-415, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0752-x.

Khon, V. C., I. I. Mokhov and V. A. Semenov, 2017: Transit navigation through Northern Sea Route from satellite data and CMIP5 simulations. *Environmental Research Letters*, **12**, 024010, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa5841.

Klein, E. S. et al., 2018: Impacts of rising sea temperature on krill increase risks for predators in the Scotia Sea. *PLOS ONE*, **13**(1), e0191011, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0191011.

Kovacs, K. M. et al., 2012: Global threats to pinnipeds. *Marine Mammal Science*, **28**(2), 414-436, doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.2011.00479.x.

Kowalczewski, E. and J. Klein, 2018: Sámi youth health, the role of climate change, and unique health-seeking behaviour. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health*, **77**(1), 1454785, doi:10.1080/22423982.2018.1454785.

Kretschmer, M. et al., 2018a: The different stratospheric influence on cold-extremes in Eurasia and North America. *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, **1**(1), 44, doi:10.1038/s41612-018-0054-4.

Kretschmer, M. et al., 2018b: More-Persistent Weak Stratospheric Polar Vortex States Linked to Cold Extremes. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **99**(1), 49-60, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0259.1.

Ksenofontov, S., N. Backhaus and G. Schaepman-Strub, 2017: 'To fish or not to fish?': fishing communities of Arctic Yakutia in the face of environmental change and political transformations. *Polar Record*, **53**(3), 289-303, doi:10.1017/S0032247417000134.

Laliberté, F. et al., 2018: What historical landfast ice observations tell us about projected ice conditions in Arctic archipelagoes and marginal seas under anthropogenic forcing. *The Cryosphere*, **12**(11), 3577-3588, doi:10.5194/tc-12-3577-2018.

Landrum, L. and M. M. Holland, 2020: Extremes become routine in an emerging new Arctic. *Nature Climate Change*, **10**(12), 1108-1115, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0892-z.

Lannuzel, D. et al., 2020: The future of Arctic sea-ice biogeochemistry and ice-associated ecosystems. *Nature Climate Change*, **10**(11), 983-992, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-00940-4.

Leong, D. N. S. and S. D. Donner, 2015: Climate change impacts on streamflow availability for the Athabasca Oil Sands. *Climatic Change*, **133**(4), 651-663, doi:10.1007/s10584-015-1479-y.

Lindegren, M. and K. Brander, 2018: Adapting Fisheries and Their Management To Climate Change: A Review of Concepts, Tools, Frameworks, and Current Progress Toward Implementation. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture*, **26**(3), 400-415, doi:10.1080/23308249.2018.1445980.

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Loseto, L. L. et al., 2018: Beluga whales (Delphinapterus leucas), environmental change and marine protected areas in the Western Canadian Arctic. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, **212**(April), 128-137, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2018.05.026.

Lynham, J. et al., 2017: Costly stakeholder participation creates inertia in marine ecosystems. *Marine Policy*, **76**, 122-129, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2016.11.011.

Markon, C. et al., 2018: Alaska. In: *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II* [Reidmiller, D. R., C. W. Avery, D. R. Easterling, K. E. Kunkel, K. L. M. Lewis, T. K. Maycock and B. C. Stewart (eds.)]. U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington D.C., pp. 1185-1241.

McCormack, S. A., Griffith, G., Hill, S.L., et al.,, accepted: Southern Ocean food web modelling: progress, prognoses, and future priorities for research and policy makers. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*.

Melbourne-Thomas, J. et al., 2016: Under ice habitats for Antarctic krill larvae: Could less mean more under climate warming? *Geophysical Research Letters*, **43**(19), 10,322-310,327, doi:10.1002/2016GL070846.

Melia, N., K. Haines and E. Hawkins, 2016: Sea ice decline and 21st century trans-Arctic shipping routes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **43**(18), 9720-9728, doi:10.1002/2016GL069315.

Mendenhall, E. et al., 2020: Climate change increases the risk of fisheries conflict. *Marine Policy*, **117**, 103954, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2020.103954.

Meredith, M. et al., 2019: Polar Regions. In: *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate* [Pörtner, H.-O., D. C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama and N. M. Weyer (eds.)], pp. 118.

Meyer, B. et al., 2020: Successful ecosystem-based management of Antarctic krill should address uncertainties in krill recruitment, behaviour and ecological adaptation. *Communications Earth & Environment*, **1**(1), 28, doi:10.1038/s43247-020-00026-1.

Middleton, J. et al., 2020a: “We're people of the snow:” Weather, climate change, and Inuit mental wellness. *Social Science & Medicine*, **262**, 113137, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113137.

Middleton, J. et al., 2020b: Indigenous mental health in a changing climate: a systematic scoping review of the global literature. *Environmental Research Letters*, **15**(5), 053001, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab68a9.

Minor, K. et al., 2019: *Greenlandic Perspectives on Climate Change 2018-2019 Results from a National Survey*. Kraks Fond Institute for Urban Research, University of Greenland and University of Copenhagen, 101 pp. Available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3667214.

Mudryk, L. et al., 2021: Impact of 1, 2, and 4°C of global warming on ship navigation in the Canadian Arctic. *Nature Climate Change*, doi:10.1038/s41558-021-01087-6.

Mustonen, T. and P. Feodoroff, 2020: What is a River? Cross-disciplinary and Indigenous Assessment. In: *Indigenous Wellbeing and Enterprise: Self-Determination and Sustainable Economic Development* [Colbourne, R. and R. B. Anderson (eds.)]. Routledge. ISBN 9780367349639.

Notz, D. and J. Stroeve, 2016: Observed Arctic sea-ice loss directly follows anthropogenic CO2 emission. *Science*, **354**(6313), 747-750, doi:10.1126/science.aag2345.

Oakley, J. and A. O'Hagen, SHELF: The Sheffield Elicitation Framework. Available at: http://www.tonyohagan.co.uk/shelf/.

Ojea, E., I. Pearlman, S. D. Gaines and S. E. Lester, 2017: Fisheries regulatory regimes and resilience to climate change. *Ambio*, **46**(4), 399-412, doi:10.1007/s13280-016-0850-1.

Ostapchuk, J. et al., 2015: Exploring elders’ and seniors’ perceptions of how climate change is impacting health and well-being in Rigolet, Nunatsiavut / ᕿᒥᕐᕈᓂᖅ ᐃᓐᓇᐃᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓄᑐᖃᐃᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᔾᔪᓯᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᑎᒍᓚᑦ, ᓄᓇᑦᓯᐊᕗᒻᒥ ᓯᓚᐅᑉ ᐊᓯᔾᔨᕐᓂᖕᒐᓂᒃ ᐊᑦᑐᐃᓂᖃᖅᑎᓪᓗᒍ ᐃᓗᓯᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ. *International Journal of Indigenous Health*, **9**(2), 6, doi:**10.18357/ijih92201214358**.

Overland, J. et al., 2015: The Melting Arctic and Midlatitude Weather Patterns: Are They Connected? *Journal of Climate*, **28**(20), 7917-7932, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00822.1.

Palacios-Abrantes, J., U. R. Sumaila and W. W. L. Cheung, 2020: Challenges to transboundary fisheries management in North America under climate change. *Ecology and Society*, **25**(4), doi:10.5751/ES-11743-250441.

Paulin, M. and J. Caines, 2016: The Evolution of Design Tools for Arctic Subsea Pipelines. In: *Arctic Technology Conference*, 2016/10/24/, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, Offshore Technology Conference, OTC, pp. 11, doi:10.4043/27374-MS.

Pentz, B. and N. Klenk, 2017: The ‘responsiveness gap’ in RFMOs: The critical role of decision-making policies in the fisheries management response to climate change. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, **145**, 44-51, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.05.007.

Pentz, B., N. Klenk, S. Ogle and J. A. D. Fisher, 2018: Can regional fisheries management organizations (RFMOs) manage resources effectively during climate change? *Marine Policy*, **92**, 13-20, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.01.011.

Perrin, A. et al., 2015: *Economic Implications of Climate Change Adaptations for Mine Access Roads in Northern Canada*. Yukon Research Center, Yukon College, Yukon, 93 pp. Available at: https://www.iisd.org/library/economic-implications-climate-change-adaptations-mine-access-roads-northern-canada.

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Pertierra, L. R., K. A. Hughes, G. C. Vega and M. Á. Olalla-Tárraga, 2017: High Resolution Spatial Mapping of Human Footprint across Antarctica and Its Implications for the Strategic Conservation of Avifauna. *PLOS ONE*, **12**(1), e0168280, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168280.

Petrasek MacDonald, J. et al., 2015: Protective factors for mental health and well-being in a changing climate: Perspectives from Inuit youth in Nunatsiavut, Labrador. *Social Science and Medicine*, **141**, doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.07.017.

Petrasek MacDonald, J. et al., 2013: A necessary voice: Climate change and lived experiences of youth in Rigolet, Nunatsiavut, Canada. *Global Environmental Change*, **23**(1), 360-371, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.07.010.

Piatt, J. F. et al., 2020: Extreme mortality and reproductive failure of common murres resulting from the northeast Pacific marine heatwave of 2014-2016. *PLOS ONE*, **15**(1), e0226087, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0226087.

Piñones, A. and A. V. Fedorov, 2016: Projected changes of Antarctic krill habitat by the end of the 21st century. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **43**(16), 8580-8589, doi:10.1002/2016GL069656.

Pinsky, M., L. et al., 2018: Preparing ocean governance for species on the move. *Science*, **360**(6394), 1189-1191, doi:10.1126/science.aat2360.

Post, E. et al., 2013: Ecological Consequences of Sea-Ice Decline. *Science*, **341**(6145), 519, doi:10.1126/science.1235225.

Raymond-Yakoubian, J. and R. Daniel, 2018: An Indigenous approach to ocean planning and policy in the Bering Strait region of Alaska. *Marine Policy*, **97**(September), 101-108, doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2018.08.028.

Raymond-Yakoubian, J., B. Raymond-Yakoubian and C. Moncrieff, 2017: The incorporation of traditional knowledge into Alaska federal fisheries management. *Marine Policy*, **78**, 132-142, doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2016.12.024.

Reum, J. C. P. et al., 2020: Ensemble Projections of Future Climate Change Impacts on the Eastern Bering Sea Food Web Using a Multispecies Size Spectrum Model. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, **7**, 124, doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.00124.

Riedel, M. et al., 2017: Evidence for gas hydrate occurrences in the Canadian Arctic Beaufort Sea within permafrost-associated shelf and deep-water marine environments. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **81**, 66-78, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.12.027.

Roach, L. A. et al., 2020: Antarctic Sea Ice Area in CMIP6. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **47**(9), e2019GL086729, doi:10.1029/2019GL086729.

Rogers, A. D. et al., 2020: Antarctic Futures: An Assessment of Climate-Driven Changes in Ecosystem Structure, Function, and Service Provisioning in the Southern Ocean. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, **12**(1), 87-120, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-011028.

Romano, M. D. et al., 2020: Die-offs, reproductive failure, and changing at-sea abundance of murres in the Bering and Chukchi Seas in 2018. *Deep-Sea Research Part II*, **181-182**(104877), doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2020.104877.

Sakai, T. et al., 2016: Climate-Induced Extreme Hydrologic Events in the Arctic. *Remote Sensing*, **8**(11), doi:10.3390/rs8110971.

Samplonius, J. M. et al., 2021: Strengthening the evidence base for temperature-mediated phenological asynchrony and its impacts. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, **5**(2), 155-164, doi:10.1038/s41559-020-01357-0.

Sanderson, B. M. et al., 2017: Community climate simulations to assess avoided impacts in 1.5 and 2 °C futures. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, **8**(3), 827-847, doi:10.5194/esd-8-827-2017.

Screen, J. A. and C. Deser, 2019: Pacific Ocean Variability Influences the Time of Emergence of a Seasonally Ice-Free Arctic Ocean. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **46**(4), 2222-2231, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081393.

Sigmond, M., J. C. Fyfe and N. C. Swart, 2018: Ice-free Arctic projections under the Paris Agreement. *Nature Climate Change*, **8**(5), 404-408, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0124-y.

SIMIP Community, 2020: Arctic Sea Ice in CMIP6. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **47**(10), e2019GL086749, doi:10.1029/2019GL086749.

Slats, R. et al., 2019: Voices from the Front Lines of a Changing Bering Sea: An Indigenous Perspective for the 2019 Arctic Report Card. [Richter-Menge, J., M. L. Druckenmiller and M. Jeffries (eds.)], pp. 88-99.

Soulen, B. K., K. Cammen, T. F. Schultz and D. W. Johnston, 2013: Factors Affecting Harp Seal (Pagophilus groenlandicus) Strandings in the Northwest Atlantic. *PLOS ONE*, **8**(7), e68779, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068779.

Stenson, G. B. and M. O. Hammill, 2014: Can ice breeding seals adapt to habitat loss in a time of climate change? *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **71**(7), 1977-1986, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu074.

Stephenson, S. R., L. C. Smith, L. W. Brigham and J. A. Agnew, 2013: Projected 21st-century changes to Arctic marine access. *Climatic Change*, **118**(3), 885-899, doi:10.1007/s10584-012-0685-0.

Stewart, E. et al., 2020: Characterizing polar mobilities to understand the role of weather, water, ice and climate (WWIC) information. *Polar Geography*, **43**(2-3), doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/1088937X.2019.1707319.

Streletskiy, D. A. et al., 2019: Assessment of climate change impacts on buildings, structures and infrastructure in the Russian regions on permafrost. *Environmental Research Letters*, **14**(2), doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaf5e6.

Sumby, J., M. Haward, E. A. Fulton and G. T. Pecl, 2021: Hot fish: The response to climate change by regional fisheries bodies. *Marine Policy*, **123**, 104284, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104284.

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

Suter, L., D. Streletskiy and N. Shiklomanov, 2019: Assessment of the cost of climate change impacts on critical infrastructure in the circumpolar Arctic. *Polar Geography*, **42**(4), 267-286, doi:10.1080/1088937X.2019.1686082.

Sylvester, Z. T., M. C. Long and C. M. Brooks, 2021: Detecting Climate Signals in Southern Ocean Krill Growth Habitat. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, **8**, 708, doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.669508.

Tedesco, L., M. Vichi and E. Scoccimarro, 2019: Sea-ice algal phenology in a warmer Arctic. *Science Advances*, **5**(5), eaav4830, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aav4830.

Thiault, L. et al., 2019: Escaping the perfect storm of simultaneous climate change impacts on agriculture and marine fisheries. *Science Advances*, **5**(11), eaaw9976, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw9976.

Veytia, D. et al., 2020: Circumpolar projections of Antarctic krill growth potential. *Nature Climate Change*, **10**(6), 568-575, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0758-4.

Vihma, T., 2014: Effects of Arctic Sea Ice Decline on Weather and Climate: A Review. *Surveys in Geophysics*, **35**(5), 1175-1214, doi:10.1007/s10712-014-9284-0.

Wallhead, P. J. et al., 2017: Bottom Water Acidification and Warming on the Western Eurasian Arctic Shelves: Dynamical Downscaling Projections. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **122**(10), 8126-8144, doi:10.1002/2017JC013231.

Wege, M., L. Salas and M. LaRue, 2021: Ice matters: Life-history strategies of two Antarctic seals dictate climate change eventualities in the Weddell Sea. *Global Change Biology*, **n/a**(n/a), doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15828.

Wilson, J. R. et al., 2018: Adaptive comanagement to achieve climate-ready fisheries. *Conservation Letters*, **11**(6), e12452, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12452.

WMO and WWRP, 2017: *Navigating Weather, Water, Ice and Climate Information for Safe Polar Mobilities*. **No. 5**, 84 pp.

Zhang, J. et al., 2016: Persistent shift of the Arctic polar vortex towards the Eurasian continent in recent decades. *Nature Climate Change*, **6**(12), 1094-1099, doi:10.1038/nclimate3136.

Zommers, Z. et al., 2020: Burning embers: towards more transparent and robust climate-change risk assessments. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, **1**(10), 516-529, doi:10.1038/s43017-020-0088-0.

ACCEPTED VERSION SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS