

Chapter 5: Food, Fibre, and other Ecosystem Products Supplementary Material

Coordinating Lead Authors: Rachel Bezner Kerr (USA/Canada), Toshihiro Hasegawa (Japan), Rodel Lasco (Philippines)

Lead Authors: Indra Bhatt (India), Delphine Deryng (Germany/France), Aidan Farrell (Trinidad and Tobago/Ireland), Helen Gurney-Smith (Canada/United Kingdom), Hui Ju (China), Salvador Lluch-Cota (Mexico), Francisco Meza (Chile), Gerald Nelson (USA), Henry Neufeldt (Denmark/Germany), Philip Thornton (Kenya/United Kingdom)

Contributing Authors: Guleid Artan (Kenya/Somalia), Ayansina Ayanlade (Nigeria), Marta Baraibar (Kenya/Spain), Manuel Barange (South Africa/Italy), Mucahid Mustafa Bayrak (The Netherlands), Ermias A. Betemariam (Kenya/Ethiopia), Edwin Castellanos (Guatemala), William Cheung (Canada), Priscilla Claeys (Belgium), Jennifer Clapp (Canada), Verónica Crespo-Pérez (Ecuador), Shouro Dasgupta (Italy/Bangladesh), Deborah Delgado Pugley (Peru), Alice Favero (USA), Jennifer Franco (The Netherlands), Eranga Galappaththi (Canada/Sri Lanka), Amelie Gaudin (USA/France), Adugna Gemed (Ethiopia), Patrick Gonzalez (USA), Jack Heinemann (New Zealand/USA), Ann Kingiri (Kenya), Xiaoyue Li (China), Ana Maria Loboguerrero (Colombia), Dianne Mayberry (Australia), Kenneth Kemucie Mwangi (Kenya), Christine Negra (USA), Kari Marie Norgaard (USA), Hanson Nyantakyi-Frimpong (USA/Ghana), Patricia Pinho (Brazil), Julio C. Postigo (USA/Peru), Bronwen Powell (Canada), Victoria Reyes-García (Spain), Marta Rivera-Ferre (Spain), Abubakr A.M. Salih (Kenya/Sudan), Arnim Scheidel (Spain/Austria), Matthew Schnurr (Canada), Anna Schlingmann (Spain/Germany), Rupert Seidl (Germany), Gudeta W. Sileshi (Tanzania), Doris Soto (Chile), Pete Smith (United Kingdom), Elizabeth Sprout (United Kingdom/USA), M. Cristina Tirado-von der Pahlen (USA/Spain), Hitomi Wakatsuki (Japan), Jennifer Vanos (Canada), Lewis Ziska (USA), Robert Zougmore (Burkina Faso)

Review Editors: Pauline Dube (Botswana), James Morison (United Kingdom)

Chapter Scientists: Emily Baker (USA), Hitomi Wakatsuki (Japan), Elizabeth Sprout (United Kingdom/USA)

Date of Draft: 1 October 2021

Notes: TSU Compiled Version

Table of Contents

SM5.1	Observed Impacts on Major Crops.....	3
SM5.1.1	Methodology	3
SM5.2	Observed Impacts, Projected Impacts and Adaptation Options in Other Crops.....	24
SM5.3	Projected Impacts on Growing Area Suitability	54
SM5.4	Change in the Number of Days per Year above “Extreme Stress” Values from 2000 to the 2090s	56
SM5.4.1	Methodology	57
SM5.5	Supplementary Materials used for Assessments of Regional Fisheries Management Organization Climate Awareness for Figure Cross-Chapter Box MOVING PLATE.3	57
SM5.6	Supplementary Materials for Ocean-based and Inland Aquaculture Systems.....	58
SM5.6.1	Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for inland and brackish aquaculture (salinities of <10ppm and / or no connection to the marine environment) sectors.	58
SM5.6.2	Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for marine aquaculture sectors.....	60
SM5.6.3	Additional bibliography used for assessments in Figures 5.14, 5.15 and 5.16.....	62

SM5.7 Methodology and Materials used for Adaptation Feasibility and Effectiveness Assessment and Limitations63

SM5.7.1 Feasibility and Effectiveness Indicators 63

SM5.7.2 Adaptation Options and Article Collection..... 64

SM5.7.3 Assessment Process..... 69

SM5.7.4 Data Distribution and Limitations..... 69

References 73

ACCEPTED VERSION
SUBJECT TO FINAL EDITS

SM5.1 Observed Impacts on Major Crops

SM5.1.1 Methodology

To review progress in the detection and attribution studies in the crop-based system since AR5, we collected literature mainly from SCOPUS using the following search terms.

PUBYEAR > 2013 AND PUBYEAR < 2021 AND (TITLE-ABS((flood OR "sea level rise" OR "extreme event" OR yield OR drought OR *stability) AND crop* AND impact*) OR AUTHKEY((flood OR "sea level rise" OR "extreme event" OR yield OR drought OR *stability) AND crop* AND impact*)) AND (TITLE-ABS({greenhouse gas} OR {global warming} OR {climate change} OR {climatic change} OR {climate variability} OR {climate warming}) OR AUTHKEY({greenhouse gas} OR {global warming} OR {climate change} OR {climatic change} OR {climate variability} OR {climate warming})) AND NOT ((TITLE-ABS(emissions OR mitigation OR REDD OR MRV) OR AUTHKEY(emissions OR mitigation OR REDD OR MRV)))

From the search results, we selected studies that include search strings related to detection and attribution of the observed impacts in titles, abstracts, or authors' keywords. They include "historical", "yield record", "attribution", and "detection". Selected studies were manually checked for the eligibility using the following criteria; the effects of climatic factors on variables related to crop production are tested statistically or with mechanistic crop models based on long-term records. Another focused search was conducted through Google Scholar to obtain studies using counterfactual climate data to determine the effects of human-induced climate change on agricultural productivity, which resulted in additional two studies (Moore and Lobell, 2015; Ortiz-Bobea et al., 2021). These studies were broadly grouped into long-term effects and short-term weather sensitivity, which are summarised in Table SM5.1.

Further assessment was made on the long-term effect of climate change. Periods of the studies differed among studies, ranging from 20 to 50 years. Global-scale studies were included, but their regional breakdowns were used for the assessment by sub-regions. The effects of climate change were classified into "positive", "negative", "mixed", or "neutral". Robustness in the attribution of the climate change impacts is based on the statistical significance.

Individual observations were summarised using the weighted score of the effects: effect score = 1 for positive, -1 for negative, 0 for mixed or neutral. The effect scores were then averaged for each crop and subregion to represent the climate change impact, which is shown in Figure 5.3. The overall assessment is positive when the averaged score is greater than 0.3, negative when smaller than -0.3 and mixed when it's between -0.3 and 0.3. Confidence of the assessment is based on the robustness of the attribution and the number of references available. Robustness score is 2 for "high", 1 for "medium", and 0 for "low". These were multiplied by the number of studies to estimate overall confidence scores in each region and crop. We rated "high" when the robustness score is greater than 4, "low" when it is less than 3, and "medium" when it is in-between, which is also indicated in Figure 5.3.

1 **Table SM5.1:** Observed impacts on major crops by crops and IPCC subregion

Sub-region	Period	Effect	Observed change in human or natural system and contribution of climate change	Climate drivers	Robustness in attribution of impact to climate change	Reference
Long-term effect of climate change						
Maize						
Global	1981-2010	Negative	Crop production loss attributable to anthropogenic climate change amounts to 22.3 B\$/year or 4.1% (-0.5 to 8.5%), globally.	CO ₂ /temperature/precipitation	High but regionally dependent	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Global	1974-2008	Mixed	Yield change due to climate change was +0.0 %, globally, but mixed effects depending on regions.	temperature/precipitation	High but regionally dependent	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern Africa	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts on yield.	CO ₂ /temperature/precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Northern Africa	1974-2008	Negative	Maize yield has reduced by 4.3% during the period.	temperature/precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1962-2014	Negative	Increasing temperature partially offset the increase in maize yield (1% per year) due to technological improvement. A degree warming reduced maize yield by 0.8% (10kg/ha).	temperature/precipitation	Medium	Hoffman et al. (2018a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	Negative	Maize yield has reduced by 5.8 % during the period.	temperature/precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Positive	Maize yield has reduced by 5.1 % during the period.	temperature/precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Eastern Asia	1980-2010	Negative	Maize growth duration decreased by 9 -19d. Yield potential of historical varieties decreased by 0.043 t/ha / year. Later-maturing cultivars alleviated the decline.	temperature/precipitation	High	Bu et al. (2015)
Eastern Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	Positive	7–17 % yield increase per decade. Northward limit shifted by more than 290 km overall yield gain 35%. Warming has benefited maize production with adaptation technology.	temperature	High	Meng et al. (2014)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	Decreases in mean temperature, precipitation and solar radiation over maize growth period jointly reduced yield most by 13.2-17.3% in southwestern China	Temperature, precipitation, solar radiation	High	Tao et al. (2016a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Positive	Increases in mean temperature, precipitation and solar radiation jointly increased yield most by 12.9-14.4%.	Temperature, precipitation, solar radiation	High	Tao et al. (2016a)

Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Neutral	No detectable changes in Zone I	Temperature, precipitation, solar radiation	Low	Tao et al. (2016a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Neutral	No detectable changes in Zone I	Temperature, precipitation, solar radiation	Low	Tao et al. (2016a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Neutral	No detectable changes in Zone I	Temperature, precipitation, solar radiation	Low	Tao et al. (2016a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	Positive yield trend (1.5% year ⁻¹).	Solar radiation, temperature, rainfall	High	Xiao and Tao (2014)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	Positive yield trend (1.3% year ⁻¹).	Solar radiation, temperature, rainfall	High	Xiao and Tao (2014)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	Positive yield trend (1.4% year ⁻¹).	Solar radiation, temperature, rainfall	High	Xiao and Tao (2014)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	Positive yield trend (1.1% year ⁻¹).	Solar radiation, temperature, rainfall	High	Xiao and Tao (2014)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	Mixed	For the whole country, planting area-weighted average of yield change due to trends in mean temperature and precipitation together was about 1.16, -0.31, -0.40, and 0.11 % over the whole period for rice, wheat, maize, and soybean, respectively.	Solar radiation, temperature, rainfall	Medium	Zhang et al. (2016c)
Southern Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Southern Asia, South-eastern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Positive	Maize yield has reduced by 1.0 % during the period.	temperature/precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
South-eastern Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Western Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Australia and New Zealand	1981-2010	Mixed	Both positive and negative effects were observed in the region.	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Australia and New Zealand	1974-2008	Negative	Maize yield decreased by 1.2 %.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Central and South America	1974-2008	positive	Maize yield has increased by 2.7 % during the period.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1971-2012	Negative	The effect of climate trends for the whole period was -5.4% for maize. Crop yield gains for this period could have been 15-20 % higher if climate trend was not significant.	precipitation/air temperature	High	Verón et al. (2015)
Eastern Europe	1981-2010	Neutral	Almost non-significant changes	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)

Eastern Europe, Northern Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Maize yield decreased by 24.5 %.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Europe	1961-2014	Negative	Adverse climatic condition had a negative impact on maize yield increase over time by 19% in Italy and 6 % in Spain. Temperature trend is responsible for a reduction in the long-run growth rate of yield in wheat.	air temperature	High	Agnolucci and De Lipsis (2020)
Southern Europe	1981-2010	Negative	Mostly significantly negative effects.	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Southern Europe	1989-2009	Negative	Impacts on maize during the period. Greece, 9.8% Spain, -2.4% Italy, -7.9% Portugal, -6.0%	temperature/Precipitation	High	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Southern Europe, Western Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Maize yield decreased by 6.3 %.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Western Europe	1961-2014	Neutral	A small positive impact of weather on the size of the yield trend in Belgium (1.6%), France (5.02%) and Germany (3.18%). Temperature trend is responsible for a reduction in the long-run growth rate of yield in wheat.	air temperature	High	Agnolucci and De Lipsis (2020)
Western Europe	1981-2010	Mixed	In the northern border, some positive effects, but negative effects in southern stages	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Western Europe	1989-2009	Positive	Impacts on maize during the period. Belgium 12.0% Germany 6.2% France 0.9% Netherlands 4.5%	temperature/precipitation	Medium	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Northern America	1981-2010	Mixed	In the northern border, some positive effects, but negative effects in southern stages	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Northern America	1974-2008	Neutral	Maize yield decreased by 0.5 %.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Rice						
Global	1981-2010	Neutral	Crop production loss attributable to anthropogenic climate change: 0.8 B\$, or 1.8% (-12.4 to 9.6%) for rice. rising CO ₂ , increasing temperatures, changes in precipitation (not shown individually)	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High.	Iizumi et al. (2018)

Global	1974-2008	Mixed	Yield change due to climate change. -0.3 %, Rice (% relative to the average between 1974-2008) rising temperature, variable precipitation	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern Africa	1981-2010	Mixed	Mixed effects depending on regions.	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Northern Africa	1974-2008	Negative	Rice yield was decreased by 1.3%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1981-2010	Mixed	Both negative and positive effects in the regions.	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	Negative	Rice yield was decreased by 3.1% during the period.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Positive	Rice yield was increased by 0.9% during the period. Impacts were significant in 89 % of the harvested areas.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2010	Positive	Significantly positive impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Eastern Asia	1980-2012	Mixed	Average change in rice yield was + 0.52 % for early rice and 2.83% for late rice during the 1980-2012 period, if averaged over 8 provinces. However, the effects were mixed depending on the provinces.	temperature/precipitation, solar radiation	Low	Liu et al. (2016)
Eastern Asia	1961-2003	Positive	Rising CO ₂ accounts for 1 to 7 % in the increase in rice yield. Six of nine provinces showed negative effects of rising temperature, but the positive effects of CO ₂ were greater than the negative effects of rising temperature.	CO ₂ / temperature	Medium	Sawano et al. (2015)
Eastern Asia	1949-2015	Mixed	Increase in rice yield (0–1.0 t/ha/ °C) in northern provinces, but decrease (–0.6–0 t/ha/ °C) in southern provinces of China. Rice yield at the country level decreased by 0.05 t/ha/°C.	temperature	High	Wang and Hijmans (2019)
Eastern Asia	1981-2012	Positive	Climate trend had a positive effect (4.91-2.12%), but extreme events had the negative effects on rice yield (-2.6 to -15.9%) followed by climate fluctuation (-2.6 to -4.4%) in the period studied.	temperature	High	Wang et al. (2018)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Positive	Yield increased by 5.83%, 1.71%, 8.73% and 3.49% in early, late and single rice, as a result of greater growing degree days. Heat stress increased slightly (0.14-1.34 %) but chilling injury decreased. Reducing solar radiation had a negative impact on yield.	temperature /solar radiation	High	Zhang et al. (2016b)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	Mixed	For the whole country, planting area-weighted average of yield change due to trends in mean temperature and precipitation	Solar radiation, temperature, rainfall	Medium	Zhang et al. (2016c)

			together was about 1.16, -0.31, -0.40, and 0.11 % over the whole period for rice, wheat, maize, and soybean, respectively.			
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Positive	Yield trend at Xinbin: 175kg/ha/year or 4.5%/year (significant)	Temperature, solar radiation, precipitation	High	Zhang et al. (2016a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	Yield trend at Ganyou: 88kg/ha/year or 1.4%/year	Temperature, solar radiation, precipitation	High	Zhang et al. (2016a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	Yield trend at Minyang: 41 kg/ha/year or 0.54%/year	Temperature, solar radiation, precipitation	High	Zhang et al. (2016a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	Yield trend at Toncheng early rice: 30kg/ha/year or 0.74%/year	Temperature, solar radiation, precipitation	High	Zhang et al. (2016a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	Year trend at Tongcheng late rice: 85kg/ha/year or 1.9%/year	Temperature, solar radiation, precipitation	High	Zhang et al. (2016a)
Southern Asia	1981-2010	Neutral	Almost no significant changes.	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Southern Asia, South-eastern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Negative	Rice yield was reduced by 0.8% during the period. Impacts were significant in 88 % of the harvested areas.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
South-eastern Asia	1981-2010	Neutral	No significant changes	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Western Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Australia and New Zealand	1981-2010	Neutral	Mostly nonsignificant changes	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Australia and New Zealand	1974-2008	Positive	Rice yield was increased by 4.1% during the period.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1981-2010	Neutral	Some positive effects, but mostly neutral.	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Central and South America	1974-2008	Neutral	Rice yield was reduced by 0.7% during the period. Impacts were significant in 87 % of the harvested areas.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Europe	1981-2010	Positive	Significantly positive impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Southern Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Rice yield decreased by 3.2%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern America	1981-2010	Neutral	Mostly non-significant changes	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Northern America	1974-2008	Mixed	Overall, almost no change, but impacts were significant in 92% of the harvested area.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Wheat						

Global	1981-2010	Negative	Crop production loss attributable to anthropogenic climate change: 13.6 B\$ for wheat for 1981-2010, or 1.8% (-1.3 to 7.5%) for wheat.	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Global	1974-2008	Mixed	Globally, a slight increase by 0.9 % but regionally mixed effects.	temperature /precipitation	High depending on regions	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern Africa	1981-2010	Mixed	No significant changes	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	Low	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Northern Africa	1974-2008	positive	Wheat yield was increased by 12%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	Negative	Wheat yield was decreased by 2.3%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Positive	Wheat yield was increased by 4.5%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2010	Mixed	Both positive and negative effects	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Mixed	Increase in yield by 1-13% in northern China but decreased by 1-10% in southern China. Effects of climatic drivers also mixed depending on the climatic zones.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Tao et al. (2014)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Positive	Autonomous adaptation (longer growing degree days) increased wheat yield by 15%. solar radiation decreased during the period.	air temperature/ others	High	Tao et al. (2015)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	Positive	Increase in yield by 1-13% in northern China but decreased by 1-10% in southern China. Effects of climatic drivers also mixed depending on the climatic zones.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Tao et al. (2017)
Eastern Asia	1980-2015	Positive	10.1 % yield enhancement per 1.0°C. Warming shortened days to flowering by 5.4 degree C without yield loss.	temperature	High	Zheng et al. (2017)
Eastern Asia	1988-2012	Positive	de-trended wheat yield increases 34 kg/ha/year, or 371 kg/ha/°C. Warming mitigated low temperature limitation.	temperature	High	Zheng et al. (2016)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	wheat	Yield increased largely due to cultivar and management changes	Temperature, solar radiation, precipitation	Low	Xiao and Tao (2014)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	wheat	Yield increased largely due to cultivar and management changes	Temperature, solar radiation, precipitation	Low	Xiao and Tao (2014)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	wheat	CC change effects were significantly negative	Temperature, solar radiation, precipitation	High	Xiao and Tao (2014)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	wheat	CC change effects were significantly negative	Temperature, solar radiation, precipitation	High	Xiao and Tao (2014)
Eastern Asia	1981-2009	wheat	Trends in dry stres (HDD) and heat stress(GDD) had mixed effects depending on the areas of the study. -1.28 % per year to +0.3% per year.	Heat stres, Dry stress	High	Chen et al. (2016)

Eastern Asia	1980-2009	wheat	For the whole country, planting area-weighted average of yield change due to trends in mean temperature and precipitation together was about 1.16, -0.31, -0.40, and 0.11 % over the whole period for rice, wheat, maize, and soybean, respectively.	Solar radiation, temperature, rainfall	Medium	Zhang et al. (2016c)
Southern Asia	1981-2009	Negative	5% decrease for the whole study period. 2-4% yield reduction with a 1°C increase.	air temperature	High	Gupta et al. (2016)
Southern Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts.	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Southern Asia, South-eastern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Negative	Wheat yield was decreased by 0.9 %.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Western Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Australia and New Zealand	1990-2015	Negative	Actual yield tripled but the water-limited yield potential declined by 27%. Negative effects of reduced rainfall and rising temperatures. Elevated CO ₂ concentrations prevented a further 4% loss relative to the 1990 yields.	rainfall /temperature, CO ₂	High	Hochman et al. (2017)
Australia and New Zealand	1981-2010	Mixed	Both positive and negative effects	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Australia and New Zealand	1974-2008	Negative	Wheat yield was decreased by 5.8 %.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Central and South America	1974-2008	Negative	Wheat yield was decreased by 1.6 %.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1971-2012	Negative	The effect of climate trends for the whole period was -5.1% for wheat. Crop yield gains for this period could have been 15-20 % higher if climate trend was not significant.	precipitation/temperature	High	Verón et al. (2015)
Eastern Europe	1981-2010	Neutral	Almost non-significant changes	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Eastern Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Wheat yield was decreased by 2.1 %.	temperature /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern Europe	1961-2014	Positive	A largely positive effect in UK (66%).	temperature	High	Agnolucci and De Lipsis (2020)
Northern Europe	1981-2010	Positive	In the northern border, some positive effects, but negative effects in southern stages	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)

Northern Europe	1989-2009	Positive	Impacts on wheat during the period. Ireland, +9.2% UK, + 3.2%	temperature/precipitation	High	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Northern Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Wheat yield was decreased by 2.1%.	temperature /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Europe	1961-2014	Negative	Negative effects in Italy (-10.5%) and Spain (-6.7%). Temperature trend is responsible for a reduction in the long-run growth rate of yield in wheat.	temperature	High	Agnolucci and De Lipsis (2020)
Southern Europe	1981-2010	Mixed	Both positive and negative effects	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Southern Europe	1989-2009	Negative	Impacts on wheat during the period. Greece -6.9% Spain -2.6% Italy -16.5% Portugal -1.4%	temperature/precipitation	High	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Southern Europe, Western Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Wheat was decreased by 8.7%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Western Europe	1961-2014	Negative	All negative for the period; Belgium (-8.9%), France (-19.2%), and Germany (-29.7%). Temperature trend is responsible for a reduction in the long-run growth rate of yield in wheat.	temperature	High	Agnolucci and De Lipsis (2020)
Western Europe	1981-2010	Neutral	Mostly nonsignificant changes	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Western Europe	1989-2009	Negative	Impacts on wheat during the period. Belgium, -4.7% Germany, -0.2% France, -3.6% Luxemburg, -7.5% Netherlands, -1.7%	temperature/precipitation	Medium	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Northern America	1981-2010	Negative	Mostly significantly negative effects.	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Northern America	1974-2008	Negative	Wheat yield was reduced by 1.3% during the period.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Maize, rice, wheat						
Global	1961	Neutral	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Northern Africa	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)

Sub-Saharan Africa	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Western Asia	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Southeastern Asia	1961	Neutral	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Central Asia	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Eastern Asia	1961	Neutral	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Australia and New Zealand	1961	Neutral	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Latin America and the Caribbean	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Eastern Europe	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Western Europe	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Northern Europe	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Southern Europe	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Northern America	1961	Negative	A significantly positive yield treand over the period was reduced by the effects of anthropogenic climate warming.	temperature, precipitation	High	Moore (2020)
Barley						
Global	1974-2008	Mixed	Yield change impact was -7.9 %, globally.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)

Northern Africa	1974-2008	Negative	Barley yield was reduced by 6.8%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	Neutral	Almost no effects on barley (-0.6%)	temperature /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Positive	Barley yield was increased by 1.6%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Asia, South-eastern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Negative	Barley yield was decreased by 0.9%	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Australia and New Zealand	1974-2008	Negative	Barley yield was decreased by 2.3%	temperature /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1974-2008	Positive	Barley yield was increased by 4.0%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Eastern Europe, Northern Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Barley yield was decreased by 9.1%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern Europe	1989-2009	Neutral	Impacts on barley during the period. Ireland, -0.8% UK, -0.8%	temperature/precipitation	High	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Southern Europe	1989-2009	Negative	Impacts on barley during the period. Greece, -8.4% Spain, -5.9% Italy, -6.8% Portugal, -2.9%	temperature/precipitation	High	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Southern Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Barley yield was decreased by 16.1%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Western Europe	1989-2009	Negative	Impacts on barley during the period. Belgium -5.0% Germany -3.2% France -3.4% Luxemburg -5.1% Netherlands -2.1%	temperature/precipitation	Medium	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Western Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Barley -16.1	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern America	1974-2008	Negative	Barely, -2.5	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sorghum, millet						
Global	1974-2008	Mixed	Yield change due to climate change. +2.1%, Sorghum (% relative to the average between 1974-2008)	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)

			rising temperature, variable precipitation			
Northern Africa	1974-2008	Positive	Sorghum yield was increased by 17.9%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	Neutral	Almost no effect on sorghum yield (0.7%)	temperature, /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1951-2010	Negative	Human induced climate warming reduced sorghum yield by 5-15%. These losses are equivalent to 0.73-2.17 billion USD damage (sorghum). 1-degree warming across west African countries and mixed changes in precipitation (from -10 to +10% depending our regions) have caused significant yield losses of two major crops.	temperature /extreme rain fall	High	Sultan et al. (2019)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Positive	Sorghum yield was increased by 4.9%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Asia, South-eastern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Neutral	Almost no effect on sorghum yield (0.9%)	temperature /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Australia and New Zealand	1974-2008	Negative	Sorghum yield was decreased by 30.5%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1974-2008	neutral	No effect on sorghum yield (0.0%)	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Eastern Europe, Northern Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Sorghum yield was decreased by 9.5%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Europe, Western Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Sorghum yield was decreased by 18.2%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern America	1974-2008	positive	Sorghum yield was increased by 4.3%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1951-2010	Negative	Human induced climate warming reduced millet yield by 10-20% relative to the yields simulated under the counterfactual non-climate change data since 1850. These losses are equivalent to 2.33-4.02 billion USD damage (millet). 1-degree warming across west African countries and mixed changes in precipitation (from -10 to +10% depending our regions) have caused significant yield losses of two major crops.	temperature /extreme rain fall	High	Sultan et al. (2019)
Soybean						
Global	1981-2010	Negative	Crop production loss attributable to anthropogenic climate change :6.6 B\$/year or 4.5% (0.5 to 8.4%).	CO ₂ /temperature/ changes in precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Global	1974-2008	Mixed	Yield change due to climate change was +3.5 %, globally, but varied depending on regions.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)

Northern Africa	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Northern Africa	1974-2008	positive	Soybean yield was reduced by 10.9%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	Negative	Soybean yield was reduced by 1.6%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Neutral	Overall effect is +0.2%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Eastern Asia	1981-2010	Mixed	Both positive and negative effects	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	Mixed	For the whole country, planting area-weighted average of yield change due to trends in mean temperature and precipitation together was about 1.16, -0.31, -0.40, and 0.11 % over the whole period for rice, wheat, maize, and soybean, respectively.	Solar radiation, temperature, rainfall	Medium	Zhang et al. (2016c)
Southern Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Southern Asia, South-eastern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Negative	Soybean yield was reduced by 3.2%.	temperature, /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
South-eastern Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Western Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Australia and New Zealand	1981-2010	Mixed	Both positive and negative effects	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Australia and New Zealand	1974-2008	Negative	Soybean yield was reduced by 6.3%.	temperature, /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1981-2010	Negative	Significantly negative impacts	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Central and South America	1974-2008	Positive	Soybean was reduced by 5.4%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1971-2012	Negative	The effect of climate trends for the whole period was -2.6 %. Crop yield gains for this period could have been 15-20 % higher if climate trend was not significant.	precipitation/ temperature	High	Verón et al. (2015)
Eastern Europe	1981-2010	Neutral	Almost non-significant changes	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)

Eastern Europe, Northern Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Soybean yield was reduced by 3.8%.	temperature /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Europe, Western Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Soybean yield was reduced by 21.2%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Western Europe	1981-2010	Positive	In the northern border, some positive effects, but negative effects in southern stages	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Northern America	1981-2010	Mixed	In the northern border, some positive effects, but negative effects in southern stages	CO ₂ /temperature/ precipitation	High	Iizumi et al. (2018)
Northern America	1974-2008	positive	Soybean was increased by 3.3%.	temperature, /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Oil palm						
Global	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was -13.4 %	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	neutral	Yield change due to climate change was 0%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was -0.4%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Asia, South-eastern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was -15.9 %	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1974-2008	neutral	Yield change due to climate change was -0.6%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern America	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was -7.2 %.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sugarcane						
Global	1974-2008	Mixed	Yield change due to climate change was +1.0 %.	temperature, /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern Africa	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was -5.1%.	temperature, /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was -3.9%.	temperature, /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Positive	Yield change due to climate change was +5.3%.	temperature, /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Asia, South-eastern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Neutral	Yield change due to climate change was -0.6%.	temperature, /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)

Australia and New Zealand	1974-2008	Neutral	Yield change due to climate change was 0.4%.	temperature, /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1974-2008	Positive	Yield change due to climate change was +2.5%.	temperature, /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Europe, Western Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was +2.7%.	temperature, /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern America	1974-2008	positive	Yield change due to climate change was +1.7%.	temperature, /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sugar beet						
Northern Europe	1989-2009	Positive	Impacts on barley during the period. Ireland, 0% UK, 5%	temperature/precipitation	High	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Southern Europe	1989-2009	Negative	Impacts on sugar beet during the period. Greece, -9.8% Spain, 0.0% Italy, -12.6%	temperature/precipitation	High	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Western Europe	1989-2009	Positive	Impacts on sugar beet during the period. Belgium, 2.3% Germany, 2.6% France, 2.4% Netherlands, 1.1%	temperature/precipitation	Medium	Moore and Lobell (2015)
Production, Cassava						
Global	1974-2008	Mixed	Yield change due to climate change was -0.5 %.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern Africa	1974-2008	Positive	Yield change due to climate change was +18.0%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	Neutral	Yield change due to climate change was +1.7%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Neutral	Yield change due to climate change was +1.2%.	temperature /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Asia, South eastern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was -5.6%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1974-2008	Neutral	Yield change due to climate change was +0.5%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Northern America	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was -2.9%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Rapeseed						

Global	1974-2008	Neutral	Yield change due to climate change was +0.5 %.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1974-2008	Positive	Yield change due to climate change was + 24.0%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
South eastern Asia, Southern Asia, Western Asia	1974-2008	Positive	Yield change due to climate change was +1.9%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central Asia, Eastern Asia	1974-2008	Positive	Yield change due to climate change was +5.9%.	temperature /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Australia and New Zealand	1974-2008	Neutral	Yield change due to climate change was +0.6%.	temperature /precipitation	Medium	Ray et al. (2019a)
Central and South America	1974-2008	Positive	Yield change due to climate change was +6.8%.	temperature, /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Eastern Europe, Northern Europe	1974-2008	Positive	Yield change due to climate change was +3.1%.	temperature, /precipitation	low	Ray et al. (2019a)
Southern Europe, Western Europe	1974-2008	Negative	Yield change due to climate change was -11.4%.	temperature, /precipitation	High	Ray et al. (2019a)
Cereals						
Eastern Europe	1961-2018	Negative	Composite drought and heatwave impact on cereal yields was - 12.8 % during the period.	drought, heat	High	Brás et al. (2021)
Southern Europe	1961-2018	Negative	Composite drought and heatwave impact on cereal yields was 6.9% during the period	drought, heat	High	Brás et al. (2021)
Western Europe	1961-2018	Negative	Composite drought and heatwave impact on cereal yields was - 6.6%.	drought, heat	High	Brás et al. (2021)
Non-Cereals						
Eastern Europe	1961-2018	Negative	Composite drought and heatwave impact on non-cereal yields was -5.9% during the period.	drought, heat/	High	Brás et al. (2021)
Western Europe	1961-2018	Negative	Composite drought and heatwave impact on non-cereal yields was -4.5%.	drought, heat/	High	Brás et al. (2021)
Southern Europe	1961-2018	Negative	Composite drought and heatwave impact on non-cereal yields - 1.6%.	drought, heat/	High	Brás et al. (2021)
Agricultural Total Factor Productivity (TFP)						
Global	1960-2006	Mixed	Negative relations between Total Factor Productivity and climate variable found in low income countries. i.e. 1.1-1.8 % decrease per 1-degree increase.	temperature/ precipitation	Low	Letta and Tol (2019)
Global	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 21 % on average.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)

Northern Africa	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 22%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 33%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Central Asia	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 10 -20%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Eastern Asia	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 21%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Southern Asia	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 10 -20%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
South-eastern Asia	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 30-40%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Western Asia	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 30-20%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Australia and New Zealand	1978-2013	Negative	Agricultural TFP slowed down after 1994 (1978-2013). Weather-induced differences in patterns of technological diffusion.	drought	Medium	Chambers et al. (2020)
Australia and New Zealand	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 27%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Central and South America	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 30%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Eastern Europe	1961-2010	Mixed	The effect of human-induced climate change on TFP was between -10 and +10%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Northern Europe	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 20-30%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Southern Europe	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 20-30%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Western Europe	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 10 -20%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Northern America	1951-2010	Mixed	Increased variability of TFP between 1951 and 2010. Dependence of TFP on climatic variability increased from 50 % in 1951-1980 to 70% in 1981-2010.	temperature/precipitation	Medium	Li et al. (2017)
Northern America	1961-2010	Negative	Human-induced climate change reduced TFP by 19%.	temperature/ precipitation	High	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2021)
Short-term weather sensitivity						
Global	1980-2010	Negative	Major climate modes affect the crop production variability. Regional breakdown below. Large-scale modes of climate variability (El Nino Southern Oscillation, Indian Ocean Dipole, tropical Atlantic variability,	drought/heat	Low	Anderson et al. (2019)

			and the North Atlantic Oscillation) account for 18, 7, and 6 % of global maize, soybean, and wheat production variability.			
Global	1980-2010		Weather variations explain more than 50 % of the yield variability in five countries for wheat (Australia, Canada, Spain, Hungary, and Romania), seven countries for maize (South Africa, Romania, France, USA, Hungary, Germany, and Italy), two countries for rice (Japan and South Korea), and one country in soy (Argentina).	precipitation/temperature	Medium	Frieler et al. (2017)
Global	1961-2010	Negative	During 1961–2010, modelled crop productivity is significantly influenced by at least one large-scale climate oscillation in two-thirds of global cropland area. 27% of global crop production is sensitive to variations in ENSO, 5% to variations in IOD, and 20% to variations in NAO	climate oscillation	Medium	Heino et al. (2018)
Global	1981-2010	Negative	Year-to-year yield variability of maize, rice, wheat, and soybean decreased in 19-33 % of the harvested area on the globe but increased in 9-22% of the area (1981-2010). Impacts were large in major producing regions: maize and soybean in Argentina and Northeast China, rice in Indonesia and Southern China, wheat in Australia, France, Ukraine. Impacts amplified in food insecure regions: maize in Kenya and Tanzania and rice in Bangladesh and Myanmar. 21 % of yield variability explained by agro-climate index. Temperature above the optimal range explained 2-10% of the variation.	agro-climatic index estimated from temperature solar radiation and precipitation (or soil moisture)	High	Iizumi and Ramankutty (2016)
Global	1983-2009		Three-fourths of the global harvested areas 454 million hectares experienced drought-induced yield losses over this period, and the cumulative production losses correspond to 166 billion U.S. dollars. Average drought-induced yield loss produced by individual drought events from 1983 to 2009 was greater where per capita GDP is smaller (in low-income countries).	drought	Low	Kim et al. (2019)
Global	1961-2016	Negative	25% yield loss in crop yields in dry conditions (crop-country specific standardized precipitation index, SPI<0.8) compared to wet conditions (SPI>0.8) between 1961 and 2016. Yield loss probability due increases by 22% for maize, 9% for rice, and 22 % for soybean in drought conditions.	drought	Medium	Leng and Hall (2019)
Global	1961-2008	Negative	Synchronization in production within major commodities such as maize and soybean has declined in recent decades, leading to increased global stability in production of these crops. However, synchrony between crops has increased, making global calorie production became more unstable.	precipitation/ temperature	Low	Mehrabi and Ramankutty (2019)

Global	1979-2008	Negative	Yield variability of the four major crops (maize, rice, wheat, and soybean) in 13,500 political units of the world (1979-2008). Climate variability accounts for 32-39% of the observed yield variability.	precipitation/ temperature	Low	Ray et al. (2015)
Global	1964-2007	Negative	National cereal production loss of 9-10 % by weather extremes between 1964 and 2007. About 7 % greater production losses from more recent droughts (1985-2007) than from earlier droughts (1964-1984). 8-11% greater losses in high-income countries than in low-income ones. Droughts affect both harvested areas and yields, whereas heat decreases cereal yields.	drought/temperature	High	Lesk et al. (2016)
Global	1980-2010	Negative	More than 50 % of the world wheat production anomalies are from two major produces; Russia and. Heat and drought account for 42 % of the world anomalies. National breakdown follows. Heat stress over wheat cropping regions increased significantly in the period 1980–2010, especially since the mid-1990s. Excess water is a source of variation in some mid- or high- latitudes like in China and India.	heat/drought, excess water	Medium	Zampieri et al. (2017)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	Negative	Maize yield sensitivity to drought increased between 1980 and 2009. A gap between rainfed and irrigated maize yield widened from 5 % in the 1980s to 10% in the 2000s.	precipitation/temperature	high	Meng et al. (2016)
Eastern Asia	1980-2008	Negative	Climate anomalies of three variables could cause up to 50 % for wheat, maize, and soybean of yield reductions, and ~ 20 % reduction in rice. High temperatures were unfavourable for rice productivity in southwestern China.	drought, heat/ others	High	Tao et al. (2016b)
Eastern Asia	1993-2011	Neutral	A 1% increase in extreme-heat-degree-days and consecutive-dry-days results in a maize yield decline of 0.2%. and 0.07%, 0.3% for cold days occurring during the growing season, respectively.	temperature/precipitation	Low	Wei et al. (2016)
Eastern Asia	1981-2010	Negative	Heat stress changes during the 1981–2010 period decreased yields for early rice as observed in the growth stage-specific regressions, but decreased yield of the late rice due to the cold stress changes. Extreme stresses threatened the yield of double rice system, and vapor pressure deficits changed yield by – 6.66%for the early rice and – 1.82%for the late rice.	temperature/vapor pressure deficit	Low	Liu et al. (2019b)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	Negative	21.3 % (wheat) yield reduction per °C (Max T) and 8.7 % (wheat) per 10% reduction of precipitation	temperature/precipitation	High	Zhang et al. (2015b)

			warming-drying trend (1980-2009)			
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	Negative	28.4% (naked oat) yield reduction per °C (Max T) and 11.8 % (naked oat) per 10% reduction of precipitation warming-drying trend (1980-2009)	temperature/precipitation	High	Zhang et al. (2015b)
Eastern Asia	1980-2009	Negative	Yield anomaly analysis showed that 16.2 % (Potato) yield reduction per °C (Max T) and 6.6 % (Potato) per 10% reduction of precipitation warming-drying trend (1980-2009)	temperature/precipitation	High	Zhang et al. (2015b)
Australia and New Zealand	1980-2012	Negative	Yield of the five most important rain-fed crop estimated to have decreased by 25-45 % by the severe droughts relative to the wet seasons.	drought	Low	Madadgar et al. (2017)
Australia and New Zealand	1980-2012	Negative	Yield of the five most important rain-fed crops (barley, broad beans, canola, and lupine) was estimated to have decreased by 25-45 % by the severe droughts relative to the wet seasons.	drought	Low	Madadgar et al. (2017)
Europe	1984-2009	Negative	Temperature accounts for 1/4 interannual yield variability of wheat and maize yield variability. Heat stress effects were not apparent except in Romania and Bulgaria. Drought explains additional 24 % of the variation (46 % explained by the two variables). Drought had a marginal effect on wheat, except in Spain and Romania where inclusion of drought effects account for 40-50 % variation. In Slovakia, 65 % of maize variation is attributable to temperature and drought. In Bulgaria and Czech, 50 % of the variation is accounted for by temperature and droughts.	temperature/precipitation	Low	Webber et al. (2018)
Northern America	1980-2010	Negative	Maize yield change per degree of temperature anomaly became less negative from $-6.9\%/^{\circ}\text{C}$ of in first half period of 1980-2010 to $-2.4\%/^{\circ}\text{C}$ — $3.5\%/^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the second half. Negative effects countered by increased water availability.	temperature/precipitation:	high	Leng and Hall (2019)
Northern America	1995-2012	Negative	Maize yield increased during the 1995-2012 period, but sensitivity to drought increased.	precipitation/temperature	high	Lobell et al. (2014)
Northern America	1958-2007	Negative	Yield of maize and soybean increased in the 50-yr period (1958-2007) with increasing spatial variability. Drought sensitivity of maize and soybean changed during the period between 1958 and 2007 in the US maize and soybean growing regions. The central and south-eastern US states becoming more sensitive and the northern and western states becoming less sensitive. Drought is associated with 13 % of overall yield variability.	Standardised precipitation evapotranspiration index (SPEI, difference between precipitation and evapotranspiration)	high	Zipper et al. (2016)

Northern America	1994-2013	Negative	A loss of US\$ 11 billion. 2.4% soybean yield reduction by 1 degree increase of growing season temperature.	temperature/precipitation	medium	Mourtzinis et al. (2015)
Northern America	2019	Negative	Flooding and inundation delay planting by 16 days and reduced photosynthesis and crop productivity by 15 %. Indirect effects of flooding, which affected cropping season, yield and carbon sequestration.	flood	Low	Yin et al. (2020)
Northern America	1960-2004	Mixed	Variability of TFP increased during the 1960 and 2004 period in some states but decreased in others. TFP in the Midwest, the Southern Plains and the Southeast are particularly sensitive to high summer temperatures. TFP loss per degree increase in summer heat increased from -4.4%/K in 1960-1982 to -9.4%/K in 1983-2004 in the Mid-West.	temperature/precipitation	Medium	Ortiz-Bobea et al. (2018)

SM5.2 Observed Impacts, Projected Impacts and Adaptation Options in Other Crops

This supplementary information provides details for:

Figure 5.3: Synthesis of literature on observed impacts of climate change on productivity by crop type and region;

Figure 5.8: Synthesis of literature on the projected impacts of climate change on different cropping systems;

Figure 5.10: Synthesis of literature on the implementation of on-farm adaptation options across different cropping systems

Methodology

A scoping review was performed to help with the assessment of literature on other crops (other than the major crops). The aim of the review was to identify trends and gaps in the literature relating to climate change for different crop types in terms of, observed impacts (Fig. 5.4), projected impacts (Figure 5.8) and adaptation options (Figure 5.10). The literature search was performed using the SCOPUS database with a base query of climate change terms combined with a crop type query defined for each crop type using FAO classifications with crop species grouped by growth habit (see details below). A full search of title, abstract, and keywords was used with the time period limited to 2013-2021 (the period of this assessment cycle).

A title screen was performed to assign each article to one or more figures and to exclude misidentified papers. An abstract screen was performed to code the papers according to the categories used in each figure (see below).

Figure 5.3 Inclusion criteria for crop categories (right panel)

This figure assesses literature reporting observed impacts on crop productivity (yield, pest and disease pressure, harvest quality, etc.) that have occurred in the past, this includes attribution studies (high confidence), statistical associations (medium confidence) and studies based on perception of climate impacts by stakeholders or the judgment of experts-even where associations with climate is not assessed (low confidence). The combined confidence score was calculated from the individual studies (see SM5.1). The projected impacts on crop performance are reported as positive, negative or mixed. For Figure 5.3, the category ‘cereals’ includes the same dataset as used in the left panel for wheat, maize and rice along with other cereals, while legumes includes the dataset for soybean along with other legume species (see SM5.1). The focal region or regions was used to further disaggregate the assessment (see below). Review papers were included where regional synthesis is provided or where primary data is reported (otherwise primary sources were used). Excluded from the search were projection studies and experimental studies (in laboratory or controlled environment settings; these studies are given consideration in the main text and are summarised elsewhere (e.g. Daryanto et al., 2017; Bisbis et al., 2018; Alae-Carew et al., 2020).

Figure 5.8 Inclusion criteria

This figure assesses literature reporting projected impacts on crop productivity (yield, pest and disease pressure, harvest quality, etc.) including all emission scenarios and time periods. The confidence for each study was coded as low, medium or high based on the assertions of the authors. The combined confidence score was calculated from the individual studies (see SM5.1). The projected impacts on crop performance are reported as positive, negative or mixed (mixed includes reports where different scenarios or time periods produce contrasting results). For figure 5.8 studies on the four major crops (wheat, maize, rice and soybean) are not included. Review papers were included where regional synthesis is provided or where primary data is reported (otherwise primary sources were used). For each study the main climate driver or drivers was used to further disaggregate the assessment (see below). The driver ‘temperature’ includes impacts due to daily temperature and heat stress events; ‘Phenology and seasons’ includes impacts due to the duration of the growing season (e.g. growing degree days, frost free periods, etc.) and the timing of developmental events (e.g. flowering, winter chill requirements, etc.); ‘Pests and diseases’ includes impacts from biotic agents under the influence of climate drivers (including temperature). All projection studies are included regardless of the choice of climate drivers or modelling approach, except for studies based exclusively on projection of the growing area suitability of the crop species (see SM5.4). Projections using spatial suitability models to estimate the impacts on crops within their current growing area or to estimate the exposure of crops to pests and diseases were included. Excluded from the search were estimates from experimental studies (in laboratory or controlled environment settings; these studies are given consideration in the main text and are summarised elsewhere (e.g. Scheelbeek et al., 2018)).

Figure 5.10 Inclusion criteria

This figure assesses studies on on-farm climate change adaptation by crop type and adaptation option. It includes literature where response options were field tested (high confidence), experimentally tested (medium confidence) or suggested based on stakeholder and expert judgment (low confidence). The combined confidence score was calculated from the individual studies (see SM5.1). For figure 5.10 studies on the four major crops (wheat, maize, rice and soybean) are not included. Review papers were included. For each study the main adaptation option or options were used to further disaggregate the assessment (see below). Off-farm adaptation options not focused on crop production (e.g. livelihood diversification) are considered in Figure 5.20 and Figure 5.21.

Climate change base query

{climate change} OR {climatic change} OR {climate variability} OR {climate warming} OR {global warming}) AND NOT ({emissions} OR {mitigation} OR {REDD} OR {MRV})

Crop type query: Vegetable

("vegetable crop" OR artichoke* OR asparagus OR brassica* OR broccoli OR cauliflower OR cucumber OR gherkin* OR courgette OR alliace* OR celery OR leek* OR cabbage* OR onion* OR garlic* OR pumpkin OR squash OR gourd* OR bamboo OR tomato OR Lycopersicon OR *pepper* OR eggplant OR aubergine* OR "Solanum melongena" OR Chayote OR "Sechium edule" OR christophine OR okra OR "Abelmoschus esculentus" OR mushroom* OR truffle*)

Crop type query: Legumes (excluding soybean-see major crops)

(legume* OR phaseolus OR pisum OR lentil* OR chickpea* OR "chick pea*" OR "Cicer arietinum" OR cowpea OR "Vigna unguiculata" OR "pigeon pea" OR pigeonpea OR peanut* OR groundnut* OR "Arachis hypogaea" OR lupin* OR vetches OR vicia OR carobs)

Crop type query: Salad crops

("salad crop" OR lettuce OR chicory OR celery OR spinach OR parsley OR rocket OR "collard green" OR "cassava leaves")

Crop type query: Soft fruit

(fruit* OR *berries OR strawberr* OR rasperr* OR blueberr* OR cranberr* OR gooseberr* OR melon* OR watermelon* OR pineapple* OR papaya* OR "passion fruit" OR pomegranate* OR Persimmon* OR cashewapple OR "cashew apple" OR currants OR ribes)

Crop type query: Root crops

(Potato* OR "Solanum tuberosum" OR sweetpotato* OR "sweet potat*" OR "Ipomoea batatas" OR cassava OR "Manihot esculenta" OR turnip* OR carrot* OR beetroot OR radish* OR yam* OR Dioscorea OR taro* OR cocoyam* OR yautia OR onion* OR garlic OR ginger)

Crop type query: Tree crops (fruit, nut and other)

("perennial fruit" OR apple* OR "Malus pumila" OR apricot* OR citrus OR orange* OR lemon* OR lime OR grapefruit* OR tangerine* OR mandarin* OR clementine* OR satsuma* OR peach* OR nectarine* OR pear OR plum* OR quince* OR sloe* OR cherr* OR avocado* OR breadfruit* OR mango* OR olive* OR "Olea europaea" OR guava* OR lyche* OR jackfruit* OR "dragon fruit*" OR "palm fruit*" OR kapok OR banana* OR plantain* OR Musa OR grape OR "kiwi fruit" OR "Vitis vinifera" OR "date palm" OR "Phoenix dactylifera" OR "common fig" OR "Ficus carica")
(almond* OR cashew* OR hazel* OR walnut* OR pistachio* OR macadamia* OR chestnut* OR Areca OR "Karite nut*" OR sheanut* OR "Vitellaria paradoxa" OR kola* OR "brazil nut" OR "Bertholletia excelsa" OR "pine nut")
OR (cocoa OR cacao OR theobroma)

1 **Table SM5.2:** References by figure and coding category

Citation	Title	Crop type	Figure	Region (Figure 5.3)	Driver (Figure 5.8)	Adaptation (Figure 5.10)
Lafta et al. (2017)	Field evaluation of green and red leaf lettuce genotypes in the Imperial, San Joaquin, and Salinas Valleys of California for heat tolerance and extension of the growing seasons	Leafy crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern America		
Gilardi et al. (2018a)	Emerging pathogens as a consequence of globalization and climate change: Leafy vegetables as a case study	Leafy crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Southern Europe		Agronomy, Climate services, Plant breeding
Gilardi et al. (2018b)	Emerging foliar and soil-borne pathogens of leafy vegetable crops: a possible threat to Europe	Leafy crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Europe		
El-Danasoury and Iglesias-Piñero (2017)	Performance of the slug parasitic nematode <i>Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita</i> under predicted conditions of winter warming	Leafy crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)		Pests & Diseases	
Molina-Montenegro et al. (2016)	Root-endophytes improve the ecophysiological performance and production of an agricultural species under drought condition	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)			Agroecology
Gullino et al. (2019)	Ready-to-Eat Salad Crops: A Plant Pathogen's Heaven	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)			Agronomy
Sabri et al. (2019)	The use of soil cooling for growing temperate crops under tropical climate	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)			Agronomy
Dong et al. (2018)	Effects of elevated CO ₂ on nutritional quality of vegetables: A review	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)			Agronomy, Shift crop/cultivar
Testani et al. (2020)	Agroecological practices for organic lettuce: effects on yield, nitrogen status and nitrogen utilisation efficiency	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)			Conservation agriculture
Beacham et al. (2018)	Addressing the threat of climate change to agriculture requires improving crop resilience to short-term abiotic stress	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)			Plant breeding
Jasper et al. (2020)	Growth temperature influences postharvest glucosinolate concentrations and hydrolysis product formation in first and second cuts of rocket salad	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)			Plant breeding

Lafta et al. (2017)	Field evaluation of green and red leaf lettuce genotypes in the imperial, San Joaquin, and Salinas Valleys of California for heat tolerance and extension of the growing seasons	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting date,
Ket et al. (2018)	Simulation of crop growth and water-saving irrigation scenarios for Lettuce: A monsoon-climate case study in Kampong Chhnang, Cambodia	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Water management
Martínez-Sánchez et al., (2018))	Impact of climate change and global trends on the microbial quality of leafy greens	Leafy crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Water management
Madadgar et al. (2017)	Probabilistic estimates of drought impacts on agricultural production	Legumes	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Australia & New Zealand	
Dreccer et al. (2018)	Comparison of sensitive stages of wheat, barley, canola, chickpea and field pea to temperature and water stress across Australia	Legumes	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Australia & New Zealand	
Potopová et al. (2017)	The impacts of key adverse weather events on the field-grown vegetable yield variability in the Czech Republic from 1961 to 2014	Legumes	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Europe	
Gourdji et al. (2015)	Historical climate trends, deforestation, and maize and bean yields in Nicaragua	Legumes	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Latin America & the Caribbean	
Eck et al. (2020)	Influence of growing season temperature and precipitation anomalies on crop yield in the southeastern United States	Legumes	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern America	
Swe et al. (2015)	Farmers' Perception of and adaptation to climate-change impacts in the dry zone of Myanmar.	Legumes	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	South Eastern Asia	
Herridge et al. (2019)	The cropping systems of the Central Dry Zone of Myanmar: Productivity constraints and possible solutions	Legumes	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	South Eastern Asia	
Hoffman et al. (2018b)	Analysis of climate signals in the crop yield record of sub-Saharan Africa	Legumes	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Sub-Saharan Africa	Temperature
Luquet et al. (2019)	Relative importance of long-term changes in climate and land-use on the phenology and abundance of legume crop specialist and generalist aphids	Legumes	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Western Europe	

Praveen (2017)	Spatiotemporal analysis of projected impacts of climate change on the major C3 and C4 crop yield under representative concentration pathway 4.5: Insight from the coasts of Tamil Nadu, South India	Legumes	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Carbon dioxide, Temperature	
Mohammed et al. (2017)	Identifying best crop management practices for chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) in Northeastern Ethiopia under climate change condition	Legumes	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Carbon dioxide, Temperature, Precipitation	Water management, Shift planting date, Shift crop/cultivar
Georgopoulou et al. (2017)	Climate change impacts and adaptation options for the Greek agriculture in 2021–2050: A monetary assessment	Legumes	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.11, (Adaptation)	Climate change	Water management, Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting date
Ramirez-Cabral et al. (2019)	Suitable areas of <i>Phakopsora pachyrhizi</i> , <i>Spodoptera exigua</i> , and their host plant <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> are projected to reduce and shift due to climate change	Legumes	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Rao et al. (2015)	Prediction of Pest Scenarios of <i>Spodoptera litura</i> Fab. in Peanut Growing Areas of India During Future Climate Change	Legumes	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Anwar et al. (2015)	Climate change impacts on phenology and yields of five broadacre crops at four climatologically distinct locations in Australia	Legumes	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Phenology, Precipitation	
Sarr et al. (2016)	Projections of peanut yields from 2011 to 2040 in senegal using classification and regression trees	Legumes	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Precipitation	
Awoye et al. (2017)	Dynamical-statistical projections of the climate change impact on agricultural production in Benin by means of a cross-validated linear model combined with Bayesian statistics	Legumes	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature	
Zinyengere et al. (2014)	Local impacts of climate change and agronomic practices on dry land crops in Southern Africa	Legumes	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature	
Bahl (2015)	Climate Change and Pulses: Approaches to Combat Its Impact	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy
Daryanto et al. (2017)	Global synthesis of drought effects on cereal, legume, tuber and root crops production: A review	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy, Shift crop/cultivar
Sanogo et al. (2017)	Participatory diagnosis and development of climate change adaptive capacity in the groundnut basin of Senegal: Building a climate-smart village model	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Climate services

Ngwira et al. (2014)	DSSAT modelling of conservation agriculture maize response to climate change in Malawi	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Conservation agriculture
Makate et al. (2019)	Increasing resilience of smallholder farmers to climate change through multiple adoption of proven climate-smart agriculture innovations. Lessons from Southern Africa	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Conservation agriculture, Shift crop/cultivar
Bedoussac et al. (2015)	Ecological principles underlying the increase of productivity achieved by cereal-grain legume intercrops in organic farming. A review	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	On-farm diversity
Araujo et al. (2015)	Abiotic Stress Responses in Legumes: Strategies Used to Cope with Environmental Challenges	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Bhandari et al. (2017)	Temperature sensitivity of food legumes: a physiological insight	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Bohra et al. (2015)	Genetics- and genomics-based interventions for nutritional enhancement of grain legume crops: status and outlook	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Crossa et al. (2017)	Genomic Selection in Plant Breeding: Methods, Models, and Perspectives	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Dwivedi et al. (2018)	Using biotechnology-led approaches to uplift cereal and food legume yields in dryland environments	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Gangurde et al. (2019)	Climate-smart groundnuts for achieving high productivity and improved quality: Current status, challenges, and opportunities	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Kulkarni et al. (2018)	Harnessing the potential of forage legumes, alfalfa, soybean, and cowpea for sustainable agriculture and global food security	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Sultana et al. (2014)	Abiotic stresses in major pulses: Current status and strategies	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Thomas and Ougham (2014)	The stay-green trait	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Varshney (2016)	Exciting journey of 10 years from genomes to fields and markets: Some success stories of genomics-assisted breeding in chickpea, pigeonpea and groundnut	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding

Varshney et al. (2018)	Accelerating genetic gains in legumes for the development of prosperous smallholder agriculture: Integrating genomics, phenotyping, systems modelling and agronomy	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Plant breeding
(Tongruksawattana and Wainaina (2019)	Climate shock adaptation for Kenyan maize-legume farmers: choice, complementarities and substitutions between strategies	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift crop/cultivar
Marrou et al. (2014)	Assessment of irrigation scenarios to improve performances of Lingot bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>) in southwest France	Legumes	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Water management
Salinger et al. (2020)	Unparalleled coupled ocean-atmosphere summer heatwaves in the New Zealand region: drivers, mechanisms and impacts	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Australasia	
Bebber (2015)	Range-expanding pests and pathogens in a warming world	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Central Asia, Eastern Asia, Eastern Europe, Southern Europe	Pests & Diseases
Ray et al. (2019a)	Climate change has likely already affected global food production	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Central Asia, Eastern Asia, Latin America & the Caribbean, Northern America, Northern Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa, Southern Asia, Southeastern Asia, Western Asia, Global	
Tang et al. (2016)	Comparison of the impacts of climate change on potential productivity of different staple crops in the agro-pastoral ecotone of North China	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Eastern Asia	Shift crop/cultivar
Tang et al. (2016)	Comparison of the impacts of climate change on potential productivity of different staple crops in the agro-pastoral ecotone of North China	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Eastern Asia	Shift crop/cultivar

Shimoda et al. (2018)	Time series analysis of temperature and rainfall-based weather aggregation reveals significant correlations between climate turning points and potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) yield trends in Japan	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Asia	
Wang et al. (2019b)	Analysis of the spatiotemporal variability of droughts and the effects of drought on potato production in northern China	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Asia	
Zhang et al. (2015a)	Adaptation to a warming-drying trend through cropping system adjustment over three decades: A case study in the northern agro-pastoral ecotone of China	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Asia	
Potopová et al. (2017)	The impacts of key adverse weather events on the field-grown vegetable yield variability in the Czech Republic from 1961 to 2014	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Europe	
Brás et al. (2021)	Severity of drought and heatwave crop losses tripled over the last five decades in Europe	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Europe, Southern Europe, Western Europe	
Raymundo et al. (2014)	Potato, sweet potato, and yam models for climate change: A review	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Northern Europe	Climate change
Eck et al. (2020)	Influence of growing season temperature and precipitation anomalies on crop yield in the southeastern United States	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern America	
Graziosi et al. (2016)	Emerging pests and diseases of South-east Asian cassava: A comprehensive evaluation of geographic priorities, management options and research needs	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	South-eastern Asia	
Yoshida et al. (2019)	Weather-induced economic damage to upland crops and the impact on farmer household income in Northeast Thailand	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	South-eastern Asia	
Shiru et al. (2019)	Changing characteristics of meteorological droughts in Nigeria during 1901–2010	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Ebrahimi et al. (2014)	Observations on the life cycle of potato cyst nematodes, <i>Globodera rostochiensis</i> and <i>G. pallida</i> , on early potato cultivars	Root crops	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Western Europe	

Rana et al. (2020)	Climate Change and Potato Productivity in Punjab—Impacts and Adaptation	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Carbon dioxide, Temperature	Shift planting date
Dua et al. (2015)	Impact of climate change on potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>) productivity in Bihar and relative adaptation strategies	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Carbon dioxide, Temperature	Shift planting date, Shift crop/cultivar
Dua et al. (2018)	Climate change and potato productivity in Madhya Pradesh-impact and adaptation	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Carbon dioxide, Temperature	Shift planting date, Shift crop/cultivar
Dua et al. (2016)	Impact of climate change on potato productivity in Uttar Pradesh and adaptation strategies	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Carbon dioxide, Temperature	
Yagiz et al. (2020)	Exploration of Climate Change Effects on Shifting Potato Seasons, Yields and Water Use Employing NASA and National Long-Term Weather Data	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Carbon dioxide, Temperature,	
Deguchi et al. (2016)	Actual and Potential Yield Levels of Potato in Different Production Systems of Japan	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Carbon dioxide, Temperature, Phenology	
Georgopoulou et al. (2017)	Climate change impacts and adaptation options for the Greek agriculture in 2021–2050: A monetary assessment	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig. 5.10 (Adaptation),	Climate change	Water management, Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting date,
Raymundo et al. (2018)	Climate change impact on global potato production	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Climate change	
Knox et al. (2016)	Meta-analysis of climate impacts and uncertainty on crop yields in Europe	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Climate change	
Crespo-Pérez et al. (2015)	Changes in the distribution of multispecies pest assemblages affect levels of crop damage in warming tropical Andes	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Ashofteh et al. (2015)	Risk analysis of water demand for agricultural crops under climate change	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Precipitation	

Asante and Amuakwa-Mensah (2015)	Climate change and variability in Ghana: Stocktaking	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature,	
MA et al. (2019)	Spatial distribution of crop climatic potential productivity and its response to climate change in agro-pastoral ecotone in northern Shanxi province	Root crops	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature, Precipitation	
Markou et al. (2020)	Addressing climate change impacts on agriculture: Adaptation measures for six crops in Cyprus	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy, Climate services, On-farm diversity, Plant breeding, Water management, Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting date
Larbi et al. (2019b)	Analysis of food crops farmers' choice of climate change adaptation strategies in Kwara State, Nigeria	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy, Climate services, Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting date
de Araújo Visses et al. (2018)	Yield gap of cassava crop as a measure of food security - an example for the main Brazilian producing regions	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy, Conservation agriculture, Water management, Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting date,
Mubarak (2020)	Improving water productivity and yield onion crop by combining early planting and straw mulch under different irrigation levels in dry mediterranean region	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy, Water management, Shift planting date
Adamides et al. (2020)	Smart farming techniques for climate change adaptation in Cyprus	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Climate services, Water management
Manuel et al. (2019)	Parental Value for Tuber Yield in Potato under High Temperature Environments in Climate Change Conditions	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Plant breeding
Nguyen-Sy et al. (2019)	Impacts of climatic and varietal changes on phenology and yield components in rice production in Shonai region of Yamagata Prefecture, Northeast Japan for 36 years	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift crop/cultivar

Tsuji et al. (2019)	Enhancing food security in subarctic Canada in the context of climate change: The harmonization of indigenous harvesting pursuits and agroforestry activities to form a sustainable import-substitution strategy	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift planting area
Lee and Dang (2020)	Crop calendar shift as a climate change adaptation solution for cassava cultivation area of Binh Thuan province, Vietnam	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift planting date
Wang et al. (2015a)	Adaptation of potato production to climate change by optimizing sowing date in the Loess Plateau of central Gansu, China	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift planting date
Li et al. (2019c)	Coupling impacts of planting date and cultivar on potato yield	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift planting date
Goswami et al. (2018)	Impact assessment of climate change on potato productivity in Assam using SUBSTOR-Potato model	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift planting date
Maho et al. (2019)	Changes in potato cultivation technology in Korça region as adaptation to climate change	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift planting date
Srivastava et al. (2019)	Quantitative Approaches in Adaptation Strategies to Cope with Increased Temperatures Following Climate Change in Potato Crop	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Shift planting date
Dalias et al. (2019)	Adjustment of irrigation schedules as a strategy to mitigate climate change impacts on agriculture in Cyprus	Root crops	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Water management
Brás et al. (2021)	Severity of drought and heatwave crop losses tripled over the last five decades in Europe	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Europe, Southern Europe, Western Europe	
Olivares (2018)	Tropical rainfall conditions in rainfed agriculture in Carabobo, Venezuela	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Latin America & the Caribbean	
Silva and Noda (2016)	A Dinâmica entre as águas e terras na Amazônia e seus efeitos sobre as várzeas	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Latin America & the Caribbean	
Drummond et al. (2017)	A natural history of change in native bees associated with lowbush blueberry in Maine	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig. 5.10 Adaptation	Northern America	On-farm diversity

Ellwood et al. (2014)	Cranberry flowering times and climate change in southern Massachusetts	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern America	
Hupp et al. (2015)	How are your berries? Perspectives of Alaska's environmental managers on trends in wild berry abundance	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern America	
Samtani et al. (2019)	The status and future of the strawberry industry in the United States	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern America	
Andersen et al. (2017)	Impact of seasonal warming on overwintering and spring phenology of blackcurrant	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern Europe	
Boulanger-Lapointe et al. (2017)	Climate and herbivore influence on <i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i> over the last 40 years in northwest Lapland, Finland	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern Europe	
Sønsteby and Heide (2014)	Chilling requirements of contrasting black currant (<i>Ribes nigrum</i> L.) cultivars and the induction of secondary bud dormancy	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern Europe	
Ramlall (2014)	Gauging the impact of climate change on food crops production in Mauritius: an econometric approach	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Williams et al. (2017)	Impact of climate variability on pineapple production in Ghana	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Vitasse et al. (2018)	Increase in the risk of exposure of forest and fruit trees to spring frosts at higher elevations in Switzerland over the last four decades	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Western Europe	
Menzel et al. (2020)	Climate change fingerprints in recent European plant phenology	Soft Fruit	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig. 5.10 Adaptation	Western Europe	Shift planting date
Kabir et al. (2018)	Bio-economic evaluation of cropping systems for saline coastal Bangladesh: I. Biophysical simulation in historical and future environments	Soft fruit	Fig 5.8 (Projection)		Climate change
Bezerra et al. (2019)	Agricultural area losses and pollinator mismatch due to climate changes endanger passion fruit production in the Neotropics	Soft fruit	Fig 5.8 (Projection)		Ecosystem services
Lee et al. (2018)	Effects of climate change on the phenology of <i>Osmia cornifrons</i> : implications for population management	Soft fruit	Fig 5.8 (Projection)		Ecosystem services

Sridhar et al. (2017)	CLIMEX modelling for risk assessment of Asian fruit fly, <i>Bactrocera papayae</i> (Drew and Hancock, 1994) in India	Soft fruit	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Sultana et al. (2020)	Impacts of climate change on high priority fruit fly species in Australia	Soft fruit	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Hong et al., 2019	Risk map for the range expansion of Thrips palmi in Korea under climate change: Combining species distribution models with land-use change	Soft fruit	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Bieniek et al. (2016)	Assesment of climatic conditions for Actinidia arguta cultivation in North-Eastern Poland	Soft fruit	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Phenology	
Hidaka et al. (2017)	Crown-cooling treatment induces earlier flower bud differentiation of strawberry under high air temperatures	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy
Retamal-Salgado et al. (2017)	Decrease in artificial radiation with netting reduces stress and improves rabbit-eye blueberry (<i>Vaccinium virgatum aiton</i>) ‘ochlockonee’ productivity	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy
Wuepper et al. (2020)	Non-cognitive skills and climate change adaptation: empirical evidence from Ghana’s pineapple farmers	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy
Joshi and Chauhan (2016)	Combating climate change through off-seasonally raising seedling of papaya (<i>Carica papaya</i> L.) in protected environment	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy
Daigle et al. (2019)	Traditional Lifeways and Storytelling: Tools for Adaptation and Resilience to Ecosystem Change	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy
Mubiru et al. (2018)	Climate trends, risks and coping strategies in smallholder farming systems in Uganda	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy, Shift crop/cultivar
Jones et al. (2015)	Chilling requirement of ribes cultivars	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Climate services
Guo et al. (2019)	Optimal allocation of irrigation water resources based on meteorological factor under uncertainty	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Climate services,
Lima et al. (2017)	Productivity and quality of melon cultivated in a protected environment under different soil managements	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Conservation agriculture,
Sobol et al. (2014)	Genetic variation in yield under hot ambient temperatures spotlights a role for cytokinin in protection of developing floral primordia	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Plant breeding

Winde et al. (2017)	Variation in freezing tolerance, water content and carbohydrate metabolism of floral buds during deacclimation of contrasting blackcurrant cultivars	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Urtasun et al. (2020)	Dormancy release, germination and ex situ conservation of the southern highland papaya (<i>Vasconcellea quercifolia</i> , Caricaceae), a wild crop relative	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Ngo et al. (2017)	Adapting the melon production model to climate change in Giao Thuy District, Nam Dinh Province, Vietnam	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Ferus et al. (2017)	Hooker's or warty barberry? Physiological background analysis for choosing the right one into ornamental plantations endangered by drought	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Bolaños-Villegas (2020)	Chromosome engineering in tropical cash crops	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding,
Adak et al. (2018)	Yield, quality and biochemical properties of various strawberry cultivars under water stress	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding, Shift crop/cultivar
Stewart (2015)	Agave as a model CAM crop system for a warming and drying world	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar
Trivedi et al. (2015)	Variability in morpho-physiological traits and antioxidant potential of kiwifruit (<i>Actinidia chinensis</i> Planch) in Central Himalayan Region	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar
Iqbal et al. (2020)	Cactus pear: A weed of dry-lands for supplementing food security under changing climate	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar,
de Lima et al. (2015)	Partial rootzone drying (PRD) and regulated deficit irrigation (RDI) effects on stomatal conductance, growth, photosynthetic capacity, and water-use efficiency of papaya	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Morris et al. (2017)	Essential irrigation and the economics of strawberries in a temperate climate	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Yu et al. (2020)	Global synthesis of the impact of droughts on crops' water-use efficiency (WUE): Towards both high WUE and productivity	Soft fruit	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management, Shift crop/cultivar
Jarvis et al. (2017)	Relationship between viticultural climatic indices and grape maturity in Australia	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Australia & New Zealand

Zhang et al. (2015c)	Environmental factors and seasonality affect the concentration of rotundone in <i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. cv. Shiraz wine	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Australia & New Zealand	
Wypych et al. (2017)	Variability of growing degree days in Poland in response to ongoing climate changes in Europe	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Europe	
Gitea et al., 2019)	Orchard management under the effects of climate change: implications for apple, plum, and almond growing	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Europe	
Brás et al. (2021)	Severity of drought and heatwave crop losses tripled over the last five decades in Europe	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Europe, Southern Europe, Western Europe	
Reineke and Thiéry (2016)	Grapevine insect pests and their natural enemies in the age of global warming	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Global	Agronomy, Climate services,
Mozell and Thach (2014)	The impact of climate change on the global wine industry: Challenges & solutions	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Global	Agronomy, Conservation agriculture, On-farm diversity, Water management, Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting area,
Legave et al. (2015)	Differentiated responses of apple tree floral phenology to global warming in contrasting climatic regions	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Global	
Ramírez and Kallarackal (2015)	Responses of fruit trees to global climate change.	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Global	
Jacobi et al. (2015a)	Agroecosystem resilience and farmers' perceptions of climate change impacts on cocoa farms in Alto Beni, Bolivia	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Latin America & the Caribbean	Agroecology

Jacobi et al. (2017a)	Building farm resilience in a changing climate: Challenges, potentials, and ways forward for smallholder cocoa production in Bolivia	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Latin America & the Caribbean	Agroecology
Rhiney et al. (2016)	Assessing the vulnerability of Caribbean farmers to climate change impacts: A comparative study of cocoa farmers in Jamaica and Trinidad	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Latin America & the Caribbean	Plant breeding
Benmoussa et al. (2017)	Chilling and heat requirements for local and foreign almond (<i>Prunus dulcis</i> Mill.) cultivars in a warm Mediterranean location based on 30 years of phenology records	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	North Africa	Shift crop/cultivar
Ortega-Beltran et al. (2019)	Atoxigenic <i>aspergillus flavus</i> isolates endemic to almond, fig, and pistachio orchards in California with potential to reduce aflatoxin contamination in these crops	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	North America	
Pope et al. (2015)	Nut crop yield records show that budbreak-based chilling requirements may not reflect yield decline chill thresholds	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	North America	
Rayne and Forest (2016)	Rapidly changing climatic conditions for wine grape growing in the Okanagan Valley region of British Columbia, Canada	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern America	
Ugolini et al. (2014)	Ecophysiological responses and vulnerability to other pathologies in European chestnut coppices, heavily infested by the Asian chestnut gall wasp	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Southern Europe	Climate services
Rabadán et al. (2019)	A comparison of the effect of genotype and weather conditions on the nutritional composition of most important commercial nuts	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Southern Europe	Shift crop/cultivar
Gentilucci et al. (2020)	Temperature variations in Central Italy (Marche region) and effects on wine grape production	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Europe	
Pulko (2014)	Trends in climate parameters affecting winegrape ripening in northeastern Slovenia	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Europe	
Menapace et al. (2015)	Climate change beliefs and perceptions of agricultural risks: An application of the exchangeability method	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Europe	

Paterson et al. (2018)	Predominant mycotoxins, mycotoxigenic fungi and climate change related to wine	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Europe		
Bonsignore et al. (2019)	Environmental thermal levels affect the phenological relationships between the chestnut gall wasp and its parasitoids	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Europe		
El Yaacoubi et al. (2014)	Global warming impact on floral phenology of fruit trees species in Mediterranean region	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Europe, North Africa		
Spinoni et al. (2015)	European degree-day climatologies and trends for the period 1951-2011	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Europe, Western Europe		
Fonta et al. (2018)	A Ricardian valuation of the impact of climate change on Nigerian cocoa production: Insight for adaptation policy	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Sub-Saharan Africa	Temperature, Precipitation,	Agronomy
Ameyaw et al. (2018)	Cocoa and climate change: Insights from smallholder cocoa producers in Ghana regarding challenges in implementing climate change mitigation strategies	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Sub-Saharan Africa		Agroecology
Gnonlonfoun et al. (2019)	New indicators of vulnerability and resilience of agroforestry systems to climate change in West Africa: West African agroforestry systems and climate change	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Sub-Saharan Africa		Agroecology
Lahive et al. (2019)	The physiological responses of cacao to the environment and the implications for climate change resilience. A review	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Sub-Saharan Africa		Agroecology
Ofori-Boateng et al. (2015)	Climate conditions and cocoa yields in ECOWAS countries: Fully modified OLS approach	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Sub-Saharan Africa		Agronomy

Oyekale (2015)	Climate change induced occupational stress and reported morbidity among cocoa farmers in South-Western Nigeria	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Sub-Saharan Africa		Climate services
Asante et al. (2016)	Farmers' perspectives on climate change manifestations in smallholder cocoa farms and shifts in cropping systems in the forest-savannah transitional zone of Ghana	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Sub-Saharan Africa		Shift crop/cultivar
Adebisi-Adelani (2016)	Citrus and Tomatoes Response to Climate Change: Survey of Farmers' Perception and Adaptation Strategies in Northern Nigeria	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Sub-Saharan Africa		
Ofori-Boateng and Insah (2014)	The impact of climate change on cocoa production in West Africa	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Sub-Saharan Africa		
Ruf et al. (2015)	Climate change, cocoa migrations and deforestation in West Africa: What does the past tell us about the future?	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Sub-Saharan Africa		
Korres et al. (2016)	Cultivars to face climate change effects on crops and weeds: a review	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Western Europe		Shift crop/cultivar
de Cortazar Atauri et al. (2017)	Grapevine phenology in France: From past observations to future evolutions in the context of climate change	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Western Europe		Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting area
Cook and Wolkovich (2016)	Climate change decouples drought from early wine grape harvests in France	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Western Europe		
Georgopoulou et al. (2017)	Climate change impacts and adaptation options for the Greek agriculture in 2021–2050: A monetary assessment	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig. 5.10 (Adaptation),		Climate change	Agronomy, Shift crop/cultivar, Water management,
Giannini et al. (2017)	Projected climate change threatens pollinators and crop production in Brazil	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)		Ecosystem services	
Ortega Andrade et al. (2017)	Climate change and the risk of spread of the fungus from the high mortality of Theobroma cocoa in Latin America	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Pests & Diseases	Climate services

Aidoo et al. (2019)	Distribution, degree of damage and risk of spread of <i>Trioza erytreae</i> (Hemiptera: Triozidae) in Kenya	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Pests & Diseases	Climate services
Choudhary et al. (2019c)	Spatio-temporal temperature variations in MarkSim multimodel data and their impact on voltinism of fruit fly, <i>Bactrocera</i> species on mango	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Bosso et al. (2016)	Shedding light on the effects of climate change on the potential distribution of <i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> in the Mediterranean basin	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Launay et al. (2014)	Climatic indicators for crop infection risk: Application to climate change impacts on five major foliar fungal diseases in Northern France	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Shabani et al. (2014)	Future distributions of <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. spp. in European, Middle Eastern and North African agricultural regions under climate change	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Choudhary et al. (2019a)	Predicting impact of climate change on habitat suitability of guava fruit fly, <i>Bactrocera correcta</i> (Bezzi) using MaxEnt modeling in India	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Choudhary et al. (2019b)	Predicting the invasion potential of indigenous restricted mango fruit borer, <i>Citripestis eutrapphera</i> (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in India based on MaxEnt modelling	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Molitor and Junk (2019)	Climate change is implicating a two-fold impact on air temperature increase in the ripening period under the conditions of the Luxembourgish grapegrowing region	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Phenology	Climate services
Vahdati et al. (2019)	Applying the AOGCM-AR5 models to the assessments of land suitability for walnut cultivation in response to climate change: A case study of Iran	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Phenology	Climate services, Shift planting area
Parker and Abatzoglou (2018)	Shifts in the thermal niche of almond under climate change	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig. 5.10 (Adaptation),	Phenology	Shift planting area,
Funes et al. (2016)	Future climate change impacts on apple flowering date in a Mediterranean subbasin	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Phenology	

Fraga et al. (2019)	Climate change projections for chilling and heat forcing conditions in European vineyards and olive orchards: a multi-model assessment	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Phenology	
Molitor et al. (2014)	Late frost damage risk for viticulture under future climate conditions: A case study for the Luxembourgish winegrowing region	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Phenology	
Gabaldón-Leal et al. (2017)	Impact of changes in mean and extreme temperatures caused by climate change on olive flowering in southern Spain	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Phenology, Temperature	
Valverde et al. (2015)	Climate change impacts on rainfed agriculture in the Guadiana river basin (Portugal)	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Precipitation	
Teslić et al. (2019)	Future climatic suitability of the Emilia-Romagna (Italy) region for grape production	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Temperature	Climate services, Shift planting area
Li et al. (2020)	Possible impact of climate change on apple yield in Northwest China	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature,	
Santos et al. (2017)	Climate change impacts on thermal growing conditions of main fruit species in Portugal	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Temperature, Phenology	Shift planting area
Benmoussa et al. (2018)	Climate change threatens central Tunisian nut orchards	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature, Phenology	
Schroth et al. (2016)	Vulnerability to climate change of cocoa in West Africa: Patterns, opportunities and limits to adaptation	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Temperature, Precipitation	Agroecology
Asante and Amuakwa-Mensah (2015)	Climate change and variability in Ghana: Stocktaking	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature, Precipitation	
Migliore et al. (2019)	A ricardian analysis of the impact of climate change on permanent crops in a mediterranean region	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature, Precipitation	
Bouregaa (2019)	Impact of climate change on yield and water requirement of rainfed crops in the Setif region	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature, Precipitation	
Abdulai et al. (2018a)	Characterization of cocoa production, income diversification and shade tree management along a climate gradient in Ghana	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agroecology

Abdulai et al. (2018a)	Cocoa agroforestry is less resilient to sub-optimal and extreme climate than cocoa in full sun	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Blaser et al. (2018)	Climate-smart sustainable agriculture in low-to-intermediate shade agroforests	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
de Sousa et al. (2019)	The future of coffee and cocoa agroforestry in a warmer Mesoamerica	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Jadán et al. (2015)	Influence of tree cover on diversity, carbon sequestration and productivity of cocoa systems in the Ecuadorian Amazon	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Santhiyami et al. (2018)	The comparison of aboveground C-stock between cocoa-based agroforestry system and cocoa monoculture practice in West Sumatra, Indonesia	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Sonwa et al. (2019)	Structure of cocoa farming systems in West and Central Africa: a review	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Tondoh et al. (2015)	Ecological changes induced by full-sun cocoa farming in Côte d'Ivoire	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Utomo et al. (2016)	Environmental performance of cocoa production from monoculture and agroforestry systems in Indonesia	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
van der Wolf et al. (2019)	Turning local knowledge on agroforestry into an online decision-support tool for tree selection in smallholders' farms	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Ranjitkar et al. (2016a)	Climate modelling for agroforestry species selection in Yunnan Province, China	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Guillot et al. (2019)	With or without trees: Resistance and resilience of soil microbial communities to drought and heat stress in a Mediterranean agroforestry system	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Naughton et al. (2015)	Land suitability modeling of shea (<i>Vitellaria paradoxa</i>) distribution across sub-Saharan Africa	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Ranjitkar et al. (2016a)	Climate modelling for agroforestry species selection in Yunnan Province, China	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology
Bandanaa et al. (2016)	Cocoa farming households in Ghana consider organic practices as climate smart and livelihoods enhancer	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology, On-farm diversity
Jacobi et al. (2015b)	Farm Resilience in Organic and Nonorganic Cocoa Farming Systems in Alto Beni, Bolivia	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology, On-farm diversity

Toledo-Hernández et al. (2017)	Neglected pollinators: Can enhanced pollination services improve cocoa yields? A review	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology, On-farm diversity
Torres et al. (2015)	The contribution of traditional agroforestry to climate change adaptation in the Ecuadorian Amazon: The chakra system	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agroecology, On-farm diversity
Gatti et al. (2016)	Phenology, canopy aging and seasonal carbon balance as related to delayed winter pruning of vitis vinifera L. cv. sangiovese grapevines	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agronomy
Petrie et al. (2017)	Pruning after budburst to delay and spread grape maturity	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agronomy
Poni et al. (2018)	Grapevine quality: A multiple choice issue	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agronomy
Tóth and Végvári (2016)	Future of winegrape growing regions in Europe	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agronomy, Climate services, Shift crop/cultivar
Mosedale et al. (2016)	Climate change impacts and adaptive strategies: lessons from the grapevine	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agronomy, Shift crop/cultivar Shift planting area
Van Leeuwen and Destrac-Irvine (2017)	Modified grape composition under climate change conditions requires adaptations in the vineyard	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agronomy, Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting area,
Díez-Palet et al. (2019)	Blooming under Mediterranean Climate: Estimating Cultivar-Specific Chill and Heat Requirements of Almond and Apple Trees Using a Statistical Approach	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Climate services
Zhu et al. (2016)	A model-based assessment of adaptation options for Chianti wine production in Tuscany (Italy) under climate change	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Climate services
Alfieri et al. (2019)	Adaptability of global olive cultivars to water availability under future Mediterranean climate	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Climate services
Biasi et al. (2019)	Assessing impacts of climate change on phenology and quality traits of Vitis vinifera L.: The contribution of local knowledge	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Climate services, Shift crop/cultivar

Eitzinger et al. (2014)	Implications of a changing climate on food security and smallholders' livelihoods in Bogotá, Colombia	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Climate services, Shift planting area, Shift crop/cultivar
Drappier et al. (2019)	Relationship between wine composition and temperature: Impact on Bordeaux wine typicity in the context of global warming—Review	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Climate services
Ponti et al. (2014)	Fine-scale ecological and economic assessment of climate change on olive in the Mediterranean Basin reveals winners and losers	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Conservation agriculture
Clough et al. (2017)	Services and disservices of ant communities in tropical cacao and coffee agroforestry systems	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	On-farm diversity
Jacobi et al. (2017b)	Whose Knowledge, Whose Development? Use and Role of Local and External Knowledge in Agroforestry Projects in Bolivia	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	On-farm diversity
Vera V et al. (2019)	Biodiversity, dynamics, and impact of chakras on the Ecuadorian Amazon	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	On-farm diversity
Luciani et al. (2019)	Mitigation of multiple summer stresses on hazelnut (<i>Corylus avellana</i> L.): effects of the new arbuscular mycorrhiza <i>Glomus iranicum tenuisporum</i> sp. nova	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	On-farm diversity
Balasimha (2016)	Cocoa and cashew	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Farrell et al. (2018)	Climate adaptation in a minor crop species: is the cocoa breeding network prepared for climate change?	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Santos et al. (2018)	Path analysis of phenotypic traits in young cacao plants under drought conditions	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Allard et al. (2016)	Detecting QTLs and putative candidate genes involved in budbreak and flowering time in an apple multiparental population	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Houel et al. (2015)	Identification of stable QTLs for vegetative and reproductive traits in the microvine (<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.) using the 18 K Infinium chip	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Meggio et al. (2014)	Biochemical and physiological responses of two grapevine rootstock genotypes to drought and salt treatments	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding

Nicolas et al. (2016)	Genetic diversity, linkage disequilibrium and power of a large grapevine (<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.) diversity panel newly designed for association studies	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Romeu Santacreu et al. (2014)	Quantitative trait loci affecting reproductive phenology in peach	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Velasco et al. (2016)	Evolutionary genomics of peach and almond domestication	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Torres et al. (2016)	Berry quality and antioxidant properties in <i>Vitis vinifera</i> cv. Tempranillo as affected by clonal variability, mycorrhizal inoculation and temperature	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar
Rippke et al. (2016)	Timescales of transformational climate change adaptation in sub-Saharan African agriculture	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar
Adiga et al. (2019)	Phenological growth stages of the cashew tree (<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.) according to the extended BBCH scale	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar
West (2019)	Multi-criteria evolutionary algorithm optimization for horticulture crop management	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar
Schroth et al. (2017)	From site-level to regional adaptation planning for tropical commodities: cocoa in West Africa	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift planting area
Adhikari et al. (2015)	Climate change and eastern Africa: A review of impact on major crops	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Adamides et al. (2020)	Climate change and eastern Africa: A review of impact on major crops	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Trigo-Córdoba et al. (2015)	Effects of deficit irrigation on the performance of grapevine (<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.) cv. 'Godello' and 'Treixadura' in Ribeiro, NW Spain	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Devine and Anthony Toby (2019)	Climate-smart management of soil water storage: Statewide analysis of California perennial crops	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Galindo et al. (2018)	Deficit irrigation and emerging fruit crops as a strategy to save water in Mediterranean semiarid agrosystems	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Hayes et al. (2015)	Species-specific responses to ozone and drought in six deciduous trees	Tree fruits & nuts	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Potopová et al. (2017)	The impacts of key adverse weather events on the field-grown vegetable yield variability in the Czech Republic from 1961 to 2014	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Europe

Brás et al. (2021)	Severity of drought and heatwave crop losses tripled over the last five decades in Europe	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Eastern Europe, Southern Europe, Western Europe	
Olivares (2018)	Tropical rainfall conditions in rainfed agriculture in Carabobo, Venezuela	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Latin America & the Caribbean	
Giorgini et al. (2019)	Current strategies and future outlook for managing the Neotropical tomato pest <i>Tuta absoluta</i> (Meyrick) in the Mediterranean Basin	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Northern Africa, Southern Europe	
Hu et al. (2019)	Five newly collected turnip mosaic virus (Tumv) isolates from jeju island, korea are closely related to previously reported korean tumv isolates but show distinctive symptom development	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	South-eastern Asia	
Kabir et al. (2017)	Farm-level adaptation to climate change in Western Bangladesh: An analysis of adaptation dynamics, profitability and risks	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Asia	
Vaidya et al. (2018)	Land use and land cover changes in Kullu valley of Himachal Pradesh	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Southern Asia	
Adebisi-Adelani (2016)	Citrus and Tomatoes Response to Climate Change: Survey of Farmers' Perception and Adaptation Strategies in Northern Nigeria	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Chepkoech et al. (2018)	Farmers' perspectives: Impact of climate change on African indigenous vegetable production in Kenya	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Phophi et al. (2020)	Perceptions of climate change and drivers of insect pest outbreaks in vegetable crops in Limpopo province of South Africa	Vegetables	Fig 5.3 (Observed)	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Georgopoulou et al. (2017)	Climate change impacts and adaptation options for the Greek agriculture in 2021–2050: A monetary assessment	Vegetables	Fig 5.8 (Projection)		Climate change
Giannini et al. (2017)	Projected climate change threatens pollinators and crop production in Brazil	Vegetables	Fig 5.8 (Projection)		Ecosystem services

Ramos et al. (2018)	Mapping global risk levels of Bemisia tabaci in areas of suitability for open field tomato cultivation under current and future climates	Vegetables	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Litskas et al. (2019)	Impacts of climate change on tomato, a notorious pest and its natural enemy: Small scale agriculture at higher risk	Vegetables	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Pests & Diseases	
Cammarano et al. (2020)	Impact of climate change on water and nitrogen use efficiencies of processing tomato cultivated in Italy	Vegetables	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Phenology	
Van de Perre et al. (2015)	Climate impact on Alternaria moulds and their mycotoxins in fresh produce: The case of the tomato chain	Vegetables	Fig 5.8 (Projection)	Temperature	
Giuliani et al. (2019)	Identifying the most promising agronomic adaptation strategies for the tomato growing systems in Southern Italy via simulation modeling	Vegetables	Fig 5.8 (Projection), Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Temperature, Precipitation,	Water management, Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting date
Diacono et al. (2016)	Combined agro-ecological strategies for adaptation of organic horticultural systems to climate change in Mediterranean environment	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agroecology,
Asadu et al. (2018)	Climate change information source and indigenous adaptation strategies of cucumber farmers in Enugu State, Nigeria	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agroecology, Agronomy, On-farm diversity, Shift crop/cultivar, Shift planting date, Water management
Cámara-Zapata et al. (2020)	Evaluation of an adapted greenhouse cooling system with pre-chamber and inflatable air ducts for semi-arid regions in warm conditions	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy
Liaqat et al. (2019)	Inducing effect of chitosan on the physiological and biochemical indices of eggplant (Solanum Melongena L.) genotypes under heat and high irradiance	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy
Piñero et al. (2018)	Fruit quality of sweet pepper as affected by foliar Ca applications to mitigate the supply of saline water under a climate change scenario	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)		Agronomy

Adebisi-Adelani and Oyesola (2014)	Adaptation strategies of citrus and tomato farmers towards the effect of climate change in Nigeria	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agronomy, Conservation agriculture, Shift crop/cultivar Shift area, Shift planting date,
Tabbo and Amadou (2017)	Assessing newly introduced climate change adaptation strategy packages among rural households: Evidence from Kaou local government area, Tahoua State, Niger Republic	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Agronomy, Conservation agriculture, Water management, Shift crop/cultivar,
Sharma (2014)	Climate Change Effects on Insects: Implications for Crop Protection and Food Security	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Climate services
Alliaume et al. (2014)	Reduced tillage and cover crops improve water capture and reduce erosion of fine textured soils in raised bed tomato systems	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Conservation agriculture
Li et al. (2019b)	Yields and resilience outcomes of organic, cover crop, and conventional practices in a Mediterranean climate	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Conservation agriculture
Mpanga et al. (2020)	Sustainable Agriculture Practices as a Driver for Increased Harvested Cropland among Large-Scale Growers in Arizona: A Paradox for Small-Scale Growers	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Conservation agriculture,
Markou et al. (2020)	Addressing climate change impacts on agriculture: Adaptation measures for six crops in Cyprus	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Conservation agriculture, Shift crop/cultivar
Ebert (2014)	Potential of underutilized traditional vegetables and legume crops to contribute to food and nutritional security, income and more sustainable production systems	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Kaushik et al. (2016)	Phenotyping of eggplant wild relatives and interspecific hybrids with conventional and phenomics descriptors provides insight for their potential utilization in breeding	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Nankishore and Farrell (2016)	The response of contrasting tomato genotypes to combined heat and drought stress	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding

Xu et al. (2017)	Mapping quantitative trait loci for heat tolerance of reproductive traits in tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>)	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Shaheen et al. (2016)	Morpho-physiological evaluation of tomato genotypes under high temperature stress conditions	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Driedonks et al. (2018)	Exploring the natural variation for reproductive thermotolerance in wild tomato species	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Conesa et al. (2020)	Mediterranean Long Shelf-Life Landraces: An Untapped Genetic Resource for Tomato Improvement	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Seymen et al. (2019)	Identification of drought-tolerant pumpkin (<i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L.) genotypes associated with certain fruit characteristics, seed yield, and quality	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Fullana-Pericàs et al. (2019)	Tomato landraces as a source to minimize yield losses and improve fruit quality under water deficit conditions	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Song et al. (2020a)	Development and application of a PCR-Based molecular marker for the identification of high temperature tolerant cabbage (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>Capitata</i>) Genotypes	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Scarano et al. (2020)	Selection of tomato landraces with high fruit yield and nutritional quality under elevated temperatures	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Plant breeding
Houshmandfar et al. (2019)	Crop rotation options for dryland agriculture: An assessment of grain yield response in cool-season grain legumes and canola to variation in rainfall totals	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar,
Abhayapala et al. (2018)	Exploitation of differential temperature-sensitivities of crops for improved resilience of tropical smallholder cropping systems to climate change: A case study with temperature responses of tomato and chilli	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar,
Nziku et al. (2016)	Climate change adaptation in vulnerable crop and livestock production systems in Mgeta, Tanzania	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift crop/cultivar, On-farm diversity,
Kabir et al. (2018)	Bio-economic evaluation of cropping systems for saline coastal Bangladesh: I. Biophysical simulation in historical and future environments	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift planting date

Mubarak (2020)	Improving water productivity and yield onion crop by combining early planting and straw mulch under different irrigation levels in dry mediterranean region	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Shift planting date, Water management
Rodriguez-Ortega et al. (2017)	Use of a smart irrigation system to study the effects of irrigation management on the agronomic and physiological responses of tomato plants grown under different temperatures regimes	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Giuliani et al. (2017)	Deficit irrigation and partial root-zone drying techniques in processing tomato cultivated under Mediterranean climate conditions	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Van Dijl et al. (2015)	Determinants of adoption of drought adaptations among vegetable growers in Florida	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Monteiro et al. (2020)	Current status and trends in Cabo Verde agriculture	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Bird et al. (2016)	Modelling climate change impacts on and adaptation strategies for agriculture in Sardinia and Tunisia using AquaCrop and value-at-risk	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Valcárcel et al. (2020)	Controlled deficit irrigation as a water-saving strategy for processing tomato	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Mohammed et al. (2018)	Deficit irrigation for improving the postharvest quality of lowland tomato fruits	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Yu et al. (2020)	Global synthesis of the impact of droughts on crops' water-use efficiency (WUE): Towards both high WUE and productivity	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Brainard et al. (2019)	Managing drought risk in a changing climate: Irrigation and cultivar impacts on Michigan asparagus	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Jokisch et al. (2016)	Small scale rain- and floodwater harvesting for horticulture in central-northern Namibia for livelihood improvement and as an adaptation strategy to climate change	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Ronchetti et al. (2020)	Crop row detection through UAV surveys to optimize on-farm irrigation management	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Choquette et al. (2016)	The organizational dimensions of agricultural adaptation: Experiences in Québec's market garden sector	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management

Declaro-Ruedas (2020)	Strategies use by garlic growers in coping with climate variability in Occidental Mindoro, Philippines	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Bafdal et al. (2018)	Water harvesting as a technological innovation and greater solving of climatic change impact to supply fertigation	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Emami and Koch (2018)	Agricultural water productivity-based hydro-economic modeling for optimal crop pattern and water resources planning in the Zarrine River Basin, Iran, in the wake of climate change	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management
Georgopoulou et al. (2017)	Climate change impacts and adaptation options for the Greek agriculture in 2021–2050: A monetary assessment	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management, Shift crop/cultivar Shift planting date
Shivamurthy et al. (2015)	Impact of climate change and adaptation measures initiated by farmers	Vegetables	Fig 5.10 (Adaptation)	Water management, Shift crop/cultivar Shift planting date

SM5.3 Projected Impacts on Growing Area Suitability

AR5 provided robust evidence that warmer temperatures had benefited agriculture in the northern latitudes (Porter et al., 2014). Since then, additional evidence shows that further warming is expected to increase climate suitability in some temperate regions for crops such as grapes (Section 5.4.3; Zabel et al., 2014; Nemoto et al., 2016; Machar et al., 2017; Irimia et al., 2018), , subtropical citrus (tankan) (Sugiura et al., 2014), banana and macadamia nuts in high altitudes in Nepal (Table SM5.5; Ranjitkar et al., 2016b). Caution must be taken about these projected increases in growing area suitability, given the need of changing land and other limitations (Table SM5.5). (Manners and van Etten, 2018) generated global crop suitability projections for grain legumes, root crops and cereals, and found that suitable growing areas of tropical root crops, such as cassava and sweet potato, would increase due to warming. In contrast, another global study assessing climatic suitability of 27 major food crops and seven livestock species projected that 10 % of the current food production areas will become unsuitable in mid-century (2041-206) and 31-34% in end-century (2081-2100) under SSP5-8.5 (Kummu et al., 2021), with severe implications for the current growing regions. Regionally, most of the tropical and subtropical regions along with semi-arid areas are expected to show a decline or a shift in suitability due to both warming and drying trends (Alfieri et al., 2019) for olives, (Bunn et al., 2015; Ovalle-Rivera et al., 2015; Imbach et al., 2017; Moat et al., 2017; Fain et al., 2018; DaMatta et al., 2019) for coffee; (Jiang et al., 2015) for grapes in China; (Bezerra et al., 2019) for passion fruit, (Shabani and Kotey, 2016) for cotton grown in Australia, and see Box 5.2 for wine.

Table SM5.4: Projected impacts of climate change on growing area suitability

Crop commodity	Sub regions /countries	Emission Scenario/Time period	Climate Index	Projected change	Reference
Maize	West Africa/Sudan	RCP2.6, 8.5/2080	Average temperature, average precipitation	Suitability conditions will change in at least 43% of the region. In dry lowlands, larger rainfall will increase suitability in the Sudano-Sahelian savannas. Suitability of rainfed maize production in the wet lowlands will see no change by mid-century, but it will decrease toward the end of the century under RCP8.5 due to rising temperatures. In the wet mid-latitudes, suitability is projected to increase with generally adequate rainfall and temperature ranges.	Ugbaje et al. (2019)
Maize	East Asia/China	1.5 °C & +2.0 °C	Annual average temperature	The summer maize cultivation climatically suitable region (CSR) shifts eastwards. The optimum areas for maize will shift north-eastward under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5. The optimum area will decrease by 38% under RCP4.5 and 46% under RCP8.5 when temperature rises from +1.5 °C to +2.0 °C.	He et al. (2019)
Maize, soybean, wheat, cotton, grassland and forest	North America/United States (16 states)	RCP2.6, 4.5 & 8.5/2040,2070,2100	Air temperature, Precipitation	RCP2.6: Almost no change in suitable areas in maize, soybean and winter wheat. Spring wheat will lose its suitable areas to almost half in mid- to late- century. RCP4.5: Maize and soybean will lose suitable areas from 2070 onward. Suitable area for spring wheat is projected to decrease greatly from 2040 onward. Soybean will lose suitable areas from 2070 onward. RCP8.5: Spring wheat will lose its suitable areas to almost half in mid- to late- century. Soybean will lose suitable areas from 2070 onward with a much greater extent than RCP4.5.	Lant et al. (2016); Singh et al. (2017)
Maize and rice	East Asia/China	RCP2.6 & 8.5/2021-2100	Climatic and hydrological factors	Under RCP2.6, suitable areas for both crops will show little change over time. Under RCP8.5, suitable areas will shift northward and expand from north western to northern China,	Zhang et al. (2017)

				as a result of greater warming in northern China and the faster warming trend.	
Winter wheat	East Europe/Russia	RCP 4.5 & 8.5 /1950-2099	Thermal suitability	In all scenarios, projected thermal suitability shifts toward the north-western and the Far East regions. Increases of extreme heat in the southern regions (currently the most productive and intensively managed area).	Di Paola et al. (2018)
Wheat and cotton	Australasia/Australia	SRES A2(CSIRO-Mk3.0, MIROC-H)/2030, 2050, 2070, 2100	CLIMEX version 3.02 based on thermo-hydrological growth index and cold, heat, dry and wet stresses	Suitable areas for wheat and cotton will decrease from 2030 to 2070. Only a few southern regions of Australia will be suitable for wheat in 2030-2100. Cotton can be grown in many areas until 2070, but suitable areas will decrease toward 2100, mainly due to desiccation.	Shabani and Kotey (2016)
Cereals, legumes, root crops	global	RCP4.5 /2040	Temperature, precipitation	Suitable growing areas of tropical root crops, such as cassava and sweet potato, would increase due to warming.	Manners and van Etten (2018)
Cereals, legumes, root crops	Jamaica	+ 1.5 & +2 °C warming	Temperature, precipitation	Warming of less than 1.5 °C will have an overall negative impact on crop suitability and a general reduction in the range of crops. Above the + 1.5 °C threshold, even more irreversible changes to the sustainability of Jamaica's agriculture sector are projected.	Rhiney et al. (2018)
14 major crops in California	North America/US	RCP8.5 /2040–2069	Minimum and maximum temperature	Currently high-producing counties will have the largest absolute impact by increasing temperatures. The relative impact will be greater in Northern Sacramento Valley counties where heat-sensitive perennial crops are dominant.	Kerr et al. (2018)
Open field tomato	global	RCP2.6, 4.5, 6.0, 8.5/2050-2070	Temperature, precipitation	Tomato will lose its suitable areas in all continents except for some countries in Europe. RCP 6.0 and RCP 8.5 show a larger decrease in areas suitable than RCP2.6 and 4.5. The decrease is particularly large in Africa, South America, Australia and China.	Ramos et al. (2019)
Open field tomato	global	RCP4.5 /2050–2070	Temperature, precipitation	In 2050, an expansion of 180% in areas under high risk of <i>B. tabaci</i> . In 2070, an showed an extension of 164 % under high risk.	Ramos et al. (2018)
Citrus Takan Hayata	East Asia/Japan	SRES-A1B(MIROC3.2)/2060	Air temperature	An expansion of suitable area for sub-tropical Takan Hataya to the central Japan by 2050. Takan could be produced as a substitute in coastal areas of the current satsuma mandarin-producing regions.	Sugiura et al. (2014)
Apples, pears, plums and others	West Europe/UK	SRES A1B/2050	Relative index of pollinator availability	In the 2050s, the most suitable areas for orchards will become low in pollinator availability. Pollinator availability may persist in areas currently used for fruit production.	Polce et al. (2014)
Grape	Global	RCP2.6, RCP6.0 /2099	Mean and minimum temperature precipitation, evaporation	Differences between climate models were greater than those between RCPs. Overall, there will be a lack of water to maintain current levels of production in all regions. Thermal suitability will be greatly affected in China and the Mediterranean region. The possibility of quality wines is not altered.	Santillan et al. (2019)

Grape	South Europe/ Italy	RCP4.5 , RCP8.5 /2006– 2100	Air temperature, crop water stress index	1) Within 2010–2040, 41% of the area suitable for cultivar Aglianico cultivation will need irrigation 2) By 2100, climate change benefits for the cultivation of Aglianico will decrease, as well as the suitable areas. Suitable area for cultivation in 2100 will decrease to 24% under RCP4.5 and 5% under RCP8.5.	Bonfante et al. (2018)
Grape	Europe	RCP8.5 /2046– 2070	Temperature , evapotranspi ration	In southern Europe, increases in the cumulative thermal stress and dryness are projected to decrease production and quality, and to increase water requirements. In western and central Europe, high-quality areas for viticulture will significantly extend northward.	Cardell et al. (2019)
Grape	South Europe/ Italy (Emilia - Romag na region)	RCP4.5 , RCP8.5 /2011– 2040, 2071– 2100	Minimum and maximum temperature, precipitation	Most of the region will remain suitable for grape production during the period 2011–2040 under both RCPs. During the period 2071–2100, the entire region will become too hot for production under the RCP 8.5, whereas under the RCP 4.5, changes will be milder, suggesting that the Emilia-Romagna region could still be suitable for grape cultivation but would require certain adjustments.	Teslić et al. (2019)
Coffee	Latin Americ a & the Caribbe an/ Nicarag ua	SRES- A2/ 2040– 2069	Temperature , precipitation	By 2050 the suitability of areas to grow arabica coffee in Nicaragua will move approximately 300 m up the altitudinal gradient. Farmers at lower elevations will no longer be able to grow quality coffee and may have to abandon it.	Läderach et al. (2017)
Walnut	West Asia/ Iran	RCP4.5 /2020– 2049	Temperature , precipitation	Current suitable area will be reduced by ~6%, from 582844 km ² to 546710 km ² by mid-century.	Vahdati et al. (2019)
Almonds, pistachios	North Africa/ Tunisia	RCP4.5 ,8.5 /2041– 2070, 2071– 2100	Chilling requirements	Chilling requirements for both species will be hard to meet in time under all climate scenarios. There will be a yield penalty as a result of delayed timing of development. Viability of temperate nut production will be reduced.	Benmouss a et al. (2017)
Cocoa	West Africa /Camer oon, Côte d'Ivoir e, Ghana, Liberia, Niger, Nigeria , Sierra Leone	RCP6.0 /2050s	Maximum temperature, precipitation , number of consecutive months with <100mm precipitation , evapotranspi ration	Maximum temperatures during the dry season will become more limiting to cocoa growth suitability by mid-century. The most negative effects are projected in Togo, Nigeria, Guinea and the north eastern part Côte d'Ivoire. Cameroon, Ghana, southern Côte d'Ivoire and Liberia will generally be less affected, with locally positive effects.	Schroth et al. (2016)
Eight major tree crops	Souther n Europe/ Portuga l	RCP4.5 , 8.5/204 1–2070	Growing degree hours, chilling portions	Under both RCPs, periods of very high temperatures will make many locations unsuitable for the trees that are currently cultivated. The size of the effects will be stronger under RCP8.5.	Santos et al. (2017)

SM5.4 Change in the Number of Days per Year above “Extreme Stress” Values from 2000 to the 2090s

SM5.4.1 Methodology

Figures 5.12 and 5.18 both use the concept of an index derived from climate variables that measures the effects of climate change on heat stress in humans and domesticated livestock. The index formulae have been developed by experts and published in the peer-reviewed literature (references below). The climate data are from the CMIP6 ensemble of results. The ISIMIP project (<https://www.isimip.org>) selected data from five earth system models, did bias-correction and downscaling as needed to produce a combined dataset of baseline and future conditions at 0.5-degree latitude-longitude resolution for the earth's surface for 11 commonly used climate variables. For this analysis we used the following:

Variable description	Short name(s)	Original units
Near-Surface Relative Humidity	hurs	%
Near-Surface Air Temperature:		
Maximum daily temperature	tmax/tasmax	K
Average daily temperature	tave/tas	K

In post-processing, temperature variables were converted to degrees Celsius. A land only/non-Antarctica mask was used to limit data to relevant areas for these two figures.

The data from ISIMIP come as single earth system model, single variable, daily data sets for two scenarios for 10 years – SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5. These two scenarios bound the range of plausible, if low probability on the low and high side. The analysis combines these 10-year data sets into single model 20-year sets for three periods: 1991-2010 (early century), 2041-2060 (mid-century) and 2081-2100 (end-century).

For each variable a model-specific, time-period representative daily data set was created by averaging across all 20 years for each day. The result is a spatial data set that for each 0.5-degree grid cell has 366 observations for each model. The leap day values were averaged over just the number of leap days in the 20-year period.

These data were then used to estimate extreme stress in five domesticated livestock species (cattle, sheep, goats, poultry, and pigs) as outlined in Thornton et al. (2021)

For humans (Foster et al., 2021), we used:

Equation SM5.1:

$$PWC = 100 / (1 + (((-12.28 * \log(\text{hurs}) + 87.99) / \text{tas}))^{(-2.21 * \log(\text{hurs}) + 2.63)})$$

This measurement is of physical work capacity (PWC) relative to a non-stressful environment. The cutoff value for humans is a 40% reduction in work capacity over a one-hour period. This understates the impact of climate change because as work continues during the day capacity to work declines. The count is of days reported in the figure is where the index value is less than 60; i.e., a loss of 60% of work capacity.

SM5.5 Supplementary Materials used for Assessments of Regional Fisheries Management Organization Climate Awareness for Figure Cross-Chapter Box MOVING PLATE.3

FAO (2017)	FAO (2017)
Bay of Bengal Programme (2013)	South East Atlantic Fisheries Organization (2019)
FAO (2019c)	Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement (SIOFA) (2019)
Russian Federation (2019)	South Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Organization (2020)
FAO (2019a)	FAO (2019b)
IPHC(International Pacific Halibut Commission) Secretariat (2020)	The Commission for the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (2019)
FAO (2020c)	FAO (2020d)
Pacific Islands Forum Fisheries Agency (2018-19)	
Fisheries Committee for the West Central Gulf of Guinea (FCWC) (2018)	

Regional Commission of Fisheries of Gulf of Guinea (2019)
 Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (2019)
 North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization (2019)
 North-East Atlantic Fisheries Commission (2019)
 North Pacific Fisheries Commission (NPFC) (2020)
 Pacific Community (2019)

Ministerial Conference on Fisheries Cooperation among African States Bordering the Atlantic Ocean (COMHAFAT) (2019)
 Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTO) (2019)
 North-East Atlantic Fisheries Commission (2019)
 International Commission for the conservation of Atlantic Tunas (2019)
 Inter-American-Tropical-Tuna-Commission (IATTC) (2018)

SM5.6 Supplementary Materials for Ocean-based and Inland Aquaculture Systems

SM5.6.1 Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for inland and brackish aquaculture (salinities of <10ppm and / or no connection to the marine environment) sectors.

Assessments were made for species comprising 95% of inland, brackish and marine aquaculture production by weight from 2018 FAO FishstatJ data (FAO, 2020b).

Table SM5.5: Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for inland and brackish aquaculture vulnerability assessments.

Quantifier	What question does this seek to address?
Food security at local level	Is the region reliant on aquaculture for consumption (personal or small-scale)?
Livelihood	Is the region mostly reliant on aquaculture for income and / or employment?
Land use conflict	Does the region experience conflict over land usage and aquaculture? i.e. mangrove deforestation, inland aquaculture, dams
Water use conflict	Does the region experience conflict over water usage and aquaculture? i.e. is there limited freshwater?
Social inequity	Are aquaculture sectors more likely to generate inequity under climate change than other food production systems?

Table SM5.6: Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for inland and brackish aquaculture mitigation assessments.

Quantifier	What question does this seek to address?
Alternative energies	Are alternative energies likely to be available and used to reduce GHG emissions?
Source of feeds	Are alternative feeds being used, that reduce GHG emissions and pressure on other production systems (e.g. certified soy (not coming from forest land conversion), by-products from fisheries or aquaculture or other uses)?
Feed conversion efficiency	Are there technological and genetic improvements in place that increase feed conversion efficiency (thereby reducing waste and GHG emissions)?
Governance*	Does the local or national governance have the capacity, willingness and ability to enforce strategies to reduce GHG emissions for the aquaculture sector?
Low GHGE species	Does the species being cultured require less GHGE input than other culture species?

Table Notes:

* For Governance, the mitigation response options were: Low - low mitigation potential, i.e. governance is unlikely to develop or make GHG reduction strategies mandatory; Medium - medium mitigation potential, i.e. there is some indication that governance would promote GHG reduction strategies, and; High – high mitigation potential, i.e. governance strongly promotes reduction of GHG emissions.

Table SM5.7: Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for inland and brackish aquaculture projected impact assessments.

Quantifier	What question does this seek to address?
Global warming	Will predicted climate changes in ecosystem temperatures affect aquaculture productivity?
Deoxygenation	Will predicted climate changes reduce oxygen levels (including via temperature associated deoxygenation) and affect productivity?
Freshwater availability	Will predicted climate changes to freshwater availability and delivery patterns, such as precipitation changes and droughts, affect productivity?
Precipitation changes (including droughts)	Will predicted climate changes in precipitation and droughts affect productivity?
Eutrophication	Will predicted climate change increase eutrophication therefore affecting productivity?
Harmful algal blooms	Will predicted climate changes increase impacts of HABs on productivity and marketing?
Food safety	Will climate change increase the incidence of parasites, pests and toxins in aquaculture products such that they become harmful to humans for food consumption?
Sea level rise	Will predicted climate changes in sea levels (coastal inundation) affect productivity and assets (aquaculture infrastructure, site access etc.)?
Floods	Will predicted climate change associated floods increase negative impacts on productivity, human lives and assets (e.g. heavy rain, snow melt)?
Cyclones / hurricanes / severe storms / extreme events	Will predicted climate change increases in cyclones, hurricanes, severe storms or extreme events affect productivity via production system, assets, human lives and ecosystem damage?
Pathogens, parasites and pests	Will predicted climate changes affect pathogen, parasites and pests incidence, intensity or will new disease threats emerge?
Juvenile availability	Will predicted climate changes affect the availability of wild-caught juveniles for aquaculture operations?
Aquaculture feed	Will predicted climate changes affect either fishmeal or plant-based derivatives for aquaculture feed?

Table SM5.8: Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for inland and brackish aquaculture adaptation and maladaptation assessments.

Quantifier	What question does this seek to address?
Combined food production	Is aquaponics or integrated aquaculture with other aquatic species or plants being used to reduce energy, water consumption or waste (environmental footprint)?
Biotechnology	Is selective breeding (e.g. genetic selection) being developed to improve climate resiliency?
Tolerant species / strain selections	Are species or strains naturally tolerant to future climate conditions being cultured as an adaptation option?
Gender equity	Can aquaculture provide opportunities for addressing gender inequity and supporting food security for these groups under climate change?
Governance - national	Is national governance likely to support necessary changes for aquaculture? e.g. is aquaculture included in national adaptation plans or has a national strategy been developed? Can uncertainty be managed?
Governance - local	Is local governance able to support necessary changes for aquaculture related to climate change? Does it have capacity?
Insurance and financial support	Is there access to insurance and financial support in case of climate-related damage or claims?
Early warning systems	Are early warning systems or networks in place to promote preparedness, prevention and adaptation to climate change?
Aquaculture feeds	Adaptation: Are alternative feeds or feed composition being considered that would likely increase adaptation, e.g. through reduced pressure between food production systems and use of by-products? Maladaptation: Are alternative feeds or feed composition being considered that would likely reduce maladaptation, e.g. through reduced pressure between food production systems and use of by-products?

Spatial planning	Is risk-based spatial planning being used to identify locations less susceptible to climate change threat?
Optimizing fisheries - aquaculture interactions	Is aquaculture being developed or considered as a successful adaptation option for fisheries under threat of climate change? Consideration of trade-offs
Best practice implementation	Are industry guidelines and practices promoting better management practices as a first step to adaptation and resiliency? e.g. FAO Responsible Fisheries, Ecosystem Approach to Aquaculture
On-farm adaptation approaches	Are autonomous climate adaptation strategies being developed and applied at a farm level? e.g. reinstating coastal defenses (including biogenic habitat) to prevent pond inundation, use aeration systems to prevent oxygen depletion or eutrophication caused by thermal increases

SM5.6.2 Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for marine aquaculture sectors.

Assessments were made for species comprising 95% of inland, brackish and marine aquaculture production by weight from 2018 FAO FishstatJ data (FAO, 2020b).

Table SM5.9: Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for marine aquaculture vulnerability assessments.

Quantifier	What question does this seek to address?
Food security at local level	Is the region reliant on aquaculture for consumption (personal or small-scale)?
Livelihood	Is the region reliant on aquaculture for income and /or employment?
Coastal and marine use conflict	Does the region experience conflict over space usage and aquaculture? i.e. mangrove deforestation, saltmarsh land change, Indigenous territories, fishery areas, land-based marine aquaculture
Social inequity	Are aquaculture sectors more likely to generate inequity under climate change than other food production systems?

Table SM5.10: Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for marine aquaculture mitigation assessments.

Quantifier	What question does this seek to address?
Alternative energies	Are alternative energies likely to be available and used to reduce GHG emissions?
Source of feeds	Are alternative feeds being developed that reduce GHG emissions and pressure on other production systems (e.g. certified soy, by-products from fisheries or aquaculture or other uses)?
Feed conversion efficiency	Are there technological and genetic improvements in place that increase feed conversion efficiency (thereby reducing waste and GHG emissions)?
Governance*	Does the local or national governance have the capacity, willingness and ability to enforce strategies to reduce GHG emissions for the aquaculture sector?
Low GHGE species	Does the species being cultured require less GHGE input than other culture species?

Table Notes:

* For Governance, the mitigation response options were: Low - low mitigation potential, i.e. governance is unlikely to develop or make GHG reduction strategies mandatory; Medium - medium mitigation potential, i.e. there is some indication that governance would promote GHG reduction strategies, and; High – high mitigation potential, i.e. governance strongly promotes reduction of GHG emissions.

Table SM5.11: Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for marine aquaculture projected impact assessments.

Quantifier	What question does this seek to address?
Global warming	Will predicted climate changes in ecosystem temperatures affect productivity?

Deoxygenation	Will predicted climate changes reduce oxygen levels (including via temperature and or salinity associated deoxygenation) and affect productivity?
Precipitation changes (including droughts and freshwater discharge)	Will predicted climate changes in freshwater patterns and inputs to coastal ecosystems affect productivity (including droughts, coastal flooding and freshwater discharge)?
Acidification	Will predicted changes in ecosystem carbonate levels affect productivity?
Eutrophication	Will predicted climate change increase eutrophication therefore affecting productivity?
Harmful algal blooms	Will predicted climate changes increase negative impacts of HABs on productivity and marketing?
Food safety	Will climate change increase the incidence of parasites, pests and toxins in aquaculture products such that they become harmful to humans for food consumption?
Sea level rise	Will predicted climate changes in sea levels (coastal inundation) affect productivity and assets (aquaculture infrastructure, site access etc.)?
Extreme wave heights	Will predicted climate change affect the incidence and size of waves that may affect productivity, human lives and assets?
Cyclones / hurricanes / severe storms / extreme events	Will predicted climate change increases in cyclones, hurricanes, severe storms or extreme events affect productivity via production system, human lives, assets and ecosystem damage?
Circulation patterns and strength	Will changes in currents and upwelling affect productivity and species?
Pathogens, parasites and pests	Will predicted climate changes affect pathogen and parasite incidence, intensity or will new disease threats emerge, including increase in pests such as jellyfish blooms?
Juvenile availability	Will predicted climate changes affect the availability of wild-caught juveniles for aquaculture operations?
Aquaculture feed	Will predicted climate changes affect either fishmeal or plant-based derivatives for aquaculture feed?
Primary productivity	Will predicted climate change affect coastal and ocean primary productivity?

Table SM5.12: Assessment questions used to clarify and enable consistency in expert assessments for marine aquaculture adaptation and maladaptation assessments.

Quantifier	What question does this seek to address?
Combined food production	Is aquaponics or integrated aquaculture with other aquatic species or plants being used to reduce energy, water consumption or waste (environmental footprint)?
Biotechnology	Is selective breeding (e.g. genetic selection) being developed to improve climate resiliency?
Tolerant species / strain selections	Are species or strains naturally tolerant to future climate conditions being cultured as an adaptation option?
Gender equity	Can aquaculture provide opportunities for addressing gender inequity and supporting food security for these groups under climate change?
Governance - national	Is national governance likely to support necessary changes for aquaculture? e.g. is aquaculture included in national adaptation plans or has a national strategy been developed? Can uncertainty be managed?
Governance - local	Is local governance able to support necessary changes for aquaculture related to climate change? Does it have capacity?
Insurance and financial support	Is there access to insurance and financial support in case of climate-related damage or claims?
Early warning systems	Are early warning systems or networks in place to promote preparedness, prevention and adaptation to climate change?
Aquaculture feeds	Adaptation: Are alternative feeds or feed composition being considered that would likely increase adaptation, e.g. through reduced pressure between food production systems and use of by-products? Maladaptation: Are alternative feeds or feed composition being considered that would likely reduce maladaptation, e.g. through reduced pressure between food production systems and use of by-products?

Spatial planning	Is risk-based spatial planning being used to identify locations less susceptible to climate change threat?
Optimizing fisheries - aquaculture interactions	Is aquaculture being developed or considered as a successful adaptation option for fisheries under threat of climate change? Consideration of trade-offs
Best practice implementation	Are industry guidelines and practices promoting better management as a first step to adaptation and resiliency? e.g. FAO Responsible Fisheries, Ecosystem Approach to Aquaculture
On-farm adaptation approaches	Are autonomous climate adaptation strategies being developed and applied at a farm level? e.g. reinstating coastal defenses (including biogenic habitat) to prevent pond inundation, use aeration systems to prevent oxygen depletion or eutrophication caused by thermal increases

SM5.6.3 Additional bibliography used for assessments in Figures 5.14, 5.15 and 5.16

In addition to references used in the Chapter 5 text, the following literature was used for Figures 5.14, 5.15 and 5.16 in answering questions from Tables SM5.5-SM5.12:

- | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Abass et al. (2020) | Gobler (2020) | Osinowo et al. (2018) |
| Adams et al. (2019) | Gopalakrishnan et al. (2019) | Paerl et al. (2018) |
| Akinsorotan et al. (2019) | Grasso et al. (2019) | Pagès et al. (2020) |
| Alleway et al. (2019) | Griffith and Gobler (2020) | Paprocki (2018) |
| Ansah et al. (2014) | Guillen et al. (2018) | Patra et al. (2020) |
| Aragão et al. (2020) | Hanke et al. (2019) | Pauly (2019) |
| Arechavala-Lopez et al. (2020) | Harvey (2017) | Peng et al. (2019) |
| Atindana et al. (2019) | Hauer et al. (2016) | Petrea et al. (2016) |
| Ayisi et al. (2017) | Hehre and Meeuwig (2016) | Peyre et al. (2020) |
| Bartley et al. (2018) | Hennon and Dyhrman (2020) | Racault et al. (2017) |
| Bergsson et al. (2019) | Ho and Goethals (2019) | Ray et al. (2019b) |
| Besson et al. (2016) | Hoerterer et al. (2020) | Rezk et al. (2009) |
| Bissattini et al. (2015) | Hossain et al. (2018) | Riera-Heredia et al. (2020) |
| Brisbin and Mitarai (2019) | Hu et al. (2012) | Roberts et al. (2019) |
| Buentello et al. (2000) | Huang et al. (2019) | Rosa et al. (2012) |
| Carreira et al. (2017) | Jansen et al. (2019) | Roy-Basu et al. (2020) |
| Casas-Prat and Wang (2020) | Janssen et al. (2017) | Rubio et al. (2019) |
| Catalán et al. (2019) | Kais and Islam (2019) | Ruiz-Salmón et al. (2020) |
| Chaitanawisuti et al. (2013) | Klinger et al. (2017) | Sae-Lim et al. (2017) |
| Chan et al. (2018) | Kongkeo (2005) | Salgado-Hernandez et al. (2019) |
| Chapra et al. (2017) | Lassalle and Rochard (2009) | Sapiains A et al. (2019) |
| Chávez et al. (2019) | Lee et al. (2019) | Sartini et al. (2017) |
| Chen et al. (2018) | Lewis et al. (2020) | Satia (2017) |
| Chilakala et al. (2019) | Li et al. (2019a) | Schubel and Thompson (2019) |
| Christiansen (2019) | Lind et al. (2019) | Soto et al. (2018) |
| Clough et al. (2020) | Liu et al. (2019a) | Soto et al. (2019) |
| Colombo et al. (2019) | Liu et al. (2020) | Soto et al. (2021) |
| Cominassi et al. (2019) | Lobeto et al. (2021) | Steinacher et al. (2010) |
| Cowx (2005) | Loureiro et al. (2015) | Stewart et al. (2019) |
| Crichigno and Cussac (2019) | Lu et al. (2019) | Stewart and Allen (2014) |
| Crozier et al. (2019) | Lubchenco and Gaines (2019) | Sun et al. (2015) |
| Cuellar-Martinez et al. (2019) | Müller et al. (2019) | Sunny et al. (2019) |
| Cummings et al. (2019) | Magnoni et al. (2019) | Tangka and Yusifu (2020) |
| Dalvi et al. (2009) | Martin et al. (2019) | Thompson et al. (2019) |
| DeWitte-Orr et al. (2019) | Master et al. (2019) | Tiller et al. (2019) |
| Dörr et al. (2020) | Matthews and Berg (1997) | Tittensor et al. (2019) |
| El-Sayed (2017) | McClain and Romaine (2007) | Tran-Ngoc et al. (2018) |
| El Hourany et al. (2021) | Mearns et al. (2019) | Trono (2009) |
| Engelbrecht et al. (2015) | Meucci et al. (2020) | Tuan et al. (2019) |
| Fang et al. (2019) | Missaghi et al. (2017) | Turner et al. (2019) |

FAO (2011)	Mitz and Giesy (1985)	Uthe et al. (2018)
FAO (2020a)	Mizuta and Wikfors (2019)	Wang et al. (2019a)
FAO (2020b)	Moe et al. (2019)	Wells et al. (2020)
Farmery et al. (2021)	Montalto et al. (2020)	Young and Ribal (2019)
Fellous and Shama (2019)	Morim et al. (2021)	Yue et al. (2019)
Ficke (2005)	Nagy et al. (2020)	Zhang and Thomsen (2019)
Fitzer et al. (2019b)	Naylor et al. (2021)	
Fitzer et al. (2019a)	Niang et al. (2014)	
Forchino et al. (2017)	Nkuba et al. (2019)	
Gasco et al. (2018)	Olsvik et al. (2019)	
Gjedrem and Rye (2018)	Olusanya and van Zyll de Jong (2018)	

SM5.7 Methodology and Materials used for Adaptation Feasibility and Effectiveness Assessment and Limitations

SM5.7.1 Feasibility and Effectiveness Indicators

We followed approaches taken by Singh et al. (2020a) and Williams et al. (2021) for feasibility and effectiveness assessment of adaptation options that are currently implemented in production, distribution and consumption of food, fibre and other ecosystem products. We carefully examined their guiding questions of 19 indicators and selected 13 that characterised the feasibility of adaptation options (Table SM5.13). These are used in the current assessment with some modifications to the guiding questions (see Status in Table SM5.13). From the 19 indicators, we also selected guiding questions that are more closely associated with the effectiveness of adaptation options, which can be grouped into five effectiveness indicators defined by Owen (2020)(Table SM5.14).

Table SM5.13: Selected feasibility indicators from Singh et al. (2020a) and its status compared to the original guiding questions.

Dimension	Feasibility Indicators	Guiding questions	Status
Economic	Microeconomic viability	Does the option increase profitability for/raise income of adopters by increasing productivity or reducing the effective costs of inputs?	Modified
	Macroeconomic viability	Does the option contribute to greater GDP or reduced inflation?	Modified
Technological	Technical resource availability	Are the technology and associated human, financial, administrative resources needed for an adaptation option available?	Existing
Institutional	Political acceptability	Is the option politically acceptable?	Existing
	Legal, regulatory feasibility	Is it challenging to implement the legal changes needed for the option? Are there known legal and regulatory barriers?	Existing
	Institutional capacity & administrative feasibility	Would current institutions be able to implement the option? Is the option administratively supported?	Existing
	Transparency & accountability potential	Would the option lead to transparency challenges? Is it difficult to account for the changes and for responsible implementation?	Modified
	Socio-cultural acceptability	Is there public resistance to the option? Does the option typically find acceptance within existing socio-cultural norms and sense of place and identity?	Existing

	Social & regional inclusiveness	Are different social groups and remote regions included in the option?	Existing
	Intergenerational equity	Does the option compromise the ability of future generations to meet their own needs in any way?	Existing
Environmental	Ecological Capacity	Does the option enhance supporting, regulating or provisioning ecosystem services in any way?	Existing
	Adaptive capacity / resilience building potential	Does the option enhance the ability of systems, institutions, humans to adjust to potential damage, take advantage of opportunities, or respond to consequences? OR Does the option contribute to resilience building (ability to cope with stressors and reorganize to maintain structures and functions, retain capacity to transform)	Existing
Geophysical	Physical feasibility	Is the physical potential for the adaptation option a constraint?	Existing

Table SM5.14: Selected guiding questions from Singh et al. (2020a), regrouped into five effectiveness indicators proposed by Owen (2020), with an additional question about maladaptation.

Effectiveness indicators	Guiding questions
(a) Reduce risk vulnerability	To what degree can the option reduce the likelihood and/or consequences of risks? Does the option reduce the number of people/systems exposed to a hazard?
(b) Enhance social wellbeing	Does the option contribute to reducing inequalities? Does the option increase employment? Are different social groups and remote regions included in the option? Are there health and education benefits to be had from the option? Does the option compromise the ability of future generations to meet their own needs in any way?
(c) Improve environment	Does the option enhance supporting, regulating or provisioning ecosystem services in any way? Does the option enhance the ability of systems, institutions, humans to adjust to potential damage, take advantage of opportunities, or respond to consequences? Does the option contribute to resilience building (ability to cope with stressors and reorganize to maintain structures and functions, retain capacity to transform) Does the option enhance climate mitigation (E.g. carbon stocks through forest restoration)?
(d) Increase economic resources	Does the option increase profitability for/raise income of adopters [by increasing productivity or reducing the effective costs of inputs? Does the option contribute to greater GDP or reduced inflation?
(e) Strengthen institutions	Would the option lead to transparency challenges? Is it difficult to account for the changes and for responsible implementation?
Maladaptive	Would the option lead to maladaptation?

SM5.7.2 Adaptation Options and Article Collection

Our selection started from a list of 69 adaptation options, grouped into 15 adaptation categories (Table SM. 5.15), and narrowed in on those options most prolific in the literature and relevant based on expert assessment, and that was not being assessed in other chapters. We focused on currently implemented adaptation options in systems/sectors such as crop-based, livestock, fisheries, aquaculture, forestry, crop-livestock mixed, and supply chains, but water management such as improved irrigation efficiency and integrated water management was excluded because Chapter 4 extensively covered these options for

agricultural sectors. Projected studies using modeling were excluded, since we focused on empirical studies of currently implemented options.

A literature search was conducted through SCOPUS and Web of Science for peer-reviewed articles published since 2014 using the following basic search terms:

“Climate change” OR “global warming” OR “climate variability” OR “climate risk” AND adapt* OR adjust* OR alteration OR shift, combined with keywords for important adaptation option.

These general searches resulted in many references, but most of them were not relevant to the F&E assessment. We, therefore, performed additional focused searches using guiding questions for feasibility and effectiveness indicators through SCOPUS, Web of Knowledge, or Google Scholar. We selected studies from the search results if they considered the option in relation to climate change adaptation and contained evidence to answer guiding questions considered, resulting in a total of 287 studies for 15 adaptation options (Table SM.5.16).

Table SM 5.15: Adaptation categories and options in different systems initially considered in Chapter 5.

Systems/sectors	Adaptation category	Adaptation option
Cross-sectoral	Livelihood diversification	Migration (for off-farm employment; either seasonal or permanent)
Cross-sectoral		Diversification of livelihoods (economic diversification either on-farm or employment in local community)
Consumption and nutrition	Consumer-side behaviour change	Dietary changes
Post-harvest		Reduce food waste (retailer and consumer)
Post-harvest		Packaging changes
Cross-sectoral	Climate services	Improving weather forecasting and early warning systems
Crop-based systems	Agronomic management - farm level	No till, reduced tillage or conservation agriculture
Crop-based systems		Precision fertilizer management
Crop-based systems		Integrated pest and weed management
		Organic management
Cross-sectoral	Water management - farm level	Improved irrigation efficiency and use
Crop-based systems		Drip irrigation
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Aquaculture-Fisheries	Genetic improvement	Integrated water management /water conservation and efficiency
Aquaculture		Climate-smart facilities (e.g. deeper ponds, water storage)
Cross-sectoral [or one sector]		
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Aquaculture-Forestry-Fisheries		Conventional breeding (cultivar or species improvement, assisted evolution in fisheries)
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Aquaculture-Forestry-Fisheries	Infrastructure	Biotech and bioengineering
Fisheries (Oceans and inland)		Soft engineering responses and buffers
Aquaculture		Investment in protection infrastructure
Aquaculture		Greater investments in stronger equipment (i.e. cage and mooring systems)
Post-harvest		Food storage infrastructures
Post-harvest		Improved food transport and distribution
Post-harvest		Improved efficiency and sustainability of food processing, retail and agrifood industries
Post-harvest		Improved efficiency and sustainability of food processing, retail and agrifood industries; including reducing post-harvest losses

Mixed systems		Urban and peri-urban agriculture
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Aquaculture-Forestry-Fisheries	Agricultural diversification	Agricultural diversification-landscape
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Aquaculture-Forestry-Fisheries		Agricultural diversification on-farm biodiversity (i.e. intercropping)
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Aquaculture-Forestry-Fisheries		Mixed systems: crops, trees, silvopastoral, fisheries, aquaculture, agroforestry
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Aquaculture-Forestry-Fisheries		Agroecological approaches at multiple scales
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Aquaculture-Forestry-Fisheries	Shift in production timing/location/species/density	Shifting location of crop production, grazing; relocation of aquatic species
Crop-based systems		Adjustment of planting dates / counter season crop production
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Aquaculture-Forestry-Fisheries		substitution/change plant or animal type
Aquaculture Systems		Farmed stocks adjusted to the new productive capacity
Aquaculture Systems		Change production cycle or aquaculture system type
Crop-based systems	Reduced land degradation; soil conservation and improvement; carbon capture	Reduced grassland conversion to cropland
Forest		Reduced deforestation and forest degradation
Forest		Reforestation and forest restoration
Forest		Afforestation and land rehabilitation
Forest		Reduced-impact logging
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Forestry		Improved soil management (reduced soil erosion, salinisation, compaction)
Crops-Mixed-Livestock-Forestry		Increased organic carbon content e.g. biochar, residues
Livestock-Mixed	Livestock management	Livestock fattening
Livestock-Mixed		Seasonal feed supplementation
Livestock-Mixed		Improved animal health and parasites control
Livestock-Mixed		Thermal stress control
Livestock-Mixed		Methane inhibitors
Livestock-Mixed		Organic management
Cross-sectoral [or one sector]	Policy and planning	Community-based adaptation (including disaster risk mgt)
Cross-sectoral [or one sector]		Local governance and conflict resolutions schemes
Cross-sectoral [or one sector]		National & international adaptation planning, coordination, policy & governance
Cross-sectoral [or one sector]		Improving access to community services, social assistance + social insurance
Cross-sectoral [or one sector]		Social safety nets (eg. conditional cash transfers)
Cross-sectoral [or one sector]		Relocation of farming facilities
Post-harvest-Consumption/Nutrition		Increased food safety and quality monitoring
Cross-sectoral		Regional & local food systems strengthening
Cross-sectoral	Market-based strategies	Certification and labeling programs
Cross-sectoral		Increase incentive to consume and farm non-feed species
Cross-sectoral		Transparency of food chains and external costs

Cross-sectoral		Shortening supply chains, direct sales, circular economies
Cross-sectoral		Farmer cooperatives, collective marketing
Cross-sectoral		Insurance products: weather index, aquaculture etc.
Post-harvest		Bioeconomy (e.g. energy from waste)
Cross-sectoral	Collective resource management	Social support networks
Crops-Mixed-Livestock		Community seed/feed/fodder banks
Aquaculture Systems		Collective water storage and management schemes (WUEs)
Cross-sectoral		Farmer-to-farmer training, farmer field schools
Forest		Community forest management
Cross-sectoral	Food system transformations	Food sovereignty, agroecology, right to food approaches
Cross-sectoral		Integrated approaches at multiple scales
Cross-sectoral		Addressing inequality (e.g. gender, Indigenous people)

Table SM16: Number of studies selected for F&E analysis in Chapter 5 by different regions and adaptation options.

Adaptation category	Adaptation option	Africa	Asia	Australasia	Central & S. America	Europe	North America	Small Islands	Global	Total
Agricultural diversification	Agricultural diversification on-farm biodiversity (i.e. intercropping)	7	12		3		2		1	25
	Agricultural diversification-landscape	7	1		5	4	1		4	22
	Mixed systems: crops, trees, silvopastoral, fisheries, aquaculture, agroforestry	19	12		2	3	1		3	40
	Agroecological approaches at multiple scales	3	2		2			2	2	11
Agronomic management - farm level	Organic management	1	1		1	2	3		4	12
	Integrated pest and weed management					1				1
Climate services	Improving weather forecasting and early warning systems	24	8		5		1			38
Collective resource management	Community forest management	3	12		2	1	3	1		22
	Community seed/feed/fodder banks	1	2		2				2	7
	Adaptive co-management			1						1
	Social support networks		1							1
	Harvester-driven conservation efforts						1			1

Genetic improvement	Conventional breeding (cultivar or species improvement, assisted evolution in fisheries)	5	2		1				2	10
	Biotech and bioengineering		1						1	2
Livelihood diversification	Diversification of livelihoods (economic diversification either on-farm or employment in local community)	3	8	1	2		1	1	3	19
	Migration (for off-farm employment; either seasonal or permanent)		1							1
Policy and planning	Community-based adaptation (including disaster risk mgt)	2	5		1		8	3		19
	Local governance and conflict resolutions schemes		5		2	1	5		2	15
	Regional & local food systems strengthening	1		1	1	1	2	1		7
	Urban and peri-urban agriculture					1				1
	Shortening supply chains, direct sales, circular economies						1			1
	Improving access to community services, social assistance + social insurance		1							1
Shift in production timing/location/species/density	substitution/change plant or animal type	12	6		1	2				21
	Adjustment of planting dates / counter season crop production		3						1	4
	Shifting location of crop production, grazing; relocation of aquatic species								1	1
Food system transformations	Integrated approaches at multiple scales	1								1
	Shortening supply chains, direct sales, circular economies					1				1
Reduced land degradation; soil conservation and improvement; carbon capture	Reduced deforestation and forest degradation		1							1
	Improved soil management (reduced soil erosion, salinisation, compaction)		1							1

Total	89	85	3	30	17	29	8	26	287
-------	----	----	---	----	----	----	---	----	-----

SM5.7.3 Assessment Process

We followed the assessment method by Singh et al (2020) with some modifications. We examined evidence for each indicator (guiding question) for each study for traceability. For the feasibility assessment, the following coding was applied:

- A: the indicator potentially blocks the feasibility
- B: the indicator has some effect on the feasibility, or the evidence is mixed
- C: the indicator does not pose barriers to the feasibility
- LE: the study has limited evidence
- NE: the study has no evidence
- NA: Not applicable

Likewise, effectiveness was assessed as:

- A: the option does not increase the effectiveness
- B: the option has some effect, or the evidence is mixed
- C: the option is effective
- LE: the study has limited evidence
- NE: the study has no evidence
- NA: Not applicable

The following score was assigned to each code and the score was averaged for each indicator and each option.

A=1, B=2, and C=3

We considered that indicators had sufficient evidence if the number of assessed papers is five or more. Two reviewers assessed each study and if the coding differed, they reconciled based on the evidence each study provided.

SM5.7.4 Data Distribution and Limitations

The selected studies covered all IPCC regions, but about 60% were from Africa and Asia, and less than 4% were from Australia and small islands (Table SM5.16). Among adaptation categories, agricultural diversification had the largest share (34%), followed by policy and planning (15%), climate services (13%), and collective resource management (11%). The number of studies was few in genetic improvement or agronomic management, partly because we excluded projected studies using crop simulation models, and the number of examiners was few. Five adaptation categories out of 15 were not included in our assessment. Because these studies were not selected through a systematic literature search, they are not a good representation of the literature available. Nevertheless, some adaptation categories had limited or no literature available, which highlighted a large research gap in our assessment of the feasibility and effectiveness of the adaptation options. They include those related to consumer-side behaviour change, infrastructure, livestock, and market-based strategies.

We focused our research on currently implemented adaptation options, despite the vast literature available from simulation studies. Consequently, effectiveness measures are limited to current climate hazards, which may not be efficient under future climatic conditions. We evaluated micro and macroeconomic viability, but studies including cost-benefit analyses were few, limiting our opportunities to assess economic feasibility. A part of these limitations can be partially overcome by leveraging a systematic literature review, but the fundamental challenges are limited studies conducted for multiple benefits from adaptation options, particularly those implemented beyond farmgate.

Table SM5.17: References selected for the adaptation feasibility and effectiveness analysis in Chapter 5.

Adaptation category	Adaptation options	References
Agricultural diversification	Agricultural diversification on-farm biodiversity (i.e. intercropping)	(Dillon et al., 2015; McCord et al., 2015; Makate et al., 2016; Gunathilaka et al., 2018; Roesch-McNally et al., 2018; Adhikari et al., 2019; Aniah et al., 2019; Bonifacio, 2019; Dhakal and Kattel, 2019; Maikhuri et al., 2019; Ravera et al., 2019; Bowles et al., 2020; Dutta et al., 2020; Fatima et al., 2020; Ndalilo et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020b; Song et al., 2020b; Van Huynh et al., 2020; Assefa et al., 2021; Camacho-Villa et al., 2021; Chaudhary et al., 2021; Mzyece and Ng'ombe, 2021; Novotny et al., 2021; Son et al., 2021; Theodory, 2021)
	Agricultural diversification-landscape	(Estrada-Carmona et al., 2014; Harvey et al., 2014; Salton et al., 2014; Jönsson et al., 2015; Schroth et al., 2015; Douchamps et al., 2016; Belay et al., 2017; Gil et al., 2017; Paul et al., 2017; Reed et al., 2017; Thom et al., 2017; Blaser et al., 2018; Burchfield and Poterie, 2018; Maggio et al., 2018; Bozzola and Smale, 2020; Frei et al., 2020; Ochieng et al., 2020; Piedra-Bonilla et al., 2020; Redhead et al., 2020; Remeš et al., 2020; Duncan et al., 2021; Onyeneke, 2021)
	Mixed systems: crops, trees, silvopastoral, fisheries, aquaculture, agroforestry	(De Zoysa and Inoue, 2014; Lasco et al., 2014a; Lasco et al., 2014b; Linger, 2014; Mbow et al., 2014a; Mbow et al., 2014b; Nasielski et al., 2015; Lasco et al., 2016; Newaj et al., 2016; Bunting et al., 2017; Hernández-Morcillo et al., 2017; Paul et al., 2017; Quandt et al., 2017; Abdulai et al., 2018a; Abdulai et al., 2018b; Apuri et al., 2018; Borremans et al., 2018; Sida et al., 2018; Ahmed et al., 2019; Aryal et al., 2019; Córdova et al., 2019; De Giusti et al., 2019; Dubois et al., 2019; Oduniyi and Tekana, 2019; Paudel et al., 2019; Quandt et al., 2019; Rosa-Schleich et al., 2019; Freed et al., 2020; Gusli et al., 2020; Nyong et al., 2020; Papa et al., 2020; Quandt, 2020; Reppin et al., 2020; Tran et al., 2020; Tschora and Cherubini, 2020; Jha et al., 2021; Kais and Islam, 2021; Pello et al., 2021; Reyes et al., 2021)
	Agroecological approaches at multiple scales	(Calderón et al., 2018; Ticktin et al., 2018; Bezner Kerr et al., 2019; Aguilera et al., 2020; Bharucha et al., 2020; Buckwell et al., 2020; Cáceres-Arteaga et al., 2020; How et al., 2020; Nyantakyi-Frimpong, 2020; Snapp et al., 2021)
Agronomic management - farm level	Organic management	(Skinner et al., 2014; Jacobi et al., 2015c; Bandanaa et al., 2016; Arbenz et al., 2017; Colting-Pulumbarit et al., 2018; Knapp and van der Heijden, 2018; Röös et al., 2018; Schrama et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019c; Seipel et al., 2019; Eeswaran et al., 2021; Sanford et al., 2021)
	Integrated pest and weed management	(Subramanian et al., 2016)
Climate services	Improving weather forecasting and early warning systems	(Furman et al., 2014; Gbetibouo et al., 2017; Amarnath et al., 2018; Dayamba et al., 2018; Loboguerrero et al., 2018; McKune et al., 2018; Balehegn et al., 2019; Clarkson et al., 2019; Daly and Dilling, 2019; Grey, 2019; Haines, 2019; Iticha and Husen, 2019; Naab et al., 2019; Nyantakyi-Frimpong, 2019; Radeny et al., 2019; Spear et al., 2019; Tume et al., 2019; Ubisi et al., 2019; Diouf et

		al., 2020; Ebhuoma, 2020; Hosen et al., 2020; Mogomotsi et al., 2020; Nidumolu et al., 2020; Nkuba et al., 2020; Partey et al., 2020; Rossa et al., 2020; Sotelo et al., 2020; Van Huynh et al., 2020; Camacho-Villa et al., 2021; Chaudhary et al., 2021; Henriksson et al., 2021; Nidumolu et al., 2021; Ofoegbu and New, 2021; Pauli et al., 2021; Ruzol et al., 2021; Son et al., 2021)
Collective resource management	Community forest management	(Bhatta et al., 2015; Chomba et al., 2015; Furness et al., 2015; Kongsager and Corbera, 2015; Morin et al., 2015; Moktan et al., 2016; Barnes et al., 2017; Persson and Prowse, 2017; Gustafson et al., 2018; Khadka et al., 2018; Sapkota et al., 2018; Amanuel et al., 2019; Clare et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2019; Ofoegbu et al., 2019; Silwal et al., 2019; Bhattarai, 2020; Buckwell et al., 2020; Dhungana et al., 2020; Millner et al., 2020; Sansilvestri et al., 2020; Pathak et al., 2021)
	Community seed/feed/fodder banks	(Bordoni and Hodgkin, 2015; Galluzzi et al., 2015; Hunduma and Ortiz, 2015; Vernooy et al., 2017; Arce et al., 2018; Maharjan and Maharjan, 2018; Otieno et al., 2021)
	Adaptive co-management	(Ogier et al., 2016)
	Social support networks	(Mohamed Shaffril et al., 2019)
	Harvester-driven conservation efforts	(Le Bris et al., 2018)
Genetic improvement	Conventional breeding (cultivar or species improvement, assisted evolution in fisheries)	(Fisher et al., 2015; Fisher and Carr, 2015; Sutcliffe et al., 2016; Williams and Carrico, 2017; Wossen et al., 2017; Fatima et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2020; Gallardo-Hidalgo et al., 2021; Teeken and Temudo, 2021; Zhang et al., 2021)
	Biotech and bioengineering	(Nguyen, 2016; Tan et al., 2020)
Livelihood diversification	Diversification of livelihoods (economic diversification either on-farm or employment in local community)	(Pandey et al., 2016; Adhikari et al., 2018; Galappaththi et al., 2019; Islam and Ghosh, 2019; Mohamed Shaffril et al., 2019; Nursey-Bray et al., 2019; Utete et al., 2019; Dutta et al., 2020; Martins and Gasalla, 2020; Mohamed Shaffril et al., 2020; Silas et al., 2020; Bacon et al., 2021; Mbah et al., 2021; Mulyasari et al., 2021; Oyebola et al., 2021; Pinsky et al., 2021; Schlingmann et al., 2021; von Seggern, 2021)
	Migration (for off-farm employment; either seasonal or permanent)	(Mohamed Shaffril et al., 2019)
Policy and planning	Community-based adaptation (including disaster risk mgt)	(Alauddin and Sarker, 2014; Galappaththi et al., 2016; Amare et al., 2018; Barua and Rahman, 2019; Galappaththi et al., 2019; Lavoie et al., 2019; Roux et al., 2019; Basel et al., 2020; Bronen et al., 2020; Chen and Cheng, 2020; Galappaththi et al., 2020; Martins and Gasalla, 2020; Pearson et al., 2020; Schott et al., 2020; Sowman, 2020; Berkes, 2021; de Scally and Doberstein, 2021; Galappaththi et al., 2021)
	Local governance and conflict resolutions schemes	(Burden and Fujita, 2019; Galappaththi et al., 2019; Suasi and Koya, 2019; Tilley et al., 2019; Tran et al., 2019; Galappaththi et al., 2020; Islam et al., 2020; Kyvelou and Ierapetritis, 2020; McClenachan et al., 2020; Millin, 2020; Schott et al., 2020; Whitney et al., 2020; Berkes, 2021; Casagrande et al., 2021; Gianelli et al., 2021)
	Regional & local food systems strengthening	(Chapin et al., 2016; Karg et al., 2016; Dubbeling et al., 2017; Berner et al., 2019; Ballamingie et al.,

		2020; Hickey and Unwin, 2020; Canal Vieira et al., 2021)
	Urban and peri-urban agriculture	(Grafius et al., 2020)
	Shortening supply chains, direct sales, circular economies	(Lengnick et al., 2015)
	Improving access to community services, social assistance + social insurance	(Mohamed Shaffril et al., 2019)
Shift in production timing/location/species/density	substitution/change plant or animal type	(Menike and Arachchi, 2016; Ndamani and Watanabe, 2016; Kabir et al., 2017; Tabbo and Amadou, 2017; Delaporte and Maurel, 2018; Cuni-Sanchez et al., 2019; Larbi et al., 2019a; Lemessa et al., 2019; Makate et al., 2019; Rodríguez et al., 2019; Tongruksawattana and Wainaina, 2019; Acevedo et al., 2020; Guodaar et al., 2020; Markou et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Azumah et al., 2021; Wordofa et al., 2021)
	Shifting location of crop production, grazing; relocation of aquatic species	(Bell et al., 2020)
	Adjustment of planting dates / counter season crop production	(Wang et al., 2015b; Xiao et al., 2016; Fatima et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020)
Food system transformations	Integrated approaches at multiple scales	(Makondo and Thomas, 2018)
	Shortening supply chains, direct sales, circular economies	(Sellberg et al., 2020)
Reduced land degradation; soil conservation and improvement; carbon capture	Reduced deforestation and forest degradation	(Newton et al., 2015)
	Improved soil management (reduced soil erosion, salinisation, compaction)	(Arshad et al., 2021)

References

- Abass, N. Y. et al., 2020: Genotype-environment interactions for survival and growth rate at varying levels of sodium chloride for growth hormone transgenic channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), channel catfish, and albino channel catfish. *Aquaculture*, **521**, 735084, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735084.
- Abdulai, I. et al., 2018a: Characterization of cocoa production, income diversification and shade tree management along a climate gradient in Ghana. *PLoS One*, **13**(4), e0195777.
- Abdulai, I. et al., 2018b: Cocoa agroforestry is less resilient to sub-optimal and extreme climate than cocoa in full sun. *Global Change Biol.*, **24**(1), 273-286, doi:10.1111/GCB.13885.
- Abhayapala, R. et al., 2018: Exploitation of differential temperature-sensitivities of crops for improved resilience of tropical smallholder cropping systems to climate change: A case study with temperature responses of tomato and chilli. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **261**, 103-114.
- Acevedo, M. et al., 2020: A scoping review of adoption of climate-resilient crops by small-scale producers in low- and middle-income countries. *Nat. Plants*, **6**(10), 1231-1241, doi:10.1038/s41477-020-00783-z.
- Adak, N., H. Gubbuk and N. Tetik, 2018: Yield, quality and biochemical properties of various strawberry cultivars under water stress. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **98**(1), 304-311.
- Adamides, G. et al., 2020: Smart Farming Techniques for Climate Change Adaptation in Cyprus. *Atmosphere*, **11**(6), 557.
- Adams, J. K. et al., 2019: Diatom community responses to long-term multiple stressors at Lake Gusinoye, Siberia. *Geo: Geography and Environment*, **6**(1), doi:10.1002/geo2.72.
- Adebisi-Adelani, O., 2016: Citrus and Tomatoes Response to Climate Change: Survey of Farmers' Perception and Adaptation Strategies in Northern Nigeria. In: *Climate Change Adaptation, Resilience and Hazards*. Springer, pp. 93-108. ISBN 978-3-319-39879-2.
- Adebisi-Adelani, O. and O. Oyesola, 2014: Adaptation Strategies of Citrus and Tomato Farmers Towards the Effect of Climate Change in Nigeria. In: *Vulnerability of Agriculture, Water and Fisheries to Climate Change*. Springer, pp. 157-165. ISBN 978-94-017-8961-5.
- Adhikari, Tuladhar, Hussain and Aryal, 2019: Are Traditional Food Crops Really 'Future Smart Foods?' A Sustainability Perspective. *Sustainability*, **11**(19), 5236-5236, doi:10.3390/su11195236.
- Adhikari, S., H. Baral and C. Nitschke, 2018: Adaptation to Climate Change in Panchase Mountain Ecological Regions of Nepal. *Environments*, **5**(3), doi:10.3390/environments5030042.
- Adhikari, U., A. P. Nejadhashemi and S. A. Woznicki, 2015: Climate change and eastern Africa: a review of impact on major crops. *Food Energy Secur.*, **4**(2), 110-132, doi:10.1002/fes3.61.
- Adiga, J. D., B. M. Muralidhara, P. Preethi and S. Savadi, 2019: Phenological growth stages of the cashew tree (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) according to the extended BBCH scale. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, **175**(2), 246-252.
- Agnolucci, P. and V. De Lipsis, 2020: Long-run trend in agricultural yield and climatic factors in Europe. *Clim. Change*, **159**(3), 385-405, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02622-3.
- Aguilera, E. et al., 2020: Agroecology for adaptation to climate change and resource depletion in the Mediterranean region. A review. *Agric. Syst.*, **181**, 102809, doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102809.
- Ahmed, N., S. Thompson and M. Glaser, 2019: Global Aquaculture Productivity, Environmental Sustainability, and Climate Change Adaptability. *Environ. Manag.*, **63**(2), 159-172, doi:10.1007/s00267-018-1117-3.
- Aidoo, O. F. et al., 2019: Distribution, degree of damage and risk of spread of *Trioza erytreae* (Hemiptera: Triozidae) in Kenya. *J. Appl. Entomol.*, **143**(8), 822-833.
- Akinsorotan, A. M. et al., 2019: Offshore aquaculture practice; a potential for meeting Nigeria fish demand - a review. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, **1299**, 12111-12111, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1299/1/012111.
- Alauddin, M. and M. A. R. Sarker, 2014: Climate change and farm-level adaptation decisions and strategies in drought-prone and groundwater-depleted areas of Bangladesh: an empirical investigation. *Ecol. Econ.*, **106**, 204-213, doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.07.025.
- Alfieri, S. et al., 2019: Adaptability of global olive cultivars to water availability under future Mediterranean climate. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change*, **24**(3), 435-466.
- Allard, A. et al., 2016: Detecting QTLs and putative candidate genes involved in budbreak and flowering time in an apple multiparental population. *J. Exp. Bot.*, **67**(9), 2875-2888.
- Alleway, H. K. et al., 2019: The ecosystem services of marine aquaculture: valuing benefits to people and nature. *Bioscience*, **69**(1), 59-68, doi:10.1093/biosci/biy137.
- Alliaume, F. et al., 2014: Reduced tillage and cover crops improve water capture and reduce erosion of fine textured soils in raised bed tomato systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **183**, 127-137.
- Amanuel, W. et al., 2019: The role of dry land forests for climate change adaptation: the case of Liben Woreda, Southern Oromia, Ethiopia. *Journal of Ecology and Environment*, **43**(1), 11, doi:10.1186/s41610-019-0109-4.
- Amare, Z. Y., J. O. Ayoade, I. O. Adelekan and M. T. Zeleke, 2018: Barriers to and determinants of the choice of crop management strategies to combat climate change in Dejen District, Nile Basin of Ethiopia. *Agric. Food Secur.*, **7**(1), 37-37, doi:10.1186/s40066-018-0188-y.
- Amarnath, G. et al., 2018: Using smart ICT to provide weather and water information to smallholders in Africa: The case of the Gash River Basin, Sudan. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **22**(October), 52-66, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2018.10.001.

- Ameyaw, L. K., G. J. Ettl, K. Leissle and G. J. Anim-Kwapong, 2018: Cocoa and Climate Change: Insights from Smallholder Cocoa Producers in Ghana Regarding Challenges in Implementing Climate Change Mitigation Strategies. *Forests*, **9**(12), 742.
- Andersen, U. B. et al., 2017: Impact of seasonal warming on overwintering and spring phenology of blackcurrant. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, **140**, 96-109.
- Anderson, W. B. et al., 2019: Synchronous crop failures and climate-forced production variability. *Sci Adv*, **5**(7), eaaw1976, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw1976.
- Aniah, P., M. K. Kaunza-Nu-Dem and J. A. Ayembilla, 2019: Smallholder farmers' livelihood adaptation to climate variability and ecological changes in the savanna agro ecological zone of Ghana. *Heliyon*, **5**(4), e01492, doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01492.
- Ansah, Y. B., E. A. Frimpong and E. M. Hallerman, 2014: Genetically-improved tilapia strains in Africa: potential benefits and negative impacts. *Sustainability*, **6**(6), 3697-3721, doi:10.3390/su6063697.
- Anwar, M. R. et al., 2015: Climate change impacts on phenology and yields of five broadacre crops at four climatologically distinct locations in Australia. *Agric. Syst.*, **132**, 133-144.
- Apuri, I., K. Peprah and G. T. W. Achana, 2018: Climate change adaptation through agroforestry: The case of Kassena Nankana West District, Ghana. *Environ. Dev.*, **28**, 32-41, doi:10.1016/J.ENVDEV.2018.09.002.
- Aragão, C. et al., 2020: Alternative formulations for gilthead seabream diets: Towards a more sustainable production. *Aquac. Nutr.*, **26**(2), 444-455, doi:10.1111/anu.13007.
- Araujo, S. S. et al., 2015: Abiotic stress responses in legumes: strategies used to cope with environmental challenges. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.*, **34**(1-3), 237-280.
- Arbenz, M., D. Gould and C. Stopes, 2017: ORGANIC 3.0—the vision of the global organic movement and the need for scientific support. *Organic Agriculture*, **7**(3), 199-207, doi:10.1007/s13165-017-0177-7.
- Arce, A., S. de Haan, D. D. Burra and R. Canto, 2018: Unearthing Unevenness of Potato Seed Networks in the High Andes: A Comparison of Distinct Cultivar Groups and Farmer Types Following Seasons With and Without Acute Stress. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **2**, 43.
- Arechavala-Lopez, P. et al., 2020: Linking stocking densities and feeding strategies with social and individual stress responses on gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*). *Physiol. Behav.*, **213**, 112723-112723, doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2019.112723.
- Arshad, A. et al., 2021: Impact of Climate Warming on Cotton Growth and Yields in China and Pakistan: A Regional Perspective. *Agriculture*, **11**(2), doi:10.3390/agriculture11020097.
- Aryal, K., P. S. Thapa and D. Lamichhane, 2019: Revisiting Agroforestry for Building Climate Resilient Communities: A Case of Package-Based Integrated Agroforestry Practices in Nepal. *Emerging Science Journal*, **3**(5), 303-311, doi:10.28991/ESJ-2019-01193.
- Asadu, A. N., R. I. Ozioko and M. U. Dimelu, 2018: Climate change information source and indigenous adaptation strategies of cucumber farmers in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, **22**(2).
- Asante, F. A. and F. Amuakwa-Mensah, 2015: Climate change and variability in Ghana: Stocktaking. *Climate*, **3**(1), 78-99.
- Asante, W., E. Acheampong, E. Kyereh and B. Kyereh, 2016: Farmers' perception on climate change; its manifestations in smallholder cocoa systems and shifts in cropping pattern in the forest-savannah transitional zone of Ghana. *Land use policy. Elsevier*, **66**, 374-381.
- Ashofteh, P.-S., O. B. Haddad and M. A. Marino, 2015: Risk analysis of water demand for agricultural crops under climate change. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, **20**(4), 04014060, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001053.
- Assefa, Y. et al., 2021: Crop diversification in rice-based systems in the polders of Bangladesh: Yield stability, profitability, and associated risk. *Agric. Syst.*, **187**, 102986-102986, doi:10.1016/J.AGSY.2020.102986.
- Atindana, S. A. et al., 2019: Coping with climate variability and non-climate stressors in the West African Oyster (*Crassostrea tulipa*) fishery in coastal Ghana. *Marit. Stud.*, doi:10.1007/s40152-019-00132-7.
- Awoye, O., F. Pollinger, E. Agbossou and H. Paeth, 2017: Dynamical-statistical projections of the climate change impact on agricultural production in Benin by means of a cross-validated linear model combined with Bayesian statistics. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **234**, 80-94.
- Ayisi, C. L. et al., 2017: Recent Studies Toward the Development of Practical Diets for Shrimp and Their Nutritional Requirements. *HAYATI Journal of Biosciences*, **24**(3), 109-117, doi:10.1016/j.hjb.2017.09.004.
- Azumah, S. B., W. Adzawla, S. A. Donkoh and P. Y. Anani, 2021: Effects of climate adaptation on households' livelihood vulnerability in South Tongu and Zabzugu districts of Ghana. *Clim. Dev.*, **13**(3), 256-267, doi:10.1080/17565529.2020.1757398.
- Bacon, C. M. et al., 2021: Towards smallholder food and water security: Climate variability in the context of multiple livelihood hazards in Nicaragua. *World Dev.*, **143**, 105468, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2021.105468.
- Bafdal, N., S. Dwirratna, E. Suryadi and D. R. Kendarto, 2018: Water harvesting as a technological innovation and greater solving of climatic change impact to supply fertigation. *Int. J. Adv. Sci. Eng. Inf. Technol.*, **8**(6), 2380-2385.
- Bahl, P., 2015: Climate change and pulses: approaches to combat its impact. *Agricultural Research*, **4**(2), 103-108.
- Balasimha, D., 2016: Cocoa and cashew. In: *Abiotic Stress Physiology of Horticultural Crops* [Srinivasa Rao, N. K., K. S. Shivashankara and R. H. Laxman (eds.)]. Springer, pp. 307-319. ISBN 9788132227236.

- Balehegn, M., S. Balehey, C. Fu and W. Liang, 2019: Indigenous weather and climate forecasting knowledge among Afar pastoralists of north eastern Ethiopia: Role in adaptation to weather and climate variability. *Pastoralism*, **9**(1), 8-8, doi:10.1186/s13570-019-0143-y.
- Ballamingie, P. et al., 2020: Integrating a Food Systems Lens into Discussions of Urban Resilience: A Policy Analysis. *J. Agric. Food Syst. Community Dev.*, **9**(3), 227-243, doi:10.5304/jafscd.2020.093.021.
- Bandanaa, J., I. S. Egyir and I. Asante, 2016: Cocoa farming households in Ghana consider organic practices as climate smart and livelihoods enhancer. *Agric. Food Secur.*, **5**(1), 29, doi:10.1186/s40066-016-0077-1.
- Barnes, C. et al., 2017: Uniting forest and livelihood outcomes? Analyzing external actor interventions in sustainable livelihoods in a community forest management context. *International Journal of the Commons*, **11**(1), 532-571, doi:10.18352/IJC.750.
- Bartley, D., A. Menezes, R. Metzner and Y. Ansah, 2018: *The FAO Blue Growth Initiative: Strategy for the Development of Fisheries and Aquaculture in Eastern Africa*. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Circular, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 55 pp. ISBN 978-92-5-130261-3.
- Barua, P. and S. H. Rahman, 2019: Indigenous knowledge and sustainable value chain approach to climate change adaptation in the fisheries sector of coastal Bangladesh. *IUP Journal of Knowledge Management* **17**(2), 21-45.
- Basel, B., G. Goby and J. Johnson, 2020: Community-based adaptation to climate change in villages of Western Province, Solomon Islands. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, **156**, 111266-111266, doi:10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2020.111266.
- Bay of Bengal Programme, 2013: *Report of the Ninth Meeting of the Governing Council of the Bay of Bengal Programme Inter-Governmental Organisation*. Chennai, India, 88 pp.
- Beacham, A. M. et al., 2018: Addressing the threat of climate change to agriculture requires improving crop resilience to short-term abiotic stress. *Outlook on Agriculture*, **47**(4), 270-276, doi:10.1177/0030727018807722.
- Bebber, D. P., 2015: Range-expanding pests and pathogens in a warming world. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, **53**, 335-356.
- Bedoussac, L. et al., 2015: Ecological principles underlying the increase of productivity achieved by cereal-grain legume intercropping in organic farming. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **35**(3), 911-935.
- Belay, A., J. W. Recha, T. Woldeamanuel and J. F. Morton, 2017: Smallholder farmers' adaptation to climate change and determinants of their adaptation decisions in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. *Agric. Food Secur.*, **6**(1), 24, doi:10.1186/s40066-017-0100-1.
- Bell, R. J., J. Odell, G. Kirchner and S. Lomonico, 2020: Actions to Promote and Achieve Climate-Ready Fisheries: Summary of Current Practice. *Mar. Coast. Fish.*, **12**(3), 166-190, doi:10.1002/mcf2.10112.
- Benmoussa, H., M. Ghrab, M. Ben Mimoun and E. Luedeling, 2017: Chilling and heat requirements for local and foreign almond (*Prunus dulcis* Mill.) cultivars in a warm Mediterranean location based on 30 years of phenology records. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **239**, 34-46, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.02.030.
- Benmoussa, H., M. B. Mimoun, M. Ghrab and E. Luedeling, 2018: Climate change threatens central Tunisian nut orchards. *International journal of biometeorology*, **62**(12), 2245-2255.
- Bergsson, H. d. et al., 2019: Respiratory Physiology of European Plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) Exposed to *Prymnesium parvum*. *Fishes*, **4**(2), 32-32, doi:10.3390/fishes4020032.
- Berkes, F., 2021: *Toward a new social contract: Community-based resource management and small-scale fisheries* TBTI Global book series, TBTI Global St. John's Canada. ISBN 978-1-7773202-4-9.
- Berner, S. et al., 2019: Roadmapping to Enhance Local Food Supply: Case Study of a City-Region in Austria. *Sustainability*, **11**(14), doi:10.3390/su11143876.
- Besson, M. et al., 2016: Environmental impacts of genetic improvement of growth rate and feed conversion ratio in fish farming under rearing density and nitrogen output limitations. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **116**, 100-109, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.12.084.
- Bezerra, A. D. M. et al., 2019: Agricultural area losses and pollinator mismatch due to climate changes endanger passion fruit production in the Neotropics. *Agric. Syst.*, **169**, 49-57, doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2018.12.002.
- Bezner Kerr, R. et al., 2019: Participatory agroecological research on climate change adaptation improves smallholder farmer household food security and dietary diversity in Malawi. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **279**, 109-121, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2019.04.004.
- Bhandari, K. et al., 2017: Temperature sensitivity of food legumes: a physiological insight. *Acta physiologicae plantarum*, **39**(3), 68.
- Bharucha, Z. P., S. B. Mitjans and J. Pretty, 2020: Towards redesign at scale through zero budget natural farming in Andhra Pradesh, India. *Int. J. Agric. Sustain.*, **18**(1), 1-20, doi:10.1080/14735903.2019.1694465.
- Bhatta, L. D., B. E. H. van Oort, N. E. Stork and H. Baral, 2015: Ecosystem services and livelihoods in a changing climate: Understanding local adaptations in the Upper Koshi, Nepal. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management*, **11**(2), 145-155, doi:10.1080/21513732.2015.1027793.
- Bhattarai, B., 2020: How do gender relations shape a community's ability to adapt to climate change? Insights from Nepal's community forestry. *Clim. Dev.*, **12**(10), 876-887, doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1701971.
- Biasi, R., E. Brunori, C. Ferrara and L. Salvati, 2019: Assessing Impacts of Climate Change on Phenology and Quality Traits of *Vitis vinifera* L.: The Contribution of Local Knowledge. *Plants*, **8**(5), 121.
- Bieniek, A., E. Draganska and V. Pranckietis, 2016: Assessment of climatic conditions for *Actinidia arguta* cultivation in north-eastern Poland. *Zemdirbyste-Agriculture*, **103**(3).
- Bird, D. N. et al., 2016: Modelling climate change impacts on and adaptation strategies for agriculture in Sardinia and Tunisia using AquaCrop and value-at-risk. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **543**, 1019-1027.

- Bissattini, A. M., L. Traversetti, G. Bellavia and M. Scalici, 2015: Tolerance of increasing water salinity in the red swamp crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* (Girard, 1852). *J. Crust. Biol.*, **35**(5), 682-685, doi:10.1163/1937240x-00002366.
- Blaser, W. J. et al., 2018: Climate-smart sustainable agriculture in low-to-intermediate shade agroforests. *Nat. Sustain.*, **1**(5), 234-239.
- Bohra, A. et al., 2015: Genetics-and genomics-based interventions for nutritional enhancement of grain legume crops: status and outlook. *J. Appl. Genet.*, **56**(2), 151-161.
- Bolaños-Villegas, P., 2020: Chromosome engineering in tropical cash crops. *Agronomy*, **10**(1), 122.
- Bonfante, A. et al., 2018: A dynamic viticultural zoning to explore the resilience of terroir concept under climate change. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **624**, 294-308, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.035.
- Bonifacio, A., 2019: Improvement of Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) and Qañawa (*Chenopodium pallidicaule* Aellen) in the context of climate change in the high Andes. *International Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources*, **46**(2), 113-124, doi:10.7764/RCIA.V46I2.2146.
- Bonsignore, C. P., G. Vono and U. Bernardo, 2019: Environmental thermal levels affect the phenological relationships between the chestnut gall wasp and its parasitoids. *Physiol. Entomol.*, **44**(2), 87-98.
- Bordoni, P. and T. Hodgkin, 2015: Malaysia: exploring the utility of a community seed bank in Sarawak. In: *Community Seed Banks ; Origins, Evolution and Prospects* [Vernooy, R., P. Shrestha and B. Sthapit (eds.)]. Routledge, London. ISBN 9781315886329.
- Borremans, L., F. Marchand, M. Visser and E. Wauters, 2018: Nurturing agroforestry systems in Flanders: Analysis from an agricultural innovation systems perspective. *Agric. Syst.*, **162**, 205-219, doi:10.1016/J.AGSY.2018.01.004.
- Bosso, L. et al., 2016: Shedding light on the effects of climate change on the potential distribution of *Xylella fastidiosa* in the Mediterranean basin. *Biol. Invasions*, **18**(6), 1759-1768.
- Boulanger-Lapointe, N., A. Järvinen, R. Partanen and T. M. Herrmann, 2017: Climate and herbivore influence on *Vaccinium myrtillus* over the last 40 years in northwest Lapland, Finland. *Ecosphere*, **8**(1), e01654.
- Bouregaa, T., 2019: Impact of climate change on yield and water requirement of rainfed crops in the Setif region. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*.
- Bowles, T. M. et al., 2020: Long-Term Evidence Shows that Crop-Rotation Diversification Increases Agricultural Resilience to Adverse Growing Conditions in North America. *One Earth*, **2**(3), 284-293, doi:10.1016/j.oneear.2020.02.007.
- Bozzola, M. and M. Smale, 2020: The welfare effects of crop biodiversity as an adaptation to climate shocks in Kenya. *World Dev.*, **135**, 105065, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105065.
- Brainard, D. et al., 2019: Managing drought risk in a changing climate: Irrigation and cultivar impacts on Michigan asparagus. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **213**, 773-781.
- Brás, T. A., J. Seixas, N. Carvalhais and J. Jägermeyr, 2021: Severity of drought and heatwave crop losses tripled over the last five decades in Europe. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **16**, 065012, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/abf004.
- Brisbin, M. M. and S. Mitarai, 2019: Differential Gene Expression Supports a Resource-Intensive, Defensive Role for Colony Production in the Bloom-Forming Haptophyte, *Phaeocystis globosa*. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, **66**(5), 788-801, doi:10.1111/jeu.12727.
- Bronen, R. et al., 2020: Usteq: integrating indigenous knowledge and social and physical sciences to coproduce knowledge and support community-based adaptation. *Polar Geography*, **43**(2-3), 188-205, doi:10.1080/1088937X.2019.1679271.
- Bu, L. D. et al., 2015: The effect of adapting cultivars on the water use efficiency of dryland maize (*Zea mays* L.) in northwestern China. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **148**, 1-9, doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2014.09.010.
- Buckwell, A. et al., 2020: Social benefit cost analysis of ecosystem-based climate change adaptations: a community-level case study in Tanna Island, Vanuatu. *Clim. Dev.*, **12**(6), 495-510, doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1642179.
- Buentello, J. A., D. M. Gatlin and W. H. Neill, 2000: Effects of water temperature and dissolved oxygen on daily feed consumption, feed utilization and growth of channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). *Aquaculture*, **182**(3-4), 339-352, doi:10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00274-4.
- Bunn, C. et al., 2015: Multiclass classification of agro-ecological zones for Arabica coffee: an improved understanding of the impacts of climate change. *PLoS One*, e0140490, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140490.
- Bunting, S. W., N. Kundu and N. Ahmed, 2017: Evaluating the contribution of diversified shrimp-rice agroecosystems in Bangladesh and West Bengal, India to social-ecological resilience. *Ocean Coast. Manage.*, **148**, 63-74, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.07.010.
- Burchfield, E. K. and A. T. d. I. Poterie, 2018: Determinants of crop diversification in rice-dominated Sri Lankan agricultural systems. *J. Rural Stud.*, **61**, 206-215, doi:10.1016/j.jrurstud.2018.05.010.
- Burden, M. and R. Fujita, 2019: Better fisheries management can help reduce conflict, improve food security, and increase economic productivity in the face of climate change. *Mar. Policy*, **108**, 103610-103610, doi:10.1016/J.MARPOL.2019.103610.
- Cáceres-Arteaga, N., K. Maria and D. Lane, 2020: Agroecological Practices as a Climate Change Adaptation Mechanism in Four Highland Communities in Ecuador. *Journal of Latin American Geography*, **19**(3), 47-73, doi:10.1353/lag.2020.0071.

- Calderón, C. I. et al., 2018: Agroecology-based farming provides grounds for more resilient livelihoods among smallholders in Western Guatemala. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **42**(10), 1128-1169, doi:10.1080/21683565.2018.1489933.
- Camacho-Villa, T. C. et al., 2021: Mayan Traditional Knowledge on Weather Forecasting: Who Contributes to Whom in Coping With Climate Change? *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, **0**, 62-62, doi:10.3389/fsufs.2021.618453.
- Cámara-Zapata, J. M. et al., 2020: Evaluation of an Adapted Greenhouse Cooling System with Pre-Chamber and Inflatable Air Ducts for Semi-Arid Regions in Warm Conditions. *Agronomy*, **10**(5), 752.
- Cammarano, D. et al., 2020: Impact of climate change on water and nitrogen use efficiencies of processing tomato cultivated in Italy. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **241**, 106336.
- Canal Vieira, L., S. Serrao-Neumann and M. Howes, 2021: Daring to build fair and sustainable urban food systems: A case study of alternative food networks in Australia. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **45**(3), 344-365, doi:10.1080/21683565.2020.1812788.
- Cardell, M. F., A. Amengual and R. Romero, 2019: Future effects of climate change on the suitability of wine grape production across Europe. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **19**(8), 2299-2310, doi:10.1007/s10113-019-01502-x.
- Carreira, B. M., P. Segurado, A. Laurila and R. Rebelo, 2017: Can heat waves change the trophic role of the world's most invasive crayfish? Diet shifts in *Procambarus clarkii*. *PLoS One*, **12**(9), e0183108, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0183108.
- Casagrande, A. et al., 2021: Artisanal mollusc fisheries co-management in Brazil and Italy: Institutional innovations to address environmental crisis. *J. Environ. Manag.*, **291**, 112671-112671, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112671.
- Casas-Prat, M. and X. L. Wang, 2020: Projections of Extreme Ocean Waves in the Arctic and Potential Implications for Coastal Inundation and Erosion. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **125**(8), e2019JC015745, doi:10.1029/2019JC015745.
- Catalán, I. A. et al., 2019: Critically examining the knowledge base required to mechanistically project climate impacts: A case study of Europe's fish and shellfish. *Fish Fish.*, **20**(3), 501-517, doi:10.1111/faf.12359.
- Chaitanawisuti, N., W. Santhaweesuk and G. Wattayakorn, 2013: The combined effects of temperature and salinity on survival of postlarvae tiger prawn *Penaeus monodon* under laboratory conditions. *Agric. Sci.*, **4**(6A), 53-56, doi:10.4236/as.2013.46A008.
- Chambers, R. G., S. Pieralli and Y. Sheng, 2020: The millennium droughts and Australian agricultural productivity performance: a nonparametric analysis. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, **102**(5), 1383-1403, doi:10.1111/ajae.12098.
- Chan, F. T. et al., 2018: Climate change opens new frontiers for marine species in the Arctic: Current trends and future invasion risks. *Global Change Biol.*, **25**(1), 25-38, doi:10.1111/gcb.14469.
- Chapin, F. S. et al., 2016: Community-empowered adaptation for self-reliance. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **19**, 67-75, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2015.12.008.
- Chapra, S. C. et al., 2017: Climate change impacts on harmful algal blooms in U.S. freshwaters: a screening-level assessment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **51**(16), 8933-8943, doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b01498.
- Chaudhary, B. R., G. Acciaoli, W. Erskine and P. Chaudhary, 2021: Responses of the Tharu to climate change-related hazards in the water sector: Indigenous perceptions, vulnerability and adaptations in the western Tarai of Nepal. *Clim. Dev.*, 1-14, doi:10.1080/17565529.2021.1889947.
- Chávez, C., J. Dresdner, Y. Figueroa and M. Quiroga, 2019: Main issues and challenges for sustainable development of salmon farming in Chile: a socio-economic perspective. *Rev. Aquac.*, **11**(2), 403-421, doi:10.1111/raq.12338.
- Chen, B. et al., 2018: Effects of light intensity on the photosynthetic responses of *Sargassum fusiforme* seedlings to future CO₂ rising. *Aquac. Res.*, **50**(1), 116-125, doi:10.1111/are.13873.
- Chen, T. L. and H. W. Cheng, 2020: Applying traditional knowledge to resilience in coastal rural villages. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, **47**, 101564-101564, doi:10.1016/J.IJDRR.2020.101564.
- Chen, Y. et al., 2016: Identifying the impact of multi-hazards on crop yield—A case for heat stress and dry stress on winter wheat yield in northern China. *Eur J Agron*, **73**, 55-63, doi:10.1016/j.eja.2015.10.009.
- Chepkoech, W. et al., 2018: Farmers' perspectives: impact of climate change on African indigenous vegetable production in Kenya. *Int. J. Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*
- Chilakala, R. et al., 2019: Sustainable Solutions for Oyster Shell Waste Recycling in Thailand and the Philippines. *Recycling*, **4**(3), 35-35, doi:10.3390/recycling4030035.
- Chomba, S., T. Treue and F. Sinclair, 2015: The political economy of forest entitlements: can community based forest management reduce vulnerability at the forest margin? *For Policy Econ*, **58**, 37-46, doi:10.1016/j.forpol.2014.11.011.
- Choquette, A., N. Milot and L. Lepage, 2016: The Organizational Dimensions of Agricultural Adaptation: Experiences in Québec's Market Garden Sector. In: *Agricultural Adaptation to Climate Change*. Springer, pp. 91-104. ISBN 978-3-319-31390-0.
- Choudhary, J. S. et al., 2019a: Predicting impact of climate change on habitat suitability of guava fruit fly, *Bactrocera correcta* (Bezzi) using MaxEnt modeling in India. *J. Agrometeorol.*, **21**(1), 24-30.
- Choudhary, J. S., S. S. Mali, B. B. Fand and B. Das, 2019b: Predicting the invasion potential of indigenous restricted mango fruit borer, *Citripestis eutrapphera* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in India based on MaxEnt modelling. *Curr. Sci.*, **116**(4), 636.

- Choudhary, J. S. et al., 2019c: Spatio-temporal temperature variations in MarkSim multimodel data and their impact on voltinism of fruit fly, *Bactrocera* species on mango. *Sci. Rep.*, **9**(1), 1-12.
- Christiansen, J. S., 2019: Krill harvesting: rush on red gold endangers ecosystems. *Nature*, **568**(7753), 458-458, doi:10.1038/d41586-019-01294-4.
- Clare, S. M., M. C. Ruiz-Jaen and G. M. Hickey, 2019: Assessing the potential of community-based forestry programs in Panama. *For Policy Econ*, **104**, 81-92, doi:10.1016/J.FORPOL.2019.04.004.
- Clarkson, G. et al., 2019: An investigation of the effects of PICSA on smallholder farmers' decision-making and livelihoods when implemented at large scale – The case of Northern Ghana. *Clim. Serv.*, **14**, 1-14, doi:10.1016/J.CLISER.2019.02.002.
- Clough, S. et al., 2020: Innovative technologies to promote sustainable recirculating aquaculture in Eastern Africa - a case study of a Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) hatchery in Kisumu, Kenya. *Integr Environ Assess Manag*, **16**(6), 934-941, doi:10.1002/ieam.4295.
- Clough, Y., S. Philpott and T. Tschardt, 2017: Ant Communities in Tropical Cacao and Coffee Agroforestry Systems. *Ant-Plant Interactions: Impacts of Humans on Terrestrial Ecosystems*, 333.
- Colombo, S. M. et al., 2019: Projected declines in global {DHA} availability for human consumption as a result of global warming. *Ambio*, **49**(4), 865-880, doi:10.1007/s13280-019-01234-6.
- Colting-Pulumbart, C., C. M. Rebancos, J. O. Coladilla and R. D. Lasco, 2018: Sustainable Livelihoods-Based Assessment of Adaptive Capacity to Climate Change: The Case of Organic and Conventional Vegetable Farmers in La Trinidad, Benguet, Philippines. *Journal of Environmental Science and Management*, **21**(2), 57-69.
- Cominassi, L. et al., 2019: Combined effects of ocean acidification and temperature on larval and juvenile growth, development and swimming performance of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *PLoS One*, **14**(9), e0221283-e0221283, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0221283.
- Conesa, M. À., M. Fullana-Pericàs, A. Granell and J. Galmés, 2020: Mediterranean long shelf-life landraces: an untapped genetic resource for tomato improvement. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **10**, 1651.
- Cook, B. I. and E. M. Wolkovich, 2016: Climate change decouples drought from early wine grape harvests in France. *Nat. Clim. Change*, **6**(7), 715-719, doi:10.1038/nclimate2960.
- Córdova, R., N. J. Hogarth and M. Kanninen, 2019: Mountain Farming Systems' Exposure and Sensitivity to Climate Change and Variability: Agroforestry and Conventional Agriculture Systems Compared in Ecuador's Indigenous Territory of Kayambi People. *Sustainability*, **11**(9), doi:10.3390/su11092623.
- Cowx, I. G., Cultured aquatic species information program: *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, FAO, Rome.
- Crespo-Pérez, V. et al., 2015: Changes in the distribution of multispecies pest assemblages affect levels of crop damage in warming tropical Andes. *Global Change Biol.*, **21**(1), 82-96.
- Crichigno, S. A. and V. E. Cussac, 2019: Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) adaptation to a warmer climate: the performance of an improved strain under farm conditions. *Aquac. Int.*, **27**(6), 1869-1882, doi:10.1007/s10499-019-00438-7.
- Crossa, J. et al., 2017: Genomic selection in plant breeding: methods, models, and perspectives. *Trends Plant Sci.*, **22**(11), 961-975.
- Crozier, L. G. et al., 2019: Climate vulnerability assessment for Pacific salmon and steelhead in the California Current Large Marine Ecosystem. *PLoS One*, **14**(7), e0217711-e0217711, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0217711.
- Cuellar-Martinez, T. et al., 2019: Relevance of carbon burial and storage in two contrasting blue carbon ecosystems of a north-east Pacific coastal lagoon. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **675**, 581-593, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.388.
- Cummings, V. J. et al., 2019: Effect of reduced pH on physiology and shell integrity of juvenile *Haliotis iris* (paua) from New Zealand. *PeerJ*, **7**, e7670-e7670, doi:10.7717/peerj.7670.
- Cuni-Sanchez, A. et al., 2019: Climate change and pastoralists: perceptions and adaptation in montane Kenya. *Clim. Dev.*, **11**(6), 513-524, doi:10.1080/17565529.2018.1454880.
- Daigle, J. J., N. Michelle, D. J. Ranco and M. R. Emery, 2019: Traditional lifeways and storytelling: Tools for adaptation and ecosystem change. *Hum. Ecol.*, **47**(5), 777-784.
- Dalias, P., A. Christou and D. Neocleous, 2019: Adjustment of Irrigation Schedules as a Strategy to Mitigate Climate Change Impacts on Agriculture in Cyprus. *Agriculture*, **9**(1), 4.
- Dalvi, R. S. et al., 2009: Thermal tolerance and oxygen consumption rates of the catfish *Horabagrus brachysoma* (Gunther) acclimated to different temperatures. *Aquaculture*, **295**(1-2), 116-119, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.06.034.
- Daly, M. and L. Dilling, 2019: The politics of "usable" knowledge: examining the development of climate services in Tanzania. *Clim. Change*, **157**(1), 61-80, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02510-w.
- DaMatta, F. M. et al., 2019: Why could the coffee crop endure climate change and global warming to a greater extent than previously estimated? *Clim. Change*, **152**(1), 167-178, doi:10.1007/s10584-018-2346-4.
- Daryanto, S., L. Wang and P.-A. Jacinthe, 2017: Global synthesis of drought effects on cereal, legume, tuber and root crops production: A review. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **179**, 18-33.
- Dayamba, D. S. et al., 2018: Assessment of the use of Participatory Integrated Climate Services for Agriculture (PICSA) approach by farmers to manage climate risk in Mali and Senegal. *Clim. Serv.*, **12**, 27-35, doi:10.1016/j.cliser.2018.07.003.

- de Araújo Visses, F., P. C. Sentelhas and A. B. Pereira, 2018: Yield gap of cassava crop as a measure of food security— an example for the main Brazilian producing regions. *Food Secur.*, **10**(5), 1191-1202, doi:10.1007/s12571-018-0831-2.
- de Cortazar Atauri, I. G. et al., 2017: Grapevine phenology in France: from past observations to future evolutions in the context of climate change.
- De Giusti, G., P. Kristjanson and M. C. Rufino, 2019: Agroforestry as a climate change mitigation practice in smallholder farming: evidence from Kenya. *Clim. Change*, **153**(3), 379-394, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02390-0.
- de Lima, R. S. N. et al., 2015: Partial rootzone drying (PRD) and regulated deficit irrigation (RDI) effects on stomatal conductance, growth, photosynthetic capacity, and water-use efficiency of papaya. *Sci. Hortic.*, **183**, 13-22.
- de Scally, D. and B. Doberstein, 2021: Local knowledge in climate change adaptation in the Cook Islands. *Clim. Dev.*, 1-14, doi:10.1080/17565529.2021.1927658.
- de Sousa, K. et al., 2019: The future of coffee and cocoa agroforestry in a warmer Mesoamerica. *Sci. Rep.*, **9**(1), 1-9.
- De Zoysa, M. and M. Inoue, 2014: Climate Change Impacts, Agroforestry Adaptation and Policy Environment in Sri Lanka. *Open Journal of Forestry*, **04**(05), 439-456, doi:10.4236/ojfor.2014.45049.
- Declaro-Ruedas, M. Y. A., 2020: Strategies Use by Garlic Growers in Coping with Climate Variability in Occidental Mindoro, Philippines. *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, **24**(2), 40-47.
- Díez-Palet, I. et al., 2019: Blooming under Mediterranean climate: Estimating cultivar-specific chill and heat requirements of almond and apple trees using a statistical approach. *Agronomy*, **9**(11), 760.
- Deguchi, T., K. Iwama and A. Haverkort, 2016: Actual and potential yield levels of potato in different production systems of Japan. *Potato Res.*, **59**(3), 207-225.
- Delaporte, I. and M. Maurel, 2018: Adaptation to climate change in Bangladesh. *Clim. Policy*, **18**(1), 49-62, doi:10.1080/14693062.2016.1222261.
- Devine, S. and O. G. Anthony Toby, 2019: Climate-smart management of soil water storage: statewide analysis of California perennial crops. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **14**(4), 044021.
- DeWitte-Orr, S., E.-S. Edholm and L. Grayfer, 2019: Editorial: Innate Immunity in Aquatic Vertebrates. *Frontiers in Immunology*, **10**, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.02959.
- Dhakal, B. and R. R. Kattel, 2019: Effects of global changes on ecosystems services of multiple natural resources in mountain agricultural landscapes. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **676**, 665-682, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.276.
- Dhungana, N. et al., 2020: Rural coping and adaptation strategies for climate change by Himalayan communities in Nepal. *Journal of Mountain Science*, **17**(6), 1462-1474, doi:10.1007/s11629-019-5616-3.
- Di Paola, A. et al., 2018: The expansion of wheat thermal suitability of Russia in response to climate change. *Land Use Policy*, **78**, 70-77, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.06.035.
- Diacono, M. et al., 2016: Combined agro-ecological strategies for adaptation of organic horticultural systems to climate change in Mediterranean environment. *Ital. J. Agron.*, **11**(2), 85-91.
- Dillon, A., K. McGee and G. Oseni, 2015: Agricultural Production, Dietary Diversity and Climate Variability. *J. Dev. Stud.*, **51**(8), 976-995, doi:10.1080/00220388.2015.1018902.
- Diouf, N. S. et al., 2020: Using Seasonal Forecast as an Adaptation Strategy: Gender Differential Impact on Yield and Income in Senegal. *Atmosphere*, **11**(10), 1127-1127, doi:10.3390/atmos11101127.
- Dong, J. et al., 2018: Effects of elevated CO₂ on nutritional quality of vegetables: a review. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **9**, 924.
- Dörr, A. J. M. et al., 2020: Salinity tolerance of the invasive red swamp crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* (Girard, 1852). *Hydrobiologia*, **847**(9), 2065-2081, doi:10.1007/s10750-020-04231-z.
- Douxchamps, S. et al., 2016: Linking agricultural adaptation strategies, food security and vulnerability: evidence from West Africa. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **16**(5), 1305-1317, doi:10.1007/s10113-015-0838-6.
- Drappier, J., C. Thibon, A. Rabot and L. Geny-Denis, 2019: Relationship between wine composition and temperature: Impact on Bordeaux wine typicity in the context of global warming. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, **59**(1), 14-30.
- Dreccer, M. F. et al., 2018: Comparison of sensitive stages of wheat, barley, canola, chickpea and field pea to temperature and water stress across Australia. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **248**, 275-294.
- Driedonks, N. et al., 2018: Exploring the natural variation for reproductive thermotolerance in wild tomato species. *Euphytica*, **214**(4), 1-12.
- Drummond, F. A. et al., 2017: A natural history of change in native bees associated with lowbush blueberry in Maine. *Northeast. Nat.*, **24**, 49-68.
- Dua, V., S. Kumar, P. Chaukhande and B. Singh, 2016: Impact of climate change on potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) productivity in Bihar and relative adaptation strategies. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **61**(1), 79-88.
- Dua, V. et al., 2018: Climate change and potato productivity in Madhya Pradesh-Impact and adaptation. *J. Agrometeorol.*, **20**(2), 97-104.
- Dua, V., B. Singh, K. Sushil and S. Lal, 2015: Impact of climate change on potato productivity in Uttar Pradesh and adaptation strategies. *Potato J.*, **42**(2), 95-110.
- Dubbeling, M. et al., 2017: Assessing and Planning Sustainable City Region Food Systems: Insights from Two Latin American Cities. *Sustainability*, **9**(8), doi:10.3390/su9081455.
- Dubois, M. J. et al., 2019: Integrating fish into irrigation infrastructure projects in Myanmar: rice-fish what if...? *Marine and Freshwater Research*, **70**(9), 1229-1240.

- Duncan, J. M. A. et al., 2021: Do integrated landscape approaches moderate climate impacts on livelihoods? A review of the evidence from agricultural landscapes. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **21**(1), 25-25, doi:10.1007/s10113-021-01754-6.
- Dutta, S. et al., 2020: Analyzing adaptation strategies to climate change followed by the farming community of the Indian Sunderbans using Analytical Hierarchy Process. *J. Coast. Conservation*, **24**(5), 61-61, doi:10.1007/s11852-020-00779-z.
- Dwivedi, S. L. et al., 2018: Using Biotechnology-Led Approaches to Uplift Cereal and Food Legume Yields in Dryland Environments. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **9**, 1249.
- Ebert, A. W., 2014: Potential of underutilized traditional vegetables and legume crops to contribute to food and nutritional security, income and more sustainable production systems. *Sustainability*, **6**(1), 319-335.
- Ebhuoma, E. E., 2020: A framework for integrating scientific forecasts with indigenous systems of weather forecasting in southern Nigeria. *Dev. Pract.*, **30**(4), 472-484, doi:10.1080/09614524.2020.1723494.
- Ebrahimi, N., N. Viaene, K. Demeulemeester and M. Moens, 2014: Observations on the life cycle of potato cyst nematodes, *Globodera rostochiensis* and *G. pallida*, on early potato cultivars. *Nematology*, **16**(8), 937-952.
- Eck, M. A., A. R. Murray, A. R. Ward and C. E. Konrad, 2020: Influence of growing season temperature and precipitation anomalies on crop yield in the southeastern United States. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **291**, 108053.
- Eeswaran, R., A. Pouyan Nejadhashemi and S. R. Miller, 2021: Evaluating the climate resilience in terms of profitability and risk for a long-term corn-soybean-wheat rotation under different treatment systems. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **32**, 100284-100284, doi:10.1016/J.CRM.2021.100284.
- Eitzinger, A. et al., 2014: Implications of a changing climate on food security and smallholders' livelihoods in Bogotá, Colombia. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change*, **19**(2), 161-176.
- El-Danasoury, H. and J. Iglesias-Piñeiro, 2017: Performance of the slug parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis* hermaphrodita under predicted conditions of winter warming. *J. Pestic. Sci.*, D16-097.
- El-Sayed, A.-F. M., 2017: *Regional Review on Status and Trends in Aquaculture Development in the Near East and North Africa - 2015*. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Circular, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 32 pp. ISBN 9789251096581.
- El Hourany, R. et al., 2021: Evidencing the Impact of Climate Change on the Phytoplankton Community of the Mediterranean Sea Through a Bioregionalization Approach. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **126**(4), e2020JC016808, doi:10.1029/2020JC016808.
- El Yaacoubi, A. et al., 2014: Global warming impact on floral phenology of fruit trees species in Mediterranean region. *Sci. Hortic.*, **180**, 243-253.
- Ellwood, E. R., S. R. Playfair, C. A. Polgar and R. B. Primack, 2014: Cranberry flowering times and climate change in southern Massachusetts. *International journal of biometeorology*, **58**(7), 1693-1697.
- Emami, F. and M. Koch, 2018: Agricultural water productivity-based hydro-economic modeling for optimal crop pattern and water resources planning in the Zarrine River Basin, Iran, in the wake of climate change. *Sustainability*, **10**(11), 3953.
- Engelbrecht, F. et al., 2015: Projections of rapidly rising surface temperatures over Africa under low mitigation. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **10**(8), 085004, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/8/085004.
- Estrada-Carmona, N. et al., 2014: Integrated landscape management for agriculture, rural livelihoods, and ecosystem conservation: An assessment of experience from Latin America and the Caribbean. *Landscape Urban Plann.*, **129**, 1-11, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.05.001.
- Fain, S. J. et al., 2018: Climate change and coffee: assessing vulnerability by modeling future climate suitability in the Caribbean island of Puerto Rico. *Clim. Change*, **146**(1-2), 175-186, doi:10.1007/s10584-017-1949-5.
- Fang, K. et al., 2019: Effects of Integrated Rice-Frog Farming on Paddy Field Greenhouse Gas Emissions. *Int. J. Env. Res. Public Health*, **16**(11), 1930-1930, doi:10.3390/ijerph16111930.
- FAO (ed.), *Adapting to Climate Change: The Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries and Aquaculture in the Near East and North Africa Region*. FAO/WorldFish Workshop, 10-12 November 2009, Abbassa, Egypt, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 130 pp.
- FAO, 2017: *Report of the Seventy-sixth Session of the Executive Committee of the Asia-Pacific Fishery Commission*. FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Manila, Philippines.
- FAO, 2017 *Report of the Ninth Session of the Regional Commission for Fisheries (RECOFI)*. No. 1208, Kuwait, State of Kuwait.
- FAO, 2019a: *Fishery Committee for the Eastern Central Atlantic, Report of the eighth session of the Scientific Sub-Committee*. Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire.
- FAO, 2019b: *Report of the Eighth Session of the Scientific Committee of the Southwest Indian Ocean Fisheries Commission*. No. 1260, Maputo, Mozambique, 120 pp.
- FAO, 2019c: *Report of the Sixth Session of the Central Asian and Caucasus Regional Fisheries and Aquaculture Commission*, Izmir, Turkey, 15-18 October 2018. 1251, FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Ankara 98 pp.
- FAO, 2020: Channel Catfish. Available at: <http://www.fao.org/fishery/affris/species-profiles/channel-catfish/channel-catfish-home/en/>.
- FAO, 2020b: *Fisheries and Aquaculture Statistics: Global aquaculture and fisheries production 1950-2018 (FishstatJ)*. [(online)., F. F. a. A. D. (ed.)]. Available at: www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/software/fishstatj/en.

- 1 FAO, 2020c: *Report of the forty-third session of the General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM)*.
2 **GFCM Report no. 43**, Athens, Greece.
- 3 FAO, 2020d: *Report of the seventeenth session of the Western Central Atlantic Fishery Commission*. **No. 1311**, Miami,
4 United States of America. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca8748t>.
- 5 Farmery, A. K. et al., 2021: Food for all: designing sustainable and secure future seafood systems. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.*,
6 doi:10.1007/s11160-021-09663-x.
- 7 Farrell, A. D., K. Rhiney, A. Eitzinger and P. Umaharan, 2018: Climate adaptation in a minor crop species: is the cocoa
8 breeding network prepared for climate change? *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **42**(7), 812-833.
- 9 Fatima, Z. et al., 2020: The fingerprints of climate warming on cereal crops phenology and adaptation options. *Sci.*
10 *Rep.*, **10**(1), 18013, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-74740-3.
- 11 Fellous, A. and L. N. S. Shama, 2019: Genome Survey of Chromatin-Modifying Enzymes in Threespine Stickleback: A
12 Crucial Epigenetic Toolkit for Adaptation? *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **6**, doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00721.
- 13 Ferus, P. et al., 2017: Hooker's or warty barberry? physiological background analysis for choosing the right one into
14 ornamental plantations endangered by drought.
- 15 Ficke, A., 2005: *The Effects of Global Climate Change on the Fishes of the Southeastern United States*. WWF - World
16 Wide Fund for Nature, Gland, Switzerland, 29 pp. Available at: <Go to WoS>://WOS:000407981300012
17 (accessed 26/07/2021).
- 18 Fisher, M. et al., 2015: Drought tolerant maize for farmer adaptation to drought in sub-Saharan Africa: Determinants of
19 adoption in eastern and southern Africa. *Clim. Change*, **133**(2), 283-299, doi:10.1007/s10584-015-1459-2.
- 20 Fisher, M. and E. R. Carr, 2015: The influence of gendered roles and responsibilities on the adoption of technologies
21 that mitigate drought risk: The case of drought-tolerant maize seed in eastern Uganda. *Global Environ. Change*,
22 **35**, 82-92, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.08.009.
- 23 Fisheries Committee for the West Central Gulf of Guinea (FCWC), 2018: *Report of the 11th session of the conference*
24 *of Ministers of the Fisheries Committee for the West central Gulf of Guinea*. Lome, Togo.
- 25 Fitzer, S. C. et al., 2019a: Selectively bred oysters can alter their biomineralization pathways, promoting resilience to
26 environmental acidification. *Global Change Biol.*, **25**(12), 4105-4115, doi:10.1111/gcb.14818.
- 27 Fitzer, S. C. et al., 2019b: Increased pCO₂ changes the lipid production in important aquacultural feedstock algae
28 *Isochrysis galbana*, but not in *Tetraselmis suecica*. *Aquaculture and Fisheries*, **4**(4), 142-148,
29 doi:10.1016/j.aaf.2019.02.008.
- 30 Fonta, W. M. et al., 2018: A Ricardian valuation of the impact of climate change on Nigerian cocoa production. *Int. J.*
31 *Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*
- 32 Forchino, A. A., H. Lourguioui, D. Brigolin and R. Pastres, 2017: Aquaponics and sustainability: the comparison of two
33 different aquaponic techniques using the life cycle assessment (LCA). *Aquac Eng*, **77**, 80-88,
34 doi:10.1016/j.aquaeng.2017.03.002.
- 35 Foster, J. et al., 2021: An advanced empirical model for quantifying the impact of heat and climate change on human
36 physical work capacity. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **65**(7), 1215--1229, doi:10.1007/s00484-021-
37 02105-0.
- 38 Fraga, H., J. G. Pinto and J. A. Santos, 2019: Climate change projections for chilling and heat forcing conditions in
39 European vineyards and olive orchards: a multi-model assessment. *Clim. Change*, **152**(1), 179-193.
- 40 Freed, S. et al., 2020: Maintaining Diversity of Integrated Rice and Fish Production Confers Adaptability of Food
41 Systems to Global Change. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **4**, 207.
- 42 Frei, B. et al., 2020: A brighter future: Complementary goals of diversity and multifunctionality to build resilient
43 agricultural landscapes. *Glob. Food Secur.*, **26**, 100407-100407, doi:10.1016/J.GFS.2020.100407.
- 44 Frieler, K. et al., 2017: Understanding the weather signal in national crop-yield variability. *Earths Future*, **5**(6), 605-
45 616, doi:10.1002/2016EF000525.
- 46 Fullana-Pericàs, M. et al., 2019: Tomato landraces as a source to minimize yield losses and improve fruit quality under
47 water deficit conditions. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **223**, 105722.
- 48 Funes, I. et al., 2016: Future climate change impacts on apple flowering date in a Mediterranean subbasin. *Agric. Water*
49 *Manage.*, **164**, 19-27.
- 50 Furman, C. et al., 2014: Social justice in climate services: Engaging African American farmers in the American South.
51 *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **2**, 11-25, doi:10.1016/J.CRM.2014.02.002.
- 52 Furness, E., H. Harshaw and H. Nelson, 2015: Community forestry in British Columbia: Policy progression and public
53 participation. *For Policy Econ*, **58**, doi:10.1016/j.forpol.2014.12.005.
- 54 Gabaldón-Leal, C. et al., 2017: Impact of changes in mean and extreme temperatures caused by climate change on olive
55 flowering in southern Spain. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **37**, 940-957, doi:10.1002/joc.5048.
- 56 Galappaththi, E. K., F. Berkes and J. Ford (eds.), Climate change adaptation in coastal shrimp aquaculture: a case from
57 northwestern Sri Lanka. FishAdapt: the Global Conference on CLimate Change Adaptation for fisheries and
58 Aquaculture, 8-10 August , 2016, Bangkok, Thailand, FAO, 89-98 pp.
- 59 Galappaththi, E. K., J. D. Ford and E. M. Bennett, 2020: Climate change and adaptation to social-ecological change: the
60 case of indigenous people and culture-based fisheries in Sri Lanka. *Clim. Change*, **162**(2), 279-300,
61 doi:10.1007/s10584-020-02716-3.

- Galappaththi, E. K., J. D. Ford, E. M. Bennett and F. Berkes, 2019: Climate change and community fisheries in the arctic: A case study from Pangnirtung, Canada. *J. Environ. Manag.*, **250**, 109534-109534, doi:10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2019.109534.
- Galappaththi, E. K., J. D. Ford, E. M. Bennett and F. Berkes, 2021: Adapting to climate change in small-scale fisheries: Insights from indigenous communities in the global north and south. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **116**, 160-170, doi:10.1016/J.ENVSCI.2020.11.009.
- Galindo, A. et al., 2018: Deficit irrigation and emerging fruit crops as a strategy to save water in Mediterranean semiarid agrosystems. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **202**, 311-324.
- Gallardo-Hidalgo, J., A. Barriá, G. M. Yoshida and J. M. Yáñez, 2021: Genetics of growth and survival under chronic heat stress and trade-offs with growth- and robustness-related traits in rainbow trout. *Aquaculture*, **531**, 735685, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735685.
- Galluzzi, G., E. Thomas and M. Van Zonneveld, 2015: The role of community seed banks in adaptation to climate change in Mesoamerica. In: *Community Seed Banks ; Origins, Evolution and Prospects* [Vernooy, R., P. Shrestha and B. Sthapit (eds.)]. Routledge, London. ISBN 9781315886329.
- Gangurde, S. S. et al., 2019: Climate-smart groundnuts for achieving high productivity and improved quality: current status, challenges, and opportunities. In: *Genomic Designing of Climate-Smart Oilseed Crops* [Kole, C. (ed.)]. Springer Nature, Cham, Switzerland, pp. 133-172. ISBN 9783319935355.
- Gasco, L. et al., 2018: Fishmeal Alternative Protein Sources for Aquaculture Feeds. In: *Feeds for the Aquaculture Sector: Current Situation and Alternative Sources*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 1-28. ISBN 978-3-319-77941-6.
- Gatti, M. et al., 2016: Phenology, canopy aging and seasonal carbon balance as related to delayed winter pruning of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Sangiovese grapevines. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **7**, 659.
- Gbetibouo, G. et al., 2017: *Kenya Country Report, Impact Assessment on Climate Information Services for Community-based adaptation to Climate change*. C4Ecosolutions, Cape Town, South Africa.
- Gentilucci, M. et al., 2020: Temperature variations in Central Italy (Marche region) and effects on wine grape production. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 1-10.
- Georgopoulou, E. et al., 2017: Climate change impacts and adaptation options for the Greek agriculture in 2021–2050: A monetary assessment. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **16**, 164-182.
- Gianelli, I. et al., 2021: Harnessing scientific and local knowledge to face climate change in small-scale fisheries. *Global Environ. Change*, **68**, 102253-102253, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102253.
- Giannini, T. C. et al., 2017: Projected climate change threatens pollinators and crop production in Brazil. *PLoS One*, **12**(8), e0182274.
- Gil, J. D. B. et al., 2017: The resilience of integrated agricultural systems to climate change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, **8**(4), e461-e461, doi:UNSP e461 10.1002/wcc.461.
- Gilardi, G., A. Garibaldi and M. GULLINO, 2018a: Emerging pathogens as a consequence of globalization and climate change: leafy vegetables as a case study. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.*, **57**(1), 146-152.
- Gilardi, G., M. L. Gullino and A. Garibaldi, 2018b: Emerging foliar and soil-borne pathogens of leafy vegetable crops: a possible threat to Europe. *EPPO Bull.*, **48**(1), 116-127, doi:10.1111/epp.12447.
- Giorgini, M., E. Guerrieri, P. Cascone and L. Gontijo, 2019: Current strategies and future outlook for managing the Neotropical tomato pest *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) in the Mediterranean Basin. *Neotrop. Entomol.*, **48**(1), 1-17.
- Gitea, M. A. et al., 2019: Orchard management under the effects of climate change: implications for apple, plum, and almond growing. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, **26**(10), 9908-9915.
- Giuliani, M. M. et al., 2019: Identifying the most promising agronomic adaptation strategies for the tomato growing systems in Southern Italy via simulation modeling. *Eur J Agron*, **111**, 125937.
- Giuliani, M. M., E. Nardella, A. Gagliardi and G. Gatta, 2017: Deficit irrigation and partial root-zone drying techniques in processing tomato cultivated under Mediterranean climate conditions. *Sustainability*, **9**(12), 2197.
- Gjedrem, T. and M. Rye, 2018: Selection response in fish and shellfish: a review. *Rev. Aquac.*, **10**(1), 168-179, doi:10.1111/raq.12154.
- Gnonlonfon, I., A. E. Assogbadjo, C. P. Gnanglè and R. L. G. Kakaï, 2019: New indicators of vulnerability and resilience of agroforestry systems to climate change in West Africa. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **39**(2), 23.
- Gobler, C. J., 2020: Climate Change and Harmful Algal Blooms: Insights and perspective. *Harmful Algae*, **91**, 101731-101731, doi:10.1016/j.hal.2019.101731.
- Gopalakrishnan, T. et al., 2019: Sustainability of Coastal Agriculture under Climate Change. *Sustainability*, **11**(24), 7200-7200, doi:10.3390/su11247200.
- Goswami, B. et al., 2018: Impact assessment of climate change on potato productivity in Assam using SUBSTOR-Potato model. *J. Agrometeorol.*, **20**(2), 105-109.
- Gourdji, S. et al., 2015: Historical climate trends, deforestation, and maize and bean yields in Nicaragua. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **200**, 270-281.
- Grafius, D. R. et al., 2020: Estimating food production in an urban landscape. *Sci. Rep.*, **10**(1), 5141, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-62126-4.
- Grasso, I. et al., 2019: The hunt for red tides: Deep learning algorithm forecasts shellfish toxicity at site scales in coastal Maine. *Ecosphere*, **10**(12), doi:10.1002/ecs2.2960.

- Graziosi, I. et al., 2016: Emerging pests and diseases of South-east Asian cassava: a comprehensive evaluation of geographic priorities, management options and research needs. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **72**(6), 1071-1089.
- Grey, M. S., 2019: Accessing seasonal weather forecasts and drought prediction information for rural households in Chirumhanzu district, Zimbabwe. *Jamba: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, **11**(1), 1-9, doi:10.4102/JAMBA.V11I1.777.
- Griffith, A. W. and C. J. Gobler, 2020: Harmful algal blooms: A climate change co-stressor in marine and freshwater ecosystems. *Harmful Algae*, **91**, 101590-101590, doi:10.1016/j.hal.2019.03.008.
- Guillen, J. et al., 2018: Global seafood consumption footprint. *Ambio*, 1-12, doi:10.1007/s13280-018-1060-9.
- Guillot, E. et al., 2019: With or without trees: Resistance and resilience of soil microbial communities to drought and heat stress in a Mediterranean agroforestry system. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **129**, 122-135, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.11.011.
- Gullino, M. L., G. Gilardi and A. Garibaldi, 2019: Ready-to-eat salad crops: a plant Pathogen's heaven. *Plant Dis.*, **103**(9), 2153-2170.
- Gunathilaka, R. P. D., J. C. R. Smart and C. M. Fleming, 2018: Adaptation to climate change in perennial cropping systems: Options, barriers and policy implications. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **82**, 108-116, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2018.01.011.
- Guo, P., C. Zhang, Q. Yue and B. Shan, 2019: Optimal allocation of irrigation water resources based on climate factor under uncertainty. *Journal of Drainage and Irrigation Machinery Engineering*, **37**(6), 540-544.
- Guodaar, L. et al., 2020: How do climate change adaptation strategies result in unintended maladaptive outcomes? Perspectives of tomato farmers. *Int. J. Veg. Sci.*, **26**(1), 15-31, doi:10.1080/19315260.2019.1573393.
- Gupta, R., E. Somanathan and S. Dey, 2016: Global warming and local air pollution have reduced wheat yields in India. *Clim. Change*, **140**(3-4), 593-604, doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1878-8.
- Gusli, S. et al., 2020: Soil Organic Matter, Mitigation of and Adaptation to Climate Change in Cocoa-Based Agroforestry Systems. *Land*, **9**(9), doi:10.3390/land9090323.
- Gustafson, S. et al., 2018: Merging science into community adaptation planning processes: a cross-site comparison of four distinct areas of the Lower Mekong Basin. *Clim. Change*, **149**(1), 91-106, doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1887-7.
- Haines, S., 2019: Managing expectations: articulating expertise in climate services for agriculture in Belize. *Clim. Change*, **157**(1), 43-59, doi:10.1007/s10584-018-2357-1.
- Hanke, I. et al., 2019: Thermal stress response of juvenile milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) quantified by ontogenetic and regenerated scale cortisol. *Aquaculture*, **500**, 24-30, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.09.016.
- Harvey, B., 2017: *Regional Review on Status and Trends in Aquaculture Development in North America - 2015*. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Circular, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 30 pp. ISBN 978-92-5-109653-6.
- Harvey, C. A. et al., 2014: Climate-Smart Landscapes: Opportunities and Challenges for Integrating Adaptation and Mitigation in Tropical Agriculture. *Conservation Letters*, **7**(2), 77-90, doi:10.1111/CONL.12066.
- Hauer, M. E., J. M. Evans and D. R. Mishra, 2016: Millions projected to be at risk from sea-level rise in the continental United States. *Nat. Clim. Change*, **6**(7), 691-695, doi:10.1038/nclimate2961.
- Hayes, F., J. Williamson and G. Mills, 2015: Species-specific responses to ozone and drought in six deciduous trees. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, **226**(5), 156.
- He, Q. J., G. S. Zhou, X. M. Lu and M. Z. Zhou, 2019: Climatic suitability and spatial distribution for summer maize cultivation in China at 1.5 and 2.0 degrees C global warming. *Sci. Bull.*, **64**(10), 690-697, doi:10.1016/j.scib.2019.03.030.
- Hehre, E. J. and J. J. Meeuwig, 2016: A Global Analysis of the Relationship between Farmed Seaweed Production and Herbivorous Fish Catch. *PLoS One*, **11**(2), e0148250-e0148250, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148250.
- Heino, M. et al., 2018: Two-thirds of global cropland area impacted by climate oscillations. *Nat Commun*, **9**(1), 1257, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02071-5.
- Hennon, G. M. M. and S. T. Dyhrman, 2020: Progress and promise of omics for predicting the impacts of climate change on harmful algal blooms. *Harmful Algae*, **91**, 101587-101587, doi:10.1016/j.hal.2019.03.005.
- Henriksson, R., K. Vincent, E. Archer and G. Jewitt, 2021: Understanding gender differences in availability, accessibility and use of climate information among smallholder farmers in Malawi. *Clim. Dev.*, **13**(6), 503-514, doi:10.1080/17565529.2020.1806777.
- Hernández-Morcillo, M. et al., 2017: Scanning agroforestry-based solutions for climate change mitigation and adaptation in Europe. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **80**, 44-52, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2017.11.013.
- Herridge, D. F. et al., 2019: The cropping systems of the Central Dry Zone of Myanmar: Productivity constraints and possible solutions. *Agric. Syst.*, **169**, 31-40.
- Hickey, G. M. and N. Unwin, 2020: Addressing the triple burden of malnutrition in the time of COVID-19 and climate change in Small Island Developing States: what role for improved local food production? *Food Secur.*, 1-5, doi:10.1007/s12571-020-01066-3.
- Hidaka, K., K. Dan, H. Imamura and T. Takayama, 2017: Crown-cooling treatment induces earlier flower bud differentiation of strawberry under high air temperatures. *Environmental Control in Biology*, **55**(1), 21-27.
- Ho, L. T. and P. L. M. Goethals, 2019: Opportunities and Challenges for the Sustainability of Lakes and Reservoirs in Relation to the Sustainable Development Goals ({SDGs}). *Water*, **11**(7), 1462-1462, doi:10.3390/w11071462.

- Hochman, Z., D. L. Gobbett and H. Horan, 2017: Climate trends account for stalled wheat yields in Australia since 1990. *Glob Chang Biol*, **23**(5), 2071-2081, doi:10.1111/gcb.13604.
- Hoerterer, C. et al., 2020: Stakeholder Perspectives on Opportunities and Challenges in Achieving Sustainable Growth of the Blue Economy in a Changing Climate. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **6**, doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00795.
- Hoffman, A. L., A. R. Kemanian and C. E. Forest, 2018a: Analysis of climate signals in the crop yield record of sub-Saharan Africa. *Glob Chang Biol*, **24**(1), 143-157, doi:10.1111/gcb.13901.
- Hoffman, A. L., A. R. Kemanian and C. E. Forest, 2018b: Analysis of climate signals in the crop yield record of sub-Saharan Africa. *Global Change Biol.*, **24**(1), 143-157.
- Hong, J. et al., 2019: Risk map for the range expansion of Thrips palmi in Korea under climate change: Combining species distribution models with land-use change. *J. Asia-Pacif. Entomol.*, **22**(3), 666-674.
- Hosen, N., H. Nakamura and A. Hamzah, 2020: Adaptation to Climate Change: Does Traditional Ecological Knowledge Hold the Key? *Sustainability*, **12**(2), doi:10.3390/su12020676.
- Hossain, M. A. et al., 2018: Assessing the vulnerability of freshwater crayfish to climate change. *Divers. Distrib.*, **24**(12), 1830-1843, doi:10.1111/ddi.12831.
- Houel, C. et al., 2015: Identification of stable QTLs for vegetative and reproductive traits in the microvine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) using the 18 K Infinium chip. *BMC Plant Biol.*, **15**(1), 205.
- Houshmandfar, A., N. Ota, K. H. Siddique and M. Tausz, 2019: Crop rotation options for dryland agriculture: An assessment of grain yield response in cool-season grain legumes and canola to variation in rainfall totals. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **275**, 277-282.
- How, V. et al., 2020: The Different Effects of Climate Extremes on Physiological Health Among Agroecology and Conventional Smallholder Rice Farmers. *Environmental Justice*, **13**(2), 47-54, doi:10.1089/env.2020.0001.
- Hu, W.-X. et al., 2019: Five Newly Collected Turnip Mosaic Virus (TuMV) Isolates from Jeju Island, Korea are Closely Related to Previously Reported Korean TuMV Isolates but Show Distinctive Symptom Development. *Plant Pathol J*, **35**(4), 381-387, doi:10.5423/PPJ.NT.11.2018.0238.
- Hu, Z. et al., 2012: Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emission from aquaculture: a review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **46**(12), 6470-6480, doi:10.1021/es300110x.
- Huang, A. et al., 2019: Provision of carbon skeleton for lipid synthesis from the breakdown of intracellular protein and soluble sugar in *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* under high CO₂. *BMC Biotechnol.*, **19**(1), doi:10.1186/s12896-019-0544-4.
- Hunduma, T. and R. Ortiz, 2015: Norway's Development Fund: supporting community seed banking practices. In: *Community Seed Banks ; Origins, Evolution and Prospects* [Vernooy, R., P. Shrestha and B. Sthapit (eds.)]. Taylor & Francis London. ISBN 9781315886329.
- Hupp, J., M. Brubaker, K. Wilkinson and J. Williamson, 2015: How are your berries? Perspectives of Alaska's environmental managers on trends in wild berry abundance. *Int J Circumpolar Health*, **74**, 28704, doi:10.3402/ijch.v74.28704.
- Iizumi, T. and N. Ramankutty, 2016: Changes in yield variability of major crops for 1981-2010 explained by climate change. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **11**(3), 034003, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/034003.
- Iizumi, T. et al., 2018: Crop production losses associated with anthropogenic climate change for 1981-2010 compared with preindustrial levels. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **38**(14), 5405-5417, doi:10.1002/joc.5818.
- Imbach, P. et al., 2017: Coupling of pollination services and coffee suitability under climate change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **114**(39), 10438-10442, doi:10.1073/pnas.1617940114.
- Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTO), 2019: *Report of the 23rd Session of the Indian Ocean Tuna commission*. Hyderabad, India, 105 pp.
- Inter-American-Tropical-Tuna-Commission (IATTC), 2018: *The 93rd Meeting of the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission*. San Diego, California, US
- International Commission for the conservation of Atlantic Tunas, 2019: *Report for biennial period, 2018-19, PART I(2018) - Vol. I* Madrid, Spain
- IPHC(International Pacific Halibut Commission) Secretariat, 2020: *International Pacific Halibut Commission Annual Report 2019*. Seattle, WA, U.S.A. Available at: <https://www.iphc.int>
- Iqbal, M. et al., 2020: Cactus Pear: a Weed of Dry-Lands for Supplementing Food Security Under Changing Climate. *Planta Daninha*, **38**.
- Irimia, L. M., C. V. Patriche and B. Rosca, 2018: Climate change impact on climate suitability for wine production in Romania. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, **133**(1-2), 1-14, doi:10.1007/s00704-017-2156-z.
- Islam, A. and R. C. Ghosh, 2019: Assessment on Climate Change Adaptation: A Study on Coastal Area of Khulna District in Bangladesh. *Australian Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology*, **1**(6), 14-20, doi:10.34104/ajeit.019.14020.
- Islam, M. M., M. Nahiduzzaman and M. A. Wahab, 2020: Fisheries co-management in hilsa shad sanctuaries of Bangladesh: Early experiences and implementation challenges. *Mar. Policy*, **117**, 103955-103955, doi:10.1016/J.MARPOL.2020.103955.
- Iticha, B. and A. Husen, 2019: Adaptation to climate change using indigenous weather forecasting systems in Borana pastoralists of southern Ethiopia. *Clim. Dev.*, **11**(7), 564-573, doi:10.1080/17565529.2018.1507896.

- Jacobi, J. et al., 2017a: Building farm resilience in a changing climate: challenges, potentials, and ways forward for smallholder cocoa production in Bolivia. In: *Identifying Emerging Issues in Disaster Risk Reduction, Migration, Climate Change and Sustainable Development*. Springer, pp. 231-247. ISBN 978-3-319-33878-1.
- Jacobi, J. et al., 2017b: Whose knowledge, whose development? Use and role of local and external knowledge in agroforestry projects in Bolivia. *Environ. Manag.*, **59**(3), 464-476, doi:10.1007/s00267-016-0805-0.
- Jacobi, J. et al., 2015a: Agroecosystem resilience and farmers' perceptions of climate change impacts on cocoa farms in Alto Beni, Bolivia. *Renew. Agric. Food Syst.*, **30**(2), 170.
- Jacobi, J. et al., 2015b: Farm resilience in organic and nonorganic cocoa farming systems in Alto Beni, Bolivia. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **39**(7), 798-823, doi:10.1080/21683565.2015.1039158.
- Jacobi, J. et al., 2015c: Farm Resilience in Organic and Nonorganic Cocoa Farming Systems in Alto Beni, Bolivia. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **39**(7), 798-823, doi:10.1080/21683565.2015.1039158.
- Jadán, O. et al., 2015: Influence of tree cover on diversity, carbon sequestration and productivity of cocoa systems in the Ecuadorian Amazon. *Bois Forests Tropiques*, **325**, 35-47, doi:10.19182/bft2015.325.a31271.
- Jansen, M. D., H. T. Dong and C. V. Mohan, 2019: Tilapia lake virus: a threat to the global tilapia industry? *Rev. Aquac.*, **11**(3), 725-739, doi:10.1111/raq.12254.
- Janssen, K., H. Chavanne, P. Berentsen and H. Komen, 2017: Impact of selective breeding on European aquaculture. *Aquaculture*, **472**, 8-16, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.03.012.
- Jarvis, C. et al., 2017: Relationship between viticultural climatic indices and grape maturity in Australia. *International journal of biometeorology*, **61**(10), 1849-1862.
- Jasper, J., C. Wagstaff and L. Bell, 2020: Growth temperature influences postharvest glucosinolate concentrations and hydrolysis product formation in first and second cuts of rocket salad. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.*, **163**, 111157.
- Jha, S., H. Kaechele and S. Sieber, 2021: Factors influencing the adoption of agroforestry by smallholder farmer households in Tanzania: Case studies from Morogoro and Dodoma. *Land Use Policy*, **103**, 105308-105308, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105308.
- Jiang, J. et al., 2015: The wild relatives of grape in China: diversity, conservation gaps and impact of climate change. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **210**, 50-58, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2015.03.021.
- Jokisch, A., W. Urban and T. Kluge, 2016: Small Scale Rain-and Floodwater Harvesting for Horticulture in Central-Northern Namibia for Livelihood Improvement and as an Adaptation Strategy to Climate Change. In: *Implementing Climate Change Adaptation in Cities and Communities*. Springer, Cham, pp. 39-52. ISBN 978-3-319-28589-4.
- Jones, H. G., S. L. Gordon and R. M. Brennan, 2015: Chilling requirement of Ribes cultivars. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **5**, 767.
- Jönsson, A. M., F. Lagergren and B. Smith, 2015: Forest management facing climate change - an ecosystem model analysis of adaptation strategies. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change*, **20**(2), 201-220, doi:10.1007/s11027-013-9487-6.
- Joshi, V. and P. Chauhan, 2016: Combating climate change through off-seasonally raising seedling of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) in protected environment. *Research on Crops*, **17**(2), 298-309.
- Kabir, M. J., M. Alauddin and S. Crimp, 2017: Farm-level adaptation to climate change in Western Bangladesh: An analysis of adaptation dynamics, profitability and risks. *Land Use Policy*, **64**, 212-224.
- Kabir, M. J., D. S. Gaydon, R. Cramb and C. H. Roth, 2018: Bio-economic evaluation of cropping systems for saline coastal Bangladesh: I. Biophysical simulation in historical and future environments. *Agric. Syst.*, **162**, 107-122.
- Kais, S. and M. Islam, 2019: Perception of Climate Change in Shrimp-Farming Communities in Bangladesh: A Critical Assessment. *Int. J. Env. Res. Public Health*, **16**, 672-672, doi:10.3390/ijerph16040672.
- Kais, S. M. and M. S. Islam, 2021: Resilience to Climate Change in Industrial Shrimping in Bangladesh: Assessing the Comparative Role of the Stakeholders. *Sustainability*, **13**(1), doi:10.3390/su13010307.
- Karg, H. et al., 2016: Foodsheds and City Region Food Systems in Two West African Cities. *Sustainability*, **8**(12), doi:10.3390/su8121175.
- Kaushik, P. et al., 2016: Phenotyping of eggplant wild relatives and interspecific hybrids with conventional and phenomics descriptors provides insight for their potential utilization in breeding. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **7**, 677.
- Kerr, A. et al., 2018: Vulnerability of California specialty crops to projected mid-century temperature changes. *Clim. Change*, **148**(3), 419-436, doi:10.1007/s10584-017-2011-3.
- Ket, P. et al., 2018: Simulation of Crop Growth and Water-Saving Irrigation Scenarios for Lettuce: A Monsoon-Climate Case Study in Kampong Chhnang, Cambodia. *Water*, **10**(5), 666, doi:10.3390/w10050666.
- Khadka, C. et al., 2018: Evaluating participatory techniques for adaptation to climate change: Nepal case study. *For Policy Econ*, **97**, 73-82, doi:10.1016/j.forpol.2018.08.017.
- Kim, W., T. Iizumi and M. Nishimori, 2019: Global patterns of crop production losses associated with droughts from 1983 to 2009. *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.*, **58**(6), 1233-1244, doi:10.1175/Jamc-D-18-0174.1.
- Klinger, D. H., S. A. Levin and J. R. Watson, 2017: The growth of finfish in global open-ocean aquaculture under climate change. *Proc Biol Sci*, **284**(1864), doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.0834.
- Knapp, S. and M. G. A. van der Heijden, 2018: A global meta-analysis of yield stability in organic and conservation agriculture. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**(1), 3632, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05956-1.
- Knox, J., A. Daccache, T. Hess and D. Haro, 2016: Meta-analysis of climate impacts and uncertainty on crop yields in Europe. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **11**(11), 113004.

- Kongkeo, H., Cultured Aquatic Species Information Program: *Penaeus monodon*, FAO, Rome. Available at: <Go to WoS>://WOS:000406694400038.
- Kongsager, R. and E. Corbera, 2015: Linking Mitigation and Adaptation in Carbon Forestry Projects: Evidence from Belize. *World Dev.*, **76**, 132-146, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.07.003.
- Korres, N. E. et al., 2016: Cultivars to face climate change effects on crops and weeds: a review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **36**(1), 12.
- Kulkarni, K. P. et al., 2018: Harnessing the potential of forage legumes, alfalfa, soybean, and cowpea for sustainable agriculture and global food security. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **9**, 1314.
- Kummu, M. et al., 2021: Climate change risks pushing one-third of global food production outside the safe climatic space. *One Earth*, doi:10.1016/j.oneear.2021.04.017.
- Kyvelou, S. S. I. and D. G. Ierapetritis, 2020: Fisheries Sustainability through Soft Multi-Use Maritime Spatial Planning and Local Development Co-Management: Potentials and Challenges in Greece. *Sustainability*, **12**(5), doi:10.3390/su12052026.
- Läderach, P. et al., 2017: Climate change adaptation of coffee production in space and time. *Clim. Change*, **141**(1), 47-62, doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1788-9.
- Lafta, A., T. Turini, G. V. Sandoya and B. Mou, 2017: Field evaluation of green and red leaf lettuce genotypes in the Imperial, San Joaquin, and Salinas Valleys of California for heat tolerance and extension of the growing seasons. *HortScience*, **52**(1), 40-48.
- Lahive, F., P. Hadley and A. J. Daymond, 2019: The physiological responses of cacao to the environment and the implications for climate change resilience. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **39**(1), 5.
- Lant, C., T. J. Stoeber, J. T. Schoof and B. Crabb, 2016: The effect of climate change on rural land cover patterns in the Central United States. *Clim. Change*, **138**(3-4), 585-602, doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1738-6.
- Larbi, I., J. N. Nmadu, A. S. Gana and A. Okhimamhe, 2019a: Analysis of food crops farmers' choice of climate change adaptation strategies in Kwara State, Nigeria. *Kasetsart J. Soc. Sci.*, **40**(2), 434-439, doi:10.34044/j.kjss.2019.40.2.11.
- Larbi, I., J. N. Nmadu, A. S. Ganac and A. Okhimamhe, 2019b: Analysis of food crops farmers' choice of climate change adaptation strategies in Kwara State, Nigeria. *Kasetsart J. Soc. Sci.*, **40**(2), 434-439.
- Lasco, R. D. et al., 2014a: Climate risk adaptation by smallholder farmers: the roles of trees and agroforestry. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **6**(1), 83-88, doi:10.1016/J.COSUST.2013.11.013.
- Lasco, R. D., R. J. P. Delfino and M. L. O. Espaldon, 2014b: Agroforestry systems: helping smallholders adapt to climate risks while mitigating climate change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, **5**, 825-833, doi:10.1002/wcc.301.
- Lasco, R. D., M. L. O. Espaldon and C. M. D. Habito, 2016: Smallholder farmers' perceptions of climate change and the roles of trees and agroforestry in climate risk adaptation: evidence from Bohol, Philippines. *Agroforestry Systems*, **90**(3), 521-540, doi:10.1007/s10457-015-9874-y.
- Lassalle, G. and E. Rochard, 2009: Impact of twenty-first century climate change on diadromous fish spread over Europe, North Africa and the Middle East. *Global Change Biol.*, **15**(5), 1072-1089, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01794.x.
- Launay, M. et al., 2014: Climatic indicators for crop infection risk: application to climate change impacts on five major foliar fungal diseases in Northern France. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, **197**, 147-158.
- Lavoie, A. et al., 2019: Engaging with Women's Knowledge in Bristol Bay Fisheries through Oral History and Participatory Ethnography. *Fisheries*, **44**(7), 331-337, doi:10.1002/FSH.10271.
- Le Bris, A. et al., 2018: Climate vulnerability and resilience in the most valuable North American fishery. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **115**(8), 1831, doi:10.1073/pnas.1711122115.
- Lee, E., Y. He and Y.-L. Park, 2018: Effects of climate change on the phenology of *Osmia cornifrons*: implications for population management. *Clim. Change*, **150**(3), 305-317.
- Lee, M. et al., 2019: Prominence of the tropics in the recent rise of global nitrogen pollution. *Nat. Commun.*, **10**(1), doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09468-4.
- Lee, S. K. and T. A. Dang, 2020: Crop Calendar Shift as a Climate Change Adaptation Solution for Cassava Cultivation Area of Binh Thuan Province, Vietnam. *Pakistan journal of biological sciences: PJBs*, **23**(7), 946-952.
- Legave, J.-M. et al., 2015: Differentiated responses of apple tree floral phenology to global warming in contrasting climatic regions. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **6**, 1054.
- Lemessa, S. D., M. D. Watebaji and M. A. Yismaw, 2019: Climate change adaptation strategies in response to food insecurity: The paradox of improved potato varieties adoption in eastern Ethiopia. *Cogent Food Agric.*, **5**(1), 1640835-1640835, doi:10.1080/23311932.2019.1640835.
- Leng, G. and J. Hall, 2019: Crop yield sensitivity of global major agricultural countries to droughts and the projected changes in the future. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **654**, 811-821, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.434.
- Lengnick, L., M. Miller and G. G. Marten, 2015: Metropolitan foodsheds: a resilient response to the climate change challenge? *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, **5**(4), 573-592, doi:10.1007/s13412-015-0349-2.
- Lesk, C., P. Rowhani and N. Ramankutty, 2016: Influence of extreme weather disasters on global crop production. *Nature*, **529**(7584), 84-87, doi:10.1038/nature16467.
- Letta, M. and R. S. J. Tol, 2019: Weather, climate and total factor productivity. *Environ. Resour. Econ.*, **73**(1), 283-305, doi:10.1007/s10640-018-0262-8.

- Lewis, K. M., G. L. van Dijken and K. R. Arrigo, 2020: Changes in phytoplankton concentration now drive increased Arctic Ocean primary production. *Science*, **369**(6500), 198-202, doi:10.1126/science.aay8380.
- Li, A., L. Li, W. Wang and G. Zhang, 2019a: Evolutionary trade-offs between baseline and plastic gene expression in two congeneric oyster species. *Biol. Lett.*, **15**(6), 20190202-20190202, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2019.0202.
- Li, M. et al., 2020: Possible impact of climate change on apple yield in Northwest China. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, **139**(1), 191-203.
- Li, M. et al., 2019b: Yields and resilience outcomes of organic, cover crop, and conventional practices in a Mediterranean climate. *Sci. Rep.*, **9**(1), 1-11.
- Li, X. L. et al., 2017: Patterns of herders' adaptation to changes in social-ecological systems across northern China's grasslands over the past three decades. *Rangel. J.*, **39**(4), 317-328, doi:10.1071/Rj16070.
- Li, Y. et al., 2019c: Coupling impacts of planting date and cultivar on potato yield. *Chinese Journal of Eco-Agriculture*, **27**(2), 296-304.
- Liaquat, A. et al., 2019: Inducing effect of chitosan on the physiological and biochemical indices of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes under heat and high irradiance. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.*, **17**(5), 11273-11287.
- Lima, C. E. P. et al., 2017: Productivity and quality of melon cultivated in a protected environment under different soil managements. *Horticultura Brasileira*, **35**, 576-583.
- Lin, T., K. T. Htun, D. Gritten and A. R. Martin, 2019: The contribution of community forestry to climate change adaptive capacity in tropical dry forests: lessons from Myanmar. *Int. For. Rev.*, **21**(3), 324-340, doi:10.1505/146554819827293259.
- Lind, C. E. et al., 2019: Genetic diversity of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) throughout West Africa. *Sci Rep*, **9**(1), 16767, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-53295-y.
- Linger, E., 2014: Agro-ecosystem and socio-economic role of homegarden agroforestry in Jabithenan District, North-Western Ethiopia: implication for climate change adaptation. *SpringerPlus*, **3**(1), 154-154, doi:10.1186/2193-1801-3-154.
- Litskas, V. D. et al., 2019: Impacts of climate change on tomato, a notorious pest and its natural enemy: small scale agriculture at higher risk. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **14**(8), 084041.
- Liu, C. et al., 2019a: Current and projected future risks of freshwater fish invasions in China. *Ecography*, **42**(12), 2074-2083, doi:10.1111/ecog.04665.
- Liu, S. L. et al., 2016: Yield variation of double-rice in response to climate change in Southern China. *Eur J Agron*, **81**, 161-168, doi:10.1016/j.eja.2016.09.014.
- Liu, S. L. et al., 2019b: Extreme stress threatened double rice production in Southern China during 1981-2010. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, **137**(3-4), 1987-1996, doi:10.1007/s00704-018-2719-7.
- Liu, Y. et al., 2020: Impact of Climate Extremes on Suitability Dynamics for Japanese Scallop Aquaculture in Shandong, China and Funka Bay, Japan. *Sustainability*, **12**(3), 833-833, doi:10.3390/su12030833.
- Lobell, D. B. et al., 2014: Greater sensitivity to drought accompanies maize yield increase in the US Midwest. *Science*, **344**(6183), 516-519, doi:10.1126/science.1251423.
- Lobeto, H., M. Menendez and I. J. Losada, 2021: Future behavior of wind wave extremes due to climate change. *Sci. Rep.*, **11**(1), 7869, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-86524-4.
- Loboguerrero, A. M. et al., 2018: Bridging the gap between climate science and farmers in Colombia. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **22**, 67-81, doi:10.1016/J.CRM.2018.08.001.
- Loureiro, T. G. et al., 2015: Red swamp crayfish: biology, ecology and invasion - an overview. *Nauplius*, **23**, 1-19, doi:10.1590/S0104-64972014002214.
- Lu, T. et al., 2019: The fungicide azoxystrobin promotes freshwater cyanobacterial dominance through altering competition. *Microbiome*, **7**(1), doi:10.1186/s40168-019-0744-0.
- Lubchenco, J. and S. D. Gaines, 2019: A new narrative for the ocean. *Science*, **364**(6444), 911-911, doi:10.1126/science.aay2241.
- Luciani, E. et al., 2019: Mitigation of multiple summer stresses on hazelnut (*Corylus avellana* L.): effects of the new arbuscular mycorrhiza *Glomus iranicum tenuiphyarum* sp. nova. *Sci. Hortic.*, **257**, 108659.
- Luquet, M. et al., 2019: Relative importance of long-term changes in climate and land-use on the phenology and abundance of legume crop specialist and generalist aphids. *Insect Sci.*, **26**(5), 881-896.
- Müller, M. N. et al., 2019: Ichthyotoxicity of the Dinoflagellate *Karlodinium veneficum* in Response to Changes in Seawater {pH}. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **6**, doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00082.
- MA, Y.-l., J.-p. GUO and J.-f. ZHAO, 2019: Spatial distribution of crop climatic potential productivity and its response to climate change in agro-pastoral ecotone in northern Shanxi Province. *Chin. J. Ecol.*, **38**(3), 818.
- Machar, I. et al., 2017: Environmental modelling of climate change impact on grapevines: case study from the Czech Republic. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, **26**(4), 1927-1933, doi:10.15244/pjoes/68886.
- Madadgar, S., A. AghaKouchak, A. Farahmand and S. J. Davis, 2017: Probabilistic estimates of drought impacts on agricultural production. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **44**(15), 7799-7807, doi:10.1002/2017gl073606.
- Maggio, G., N. J. Sitko and A. Ignaciuk, 2018: *Cropping system diversification in Eastern and Southern Africa: Identifying policy options to enhance productivity and build resilience*. . FAO Agricultural Development Economics Working Paper, FAO, Rome.

- Magnoni, L. J. et al., 2019: Acute Stress and an Electrolyte- Imbalanced Diet, but Not Chronic Hypoxia, Increase Oxidative Stress and Hamper Innate Immune Status in a Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Isogenic Line. *Frontiers in Physiology*, **10**, doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00453.
- Maharjan, S. and K. Maharjan, 2018: Roles and contributions of community seed banks in climate adaptation in Nepal. *Dev. Pract.*, **28**(2), 292-302, doi:10.1080/09614524.2018.1418838.
- Maho, A., B. Skënderasi and M. Cara, 2019: Changes in potato cultivation technology in Korça region as adaptation to climate change. *Ital. J. Agron.*, **14**(2).
- Maikhuri, R. K. et al., 2019: Community Response and Adaptation to Climate Change in Central Himalaya, Uttarakhand, India. In: *Tropical Ecosystems: Structure, Functions and Challenges in the Face of Global Change* [Garkoti, S. C., S. J. Van Bloem, P. Z. Fule and R. L. Semwal (eds.)]. Springer, Singapore, pp. 213-231. ISBN 9789811382499.
- Makate, C., M. Makate, N. Mango and S. Siziba, 2019: Increasing resilience of smallholder farmers to climate change through multiple adoption of proven climate-smart agriculture innovations. Lessons from Southern Africa. *J. Environ. Manag.*, **231**, 858-868, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.10.069.
- Makate, C., R. Wang, M. Makate and N. Mango, 2016: Crop diversification and livelihoods of smallholder farmers in Zimbabwe: adaptive management for environmental change. *SpringerPlus*, **5**(1), 1135, doi:10.1186/s40064-016-2802-4.
- Makondo, C. C. and D. S. G. Thomas, 2018: Climate change adaptation: Linking indigenous knowledge with western science for effective adaptation. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **88**, 83-91, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2018.06.014.
- Manners, R. and J. van Etten, 2018: Are agricultural researchers working on the right crops to enable food and nutrition security under future climates? *Global Environ. Change*, **53**, 182-194.
- Manuel, G., L. Diaz, K. Quispe and M. Bonierbale, 2019: Parental Value for Tuber Yield in Potato Under High Temperature Environments in Climate Change Conditions. *Open Agric.*, **4**(1), 630-640.
- Markou, M., C. A. Moraiti, A. Stylianou and G. Papadavid, 2020: Addressing Climate Change Impacts on Agriculture: Adaptation Measures for Six Crops in Cyprus. *Atmosphere*, **11**(5), 483.
- Marrou, H., T. Sinclair and R. Metral, 2014: Assessment of irrigation scenarios to improve performances of Lingot bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) in southwest France. *Eur J Agron.*, **59**, 22-28.
- Martínez-Sánchez, A. et al., 2018: Impact of climate change and global trends on the microbial quality of leafy greens. *Acta Hortic.*, (1209), 51-56, doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1209.8.
- Martin, V. A. S. et al., 2019: Linking social preferences and ocean acidification impacts in mussel aquaculture. *Sci. Rep.*, **9**(1), doi:10.1038/s41598-019-41104-5.
- Martins, I. M. and M. A. Gasalla, 2020: Adaptive Capacity Level Shapes Social Vulnerability to Climate Change of Fishing Communities in the South Brazil Bight. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **7**, 481-481.
- Master, K. T. D., J. LaChance, S. Bowen and L. MacNeill, 2019: Terroir in Transition: Environmental Change in the Wisconsin Artisanal Cheese and New England Oyster Sectors. *Sustainability*, **11**(10), 2969-2969, doi:10.3390/su11102969.
- Matthews, K. R. and N. H. Berg, 1997: Rainbow trout responses to water temperature and dissolved oxygen stress in two southern California stream pools. *J. Fish Biol.*, **50**(1), 50-67, doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.1997.tb01339.x.
- Mbah, M., S. Ajaps and P. Molthan-Hill, 2021: A Systematic Review of the Deployment of Indigenous Knowledge Systems towards Climate Change Adaptation in Developing World Contexts: Implications for Climate Change Education. *Sustainability*, **13**(9), doi:10.3390/su13094811.
- Mbow, C. et al., 2014a: Achieving mitigation and adaptation to climate change through sustainable agroforestry practices in Africa. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **6**(1), 8-14, doi:10.1016/J.COSUST.2013.09.002.
- Mbow, C. et al., 2014b: Agroforestry solutions to address food security and climate change challenges in Africa. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **6**(1), 61-67, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2013.10.014.
- McClain, W. R. and R. P. Romaine, Culture Aquatic Species Information Program: *Procambarus clarkii*, FAO, Rome.
- McClenachan, L., S. Scyphers and J. H. Grabowski, 2020: Views from the dock: Warming waters, adaptation, and the future of Maine's lobster fishery. *Ambio*, **49**(1), 144-155, doi:10.1007/s13280-019-01156-3.
- McCord, P. F., M. Cox, M. Schmitt-Harsh and T. Evans, 2015: Crop diversification as a smallholder livelihood strategy within semi-arid agricultural systems near Mount Kenya. *Land Use Policy*, **42**, 738-750, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2014.10.012.
- McKune, S. et al., 2018: Reaching the end goal: Do interventions to improve climate information services lead to greater food security? *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **22**, 22-41, doi:10.1016/J.CRM.2018.08.002.
- Mearns, A. J. et al., 2019: Effects of pollution on marine organisms. *Water Environ. Res.*, **91**(10), 1229-1252, doi:10.1002/wer.1218.
- Meggio, F. et al., 2014: Biochemical and physiological responses of two grapevine rootstock genotypes to drought and salt treatments. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, **20**(2), 310-323.
- Mehrabi, Z. and N. Ramankutty, 2019: Synchronized failure of global crop production. *Nat Ecol Evol*, **3**(5), 780-786, doi:10.1038/s41559-019-0862-x.
- Menapace, L., G. Colson and R. Raffaelli, 2015: Climate change beliefs and perceptions of agricultural risks: An application of the exchangeability method. *Global Environ. Change*, **35**, 70-81.
- Meng, Q. et al., 2016: Growing sensitivity of maize to water scarcity under climate change. *Sci Rep*, **6**, 19605, doi:10.1038/srep19605.

- Meng, Q. F. et al., 2014: The benefits of recent warming for maize production in high latitude China. *Clim. Change*, **122**(1-2), 341-349, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-1009-8.
- Menike, L. M. C. S. and K. A. G. P. K. Arachchi, 2016: Adaptation to Climate Change by Smallholder Farmers in Rural Communities: Evidence from Sri Lanka. *Procedia Food Science*, **6**, 288-292, doi:10.1016/J.PROFOO.2016.02.057.
- Menzel, A. et al., 2020: Climate change fingerprints in recent European plant phenology. *Global Change Biol.*, **26**(4), 2599-2612.
- Meucci, A. et al., 2020: Projected 21st century changes in extreme wind-wave events. *Science Advances*, **6**(24), eaaz7295, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaz7295.
- Migliore, G. et al., 2019: A Ricardian analysis of the impact of climate change on permanent crops in a Mediterranean region. *New Medit.*, **18**(1), 41-52.
- Millin, A., 2020: Indigenous Aquaculture : A Tool to Support Food Security. *UC San Diego: Center for Marine Biodiversity and Conservation*, 1-25.
- Millner, N., I. Peñagaricano, M. Fernandez and L. Snook, 2020: The politics of participation: Negotiating relationships through community forestry in the Maya Biosphere Reserve, Guatemala. *World Dev.*, **127**, 104743-104743, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104743.
- Ministerial Conference on Fisheries Cooperation among African States Bordering the Atlantic Ocean (COMHAFAT), 2019: *5ème réunion annuelle de coordination et de concertation entre les institutions et organisations régionales de pêche opérant dans la région*.
- Missaghi, S., M. Hondzo and W. Herb, 2017: Prediction of lake water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and fish habitat under changing climate. *Clim. Change*, **141**(4), 747-757, doi:10.1007/s10584-017-1916-1.
- Mitz, S. V. and J. P. Giesy, 1985: Sewage effluent biomonitoring: I. Survival, growth, and histopathological effects in channel catfish. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, **10**(1), 22-39, doi:10.1016/0147-6513(85)90004-1.
- Mizuta, D. D. and G. H. Wikfors, 2019: Depth Selection and In Situ Validation for Offshore Mussel Aquaculture in Northeast United States Federal Waters. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, **7**(9), 293-293, doi:10.3390/jmse7090293.
- Moat, J. et al., 2017: Resilience potential of the Ethiopian coffee sector under climate change. *Nat Plants*, **3**, 17081, doi:10.1038/nplants.2017.81.
- Moe, S. J., D. Ø. Hjermann, E. Ravagnan and R. K. Bechmann, 2019: Effects of an aquaculture pesticide (diflubenzuron) on non-target shrimp populations: Extrapolation from laboratory experiments to the risk of population decline. *Ecol. Model.*, **413**, 108833-108833, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.108833.
- Mogomotsi, P. K., A. Sekelemani and G. E. J. Mogomotsi, 2020: Climate change adaptation strategies of small-scale farmers in Ngamiland East, Botswana. *Clim. Change*, **159**(3), 441-460, doi:10.1007/S10584-019-02645-W.
- Mohamed Shaffril, H. A. et al., 2020: Systematic literature review on adaptation towards climate change impacts among indigenous people in the Asia Pacific regions. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **258**, 120595-120595, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120595.
- Mohamed Shaffril, H. A., A. A. Samah, S. F. Samsuddin and Z. Ali, 2019: Mirror-mirror on the wall, what climate change adaptation strategies are practiced by the Asian's fishermen of all? *J. Clean. Prod.*, **232**, 104-117, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.262.
- Mohammed, A. et al., 2017: Identifying best crop management practices for chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Northeastern Ethiopia under climate change condition. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **194**, 68-77.
- Mohammed, H., T. Mahmud and M. Puteri Edaroyati, 2018: Deficit Irrigation for Improving the Postharvest Quality of Lowland Tomato Fruits. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, **41**(2).
- Moktan, M. R., L. Norbu and K. Choden, 2016: Can community forestry contribute to household income and sustainable forestry practices in rural area? A case study from Tshapey and Zariphensum in Bhutan. *For Policy Econ.*, **62**, 149-157, doi:10.1016/j.forpol.2015.08.011.
- Molina-Montenegro, M. A. et al., 2016: Root-endophytes improve the ecophysiological performance and production of an agricultural species under drought condition. *AoB Plants*, **8**.
- Molitor, D. et al., 2014: Late frost damage risk for viticulture under future climate conditions: a case study for the Luxembourgish winegrowing region. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, **20**(1), 160-168.
- Molitor, D. and J. Junk, 2019: Climate change is implicating a two-fold impact on air temperature increase in the ripening period under the conditions of the Luxembourgish grapegrowing region. *Oeno One*, **53**(3).
- Montalto, V. et al., 2020: Functional role of biofouling linked to aquaculture facilities in Mediterranean enclosed locations. *Aquaculture Environment Interactions*, **12**, 11-22, doi:10.3354/aei00339.
- Monteiro, F. et al., 2020: Current status and trends in Cabo Verde agriculture. *Agronomy*, **10**(1), 74.
- Moore, F., 2020: The Fingerprint of Anthropogenic Warming on Global Agriculture. *EarthArXiv*, doi:10.31223/x5q30z.
- Moore, F. C. and D. B. Lobell, 2015: The fingerprint of climate trends on European crop yields. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **112**(9), 2670-2675, doi:10.1073/pnas.1409606112.
- Morim, J. et al., 2021: Global-scale changes to extreme ocean wave events due to anthropogenic warming. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **16**(7), 074056, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ac1013.
- Morin, M. B. et al., 2015: Climate change and the forest sector: Perception of principal impacts and of potential options for adaptation. *The Forestry Chronicle*, **91**(04), 395-406, doi:10.5558/tfc2015-069.

- Morris, J. et al., 2017: Essential irrigation and the economics of strawberries in a temperate climate. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **194**, 90-99.
- Mosedale, J. R. et al., 2016: Climate change impacts and adaptive strategies: lessons from the grapevine. *Global Change Biol.*, **22**(11), 3814-3828.
- Mourtzinis, S. et al., 2015: Climate-induced reduction in US-wide soybean yields underpinned by region- and in-season-specific responses. *Nat Plants*, **1**, 14026, doi:10.1038/nplants.2014.26.
- Mozell, M. R. and L. Thach, 2014: The impact of climate change on the global wine industry: Challenges & solutions. *Wine Econ. Policy*, **3**(2), 81-89.
- Mpanga, I. K., G. Neumann, U. K. Schuch and J. Schallau, 2020: Sustainable Agriculture Practices as a Driver for Increased Harvested Cropland among Large-Scale Growers in Arizona: A Paradox for Small-Scale Growers. *Advanced Sustainable Systems*, **4**(4), 1900143.
- Mubarak, I., 2020: Improving water productivity and yield of onion crop by combining early planting and straw mulch under different irrigation levels in dry Mediterranean region. *Adv. Hortic. Sci.*, **34**(1).
- Mubiru, D. N. et al., 2018: Climate trends, risks and coping strategies in smallholder farming systems in Uganda. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **22**, 4-21.
- Mulyasari, G., Irham, L. R. Waluyati and A. Suryantini, 2021: Understanding and adaptation to climate change of fishermen in the northern coastal of Central Java, Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, **724**(1), 12094-12094, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/724/1/012094.
- Mzyece, A. and J. N. Ng'ombe, 2021: Crop diversification improves technical efficiency and reduces income variability in Northern Ghana. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, **5**, 100162, doi:10.1016/j.jafr.2021.100162.
- Naab, F. Z., Z. Abubakari and A. Ahmed, 2019: The role of climate services in agricultural productivity in Ghana: The perspectives of farmers and institutions. *Clim. Serv.*, **13**, 24-32, doi:10.1016/J.CLISER.2019.01.007.
- Nagy, R., A. Fusaro, W. Conard and C. Morningstar, *Procambarus clarkii* (Girard, 1852): U.S. Geological Survey, Nonindigenous Aquatic Species Database, Gainesville, FL. Available at: <https://nas.er.usgs.gov/queries/factsheet.aspx?SpeciesID=217>.
- Nankishore, A. and A. D. Farrell, 2016: The response of contrasting tomato genotypes to combined heat and drought stress. *J. Plant Physiol.*, **202**, 75-82.
- Nasielski, J. et al., 2015: Agroforestry promotes soybean yield stability and N₂-fixation under water stress. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **35**(4), 1541-1549, doi:10.1007/s13593-015-0330-1.
- Naughton, C. C., P. N. Lovett and J. R. Mihelcic, 2015: Land suitability modeling of shea (*Vitellaria paradoxa*) distribution across sub-Saharan Africa. *Appl. Geogr.*, **58**, 217-227.
- Naylor, R. L. et al., 2021: A 20-year retrospective review of global aquaculture. *Nature*, **591**(7851), 551-563, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03308-6.
- Ndalilo, L., C. Wekesa and M. T. E. Mbuvi, 2020: Indigenous and Local Knowledge Practices and Innovations for Enhancing Food Security Under Climate Change: Examples from Mijikenda Communities in Coastal Kenya. [Gasparatos, A., M. Naidoo, A. Ahmed, A. Karanja, K. Fukushi, O. Saito and K. Takeuchi (eds.)]. Springer, Singapore, pp. 63-82. ISBN 978-981-15-5357-8.
- Ndamani, F. and T. Watanabe, 2016: Determinants of farmers' adaptation to climate change: A micro level analysis in Ghana. *Scientia Agricola*, **73**(3), 201-208, doi:10.1590/0103-9016-2015-0163.
- Nemoto, M., T. Hirota and T. Sato, 2016: Prediction of climatic suitability for wine grape production under the climatic change in Hokkaido. *J. Agric. Meteorol.*, **72**(3-4), 167-172, doi:10.2480/agrmet.D-15-00027.
- Newaj, R., O. P. Chaturvedi and A. Handa, 2016: Recent development in agroforestry research and its role in climate change adaptation and mitigation. *Indian Journal of Agroforestry*.
- Newton, P. et al., 2015: Community forest management and REDD+. *For Policy Econ*, **56**, 27-37, doi:10.1016/j.forpol.2015.03.008.
- Ngo, A. T., H. M. Tran, B. T. Nguyen and Y. T. Bich Nguyen, 2017: Adapting the Melon Production Model to Climate Change in Giao Thuy District, Nam Dinh Province, Vietnam. *Tropicultura*, **34**.
- Nguyen-Sy, T. et al., 2019: Impacts of climatic and varietal changes on phenology and yield components in rice production in Shonai region of Yamagata Prefecture, Northeast Japan for 36 years. *Plant Production Science*, **22**(3), 382-394, doi:10.1080/1343943X.2019.1571421.
- Nguyen, N. H., 2016: Genetic improvement for important farmed aquaculture species with a reference to carp, tilapia and prawns in Asia: achievements, lessons and challenges. *Fish Fish.*, **17**(2), 483-506, doi:10.1111/faf.12122.
- Ngwira, A., J. B. Aune and C. Thierfelder, 2014: DSSAT modelling of conservation agriculture maize response to climate change in Malawi. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **143**, 85-94.
- Niang, I. et al., 2014: *Africa* [Barros, V. R., C. B. Field, D. J. Dokken, M. D. Mastrandrea, K. J. Mach, T. E. Billir, M. Chatterjee, K. L. Ebi, Y. O. Estrada, R. C. Genova, B. Girma, E. S. Kissel, A. N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P. R. Mastrandrea and L. L. White (eds.)]. Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, USA, 1199-1265 pp.
- Nicolas, S. D. et al., 2016: Genetic diversity, linkage disequilibrium and power of a large grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) diversity panel newly designed for association studies. *BMC Plant Biol.*, **16**(1), 74.

- Nidumolu, U. et al., 2021: Enhancing adaptive capacity to manage climate risk in agriculture through community-led climate information centres. *Clim. Dev.*, **13**(3), 189-200, doi:10.1080/17565529.2020.1746230.
- Nidumolu, U. et al., 2020: Linking climate forecasts to rural livelihoods: Mapping decisions, information networks and value chains. *Weather Clim. Extrem.*, **27**, 100174-100174, doi:10.1016/j.wace.2018.06.001.
- Nkuba, M. et al., 2019: The effect of climate information in pastoralists' adaptation to climate change. *Int. J. Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*, **11**(4), 442-464, doi:10.1108/ijccsm-10-2018-0073.
- Nkuba, M. R. et al., 2020: Influence of Indigenous Knowledge and Scientific Climate Forecasts on Arable Farmers' Climate Adaptation Methods in the Rwenzori region, Western Uganda. *Environ. Manag.*, **65**(4), 500-516, doi:10.1007/s00267-020-01264-x.
- North-East Atlantic Fisheries Commission, 2019: *North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission Annual Report 2018*.
- North-East Atlantic Fisheries Commission, 2019: *Report of the 38th Annual Meeting of the North-East Atlantic Fisheries Commission*.
- North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization, 2019: *Report of the Thirty-Sixth Annual Meeting of the Council of the North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization*. Tromsø, Norway.
- North Pacific Fisheries Commission (NPFC), 2020: *NPFC Yearbook 2019*. 157 pp. Available at: www.npfc.int.
- Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization, 2019: *Meeting Proceedings of the Commission*
- Novotny, I. P. et al., 2021: The importance of the traditional milpa in food security and nutritional self-sufficiency in the highlands of Oaxaca, Mexico. *PLoS One*, **16**(2), e0246281, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0246281.
- Nurse-Bray, M., R. Palmer, T. F. Smith and P. Rist, 2019: Old ways for new days: Australian Indigenous peoples and climate change. *Local Environ.*, **24**(5), 473-486, doi:10.1080/13549839.2019.1590325.
- Nyantakyi-Frimpong, H., 2019: Combining feminist political ecology and participatory diagramming to study climate information service delivery and knowledge flows among smallholder farmers in northern Ghana. *Appl. Geogr.*, **112**, 102079-102079, doi:10.1016/J.APGEOG.2019.102079.
- Nyantakyi-Frimpong, H., 2020: What lies beneath: Climate change, land expropriation, and zaï agroecological innovations by smallholder farmers in Northern Ghana. *Land Use Policy*, **92**, 104469, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104469.
- Nyong, A. P., T. M. Ngankam and T. L. Felicite, 2020: Enhancement of resilience to climate variability and change through agroforestry practices in smallholder farming systems in Cameroon. *Agroforestry Systems*, **94**(3), 687-705, doi:10.1007/s10457-019-00435-y.
- Nziku, Z. C. et al., 2016: Climate change adaptation in vulnerable crop and livestock production systems in Mgeta, Tanzania. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, **16**(2), 10853-10865.
- Ochieng, J. et al., 2020: Managing climate risk through crop diversification in rural Kenya. *Clim. Change*, **162**(3), 1107-1125, doi:10.1007/s10584-020-02727-0.
- Oduniyi, O. S. and S. S. Tekana, 2019: Adoption of agroforestry practices and climate change mitigation strategies in North West province of South Africa. *Int. J. Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*, **11**(5), 716-729, doi:10.1108/IJCCSM-02-2019-0009.
- Ofoegbu, C., P. W. Chirwa, J. Francis and F. D. Babalola, 2019: Assessing local-level forest use and management capacity as a climate-change adaptation strategy in Vhembe district of South Africa. *Clim. Dev.*, **11**(6), 501-512, doi:10.1080/17565529.2018.1447904.
- Ofoegbu, C. and M. New, 2021: The role of farmers and organizational networks in climate information communication: the case of Ghana. *Int. J. Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*, **13**(1), 19-34, doi:10.1108/ijccsm-04-2020-0030.
- Ofori-Boateng, K., P. Eregha and I. Baba, 2015: Climate conditions and cocoa yields in ECOWAS countries: fully modified OLS approach. *International Journal of Green Economics*, **9**(2), 182-198.
- Ofori-Boateng, K. and B. Insah, 2014: The impact of climate change on cocoa production in West Africa. *Int. J. Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*
- Ogier, E. M. et al., 2016: Fisheries management approaches as platforms for climate change adaptation: Comparing theory and practice in Australian fisheries. *Mar. Policy*, **71**, 82-93, doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2016.05.014.
- Olivares, B. O., 2018: Tropical rainfall conditions in rainfed agriculture in Carabobo, Venezuela. *LA GRANJA. Revista de Ciencias de la Vida*, **27**(1), 86-102.
- Olsvik, P. A. et al., 2019: Effects of Agricultural Pesticides in Aquafeeds on Wild Fish Feeding on Leftover Pellets Near Fish Farms. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **10**, doi:10.3389/fgene.2019.00794.
- Olusanya, H. O. and M. van Zyll de Jong, 2018: Assessing the vulnerability of freshwater fishes to climate change in Newfoundland and Labrador. *PLoS One*, **13**(12), e0208182, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0208182.
- Onyeneke, R. U., 2021: Does climate change adaptation lead to increased productivity of rice production? Lessons from Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Renew. Agric. Food Syst.*, **36**(1), 54-68, doi:10.1017/S1742170519000486.
- Ortega-Beltran, A. et al., 2019: Atoxigenic *Aspergillus flavus* isolates endemic to almond, fig, and pistachio orchards in California with potential to reduce aflatoxin contamination in these crops. *Plant Dis.*, **103**(5), 905-912.
- Ortega Andrade, S., G. T. Pérez, T. P. Faria and J. Muñoz, 2017: Climate change and the risk of spread of the fungus from the high mortality of *Theobroma cocoa* in Latin America. *Neotropical Biodiversity*, **3**(1), 30-40.
- Ortiz-Bobea, A. et al., 2021: Anthropogenic climate change has slowed global agricultural productivity growth. *Nat. Clim. Change*, **11**(4), 306-312, doi:10.1038/s41558-021-01000-1.

- Ortiz-Bobea, A., E. Knippenberg and R. G. Chambers, 2018: Growing climatic sensitivity of U.S. agriculture linked to technological change and regional specialization. *Sci Adv*, **4**(12), eaat4343, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aat4343.
- Osinowo, A. A., E. C. Okogbue, E. O. Eresanya and O. S. Akande, 2018: Extreme significant wave height climate in the Gulf of Guinea. *Afr. J. Mar. Sci.*, **40**(4), 407-421, doi:10.2989/1814232X.2018.1542343.
- Otieno, G., W. M. Zebrowski, J. Recha and T. W. Reynolds, 2021: Gender and Social Seed Networks for Climate Change Adaptation: Evidence from Bean, Finger Millet, and Sorghum Seed Systems in East Africa. *Sustainability*, **13**(4), doi:10.3390/su13042074.
- Ovalle-Rivera, O. et al., 2015: Projected shifts in *Coffea arabica* suitability among major global producing regions due to climate change. *PLoS One*, **10**(4), e0124155, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124155.
- Owen, G., 2020: What makes climate change adaptation effective? A systematic review of the literature. *Global Environ. Change*, **62**(September 2019), 102071-102071, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102071.
- Oyebola, O. O., J. Efitre, L. Musinguzi and A. E. Falaye, 2021: Potential adaptation strategies for climate change impact among flood-prone fish farmers in climate hotspot Uganda. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, **23**(9), 12761-12790, doi:10.1007/s10668-020-01183-1.
- Oyekale, A. S., 2015: Climate change induced occupational stress and reported morbidity among cocoa farmers in South-Western Nigeria.
- Pacific Community, 2019: *Division of Fisheries, Aquaculture and Marine Ecosystems - Business Plan 2016–2020*.
- Pacific Islands Forum Fisheries Agency, 2018-19: *Annual Report FY 2018-2019 Executive Summary*. Available at: https://www.ffa.int/ann_reports.
- Paerl, H. W., T. G. Otten and R. Kudela, 2018: Mitigating the Expansion of Harmful Algal Blooms Across the Freshwater-to-Marine Continuum. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **52**(10), 5519-5529, doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b05950.
- Pagès, R. et al., 2020: Projected Effects of Climate-Induced Changes in Hydrodynamics on the Biogeochemistry of the Mediterranean Sea Under the RCP 8.5 Regional Climate Scenario. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **7**(957), doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.563615.
- Pandey, S. S., G. Cockfield and T. N. Maraseni, 2016: Assessing the roles of community forestry in climate change mitigation and adaptation: A case study from Nepal. *For. Ecol. Manag.*, **360**, 400-407, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2015.09.040.
- Papa, C., P. Nzokou and C. Mbow, 2020: Farmer Livelihood Strategies and Attitudes in Response to Climate Change in Agroforestry Systems in Kedougou, Senegal. *Environ. Manag.*, **66**(2), 218-231, doi:10.1007/S00267-020-01302-8.
- Paprocki, K., 2018: All That Is Solid Melts into the Bay: Anticipatory Ruination and Climate Change Adaptation. *Antipode*, **51**(1), 295-315, doi:10.1111/anti.12421.
- Parker, L. E. and J. T. Abatzoglou, 2018: Shifts in the thermal niche of almond under climate change. *Clim. Change*, **147**(1), 211-224.
- Partey, S. T. et al., 2020: Gender and climate risk management: evidence of climate information use in Ghana. *Clim. Change*, **158**(1), 61-75, doi:10.1007/s10584-018-2239-6.
- Paterson, R. R. M. et al., 2018: Predominant mycotoxins, mycotoxigenic fungi and climate change related to wine. *Food Res. Int.*, **103**, 478-491.
- Pathak, R. et al., 2021: Ecological condition and management status of Community Forests in Indian western Himalaya. *Land Use Policy*, **109**, 105636, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105636.
- Patra, A., S.-K. Min and M.-G. Seong, 2020: Climate Variability Impacts on Global Extreme Wave Heights: Seasonal Assessment Using Satellite Data and ERA5 Reanalysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, **125**(12), e2020JC016754, doi:10.1029/2020JC016754.
- Paudel, P., S. Rimal, P. Ghimire and K. Parajuli, 2019: Agro-forestry for Enhancing Adaptation of Local Community against Drought in Hilly Region of Nepal. *International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research*, **7**(4), 2319-1473.
- Paul, C., M. Weber and T. Knoke, 2017: Agroforestry versus farm mosaic systems – Comparing land-use efficiency, economic returns and risks under climate change effects. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **587-588**, 22-35, doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2017.02.037.
- Pauli, N. et al., 2021: “Listening to the Sounds of the Water”: Bringing Together Local Knowledge and Biophysical Data to Understand Climate-Related Hazard Dynamics. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, **12**(3), 326-340, doi:10.1007/s13753-021-00336-8.
- Pauly, D., 2019: A précis of Gill-Oxygen Limitation Theory (GOLT), with some Emphasis on the Eastern Mediterranean. *Mediterr. Mar. Sci.*, **20**(4), 660-660, doi:10.12681/mms.19285.
- Pearson, J., K. E. McNamara and P. D. Nunn, 2020: iTaukei Ways of Knowing and Managing Mangroves for Ecosystem-Based Adaptation. *Climate Change Management*, 105-127, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-40552-6_6.
- Pello, K., C. Okinda, A. Liu and T. Njagi, 2021: Factors Affecting Adaptation to Climate Change through Agroforestry in Kenya. *Land*, **10**(4), 371-371, doi:10.3390/land10040371.
- Peng, M. et al., 2019: Tolerance, Growth, and Physiological Responses of the Juvenile Razor Clam (*Sinonovacula constricta*) to Environmental Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ Concentrations. *Frontiers in Physiology*, **10**, doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00911.
- Persson, J. and M. Prowse, 2017: Collective action on forest governance: An institutional analysis of the Cambodian community forest system. *For Policy Econ*, **83**, 70-79, doi:10.1016/J.FORPOL.2017.06.008.

- Petrea, S. M. et al., 2016: A Comparative Cost – Effectiveness Analysis in Different Tested Aquaponic Systems. *Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia*, **10**, 555-565, doi:10.1016/j.aaspro.2016.09.034.
- Petrie, P. R., S. Brooke, M. A. Moran and V. O. Sadras, 2017: Pruning after budburst to delay and spread grape maturity. *Australian journal of grape and wine research*, **23**(3), 378-389.
- Peyre, M. K. L. et al., 2020: Eastern oyster clearance and respiration rates in response to acute and chronic exposure to suspended sediment loads. *J. Sea Res.*, **157**, 101831-101831, doi:10.1016/j.seares.2019.101831.
- Phophi, M. M., P. Mafongoya and S. Lottering, 2020: Perceptions of climate change and drivers of insect pest outbreaks in vegetable crops in Limpopo province of South Africa. *Climate*, **8**(2), 27.
- Piedra-Bonilla, E. B., D. A. da Cunha and M. J. Braga, 2020: Climate variability and crop diversification in Brazil: An ordered probit analysis. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **256**, 120252, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120252.
- Piñero, M. C., M. Pérez-Jiménez, J. López-Marín and F. M. Del Amor, 2018: Fruit quality of sweet pepper as affected by foliar Ca applications to mitigate the supply of saline water under a climate change scenario. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **98**(3), 1071-1078.
- Pinsky, M. L. et al., 2021: Fish and fisheries in hot water: What is happening and how do we adapt? *Popul. Ecol.*, **63**(1), 17-26, doi:10.1002/1438-390X.12050.
- Polce, C. et al., 2014: Climate-driven spatial mismatches between British orchards and their pollinators: increased risks of pollination deficits. *Glob Chang Biol*, **20**(9), 2815-2828, doi:10.1111/gcb.12577.
- Poni, S. et al., 2018: Grapevine quality: A multiple choice issue. *Sci. Hortic.*, **234**, 445-462.
- Ponti, L., A. P. Gutierrez, P. M. Ruti and A. Dell'Aquila, 2014: Fine-scale ecological and economic assessment of climate change on olive in the Mediterranean Basin reveals winners and losers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **111**(15), 5598-5603.
- Pope, K. S. et al., 2015: Nut crop yield records show that budbreak-based chilling requirements may not reflect yield decline chill thresholds. *International journal of biometeorology*, **59**(6), 707-715.
- Porter, J. R. et al., 2014: Food Security and Food Production Systems. In: *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Field, C. B., V. R. Barros, D. J. Dokken, K. J. Mach, M. D. Mastrandrea, T. E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K. L. Ebi, Y. O. Estrada, R. C. Genova, B. Girma, E. S. Kissel, A. N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P. R. Mastrandrea and L. L. White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 485-533. ISBN 9781107058071.
- Potopová, V. et al., 2017: The impacts of key adverse weather events on the field-grown vegetable yield variability in the Czech Republic from 1961 to 2014. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **37**(3), 1648-1664, doi:10.1002/joc.4807.
- Praveen, D., 2017: Spatiotemporal analysis of projected impacts of climate change on the major C3 and C4 crop yield under representative concentration pathway 4.5: Insight from the coasts of Tamil Nadu, South India. *PLoS One*, **12**(7), e0180706.
- Pulko, B., 2014: Trends in climate parameters affecting winegrape ripening in northeastern Slovenia. *Clim. Res.*, **58**(3), 257-266.
- Quandt, A., 2020: Contribution of agroforestry trees for climate change adaptation: narratives from smallholder farmers in Isiolo, Kenya. *Agroforestry Systems*, **94**, doi:10.1007/s10457-020-00535-0.
- Quandt, A., H. Neufeldt and J. T. McCabe, 2017: The role of agroforestry in building livelihood resilience to floods and drought in semiarid Kenya. *Ecol. Soc.*, **22**(3), art10-art10, doi:10.5751/ES-09461-220310.
- Quandt, A., H. Neufeldt and J. T. McCabe, 2019: Building livelihood resilience: what role does agroforestry play? *Clim. Dev.*, **11**(6), 485-500, doi:10.1080/17565529.2018.1447903.
- Rabadán, A., M. Álvarez-Ortí and J. Pardo, 2019: A comparison of the effect of genotype and weather conditions on the nutritional composition of most important commercial nuts. *Sci. Hortic.*, **244**, 218-224.
- Racault, M.-F. et al., 2017: Impact of El Niño Variability on Oceanic Phytoplankton. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **4**(133), doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00133.
- Radeny, M. et al., 2019: Indigenous knowledge for seasonal weather and climate forecasting across East Africa. *Clim. Change*, **156**(4), 509-526, doi:10.1007/s10584-019-02476-9.
- Ramírez, F. and J. Kallarackal, 2015: *Responses of fruit trees to global climate change*. Springer. ISBN 3319142003.
- Ramirez-Cabral, N. Y. Z., L. Kumar and F. Shabani, 2019: Suitable areas of *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*, *S. podoptera* exigua, and their host plant *Phaseolus vulgaris* are projected to reduce and shift due to climate change. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, **135**(1), 409-424.
- Ramlall, I., 2014: Gauging the impact of climate change on food crops production in Mauritius: an econometric approach. *Int. J. Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*
- Ramos, R. S., L. Kumar, F. Shabani and M. C. Picanco, 2019: Risk of spread of tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) in tomato crops under various climate change scenarios. *Agric. Syst.*, **173**, 524-535, doi:10.1016/j.agry.2019.03.020.
- Ramos, R. S., L. Kumar, F. Shabani and M. C. Picanco, 2018: Mapping global risk levels of *Bemisia tabaci* in areas of suitability for open field tomato cultivation under current and future climates. *PLoS One*, **13**(6), e0198925.
- Rana, A., V. Dua, S. Chauhan and J. Sharma, 2020: Climate Change and Potato Productivity in Punjab—Impacts and Adaptation.
- Ranjitkar, S. et al., 2016a: Climate modelling for agroforestry species selection in Yunnan Province, China. *Environ. Model. Software*, **75**, 263-272.

- 1 Ranjitkar, S. et al., 2016b: Suitability Analysis and Projected Climate Change Impact on Banana and Coffee Production
2 Zones in Nepal. *PLoS One*, **11**(9), e0163916, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163916.
- 3 Rao, M. S., D. Manimanjari, C. R. Rao and M. Maheswari, 2015: Prediction of pest scenarios of *Spodoptera litura* Fab.
4 in peanut growing areas of India during future climate change. *National Academy Science Letters*, **38**(6), 465-468.
- 5 Ravera, F. et al., 2019: Gendered agrobiodiversity management and adaptation to climate change: differentiated
6 strategies in two marginal rural areas of India. *Agric. Hum. Values*, **36**(3), 455-474, doi:10.1007/s10460-018-
7 09907-w.
- 8 Ray, D. K., J. S. Gerber, G. K. MacDonald and P. C. West, 2015: Climate variation explains a third of global crop yield
9 variability. *Nat Commun*, **6**, 5989, doi:10.1038/ncomms6989.
- 10 Ray, D. K. et al., 2019a: Climate change has likely already affected global food production. *PLoS One*, **14**(5),
11 e0217148, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0217148.
- 12 Ray, N. E. et al., 2019b: Low Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Oyster Aquaculture. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **53**(15),
13 9118-9127, doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b02965.
- 14 Raymundo, R., S. Asseng, D. Cammarano and R. Quiroz, 2014: Potato, sweet potato, and yam models for climate
15 change: A review. *Field Crops Res.*, **166**, 173-185, doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2014.06.017.
- 16 Raymundo, R. et al., 2018: Climate change impact on global potato production. *Eur J Agron*, **100**, 87-98.
- 17 Rayne, S. and K. Forest, 2016: Rapidly changing climatic conditions for wine grape growing in the Okanagan Valley
18 region of British Columbia, Canada. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **556**, 169-178.
- 19 Redhead, J. W., T. H. Oliver, B. A. Woodcock and R. F. Pywell, 2020: The influence of landscape composition and
20 configuration on crop yield resilience. *J. Appl. Ecol.*, **57**(11), 2180-2190, doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13722.
- 21 Reed, J., J. van Vianen, J. Barlow and T. Sunderland, 2017: Have integrated landscape approaches reconciled societal
22 and environmental issues in the tropics? *Land Use Policy*, **63**, 481-492, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.02.021.
- 23 Regional Commission of Fisheries of Gulf of Guinea, 2019: *Quinzieme Reunion du Comite Technique* Doual, Cameroon.
- 24 Reineke, A. and D. Thiéry, 2016: Grapevine insect pests and their natural enemies in the age of global warming. *J. Pest*
25 *Sci.*, **89**(2), 313-328.
- 26 Remeš, J., K. Pulkrab, L. Bílek and V. Podrázský, 2020: Economic and Production Effect of Tree Species Change as a
27 Result of Adaptation to Climate Change. *Forests*, **11**(4), doi:10.3390/f11040431.
- 28 Reppin, S. et al., 2020: Contribution of agroforestry to climate change mitigation and livelihoods in Western Kenya.
29 *Agroforestry Systems*, **94**(1), 203-220, doi:10.1007/s10457-019-00383-7.
- 30 Retamal-Salgado, J. et al., 2017: Decrease in artificial radiation with netting reduces stress and improves rabbit-eye
31 blueberry (*Vaccinium virgatum* Aiton) 'Ochlockonee' productivity. *Chilean journal of agricultural research*,
32 **77**(3), 226-233.
- 33 Reyes, F. et al., 2021: Alley Cropping Mitigates the Impacts of Climate Change on a Wheat Crop in a Mediterranean
34 Environment: A Biophysical Model-Based Assessment. *Agriculture*, **11**(4), 356-356,
35 doi:10.3390/agriculture11040356.
- 36 Rezk, M. A. et al., 2009: Selective breeding for increased body weight in a synthetic breed of Egyptian Nile tilapia,
37 *Oreochromis niloticus*: Response to selection and genetic parameters. *Aquaculture*, **293**(3-4), 187-194,
38 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.03.019.
- 39 Rhiney, K., A. Eitzinger, A. D. Farrell and S. D. Prager, 2018: Assessing the implications of a 1.5 degrees C
40 temperature limit for the Jamaican agriculture sector. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **18**(8), 2313-2327,
41 doi:10.1007/s10113-018-1409-4.
- 42 Rhiney, K., A. Eitzinger, A. D. Farrell and M. A. Taylor, 2016: 3 Assessing the vulnerability of Caribbean farmers to
43 climate change impacts. *Climate Change and Food Security: Africa and the Caribbean*, 59.
- 44 Riera-Heredia, N. et al., 2020: The combination of palm and rapeseed oils emerges as a good dietary alternative for
45 optimal growth and balanced lipid accumulation in juvenile gilthead sea bream reared at an elevated temperature.
46 *Aquaculture*, **526**, 735396, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735396.
- 47 Rippke, U. et al., 2016: Timescales of transformation climate change adaptation in sub-Saharan African agriculture.
48 *Nat. Clim. Change*, **6**(6), 605-609.
- 49 Roberts, S. D. et al., 2019: Marine Heatwave, Harmful Algae Blooms and an Extensive Fish Kill Event During 2013 in
50 South Australia. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **6**, doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00610.
- 51 Rodríguez, A. et al., 2019: Implications of crop model ensemble size and composition for estimates of adaptation
52 effects and agreement of recommendations. *Agric. For. Meteorol*, **264**, 351-362,
53 doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.09.018.
- 54 Rodríguez-Ortega, W. et al., 2017: Use of a smart irrigation system to study the effects of irrigation management on the
55 agronomic and physiological responses of tomato plants grown under different temperatures regimes. *Agric.*
56 *Water Manage.*, **183**, 158-168.
- 57 Roesch-McNally, G. E., J. G. Arbuckle and J. C. Tyndall, 2018: Barriers to implementing climate resilient agricultural
58 strategies: The case of crop diversification in the U.S. Corn Belt. *Global Environ. Change*, **48**, 206-215,
59 doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.12.002.
- 60 Romeu Santacreu, J. F. et al., 2014: Quantitative trait loci affecting reproductive phenology in peach.
- 61 Ronchetti, G. et al., 2020: Crop row detection through UAV surveys to optimize on-farm irrigation management.
62 *Remote Sens.*, **12**(12), 1967.

- Röös, E. et al., 2018: Risks and opportunities of increasing yields in organic farming. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **38**(2), 14, doi:10.1007/s13593-018-0489-3.
- Rosa-Schleich, J., J. Loos, O. Mußhoff and T. Tschardtke, 2019: Ecological-economic trade-offs of Diversified Farming Systems – A review. *Ecol. Econ.*, **160**, 251-263, doi:10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2019.03.002.
- Rosa, R., A. Marques and M. L. Nunes, 2012: Impact of climate change in Mediterranean aquaculture. *Rev. Aquac.*, **4**(3), 163-177, doi:10.1111/j.1753-5131.2012.01071.x.
- Rossa, A. et al., 2020: *Towards more resilient food systems for smallholder farmers in the peruvian altiplano: The potential of community-based climate services*. Springer International Publishing, 327-351 pp. ISBN 9783030368753.
- Roux, M.-J., R. F. Tallman and Z. A. Martin, 2019: Small-scale fisheries in Canada's Arctic: Combining science and fishers knowledge towards sustainable management. *Mar. Policy*, **101**, 177-186, doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2018.01.016.
- Roy-Basu, A., G. K. Bharat, P. Chakraborty and S. K. Sarkar, 2020: Adaptive co-management model for the East Kolkata wetlands: A sustainable solution to manage the rapid ecological transformation of a peri-urban landscape. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **698**, 134203-134203, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134203.
- Rubio, N. et al., 2019: Cell-Based Fish: A Novel Approach to Seafood Production and an Opportunity for Cellular Agriculture. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **3**, doi:10.3389/fsufs.2019.00043.
- Ruf, F., G. Schroth and K. Doffangui, 2015: Climate change, cocoa migrations and deforestation in West Africa: What does the past tell us about the future? *Sustain. Sci.*, **10**(1), 101-111.
- Ruiz-Salmón, I. et al., 2020: Addressing challenges and opportunities of the European seafood sector under a circular economy framework. *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health*, **13**, 101-106, doi:10.1016/j.coesh.2020.01.004.
- Russian Federation, 2019: *Report of the 24th Annual Conference of the Parties to Convention on the Conservation and Management of Pollock Resources in the Central Bering Sea*
- Ruzol, C., L. L. Lomente and J. Pulhin, 2021: Cultural consensus knowledge of rice farmers for climate risk management in the Philippines. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **32**, 100298-100298, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2021.100298.
- Sabri, N. et al., 2019: The use of soil cooling for growing temperate crops under tropical climate. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, **16**(3), 1449-1456.
- Sae-Lim, P., A. Kause, H. A. Mulder and I. Olesen, 2017: Breeding and genetics symposium: Climate change and selective breeding in aquaculture. *J. Anim. Sci.*, **95**(4), 1801-1812, doi:10.2527/jas.2016.1066.
- Salgado-Hernanz, P. M., M. F. Racault, J. S. Font-Muñoz and G. Basterretxea, 2019: Trends in phytoplankton phenology in the Mediterranean Sea based on ocean-colour remote sensing. *Remote Sens. Environ.*, **221**, 50-64, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2018.10.036.
- Salinger, M. J. et al., 2020: Unparalleled coupled ocean-atmosphere summer heatwaves in the New Zealand region: drivers, mechanisms and impacts. *Clim. Change*, **162**, 485-506.
- Salton, J. C. et al., 2014: Integrated crop-livestock system in tropical Brazil: Toward a sustainable production system. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **190**, 70-79, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2013.09.023.
- Samtani, J. B. et al., 2019: The status and future of the strawberry industry in the United States. *HortTechnology*, **29**(1), 11-24.
- Sanford, G. R. et al., 2021: Perenniality and diversity drive output stability and resilience in a 26-year cropping systems experiment. *Field Crops Res.*, **263**, 108071-108071, doi:10.1016/J.FCR.2021.108071.
- Sanogo, D. et al., 2017: Participatory diagnosis and development of climate change adaptive capacity in the groundnut basin of Senegal: building a climate-smart village model. *Agric. Food Secur.*, **6**(1), 13.
- Sansilvestri, R. et al., 2020: Evaluating climate change adaptation pathways through capital assessment: five case studies of forest social-ecological systems in France. *Sustain. Sci.*, **15**(2), 539-553, doi:10.1007/s11625-019-00731-7.
- Santhyami, S., A. Basukriadi, M. P. Patria and R. Abdulhadi, 2018: The comparison of aboveground C-stock between cacao-based agroforestry system and cacao monoculture practice in West Sumatra, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, **19**(2), 472-479.
- Santillan, D. et al., 2019: Vineyards in transition: A global assessment of the adaptation needs of grape producing regions under climate change. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **657**, 839-852, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.079.
- Santos, E. A. d. et al., 2018: Path analysis of phenotypic traits in young cacao plants under drought conditions. *PLoS One*, **13**(2), e0191847.
- Santos, J. A., R. Costa and H. Fraga, 2017: Climate change impacts on thermal growing conditions of main fruit species in Portugal. *Clim. Change*, **140**(2), 273-286.
- Sapiains A, R., A. M. Ugarte C and J. Hasbún M, 2019: Percepciones del cambio climático en la Isla de Chiloé: Desafíos para la gobernanza local. *Magallania (Punta Arenas)*, **47**(1), 83-103, doi:10.4067/s0718-22442019000100083.
- Sapkota, P., R. J. Keenan and H. R. Ojha, 2018: Community institutions, social marginalization and the adaptive capacity: A case study of a community forestry user group in the Nepal Himalayas. *For Policy Econ*, **92**, 55-64, doi:10.1016/J.FORPOL.2018.04.001.

- Sarr, M. A., O. Seidou, C. R. Bryant and A. Amadou, 2016: Projections of Peanut Yields from 2011 to 2040 in Senegal Using Classification and Regression Trees. In: *Agricultural Adaptation to Climate Change*. Springer, pp. 153-165. ISBN 978-3-319-31390-0.
- Sartini, L., G. Besio and F. Cassola, 2017: Spatio-temporal modelling of extreme wave heights in the Mediterranean Sea. *Ocean Model. Online*, **117**, 52-69, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.07.001.
- Satia, B. P., 2017: *Regional Review on Status and Trends in Aquaculture Development in Sub-Saharan Africa - 2015*. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Circular, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 29 pp. ISBN 9789251096567.
- Sawano, S. et al., 2015: Effects of nitrogen input and climate trends on provincial rice yields in China between 1961 and 2003: quantitative evaluation using a crop model. *Paddy Water Environ.*, **13**(4), 529-543, doi:10.1007/s10333-014-0470-y.
- Scarano, A. et al., 2020: Selection of tomato landraces with high fruit yield and nutritional quality under elevated temperatures. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **100**(6), 2791-2799.
- Schlingmann, A. et al., 2021: Global patterns of adaptation to climate change by Indigenous Peoples and local communities. A systematic review. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **51**, 55-64, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2021.03.002.
- Schott, S. et al., 2020: Operationalizing knowledge coevolution: towards a sustainable fishery for Nunavummiut. *Arctic Science*, **6**(3), 208-228, doi:10.1139/as-2019-0011.
- Schrama, M. et al., 2018: Crop yield gap and stability in organic and conventional farming systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **256**, 123-130, doi:10.1016/J.AGEE.2017.12.023.
- Schroth, G. et al., 2015: Contribution of agroforests to landscape carbon storage. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change*, **20**(7), 1175-1190, doi:10.1007/s11027-013-9530-7.
- Schroth, G., P. Läderach, A. I. Martinez-Valle and C. Bunn, 2017: From site-level to regional adaptation planning for tropical commodities: cocoa in West Africa. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change*, **22**(6), 903-927.
- Schroth, G. et al., 2016: Vulnerability to climate change of cocoa in West Africa: Patterns, opportunities and limits to adaptation. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **556**, 231-241.
- Schubel, J. R. and K. Thompson, 2019: Farming the Sea: The Only Way to Meet Humanity's Future Food Needs. *GeoHealth*, **3**(9), 238-244, doi:10.1029/2019gh000204.
- Seipel, T., S. L. Ishaq and F. D. Menalled, 2019: Agroecosystem resilience is modified by management system via plant-soil feedbacks. *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, **39**, 1-9, doi:10.1016/J.BAAE.2019.06.006.
- Sellberg, M. M., A. V. Norström, G. D. Peterson and L. J. Gordon, 2020: Using local initiatives to envision sustainable and resilient food systems in the Stockholm city-region. *Glob. Food Secur.*, **24**, 100334, doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2019.100334.
- Seymen, M. et al., 2019: Identification of drought-tolerant pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) genotypes associated with certain fruit characteristics, seed yield, and quality. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **221**, 150-159.
- Shabani, F. and B. Kotey, 2016: Future distribution of cotton and wheat in Australia under potential climate change. *J. Agric. Sci.*, **154**(2), 175-185, doi:10.1017/S0021859615000398.
- Shabani, F., L. Kumar and A. Esmaceli, 2014: Future distributions of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. spp. in European, Middle Eastern and North African agricultural regions under climate change. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, **197**, 96-105.
- Shaheen, M. R., C. M. Ayyub, M. Amjad and E. A. Waraich, 2016: Morpho-physiological evaluation of tomato genotypes under high temperature stress conditions. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **96**(8), 2698-2704.
- Sharma, H. C., 2014: Climate change effects on insects: implications for crop protection and food security. *Journal of crop improvement*, **28**(2), 229-259.
- Shimoda, S., H. Kanno and T. Hirota, 2018: Time series analysis of temperature and rainfall-based weather aggregation reveals significant correlations between climate turning points and potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) yield trends in Japan. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **263**, 147-155.
- Shiru, M. S., S. Shahid, E.-S. Chung and N. Alias, 2019: Changing characteristics of meteorological droughts in Nigeria during 1901–2010. *Atmospheric Research*, **223**, 60-73.
- Shivamurthy, M., M. Shankara, R. Radhakrishna and M. Chandrakanth, 2015: Impact of climate change and adaptation measures initiated by farmers. In: *Adapting African Agriculture to Climate Change*. Springer, pp. 119-126. ISBN 978-3-319-12999-0.
- Sida, T. S., F. Baudron, H. Kim and K. E. Giller, 2018: Climate-smart agroforestry: *Faidherbia albida* trees buffer wheat against climatic extremes in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **248**, 339-347, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.10.013.
- Silas, M. O. et al., 2020: Adaptive capacity and coping strategies of small-scale coastal fisheries to declining fish catches: Insights from Tanzanian communities. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **108**, 67-76, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2020.03.012.
- Silva, S. H. and S. N. Noda, 2016: A Dinâmica entre as águas e terras na Amazônia e seus efeitos sobre as várzeas. *Revista Ambiente & Água*, **11**, 377-386.
- Silwal, P., L. Roberts, H. G. Rennie and M. J. Lexer, 2019: Adapting to climate change: an assessment of local adaptation planning processes in forest-based communities in Nepal. *Clim. Dev.*, **11**(10), 886-898, doi:10.1080/17565529.2019.1586634.

- Singh, C. et al., 2020a: Assessing the feasibility of adaptation options: methodological advancements and directions for climate adaptation research and practice. *Clim. Change*, **162**(2), 255-277, doi:10.1007/s10584-020-02762-x.
- Singh, K. et al., 2017: Mapping regional risks from climate change for rainfed rice cultivation in India. *Agric Syst*, **156**, 76-84, doi:10.1016/j.agry.2017.05.009.
- Singh, R. K., A. Kumar, A. Singh and P. Singhal, 2020b: Evidence that cultural food practices of Adi women in Arunachal Pradesh, India, improve social-ecological resilience: insights for Sustainable Development Goals. *Ecological Processes*, **9**(1), 29, doi:10.1186/s13717-020-00232-x.
- Skinner, C. et al., 2014: Greenhouse gas fluxes from agricultural soils under organic and non-organic management — A global meta-analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **468-469**, 553-563, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.08.098.
- Snapp, S. et al., 2021: *Agroecology and climate change rapid evidence review: Performance of agroecological approaches in low- and middle- income countries*. CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), Wageningen, Netherlands. Available at: <https://ccafs.cgiar.org/resources/publications/agroecology-and-climate-change-rapid-evidence-review-performance>.
- Sobol, S. et al., 2014: Genetic variation in yield under hot ambient temperatures spotlights a role for cytokinin in protection of developing floral primordia. *Plant, cell & environment*, **37**(3), 643-657.
- Son, H. N., A. Kingsbury and H. T. Hoa, 2021: Indigenous knowledge and the enhancement of community resilience to climate change in the Northern Mountainous Region of Vietnam. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **45**(4), 499-522, doi:10.1080/21683565.2020.1829777.
- Song, H. et al., 2020a: Development and application of a PCR-based molecular marker for the identification of high temperature tolerant cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. capitata) genotypes. *Agronomy*, **10**(1), 116.
- Song, Y. et al., 2020b: Tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum* Gaertn.) landraces cultivated by Yi people in Liangshan, China. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.*, **67**(3), 745-761, doi:10.1007/s10722-019-00852-z.
- Sønsteby, A. and O. M. Heide, 2014: Chilling requirements of contrasting black currant (*Ribes nigrum* L.) cultivars and the induction of secondary bud dormancy. *Sci. Hortic.*, **179**, 256-265.
- Sonwa, D. J. et al., 2019: Structure of cocoa farming systems in West and Central Africa: a review. *Agroforestry Systems*, **93**(5), 2009-2025.
- Sotelo, S. et al., 2020: Pronosticos AClimateColombia: A system for the provision of information for climate risk reduction in Colombia. *Comput. Electron. Agric.*, **174**, 105486-105486, doi:10.1016/J.COMPAG.2020.105486.
- Soto, D. et al., 2019: Salmon farming vulnerability to climate change in southern Chile: understanding the biophysical, socioeconomic and governance links. *Rev. Aquac.*, **11**(2), 354-374, doi:10.1111/raq.12336.
- Soto, D. et al., 2021: Scientific warnings could help to reduce farmed salmon mortality due to harmful algal blooms. *Mar. Policy*, **132**, 104705, doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2021.104705.
- Soto, D. et al., 2018: Chapter 21: Climate change and aquaculture: vulnerability and adaptation options. In: *Impacts of climate change on fisheries and aquaculture: synthesis of current knowledge, adaptation and mitigation options*. [Barange, M., T. Bahri, M. C. M. Beveridge, K. L. Cochrane, S. Funge-Smith and F. Poulain (eds.)]. FAO, Rome pp. 465-490. ISBN 978-92-5-130607-9.
- South East Atlantic Fisheries Organization, 2019: *Report of the 16th Annual Meeting of the Commission*. Swakopmund, Namibia.
- South Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Organization, 2020: In: *8th SPRFMO Commission Meeting*, 14-18 February 2020, Port Vila, Vanuatu.
- Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement (SIOFA), 2019: *Report of the Sixth Meeting of the Parties to the Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement (SIOFA)*. Flic en Flac, Mauritius.
- Sowman, M., 2020: Participatory and rapid vulnerability assessments to support adaptation planning in small-scale fishing communities of the Benguela Current Large Marine Ecosystem. *Environ. Dev.*, **36**, 100578-100578, doi:10.1016/J.ENVDEV.2020.100578.
- Spear, D., J. C. Selato, B. Mosime and A. M. Nyamwanza, 2019: Harnessing diverse knowledge and belief systems to adapt to climate change in semi-arid rural Africa. *Clim. Serv.*, **14**, 31-36, doi:10.1016/J.CLISER.2019.05.001.
- Spinoni, J., J. Vogt and P. Barbosa, 2015: European degree-day climatologies and trends for the period 1951–2011. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **35**(1), 25-36.
- Sridhar, V. et al., 2017: CLIMEX modelling for risk assessment of Asian fruit fly, *Bactrocera papayae* (Drew and Hancock, 1994) in India. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **38**(1), 105.
- Srivastava, R., A. Talla, D. Swain and R. Panda, 2019: Quantitative approaches in adaptation strategies to cope with increased temperatures following climate change in potato crop. *Potato Res.*, **62**(2), 175-191.
- Steinacher, M. et al., 2010: Projected 21st century decrease in marine productivity: a multi-model analysis. *Biogeosciences*, **7**(3), 979-1005, doi:10.5194/bg-7-979-2010.
- Stewart, H. A., D. L. Aboagye, S. W. Ramee and P. J. Allen, 2019: Effects of acute thermal stress on acid-base regulation, haematology, ion-osmoregulation and aerobic metabolism in Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). *Aquac. Res.*, **50**(8), 2133-2141, doi:10.1111/are.14093.
- Stewart, H. A. and P. J. Allen, 2014: Critical Thermal Maxima of Two Geographic Strains of Channel and Hybrid Catfish. *N. Am. J. Aquacult.*, **76**(2), 104-111, doi:10.1080/15222055.2013.856827.
- Stewart, J. R., 2015: Agave as a model CAM crop system for a warming and drying world. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **6**, 684.

- Suasi, T. and I. Koya, 2019: Strengthening the Capacity of Local Communities for Fostering Community-based Resources Management / Co-management : a case study in Khammouane Province , Lao PDR. *Fish for the people*, **17**(3), 8-11.
- Subramanian, N. et al., 2016: Adaptation of Forest Management Regimes in Southern Sweden to Increased Risks Associated with Climate Change. *Forests*, **7**(1), doi:10.3390/f7010008.
- Sugiura, T. et al., 2014: Predicted Changes in Locations Suitable for Takan Cultivation Due to Global Warming in Japan. *Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science*, **83**(2), 117-121, doi:10.2503/jjshs1.CH-094.
- Sultan, B., D. Defrance and T. Iizumi, 2019: Evidence of crop production losses in West Africa due to historical global warming in two crop models. *Sci Rep*, **9**(1), 12834, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-49167-0.
- Sultana, R. et al., 2014: Abiotic stresses in major pulses: Current status and strategies. In: *Approaches to plant stress and their management*. Springer, pp. 173-190. ISBN 978-81-322-1619-3.
- Sultana, S. et al., 2020: Impacts of climate change on high priority fruit fly species in Australia. *PLoS One*, **15**(2), e0213820.
- Sun, H. et al., 2015: Partial substitution of fish meal with fermented cottonseed meal in juvenile black sea bream (*Acanthopagrus schlegelii*) diets. *Aquaculture*, **446**, 30-36, doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.04.020.
- Sunny, A. R. et al., 2019: Cost effective aquaponics for food security and income of farming households in coastal Bangladesh. *The Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*, **45**(1), 89-97, doi:10.1016/j.ejar.2019.01.003.
- Sutcliffe, C., A. J. Dougill and C. H. Quinn, 2016: Evidence and perceptions of rainfall change in Malawi: Do maize cultivar choices enhance climate change adaptation in sub-Saharan Africa? *Reg. Environ. Change*, **16**(4), 1215-1224, doi:10.1007/s10113-015-0842-x.
- Swe, L. M. M., R. P. Shrestha, T. Ebberts and D. Jourdain, 2015: Farmers' perception of and adaptation to climate-change impacts in the Dry Zone of Myanmar. *Clim. Dev.*, **7**(5), 437-453.
- Tabbo, A. M. and Z. Amadou, 2017: Assessing newly introduced climate change adaptation strategy packages among rural households: Evidence from Kaou local government area, Tahoua State, Niger Republic. *Jambá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, **9**(1), 1-7.
- Tan, K., H. Zhang and H. Zheng, 2020: Selective breeding of edible bivalves and its implication of global climate change. *Rev. Aquac.*, **12**(4), 2559-2572, doi:10.1111/raq.12458.
- Tang, J. et al., 2016: Comparison of the impacts of climate change on potential productivity of different staple crops in the agro-pastoral ecotone of North China. *J. Meteorol. Res.*, **30**(6), 983-997.
- Tangka, J. K. and W. F. Yusifu, 2020: Development of a Solar Based Automatic Water Heating and Temperature-controlled Recirculating Aquaculture System. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, **38**(6), 1-14, doi:10.9734/cjast/2019/v38i630446.
- Tao, F. et al., 2016a: Historical data provide new insights into response and adaptation of maize production systems to climate change/variability in China. *Field Crops Res.*, **185**, 1-11, doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2015.10.013.
- Tao, F. L. et al., 2017: Wheat yield benefited from increases in minimum temperature in the Huang-Huai-Hai Plain of China in the past three decades. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **239**, 1-14, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.02.033.
- Tao, F. L. et al., 2014: Responses of wheat growth and yield to climate change in different climate zones of China, 1981-2009. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **189**, 91-104, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.01.013.
- Tao, F. L., Z. Zhang, S. Zhang and R. P. Rotter, 2015: Heat stress impacts on wheat growth and yield were reduced in the Huang-Huai-Hai Plain of China in the past three decades. *Eur J Agron*, **71**, 44-52, doi:10.1016/j.eja.2015.08.003.
- Tao, F. L., Z. Zhang, S. Zhang and R. P. Rotter, 2016b: Variability in crop yields associated with climate anomalies in China over the past three decades. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **16**(6), 1715-1723, doi:10.1007/s10113-015-0920-0.
- Teeken, B. and M. P. Temudo, 2021: Varietal selection in marginal agroecological niches and cultural landscapes: the case of rice in the Togo Hills. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **45**(8), 1109-1138, doi:10.1080/21683565.2021.1878405.
- Teslić, N. et al., 2019: Future climatic suitability of the Emilia-Romagna (Italy) region for grape production. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **19**(2), 599-614.
- Testani, E., F. Montemurro, C. Ciaccia and M. Diacono, 2020: Agroecological practices for organic lettuce: effects on yield, nitrogen status and nitrogen utilisation efficiency. *Biological Agriculture & Horticulture*, **36**(2), 84-95.
- The Commission for the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean, 2019: *Summary Report- Sixteenth Regular Session of the Commission* Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea.
- Theodory, T. F., 2021: Understanding the relevance of indigenous knowledge on climate change adaptation among mixed farmers in the Ngono River Basin, Tanzania. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, **13**(1), 51-59, doi:10.1080/20421338.2020.1816615.
- Thom, D., W. Rammer and R. Seidl, 2017: Disturbances catalyze the adaptation of forest ecosystems to changing climate conditions. *Global Change Biol.*, **23**(1), 269-282, doi:10.1111/gcb.13506.
- Thomas, H. and H. Ougham, 2014: The stay-green trait. *J. Exp. Bot.*, **65**(14), 3889-3900.
- Thompson, C. R. S. et al., 2019: The planktonic stages of the salmon louse (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*) are tolerant of end-of-century {pCO₂} concentrations. *PeerJ*, **7**, e7810-e7810, doi:10.7717/peerj.7810.
- Thornton, P. K., G. C. Nelson, D. Mayberry and M. Herrero, 2021: Increases in extreme heat stress in domesticated livestock species during the twenty-first century. *Global Change Biol.*, doi:10.1111/gcb.15825.

- 1 Ticktin, T. et al., 2018: Linkages between measures of biodiversity and community resilience in Pacific Island
2 agroforests. *Conserv. Biol.*, **32**(5), 1085-1095, doi:10.1111/cobi.13152.
- 3 Tiller, R. et al., 2019: Who cares about ocean acidification in the Plasticene? *Ocean Coast. Manage.*, **174**, 170-180,
4 doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.03.020.
- 5 Tilley, A. et al., 2019: Evaluating the Fit of Co-management for Small-Scale Fisheries Governance in Timor-Leste.
6 *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **6**, 392-392.
- 7 Tittensor, D. P. et al., 2019: Integrating climate adaptation and biodiversity conservation in the global ocean. *Science*
8 *Advances*, **5**(11), eaay9969-eaay9969, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aay9969.
- 9 Toledo-Hernández, M., T. C. Wanger and T. Tscharrntke, 2017: Neglected pollinators: Can enhanced pollination
10 services improve cocoa yields? A review. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, **247**, 137-148.
- 11 Tondoh, J. E. et al., 2015: Ecological changes induced by full-sun cocoa farming in Côte d'Ivoire. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.*,
12 **3**, 575-595.
- 13 Tongruksawattana, S. and P. Wainaina, 2019: Climate shock adaptation for Kenyan maize-legume farmers: choice,
14 complementarities and substitutions between strategies. *Clim. Dev.*, **11**(8), 710-722,
15 doi:10.1080/17565529.2018.1562862.
- 16 Torres, B. et al., 2015: The contribution of traditional agroforestry to climate change adaptation in the Ecuadorian
17 Amazon: The Chakra system. *Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin*
18 *Heidelberg*, 1973-1994.
- 19 Torres, N., N. Goicoechea, F. Morales and M. C. Antolín, 2016: Berry quality and antioxidant properties in *Vitis*
20 *vinifera* cv. Tempranillo as affected by clonal variability, mycorrhizal inoculation and temperature. *Crop and*
21 *Pasture Science*, **67**(9), 961-977.
- 22 Tóth, J. P. and Z. Végvári, 2016: Future of winegrape growing regions in Europe. *Australian Journal of Grape and*
23 *Wine Research*, **22**(1), 64-72, doi:10.1111/ajgw.12168.
- 24 Tran-Ngoc, K. T. et al., 2018: Environmental conditions alter the effect of organic acid salts on digestibility and
25 intestinal morphology in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquac. Nutr.*, **25**(1), 134-144,
26 doi:10.1111/anu.12837.
- 27 Tran, N. et al., 2020: Profitability and perceived resilience benefits of integrated shrimp-tilapia-seaweed aquaculture in
28 North Central Coast, Vietnam. *Mar. Policy*, **120**, 104153, doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104153.
- 29 Tran, T. A., J. Pittock and L. A. Tuan, 2019: Adaptive co-management in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta: examining the
30 interface between flood management and adaptation. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*,
31 **35**(2), 326-342, doi:10.1080/07900627.2018.1437713.
- 32 Trigo-Córdoba, E., Y. Bouzas-Cid, I. Orriols-Fernández and J. M. Mirás-Avalos, 2015: Effects of deficit irrigation on
33 the performance of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. 'Godello' and 'Trexadura' in Ribeiro, NW Spain. *Agric. Water*
34 *Manage.*, **161**, 20-30.
- 35 Trivedi, A., S. Verma, R. Arya and R. Tyagi, 2015: Variability in morpho-physiological traits and antioxidant potential
36 of kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis* Planch) in Central Himalayan Region. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **36**, 1051-1056.
- 37 Trono, G. C. J., Cultured aquatic species fact sheets: *Eucheuma* spp., FAO, Rome. Available at:
38 http://www.fao.org/tempref/FI/DOCUMENT/aquaculture/CulturedSpecies/file/en/en_eucheumaseaweeds.htm.
- 39 Tschora, H. and F. Cherubini, 2020: Co-benefits and trade-offs of agroforestry for climate change mitigation and other
40 sustainability goals in West Africa. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.*, **22**, e00919-e00919,
41 doi:10.1016/J.GECCO.2020.E00919.
- 42 Tsuji, L. J. et al., 2019: Enhancing Food Security in Subarctic Canada in the Context of Climate Change: The
43 Harmonization of Indigenous Harvesting Pursuits and Agroforestry Activities to Form a Sustainable Import-
44 substitution Strategy. In: *Sustainable Solutions for Food Security*. Springer, pp. 409-435. ISBN 978-3-319-77877-
45 8.
- 46 Tuan, T. V., T. V. Thuong, H. P. D. Phat and D. N. Hung, 2019: Assessing the combined impacts of dams upstream,
47 climate change, drought, tide, and sea level rise on the temporal variation of paddy land in Tien Giang province.
48 *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, **338**, 12021-12021, doi:10.1088/1755-
49 1315/338/1/012021.
- 50 Tume, S. J., J. N. Kimengsi and Z. N. Fogwe, 2019: Indigenous Knowledge and Farmer Perceptions of Climate and
51 Ecological Changes in the Bamenda Highlands of Cameroon: Insights from the Bui Plateau. *Climate*, **7**(12),
52 doi:10.3390/cli7120138.
- 53 Turner, L. M. et al., 2019: Toxic Algae Silence Physiological Responses to Multiple Climate Drivers in a Tropical
54 Marine Food Chain. *Frontiers in Physiology*, **10**, doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00373.
- 55 Ubisi, N. R., U. Kolanisi and O. Jiri, 2019: The Role of Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Rural Smallholder Farmers'
56 Response to Climate Change: Case Study of Nkomazi Local Municipality, Mpumalanga, South Africa. *Journal of*
57 *Asian and African Studies*, **55**(2), 273-284, doi:10.1177/0021909619874824.
- 58 Ugbaje, S. U., I. O. A. Odeh and T. F. A. Bishop, 2019: Fuzzy measure-based multicriteria land assessment for rainfed
59 maize in West Africa for the current and a range of plausible future climates. *Comput. Electron. Agric.*, **158**, 51-
60 67, doi:10.1016/j.compag.2019.01.011.
- 61 Ugolini, F. et al., 2014: Ecophysiological responses and vulnerability to other pathologies in European chestnut
62 coppices, heavily infested by the Asian chestnut gall wasp. *For. Ecol. Manag.*, **314**, 38-49.

- Urtasun, M. M. et al., 2020: Dormancy release, germination and ex situ conservation of the southern highland papaya (*Vasconcellea quercifolia*, Caricaceae), a wild crop relative. *Sci. Hortic.*, **263**, 109134.
- Utete, B. et al., 2019: Vulnerability of fisherfolks and their perceptions towards climate change and its impacts on their livelihoods in a peri-urban lake system in Zimbabwe. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, **21**(2), 917-934, doi:10.1007/s10668-017-0067-x.
- Uthe, P. et al., 2018: Effects of Climate-Related Stream Factors on Patterns of Individual Summer Growth of Cutthroat Trout. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*, **148**(1), 21-34, doi:10.1002/tafs.10106.
- Utomo, B. et al., 2016: Environmental performance of cocoa production from monoculture and agroforestry systems in Indonesia. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **134**, 583-591.
- Vahdati, K. et al., 2019: Applying the AOGCM-AR5 models to the assessments of land suitability for walnut cultivation in response to climate change: a case study of Iran. *PLoS One*, **14**(6), e0218725.
- Vaidya, P., S. K. Bhardwaj and S. Sood, 2018: Land use and land cover changes in Kullu valley of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **88**(6), 902-906.
- Valcárcel, M. et al., 2020: Controlled deficit irrigation as a water-saving strategy for processing tomato. *Sci. Hortic.*, **261**, 108972.
- Valverde, P. et al., 2015: Climate change impacts on rainfed agriculture in the Guadiana river basin (Portugal). *Agric. Water Manage.*, **150**, 35-45.
- Van de Perre, E. et al., 2015: Climate impact on Alternaria moulds and their mycotoxins in fresh produce: The case of the tomato chain. *Food Res. Int.*, **68**, 41-46.
- van der Wolf, J., L. Jassogne, G. Gram and P. Vaast, 2019: turning local knowledge on agroforestry into an online decision-support tool for tree selection in smallholders' farmS. *Exp. Agric.*, **55**(S1), 50-66.
- Van Dijk, E., K. Grogan and T. Borisova, 2015: Determinants of adoption of drought adaptations among vegetable growers in Florida. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, **70**(4), 218-231.
- Van Huynh, C. et al., 2020: Indigenous knowledge in relation to climate change: adaptation practices used by the Xo Dang people of central Vietnam. *Heliyon*, **6**(12), e05656-e05656, doi:10.1016/J.HELIYON.2020.E05656.
- Van Leeuwen, C. and A. Destrac-Irvine, 2017: Modified grape composition under climate change conditions requires adaptations in the vineyard. *Oeno One*, **51**(2), 147-154.
- Varshney, R. K., 2016: Exciting journey of 10 years from genomes to fields and markets: some success stories of genomics-assisted breeding in chickpea, pigeonpea and groundnut. *Plant Sci.*, **242**, 98-107.
- Varshney, R. K. et al., 2018: Accelerating genetic gains in legumes for the development of prosperous smallholder agriculture: integrating genomics, phenotyping, systems modelling and agronomy. *J. Exp. Bot.*, **69**(13), 3293-3312.
- Velasco, D., J. Hough, M. Aradhya and J. Ross-Ibarra, 2016: Evolutionary genomics of peach and almond domestication. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, **6**(12), 3985-3993.
- Vera V, R. R., J. H. Cota-Sánchez and J. E. Grijalva Olmedo, 2019: Biodiversity, dynamics, and impact of chakras on the Ecuadorian Amazon. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, **12**(1), 34-44.
- Vernooy, R. et al., 2017: The roles of community seed banks in climate change adaption. *Dev. Pract.*, **27**(3), 316-327, doi:10.1080/09614524.2017.1294653.
- Verón, S. R., D. de Abelleira and D. B. Lobell, 2015: Impacts of precipitation and temperature on crop yields in the Pampas. *Clim. Change*, **130**(2), 235-245, doi:10.1007/s10584-015-1350-1.
- Vitasse, Y. et al., 2018: Increase in the risk of exposure of forest and fruit trees to spring frosts at higher elevations in Switzerland over the last four decades. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **248**, 60-69, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.09.005.
- von Seggern, J., 2021: Understandings, Practices and Human-Environment Relationships—A Meta-Ethnographic Analysis of Local and Indigenous Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation Strategies in Selected Pacific Island States. *Sustainability*, **13**(1), doi:10.3390/su13010011.
- Wang, A., X. Ma, J. Xu and W. Lu, 2019a: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions in rice-crab culture systems of northeast China. *Aquaculture and Fisheries*, **4**(4), 134-141, doi:10.1016/j.aaf.2018.12.006.
- Wang, C.-I. et al., 2015a: Adaptation of potato production to climate change by optimizing sowing date in the Loess Plateau of central Gansu, China. *J. Integr. Agric.*, **14**(2), 398-409.
- Wang, C. I. et al., 2015b: Adaptation of potato production to climate change by optimizing sowing date in the Loess Plateau of central Gansu, China. *J. Integr. Agric.*, **14**(2), 398-409, doi:10.1016/S2095-3119(14)60783-8.
- Wang, H. F. and R. J. Hijmans, 2019: Climate change and geographic shifts in rice production in China. *Environ. res. commun.*, **1**(1), 011008, doi:10.1088/2515-7620/ab0856.
- Wang, Y. et al., 2019b: Analysis of the spatiotemporal variability of droughts and the effects of drought on potato production in northern China. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **264**, 334-342.
- Wang, Z. et al., 2018: Separating out the influence of climatic trend, fluctuations, and extreme events on crop yield: a case study in Hunan Province, China. *Climate Dynamics*, **51**(11-12), 4469-4487, doi:10.1007/s00382-017-3831-6.
- Webber, H. et al., 2018: Diverging importance of drought stress for maize and winter wheat in Europe. *Nat Commun*, **9**(1), 4249, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06525-2.
- Wei, T. et al., 2016: Extreme Weather Impacts on Maize Yield: The Case of Shanxi Province in China. *Sustainability*, **9**(1), 41, doi:10.3390/su9010041.
- Wells, M. L. et al., 2020: Future HAB science: Directions and challenges in a changing climate. *Harmful Algae*, **91**, 101632-101632, doi:10.1016/j.hal.2019.101632.

- West, J., 2019: Multi-criteria evolutionary algorithm optimization for horticulture crop management. *Agric. Syst.*, **173**, 469-481.
- Whitney, C. K. et al., 2020: "Like the plains people losing the buffalo" perceptions of climate change impacts, fisheries management, and adaptation actions by Indigenous peoples in coastal British Columbia, Canada. *Ecol. Soc.*, **25**(4), doi:10.5751/es-12027-250433.
- Williams, N. E. and A. Carrico, 2017: Examining adaptations to water stress among farming households in Sri Lanka's dry zone. *Ambio*, **46**(5), 532-542, doi:10.1007/s13280-017-0904-z.
- Williams, P. A., O. Crespo, C. J. Atkinson and G. O. Essegbey, 2017: Impact of climate variability on pineapple production in Ghana. *Agric. Food Secur.*, **6**(1), 1-14.
- Williams, P. A. et al., 2021: Feasibility assessment of climate change adaptation options across Africa: an evidence-based review. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **16**(7), 073004-073004, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ac092d.
- Winde, J., U. B. Andersen, K. H. Kjaer and M. Pagter, 2017: Variation in freezing tolerance, water content and carbohydrate metabolism of floral buds during deacclimation of contrasting blackcurrant cultivars. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, **39**(9), 1-14.
- Wordofa, M. G. et al., 2021: Adoption of improved agricultural technology and its impact on household income: a propensity score matching estimation in eastern Ethiopia. *Agric. Food Secur.*, **10**(1), 5-5, doi:10.1186/s40066-020-00278-2.
- Wossen, T. et al., 2017: Measuring the impacts of adaptation strategies to drought stress: The case of drought tolerant maize varieties. *J. Environ. Manag.*, **203**, 106-113, doi:10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2017.06.058.
- Wuepper, D., D. Zilberman and J. Sauer, 2020: Non-cognitive skills and climate change adaptation: empirical evidence from Ghana's pineapple farmers. *Clim. Dev.*, **12**(2), 151-162.
- Wypych, A., A. Sulikowska, Z. Ustrnul and D. Czekierda, 2017: Variability of growing degree days in Poland in response to ongoing climate changes in Europe. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **61**(1), 49-59.
- Xiao, D. and F. Tao, 2014: Contributions of cultivars, management and climate change to winter wheat yield in the North China Plain in the past three decades. *Eur J Agron*, **52**, 112-122, doi:10.1016/j.eja.2013.09.020.
- Xiao, D., F. Tao, Y. Shen and Y. Qi, 2016: Combined impact of climate change, cultivar shift, and sowing date on spring wheat phenology in Northern China. *J. Meteorol. Res.*, **30**(5), 820-831, doi:10.1007/s13351-016-5108-0.
- Xu, J. et al., 2017: Mapping quantitative trait loci for heat tolerance of reproductive traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*). *Mol. Breed.*, **37**(5), 58.
- Yagiz, A. K. et al., 2020: Exploration of Climate Change Effects on Shifting Potato Seasons, Yields and Water Use Employing NASA and National Long-Term Weather Data. *Potato Res.*
- Yin, Y. et al., 2020: Cropland Carbon Uptake Delayed and Reduced by 2019 Midwest Floods. *AGU Advances*, **1**(1), 1--15, doi:10.1029/2019av000140.
- Yoshida, K. et al., 2019: Weather-induced economic damage to upland crops and the impact on farmer household income in Northeast Thailand. *Paddy Water Environ.*, **17**(3), 341-349.
- Young, I. R. and A. Ribal, 2019: Multiplatform evaluation of global trends in wind speed and wave height. *Science*, **364**(6440), 548-552, doi:10.1126/science.aav9527.
- Yu, L., X. Gao and X. Zhao, 2020: Global synthesis of the impact of droughts on crops' water-use efficiency (WUE): Towards both high WUE and productivity. *Agric. Syst.*, **177**, 102723.
- Yue, F. et al., 2019: Future CO₂ induced seawater acidification mediates the physiological performance of a green alga *Ulva linza* in different photoperiods. *PeerJ*, **7**, e7048-e7048, doi:10.7717/peerj.7048.
- Zabel, F., B. Putzenlechner and W. Mauser, 2014: Global agricultural land resources--a high resolution suitability evaluation and its perspectives until 2100 under climate change conditions. *PLoS One*, **9**(9), e107522, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107522.
- Zampieri, M., A. Ceglar, F. Dentener and A. Toreti, 2017: Wheat yield loss attributable to heat waves, drought and water excess at the global, national and subnational scales. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **12**(6), doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa723b.
- Zhang, H. et al., 2016a: Contributions of climate, varieties, and agronomic management to rice yield change in the past three decades in China. *Frontiers of Earth Science*, **10**(2), 315-327, doi:10.1007/s11707-015-0527-2.
- Zhang, J. et al., 2015a: Adaptation to a warming-drying trend through cropping system adjustment over three decades: A case study in the northern agro-pastoral ecotone of China. *J. Meteorol. Res.*, **29**(3), 496-514.
- Zhang, J. T. et al., 2015b: Adaptation to a Warming-Drying Trend Through Cropping System Adjustment over Three Decades: A Case Study in the Northern Agro-Pastoral Ecotone of China. *J. Meteorol. Res.*, **29**(3), 496-514, doi:10.1007/s13351-015-4083-1.
- Zhang, L. et al., 2020: Optimizing genotype-environment-management interactions for maize farmers to adapt to climate change in different agro-ecological zones across China. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **728**, 138614, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138614.
- Zhang, P. et al., 2015c: Environmental factors and seasonality affect the concentration of rotundone in *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Shiraz wine. *PLoS One*, **10**(7), e0133137.
- Zhang, S., F. L. Tao and Z. Zhang, 2016b: Changes in extreme temperatures and their impacts on rice yields in southern China from 1981 to 2009. *Field Crops Res.*, **189**, 43-50, doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2016.02.008.

- 1 Zhang, X. and M. Thomsen, 2019: Biomolecular Composition and Revenue Explained by Interactions between
2 Extrinsic Factors and Endogenous Rhythms of *Saccharina latissima*. *Mar. Drugs*, **17**(2), 107-107,
3 doi:10.3390/md17020107.
- 4 Zhang, Y., Y. Wang and H. Niu, 2017: Spatio-temporal variations in the areas suitable for the cultivation of rice and
5 maize in China under future climate scenarios. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **601-602**, 518-531,
6 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.232.
- 7 Zhang, Y. et al., 2021: Effects of climate change on the yield potentials and resource use efficiencies of mid-season
8 indica rice cultivars in Eastern China. *Field Crops Res.*, **262**, 108039-108039, doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2020.108039.
- 9 Zhang, Z. et al., 2016c: Climate trends and crop production in China at county scale, 1980 to 2008. *Theoretical and*
10 *Applied Climatology*, **123**(1), 291-302, doi:10.1007/s00704-014-1343-4.
- 11 Zheng, C. et al., 2016: Actual impacts of global warming on winter wheat yield in Eastern Himalayas. *International*
12 *Journal of Plant Production*, **10**(2), 159-174, doi:10.22069/ijpp.2016.2786.
- 13 Zheng, C. Y. et al., 2017: Nighttime warming increases winter-sown wheat yield across major Chinese cropping
14 regions. *Field Crops Res.*, **214**, 202-210, doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2017.09.014.
- 15 Zhu, X. et al., 2016: A model-based assessment of adaptation options for Chianti wine production in Tuscany (Italy)
16 under climate change. *Reg. Environ. Change*, **16**(1), 85-96.
- 17 Zinyengere, N., O. Crespo, S. Hachigonta and M. Tadross, 2014: Local impacts of climate change and agronomic
18 practices on dry land crops in Southern Africa. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, **197**, 1-10.
- 19 Zipper, S. C., J. X. Qiu and C. J. Kucharik, 2016: Drought effects on US maize and soybean production: spatiotemporal
20 patterns and historical changes. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **11**(9), 1-11, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/9/094021.
- 21