1 Chapter 6: Cities, Settlements and Key Infrastructure 2 **Supplementary Material** 3 4 Coordinating Lead Authors: David Dodman (Jamaica/United Kingdom); Bronwyn Hayward (New 5 Zealand); Mark Pelling (United Kingdom) 6 7 Lead Authors: Vanesa Castán Broto (United Kingdom/Spain); Winston Chow (Singapore); Eric Chu 8 (USA/Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region, China); Richard Dawson (United Kingdom); Luna 9 Khirfan (Canada); Timon McPhearson (USA); Anjal Prakash (India); Yan Zheng (China); Gina Ziervogel 10 (South Africa) 11 12 Contributing Authors: Diane Archer (Australia/France), Chiara Bertolin (Italy), Shauna Brail (Canada), 13 Anton Cartwright (South Africa), Mikhail Chester (USA), Sarah Colenbrander (Australia/Switzerland), 14 Tapan Dhar (Bangladesh), Barbara Evans (United Kingdom), Sudharto P. Hadi (Indonesia), Wiwandari 15 Handayani (Indonesia), David Hondula (USA), Twan van Hooff (The Netherlands), Sirkku Juhola (Finland), 16 Christine Kirchhoff (USA), Sari Kovats (United Kingdom), Hayley Leck (South Africa/United Kingdom), 17 Pablo Méndez Lázaro (Puerto Rico), Tischa Muñoz-Erickson (USA), Vishal Narain (India), Marta Olazabal 18 (Spain), Luis Ortiz (Puerto Rico), Angelica Ospina (Colombia/Canada), Emmanuel Osuteve (Ghana), Chao 19 Ren (China), Rukuh Setiadi (Indonesia), Shalini Sharma (India), Wan-Yu Shih (Taiwan, Province of China), 20 Gilbert Siame (Zambia), Faith Taylor (United Kingdom), Jennifer Vanos (Canada), J. Jason West (USA), 21 Linda Westman (Sweden) 22 23 Review Editors: Gian-Carlo Delgado-Ramos (Mexico); Patricia Romero-Lankao (USA/Mexico) 24 25 Chapter Scientist: Linda Westman (Sweden) 26 27 Date of Draft: 1 October 2021 28 29 **Notes:** TSU Compiled Version 30 31 32 **Table of Contents** 33 34 **SM6.1** 35 **SM6.2** Methods......2 36 SM6.2.1 37 SM6.2.2 38 **SM6.3** Supporting Statements ______4 39

42 43 44

45

40

41

SM6.3.1

SM6.3.2

SM6.3.3

References

Social Infrastructure 4

Grey/Physical Infrastructure38

......50

SM6.1 Introduction

This Chapter 6 Supplementary Material overviews the methodology and documents the assessment of the literature underlying Table 6.6: Urban climate resilient development, in Chapter 6: Cities, settlements and key infrastructure. This is a first global assessment of the observed contribution to elements of climate resilient development from adaptation measured routinely deployed in urban contexts. The assessment is on observed consequences, not theoretical or anticipated. This constrains the literature and some entries have no sources making an assessment impossible at this time.

SM6.2 Methods

From Chapter 17 climate resilient development is that which deliberately adopts mitigation and adaptation measures to secure a safe climate, meet basic needs, eliminate poverty and enable equitable, just and sustainable development. It halts practices causing dangerous levels of global warming and may involve deep societal transformation to ensure well-being for all.

Climate resilient development components used here build on the framing provided by Chapter 17 that identifies: benefits to humans, benefits to ecosystems, potential effectiveness, contributions to Greenhouse Gas emissions reduction, equity benefits and transformations towards sustainable development. To these we add 'risk coverage', this allows a consideration of the consequences of specific urban adaptation measures on the generation/reduction of vulnerability and hazard exposure. We further differentiate based on the literature included in Chapter 17 and Chapter 6 to identify 17 components of climate resilient development. These components are high-level and could be further sub-divided. The final choice of these components reflects the state of the literature and the desire to provide an analysis that is meaningful to policy actors. The components identified and definitions are presented below:

SM6.2.1 Component Terms

SM6.2.1.1 Risk Coverage

Addresses multiple hazards: Influences risk (exposure, vulnerability and capacity) to more than one climate associated hazard (e.g. flood, heat/cold, storm, fire, sea level rise, wind, food and water security)

Reduces systemic vulnerability: Reduces physical or social vulnerability with relevance to climate related hazards and at least one more risk type (e.g. pandemic, economic shock, industrial pollution, political instability)

Constrains knock-on hazard generation: changes behaviour, livelihood or population location with implications for hazard exposure (e.g. new sea-walls might encourage an exaggerated sense of security attracting new formal or informal housing and so inadvertently generate new hazard exposure)

Constrains transfer of risk to other people and places: Can shift hazard, exposure, vulnerability or capacity to other places/people (e.g. insurance can lead to a sharing of risk that reduces extremes of vulnerability; seawalls can disrupt sediment transport producing erosion and flood risk in neighbouring locations)

SM6.2.1.2 Benefits to Humans

Enhances social capital: Builds trust, organizational capacity and communication (e.g. community based actions can strengthen local social ties of familiarity and trust).

Enhances livelihoods: Enhances livelihood opportunities including in the construction and maintenance of an intervention and as an outcome of the intervention (e.g. nature based solutions such as mangroves can enable non-traditional forestry products).

Enhances health: Enhances health and wellbeing beyond direct benefits of the intervention (e.g. improved sanitation enhances health to many communicable diseases in addition to preventing unsanitary flood events).

SM6.2.1.3 Benefits to Ecosystems Services

Ecological benefit: Enhances environmental protection, restoration or expands green/blue space (e.g. mangrove stands deployed to reduce flood risk also expand mangrove ecosystem).

SM6.2.1.4 Potential Effectiveness

Flexibility post deployment: Intervention can be adapted to respond to new risk or development conditions (e.g. social safety net payments can be adjusted in line with variation in the cost of living).

Deployable at scale: The impact of single or programmed interventions is observed to reduce risk at the city scale (e.g. comprehensive adaptation of health systems to maintain full provision during disaster events).

Benefits adaptation in other infrastructure systems: Enhances the resilience of connected, downstream infrastructure (e.g. energy generation infrastructure, when adapted well enhances the resilience of information technology systems).

Economic cost: Capacity of medium income city (i.e. a millionaire city in middle income country) compared with the economic costs for comprehensive deployment (e.g. comprehensive redesign of urban road infrastructure to cope with temperature and flood risks may exceed municipal budgets).

SM6.2.1.5 Contributions to Greenhouse Gas emissions reduction

Climate mitigation cobenefit: Contributes to reduced Greenhouse Gass emissions or reduces embedded carbon (e.g. Tree planting to reduce flood risk or ameliorate temperature extremes can also absorb carbon).

SM6.2.1.6 Equity benefits

Reduces poverty and marginality: Explicitly designed to reduce economic poverty and social marginalisation.

Inclusive and locally accountable: The adaptation technology has qualities that enable public transparency, local accountability and stakeholder inclusive design, implementation and monitoring (e.g. community based resilience including neighbourhood drain cleaning is strongly inclusive, transparent and accountable).

SM6.2.1.7 Transformations towards sustainable development

Enables social transformation: Observed fundamental, progressive change in the distribution of ownership and wealth and in power relations within legal/political and social/cultural systems (e.g. diversifying urban livelihoods brings new spending power to women enhancing public status and voice).

Enables ecological transformation: Observed fundamental change in socio-ecological relationships and approaches to nature enhancing the viability of ecosystems and their long-term sustainability (e.g. large scale investment in reforestation of urban watersheds to reduce flash flooding turns waste land into forest).

SM6.2.2 Assessment methodology

The choice of adaptation measures used in the analysis was driven by the major headings in Chapter 6, section 3. Each measure represents a diverse multiplicity of local applications. As with climate resilient development pathway (CRDP) components the list of adaptation measures to be reviewed could have been very much longer, we made a final selection based on the balance of available literature and policy actor relevance. Inevitably though this brings a compromise and there will be deviation in results between individual deployment of a specific measure and the aggregated results presented here.

Assessment of the literature was by Chapter Six Lead Authors and Contributing Authors. The assessment of nature based solutions deployed technical support from a research team coordinated by a Chapter Six Lead

- Author. Before making assessments of the literature each climate resilient development component, score 1 and confidence scales were explained and discussed. Experts were asked to make a judgement on the 2 preponderance of contemporary empirical evidence; to consider literature reviewed in AR6 and extend this 3
- where necessary. The accompanying statements are fully referenced. Judgements were based on the best 4
- observed deployment of solutions. This introduces a positive bias to the analysis. Theoretical or planned 5 actions were not included, often, especially for social policy interventions, accounts of impact were 6
- generalised or based on theoretical assumption more than empirical observation, these accounts were not 7
- included in the analysis. Thus, for some social policy adaptations while there is strong general support for 8
- positive consequences, the empirical evidence is slim or focused on critique leading to lower score than
- 9 might be expected. Final scores were the decision of the expert reviewers. 10

The score ranges were: positive high; positive moderate positive small; positive negligible; nil; negative negligible; negative small; negative moderate, negative high; no data.

13 14 15

16

17

18

For each entry experts also noted the extent and degree of agreement in the literature: high agreement – limited evidence (HA-LE); high agreement - medium evidence (HA-ME); high agreement - robust evidence (HA-RE); medium agreement – limited evidence (MA-LE); medium agreement -medium evidence (MA-ME); medium agreement – robust evidence (MA-RE); low agreement – limited evidence (LA-LE); low agreement – medium evidence (LA-ME); low agreement – robust evidence (LA-RE).

19 20 21

Assessments were submitted by authors and then collated and checked centrally, small adjustments to scores, confidence and underlying text were made in consultation with authors. Moderation meetings were held between section teams and the assessment Lead Author.

23 24 25

22

SM6.3 Supporting Statements

26 27

SM6.3.1 Social Infrastructure

28 29

SM6.3.1.1 Land-Use Planning (see Section 6.3.2.1)

30 31

- SM6.3.1.1.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Climate hazards such as extreme heat and humidity, extreme precipitation, coastal flooding, and drought 32
- vulnerability may all be impacted by the imposition of land-use planning tools (Güneralp et al, 2015). 33
- Additional hazards include sea level rise and the urban heat island effect (Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015; 34
- Anguelovski et al., 2016; Nolon, 2016; Nalau and Becken, 2018; Perera and Emmanuel, 2018). Land use 35 36
 - planning has the potential to benefit these environmental concerns; however, disaster risk reduction has been seldom acknowledged within national planning programs (Jabareen, 2015).

37 38 39

40

41

42

SM6.3.1.1.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive small (high agreement, robust evidence) Climate related risks frequently threaten disadvantaged and vulnerable populations and can force environmental migration (Heslin et al., 2019; Luetz and Merson, 2019; Plänitz, 2019). Both slow and rapid onset events pose a risk to vulnerable populations (Silja, 2017; Heslin et al., 2019); however, land-use planning and zoning measures may mitigate these events and act in opposition of climate gentrification

(Anguelovski et al., 2016; Butler et al., 2016; Keenan et al., 2018; Lyles et al., 2018; Marks, 2015).

43 44 45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

SM6.3.1.1.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) In conjunction with ecosystem-based adaptations (e.g., for flood management and curbing the urban heat island effect) (Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015; Anguelovski et al., 2016; Nolon, 2016; Nalau and Becken, 2018; Perera and Emmanuel, 2018); community-based adaptations (trade-offs and valuations, i.e., which land uses are valued more) (Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015; Anguelovski et al., 2016; Nolon, 2016; McPhearson et al., 2018; Nalau and Becken, 2018; Perera and Emmanuel, 2018); and built form regulations and codes (Larsen, 2015; León and March, 2016; Nolon, 2016; Yiannakou and Salata, 2017; Perera and Emmanuel, 2018; Straka and Sodoudi, 2019), the implementation of land use planning tools can be directly guided towards reducing hazard exposure.

SM6.3.1.1.4 Transfer of risk/impacts to other areas/people: negative negligible (high agreement, robust evidence)

Conventional zoning regulations and land-use planning, whether at the regional or the local scales, deploy protection, accommodation, or retreat methods to minimize, or altogether eliminate, slow and/or rapid onset risks. The evidence indicates that risk-eliminating retreat measures are less widely adopted (Anguelovski et al., 2016; Butler et al., 2016; Lyles et al., 2018) due to the controversies of relocation and to the complexities of buyouts (Butler et al., 2016; King et al., 2016).

7 8 9

10

13

15

3

4

5

6

SM6.3.1.1.5 Social Capital: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Land-use planning guides the administration of space and can adapt to better suit a region's population.

Fundamentally, Euclidean zoning has the potential to protect, accommodate, and remove people from certain

scenarios which helps to encourage a safe and continuous inhabitation (Butler et al., 2016; León and March,

2016; Lyles et al., 2018). Further planning tools are also contributory to the strengthening of social capital,

namely ecosystem-based adaptations (Anguelovski et al., 2016; Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015; Nalau and

Becken, 2018; Nolon, 2016; Perera and Emmanuel, 2018); community-based adaptations (Anguelovski et

al., 2016; Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015; McPhearson et al., 2018; Nalau and Becken, 2018; Nolon, 2016;

Perera and Emmanuel, 2018); and built form regulations (Larsen, 2015; León and March, 2016; Nolon,

2016; Perera and Emmanuel, 2018; Straka and Sodoudi, 2019; Yiannakou and Salata, 2017). Moreover,

planning tools such as scenario planning, flexible zoning, and development incentivisation (United States

Environmental Protection Agency, 2017) can bolster and refine the means and modes of urban development,

and the subsequent continuity of social capital.

2223

20

SM6.3.1.1.6 Livelihoods: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Euclidean zoning regulations and land use planning are instrumental in risk minimization. Protection,

accommodation, and retreat are all modes of urban control that are (most commonly) imposed to improve the

livelihoods of citizens. This may include the development of protective infrastructure, the modification of the

land to better accommodate and react to change, or the relocation of people (Butler et al., 2016; León and

March, 2016; Lyles et al., 2018).

28 29 30

31

33

26

27

SM6.3.1.1.7 Health: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Cascading benefits of zoning and land use planning for climate adaptation are associated with the use of soft

land cover, green infrastructure and improvement of liveability through better conditions for walkability and

cycling (Smith et al, 2017). This decreases auto-dependency and contributes to a population's overall health

(Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015).

343536

38

39

SM6.3.1.1.8 Ecological: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Planning tools can be established to ensure the continuity of ecosystem services. For instance, landscape

protection (one of three major portions of the Euclidean zoning system) can prohibit urban development,

which has the potential to reduce the loss of ecosystem services (Butler et al., 2016; León and March, 2016;

Lyles et al., 2018). Moreover, the increase in soft land cover and green infrastructure also contributes to

41 mitigation through air quality enhancement, energy conservation, and carbon sequestration while its

ecological benefits include the preservation and expansion of habitats (Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015).

43 44

SM6.3.1,1.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Land-use planning and zoning may be bolstered by additional, more concisely derived planning media such

as ecosystem-based adaptation (Anguelovski et al., 2016; Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015; Nalau and

Becken, 2018; Nolon, 2016; Perera and Emmanuel, 2018); community-based adaptations (Anguelovski et

al., 2016; Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015; McPhearson et al., 2018; Nalau and Becken, 2018; Nolon, 2016;

49 Perera and Emmanuel, 2018); and built form regulations (Larsen, 2015; León and March, 2016; Nolon,

50 2016; Perera and Emmanuel, 2018; Straka and Sodoudi, 2019; Yiannakou and Salata, 2017). Although not

necessarily vectors of flexibility, they offer a more holistically focused sytem with widespread intentions and

delegations. In essence, therefore, a robust land-use program can be equipped to manage a myriad of

possible issues and concerns, and effectively adapt to meet the needs of a location and its population.

535455

51

SM6.3.1.1.10 Deployable at scale: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

Land-use planning initiatives are deployable at a large scale; however, effectiveness may vary between

57 jurisdictions. One or a combination of: lack clarity of implementation strategies for climate adaptation, lack

of funding, competing priorities (especially, among professional planners and politicians), and institutional challenges face mainstreaming adaptation through land use planning whether through municipal or regional plans. This evidence spans cities in the Global South equally as in richer countries (see Jabareen's (2015) study of 20 cities globally). These factors impact jurisdictions differently and are a source of discrepancy when it comes to global scalability.

5 6 7

8

9

1

2

3

4

SM6.3.1.1.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive moderate (high agreement,

One of the primary roles of land-use planning is to guide the development of the urban form. As such, it underpins and establishes the basis for other infrastructure systems such as physical infrastructure and nature-based solutions (Morrissey et al., 2017).

SM6.3.1.1.12 Economic feasibility: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Land use planning is a commonly applied practice globally and is frequently ratified at a provincial and/or 14 federal level. Funding is a major impediment to effective land use planning, however (Jabareen, 2015). 15

However, improving liveability has been proven to boost economic development and property value (Carter 16 et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015). 17

18 19

20

21

Mitigation co-benefit: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) SM6.3.1.1.13

The increase in soft land cover and green infrastructure also contributes to mitigation through air quality enhancement, energy conservation, and carbon sequestration while its ecological benefits include the preservation and expansion of habitats (Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015).

22 23 24

25

26

SM6.3.1.1.14 Targets poverty and marginality: negative small (medium agreement, low evidence) Land use planning must be approached cautiously as it may contribute to marginalisation, especially by

means of climate gentrification (Keenan et al., 2018; Marks, 2015) and population relocation which may

prevail in certain Euclidean retreat-based approaches (Butler et al., 2016; King et al., 2016). When 27 approached and imposed carefully however, land use planning can be an effective tool in mitigating urban 28

marginalization.

33

34

35

SM6.3.1.1.15 *Inclusive & locally accountable: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)* Contemporary planning paradigms, such as participatory planning, are targeted towards enhancing the voice of the greater population through decision making processes (Hardoy et al., 2019). In conventional land use and zoning practices, regulations are frequently applied at the local or regional level which directly forges a

degree of accountability for decision makers; the public and those who make decisions that impact them are

inseparable (Butler et al., 2016; León and March, 2016; Lyles et al., 2018).

36 37 38

39

40

Social transformation: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

The spill-over benefits produced by land-use planning and zoning include an increase in green and blue land covering, aesthetic improvements made to urban areas, and liveability improvements such as the

enhancement of active transportation networks (Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015). In addition, planning 41

regulations can proactively adapt to and accommodate changing environmental conditions, enabling socially 42 43

beneficial, sustainable development (Butler et al., 2016; León and March, 2016; Lyles et al., 2018).

44 45

Ecological transformation: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

Ecologically, the spill-over benefits of deploying zoning and land use planning for climate adaptation.

46 Mostly, the increase in soft land cover and green infrastructure also contributes to mitigation through air 47

quality enhancement, energy conservation, and carbon sequestration while its ecological benefits include the 48 49 preservation and expansion of habitat (Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015).

50

SM6.3.1.2 Livelihoods & social protection (see Section 6.3.2.2)

51 52 53

54

57

SM6.3.1.2.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

Safety nets protect vulnerable households from impacts of economic shocks, natural disasters, and other

crises. Adaptive Social Protection has been an emerging strategic tool to integrate poverty reduction, disaster 55

risk reduction and humanitarian-development into adaptation to climate change (Watson et al., 2016; Béné et 56

al., 2018a; Aleksandrova, 2019) and thus influences risk to multiple climate hazards. Adaptive Social

Protection has been justified as an effective instrument to build resilience to climate extremes and slow-onset climate events like sea level rise and environmental degradation (Schwan and Yu, 2018; Aleksandrova,

3 2019).

4 5

SM6.3.1.2.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

6 Adaptive Social Protection has been justified as an effective instrument to build resilience to climate

7 extremes and slow-onset climate events like sea level rise and environmental degradation (Schwan and Yu,

8 2018; Aleksandrova, 2019). Adaptive Social Protection can also facilitate long-term change and adaptation

by improving education and health levels, as well as providing a proactive approach to managing climate-

induced migration in both rural and urban areas (Adger et al., 2014; Schwan and Yu, 2018). Some examples

from China show social protection can improve adaptive capacity of urban communities with social medical insurance, damaged-risky housing subsidies, weather-index insurance, post disaster construction, relocation

insurance, damaged-risky housing subsidies, weather-index insurance, post disaster construction, relocation planning, livelihood shift strategies, and so on (Pan et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2018b; Rao and Li, 2019).

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

10

SM6.3.1.2.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Adaptive Social Protection can act as a crucial complement to risk management tools provided by communities and markets, tools which tend to be insufficient in the face of large or systemic shocks, by providing predictable transfers, developing human capital and diversifying livelihoods (Hallegatte et al., 2016)

202122

23

24

SM6.3.1.2.4 Transfer of risk/impacts to other areas/people: negative-negligible (high agreement, limited evidence)

Social protection may lead to maladaptation when the long-term impacts of climate change are not mainstreamed into urban risk planning. This could lead to risk transfer downstream (Hallegatte et al., 2016).

252627

28

29

SM6.3.1.2.5 Social Capital: positive small (high agreement, limited evidence)

To deal with short-term vulnerability to climate shocks, Adaptive Social Protection can act as a crucial

complement to risk management tools provided by communities and markets, tools which tend to be

insufficient in the face of large or systemic shocks, by providing predictable transfers, developing human

capital and diversifying livelihoods (Hallegatte et al., 2016). Adaptive Social Protection can also facilitate

long-term change and adaptation by improving education and health levels, as well as providing a proactive

approach to managing climate-induced migration in both rural and urban areas (Adger et al., 2014; Schwan

and Yu, 2018).

343536

38

33

SM6.3.1.2.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

To deal with short-term vulnerability to climate shocks, Adaptive Social Protection can act as a crucial

complement to risk management tools provided by communities and markets, tools which tend to be

insufficient in the face of large or systemic shocks, by providing predictable transfers, developing human

capital and diversifying livelihoods (Hallegatte et al., 2016). Adaptive Social Protection can also facilitate

long-term change and adaptation by improving education and health levels, as well as providing a proactive

approach to managing climate-induced migration in both rural and urban areas (Adger et al., 2014; Schwan

and Yu, 2018). Some examples from China show social protection can improve adaptive capacity of urban

communities with social medical insurance, damaged-risky housing subsidies, weather-index insurance, post

disaster construction, relocation planning, livelihood shift strategies, and so on (Pan et al., 2015; Zheng et al.,

2018b; Rao and Li, 2019).

46 47 48

44

SM6.3.1.2.7 Health: positive moderate (high agreement, limited evidence)

Adaptive Social Protection can also facilitate long-term change adaptation by improving education and health levels (Adger et al., 2014; Schwan and Yu, 2018).

50 51

52

SM6.3.1.2.8 Ecological: positive negligible (low agreement, limited evidence)

May be evidence of local food production/organic food as part of safety net with reduced embedded carbon

(Smith et al, 2019, Stein and Santini, 2021). However, local food production not simply equated with

sustainability and does not necessarily result in lower carbon footprint (Stein and Santini, 2021).

- 1 SM6.3.1.2.9 Flexibility post-deployment: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- 2 Countries at all income levels can set up ASP systems that increase resilience to natural hazards, but the
- 3 systems need to identify cost-benefits, be scalable and flexible to adjust to future, increasing climate risk
- 4 (Agrawal et al, 2019; Hallegate et al, 2016). Social protection and social safety nets such as food stamps and
 - housing subsidies can be adapted.

8

9

- SM6.3.1.2.10 Deployable at scale: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
 Adaptive Social Protection can contribute to both incremental and transformative interventions both at the system level (short-term and long-term coping strategies from communities) and at the beneficiaries' level
- (vulnerable populations) (World Bank, 2015; Béné et al., 2018a; Aleksandrova, 2019).

11 12

13

14

- SM6.3.1.2.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive small (high agreement, limited evidence)
- Livelihood support can be a component of supporting local economies during crisis and post-disaster (Daly et al, 2020)

15 16 17

- SM6.3.1.2.12 Economic feasibility: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- 18 Countries at all income levels can set up ASP systems that increase resilience to natural hazards, but the
- systems need to identify cost-benefits, be scalable and flexible to adjust to future, increasing climate risk.
- 20 ASP systems can be cost-effective and equitable when targeting accuracy, timely risk sharing (disaster
- assistance) and improved policy coherence. Traditional disaster assistance is not as timely and cost-effective,
- especially for providing response to slow-onset disasters or low-probability, high-impact extreme events.
- Index-based risk sharing (i.e., weather insurance) is emerging to meet the gap and pre-finance expected
- disasters. For example, introducing Public-Private Insurance Mechanism in Austria has had a noticeable
 - impact on the total monetary burden, causing it to fall by ~50% for regional governments with disaster risk
- reduction incentives (Unterberger et al., 2019).

27 28

25

- SM6.3.1.2.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive negligible (low agreement, limited evidence)
- Where local food systems or organic consumption are promoted this can have an impact on embedded carbon (Smith et al, 2019, Stein and Santini, 2021), but this is rarely intentional within ASP programme
- 31 aims.

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- SM6.3.1.2.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Social protection, or social security, is defined as the set of policies and programmes designed to reduce and prevent poverty and vulnerability throughout the life cycle (ILO, 2017). It is estimated that 36 percent of the very poor escaped extreme poverty because of social safety nets (Ivaschenko et al., 2018). Adaptive Social Protection (ASP) may be very good at reducing extreme poverty by helping to meet individual or household needs. Carter and Janzen (2018) find that the long-term level and depth of poverty can be improved by
- incorporating vulnerability-targeted social protection into a conventional social protection system.

40 41 42

SM6.3.1.2.15 Inclusive and locally accountable: positive moderate (medium agreement, robust

43 44

ASP systems can be cost-effective and equitable when targeting accuracy, timely risk sharing (disaster assistance) and improved policy coherence. Inclusive, targeted, responsive and equitable social protection can support long-term transformations toward more sustainable, adaptive and resilient societies (Adger et al., 2014; Hallegatte et al., 2016; Béné et al., 2018a; Carter and Janzen, 2018; Shi et al., 2018).

47 48

45

46

- 49 SM6.3.1.2.16 Social transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- The spill-over benefits produced by land-use planning and zoning include an increase in green and blue land
- covering, aesthetic improvements made to urban areas, and liveability improvements such as the
- enhancement of active transportation networks (Carter et al., 2015; Larsen, 2015). In addition, planning
- regulations can proactively adapt to and accommodate changing environmental conditions, enabling socially
- beneficial, sustainable development (Butler et al., 2016; León and March, 2016; Lyles et al., 2018).

- SM6.3.1.2.17 Ecological transformation: positive negligible (low agreement, limited evidence) 1
- Where local food systems or organic consumption are promoted this can have an impact on embedded 2
- carbon, but this is rarely intentional within ASP programme aims. 3

SM6.3.1.3 Emergency management & security (see Section 6.3.2.3)

6 7

8

- SM6.3.1.3.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Much of the organisational structures of emergency management are designed to be applied to a range of
- events, including public awareness, emergency planning and business continuity planning (Twigg, 2013; 9
 - Lumbroso et al, 2016; Tyler and Sadiq, 2019).

10 11

- SM6.3.1.3.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) 12
- Climate risks pose livelihood threats for vulnerable and marginal populations. Systemic vulnerability 13
- reduction to such risks can be limited by certain emergency management measures, such as early warning 14
- systems, which remain widely insufficient and the complexity of urban landforms makes accurate and 15
- detailed early warning difficult (Jones et al., 2015). However, effective measures such as installation of 16
- drinking water and food storage facilities in cyclone or flood prone areas have the direct benefit of reducing 17
- physical and/or social vulnerabilities to climate related risks and can have co-benefits for reducing or 18
- mitigating other risk types such as economic shock and health impacts (Lumbroso et al, 2016; Magee et al, 19
- 2016; Marchezini et al, 2017). 20

21 22

- SM6.3.1.3.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive high (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Emergency planning and disaster risk management measures implemented to reduce social and physical 23
- vulnerability to a specific risk such as flooding or fire, often have co-benefits for reducing exposure to other 24
- hazards (Thomas et al, 2019). Public engagement and ICTs for emergency management, building codes, 25
- education and communication and other risk management measures can be targeted to account for reducing 26 27
 - exposure to multiple hazards (Muttarak and Lutz, 2014; Toya and Skidmore, 2015).

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

SM6.3.1.3.4 Transfer of risk/impacts to other areas/people: negative moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Disaster impact and recovery time are strongly influenced by the behaviour and actions of individuals, communities, businesses, and government organizations (Aerts, 2018). Emergency planning and disaster management interventions for both slow onset and sudden disasters may inadvertently shift hazard, exposure and vulnerability to other places and people, particularly if social and physical interconnectivities are not adequately accounted for; a particular concern in dense informal settlements where infrastructure is lacking, interconnectivities highly complex and where communities often excluded from early warning and evacuation systems (Thomas et al, 2019; Williams et al, 2019; Ziervogel et al, 2016b).

37 38 39

- SM6.3.1.3.5 Social capital: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Emergency risk management structures and disaster reduction interventions can strengthen social capital 40
- directly and/or indirectly. For example, enhancing organizational capacities and social learning, 41
- strengthening communication and trust between actors across multiple scales through civic engagement for 42
- risk interventions and enabling access to risk related information through ICTs (Eakin et al, 2015; Magee et 43
- al, 2016; Marchezini et al, 2017; Narain et al, 2017; Haworth et al, 2018). Recent evidence also confirms the 44
- role of indigenous knowledge and local knowledge in management practices to reduce climate risks through 45
- early warning preparedness and response (see also section 6.3.2.3). These practices are particularly important 46
- where alternative early warning methods are absent. For instance, Abudu Kasei, Joshua and Benefor (2019) 47
- show that indigenous knowledge gathered through observations on changes in natural indicators (such as 48
- links between rainfall patterns, certain flora and fauna, and temperature changes) could be applied to develop 49
- early warning of climate hazards (floods and droughts) in informal urban settlements in African countries 50
- like Ghana. 51

- SM6.3.1.3.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Livelihood opportunities can be enhanced through emergency management and security interventions 54
- 55 (particularly when participatory or community led) such as through increased public awareness and
- emergency preparedness, capacity building through participatory early warning systems or where relocation 56

from hazard prone areas such as flood plains improves access to employment and other opportunities near urban centres (Lumbroso et al, 2016; Magee et al, 2016; Marchezini et al, 2017; Sarzynski, 2015).

3

- SM6.3.1.3.7 Health: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- 5 Emergency management planning and risk interventions, such as flood prevention measures often have co-
- 6 benefits for enhancing health and wellbeing through reducing exposure to communicable diseases associated
- with post-flood conditions (Matsuyama et al, 2020; Satterthwaite et al, 2019; Scovronick et al, 2015; Zerbo
- et al, 2020). Similarly, interventions to address disaster risk associated with drought such as increased access
- to piped water have important co-benefits for reducing water-borne and vector borne diseases (Sena et al,
- 10 2017).

11 12

- SM6.3.1.3.8 Ecological: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Reducing disaster risk through nature-based solutions using green and blue infrastructure can have
- considerable co-benefits for strengthening ecosystem services such as flood protection via mangrove stands
- 15 (McPhearson et al., 2018; Andersson et al., 2019; Frantzeskaki et al., 2019).

16 17

- SM6.3.1.3.9 Flexibility post-deployment: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Disaster risk management systems face increasing challenges in adapting to evolving risk profiles, shaped by
- expanding urban areas and changing environmental conditions associated with climate change (Fraser et al,
- 20 2017). However, organisational structures of emergency management and interventions to support disaster
- 21 risk reduction such as climate forecasting and early warning systems are adaptable to new risks and evolving
- developmental conditions (Lumbroso et al, 2016; Marchezini et al, 2017). For example, insurance can be
- 23 adjusted for new risks and evolving development contexts (Surminski and Thieken, 2017; Hanger et al,
- 24 2018)

25

- 26 SM6.3.1.3.10 Deployable at scale: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Emergency management initiatives such as integrated city services, early warning systems and climate
- forecasting are often deployable at city scales and more widely (Zia and Wagner, 2015; Baklanov et al,
- 29 2018). However, often those that are most vulnerable and marginalised living in informal settlements do not
- 30 benefit from integrated health, flood and other services or receive warnings regarding hazardous events
- 31 (Nissan et al., 2019).

32 33

34

35

36

37

- SM6.3.1.3.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Emergency management and disaster risk interventions such as flood barriers, fire protection and landslide prevention measures can help protect and enhance the resilience of diverse infrastructures, including green and blue infrastructure downstream (Matthews et al, 2015; Matos Silva and Costa 2016; Nolon, 2016; Mateos et al, 2020).

38 39 40

41

- SM6.3.1.3.12 Economic feasibility: positive small (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Climate change and disaster risk exacerbate existing problems of economic development, yet macro-
- economic planning seldom incorporates adaptation. When urban economic crises overlap with increased
- climate pressure and disaster risks, the impacts are likely experienced in the city region and beyond (Pelling
- et al., 2018). The link between urban DRR, adaptation to climate change and macro-level trends of economic
- development requires further research and improved modes of communication to reach diverse city actors
- (Fankhauser and McDermott, 2016; World Bank, 2019b). Disaster and emergency management funding is
- often lacking across government levels, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (Adelekan et al,
- 2015), thereby impeding comprehensive deployment of city-wide interventions such as built large scale
- 49 infrastructure flood control infrastructure.

- 51 SM6.3.1.3.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Reducing disaster risk using measures that protect and enhance green infrastructure such as mangrove
- swamps for flood regulation can have considerable mitigation co-benefits through enhancing air quality,
- carbon sequestration and support air temperature regulation (Carter et al, 2015; McPhearson et al., 2018;
- Andersson et al., 2019; Frantzeskaki et al., 2019). At the same time, there are concerns about the unintended
- consequences of investing in green infrastructure for nature-based solutions such as how it may contribute to
- 57 gentrification (Haase et al., 2017; Anguelovski et al., 2018; Turkelboom et al., 2018).

SM6.3.1.3.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: positive small (high agreement, medium evidence)

Exposure to health, flooding and drought risks of people living in slums is a growing concern, as is disaster preparedness and the ability to support the needs of vulnerable groups such as the elderly, children and disabled, where data is often lacking (Lilford et al., 2016; Castro et al., 2017). However, there are notable examples of low-income communities setting up their own disaster reduction interventions that can reduce marginalisation and poverty such as community disaster insurance mechanisms (Archer, 2012). While community-led resilience agendas may tackle poverty-related issues, they may struggle to tackle city-wide structural forms of inequality (Chu, 2018b).

SM6.3.1.3.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence) Disaster survivors and growing urban refugee populations are often displaced across the city thereby complicating efforts to track and provide support (Maynard et al., 2017). Access to information is critical for adapting to climate risk and reducing vulnerability to hazards, yet access to this information is often not equally available (Ma et al., 2014b). Community generated, assessed and led data gathering and interventions for risk reduction, particularly in informal settlements, helps develop deliberation spaces, communal solidarity and cohesion, and shared adaptation strategies, leading to increased agency and accountability (Sakijege et al., 2014; Allen et al, 2020b; Visman et al, 2020).

SM6.3.1.3.16 Social transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)

There are emerging examples of emergency management and disaster reduction interventions such as for hurricanes in Puerto Rico where the civic sector and community-based organizations and local residents are becoming active in disaster recovery and are now catalysing actions to advance social transformation and sustainable development (see Case Study 6.4). However, many political, governance, economic and other barriers remain. Through partnerships with NGOs and research institutions, informal settlement residents are increasingly leading mobilisation efforts to map community risks and develop community led early warning systems and emergency management interventions for flooding, disease outbreaks, fires and other risks (Sakijege et al., 2014; Allen et al, 2020b; Osuteye et al., 2020; Visman et al, 2020). These initiatives have considerable social transformation potential but remain constrained by structural forms of power imbalances, inequality and governance challenges (Chu, 2018b).

SM6.3.1.3.17 Ecological transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) Limited evidence from cities around the world such as: the urban Regions of Stuttgart and Berlin in Germany (Larsen, 2015), Greater Manchester in the UK (Carter et al., 2015), and Colombo in Sri Lanka (Perera and Emmanuel, 2018) reveals that risk reduction through zoning and land use can effectively protect and expand green infrastructure and soft land cover to alleviate pluvial flooding and decrease the UHI effect. However, such approaches are increasingly being criticized for their impacts on disadvantaged groups, and green infrastructure programs are increasingly linked to gentrification impacts (Anguelovski et al., 2019). Furthermore, there is an action gap, as green infrastructure plans often fail to deliver in practice (Zölch et al., 2018). Community led interventions and incorporating indigenous knowledge and traditions of nature management provide entry points for the sustainable management of resources, such as seed banks, urban agriculture, and the local management of watersheds and floods (Chandra and Gaganis, 2016; Cid-Aguayo, 2016). Better policy support and mainstreaming of ecosystem-based adaptation will improve sustainable urban development.

SM6.3.1.4 Health Services (see Section 6.3.2.4)

SM6.3.1.4.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)
Health services include primary, secondary and tertiary care, public health (health protection measures, disease control) which are able to prevent or treat health issues caused by multiple climate hazards (e.g. Jay et al. 2020; Marcos-Marcos et al. 2018). Climate resilient health systems are a vital part of adaptation to protect the most vulnerable from climate change (WHO 2020, Nuzzo et al, 2019). Health services focussed on prevention (health protection and disease control) are most effective in reducing the impacts of climate hazards, but regions lack investment in public health compared to treatment services.

- SM6.3.1.4.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence) 1
- Public health measures improve population health and increase household resilience to shocks. Reduces 2
- social vulnerability with relevance to climate related hazards and at least one more risk type (e.g. pandemic, 3
 - pollutant, migration/displacement).

7

8

9

SM6.3.1.4.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Public health measures are designed to reduce exposures to new and existing hazards. (e.g. public health campaigns, vector control, reducing heat exposures). Behaviour change strategies need to be combined with other measures, such as improved housing design and spatial planning (Rydin et al. 2012).

10 11 12

13

14

SM6.3.1.4.4 Transfer of risk/impacts to other areas/people: negative negligible (high agreement, medium evidence)

Does not shift hazard, exposure, vulnerability or capacity to other places/people. Public good as interventions have wider benefits to population than to the individual (e.g. herd immunity from vaccination).

15 16 17

- SM6.3.1.4.5 Social Capital: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Builds trust and social capacity and communication. Health services are an important determinant of social 18
- capital. Supporting health is an investment in human capital and in economic growth—without good health, 19
- children are unable to go to school and adults are unable to go to work. Community workers can be involved 20
- in delivery of health services. Urban governments have the potential to work with a wide range of 21
- stakeholders to build strong intersectoral collaborations that will improve urban health (Rydin et al. 2012). In 22
- particular, public health partners should work more closely with urban planners. Local government 23
- adaptation planning is facilitated by information on health impacts (Riecken et al. 2015), highlighting the 24
- need for monitoring and surveillance and the need for local evidence-based risk assessments. 25

26

- SM6.3.1.4.6 Livelihoods: positive small (high agreement, medium evidence) 27
- Improved health will increase the capacity for work (formal and informal). A healthy population improves 28
- the economy, as demonstrated by the WHO Commission on Macro-economics and health (WHO 2001). The 29
- health sector is also a major employer and many countries do not have the level of coverage recommended 30
- by WHO. Investment in human resources for health not only strengthens the health system, but also 31
 - generates local employment, and also contributes to economic growth (Karan et al. 2021).

32 33 34

- SM6.3.1.4.7 Health: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Universal Health Coverage (UHC) entails that all individuals in urban communities receive the health 35
- services they need without suffering financial hardship. UHC includes the full spectrum of essential, quality 36
- health services, from health promotion to prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation, across the life course. 37
- Robust evidence exists of the benefits of these services to population health. In most countries, access to 38
- health services is better in urban areas but there are still large urban populations with insufficient coverage of 39
- health services (WHO WB 2015). Such populations are vulnerable to climate and other hazards, and many 40
- families rely on out-of-pocket spending to cover health costs, and risk further poverty. 41

42 43

44

- SM6.3.1.4.8 Ecological: nil (medium agreement, limited evidence)
- Limited potential for ecosystem services within formal health sector. There is potential to incorporate NBS
- within the hospital estate, particularly for cooling buildings and outdoor spaces, and to improve mental 45
- health and well-being (through contact with nature). There is a limited evidence based. 46

47 48

- SM6.3.1.4.9 Flexibility post-deployment: nil (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Some flexibility post-deployment (public health services are very flexible) but buildings (health care 49 50
 - infrastructure) has lock in regarding building design and situation.

- SM6.3.1.4.10 Deployable at scale: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Health service measure are deployed at scale and should be targeted to the needs of the population. The 53
- effectiveness of single or programme-wide interventions has been observed at the city scale in a variety of 54
- contexts and for a range of diseases/hazards. There is also robust evidence of the cost-effectiveness of health 55
- interventions, which are reviewed regularly and systematically, for example for the Disease Control 56
- Priorities Project (DCP3) (Black, 2016). 57

SM6.3.1.4.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: nil (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Health services are not generally connected to downstream infrastructure. However, health services are very reliant on upstream (critical) infrastructure (water, transport, energy and power).

SM6.3.1.4.12 Economic feasibility: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
Universal health coverage is economically feasible but requires investment from national and local government. Countries must increase spending on primary health care by at least 1% of their gross domestic product (GDP) if the world is to meet the health targets agreed under the SDGs [WHO/WB 2019).

SM6.3.1.4.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
Health sector is responsible for significant proportion Health sector is responsible for significant proportion of carbon emissions. Health systems are beginning to address carbon reduction measures, and several hospital and health sector organizations have set emissions reduction targets. Low carbon health care. The carbon footprint of the local or national health systems is determined by models of care and clinical behaviours, as well as by the buildings and technologies used.

SM6.3.1.4.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)

Health policies often designed to reduce poverty and inequality. Universal health coverage has a main objective in reducing poverty and health and social inequalities. Ill health can be a cause of poverty, and poverty will cause ill health.

SM6.3.1.4.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence) High potential for transparency and local engagement in service design. Health services should be designed in collaboration with local partners. Community engagement is increasingly being incorporated into health service delivery, that is, the inclusion of local health system users and community resources in all aspects of design, planning, governance, and delivery of health care services.

SM6.3.1.4.16 Social transformation: positive small (low agreement, limited evidence)
Potential for wider social benefits and reorganisation of public structures. UHC key part of addressing inequalities in urban areas. Public health has the potential to enable collaboration across sectors and facilitate transformational change.

SM6.3.1.4.17 Ecological transformation: nil (low agreement, limited evidence)
Some potential through health education. Training and education for health care professionals is beginning to consider including methods to address climate change and planetary health, as well as to increase awareness (Horton et al. 2014).

SM6.3.1.5 Education & Communication (see Section 6.3.2.5)

SM6.3.1.5.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)
Since AR5 there has been significant growth in research about climate education and activism (O'Brien et al.,

2018; Simpson et al., 2019b; Hayward, 2021). Knowledge systems including formal educational provision (capital assets, syllabus and human capital), informal learning based in social interaction and customary institutions (including through social media) and public communication (news media, government and other information systems including commercial messaging) cover a range of hazards and influences risk and behaviour for more than one climate associated hazard (O'Neill et al, 2020).

SM6.3.1.5.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Given the amount of time that children spend in school settings, adapting educational infrastructure and programs to climate change is highly important. This includes not only making physical structures safe but

also providing students with the knowledge and confidence to support individual and family-based

adaptation (Napawan et al, 2017; Hayward, 2021). Several international non-governmental agencies (e.g.

Plan International) and UN agencies (e.g. UNICEF and UNDRR) have prioritised safer schools and child centered risk management that often focus on schools as places that should be prioritised for retrofitting and

safe construction but also as focal points for knowledge dissemination and community organising where impacts can extend beyond the school to reduce risk amongst students' families (UNICEF, 2019).

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

SM6.3.1.5.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Access to knowledge and educational opportunities, as well as effective communication regarding climate change and related risks are drivers of human behaviour and can help to reduce vulnerabilities to multiple (O'Neill et al, 2020). Adapting educational infrastructure and programs to climate change includes making physical structures safe and also providing students with the knowledge and confidence to support individual and family-based adaptation (Napawan et al, 2017; Cutter-Mackenzie and Rousell, 2019; Hayward, 2021). Recent evidence also confirms the role of indigenous knowledge and local knowledge in management practices to reduce climate risks through early warning preparedness and response (Barau et al, 2015; Abudu Kasei et al, 2019; Hiwaski et al, 2015).

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

SM6.3.1.5.4 Transfer of risk/impacts to other areas/people: positive small (high agreement, medium evidence)

Several international non-governmental agencies (e.g. Plan International) and UN agencies (e.g UNICEF and UNDRR) have prioritised safer schools and child centered risk management that often focus on schools as places that should be prioritised for retrofitting and safe construction but also as focal points for knowledge dissemination and community organising where impacts can extend beyond the school to reduce risk amongst students' families (UNICEF, 2019).

21 22

SM6.3.1.5.5 Social Capital: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

23 Climate change education has increasingly focused in urban settlements on enhancing children and young 24 people's political agency in schools, universities, and in formal and informal media settings (Cutter-25 Mackenzie and Rousell, 2019). Furthermore, incorporating Indigenous Knowledge can identify people-26 oriented and place-specific scenarios leading to developing urban adaptation policies that foster identity, 27 dignity, self-determination, and better collective decision-making/capacity to act (McShane, 2017; Preston, 28 2017). 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

SM6.3.1.5.6 Livelihoods: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

Access to knowledge is an important determinant of wellbeing, inclusivity and livelihood mobility and of driving human behaviour (O'Brien et al., 2018; Simpson et al., 2019b; Hayward, 2021). Individuals acquire knowledge, skills, and competencies through education that can strengthen their adaptive capacity and livelihood opportunities (Muttarak and Lutz, 2014). Adapting educational infrastructure and programs to climate change includes making physical structures safe through retrofitting and safe construction, but also providing students with the knowledge and confidence to support individual and family-based adaptation and child centered risk management (O'Brien et al, 2018; O'Neill et al, 2020).

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

SM6.3.1.5.7 Health: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

Access to knowledge is an important determinant of wellbeing, inclusivity and livelihood mobility and of driving human behaviour (O'Brien et al., 2018; Simpson et al., 2019b; Hayward, 2021), which has direct implications for human health. Increasing educational levels for a population leads to a decrease in vulnerability and improves in human development indicators, including health (O'Neill et al, 2020; Muttarak and Lutz, 2014).

45 46 47

48

49

50

51

SM6.3.1.5.8 Ecological: positive moderate (high agreement, limited evidence)

Climate education helps nurture environmental citizenship and activism (Paraskeva-Hadjichamb, 2020) and provides students with the knowledge and confidence to support individual and family-based adaptation and environmental protection benefits. Recent studies indicate the expanding role and relevance of indigenous knowledge and education for ecological restoration and urban commons management (Nagendra, 2016; Nagendra and Mundoli, 2019).

52 53 54

SM6.3.1.5.9 Flexibility post-deployment: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Education systems and communication strategies can be adapted and updated to include information on new 55 risks and development conditions (Muttarak and Lutz, 2014; O'Neill et al, 2020) 56

outcomes associated with these interventions.

1 SM6.3.1.5.10 Deployable at scale: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence)

While public education programmes, including the use of school curricula and, museums and public media raise awareness and sensitize populations on climate change impacts and the general need for adaptation (UNICEF, 2019; Paraskeva-Hadjichamb, 2020; O'Neill et al, 2020), there are limited examples of specific education and communication programmes designed as part of adaptation policy and little evidence of the

SM6.3.1.5.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: Unknown (low agreement, limited evidence)

SM6.3.1.5.12 Economic feasibility: Unknown (low agreement, limited evidence)

SM6.3.1.5.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (*high agreement, medium evidence*) Climate education and communication can have both adaptation and mitigation benefits (O'Neill et al, 2020; Muttarak and Lutz, 2014). Social movements on climate mitigation, such as the Transition Movement (Feola and Nunes, 2014), and school strikes may serve as an example for mobilizations more specifically about climate adaptation and the way new, networked, grassroots citizen activism and community organizations can encourage urban institutional change (Jordan et al., 2018; Gunningham, 2019; Wahlström et al., 2019)

SM6.3.1.5.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: negative negligible (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Access to education and communication is unequal within and across urban contexts, with poorer and marginalized populations often having limited access due to limited funds and opportunity to attend. There are also considerable gender gaps in school enrolment and literacy rates (Muttarak and Lutz, 2014).

SM6.3.1.5.15 Inclusive and locally accountable: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence) Access to education and communication is unequal with and across urban contexts, with poorer and marginalised populations often having limited access due to limited funds and opportunity to attend. Recent important research (Macintyre et al., 2018) highlights the need for new, innovative and transformative learning approaches to climate education from school age to adult education. Emphasis is on inclusivity in learning and recognising diverse perspectives across multiple levels and settings, from formal and informal education to wider social learning (Macintyre et al., 2018). Indigenous and traditional knowledge is often excluded from formal climate policy and education (Tengö et al., 2014; Hidalgo, 2019).

SM6.3.1.5.16 Social transformation: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
Since AR5 there has been significant growth in climate education, communication and activism, and research (O'Brien et al., 2018; Simpson et al., 2019b; Hayward, 2021). Despite the inequalities across urban contexts, there is potential to catalyse actions for sustainable development - and progress towards both social and ecological transformation, particularly if innovative transformative approaches to climate education and communication continue to be rolled out and scaled up (Macintyre et al., 2018). The potential for building resilience to deliver adaptation- especially transformative adaptation- requires an articulation of collective visions of the future and the imagination of alternative urban futures (Glaas et al., 2018) through design and deliberate engagement with cultural artefacts, technologies, and performances.

SM6.3.1.5.17 Ecological transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, robust evidence) Since AR5 there has been significant growth in climate education, communication and activism, and research (O'Brien et al., 2018; Simpson et al., 2019b; Hayward, 2021). Despite the inequalities across urban contexts, there is potential to catalyse actions for sustainable development - and progress towards both social and ecological transformation, particularly if innovative transformative approaches to climate education and communication continue to be rolled out and scaled up (Macintyre et al., 2018).

SM6.3.1.6 Cultural heritage/institutions (see Section 6.3.3.6)

SM6.3.1.6.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
Tangible and intangible cultural heritage and institutions influence individual and community risk profiles and vulnerability to multiple climate hazards (Fatorić and Seekamp, 2018; Fatorić and Egberts, 2020).

SM6.3.1.6.2 Reduces systemic vulnerability: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Recent evidence highlights the role of intangible cultural heritage regarding indigenous knowledge and local

3 knowledge in management practices to reduce climate risks through early warning preparedness and

response (Barau et al, 2015; Abudu Kasei et al, 2019; Hiwaski et al, 2015), which can support physical and

social vulnerability reduction, with co-benefits for reducing other risk types such as maintaining livelihoods thereby avoiding economic shock.

thereby avoiding economic sho

SM6.3.1.6.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence)

Indigenous or local knowledge is found to shape perceptions about climate change risk, its acceptable limits, causation and preferences for adaptation (see also Pyhälä et al., 2016 for a review; see Jaakkola et al., 2018 for impacts on Indigenous peoples in the EU). Local perceptions about climate change in turn shape adaptation behaviour in settlements and urban communities (Lee et al., 2015; Larcom et al., 2019; Fatorić and Seekamp, 2018; Fatorić and Egberts, 2020). Adapting built cultural heritage to climate change includes making physical structures safer and can reduce vulnerability to multiple risks (Fatorić and Egberts, 2020; Cutter-Mackenzie and Rousell, 2019). Recent evidence also confirms the role of indigenous knowledge and local knowledge in management practices to reduce climate risks through early warning preparedness and response (Barau et al, 2015; Abudu Kasei et al, 2019; Hiwaski et al, 2015).

SM6.3.1.6.4 Transfer of risk: negative moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Human behaviour relating to built cultural heritage and institutions can create unintended risk transfers and reduce adaptive capacities – for example in the emergence of 'last chance tourism' (Lemieux et al., 2018) focused on built cultural heritage at risk from climate change associated events including from decay or even total loss generated by increased flooding and sea-level rise (Camuffo et al., 2017) and water infiltration from post-flood standing water (Camuffo, 2019). Last chance tourism can lead to increased touristic interest over a short time horizon and to precarious economic conditions, which can lead to further accelerated degradation cultural heritage sites already at-risk from climate change.

SM6.3.1.6.5 Social capital: positive high (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Learning about past societal and environment changes through heritage offers opportunity for reflection, transfer of knowledge and skills (Jackson et al, 2018; Fatorić and Egberts, 2020). Incorporating intangible cultural heritage; indigenous knowledge and values into adaptation decision making can identify people-oriented and place-specific scenarios leading to developing urban adaptation policies that foster identity, dignity, self-determination, and better collective decision-making/capacity to act (McShane, 2017; Preston, 2017).

SM6.3.1.6.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (medium agreement, robust evidence)

Indigenous knowledge, skills, and competencies, as well as values can strengthen adaptive capacities and create livelihood opportunities (Jackson et al, 2018; Fatorić and Egberts, 2020). For example, the Kalasha communities residing in the Hindu Kush mountain ranges of Pakistan employ ancestral meteorological and astronomical livelihood and knowledge systems called "Suri Jagek", for predicting weather patterns and planning harvests, which can help support livelihoods and resilience under a changing climate (UNESCO, 2021). Adaptation of built cultural heritage can also provide livelihood opportunities for those whose employment is linked to the heritage site or building, especially if local resources (craftsmanship and materials compatible with the originals) are used (Phillips, 2015).

SM6.3.1.6.7 Health: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Cultural heritage in the form of traditional technological, social, and tangible infrastructural solutions for adaptation and mitigation can improve health and wellbeing in cities, if equity and justice aspects are accounted for (Anguelovski et al. 2016; Shi et al. 2016).

SM6.3.1.6.8 Ecological: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence)

Adaptation of built cultural heritage at risk from climate change can have important co-benefits for surrounding and linked ecosystems in terms of preservation and strengthening resilience through increased

protection measures (UNESCO, 2021). However, there is still limited literature on this.

- SM6.3.1.6.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) 1
- Intangible cultural heritage in the form of indigenous knowledge, traditions and values are constantly 2
- evolving and adapting to new development conditions and risks ((Jackson et al, 2018; Fatorić and Egberts, 3
- 2020). Adaptation of built cultural heritage in the form of historical buildings, for example, has less 4
- flexibility for adapting to new risks, particularly sudden onset (UNESCO, 2021). 5

8

9

SM6.3.1.6.10 Deployable at scale: negative negligible (low agreement, limited evidence) Individual projects that include local knowledge tend not to operate at scale. It would be possible to build programmes at scale (Allessa et al 2015; UNICEF, 2019) but there is limited evidence of this and no formal assessments of impact on CRDPs were found.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

SM6.3.1.6.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence)

Adaptation of built cultural heritage such as historic buildings and sites such as the Cordilleras' Rice Terraces of the Philippines sites at risk from climate change can support resilience for both surrounding/linked green and blue infrastructure and ecosystem services through, for example, improved preservation and protection measures of the site and surrounding areas (UNESCO, 2021). However, there is still limited literature on this.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

SM6.3.1.6.12 Economic feasibility: negative moderate (high agreement, medium evidence) Last chance tourism can lead to increased touristic interest over a short time horizon and to precarious economic conditions, which can lead to further accelerated degradation cultural heritage sites already at-risk from climate change (Lemieux et al., 2018). Financial constraints constitute the primary barrier hindering adaptation solutions leading to no action at all or to merely monitoring and documentation, or to annual maintenance (Fatoric and Seekamp, 2017; Fatorić and Egberts, 2020; Sesana et al., 2019; Xiao et al., 2019).

25 26 27

28

29

30

31

Mitigation co-benefits: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence) SM6.3.1.6.13 Accessing local resources (craftsmanship and materials compatible with the originals) improves built cultural heritage's adaptation capacity and has mitigation co-benefits through reduced carbon footprint (Phillips, 2015). Through inter-generational cumulative experience and oral narratives, locational histories and cultural practices, IK/LK can provide a historical perspective on changes in urban commons such as lakes and trees (Nagendra, 2016) as well as past climatic changes or climate baselines (Ajayi and Mafongoya, 2017).

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: positive moderate (high agreement, medium SM6.3.1.6.14 evidence)

Urban decision-making that includes indigenous and local knowledge has co-benefits for addressing Indigenous dispossession, historical inequities and marginalization of Indigenous values that occurred (see Orlove et al., 2014; Pearce et al., 2015; Maldonado et al., 2016; Carter, 2019; Parsons et al., 2019). Indigenous and local knowledge can help deliver culturally appropriate strategies and local choices for urban risk management through, for example community-based observation networks (Alessa et al 2015).

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

49

Inclusive & locally accountable: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence) Intangible cultural heritage such as indigenous and traditional knowledge has often been excluded from formal climate policy and education (Tengö et al., 2014; Hidalgo, 2019). However, since AR5 there has been increasing recognition of the contribution that understanding traditional coping strategies and indigenous and local knowledge can make in urban adaptation planning and action (Nakashima et al., 2018; Abudu Kasei et al., 2019). Therefore, addressing traditional and local environmental knowledge can inform

47 community-appropriate climate adaptation responses (Fernández-Llamazares et al., 2015). Urban decision-48

making that includes indigenous and local knowledge has co-benefits for addressing Indigenous

- dispossession, historical inequities and marginalization of Indigenous values that occurred (see Orlove et al., 50 2014; Pearce et al., 2015; Maldonado et al., 2016; Carter, 2019; Parsons et al., 2019). However, regarding
- 51 built cultural heritage, there are differences in who benefits from infrastructures, for example, as they are 52
- inherently political and embedded in social contexts, politics and cultural norms (McFarlane and Silver, 53
- 2017), which are not necessarily shared by all and can thus lead to tensions. 54

- SM6.3.1.6.16 Social Transformation: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)
- 2 The potential for building resilience to deliver adaptation- especially transformative adaptation- requires an
- 3 articulation of collective visions of the future and the imagination of alternative urban futures (Glaas et al.,
- 4 2018) through design and deliberate engagement with cultural artefacts, technologies, and performances.
 - Social movements can be powerful sources of such alternative visions of the future.

8

- SM6.3.1.6.17 Ecological Transformation: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)
 - There is considerable potential for cultural heritage to contribute to ecological transformation. For example,
- 9 through inter-generational cumulative experience and oral narratives, locational histories and cultural
- practices, indigenous knowledge can provide a historical perspective on changes in urban commons such as
- lakes and trees (Nagendra, 2016) as well as past climatic changes or climate baselines (Ajayi and
 - Mafongoya, 2017) and thus support ecological transformation when applied to policy and practice.
- 12 13 14

SM6.3.2 Nature Based Solutions

15 16 17

- SM6.3.2.1 Temperature regulation (see Section 6.3.3.1)
- SM6.3.2.1.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Nature-based solutions (NbS) for temperature regulation in the form of urban trees and other green spaces
- 20 can cool surface and near surface temperatures by providing shade and increasing evaporative cooling.
- While the effect size of these interventions vary with local climate, area coverage, and foliage type, evidence
- suggests cooling potential of daytime air temperatures averaging 1.6°C. For surface temperatures, cooling
- effects of NbS tends to be larger, 0.32 to 3.67°C although some studies report surface cooling of > 10°C
- 24 (Knight et al. 2021). NbS for temperature regulation can also provide risk reduction to other hazards
- including reducing concentrations of certain pollutants. For example, ozone generation in the troposphere
- occurs at higher rates at higher temperatures. In addition, trees have been shown to remove ozone from their
- surroundings, leading to lower concentrations under tree canopies, with studies attributing up to 4.9%
- concentration reductions to them (Nowak et al. 2000; Sicard et al. 2018). However, studies also show that
- trees may increase concentrations of gaseous polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons associated with combustion,
- even while reducing concentrations of other pollutants due to tree-induced turbulence(Wang et al. 2018; Yli-
- Pelkonen et al. 2018). While not as effective as grass and other NbS for runoff management, urban trees can
- significantly reduce surface runoff by as much as 62% as demonstrated in Manchester, UK (Armson et al.
- 2013). In addition, tree root systems may better penetrate compact urban soils, increasing water infiltration
- by up to 27 times unplanted compact soils (Bartens et al. 2008). The effectiveness of urban trees at
- improving infiltration may be highly impacted by tree pit design elements like pit elevation, mulching, and
- pit guarding (Elliott et al. 2018). Outdoor green space and parks may also slightly reduce indoor heat hazard,
 - as a modeling study shows in Paris (Viguie et al. 2020).

37 38 39

40

41

- SM6.3.2.1.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive small (low agreement, medium evidence)
- There is evidence supporting both proximity and visitation of green spaces and parks improve mental health and reduce depression (Sturm and Cohen 2014; Min et al. 2017; Wood et al. 2017), providing some systemic
- vulnerability reduction. However, direct positive impacts on other drivers of vulnerability are understudied.
- Although trees may reduce certain pollutants, they may increase others. (Eisenman et al. 2019). Some
- species of trees produce allergens, and effects are exacerbated by air pollutants (Sedghy et al. 2018).
- 45
- 46 SM6.3.2.1.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: negative negligible (low agreement, limited evidence)
- There is limited evidence on the impact that NBS for temperature regulation might have on changing
- behavior or population locations that can lead to increases or decreases in risk through human behavior
- change. There is also limited evidence that parks and other open space are the primary driver that may
- displace vulnerable populations to less desirable housing stock and neighborhoods, though this is raised as an
- increasing concern in recent literature (Anguelovski et al. 2018). In the US, low income neighborhoods are
- associated with higher surface temperatures (Voelkel et al. 2018), which in turn may increase heat hazard
- exposure in displaced populations, should displacement occur. However, there is wide agreement that
- investments in NBS can reduce local temperatures and thus reduce exposure to future heat hazards in the
- locations where NBS are implemented.

- *SM6.3.2.1.4 Transfer of risk: positive negligible (low agreement, limited evidence)* 1
- Depending on urban geometry and urban canyon street configuration, computational fluid dynamics 2
- simulations show that tree barriers that are too tall and dense may increase air pollutant concentrations 3
- downwind and within the tree canopy even while reducing street-level temperatures (Hagler et al. 2012; 4
- Baldauf 2017; Ghasemian et al. 2017). In addition, release of biogenic volatile organic compounds from 5
- large tree stands may lead to ozone production in other parts of a city (Bonn et al. 2016) even while reduced 6
- lower temperatures and surface-level solar radiation may reduce local ozone production. 7

- SM6.3.2.1.5 Social capital: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence) 9
- Evidence suggests that parks can play an important role in fostering socialization among certain urban 10 populations (Esther H.K. et al. 2017). In addition, studies indicate enhanced social cohesion and social ties
- 11
- among park visitors in urban settings (Peters et al. 2010; Kaźmierczak 2013; Jennings and Bamkole 2019). 12

13 14

- *SM6.3.2.1.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)*
- Parks may provide employment opportunities to residents for its maintenance (Neckel et al. 2020). A report 15
- from the National Recreation and Park Association and prepared by the Center for Regional Analysis at 16
- George Mason University found that local parks contributed 50 billion USD in labor income and contributed 17
- to over 1 million employees in the US (National Recreation and Park Association 2020). In a survey of 12 18
- towns in South Africa, blue and green infrastructure was found to employ over 17,000 people with a total 19
- salary of 37 million USD (King and Shackleton 2020). 20

21 22

- SM6.3.2.1.7 Health: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- There is good evidence supporting both proximity and visitation (access to) of urban green and blue spaces 23
- improves mental health (Sturm and Cohen 2014; Min et al. 2017; Wood et al. 2017). There is some limited 24
- evidence that proximity to green space increases other health indicators. The benefits of greenspace to 25
- increased physical activity (a key cause of non-communicable diseases) is limited as other factors are needed 26 (e.g Smith et al. 2017). 27

28 29

- SM6.3.2.1.8 Ecological: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- There is evidence suggesting that trees in urban and residential areas in Latin American countries serve as a 30
- stopover for migratory birds (Amaya-Espinel and Hostetler 2019). Studies also report that vegetated patches 31
- in urban areas have more bird species (Filloy et al. 2019). Bird abundance has been linked to vegetation 32
- coverage in urban areas via satellite imagery as well (Leveau et al. 2018). Evidence also suggests that urban 33
- trees may facilitate the establishment of invasive insect communities, many of which are pests to local flora 34
- (Branco et al. 2019). However, urban trees have also been found to counteract the negative impacts of 35
- artificial lighting and abundance of impervious surfaces on nighttime pollinator populations (e.g., moths) 36
- (Straka et al. 2021). 37

38 39

- SM6.3.2.1.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive high (medium agreement, limited evidence)
- Investing in vegetation for cooling has been shown to provide other climate adaptation benefits given 40
- multifunctionality of most NbS. For example, a recent study found that tree pit design characteristics are 41
- more important than several tree characteristics to determine its runoff infiltration potential (Elliott et al. 42
- 2018). Modification of tree pits may provide an avenue for improving runoff reduction in areas where 43
- flooding may become a persistent issue, providing modest flexibility to respond to other hazards beyond 44
- temperature regulation. Similarly, green roofs implemented for cooling can be important local sources of 45
- stormwater runoff regulation (Cook and Larsen 2020). Overall, urban vegetation for cooling can often also 46
- absorb stormwater, reduce urban flooding impacts, and absorb air pollutants (Keeler et al. 2019). 47

48

- 49 Deployable at scale: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Campaigns have successfully been carried in cities for mass planting of urban trees in New York (Campbell 50
- 2014), Beijing (Yao et al. 2019). Surveys of urban tree planting efforts have been recorded for 52 cities in 51
- the Northeast United States (Doroski et al. 2020), finding over 500,000 trees planted between 2012-2017 52
- demonstrating evidence to deploy NbS at scale. 53

SM6.3.2.1.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)

There is limited evidence that street trees may reduce energy demand for cooling in cities (Viguie et al. 2020). Green roofs may provide both limited outdoor cooling as well as reduce demand-side energy for indoor cooling (Santamouris 2014; Hirano et al. 2019). Certain tree species however may increase vulnerability of electric systems to wind gust-related power outages in areas with exposed power infrastructure like power lines and transformers (Cerrai et al. 2019, 2020; D'Amico et al. 2019).

SM6.3.2.1.12 Economic feasibility: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

Large tree planting campaigns like those in New York (Campbell 2014), Beijing (Yao et al. 2019) may show economic feasibility of trees as a NbS to temperature regulation. In the US, urban parks are estimated to create over 166 billion USD in economic activity while contributing 87 billion USD to the national GDP (National Recreation and Park Association 2020). Green roofs decrease energy fluxes to building envelopes, reducing need for cooling. Energy cost savings from decreased need for cooling may offset retrofitting cost, especially when considering indirect added value like noise insulation, heat reduction, and stormwater retention (Feng and Hewage 2018; Susca 2019).

SM6.3.2.1.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)
Green roofs can reduce carbon dioxide emissions by reducing need for building energy demand as well as the substrate's ability to sequester atmospheric carbon (Shafique et al. 2020). A review of modeling and experimental studies found that energy use reductions range between -7% to 70%, with the majority of reporting savings of 0% to 20% depending on season, roof insulation, and plant type used. Meanwhile, carbon sequestration capacity ranged between 0.303 to 1.88 kg CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹. However, this reduction is a small fraction of annual emissions related to traffic, which can reach over 300 kg CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹ in urban areas (Gately et al. 2015).

SM6.3.2.1.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalisation: negative negligible (medium agreement, limited evidence)

NbS for temperature regulation may displace residents if the part of drivers that may increase living costs near where they are implemented (Zheng and Kahn 2013; Anguelovski et al. 2018; Goossens et al. 2020). However, this may not be an inherent feature of urban NbS, as studies show that focus on use of informal green spaces (Rupprecht and Byrne, 2017) and smaller parks can limit or even counteract green gentrification (Chen et al., 2021).

SM6.3.2.1.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: positive negligible (medium agreement, limited evidence)

Although recent trends in participatory budgeting and planning seek to make governance and implementation more inclusive and accountable to local communities as worldwide examples show (Kozová et al., 2018; Pogačar et al., 2020; Schneider and Busse, 2019), there is limited evidence that this approach is inherent to NbS for temperature regulation.

SM6.3.2.1.16 Social transformation: unknown (low agreement, limited evidence) Historical data in many cities show increasing property values near urban parks and other green infrastructures. An example in Washington, DC, USA attributed a 5% premium to homes within 500 feet (152.4 m) of parks (Harnik and Crompton, 2014), while street trees in Perth, Australia were found to increase property value by close to 17,000 AUSD (Pandit et al., 2013). These wealth increases may shift social power to property owners over time, potentially increasing inequality in urban decision-making.

SM6.3.2.1.17 Ecological transformation: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)
Studies suggest that urban vegetation leads to higher abundance of animal life and biodiversity. A study of insect species and urban vegetation in six cities in Switzerland found increased abundance and diversity of most measured species (Turrini and Knop 2015), while a study in Melbourne, Australia found that increasing vegetation from 10 to 30 percent increased occupancy of bats, birds, bees, beetles and bugs by up to 130% (Threlfall et al. 2017), with particularly high impact on native species.

SM6.3.2.2 Air quality regulation (see Section 6.3.3.2)

19 20

31

32

40

41

- SM6.3.2.2.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence) 1
- Trees and green infrastructure have been shown to improve air quality through pollution removal by 2
- intercepting airborne particles (Nowak et al., 2006). Trees also absorb air pollution by uptake via leaf 3
- stomata then gases diffuse into intercellular spaces and may be absorbed by water films to form acids 4
- (Nowak et al., 2006; Smith, 2012). For example (Matos et al., 2019) found that in Lisbon, Portugal the best 5
- gains in air quality improvement have been obtained by improving the smallest green spaces rather than 6
- investing in the largest green spaces. NBS for air quality regulation can also help to mitigate flooding as air 7
- pollutants such as fine particulates impact precipitation and regional circulation patterns (Fiore et al., 2015), 8
- and urban trees can increase the run-off infiltration rates during rainfall inundation (Bartens et al., 2008). 9
- NBS for air pollution may also provide temperature regulation as some pollutants increase warming by 10
- trapping heat in the atmosphere (Arneth et al., 2009; European Commission DG ENV, 2010). 11
- SM6.3.2.2.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence) 13
- NBS for air quality regulation can mitigate air pollutants (Janhäll, 2015; Keeler et al., 2019), flooding (Fiore 14
- et al., 2015), and temperature (Arneth et al., 2009) by reducing pollutants that impact human health, a 15
- fundamental component of climate vulnerability. For example, planting trees along streets or in urban forests 16
- can reduce particulate matter, the ambient air pollutant with the largest global health burden (Tiwary et al., 17 18
 - 2008; Janhäll, 2015; McDonald et al., 2016). Positive health impacts are assessed as a key contribution to
 - systemic vulnerability reduction.
- SM6.3.2.2.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence) 21
- There is limited evidence that documents behavior or other social changes associated with NBS for air 22
- pollution, yet NBS can impact health with implications for reductions in new hazard exposure. NBS can 23
- reduce multiple air pollutants (Matos et al., 2019) which have long-term potential benefits for both climate 24
- change and people impacting new hazard exposure. Air pollutants such as light-absorbing particulate Black 25
- Carbon, light-scattering particulate sulfates, nitrates, organics, and ozone are pollutants that impact human 26
- health as well as climate-forcing factors (Maione et al., 2016; Shindell et al., 2012) that may reduce hazard 27
- exposure. For example, (Shindell et al., 2012) found that a reduction in methane and Black Carbon emissions 28
- can reduce projected global mean warming ~0.5°C by 2050, avoid 0.7 to 4.7 million annual premature deaths 29
- from air pollution, and increases annual crop yields by 30 to 135 million metric tons in 2030 and beyond. 30
 - SM6.3.2.2.4 Transfer of risk: positive small (low agreement, limited evidence)
- Air pollutants can be transferred from one place to another mainly by wind (Gurumoorthy et al., 2021; Kim 33
- et al., 2015). The typical wind speed varies temporarily and spatially on a topographical basis (Gurumoorthy 34
- et al., 2021). Overall, there is little evidence that reducing air pollutants via the use of NBS in a specific area 35
- can lead to the transfer of the same risks or impacts to other areas. However, some tree species planted for air 36
- pollution reduction that are pollen producing may create other health risks especially for allergy sufferers. 37
- Therefore, researchers caution practitioners to avoid planting trees as NbS for air pollution removal that are 38
- known to produce pollen problematic for allergy sufferers (Sedghy et al. 2018). 39
 - SM6.3.2.2.5 Social capital: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- NBS can encourage social capital through forms of economic empowerment and improving human-nature 42
- interactions (Welden et al., n.d.) and increases in social capital may also be associated with less air pollution 43
- (Smiley, 2020). For example, (Tidball et al., 2018) show how through community-based reforestation for the 44
- case of New Orleans, LA in the USA, the act of planting trees strengthens social interaction and places for 45
- social engagement. 46
- SM6.3.2.2.6 Livelihoods: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence) 48
- Along with reducing air pollution, NBS can create jobs for residents to run and maintain green and blue 49
- infrastructure (King and Shackleton, 2020). There is a moderate agreement that a reduction in air pollution 50
- can also save significant medical expenditure on diseases that are caused by air pollution such as chronic 51
- obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, and lung cancer (Jiang et al., 2016). For example (Xue et al., 2021) 52
- found that the PM2.5 reduction between 2013 and 2017 in China was associated with a saving of 53
- approximately 111 billion US dollars per year nationally. 54

- SM6.3.2.2.7 Health: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence) 1
- There is a high agreement on the devastating direct impacts of air pollution on human health (Hewitt et al., 2
- 2020; Klompmaker et al., 2021; Tahara et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021) and the indirect impacts of air 3
- pollution by increasing the global warming (Arneth et al., 2009; Khalaila et al., 2021; Klompmaker et al., 4
- 2021). There is evidence that NBS, particularly through planting trees and green infrastructure, may reduce 5
- local exposure to air pollution and modify impacts of long-term exposure to air pollution (Crouse et al., 6
- 2019; Kim et al., 2019, pp. 2008–2016; Kioumourtzoglou et al., 2016). For example, (Crouse et al., 2019) 7
- found that exposure to air pollution had a lower impact on the risk of dying in greener areas between non-8
- immigrant Canadian adults. 9

- SM6.3.2.2.8 Ecological: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Air pollution can pollute water and soil which can kill crops and young trees. (National Geographic Society, 12
- 2011). Exposure to volatile organic compounds of air pollutants is associated with an upregulation of 13
- intracellular antioxidants resulting in an increased production of reactive oxygen species which is known to 14
- influence cancer development in the wild population (North et al., 2017; Sepp et al., 2019). Some air 15
- pollutants such as benzene, kerosene, toluene, and xylenes have been found to be associated with mammary 16
- carcinomas in rodents (Huff et al., 1989; Maltoni et al., 1997). NBS that improve air quality have potential to 17 18
 - benefit ecosystems primarily through pollution reduction with benefits across ecological communities.

19 20

- SM6.3.2.2.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)
- There is high agreement that NBS for air quality such as planting trees and increasing green spaces can 21
- provide benefits other than absorbing air pollutants. Trees and green space can reduce outdoor and indoor 22
- heat hazards (Arneth et al., 2009; Viguié et al., 2020). Green infrastructure can also mitigate flood risk and 23
- exposure by reducing peak flows and surface runoff (Moore et al., 2016; Zhou, 2014) even when planted 24
- expressly for air quality benefits. For example, Bartens et al. (2008) found that tree root systems can increase 25
- water infiltration rate by up to 27-fold compared to unplanted soils and thus be adapted for stormwater 26

regulation. 27

28 29

- Deployable at scale: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) SM6.3.2.2.10
- Tree planting campaigns and programs are being implemented at a city scale such as many USA cities 30
- including New York, Los Angeles, and Chicago (Campbell et al., 2014; Pincetl et al., 2013) and at the 31
- regional scale such as regions in China including Inner Mongolia, Ningxia, and Gansu provinces (Xiao and 32
- Xiao, 2019). Furthermore, many cities run land acquisition programs for urban open and green spaces and 33
- have successfully added new lands to their open and urban green spaces. For example, Portland city has 34
- added 1,640 acres to the urban park system since 2001 (City of Portland, 2021). Similarly, the City of 35
- Sammamish in the USA has added 645 acres to its urban park system between 1999 and 2020 through the 36
- land acquisition program. How scalable tree planting and other green infrastructure interventions are in other 37
 - Global South areas remains understudied.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

- SM6.3.2.2.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive small (medium agreement, low evidence)
- NBS is also important for urban drainage systems by slowing runoff rate and reducing the pressure on drainage systems and lowering maintenance costs (Locatelli et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2012). A limited number of studies show that trees and green areas for air quality regulation can reduce air temperature (Arneth et al., 2009; Viguié et al., 2020) and therefore can reduce energy demand for heating in buildings.

45 46 47

- SM6.3.2.2.12 Economic feasibility: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Generally, investments in NBS are difficult to value (Vandermeulen et al., 2011) and a limited number of 48
- studies explored the economic feasibility of NBS. NBS investments can also create jobs for residents to 49
- plant, run and maintain green and blue infrastructure (King and Shackleton, 2020). A reduction in air 50
- pollution can save significant medical expenditure on diseases that are caused by air pollution (Jiang et al., 51
- 2016). Green spaces can also reduce outdoor and indoor temperature and reduce cost of energy required for 52 space cooling (Arneth et al., 2009; Viguié et al., 2020). 53

- SM6.3.2.2.13 *Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)*
- Green NBS such as green spaces and trees can act as a carbon storage by absorbing CO2 and reducing CO2 56
- emissions from power plants as a result of a reduction in cooling costs (Strohbach et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 57

2014). For example, (Strohbach et al., 2012) found that the estimated average storage of CO2 in tree biomass after 50 years ranges from 170 to 28 MgCO2 ha-1 for an area of 2.16 ha that contains 461 different trees in Leipzig, Germany. The variation in the storage of CO2 mainly depends on tree growth and tree mortality but remains a small fraction of urban carbon emissions.

SM6.3.2.2.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalisation: Unknown (low agreement, limited evidence)

There is limited evidence for NBS interventions for reducing poverty and marginalization as most studies have typically not taken account the multifunctional nature of NBS. Some studies have found an association between air pollution levels and socioeconomic status (Colmer et al., 2020; Kravitz-Wirtz et al., 2016; Neier, 2021) but this association cannot easily be fully explained by individual-, household-, or metropolitan-level factors (Kravitz-Wirtz et al., 2016) challenging certainty about direct, local impacts of air pollution removal on income, medical bills, or other economic impacts.

SM6.3.2.2.15 Inclusive and locally accountable: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence) There is some supported evidence that NBS for air quality benefits can improve inclusion and local accountability. Tidball et al., (2018) found that a community-based reforestation program in New Orleans, Louisiana, USA strengthened social interaction and places for social engagement. In Christchurch, New Zealand, 75% of urban trees are found on private land, suggesting that benefits of the urban forest include management by tens of thousands of individuals (Guo et al., 2019).

SM6.3.2.2.16 Social transformation: unknown (low agreement, limited evidence)
There is supportive evidence that NBS can encourage inclusion and community engagement (Tidball et al., 2018). However, there is also evidence that NBS, especially through green infrastructure investments, can lead to increased housing prices (Breunig et al., 2019; Harnik and Crompton, 2014) which may force low-income tenants to relocate and reinforce social segregation over time.

SM6.3.2.2.17 Ecological transformation: positive high (medium agreement, limited evidence)

Nature-based solutions such as planting trees for air regulation can improve air quality and reduce pollution in water and soil (National Geographic Society, 2011) which provides ecological benefits while also improving animal health (Huff et al., 1989; Maltoni et al., 1997). Studies suggest that urban vegetation that provides nature-based solutions can lead to higher abundance of animal life and biodiversity. A study of insect species and urban vegetation in six cities in Switzerland found increased abundance and diversity of most measured species (Turrini and Knop 2015), while a study in Melbourne, Australia found that increasing vegetation from 10 to 30 percent increased occupancy of bats, birds, bees, beetles and bugs by up to 130% (Threlfall et al. 2017), with particularly high impact on native species. However, some studies have also found that large-scale tree planting programs target many ecosystems that do not naturally support dense tree cover (Fleischman et al., 2020; Veldman et al., 2019) which may destroy the habitats of plants and animals adapted to open ecosystems (Fleischman et al., 2020).

SM6.3.2.3 Stormwater regulation & sanitation (see Section 6.3.3.3)

SM6.3.2.3.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive small (high agreement, medium evidence)

NBS for stormwater regulation can mitigate flood risk and exposure to pollutants by reducing peak flows and total surface runoff (Moore, 2016; Zhou, 2014) and thus has potential to provide multiple benefits for risk reduction. Prioritizing one or another stormwater related challenge may impact key siting and design choices, limiting the intervention's capacity to deliver both benefits simultaneously unless explicitly intended (McPhillips et al., 2020). NBS are multifunctional so investments for stormwater reduction can also provide temperature regulation. However, specific choices in vegetation that can increase e.g. cooling, may be overlooked if stormwater regulation is the only targeted benefit during implementation (Hoover et al., 2021; Hansen et al., 2019). For example, in the city of Philadelphia, US, most GI interventions for stormwater

SM6.3.2.3.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
NBS for stormwater regulation and sanitation can enable access to recreation (Keeler et al., 2015) and
increase property values when water usability is improved (Artell, 2014) or a change in flood risk is
perceived (Kim et al., 2020). In general, the evidence on the impact of green infrastructure for NBS on

management are non-vegetated, such as permeable pavement (Spahr et al., 2020).

property values is mixed, but relatively positive in the Global North (Venkataramanan, 2019). In the Global South, proximity to green spaces has been observed to be linked to lower property values due to differing effects on sense of safety and security (Cilliers et al., 2013). Greening historically disenfranchised or disinvested neighborhoods has been linked to gentrification (Anguelovski et al., 2018) and injustices due to misrepresentation in the decision-making processes that lead to greening (Turkelboom, 2018).

SM6.3.2.3.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence) There is limited evidence on the impact that NBS for stormwater might have on changing behavior or population locations that can lead to risk reduction through human behavior change. A case study in Hong Kong indicated that the presence of green infrastructure for stormwater regulation increases the price of apartments located in the first floor of apartment buildings (Kim et al., 2020). This observation suggests that a change in risk perceptions might encourage development in areas that benefit from green infrastructure, but the evidence is insufficient so far to support broad claims. However, there is wide agreement that investments in NBS can reduce peak flows in stormwater and reduce new local flood hazard exposure.

SM6.3.2.3.4 Transfer of risk: negative moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Traditional gray interventions may impact the social and ecological integrity of urban systems and create system lock-ins (Depietri and McPhearson, 2017). In addition, gray infrastructure may cause adverse effects downstream by rapidly concentrating flow and pollutants in discharge points (Boot et al., 2016). Instead, green infrastructure and other NBS aim to improve stormwater management on-site in a decentralized manner and reduce local and downstream risks (Dhakal and Chevalier, 2017). In case of improper management, NBS may contribute to the release of organic matter and/or nutrients, which may cause eutrophication in receiving water bodies (Janke et al., 2017; Ardón et al., 2010; Bierman et al., 2010).

SM6.3.2.3.5 Social capital: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)

The implementation process for NBS can contribute to improving local social capital when procedural justice is a component. NBS for stormwater regulation such as green infrastructure may face the pushback of local communities due to fears of gentrification (Wolch et al., 2014) or a perception about having a poorer performance than gray interventions (Dhakal and Chevalier, 2017; Thorne et al., 2018). For NBS for stormwater regulation and sanitation to be accepted and implemented, fostering social capital (Barclay and Klotz, 2019; Dhakal and Chevalier, 2017), including communities in the planning process from the very beginning (Hoover et al., 2021), and prioritizing engaging with communities willing to accept green infrastructure (Hoover et al., 2021), have been described as critical needs. Once deployed, NBS for stormwater management can foster social cohesion (Hamann et al., 2020), in line with studies focused on the social benefits provided by green spaces such as parks or green roofs (Mesimäki et al., 2017; Markevych et al., 2017; Kaźmierczak, 2013).

SM6.3.2.3.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence)

There is limited evidence on the impact that green infrastructure for stormwater management has on livelihoods and well-being, with most studies focusing on shifting property value (Venkataramanan et al., 2019). Some case studies suggest that green infrastructure for stormwater management can reduce crime (Burkley et al., 2018; Kondo et al., 2015) and generate significant employment when deployed at scale (King and Shackleton, 2020). Large green spaces that may provide stormwater mitigation benefits even if not originally designed for this purpose, have shown positive impacts such as crime reduction, health improvement, and pro-social behaviors (McKinney and VerBerkmoes, 2020), but these studies focus on larger, unmanaged green spaces, rather than engineered green infrastructure for stormwater management.

SM6.3.2.3.7 Health: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence)

By improving water quality, NBS can reduce exposure to pollutants for people, ecosystems, and animals. However, the evidence on the impact of NBS interventions on human health is limited, and further research is needed (Venkataramanan et al., 2019). Some types of interventions such as street trees or parks have been researched more broadly and their impacts on physical and mental health are widely recognised as positive, while newer types of interventions such as rain gardens, bioswales or green roofs have only begun to be studied (Suppakittpaisarn et al., 2017). Specific case studies have reported positive impacts of green roofs on mental health and the workplace (Lee et al., 2015; Loder, 2014). Large green spaces that may provide stormwater mitigation benefits, even if not being strictly designed for this purpose, have shown positive health impacts (WHO 2017).

3

5

8

SM6.3.2.3.8 Ecological: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

NBS for stormwater regulation can have a positive impact on ecosystems by improving the water quality of

water bodies (Pennino et al., 2016). The value of this service depends on the characteristics of local built 4

water infrastructure, such as presence of sewers, type (combined or separated), age, maintenance, or

impervious cover (Utz et al., 2016; Wollheim et al., 2015; LeFevre et al., 2015; Kaushal et al, 2014). The use 6

of a combination of different NBS measures provides better results than single type, isolated interventions

(Chen et al., 2019). While the benefits of NBS are known at small scales, there is a lack of knowledge about

the impact that urban NBS for stormwater management can have at larger scales such as catchment level 9 10

(Golden and Hoghooghi, 2018).

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

20

SM6.3.2.3.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) NBS are considered to have medium to high flexibility (Ferreira et al., 2021; Hobbie and Grimm, 2020; Depietri and McPhearson, 2017) and to be better at avoiding lock-ins and path dependencies than gray alternatives while providing multiple benefits beyond stormwater (Depietri and McPhearson, 2017). Hybrid infrastructure systems (combining gray and green) designed under the safe-to-fail paradigm can provide

more flexible and reliable solutions and are encouraged (Kim et al., 2019; Mei et al., 2018; Depietri and

McPhearson, 2017; Grimm et al., 2015). NBS designed to support a wider range of co-benefits may be more 18 19

adaptable to shift their focus to other hazards (e.g. increasing tree cover in large green spaces to increase shading and reduce heat). There is, however, a lack of post-development evidence on the performance of

NBS, and most literature describes potential benefits.

25

26

27

28

29

30

SM6.3.2.3.10 Deployable at scale: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence) Modeling studies suggest that a catchment-wide implementation of small interventions can provide flood risk reduction benefits (Webber et al., 2020). Several cities have developed GI at scale and / or have developed city-wide plans that identify priority areas of intervention (Hoover et al., 2021; Hopkins et al., 2018). The need to combine public with private property investments in order to bypass the space limitations in compact cities (Ferreira et al., 2021; Hoobie et al., 2020; Garcia-Cueva et al., 2018) is considered a key challenge in city-wide GI development. However, there is limited evidence regarding the impact of NBS at large spatial and temporal scales due the absence of data or efforts capturing large scale NBS performance (Garcia-Cueva et al., 2018; Golden and Hoghooghi, 2018).

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive moderate (high agreement, SM6.3.2.3.11 medium evidence)

Mitigating urban flood risk can positively impact other urban infrastructure systems. For example, reducing flooding on roads reduces the impact of flooding events on traffic flows (Pregnolato et al., 2016). Green roofs have a longer life than conventional roofs and can protect them from radiation, wind, and thermal fluctuations (William et al., 2016). By reducing peak flows into combined sewer systems, NBS also allow for the proper functioning of drainage systems without triggering CSO discharges (Pennino et al., 2016).

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Economic feasibility: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence) SM6.3.2.3.12 The assessed cost-effectiveness of NBS for stormwater regulation varies depending on local contexts and whether co-benefits (Teotónio et al., 2021; Bixler et al., 2020; Eckart et al., 2018) and whether disservices (Hobbie and Grimm, 2020) are also included in the assessments. Case studies assessing more than one NBS type are limited (Bixler et al., 2020), and usually fail to incorporate several co-benefits due to the complexity and lack of deeper knowledge on how to evaluate them (Teotónio et al., 2021). Nevertheless, there is increasing evidence that NBS for stormwater is cost-effective (sometimes more than traditional, gray approaches) (Bixler et al., 2020; Kozak et al., 2020; Mguni et al., 2016), especially in cities facing a need to update current infrastructures (Keeler et al., 2019). For instance, a 2010 study determined that a hybrid green-gray approach to stormwater management in New York City (NY, USA) was more cost effective than a completely gray one (New York City Department of Environmental Protection, 2010).

51 52 53

54

56

SM6.3.2.3.13 *Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)*

Vegetated NBS such as street trees may account for climate mitigation co-benefits (by capturing carbon).

However, the principal way in which green infrastructure may contribute to GHG emission reductions is by 55

replacing gray interventions, which show higher emissions due to the materials used and the emissions that

take place during their installation and operation (Rasul and Arutla, 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Brudler et al., 2016; Spatari et al., 2011).

SM6.3.2.3.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalisation: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence)

While NBS for stormwater management focuses on mitigating combined sewer overflows (CSOs) and urban flood risk, reducing CSOs may be the most common driver for siting and design criteria (Hoover et al., 2021; McPhillips et al., 2020). The use of technical indicators such as impervious surface or CSO areas (Heck, 2021; Meerow, 2020; Finewood et al., 2019) tends to lead to the prioritization of areas that face other challenges such as air quality and heat, and that might be inhabited by vulnerable communities (Meerow, 2020). Cities investing in green infrastructure may develop parallel programs to improve access to income and alleviate poverty. For example, New York City's MillionTreesNYC project to plant a million trees in the city also implemented a green jobs training program that combined traditional workforce development to create jobs with teaching and environmentally awareness raising (Falxa-Raymond et al., 2013).

SM6.3.2.3.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: positive negligible (medium agreement, limited evidence)

There is wide agreement that inclusion and local accountability are critical for improving participation in NbS planning and implementation. However, there is limited evidence that inclusive processes are commonly included. Participatory processes are necessary to ensure that NBS deployment considers the needs, preferences and concerns of affected residents and stakeholders (Wolch et al., 2014). Procedurally just participatory processes have been described as lacking, although needed in order to ensure that the people included in the planning for NBS are representative of the population, avoiding racial and socioeconomic bias (Hoover et al., 2021; Verheij and Nunes, 2021; Wang and Palazzo, 2021). Environmental justice frameworks and models of inclusive governance have been proposed to support the further implementation of environmental justice dimensions during planning processes (Tozer et al., 2020; Meenar et al., 2018), as well as the potential benefits of relying on university-community partnerships to manage engagement (Gerlak and Zuniga-Teran, 2020).

SM6.3.2.3.16 Social transformation: unknown (low agreement, limited evidence)

There is little evidence about the capacity that NBS for stormwater management might have to substantially transform socioeconomic, legal or cultural systems. Given their relatively small scale of implementation, their impact may be expected to be low if not null. The shift in some Global North cities towards managing stormwater through NBS and hybrid green-gray approaches has been observed to require innovative, holistic, and flexible planning processes that promote cross-sectoral collaborations (Kvamsås, 2021). At a broad level (beyond stormwater focused NBS), the tendency to focus on measurable benefits, cost effectiveness, and growth may lead NBS to contribute to perpetuating a neoliberal status quo (Kotsila, 2021). In the Global North, governance discourses around greening have been linked to increasing investment and a consequent increase in the costs of living (Garcia-Lamarca et al., 2121; Tozer et al., 2020). There is less conclusive evidence from Global South cases.

SM6.3.2.3.17 Ecological transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) By reducing CSOs (Moore et al., 2016; Zhou, 2014), NBS for stormwater management is able to improve the ecological integrity of receiving water bodies. For instance, a green infrastructure program in Syracuse, NY, USA led to significant improvements in the water quality of Lake Onondaga, positively impacting the lake's biodiversity (Flynn and Davidson, 2017). Less significant results, albeit positive, have been observed in Baltimore (Reisinger et al., 2019), as well as other empirical, experimental studies (Yang and Li, 2013). Besides their impact on downstream water bodies, vegetated NBS for stormwater management can have positive impacts on urban biodiversity (Nakamura et al., 2020), especially when multi-trophic, landscape level relationships are considered (Filazzola et al., 2019), Improper maintenance, on the other hand may lead to reductions in biodiversity if certain species outcompete others (Winfrey et al., 2018).

SM6.3.2.4 Coastal flood protection (Chapter Six Section 6.3.3.4)

SM6.3.2.4.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Nature-based solutions (NBS) protect coasts from flooding through reducing the wave energy by drag

friction, reducing wave overtopping by eliminating vertical barriers, and absorbing floodwaters in soil

(Horinko Group 2015; Arkema et al. 2017; Dasgupta et al. 2019; Zhu et al. 2020). There is also evidence that 1 CGI protects coastlines from erosion through reducing wave transmission, increasing soil elevation through 2 vertical accretion and binding soil properties (Bryant et al. 2017; Silva et al. 2016). Models for 3 understanding where NBS may have more or less significant promise are also being developed at global 4 scales (Conger et al. 2019; Menéndez et al. 2020; Guannel et al. 2016; and Ruckelshaus et al. 2020). 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

SM6.3.2.4.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence) There is high agreement that NBS can mitigate the effects of diverse challenges by enhancing sustainable urbanization, restoring degraded ecosystems, developing climate change adaptation and mitigation and improving environmental risk management (Lafortezz et al. 2018; Raymond et al. 2017; Restore America's Esturaries 2016). Yet the extent of reduction in physical and social vulnerability through NBS, depends on the NBS typology, geomorphology, degree of vulnerability and biodiversity (Veetil et al. 2020). For instance, there is some evidence that mangrove restoration of even small areas (a few m2) can be effective in providing protective services for coastal populations (Soanes et al. 2021) and that calculating the ancillary vulnerability reductions from mangrove protection is crucial for national policy (Menéndez et al 2018). At the same time, the distribution of vegetation cover as well as NBS is uneven, leading to socially uneven enjoyment of flood vulnerability reduction (Machado et al. 2019) or other impacts on income, health that may constitute more systemic vulnerability impacts.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

SM6.3.2.4.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive moderate (high agreement, limited evidence) There's high agreement that socio-cultural valuations of urban GI for climate adaptation can increase people's understanding of flooding risks and impacts (Derkzen et al. 2017), however empirical evidence from NBS for flood protection is scant. Evidence focuses on the perceptions of coral reefs benefits and conservation (Imamura et al. 2020; Yamashita et al. 2021), as well as kelp forest restoration (Hynes et al. 2021) while studies point to the need for baselines that understand human responses to complex socioecological changes in coral reefs (Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2019).

26 27 28

29

30

31

SM6.3.2.4.4 Transfer of risk: negative negligible (low agreement, limited evidence)

There's very little agreement and evidence that mangrove, kelp forest, coral reefs restoration can lead to other risks or impacts to nearby areas or people. Studies are emerging to understand the impact of bamboo structures to create habitat for mangrove colonization on waves reduction (Gijón et al. 2021) but more research is needed.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

SM6.3.2.4.5 Social capital: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence)

There's broad agreement that co-production of knowledge between stakeholders can foster democratic governance of NBS (Frantzeskaki et al. 2019; Vollstedt et al. 2021). The empirical evidence, however, is largely built on NBS for stormwater reduction and less so for flood protection. Existing evidence of social capital enhancement through NBS for flood protection alone is weaker (Venkataramanan 2019). There's general agreement that to build social capital NBS should include an understanding of people's perceptions of flood risk (Santoro et al. 2019), of localized NBS benefits and co-benefits (Giordano et al. 2020; Coletta et al. 2021) NBS governance approaches that recognize the situated knowledge of individuals in local resilience (Grace et al. 2021) and that are purposely designed to ask questions of who, why, how and what (Malekpour et al. 2021).

43 44 45

46

47

48

49

50

51

SM6.3.2.4.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (medium agreement, robust evidence)

There is medium agreement that NBS increases economic activities such as fishing and tourism and creates recreation opportunities (Langergraber et al. 2020). There's strong evidence on resources collected and used in mangrove ecosystems, highlighting the importance of geographical location, gender, and age categories that drive variation, especially in Global South's coastal towns (Gnansounou et al. 2021; Seary et al. 2021; Mallick et al. 2021). Research on the income generated by kelp forest restoration is also increasing (Blamey et al. 2018; Grover et al. 2021) There's strong agreement that the loss of mangroves will have negative effects on food provision (Bernardino et al. 2021).

52 53 54

SM6.3.2.4.7 Health: positive moderate (high agreement, limited evidence)

- There's widespread agreement that NBS foster human health and well-being, especially in urban areas 55
- (Kabish et al. 2017; Panno et al. 2017), but the results remain broadly inconclusive due to context 56
- dependency, and socio-economic confounders. There is some agreement that a greater recognition of the 57

relationship between nature exposure and mental health is also likely to highlight income-related inequalities and provide one of many possible pathways to reduce them (Bratman et al. 2019). However, the evidence for the linkages between NBS, specifically for coastal flood protection and health of low-income communities remains understudied.

6 SM6.3.2.4.8 Ecological: positive high (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Modeling shows that NBS can enhance multifunctional and multi-scale natural coastal processes providing habitat for wildlife, such as birds (Kim et al. 2018), but evidence is limited. There's strong evidence that NBS promote the transition from open to closed loop cycles by restoring water supplies, such as nutrients that fit into natural water and nutrient cycles (Raymond et al. 2017; Langergraber et al. 2020; Cohen-Shacham et al. 2016; O'Hogain et al. 2018; Ghafourian et al. 2021). Understanding the resilience benefits of oyster reef restoration, for example, is also increasing (Chowdhury et al. 2021; Yurek et al. 2021; Uddin et

al. 2021).

SM6.3.2.4.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence)
There is high agreement that as urban centers experience higher amounts of heatwaves, NBS can also offer cooling services, though most evidence largely relates to green infrastructure for stormwater reduction, such as pocket parks and larger urban parks in European cities (Bayulken et al. 2021; Augusto et al. 2020; Sebastiani et al. 2021). However, studies examining cooling benefits of urban vegetation include coastal vegetated areas and demonstrate how coastal ecosystem restoration for storm surge protection can be utilized also for recreation, cooling benefits, and more. Still, evidence from green coastal infrastructure is largely understudied limiting general knowledge about flexibility to adapt to new hazards.

SM6.3.2.4.10 Deployable at scale: positive moderate (medium agreement, low evidence)

There's high agreement of the relevance of large scale NBS for protecting coastal areas including many existing projects investing in large coastal restoration in urban regions (Thorslund et al. 2017). However, there is also high agreement on the institutional, legal, political, financial, and technical (Arkema et al. 2017; Kabisch et al. 2016; Nesshöver et al. 2017; Fastenrath et al. 2020) challenges for mainstreaming NBS. For instance, the lack of a metropolitan-scale implementation agencies or mechanism creates challenges to reach new stages of NBS strategies. Scalability challenges, especially in the Global South, are linked to lack of modeling due to substantial data requirements on climatic hazards, bathymetry and elevation, ecosystems, land uses and asset distribution (Guzman et al. 2017). There's some evidence that in small U.S. communities, limited capacity of staff, expertise and funding to comply with federal regulations limits NBS implementation (Tilt et al. 2021).

SM6.3.2.4.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

There's high agreement that NBS can have a positive effect on other infrastructure by limiting storm surge impacts and improving performance of other adaptive measures (Ozment et al. 2019). However, evidence to date are often based on model results with less empirical case studies to demonstrate impact. One study, for instance, showed how a green infrastructure network can reduce coastal vulnerability by connecting green spaces (Jeong et al. 2021).

SM6.3.2,4.12 Economic feasibility: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

There is general agreement, especially in wealthier countries, with comprehensive modeling evidence especially from the U.S. and the E.U., that NBS adaptation could be among the most cost-effective options among a suite of grey to green options (Reguero et al. 2018; Faivre et al. 2017; Costanza et al. 2021). NBS cost-effectiveness varies depending on the geographical location, whether co-benefits are measurable and whether local management practices, such as water quality improvement plans, are in place to protect NBS functionality (Hafezi et al. 2021). Where low operating costs and sustainability are preferred, and the cost of land is not prohibitive, NBS are desirable (White et al. 2021).

SM6.3.2.4.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
There's a growing consensus that NBS can have an effect on urban micro-climate and contribute to Circular Economy (CE) approaches, through the establishment of ecosystem services that reduce the impacts of urbanization (Langergraber et al. 2020; Pearlmutter et al. 2019. Some evidence also exists that multiple types of green infrastructure, especially mangroves and their soils (Sutton-Grier et al. 2015; Keith et al. 2021;

Jakovac, et al. 2020; Rovai et al. 2018) and the combination of seagrass establishment and human-made structures (Serrano et al. 2020) can aid carbon sequestration.

SM6.3.2.4.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalisation: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence)

There is general agreement that NBS, especially mangroves and to a lesser extent kelp forests (see Livelihoods), contribute to economic livelihoods (zu Ermgassen et al. 2021), though more direct impacts on poverty reduction and marginalization remains understudied.

Planning for NBS can be equitable if decision making is done with and for communities who are directly or indirectly impacted by their flood protection and other co-benefits (Derkzen et al. 2017; Heckert et al. 2018; Haase et al. 2017). Evidence for inclusion and local accountability specifically for NBS for flood protection is still, however, limited. The need for clear guidelines establishing the role of government and other actors in participatory mangrove decentralized resource management is highlighted (Arumugam et al. 2021)). Understanding user satisfaction and value perceptions for coral reef attributes is also deemed important for restoration initiatives (Fiore et al. 2020; Hein et al. 2019).

SM6.3.2.4.16 Social transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence)

The conditions by which NBS for flood protection may bring societal transformation vary but there is some agreement around a suite of characteristics. For transformational adaptation to occur it has to be system-wide (Fedele et al. 2019), restructuring (Pelling et al. 2015), path shifting (Colloff et al. 2017), innovative and multi-scale (Kates et al. 2012). There is limited evidence demonstrating how these characteristics actually unfold in time in specific localities. For instance, mangroves-based coastal fisheries-dependent are enacting some principles to adapt to climatic hazards in Bangladesh (Islam 2021). There is some evidence that as climate change impacts the functionality of mangroves (Tallie et al. 2020), resource-dependent groups will have to shift their patterns of food production (Bernardina et al. 2021). Overall, impacts on social transformation from NbS for coastal protection projects requires further study.

SM6.3.2.4.17 Ecological transformation: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
Although there is agreement that NBS can lead to lasting ecosystem health, there is also some evidence that changes in the land use, water levels, and storm intensities and frequencies can have significant implications on the health and integrity of NBSs and the services they provide (Conger and Chang 2019). Especially with climate change, and the associated increases in the global sea levels and acceleration of storm intensities and frequencies, the vulnerability of NBS can potentially reduce, if not eliminate, its coastal protection benefits (Dutra et al. 2021; Taillie et al. 2020; Bolle et al. 2021; Cameron et al. 2021). Yet there is also some evidence that NBS have response mechanisms such as accretion or migrating along the coast to deal with these hazards (Mentaschi et al. 2018; Feagin et al. 2015)

SM6.3.2.5 Riverine flood impact reduction (see Section 6.3.3.5)

SM6.3.2.5.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
Well-connected and protected riparian corridors combined with catchment wide flow attenuation strategies, including city wide green stormwater infrastructure systems, can mitigate multiple climate related hazards including riverine flooding but also water quality deterioration (Alves et al. 2019), droughts (Kalantari et al. 2018), thermal regulation and urban heat island mitigation (Majidi et al. 2019), landslide risk (Ruangpan et al. 2020), and improve water and food security (Grantham et al. 2019).

SM6.3.2.5.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
Restricting floodplain development and restoring floodplains can help address patterns of residential segregation leading to uneven risk exposure, especially in countries like the United States where racial and economic discrimination has resulted in patterns of uneven flood vulnerability, although these effects are complicated by luxury development in floodplains and coastlines (Collins et al. 2018). Well-connected greenspaces, which include riparian networks, can also address disparities in recreational opportunities, health, and pollutant exposure, though also depend upon reductions in the sources of contaminants and the social determinants of health (Twohig-Bennett and Jones, 2018). Additionally, flood oriented NBS, if not planned and implemented with sensitivity to social conditions and needs, can exacerbate systemic

vulnerability by displacing more economically vulnerable residents to other more flood prone areas, though 1 if implemented with sensitivity, they can support community development (Shi, 2021). 2

3 4

5

7

8

9

10

SM6.3.2.5.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)

City and basin wide NBS for riverine flood impact reduction can reduce the generation of new hazards by

'making space for water' which eliminates a false sense of security provided by traditional flood management 6

approaches (Turkelboom et al. 2021; Ruangpan et al. 2020). Additionally, successful flood mitigation

through NBS requires implementation at sufficient scales (Vojinovic et al. 2021; Raška et al. 2019). Still,

with shifting baselines of flood events, NBS can also lead to similar paradoxes of flood protection, where a

false sense of security is provided by NBS if city wide systems of flood mitigation are overwhelmed by

events of unforeseen magnitudes (Ruangpan et al. 2020).

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

SM6.3.2.5.4 Transfer of risk: negative moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Overall NBS approaches for flood reduction provide higher on-site flood mitigation and reduced risk transfer to downstream areas compared to traditional grey infrastructure approaches of channelization and damming (Ruangpan et al. 2020). There are limited studies of mitigation of urban flooding with NBS through urban green infrastructure that show that there can be risk transfer to nearby residents via increased basement flooding from infiltration measures or where there is limited capacity for storage in shallow groundwater environments (Zhang and Chui 2019). Additionally, if not managed adequately NbS can exacerbate mosquito borne illnesses through creation of standing water, though these risks can be managed through improved design (Wong and Jim 2018; Lõhmus and Balbus 2015).

21 22 23

24

25

SM6.3.2.5.5 Social capital: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)

Well-designed NBS for riverine flood reduction, which require extensive green space networks, provide multiple social benefits including improved gathering places, recreation opportunities, aesthetics, a sense of place, and identity, all of which can help build social capital (Venkataramanan et al. 2019).

26 27

SM6.3.2.5.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (low agreement, medium evidence)

28 If deployed at scale using appropriate governance systems, flood mitigation NBS can support community 29 development through fisheries and sustainable agriculture (Shi 2020), with some notable river restoration 30 projects finding significant economic benefits of restored river systems (e.g. Bellas and Kosnik, 2018). 31 However, there is disagreement about other potential benefits such as positive impacts to tourism and 32 recreation industries (Deffner and Haase, 2018; Johnson et al. 2018),

33 34 35

36

37

SM6.3.2.5.7 Health: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

NBS for flood mitigation have many direct and indirect benefits for public health, including reduced impacts of floods on acute mortality, prevalence of waterborne pathogens, and indirect impacts such as increasing recreation opportunities with benefits to physical and psychological health (Van den Bosch and Sang 2017).

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

SM6.3.2.5.8 Ecological: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Well-connected riparian networks and distributed flood mitigation NBS form the backbone of urban and regional ecological systems, and in turn can have large positive impacts on habitat abundance, connectivity, and quality (Fuller et al. 2015), water quality, and the restoration of chemical, nutrient, sediment, and energy flows in ecosystems (Ferreira et al. 2021; Turkelboom et al. 2021; Dalwani and Gopal 2020; Ronchi and Arcidiacono, 2019; Krauze and Wagner, 2019; Keestra et al. 2018)

45 46 47

SM6.3.2.5.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive small (medium agreement, low evidence)

NbS for riverine flood impact reduction has not been well studied for flexible adaptation post-deployment, 48 though green infrastructure interventions are shown to provide multiple benefits (Keeler et al. 2019). 49

However, floodplains are dynamic environments evolving in relation to watershed, hydro-meteorological, 50

and ecological processes. In a changing climate, managing upslope NBS, and engaging non-human 51

biological agents (e.g. beavers, riparian vegetation) that affect runoff responses is a critical component of 52 adapting NBS for maintaining and enhancing effectiveness (Johnson et al. 2020). 53

54 55

57

SM6.3.2.5.10 Deployable at scale: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)

NbS for riverine flood mitigation is already being implemented at large spatial scales. Research is clear that 56

effective flood impact reduction NBS requires basin wide implementation, as well as integration into

complex, and often fractal, catchment geometries at the city scale. There is widespread agreement that such systematic reconfigurations of hydrological infrastructures are required to address the need for climate resilience (Sofi et al. 2020; Boltz et al. 2019), especially within cities. However, sub-basin delineation within cities remains an analytical challenge, especially given incomplete or non-existing data on human constructed drainage networks (Brasil et al. 2021; Kumar et al. 2021; Ferreira et al. 2020; Randall et al. 2019). Effective deployment thus requires integrating flood mitigation NBS into existing drainage networks and catchment geometries, with consideration for permeabilities and interactions with other built infrastructures, and the perceived and objective effectiveness of flood mitigation NBS critically depends upon the scale of their implementation (Pagano et al. 2019; Raška et al. 2019).

SM6.3.2.5.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Preventing and mitigating riverine floods can have positive impacts on other infrastructure systems (Alves et al. 2020), as NbS are increasingly implemented through integration into existing gray infrastructure systems (Ncube and Arthur 2021; Mulligan et al. 2020), with the goal of increasing disaster risk reduction (Denjean et al. 2017) through reducing downstream flood impacts on both infrastructure and people. These hybrid approaches appear to offer numerous benefits to gray flood infrastructure adaptive capacity and can increase the resilience of other infrastructures systems affected by flooding (Neuman et al. 2015). Recent scholarship examines the tradeoffs of urban NBS through the water-food-energy nexus, identifying a need to examine tradeoffs of specific NBS in context (Shah et al. 2021).

SM6.3.2.5.12 Economic feasibility: positive moderate (low agreement, medium evidence) Increasing climatic extremes have increased the cost of flood damages along with the costs of maintaining flood infrastructure systems (Bevacqua et al. 2019; Dottori et al. 2018; Jongman 2018). NBS, including making space for flood waters, are an increasingly economic option for responding to increasing flood risks, and yet a primary challenge is in addressing the opportunity cost of foregoing development within floodplains (Pour et al. 2020; Alfieri et al 2016). Thus, while the infrastructure system costs of NBS are much lower compared to grey infrastructure responses (Moudrak et al. 2018; Ward et al. 2017), the space required comes with considerable perceived costs. Since these costs can be a matter of perception, and economic benefits of floodplain development come with significant risk exposure, it is likely that the perception of land values will continue to shift to favor making space for flood waters as insurance rates continue to evolve to reflect shifting risk exposure (Denjean et al. 2017).

SM6.3.2.5.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence) NBS for flood mitigation provide a net sequestration of atmospheric CO2 (Seddon et al. 2021), largely through eventual export of organic matter to deep ocean storage (Scheingross et al. 2021). NBS for riverine flood mitigation also provide a net GHG emission reduction benefit as compared to grey infrastructure approaches that retain significant amounts of standing water, due to the large methane emissions of reservoirs and larger stormwater retention facilities (Deemer and Holgerson, 2021; Maavara et al. 2020; Félix-Faure et al. 2019; Phyoe and Wang, 2019).

SM6.3.2.5.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalisation: positive small (low agreement, medium evidence)

Flood reduction through NBS can reduce poverty and marginalization issues caused by acute and chronic flooding in a variety of contexts (Ambrosino et al. 2020; Urama et al. 2019). If done at scale, NBS can also increase economic security of marginalized populations (Shi 2020), who have also been historically disproportionately impacted by grey flood control infrastructures (Hay et al. 2019; Liao et al. 2019; Del Bene et al. 2018; Anguelovski et al. 2016; Aiken and Leigh 2015). However, there is limited existing evidence that NBS are being widely deployed in such a way that addresses these historical patterns and practices (Anguelovski et al. 2016).

SM6.3.2.5.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence) Flood mitigation NBS can be implemented through local governance, including their integration of NBS into grey infrastructure systems (Mulligan et al. 2021), though evidence is limited from local case studies. However, implementing NBS at the scale required often requires centralized planning and coordination at city and regional scales for larger river systems (Vojinovic et al. 2021; Zingraff-Hamed et al. 2020). Local flooding issues can be addressed at hyperlocal scales, down to the individual land-owner (Gutman 2019).

Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

There is an emerging consensus that successful flood mitigation NBS needs multi-level and collaborative 1 governance structures (Martin et al. 2021; Albert et al. 2019). 2

3 4

SM6.3.2.5.16 Social transformation: positive small (low agreement, limited evidence) Scholars have noted fundamental social transformations occurring from governance regimes associated with 5 NBS for some time now (e.g. Schoeman 2006; Steffen et al. 2018). Though there is limited evidence of 6 where implementation of NbS for riverine flood protection has stimulated social transformation, there is 7 increasing scholarship suggesting that successful flood mitigation NBS may require fundamental and 8 systemic change in patterns of land use along with a systemic shift in the governance of human-nature 9 relations (Welden et al. 2021). If NBS is deployed collaboratively and transparently then positive social 10 transformation is possible (Martin et al 2021; Albert et al. 2019; Wong et al. 2020). However, critical scholars of infrastructure have observed how the turn towards nature as infrastructure may simply broaden 12 and deepen (Scott 2008), historically oppressive and extractive governance structures in the name of 13 ecological security (Carse 2012; Pritchard 2012; Molle 2009). A need remains to examine the roles of labor, 14 delineations of territory, and the financing of NBS (Nelson and Bigger 2021) to understand positive or 15 negative societal transformations driven by the multi-scalar implementation of flood focused NBS.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

11

SM6.3.2.5.17 Ecological transformation: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence) NBS for riverine flood mitigation can lead to significant positive changes in riverine and terrestrial ecosystems if applied at appropriate scales (Hobbie and Grimm, 2020; Raška et al. 2019; Rowiński et al. 2018). These changes include improving habitat quality, connectivity, and concomitant reversals of longterm biodiversity decline (Reid et al. 2019). In comparison to grey infrastructure approaches for flood mitigation in cities of channelized streams, piped conveyance, and limited flow attenuation structures, NBS can have large positive impacts on ecosystem structure and function, even in degraded urban rivers (Groffman et a.1 2003; Boltz et al. 2019; Palmer and Ruhi 2019). NBS for flood mitigation, including restored floodplains, streams, rivers, wetlands, and diverse sets of flow attenuation facilities including green roofs, walls, bioswales, tree trenches, can be particularly useful for restoring society-nature relationships in rapidly urbanizing areas (Hérivaux and Le Coent 2021; Lafortezza and Sanesi 2019; Dhyani et al. 2018).

28 29 30

Water provisioning & management (see Section 6.3.3.6) SM6.3.2.6

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

SM6.3.2.6.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) Nature-based solutions can increase water infiltration and reduce surface runoff, thus enhancing groundwater recharge and the slow movement of water through the subsurface to rivers, lakes, and streams. In undeveloped areas upstream of a city, natural vegetation helps infiltrate rainwater, and store water between rainfall events. Nature-based solutions (NbS) such as street trees, parks and open space, community gardens, and engineered systems such as rain gardens, bioswales or retention ponds that protect or restore the natural infiltration capacity of a watershed can also increase water supply (Keeler et al. 2019; Braumann et al. 2007). These NbS are often designed to increase stormwater infiltration but can be larger in scope and scale such as where land management is implemented at the watershed scale to provide water supply for drinking water, agricultural use and other urban and regional water needs (Abell and Johnson 2017). NbS for water management can also be an effective approach to reduce water-related climate risks and strengthen water security, particularly in developing countries (Drosou et al. 2019; Krauze and Wagner 2019). Hybrid green infrastructure has been shown to effectively complement traditional grey infrastructure in cities as an effective NbS to manage climate hazards related to stormwater management, coastal and inland flooding, and compromised drinking water systems, thus increasing water security (Boholm and Prtuzer 2017). However, while NbS can provide several forms of hazard reduction such as reducing volume of floodwater, stabilizing riverbanks and reducing erosion, there is still limited evidence to suggest NbS for water management can sufficiently address non-water related climate hazards (Kabish et al. 2016, Schanze 2017).

49 50 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

SM6.3.2.6.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence) Nature-based approaches to water management, drinking water provisioning and agriculture can reduce the vulnerability of social-ecological systems by increasing water efficiency, combating erosion and local water pollution, and reducing water footprints and food waste (Boelee et al 2017). Integrated urban watershed management has been shown to increase socio-economic outcomes in some communities by increasing opportunities for employment in agriculture, horticulture, afforestation and other enterprises (Tesfaye, Debebe and Yakob 2018). Some research highlights how nature-based solutions that protect or restore the

natural infiltration capacity of a watershed, can increase the water supply service in some areas, improve drought protection, assist in food security and economic provisioning, and provide resilient water supply (Oral et al. 2020) in ways that may impact social vulnerability. Moreover, increasing the amount of green space in urban areas can secure and regulate water supplies, improving water security (Liu and Jensen 2018). However, evidence will likely remain limited that documents how NbS for water provisioning may reduce systemic vulnerability or increase water security in the long-term without significant investment and coordination between diverse stakeholders (Kabisch et al. 2016).

SM6.3.2.6.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence)

NbS for water management or provisioning can also reduce exposure to climate impacts and hydrological risks ranging from flooding to urban heat, erosion, and water scarcity (Chausson et al. 2020; Valenzuela et al. 2020). The protection of coastal areas, as well as restoration of wetlands for instance can improve water security and protect against flooding and storm surges and can also promote fire risk reduction (Hobbie and Grimm 2020). However, there is still limited evidence to assess the full potential of NbS for water provisioning for reducing the exposure to new hazards generated by a changing climate (Shar et al. 2020) or by shifting human behavior in ways that reduce exposure.

SM6.3.2.6.4 Transfer of risk: unknown (medium agreement, limited evidence)

There is limited study of the potential transfer of risk or impacts from nature-based solutions for water provisioning and management to people infrastructure (Alves et al. 2019; de Macedo et al. 2021). The use of green infrastructure for stormwater management has been shown to reduce runoff during heavy precipitation events, and thus reduce the risk of combined sewer overflows while also enhancing water quality in urban areas providing risk reduction (Liu et al. 2020; Debele et al. 2019; Sahani et al. 2019). In a study of urbanized areas in the African region, researchers note evidence that NbS such as natural water management, preservation of wetlands and forested areas is effective in flood risk reduction, can prevent loss of water resources, and improve water cycling and provisioning through processes such as infiltration, retention and interception (Acreman et al. 2021). The transfer of risk to human communities or infrastructure is mostly unknown given limited evidence.

SM6.3.2.6.5 Social capital: positive moderate (medium agreement, limited evidence)

Nature-based solutions for water management or provisioning may enhance levels of social capital through forms of economic empowerment and by increasing a community's participation in resource co-management and governance (Welden, Chausson and Melanidis 2021; Syafri et al 2020; Obando et al 2018). A study of a participatory integrated watershed management program in Ethiopia for instance demonstrated an increase in employment opportunities, income sources, as well as other forms of social capital by directly involving community members in decision making about appropriate nature-based technologies, trainings and economic pathways (Tesfaye, Debebe and Yakob, 2018). There is still limited evidence globally on the connections between nature-based solutions for water management and potential positive impacts on social capital (Auer et al. 2020; Valenzuela 2020).

SM6.3.2.6.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Nature-based solutions such as integrated urban water management that centers community involvement may improve the livelihoods through the creation of jobs, infrastructure cost-savings, health and other economic outcomes (Rohini et al. 2017; Wani et al 2008; Larson, Wiek, Withycombe Keeler, 2013; Nerkar et al 2016). In some cases, the use of NbS has been shown to provide economic savings by minimizing the impacts of sea level rise, inland and pluvial flooding, stormwater from extreme precipitation, and maintenance costs of preserving clean water sources (Jongman 2018). A large majority of research however focuses solely on assessing the stormwater-related economic benefits of NbS, and often does not provide a comprehensive economic or financial valuation for cities to readily leverage (Hamann et al. 2020; Ashley et al. 2018).

SM6.3.2.6.7 Health: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)

NbS for water management has been shown to provide benefits to human health including physical wellbeing and mental health (Keeler et al. 2019). Some forms of NbS may provide opportunities for recreation and physical activity and can also provide cleaner water and opportunities to effectively manage stormwater to reduce the health impacts of combined sewer overflow events (Venkataramanan et al. 2019; Braubach et al. 2017). A study of urbanizing East African communities suggests that nature-based solutions focused on improving water security, retention and purification have co-benefits such as increased access to physical activity, recreation as well as biodiversity conservation (Kalantari et al. 2018). However, research to date has focused more heavily on the co-benefits of green spaces and infrastructure, providing limited evidence for the role nature-based water management strategies can play in improving direct health outcomes and in particular for low-income or at-risk communities (Marques, McIntosh and Chanse 2020; Kondo et al 2015).

SM6.3.2.6.8 Ecological: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Urban NbS such as through investments in green infrastructure can regulate critical ecosystem services through flood protection and water flow maintenance, improving water quality, micro and regional climate regulation, and overall global climate regulating through carbon storage and sequestration (Babí Almenar et al. 2021; Baró and Gómez-Baggethun 2017). Particular forms of NBS such as infiltration basins, constructed wetlands, or rain gardens have been shown to be effective in urban water pollution control, removing organic and inorganic pollutants, pesticides, pharmaceuticals and heavy metals with positive impacts on ecosystems (Seddon et al. 2020). NbS also provides key provisioning services such as providing drinking water and securing freshwater supplies, as well as playing a key role in supporting food and cultural services (Brill, Anderson, O'Farrell 2017).

SM6.3.2.6.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
Nature-based solutions for water management or provisioning, such as the deployment of green infrastructure including bioswales, retention ponds, stormwater catchment systems or constructed wetlands can be flexible post deployment for providing risk reduction to other climate hazards (Qi et al. 2020). While NbS have the potential to provide several co-benefits to both human communities and the environment, research shows that these solutions also require ongoing maintenance, as well as integrated planning and coordination across sectors long-term, which can be prohibitive to ensuring their success and flexibility (Nelson et al. 2020; Fastenrath, Bush, and Coenen 2020). Evidence remains limited to conclude that nature-based solutions for water management and provisioning are adequately flexible, post-deployment (Fastenrath, Bush, and Coenen 2020).

SM6.3.2.6.10 Deployable at scale: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) Urban nature-based solutions for water management and provisioning are already being deployable at large spatial scales with impacts that address the risks and hazards of climate change, while addressing water security in urban areas (Bichai and Flamini 2018). However, research shows that restoration efforts or the installment of new urban green infrastructure can be challenging to scale, as large areas are often required for implementation and may take a long time for systems to demonstrate significant benefits, as detailed in an analysis of the Living Melbourne strategy in Australia (Fastenrath, Bush, and Coenen 2020). Additionally, in urban areas, significant alterations to water bodies, coastlines, or rivers are difficult to reverse, revealing the complexity of such cross-scale challenges (Boelee et al. 2017).

SM6.3.2.6.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)

The effectiveness of NbS co-benefits is largely dependent upon local contexts, soil types and conditions, flood parameters, as well as NbS design, among other factors (Hobbie and Grimm 2020). Co-benefits of NbS for water provisioning has potential to increase resilience of interconnected infrastructure systems such as transportation, food and energy systems, though impacts are mostly through NbS for riverine and coastal flood protection rather than for water provisioning that has better documented impacts preventing damage to public infrastructure and private properties (Depietri and McPhearson 2017; Stefanakis et al. 2021). In urban areas located along coastlines or embedded within riverine environments, the use of NbS may aid cities in achieving broader adaptation goals, as well as provides co-benefits such as increasing biodiversity and ecological adaptation to climate change (Hobbie and Grimm 2020; Keesstra et al. 2018).

SM6.3.2.6.12 Economic feasibility: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Nature-based approaches to watershed management and drinking water provisioning can be more costeffective and economically feasible compared to traditional grey infrastructure or engineered systems

- (Boutwell and Westra 2016; Kroeger et al 2019). For example, the Staten Island Blue belt in New York City,
- a system of constructed wetlands for ecosystem-based stormwater management, is estimated to generate
 - capital cost savings of approximately \$USD 30 million (McPhearson et al. 2018). NBS interventions can

also provide energy savings by cooling urban environments through shading, evaporative cooling and wind shielding, reducing the urban heat island, while also providing a cost-effective climate solution to greenhouse gas emissions reduction (Stefanakis et al. 2021). Additionally, the use of naturally sourced and locally available surface or groundwater, and rainwater harvesting, is often more economically and energy efficient, particularly for drought-prone urban areas (Pearlmutter et al. 2019; Hale et al. 2021; Song et al. 2019).

SM6.3.2.6.13 Mitigation cobenefit: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Nature-based solutions such as vegetated coastal wetlands, conserved watersheds, or peatland conservation can serve as a net sink of greenhouse gas emissions (GhG); peatlands in particular are recognized as a critical carbon sink, as well as intact vegetated coastal wetlands (Tanneberger et al. 2021; Negandhi et al. 2019) and yet carbon storage associated more specifically with water provisioning is understudied despite potential to also provide mitigation co-benefits. The conversion of wetlands or peatlands to other land uses, such as agriculture, grasslands, developed areas or for gas extraction, may reduce the ability of systems to absorb greenhouse gas emissions and encourage erosion (Crooks et al. 2018). Green and blue infrastructure interventions are thus recognized as an effective form of carbon sequestration (Alves et al. 2019; Fenner 2017).

SM6.3.2.6.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalisation: positive moderate (low agreement, limited evidence)

Issues of water security and urban flooding have been shown to disproportionately impact vulnerable groups, with an estimated 59% of urban populations in developing countries without access to piped water (Keeler et al. 2019). NbS for water management or provisioning have potential to consider the associated equity dimensions that could have a synergistic effect on reducing poverty or marginalization or provide benefits to vulnerable communities (Hoover et al. 2021; Collins et al. 2018; Shi 2020). Similarly, NbS for water management deployed at various scales face procedural, distributive and other logistical challenges for how to effectively include diverse stakeholders in evidence-based decision making and climate governance (DuPuis and Greenberg 2019). While there is great potential for NbS to improve equity measures and benchmarks for cities, there is still limited evidence to suggest that NbS are a reliable means to reduce poverty and marginalization of vulnerable groups (Seddon et al. 2020).

NbS for water management have potential to be inclusive, "bottom-up" and community-based by engaging a diversity of stakeholders and addressing local contexts to ensure successful implementation (Drosou et al. 2019). However, case studies documenting successful inclusive processes are limited. While cities may seek to achieve these objectives, there is still limited evidence to suggest that NbS are inherently inclusive or locally accountable and may result in tradeoffs such as displacement (Scheidel and Work 2018). Researchers stress how cities have historically failed to involve local communities and indigenous groups, which ignores critical cultural links and identities that are important to successful NBs adoption and implementation (Drosou et al. 2019). In a study of green-blue infrastructure adoption in Semarang, Indonesia, researchers found that a lack of public awareness, funding and high costs of implementation, as well as fragmented policy and regulatory frameworks directly influenced the effectiveness of involving local residents in flood or urban water management actions (Srivastava and Mehta 2018).

SM6.3.2.6.16 Social transformation: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence)

Nbs for water management and provisioning can promote forms of social transformation by securing water security and enabling a shift from unsustainable development to address multiple environmental and social challenges (Steffen et al. 2018; Sartison and Artmann 2020). Practitioners and researchers increasingly advocate not only for approaches to NbS such as the water-sensitive city model or integrated urban water management (IUWN) but also biocultural approaches, which hold transformative potential, connecting cultural, social and economic issues to human well-being and social justice (Welden, Chausson, Melanidis 2021). While there is great potential for social transformation through NbS for water management, there is still limited evidence that such transformations are occurring through current NbS projects (Wong, Rogers, and Brown 2020).

- Ecological transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) SM6.3.2.6.17 1 Nbs for water management and provisioning provide several co-benefits to local and regional ecosystems, 2
- providing habitat reserves and corridors for species migration, increasing biodiversity levels, and connecting 3
- diverse flows in the urban water cycle to promote ecological transformation (Hobbie and Grimm 2020; 4
- Rowiński et al 2018). Researchers point to the shortcomings of traditional grey infrastructure, which many 5
- cities still rely upon for drinking water distribution, stormwater collection and wastewater treatment, 6
- highlighting the advantages of urban ecological infrastructure that takes advantage of ecological processes 7
- and provide alternative water supplies (Kozak et al. 2020). Evidence suggests that NbS through constructed 8
- wetlands, green walls, roof gardens and vegetated drainage basins can be used to support stormwater and 9

wastewater treatment while also offering ecological co-benefits (Filoso et al. 2017). These solutions are 10

particularly critical for cities in the Global South where a large majority of residents rely on urban nature for 11 12

their water supply, often outside the traditional grey infrastructure, raising important environmental justice

concerns (Keeler et al 2019).

13 14 15

SM6.3.2.7 Food production & security (see Section 6.3.3.7)

16 17

20

- SM6.3.2.7.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Urban agriculture (UA), such as community gardens, rooftop gardens, vertical indoor gardens, and urban 18
- agroforestry, can provide stormwater attenuation and reduce urban heat island (UHI) effect (Goldstein et al. 19
 - 2016). However, the potential effect of UA will vary depending on factors including the size of the
- allotment, available land, soil quality, climate, water availability, and light availability, which may be 21
- reduced from building shading (Keeler et al. 2019; Clinton et al. 2018, van Vliet, Eitelberg and Verburg 22

2017). 23

24 25

- SM6.3.2.7.2 Systemic vulnerability reduction: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- UA can reduce physical vulnerability by mitigating stormwater flooding and UHI and by providing nutrient 26
- cycling (Goldstein et al. 2016). UA can also help to address food insecurity through the localized production 27
- of food (Orsini et al. 2013), production levels are dependent on factors such as level of farming skill, 28
- supporting infrastructure such as running water, cultivation technique, and crop species selection (Barthel, 29
- Parker and Ernstson 2015). UA, and in particular community and allotment gardens, have also been found to 30
- alleviate social vulnerability by contributing to a sense of cultural belonging, a sense of place, and 31
- community cohesion (Andersson, Barthel and Ahrné 2007; Veen et al. 2016). However, physical access to 32
- UA, available time, cultural values around food production, and level of familiarity with other garden users 33
 - may moderate this outcome (Keeler et al. 2019).

38

39

40

41

42

47

48

49

50

SM6.3.2.7.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive negligible (medium agreement, limited evidence)

Extreme heat, drought, and other climate hazards can negatively impact crop production and flooding, or other extreme weather events can disrupt food supply chains (Schipanski et al. 2016). UA can support improved food security by providing individuals with knowledge about UA and the physical resources to engage with localized farming practices as opposed to relying on conventional global food systems in ways that may reduce exposure to some hazards (Schipanski et al. 2016; Barthel, Folke, and Colding 2010; Frayne, B., McCordic, C., and Shilomboleni 2014; Grewal and Grewal 2012). However, there is limited

43 evidence and potential benefits are dependent on factors including the amount of available land and the 44

suitability of the climate to growing crops year-round (Badami and Ramankutty, 2015). For example, regions 45 46

with warmer year-round climates can support multiple cycles of crop growing (Zezza and Tasciotti 2010).

SM6.3.2.7.4 Transfer of risk: unknown (low agreement, limited evidence)

UA requires water, energy, land, and labor, creating demand on existing infrastructure and potentially

diverting resources that could be directed elsewhere (Mohareb et al. 2017). Less sustainable waste

- management practices and the use of pesticides that increase polluted run-off are additional areas where risk 51
- may be transferred to other people (Mohareb et al. 2017), but there is limited evidence of increased risks to 52
 - climate hazards in other areas directly attributed to UA practices.

- SM6.3.2.7.5 Social capital: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- UA, and in particular allotment and community gardens, has been found to build social capital by enabling 56
- cross-cultural interactions, fostering cultural heritage and sense of place, and enhancing social cohesion 57

- (Cameron et al. 2017; Horst, McClintock, Hoey 2017, Camps-Calvet, Langemeyer, Calvet-Mir, Gómez-1
- Baggethun 2016). These benefits can vary based on the level of comfort and familiarity that gardeners have 2
- with the neighborhood of the garden and their perception of the garden as a welcoming space (Armstrong 3
- 2000). Equity concerns, however, related to land access and availability have also been cited as potentially 4
- impacting social capital outcomes of UA as well as potentially contributing to gentrification (McClintock 5 2018).

8

- SM6.3.2.7.6 Livelihoods: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- In addition to subsistence production, UA may be used to generate income (Keeler et al. 2019). Mobile food 9 10
 - markets can be a source of economic activity, especially in urban food deserts, where fresh produce is not
- readily accessible. A documented challenge associated with mobile food markets is the high cost of 11
- operations compared to generated revenue (Siegner et al 2018). However, research in more Global South 12

cases is needed. 13

14 15

- SM6.3.2.7.7 Health: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Health benefits associated with UA include increased levels of dietary diversity and nutrition (Zezza and 16
- Tasciotti 2010). Certain contexts may amplify these benefits, including lower-income areas of cities in 17
- higher-income countries and lower-income countries where people are already reliant on agriculture for 18
- subsistence and for revenue generation (Armstrong, 2000). UA has been linked to positive mental health 19
- outcomes (Soga et al, 2017). However, there are cases in which UA may perpetuate existing environmental 20
- health injustices. For example, a case study of UA in Oakland, CA found that lower-income areas are 21
- correlated with higher concentrations of soil contamination impacting food quality (McClintock, 2012). 22

23 24

- SM6.3.2.7.8 Ecological: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- UA can provide opportunities that expand urban green space and has been linked to a variety of ecosystem 25
- services, including pollination, nitrogen fixation, pest control, climate regulation, avoided stormwater runoff, 26
- soil formation and maintenance of soil fertility, and, for rooftop gardens, energy conservation via improved 27
- insulation (Clinton et al, 2018; Camps-Calvet et al, 2016). These benefits have been found to be more 28
- prominent when the previous land use has less ecological value (Nogeire-McRae et al, 2018). 29

30

- SM6.3.2.7.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) 31
- Through the selection of certain crop types, such as larger tree species, UA can be adapted to provide heat 32
- reduction and stormwater drainage (Goldstein et al, 2016). Flexibility to provide multi-hazard risk reduction 33
- is driven by the multi-functionality of UA and the many ecosystem services that UA can provide. However, 34
- any UHI or flood mitigation effects are likely to be small in magnitude and dependent on a variety of factors 35
- such as total land area, tree species, local climate, and soil condition (Clark and Nicholas, 2013). 36

37 38

- Deployable at scale: positive small (high agreement, medium evidence)
- UA projects are relatively easy to replicate as they can be adapted to the specific regional context by 39
- changing attributes such as crop type and UA project type. However, UA food production remains a small 40
- percentage of total urban food demand (McClintock, 2014; Clinton et al, 2018; Hara et al, 2018). A study 41
- that modelled the output potential for UA found that when factoring in land constraints, total crop production 42
- could be reduced to 1 5% of total yield potential (Clinton et al 2018). Some documented challenges include 43
 - identifying available space and locating land with uncontaminated soil (McClintock, 2014; Clinton et al,
 - 2018).

45 46 47

50

51

44

- SM6.3.2.7.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- 48 UA can provide direct benefits to infrastructure adaptation including especially for rooftop gardens which 49

can insulate buildings from heat, increase roof longevity, and provide cooling, and decrease total energy demand for cooling (Cameron et al, 2012, Qiu et al, 2013, Keeler et al, 2019). However, this potential effect

can be small depending on rooftop garden size relative to overall building cooling demand (Keeler et a 2019) and does not provide similar benefits when in implemented in ground level areas.

52 53 54

55

- SM6.3.2.7.12 Economic feasibility: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- UA can be initiated at very small garden scales and so has high potential economic feasibility but is also 56
 - variable. The economic feasibility of UA is dependent on factors such as the size of the plot, type of UA

project, cost of labor, water and light requirements of the selected crop species under varied regional climates, and crop yield (Keeler et al, 2019; Clinton et al, 2018). Studies show that UA is a current source of food for communities in lower-income areas and has a long-standing history in countries in the Global South (Orsini et al, 2013).

6 SM6.3.2.7.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
7 Outdoor UA can provide a cooling effect and serve as a carbon sink (Goldstein et al, 2016) and rooftop UA
8 can serve as building insulation that reduces energy demand for cooling (Cameron et al, 2012). However,
9 heating requirements for indoor UA can contribute to greenhouse gas emissions when implemented in colder
10 climates making mitigation benefits highly dependent on the type of UA (Cameron et al, 2012, Mohareb et al, 2017).

SM6.3.2.7.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalisation: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)

UA is an existing mode of food supply for communities in lower-income areas globally (Orsini et al, 2013; Saldivar-Tanaka and Krasny, 2004). Researchers have found that UA can contribute to food security and may serve as an important source of food supply in areas considered food deserts making them an important source of poverty alleviation through increased food security and nutritional diversity (Frayne et al, 2014).

SM6.3.2.7.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: positive small (medium agreement, limited evidence) There is widespread consensus that UA can enable social cohesion and community development (Keeler et al., 2019; Jacob and Rocha, 2021). UA has also been found to contribute to cross-cultural interactions (Jacob and Rocha, 2021, Shinew et al, 2004). However, cases have also been documented in which there is inequitable access to UA such as community gardens, especially related to spatial proximity and available time (Keeler et al. 2019, Colding and Barthel, 2013; McClintock, 2018; Bellemare and Dusoruth, 2021). More research is needed to assess equitable access to UA across different contexts (Keeler et al, 2019).

SM6.3.2.7.16 Social transformation: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
UA is multifunctional and has the potential to provide a host of ecological and social benefits (Keeler et al., 2019). In a departure from neoliberal 'food security' narratives, UA has also been considered as a conduit for food sovereignty and food system transformation (Alkon and Mares, 2012; Siebert, 2020; Tornaghi and Dehaene, 2020), which seeks to democratize food systems and asserts the right of people to produce and define their own food and agriculture systems (Desmarais, 2007). However, inclusive and equitable access to healthy garden plots is critical to ensuring positive social transformation (McClintock, 2018).

SM6.3.2.7.17 Ecological transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) UA can foster biodiversity, support pollinators, and support multiple forms of ecosystem functioning (Goldstein et al, 2016). These benefits are limited by the rate of urbanization which can reduce land availability and contribute to contaminated soil and poor growing conditions (Follmann et al, 2021). UA characteristics that contribute to UA biodiversity include varied vegetative structure, increased native plant diversity, and reduction of urban impervious surface (Lin, Philpott, and Jha 2015). A review of literature on the biodiversity benefits of UA found mixed evidence of potential benefits, with the majority of existing studies conducted in North America (Clucas et al, 2018).

SM6.3.3 Grey/Physical Infrastructure

SM6.3.3.1 Built Form (see Section 6.3.4.1)

SM6.3.3.1.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Adaptation of built form can help manage multiple climate risks, especially flood and heat risk (Zhou et al., 2017; Chan et al., 2018; Caparros-Midwood et al., 2019).

SM6.3.3.1.2 Reduces systemic vulnerability: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)

Adaptation of built form is a systemic vulnerability reduction (Dhar and Khirfan, 2017; Ürge-Vorsatz et al., 2018).

- SM6.3.3.1.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- 2 Adaptation of built form can reduce exposure against multiple risks (Schwarz and Manceur, 2015; Caparros-
- 3 Midwood et al., 2017; Sharifi, 2019).

- 5 SM6.3.3.1.4 Transfer of risk: negative small (medium agreement, limited evidence)
- Some actions in the built environment could transfer risks elsewhere e.g. downstream flood risk (Nicholls et
- 7 al., 2020; Hewett et al., 2020)

8

- 9 SM6.3.3.1.5 Social capital: positive negligible (low agreement, limited evidence)
- 10 Transformation of built form has potential to enhance social capital (Cabrera and Najarian, 2015; Romero-
- Lankao et al., 2018). Evidence is limited but positive relationships typically exist between design, and
- diversity, but population density is typically negative and less clear (Mazumdar et al., 2017).

13

- 14 SM6.3.3.1.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- 15 Increasing density can increase job density and accessibility (Lohrey and Creutzig, 2016; Wiedenhofer et al.,
- 16 2018; Caparros-Midwood et al., 2019).

17 18

- 18 SM6.3.3.1.7 Health: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Adaptation of the built environment form can improve air quality, mental health and wellbeing (Hankey and
- Marshall, 2017; Yuan et al., 2018; Mouratidis, 2018; Kent and Thompson, 2014).

21

- 22 SM6.3.3.1.8 Ecological: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Adaptation of built form can provide beneficial green space, ecological corridors and other services (Marcus
 - et al., 2020; Childers et al., 2015; Grafius et al., 2018).

24 25

- 26 SM6.3.3.1.9 Flexibility post-deployment: negative high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Built form locks in for a long time, typically these lock-ins have had negative impacts but there is also the
- opportunity to lock-in positive benefits (Ürge-Vorsatz et al., 2018).

29 30

- SM6.3.3.1.10 Deployable at scale: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Built form adaptation is a broad scale intervention (Dhar and Khirfan, 2017).

31 32

- 33 SM6.3.3.1.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation positive high (low agreement, limited evidence)
- Adaptation of infrastructure should be undertaken within the context of the built form (Markolf et al., 2018;
- 36 Dawson, 2015).

37

- 38 SM6.3.3.1.12 Economic feasibility: unknown (low agreement, limited evidence)
- Major transformation is likely to be expensive, but the overall cost effectiveness unclear.

40

- 41 SM6.3.3.1.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Reconfiguration of built form can offer substantial mitigation benefits by altering long term demand (Lohrey
- and Creutzig, 2016; Li et al., 2018), though construction activities are typically energy intensive (Bai et al.,
- 44 2018; Seto et al., 2016).

45

- SM6.3.3.1.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalisation: negative moderate (low agreement, limited evidence)
- Adaptation through relocation of urban poor at risk populations has been observed to severely undermine individual wellbeing and livelihoods (Arnall 2019).

50

- 51 SM6.3.3.1.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: positive small (low agreement, limited evidence)
- Urban form is linked to accessibility (Rode et al., 2017; Fried et al., 2020) which is important for some
- aspects of inclusion.

- 55 SM6.3.3.1.16 Social transformation: negative moderate (low agreement, limited evidence)
- 56 Changes in built form made in the name of reducing flood exposure is a key mechanism for avoiding future
- exposure. Immediate consequences can be the stranding of assets. Where low-income residential settlements

- are forced to relocate this can lead to the transfer of valuable land ownership and use rights away from 1
- poorer to richer residents and from informal and social housing to private ownership undermining 2
- transformative adaptation (Shi et al 2016). 3

- SM6.3.3.1.17 Ecological transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) 5
- Adaptation of built form can provide beneficial green space, ecological corridors, and other services (Grafius 6

et al., 2018).

8 9

SM6.3.3.2 Housing & building design/function (see Section 6.3.4.2)

10

- SM6.3.3.2.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) 11
- A range of adaptation options are available to manage multiple climate risks to houses and buildings (van 12
- Hooff, 2014; Puckett, K. and Gethering, 2019; CCC, 2019). 13

14

- SM6.3.3.2.2 Reduces systemic vulnerability: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) 15
- Systemic reduction in vulnerability can be achieved through new building codes and retrofit programmes 16
- (Henstra, 2016; Wilkinson et al., 2014) 17

18

- SM6.3.3.2.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) 19
- Well adapted new buildings avoid increasing exposure to climate risks, whilst adaptation of existing 20
- buildings reduces exposure and measures such as building scale water storage can reduce hazard locally 21
- (Jamali et al., 2020; Webber et al., 2018). 22

23

- SM6.3.3.2.4 Transfer of risk: negative negligible (medium agreement, medium evidence) 24
- Air conditioning can increase heat emissions into urban areas (Hwang et al., 2020; Kingsborough et al., 25
- 2017), but no evidence was found that other actions transfer risks. 26

27

- SM6.3.3.2.5 Social capital: positive negligible (low agreement, limited evidence) 28
- Building adaptation programmes have the potential to enhance social capital but limited evidence (Aldrich et 29

al., 2018). 30

31

- SM6.3.3.2.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) 32
- Productivity is higher in well adapted buildings (Day et al., 2019; Kim and Hong, 2020; Hooyberghs et al., 33
- 2017). 34

35

- SM6.3.3.2.7 Health: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) 36
- Well adapted buildings protect occupants from death and illness associated with climate extremes (Alam et 37
- al., 2016; Taylor et al., 2018). 38

39

- SM6.3.3.2.8 Ecological: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) 40
- Certain building adaptations, such as green walls and roofs, can provide ecological benefits (Vijayaraghavan, 41
- 2016; Mayrand and Clergeau, 2018), no literature was found on non-green infrastructure enabling ecological 42
- adaptation. 43

44

- SM6.3.3.2.9 Flexibility post-deployment: negative moderate (high agreement, robust evidence) 45
- Housing and buildings have a long lifespan, but a number of adaptations can be retrofit (Ürge-Vorsatz et al., 46
- 2018; Sandberg et al., 2016; Reyna and Chester, 2015). 47

48

- 49 Deployable at scale: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Retrofit programmes, or changes in building codes, can be scaled widely (Sandberg et al., 2016; Gouldson et 50
- al., 2015). 51

52 53

- Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive negligible (medium SM6.3.3.2.11 agreement, limited evidence)
- Buildings are end users of infrastructure services so some adaptations would not provide benefits to the 55
- resilience of other services; actions that reduce in-building demand e.g. water consumption, reduces pressure 56
- on that infrastructure service (Golz et al., 2019; CCC, 2019). 57

```
1 2
```

SM6.3.3.2.12 Economic feasibility: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Well adapted design is a cost-effective option, retrofit can be more expensive depending on the technologies used (Bastidas-Arteaga and Stewart, 2019; Aerts, 2018).

5

- 6 SM6.3.3.2.13 Mitigation co-benefits: positive high (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- 7 Construction based adaptation requires energy (Bai et al., 2018), but many actions e.g. reducing water use,
- lower energy consumption (Golz et al., 2019; Sharifi, 2020).

9

- SM6.3.3.2.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: negative moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
 - Retrofitting of residential properties for heatwave adaptation has been associated with private property owners and higher value rental properties creating inequality (Schünemann et al 2020).

13 14

12

SM6.3.3.2.15 Inclusive and locally accountable: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
Building adaptation can be inclusive when it includes training (Yakubu, 2020) and locally accountable when
part of local design processes (Matopoulos et al 2014).

18

- 19 SM6.3.3.2.16 Social transformation: negative moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Adaptation of social housing could provide a mechanism for enhanced welfare and redistributional equity.
- The limited data on adaptation in social housing shows this lags behind adaptation of private property so
- undermining transformation (Kenna 2008). Similar failure for comprehensive addressing of marginality in
- upgrading of slum housing also misses opportunities for transformation (Ajibade and McBean, 2014).

24

- 25 SM6.3.3.2.17 Ecological transformation: positive small (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Some adaptation options for buildings can support ecological transformation when undertaken together with
- 27 nature-based solutions, e.g. large scale deployment of green roofs to create ecological corridors
- (Vijayaraghavan, 2016; Mayrand and Clergeau, 2018).

29 30

31

- SM6.3.3.3 ICT (see Section 6.3.4.3)
- 32 SM6.3.3.3.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- A range of adaptation options are available for ICT systems to manage flood, heat and wind risks (Sakano et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2016).

35

- 36 SM6.3.3.2 Reduces systemic vulnerability: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Systemic reduction in vulnerability can be achieved through network wide measures such as topology design and new standards (Fu et al., 2017; Val et al., 2019).

39

- 40 SM6.3.3.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: nil (low agreement, limited evidence)
- No reported evidence found that suggests ICT adaptation changes behaviour that reduces exposure (though
- the presence of ICT can provide benefits).

43 44 45

- SM6.3.3,3.4 Transfer of risk: nil (low agreement, limited evidence)
 - No reported evidence found that suggests ICT adaptation transfers risks.

46 47

- 47 SM6.3.3.5 Social capital: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)
- 48 ICT can be rapidly deployed to support disaster management and thereby acts as an adaptation action in its
- own right (Eakin et al., 2015; Heeks and Ospina, 2019; Haworth et al., 2018; Imam et al. 2017).

50

- 51 SM6.3.3.6 Livelihoods: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Well adapted ICT infrastructure supports economic growth and offers opportunities for business, especially
- in remote areas (Veknatesh et al., 2017) and for revenue generation in 'smart' cities (Angelidou, 2015).

54

- 55 *SM6.3.3.7 Health: nil (low agreement, limited evidence)*
 - No reported evidence found that suggests ICT adaptation provides indirect health benefits.

- SM6.3.3.8 Ecological: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) 1
- No reported evidence found that suggests ICT adaptation provides indirect ecosystem benefits. 2

- SM6.3.3.9 Flexibility post deployment: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) 4
- With the exception of important fixed assets such as data centres and exchanges, ICT infrastructure is mostly 5
- very flexible, upgrade cycles are short compared to other infrastructure enabling adaptation to occur quickly 6 7
 - and cost effectively as part of regular upgrades (Sakano et al., 2016; Val et al., 2019).

8

- SM6.3.3.3.10 Deployable at scale: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence) 9
- With robust standards and regulation adaptation is deployable at scale (Fu et al., 2016). 10

11 12

13

- SM6.3.3.3.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- ICT increasingly underpins and enables other infrastructure sectors and the built environment (Norman, 14
- 2018; Maki et al., 2019). Adaptation therefore provides wide benefits. 15

16 17

- Economic feasibility: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)
- High natural turnover of ICT assets allows adaptation to be worked into asset management cycles and the 18
- high commercial return makes ICT adaptation typically affordable (Sakano et al., 2016). Infrastructure 19
- adaptation typically provides a good benefit to cost ratio (GCA, 2019; Watkiss et al., 2021) 20

21

- SM6.3.3.3.13 Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) 22
- Smart infrastructure typically enables more efficient operation and reduced energy use and GHG emissions 23
- (Ismagilova et al., 2019). However, ICT systems are a fast-growing source of global emissions (Anser et al., 24
- 2021; Belkhir and Elmeligi, 2019). 25

26

29

30

- Targets reducing poverty & marginalisation: positive moderate (low agreement, medium SM6.3.3.3.14 27 evidence) 28
 - A well-adapted communication infrastructure can create a digital divide but can also reduce marginalisation and provide economic benefits when deployed widely and with appropriate support and training for uptake (Eakin et al., 2015; Heeks and Ospina, 2019; Haworth et al., 2018; Imam, Hossain and Saha, 2017).

31 32

- *Inclusive & locally accountable: positive moderate (low agreement, medium evidence)* 33
- Can support community resilience programmes and improve transparency (Laspidou, 2014; Devkota and 34
- Phuyal, 2018; Panda et al., 2019), but also spread misinformation and create a digital divide (Haworth et al., 35
- 2018; Coletta and Kitchin, 2017; Leszczynski, 2016). 36

37

- Social Transformation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) 38
- Well adapted, resilient, ICT infrastructure enables processes of economic and social transformation (in rural 39
- areas in particular). e.g. to provide continued economic opportunities for female entrepreneurs (Venkatesh et 40
- al., 2017) and alternative service delivery models for other infrastructure systems (Angelidou, 2015; Richter 41
- et al., 2017). 42
- 44
- Ecological transformation: nil (low agreement, limited evidence)
 - No evidence found that ICT infrastructure supports ecological transformation.

46 48

43

45

- SM6.3.3.4 Energy infrastructure (see Section 6.3.4.4) 47
- 49 SM6.3.3.4.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- A range of adaptation options are available for energy systems to manage flood, heat, wind and subsidence 50 risks (Cronin et al., 2018) 51

52

- SM6.3.3.4.2 Reduces systemic vulnerability: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence) 53
- Systemic reduction in vulnerability can be achieved through network wide measures such as topology design 54
- and new standards (Fu et al., 2018; Panteli et al., 2017). 55

- SM6.3.3.4.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) 1
- No reported evidence found that suggests energy adaptation changes behaviour that reduces exposure. 2

- SM6.3.3.4.4 Transfer of risk: nil (low agreement, limited evidence)
- No reported evidence found that suggests energy adaptation transfers risks. 5

6

- SM6.3.3.4.5 Social capital: positive small (high agreement, limited evidence) 7
- Community adaptation actions can build social capital (Ghanem et al., 2016; Brummer, 2018; Radtke, 2014). 8

9

- *SM6.3.3.4.6 Livelihoods: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)* 10
- Energy infrastructure is crucial to support economic activity and livelihoods (Biggs et al., 2015; Fankhauser 11
- and Stern, 2016). 12

13

- SM6.3.3.4.7 Health: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) 14
- No reported evidence found that suggests energy adaptation provides indirect health benefits. 15

16

- SM6.3.3.4.8 Ecological: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) 17
- No reported evidence found that suggests energy adaptation provides indirect ecosystem benefits. 18

19

- SM6.3.3.4.9 Flexibility post deployment: negative moderate (high agreement, medium evidence) 20
- Energy infrastructure typically has relatively low flexibility once installed (Fu et al., 2018). 21

22

- Deployable at scale: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) SM6.3.3.4.10 23
 - With robust standards and regulation adaptation is deployable at scale (ENA, 2015).

24 25

- SM6.3.3.4.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive high (high agreement, robust 26 evidence) 27
- Energy increasingly underpins and enables other infrastructure sectors and the built environment (Dawson et 28 al., 2018; Pescaroli and Alexander, 2016; Kong et al., 2019). Adaptation therefore provides wide benefits. 29

30 31

- SM6.3.3.4.12 Economic feasibility: positive high (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Building in resilience from the outset is far more cost effective than retrofit, but infrastructure adaptation 32
- typically provides a good benefit to cost ratio (GCA, 2019; Watkiss et al., 2021). 33

34

- *Mitigation co-benefit: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)* SM6.3.3.4.13 35
- Well adapted low carbon energy systems are crucial to underpin mitigation efforts (Kemp, 2017; 36
- Feldpausch-Parker et al., 2018). 37

38

41

42

- SM6.3.3.4.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: positive moderate (medium agreement, 39 medium evidence)
 A well-adapted energy system helps reduce poverty and can reduce marginalisation if equitably delivered 40
 - (Bulkelely et al., 2014; Wamsler and Raggers, 2018).

43 44 45

- SM6.3.3.4.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium
- A well-adapted energy system can support community resilience and accountability depending on the service 46 delivery model (Ghanem et al., 2016; Sharifi and Yamagata, 2016). Although top-down targets can 47

sometimes inhibit local action (Wu et al., 2017). 48

49

- SM6.3.3.4.16 Social transformation: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) 50
- No evidence found that adaptation of energy infrastructure (as opposed to choices about the original 51
- infrastructure) supports social transformation. 52

53

- Ecological transformation: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) SM6.3.3.4.17 54
- No evidence found that adaptation of energy infrastructure supports ecological transformation. 55

SM6.3.3.5 Transport (see Section 6.3.4.5)

2

- 3 SM6.3.3.5.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- 4 A range of adaptation options are available for transport systems to manage flood, heat, wind and geohazard

risks (Doll et al., 2014; Forzieri et al., 2018).

6

1

- 7 SM6.3.3.5.2 Reduces systemic vulnerability: positive high (high agreement, medium evidence)
- 8 Systemic reduction in vulnerability can be achieved through network wide measures such as topology design
 - and new standards (Doll et al., 2014; Koks et al., 2019).

9 10

- 11 SM6.3.3.5.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: negative small (low agreement, limited evidence)
- Adaptation increases the reliability of transport infrastructure, which can increase the use of particular modes
- 13 (Wong et al., 2017) or particular assets (e.g. airports, Yesudian and Dawson, 2020) potentially increasing
- 14 exposure.

15

- 16 SM6.3.3.5.4 Transfer of risk: nil (low agreement, limited evidence)
- Some transport adaptations, e.g. use of tunnels as temporary water storage, provide wider benefits to the built
- environment (Soon et al., 2017).

19

- 20 SM6.3.3.5.5 Social capital: positive small (high agreement, limited evidence)
- The relationship between social capital and transport can be positive and negative (Schwanen, 2015), with
- approaches such as transit oriented urban development helping to develop social capital (Kamruzzaman et
- 23 al., 2014).

24

- 25 SM6.3.3.5.6 Livelihoods: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- 26 Transport infrastructure is crucial to support economic growth and livelihoods (Farhadi, 2015; Saidi et al.,
- 27 2018).

28

- 29 SM6.3.3.5.7 Health: nil (low agreement, limited evidence)
- Ensuring active transport infrastructure is well adapted improves uptake which has health benefits (Wong et
- al., 2017; Winters et al., 2017).

32

- 33 *SM6.3.3.5.8 Ecological: negative small (low agreement, limited evidence)*
- Overall adaptation to existing infrastructure has a nil or negative impact on ecology through standard
- construction impacts. This could be extended if new roads, railways etc were built as adaptations to climate
- change and its landscape effects. Some transport adaptation interventions, such as creation of green
- corridors, can provide ecological benefits or mitigate the negative ecological impacts (Davies et al., 2014).
- 38
 - 39 SM6.3.3.5.9 Flexibility post deployment: negative high (high agreement, medium evidence)
 - The physical elements of the transport infrastructure system typically have low flexibility once installed
 - 41 (Ürge-Vorsatz et al., 2018)), although new technologies can enable this to be used in different ways
 - 42 (Suatmadi et al., 2019; Vanderschuren and Baufeldt, 2018).

43 44 45

- SM6.3.3.5.10 Deployable at scale: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
 - With robust standards and regulation adaptation is deployable at scale (Colin et al., 2016; Quinn et al., 2018).

46 47 48

- SM6.3.3.5.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Accessibility and movement of goods is important to ensure operation of other infrastructures (Hossain et al., 2020; Haraguchi and Kim, 2016; Pregnolato et al., 2016).

50 51

- 52 SM6.3.3.5.12 Economic feasibility: positive high (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Building in resilience from the outset is far more cost effective than retrofit (GCA, 2019; Watkiss et al.,
- 54 2021).

- *Mitigation co-benefit: positive small (high agreement, limited evidence)* SM6.3.3.5.13 1
- Some adaptation activities may benefit mitigation efforts by influencing demand or making low carbon 2
- infrastructure such as EV charging stations more resilient (Shaheen et al., 2019; Costa et al., 2018). 3

6

- SM6.3.3.5.14 *Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: positive moderate (medium agreement,* medium evidence)
- A well-adapted transport system helps reduce poverty and can reduce marginalisation if equitably delivered 7 (Kamruzzaman et al., 2014; Schwanen, 2015; Mazumdar, 2018). 8

9

- SM6.3.3.5.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium 10 11 evidence)
- A well-adapted transport system can support community resilience and accountability depending on the 12 service delivery model (Mattioli and Colleoni, 2016). 13

14

- SM6.3.3.5.16 Social transformation: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) 15
- No evidence found that adaptation of transport infrastructure (as opposed to choices about the original 16 infrastructure) supports social transformation. 17

18

- Ecological transformation: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) SM6.3.3.5.17 19
- Adaptation of transport infrastructure can support ecological transformation if incorporated as part of the 20 design (Davies et al., 2014). 21

22

SM6.3.3.6 Water and Sanitation (see Section 6.3.4.6) 23

24

- SM6.3.3.6.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) 25
- A range of adaptation options are available for water and sanitation systems to manage flood, heat and 26
- subsidence risks (Dirwai et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2018). 27

28

- SM6.3.3.6.2 Reduces systemic vulnerability: positive moderate (high agreement, limited evidence) 29
- Systemic reduction in vulnerability can be achieved through network wide measures such as topology design 30 and new standards (Campos and Darch, 2015; Ives et al., 2018).

31

32

SM6.3.3.6.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: negative small (medium agreement, medium 33 evidence) 34

Adaptation can improve availability and reliability of water resources, in some instances this can increase 35 demand for resources (Wang et al., 2016). 36

37

- SM6.3.3.6.4 Transfer of risk: positive small (low agreement, medium evidence) 38
- Adaptation measures can alter flows, potentially displacing risks (Olmstead, 2014). 39

40

- SM6.3.3.6.5 Social capital: positive moderate (high agreement, limited evidence) 41
- Community adaptation actions can build social capital and improve health outcomes (Bisung et al., 2014; 42
- Dean et al., 2016; Amaris et al., 2021) 43

44

- SM6.3.3.6.6 Livelihoods: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) 45
- Water and sanitation infrastructure are crucial to support economic growth and livelihoods, nearly 4 in 5 jobs 46
- are dependent on water (UN, 2016). 47

48

- 49 *SM6.3.3.6.7 Health: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)*
- Well adapted water and sanitation systems are crucial to public health (Howard et al., 2014). 50

51

- SM6.3.3.6.8 Ecological: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence) 52
- Actions to improve water quality and reduce water abstraction support ecological services (Miller and 53
- Hutchins, 2017; Jeppesen et al., 2015). 54

- SM6.3.3.6.9 Flexibility post deployment: negative high (high agreement, medium evidence) 1
- Water and sanitation physical infrastructure typically have low flexibility once installed (Walker et al., 2
- 2017), although some more flexible alternatives are emerging (Spiller et al., 2015). 3

- SM6.3.3.6.10 Deployable at scale: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) 5
- With robust standards and regulation, adaptation is deployable at scale (Bouabid and Louis, 2015; Dasgupta 6 et al., 2021).

8

SM6.3.3.6.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive moderate (high agreement, 9 robust evidence) 10

Improved drainage reduces flood risk to other infrastructures (Yazdanfar and Sharma, 2015; Hoang and 11 Fenner, 2016). Managing water consumption helps ensure sufficient water for energy generation cooling 12 (van Vliet, et al., 2016; Byers et al., 2016).

13

14

- SM6.3.3.6.12 Economic feasibility: positive high (medium agreement, medium evidence) 15
- Building in resilience from the outset is far more cost effective than retrofit, but infrastructure adaptation 16

typically provides a good benefit to cost ratio (GCA, 2019; Watkiss et al., 2021). 17

18

- *Mitigation co-benefit: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)* SM6.3.3.6.13 19
- Adaptation to reduce water consumption and wastewater production lowers energy use (Wa'el et al., 2017; 20
- Hamiche et al., 2016). 21

22

- Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: positive high (high agreement, robust SM6.3.3.6.14 23 evidence) 24
- A well-adapted water and sanitation system is essential to reduce marginalisation and poverty (Howard et al., 25 2016; Duncker, 2019). 26

27 28

29

30

- Inclusive & locally accountable: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium SM6.3.3.6.15 evidence)
 - A well-adapted water and sanitation system can support community resilience and accountability depending on the service delivery model (Duncker, 2019; Schrecongost et al. 2020).

31 32

- Social Transformation: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) 33
- No evidence found that adaptation of water and sanitation infrastructure (as opposed to choices about the 34 original infrastructure) supports social transformation. 35

36

- SM6.3.3.6.17 *Ecological transformation: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)* 37
- Well adapted water and sanitation systems have significant ecological benefits (Miller and Hutchins, 2017; 38
- Jeppesen et al., 2015). 39

40

Flood management (see Section 6.3.4.7) SM6.3.3.7 41

42

- SM6.3.3.7.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive small (high agreement, robust evidence) 43
- Physical flood management infrastructure interventions do not typically address multiple climate hazards 44
- (Sayers et al., 2015). 45

46

- SM6.3.3.7.2 Reduces systemic vulnerability: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence) 47
- Application of standards, flood warning and education programmes can enhance resilience (Byun Hamlet, 48
- 2020; Cools et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2017) but many education programmes have limited effectiveness 49 (Osberghaus and Hinrichs, 2021).

50 51

- SM6.3.3.7.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: negative small (high agreement, medium evidence) 52
- Flood defences can create confidence that leads to more construction behind them, increasing residual risk 53
- (Miller et al., 2019; Ludy and Kondolf, 2012) 54

- *SM6.3.3.7.4 Transfer of risk: negative moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)* 1
- Flood defence infrastructure can alter river flow and sediment behaviour downstream, which can increase 2
- downstream risks (Kondolf et al., 2014; Thaler and Hartmann, 2016). 3

- SM6.3.3.7.5 Social capital: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence) 5
- Flood warning and education programmes can contribute towards community social capital and improve 6
- uptake of some measures (Cools et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2017; Dittrich et al., 2016)

8

- *SM6.3.3.7.6 Livelihoods: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)* 9
- Flood management adaptation reduces disruption of key services, economy and livelihoods (Pant et al., 10
- 2018; Ward et al., 2017) 11

12

- *SM6.3.3.7.7 Health: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)* 13
- Flood management adaptation reduces risks to lives and public health (Hu et al., 2018; Venkataramanan et 14
- al., 2019). 15

16 17

- SM6.3.3.7.8 Ecological: negative moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Grey infrastructure, unless part of a hybrid grey-green solution, does not usually offer ecological benefits 18
 - (Kok et al., 2021; Scheres and Schüttrumpf; 2019; Sayers et al., 2015).

19 20

- SM6.3.3.7.9 Flexibility post deployment: negative negligible (high agreement, medium evidence) 21
- Physical flood management infrastructure typically has low flexibility once installed (Octavianti and 22
- Charles, 2019), although flexible designs and adaptive pathways are emerging (Anvarifar et al., 2016; 23
- Kapetas and Fenner, 2020). 24

25

- SM6.3.3.7.10 Deployable at scale: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence) 26
- Flood management infrastructure can be deployed at significant spatial scale, with examples at city, regional 27
- and national scales (de Moel et al., 2015). 28

29 30

31

- Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive high (high agreement, robust SM6.3.3.7.11
- Protection is provided to other infrastructure in the floodplain (Pant et al., 2018).

32 33

- SM6.3.3.7.12 Economic feasibility: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence) 34
- Globally benefits of flood management outweigh costs (Ward et al., 2017). For large settlements flood 35
- management infrastructure is usually highly cost effective, but increasingly less so for small towns and 36 37
 - villages (Tiggeloven et al., 2019).

38 39

- *Mitigation co-benefit: negative negligible (high agreement, limited evidence)* SM6.3.3.7.13
- Construction usually has a carbon footprint (though very small as a proportion of global emissions) (Beber et 40

al., 2020). 41

42 43

44

45

46

SM6.3.3.7.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: negative moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)

Although flood management infrastructure can provide universal protection, evidence shows poorer and more vulnerable communities typically face higher flood risks and lower access to individual property measures (Sayers et al., 2018; van Bavel et al., 2018).

47 48

- 49 *Inclusive & locally accountable: negative negligible (low agreement, medium evidence)*
- Many large-scale schemes are reliant on central government funding and decision-making criteria, but 50
- participatory processes can better engage communities improve local accountability (Garvey and Paavola, 51
- 2021; Everard, 2021). 52

53

- Social transformation: nil (low agreement, limited evidence) SM6.3.3.7.16 54
- No evidence found that adaptation of physical flood management infrastructure supports social 55
- transformation. 56

- SM6.3.3.7.17 Ecological transformation: negative small (medium agreement, limited evidence)
- Grey infrastructure, unless part of a hybrid grey-green solution, does not usually offer opportunity to support

ecological transformation (Kok et al., 2021; Scheres and Schüttrumpf, 2019; Sayers et al., 2015).

4 5

SM6.3.3.8 Coastal management (see Section 6.3.4.8)

6 7

- SM6.3.3.8.1 Multiple climate hazards: positive small (high agreement, robust evidence)
- 8 Physical coastal management infrastructure interventions do not typically address multiple climate hazards
- 9 (Sayers et al., 2015) although some can provide multiple socio-economic benefits (Kothuis and Kok, 2017;
- 10 Anvarifar et al., 2017).

11

- SM6.3.3.8.2 Reduces systemic vulnerability: positive moderate (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Physical infrastructure reduces the likelihood of flooding for the area it protects, whilst flood warning,
- education programmes, and community relocation support can reduce vulnerability (Matyas and Pelling,
- 15 2015; Sayers et al., 2015).

16 17

- SM6.3.3.8.3 Reduces new hazard exposure generated: negative small (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Coastal management can create confidence that leads to more construction behind them, increasing residual
 - risk (Miller et al., 2019; Ludy and Kondolf, 2012).

19 20

- 21 SM6.3.3.8.4 Transfer of risk: negative moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)
- 22 Coastal management infrastructure can alter coastal sediment movements, degrade ecosystems, which can
- increase flood and erosion risks elsewhere (Wang et al., 2018a; Dawson, 2015; Nicholls, Dawson and Day,

24 2015). 25

- SM6.3.3.8.5 Social capital: positive moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Understanding and enhancing social capital can improve the effectiveness and uptake of coastal management
- infrastructure; physical infrastructure adaptation tends not to contribute towards social capital unless part of a
- wider programme of coastal flood warning, education programmes, and community relocation (Matyas and
- 30 Pelling, 2015; Triyanti et al., 2017; Rojas et al., 2014; Petzold and Ratter, 2015).

31

- 32 *SM6.3.3.8.6 Livelihoods: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)*
- 33 Coastal management infrastructure adaptation reduces disruption of key services, economy and livelihoods
- (Shughrue and Seto, 2018; Yesudian and Dawson, 2021; Tiggeloven et al., 2020).

35

- 36 SM6.3.3.8.7 Health: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Coastal management infrastructure adaptation reduces risks to lives and public health from coastal erosion
- and flooding (Brown et al., 2018; Kulp and Strauss, 2019; Haasnoot et al., 2021).

39

- 40 SM6.3.3.8.8 Ecological: negative moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Physical infrastructure typically has negligible or negative ecological benefits (Renaud et al., 2015), unless
- part of a hybrid soft engineering or nature-based engineering solution (Schoonees et al., 2019; Grimm et al.,
- 2016; Depietri and McPhearson, 2017; Morris et al., 2018).

44

- 45 SM6.3.3.8.9 Flexibility post deployment: negative negligible (high agreement, medium evidence)
- Physical coastal management infrastructure typically has low flexibility once installed although some more
- flexible designs have been proposed (Sayers et al., 2015; Kothuis and Kok, 2017; Anvarifar et al., 2017),
- 48 however adaptation pathways that, might include physical protection, offer more flexible strategies to coastal
- management (Haasnoot et al., 2019).

50

- 51 SM6.3.3.8.10 Deployable at scale: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- 52 Coastal management infrastructure can be deployed at significant spatial scale, with examples at city,
- regional and national scales (Scussolini et al., 2016).

- SM6.3.3.8.11 Benefit to other infrastructure systems adaptation: positive high (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Protection is provided to other infrastructure at risk from flooding and erosion (Koks et al., 2019; Brown et al., 2014).

- 6 SM6.3.3.8.12 Economic feasibility: positive moderate (high agreement, robust evidence)
- Globally benefits of coastal management outweigh costs (Hinkel et al., 2014; Tiggeloven et al., 2020). For
- 8 large settlements coastal flood and erosion management infrastructure is usually highly cost effective, but
- 9 increasingly less so for small towns and villages (Nicholls, Dawson & Day, 2015).

10 11

- SM6.3.3.8.13 Mitigation co-benefit: negative negligible (high agreement, limited evidence)
- 12 Construction usually has a carbon footprint (though very small as a proportion of global emissions) (Beber et al., 2020).

14 15

16

17

18

- SM6.3.3.8.14 Targets reducing poverty & marginalization: negative moderate (medium agreement, medium evidence)
- Although coastal management infrastructure can provide universal protection, evidence shows poorer and more vulnerable communities typically face higher risks, and smaller communities are often unable to demonstrate cost effectiveness (Pelling and Garschagen, 2019; Clément et al., 2015; Fletcher et al., 2016).

19 20 21

- SM6.3.3.8.15 Inclusive & locally accountable: negative negligible (low agreement, medium evidence)
- Many large-scale schemes are reliant on central government funding and decision-making criteria, but
- participatory processes can better engage communities, provide local accountability and provide co-benefits
- 24 (Matyas and Pelling, 2015; Triyanti et al., 2017; Rojas et al., 2014; Petzold and Ratter, 2015; Kothuis and
- 25 Kok, 2017; Anvarifar et al., 2017).

26

- 27 SM6.3.3.8.16 Social transformation: positive small (low agreement, limited evidence)
- No evidence found that adaptation of physical coastal management infrastructure supports social
- transformation unless part of a wider capacity building programme (Matyas and Pelling, 2015; Triyanti et al.,
- 2017; Rojas et al., 2014; Petzold and Ratter, 2015).

31

- 32 SM6.3.3.8.17 Ecological transformation: negative small (MA-LE)
- Physical coastal management infrastructure, unless part of a hybrid soft engineering or green infrastructure
- solution, does not usually offer opportunity to support ecological transformation (Schoonees et al., 2019;
 - Grimm et al., 2016; Depietri and McPhearson, 2017; Morris et al., 2018).

36 37

35

References

1 2

7

8

9

12

13 14

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54 55

- Abell, R. and Johnson, K. (2017) Beyond the source: The environmental, economic and community benefits of source 3 water protection. Arlington, VA: The Nature Conservancy. 4
- Abraham, E. M., Martin, A. M., Cofie, O. and Raschid-Sally, L. (2015) 'Urban households' access to water for 5 livelihoods enhancement in Accra, Ghana', Waterlines, pp. 139-155. 6
 - Abudu Kasei, R., Dalitso Kalanda-Joshua, M. and Tutu Benefor, D. (2019) 'Rapid urbanisation and implications for indigenous knowledge in early warning on flood risk in African cities', Journal of the British Academy, 7(2), pp. 183-214.
- Acreman, M. (2021) 'Evidence for the effectiveness of nature-based solutions to water issues in Africa', Environmental 10 11 Research Letters, 16, pp. 063007.
 - Adelekan, I., Johnson, C., Manda, M., Matyas, D., Mberu, B., Parnell, S., Pelling, M., Satterthwaite, D. and Vivekananda, J. (2015) 'Disaster risk and its reduction: An agenda for urban Africa', International Development *Planning Review*, 37(1), pp. 33-43.
- Adger, W. N., Pulhin, J. M., Barnett, J., Dabelko, G. D., Hovelsrud, G. K., Levy, M., Oswald, S. and Vogel, C. H. 15 (2014) 'Human Security', in Field, C.B., Barros, V.R., Dokken, D.J., Mach, K.J., Mastrandrea, M.D., Bilir, T.E., 16 Chatterjee, M., Ebi, K.L., Estrada, Y.O., Genova, R.C., Girma, B., Kissel, E.S., Levy, A.N., MacCracken, S., 17 Mastrandrea, P.R. and White, L.L. (eds.) Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: 18 Global and Sectoral Aspects. Cambridge: IPCC, pp. 755–791. 19
- Aerts, J. C. (2018) 'A review of cost estimates for flood adaptation', Water, 10(11), pp. 1646. 20
 - Agrawal, A., Costella, C., Kaur, N., Tenzing, J., Shakya, C. and Norton, A. (2019) Climate resilience through social protection, Rotterdam and Washington, DC: Global Commission on Adaptation. Available at: https://cdn.gca.org/assets/2019-09/ClimateResiliencethroughSocialProtection.pdf.
 - Aiken, S. R. and Leigh, C. H. (2015) 'Dams and indigenous peoples in Malaysia: Development, displacement and resettlement', Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography, 97(1), pp. 69-93.
 - Ajayi, O. C. and P. L. Mafongoya, 2017: Indigenous knowledge systems and climate change management in Africa. CTA, Wagenigen. ISBN 9290816198.
 - Ajibade, I. and McBean, G. (2014) 'Climate extremes and housing rights: A political ecology of impacts, early warning and adaptation constraints in Lagos slum communities', Geoforum, 55, pp. 76-86.
 - Alam, M., Sanjayan, J., Zou, P. X., Stewart, M. G. and Wilson, J. (2016) 'Modelling the correlation between building energy ratings and heat-related mortality and morbidity', Sustainable cities and society, 22, pp. 29-39.
 - Albert, C. (2019) 'Addressing societal challenges through nature-based solutions: How can landscape planning and governance research contribute?', Landscape and urban planning, 182, pp. 12-21.
 - Aldrich, D. P., Meyer, M. A. and Page-Tan, C. M. (2018) 'Social capital and natural hazards governance', Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Natural Hazard Science.
 - Aleksandrova, M. (2019) 'Principles and considerations for mainstreaming climate change risk into national social protection frameworks in developing countries', Climate and Development, pp. 1-10.
 - Alemaw, B. F., Chaoka, T. R. and Tafesse, N. T. (2020) 'Modelling of Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) for Urban Water Management—Investment and Outscaling Implications at Basin and Regional Levels', Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 12(10), pp. 853-883.
 - Alessa, L., Kliskey, A., Gamble, J., Fidel, M., Beaujean, G. and Gosz, J. (2016) 'The role of Indigenous science and local knowledge in integrated observing systems: moving toward adaptive capacity indices and early warning systems', Sustainability Science, 11(1), pp. 91-102.
 - Alfieri, L., Feyen, L. and Di Baldassarre, G. (2016) 'Increasing flood risk under climate change: a pan-European assessment of the benefits of four adaptation strategies', Climatic Change, 136(3), pp. 507-521.
 - Alkon, A. H. and Mares, T. M. (2012) 'Food sovereignty in US food movements: Radical visions and neoliberal constraints', Agriculture and Human Values, 29(3), pp. 347-359.
 - Allen, A., E. Osuteye, B. Koroma and R. Lambert, 2020b: Unlocking urban risk trajectories: Participatory approaches to uncover risk accumulation in Freetown's informal settlements. In: Breaking cycles of Risk Accumulation in African Cities [Pelling, M. (ed.)]. UN-Habitat.
 - Alves, A. (2020) Exploring trade-offs among the multiple benefits of green-blue-grey infrastructure for urban flood mitigation', Science of the Total Environment, 703, pp. 134980.
 - Alves, A., Gersonius, B., Kapelan, Z., Vojinovic, Z. and Sanchez, A. (2019) 'Assessing the Co-Benefits of green-bluegrey infrastructure for sustainable urban flood risk management', Journal of Environmental Management, 239, pp.
- Amaya-Espinel, J. D. and Hostetler, M. E. (2019) 'The value of small forest fragments and urban tree canopy for 56 Neotropical migrant birds during winter and migration seasons in Latin American countries: A systematic review', 57 Landscape and Urban Planning, 190, 103592. 58
- Ambrosino, C. (2020) 'Integrating Climate Adaptation, Poverty Reduction, and Environmental Conservation in Kwale 59 County, Kenya', African Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation, pp. 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-60 42091-8 118-1.
- Andersson, E., Barthel, S. and Ahrné, K. (2007) 'Measuring social-ecological dynamics behind the generation of 62 ecosystem services", Ecological Applications, 17(5), pp. 1267-1278. 63

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

58

59

60

61

62

- Andersson, E., Langemeyer, J., Borgström, S., McPhearson, T., Haase, D., Kronenberg, J., Barton, D. N., Davis, M.,
 Naumann, S., Röschel, L. and Baró, F. (2019) 'Enabling Green and Blue Infrastructure to Improve Contributions to Human Well-Being and Equity in Urban Systems', *BioScience*, 69(7), pp. 566-574.
 - Anguelovski, I. (2016) 'Equity impacts of urban land use planning for climate adaptation: Critical perspectives from the global north and south', *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 36(3), pp. 333-348.
 - Anguelovski, I. (2018a) 'Assessing green gentrification in historically disenfranchised neighborhoods: A longitudinal and spatial analysis of Barcelona', *Urban Geography*, 39(3), pp. 458-491.
 - Anguelovski, I. (2018b) 'Assessing green gentrification in historically disenfranchised neighborhoods: A longitudinal and spatial analysis of Barcelona', *Urban Geography*, 39(3), pp. 458-491.
- Anguelovski, I., Irazábal-Zurita, C. and Connolly, J. J. T. (2019) 'Grabbed Urban Landscapes: Socio-spatial Tensions in Green Infrastructure Planning in Medellín', *International journal of urban and regional research*, 43(1), pp. 133-156.
 - Anser, M. K., Ahmad, M., Khan, M. A., Zaman, K., Nassani, A. A., Askar, S. E., Abro, M. M. Q. and Kabbani, A. (2021) 'The role of information and communication technologies in mitigating carbon emissions: evidence from panel quantile regression', *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(17), pp. 21065-21084.
 - Anvarifar, F., Zevenbergen, C., Thissen, W. and Islam, T. (2016) 'Understanding flexibility for multifunctional flood defences: a conceptual framework', *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 7(3), pp. 467-484.
 - Ardón, M. (2010) 'Phosphorus export from a restored wetland ecosystem in response to natural and experimental hydrologic fluctuations', *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 115(G4).
 - Arkema, K. (2017) 'Linking social, ecological, and physical science to advance natural and nature-based protection for coastal communities', *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1399(1), pp. 5-26.
 - Arkema, K. K., Scyphers, S. B. and Shepard, C. (2017) 'Living Shorelines for People and Nature', in Bilkovic (ed.) *Living Shorelines*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, pp. 9-28.
 - Armson, D., Stringer, P. and Ennos, A. R. (2013) 'The effect of street trees and amenity grass on urban surface water runoff in Manchester, UK', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 12(3), pp. 282-286.
 - Armstrong, D. (2000) 'A survey of community gardens in upstate New York: Implications for health promotion and community development', *Health and Place*, 6(4), pp. 319-327.
- 28 Arneth, A. (2009) 'Clean the Air, Heat the Planet?', *Science*, 326, pp. 672-673.
 - Artell, J. (2014) 'Lots of value? A spatial hedonic approach to water quality valuation', *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 57(6), pp. 862-882.
 - Arumugam, M. (2021) 'The perceptions of stakeholders on current management of mangroves in the Sine-Saloum Delta, Senegal', *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*.
 - Ashley, R. (2018) 'Including uncertainty in valuing blue and green infrastructure for stormwater management', *Ecosystem Services*, 33, pp. 237-246.
 - Auer, A. (2020) 'The role of social capital and collective actions in natural capital conservation and management', *Environmental Science and Policy*, 107, pp. 168-178.
 - Augusto, B. (2020) 'Short and medium- to long-term impacts of nature-based solutions on urban heat', *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 57, pp. 102122.
 - Babí Almenar, J. (2021) 'Nexus between nature-based solutions, ecosystem services and urban challenges', *Land Use Policy*, 100, pp. 104898.
 - Badami, M. G. and Ramankutty, N. (2015) 'Urban agriculture and food security: A critique based on an assessment of urban land constraints', *Global Food Security*, 4, pp. 8-15.
- Bai, X., Dawson, R. J., Ürge-Vorsatz, D., Delgado, G. C., Salisu Barau, A., Dhakal, S., Dodman, D., Leonardsen, L.,
 Masson-Delmotte, V., Roberts, D. C. and Schultz, S. (2018) 'Six research priorities for cities and climate change',
 Nature, 555, pp. 23-25.
 - Baklanov, A., Grimmond, C. S. B., Carlson, D., Terblanche, D., Tang, X., Bouchet, V., Lee, B., Langendijk, G., Kolli, R. K. and Hovsepyan, A. (2018) 'From urban meteorology, climate and environment research to integrated city services', *Urban Climate*, 23, pp. 330-341.
 - Baldauf, R. (2017) 'Roadside vegetation design characteristics that can improve local, near-road air quality', Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 52, pp. 354-361.
 - Bank, W. 2019. The World Bank Group Action Plan on Climate Change Adaptation and Resilience. World Bank Washington.
 - Barau, A. S., Maconachie, R., Ludin, A. N. M. and Abdulhamid, A. (2015) 'Urban Morphology Dynamics and Environmental Change in Kano, Nigeria', *Land Use Policy*, 42, pp. 307-317.
- Barclay, N. and Klotz, L. (2019) 'Role of community participation for green stormwater infrastructure development', Journal of environmental management, 251, pp. 109620.
 - Bartens, J. (2008) 'Can Urban Tree Roots Improve Infiltration through Compacted Subsoils for Stormwater Management?', *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 37(6), pp. 2048-2057.
 - Barthel, S., Parker, J. and Ernstson, H. (2015) 'Food and green space in cities: A resilience lens on gardens and urban environmental movements', *Urban Studies*, 52(7), pp. 1321-1338.
 - Baró, F. and Gómez-Baggethun, E. (2017) 'Assessing the Potential of Regulating Ecosystem Services as Nature-Based Solutions in Urban Areas', in Kabisch, N., Korn, H. and Stadler, J. (eds.) *Nature-Based*

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

51

52

53

54

55

- Solutions to Climate Change Adaptation in Urban Areas: Linkages between Science, Policy and Practice. Basel, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, pp. 139-158. ISBN 978-3-319-56091-5
- Bastidas-Arteaga, E. and Stewart, M. G. (2019) *Climate adaptation engineering: risks and economics for infrastructure decision-making*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
 - Battista, G., Evangelisti, L., Guattari, C., Basilicata, C. and de Lieto Vollaro, R. (2014) 'Buildings energy efficiency: Interventions analysis under a smart cities approach', *Sustainability*, 6(8), pp. 4694-4705.
 - Bayulken, B., Huisingh, D. and Fisher, P. M. (2021) 'How are nature based solutions helping in the greening of cities in the context of crises such as climate change and pandemics? A comprehensive review', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 15(288), pp. 125569.
 - Beber, R., Becker, P. and Tarantino, A. 'Suction as an untapped natural soil reinforcement to reduce embodied carbon in geotechnical structures: the case study of flood embankments in Hamburg area'. *E3S Web of Conferences*: EDP Sciences, 205, 12001. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202020512001
 - Belkhir, L. and Elmeligi, A. (2018) 'Assessing ICT global emissions footprint: Trends to 2040 & recommendations', *Journal of cleaner production*, 177, pp. 448-463.
 - Bellas, A. and Kosnik, L. (2019) 'A retrospective benefit-cost analysis on the Elwha River Restoration Project', *Journal of Benefit-Cost Analysis*, 11(1), pp. 76-100.
 - Bellemare, M. F. and Dusoruth, V. (2021) 'Who participates in urban agriculture? An empirical analysis', *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, 43(1), pp. 430-442.
 - Bernardino, F., Nóbrega, N. and Ferreira, O. (2021) 'Consequences of terminating mangrove's protection in Brazil', *Marine Policy*, 125, pp. 104389.
 - Bevacqua, E. (2019) 'Higher probability of compound flooding from precipitation and storm surge in Europe under anthropogenic climate change', *Science Advances*, 5(9), pp. 5531.
 - Bhaskar, A. (2016) 'Will it rise or will it fall? Managing the complex effects of urbanization on base flow', *Freshwater Science*, 35, pp. 293310.
 - Bichai, F. and Flamini, A. C. (2018) 'The Water-Sensitive City: Implications of an urban water management paradigm and its globalization', *WIREs Water*, 5, e1276.
 - Bierman, P. M. (2010) 'Phosphorus runoff from turfgrass as affected by phosphorus fertilization and clipping management', *Journal of environmental quality*', 39(1), pp. 282-292.
 - Biggs, E. M., Bruce, E., Boruff, B., Duncan, J. M., Horsley, J., Pauli, N., McNeill, K., Neef, A., Van Ogtrop, F. and Curnow, J. (2015) 'Sustainable development and the water–energy–food nexus: A perspective on livelihoods', *Environmental Science & Policy*, 54, pp. 389-397.
 - Bisung, E., Elliott, S. J., Schuster-Wallace, C. J., Karanja, D. M. and Bernard, A. (2014) 'Social capital, collective action and access to water in rural Kenya', *Social science & medicine*, 119, pp. 147-154.
 - Bixler, T. S. (2020) 'A spatial life cycle cost assessment of stormwater management systems', *Science of the Total Environment*, 728, pp. 138787.
 - Black, R., Laxminarayan, R., Temmerman, M. and Walker, N. (2016) *Disease control priorities, (volume 2): reproductive, maternal, newborn, and child health.* World Bank Publications.
 - Blamey, L. K. and Bolton, J. J. (2017) 'The economic value of South African kelp forests and temperate reefs: Past, present and future', *Journal of Marine Systems*, 188, pp. 172-81.
- Boelee, E. (2017) 'Overcoming water challenges through nature-based solutions', *Water Policy*, 19, pp. 820-836.
 - Boholm, Å. and Prutzer, M. (2017) 'Experts' understandings of drinking water risk management in a climate change scenario', *Climate Risk Management*, 16, pp. 133-144.
 - Bolle, A. (2021) 'A methodological framework of quantifying the cost of environmental degradation driven by coastal flooding and erosion: A case study in West Africa', *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 54, pp. 102022.
 - Boltz, F. (2019) 'Water is a master variable: Solving for resilience in the modern era', *Water Security*, 8, pp. 100048.
 - Bonn, B. (2016) 'BAERLIN2014 the influence of land surface types on and the horizontal heterogeneity of air pollutant levels in Berlin', *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16(12), pp. 7785-7811.
- Booth, D. B. (2016) 'Global perspectives on the urban stream syndrome', *Journal of Freshwater Science*, 35, pp. 412-420.
 - Bosch, M. and Sang, Å. O. (2017) 'Urban natural environments as nature-based solutions for improved public health—A systematic review of reviews', *Environmental research*, 158, pp. 373-384.
 - Bouabid, A. and Louis, G. E. (2015) 'Capacity factor analysis for evaluating water and sanitation infrastructure choices for developing communities', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 161, pp. 335-343.
 - Boutwell, J. L. and Westra, J. V. (2016) 'The Role of Wetlands for Mitigating Economic Damage from Hurricanes', Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 52, pp. 1472-1481.
- Branco, M. (2019) 'Urban trees facilitate the establishment of non-native forest insects', *NeoBiota*, 52, pp. 25-46.
- Brasil, J. (2021) 'Nature-based solutions and Real-time control: Challenges and opportunities', *Water*, 13(5), pp. 651.
- Bratman, N. G. (2019) 'Nature and mental health: An ecosystem service perspective', *Science Advances*, 5(7), pp. 0903.
- Braubach, M. (2017) 'Effects of Urban Green Space on Environmental Health, Equity and Resilience', in Kabisch, N. (ed.) *Nature-Based Solutions to Climate Change Adaptation in Urban Areas: Linkages*

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

53

54

- between Science, Policy and Practice. New York, NY: Springer International Publishing, pp. 187-205.
 ISBN 978-3-319-56091-5.
- Brauman, K. A. (2019) 'The nature and value of ecosystem services: An overview highlighting hydrologic services', *Annual Review Environmental Resources*, 32, pp. 67-98.
 - Breunig, R., Hasan, S. and Whiteoak, K. (2019) 'Value of playgrounds relative to green spaces: Matching evidence from property prices in Australia', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 190, pp. 103608.
 - Brill, G., Anderson, P. and O'Farrell, P. (2017) 'Methodological and empirical considerations when assessing freshwater ecosystem service provision in a developing city context: Making the best of what we have', *Ecological Indicators*, 76, pp. 256-274.
 - Broekens, R., Escarameia, M., Cantelmo, C. and Woolhouse, G. (2012) 'Quantifying the Carbon Footprint of Coastal Construction-A New Tool HRCAT', *Innovative Coastal Zone Management: Sustainable Engineering for a Dynamic Coast*: ICE Publishing, pp. 253-262.
 - Brown, S., Hanson, S. and Nicholls, R. J. (2014) 'Implications of sea-level rise and extreme events around Europe: a review of coastal energy infrastructure', *Climatic Change*, 122(1), pp. 81-95.
 - Brown, S., Nicholls, R. J., Goodwin, P., Haigh, I., Lincke, D., Vafeidis, A. and Hinkel, J. (2018) 'Quantifying land and people exposed to sea-level rise with no mitigation and 1.5 C and 2.0 C rise in global temperatures to year 2300', *Earth's Future*, 6(3), pp. 583-600.
 - Brudler, S. (2016) 'Life cycle assessment of stormwater management in the context of climate change adaptation', *Water Research*, 106, pp. 394-404.
 - Brummer, V. (2018) 'Community energy-benefits and barriers: A comparative literature review of Community Energy in the UK, Germany and the USA, the benefits it provides for society and the barriers it faces', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 94, pp. 187-196.
 - Bryant, D. B., Bryant, M. A. and Grzegorzewski, A. S. 2017. Erosion of Coastal Foredunes: A Review on the Effect of Dune Vegetation.
- Bulkeley, H., Castán Broto, V. and Maassen, A. (2014) 'Low-carbon transitions and the reconfiguration of urban infrastructure', *Urban Studies*, 51(7), pp. 1471-1486.
 - Burley, B. A. (2018) 'Green infrastructure and violence: Do new street trees mitigate violent crime?', *Health and Place*, 54, pp. 43-49.
 - Butler, W. H., Deyle, R. E. and Mutnansky, C. (2016) 'Low-regrets incrementalism: Land use planning adaptation to accelerating sea level rise in Florida's Coastal Communities', *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 36(3), pp. 319-332.
 - Byun, K. and Hamlet, A. F. (2020) 'A risk-based analytical framework for quantifying non-stationary flood risks and establishing infrastructure design standards in a changing environment', *Journal of Hydrology*, 584, pp. 124575.
 - Béné, C., Cornelius, A. and Howland, F. (2018) 'Bridging Humanitarian Responses and Long-Term Development through Transformative Changes—Some Initial Reflections from the World Bank's Adaptive Social Protection Program in the Sahel', *Sustainability*, 10(6), pp. 1697.
 - Cabrera, J. F. and Najarian, J. C. (2015) 'How the built environment shapes spatial bridging ties and social capital', *Environment and Behavior*, 47(3), pp. 239-267.
 - Cameron, C. (2021) 'Landcover change in mangroves of Fiji: Implications for climate change mitigation and adaptation in the Pacific', *Environmental Challenges*, 100018.
 - Cameron, R. W. (2012a) 'The domestic garden-Its contribution to urban green infrastructure', *Urban forestry and urban greening*', 11(2), pp. 129-137.
 - Campbell, L. K. (2014a) 'Constructing New York City's urban forest. The politics and governance of the MillionTreesNYC campaign', in Sandberg, L. (ed.) *Urban Forests, Trees and Greenspace. A Policy Perspective*. New York, NY: Routledge. ISBN 9781138282575.
 - Campbell, L. K. (2014b) *Million Trees NYC: the integration of research and practice*. New York, NY: New York City Parks and Recreation. https://www.milliontreesnyc.org/downloads/pdf/MTNYC_Research_Singles.pdf
 - Camps-Calvet, M. (2016) 'Ecosystem services provided by urban gardens in Barcelona, Spain: Insights for policy and planning', *Environmental Science and Policy*, 62, pp. 14-23.
- Camuffo, D., 2019: Microclimate for Cultural Heritage: Measurement, Risk Assessment, Conservation,
 Restoration, and Maintenance of Indoor and Outdoor Monuments. Elsevier, Amsterdam. ISBN 978-0-44-464106-9.
 - Canadell, J. G., Monteiro, P. M. S., Costa, M. H., Cotrim da Cunha, L., Cox, P. M., Eliseev, A. V., Henson, S., Ishii, M., Jaccard, S., Koven, C., Lohila, A., Patra, P. K., Piao, S., Rogelj, J., Syampungani, S., Zaehle, S. and Zickfeld, K. (2021a) 'Global Carbon and other Biogeochemical Cycles and Feedbacks', in Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M.,
- Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M.,
 Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B. (eds.)
 Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment
 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Cambridge University Press.
- 60 Caparros-Midwood, D., Dawson, R. and Barr, S. (2019) 'Low Carbon, Low Risk, Low Density: Resolving choices 61 about sustainable development in cities', *Cities*, 89, pp. 252-267.

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

48

49

50

- 1 Carse, A. (2012) 'Nature as infrastructure: Making and managing the Panama Canal watershed', *Social Studies of Science*, 42(4), pp. 539-563.
- Carter, J. G., Cavan, G., Connelly, A., Guy, S., Handley, J. and Kazmierczak, A. (2015) 'Climate change and the city:
 Building capacity for urban adaptation', *Progress in Planning*, 95(Complete), pp. 1-66.
- Carter, J. G., Handley, J., Butlin, T. and Gill, S. (2018) 'Adapting cities to climate change–exploring the flood risk
 management role of green infrastructure landscapes', *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 61(9),
 pp. 1535-1552.
 - Carter, L. (2019) Indigenous Pacific Approaches to Climate Change. Aotearoa/New Zealand: Palgrave.
- Castro, C. P., Sarmiento, J.-P., Edwards, R., Hoberman, G. and Wyndham, K. (2017) 'Disaster risk perception in urban contexts and for people with disabilities: case study on the city of Iquique (Chile)', *Natural hazards*, 86(1), pp. 411-436.
- 12 CCC. (2019) UK housing: Fit for the future. UK Committee on Climate Change (CCC).
- 13 Cerrai, D. (2019) 'Predicting Storm Outages through New Representations of Weather and Vegetation', *IEEE Access*, pp. 1-1.
 - Cerrai, D. (2020) 'Outage prediction models for snow and ice storms. Sustainable Energy', *Grids and Networks*, 21, pp. 100294.
 - Chan, F. K. S., Griffiths, J. A., Higgitt, D., Xu, S., Zhu, F., Tang, Y.-T., Xu, Y. and Thorne, C. R. (2018) "Sponge City" in China—A breakthrough of planning and flood risk management in the urban context', *Land Use Policy*, 76, pp. 772-778.
 - Chandra, A. and Gaganis, P. (2016) 'Deconstructing vulnerability and adaptation in a coastal river basin ecosystem: a participatory analysis of flood risk in Nadi, Fiji Islands', *Climate and Development*, 8(3), pp. 256-269.
 - Chausson, A. (2020) 'Mapping the effectiveness of nature-based solutions for climate change adaptation', *Global Change Biology*, 26, pp. 6134-6155.
 - Chen, J. (2019) 'Evaluation of the effectiveness of green infrastructure on hydrology and water quality in a combined sewer overflow community', *Science of the Total Environment*, 665, pp. 69-79.
 - Chen, Y. (2021) 'Can smaller parks limit green gentrification? Insights from Hangzhou, China', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 59, pp. 127009.
 - Childers, D. L., Cadenasso, M. L., Grove, J. M., Marshall, V., McGrath, B. and Pickett, S. T. (2015) 'An ecology for cities: A transformational nexus of design and ecology to advance climate change resilience and urban sustainability, *Sustainability*, 7(4), pp. 3774-3791.
 - Chowdhury, N. M. S. (2021) 'Ecological engineering with oysters enhances coastal resilience efforts', *Ecological Engineering*, 169, pp. 106320.
 - Chu, E., Hughes, S. and Mason, S. (2018) 'Conclusion: Multilevel Governance and Climate Change Innovations in Cities', in Hughes, S., Chu, E. and Mason, S. (eds.) *Climate Change in Cities Innovations in Multi-Level Governance*. Cham: Springer, pp. 361-378.
- Cid-Aguayo, B. E. (2016) 'People, Nature, and Climate: Heterogeneous Networks in Narratives and Practices about Climate Change', *Latin American Perspectives*, 43(4), pp. 12-28.
 - Cilliers, S. (2013) 'Ecosystem services of urban green spaces in African countries—perspectives and challenges', *Urban Ecosystems*, 16(4), pp. 681-702.
 - Clark, K. H. and Nicholas, K. A. (2013) 'Introducing urban food forestry: a multifunctional approach to increase food security and provide ecosystem services', *Landscape Ecology*, 28(9), pp. 1649-1669.
 - Clinton, N. (2018) 'A global geospatial ecosystem services estimate of urban agriculture', *Earth's Future*, 6(1), pp. 40-60.
 - Clucas, B. (2018) 'A systematic review of the relationship between urban agriculture and biodiversity', *Urban Ecosystems*, 21(4), pp. 635-643.
- Clément, V., Rey-Valette, H. and Rulleau, B. (2015) 'Perceptions on equity and responsibility in coastal zone policies',
 Ecological Economics, 119, pp. 284-291.
 - Cohen-Shacham, E. 2016. Nature-based solutions to address global societal challenges. International Union for Conservation of Nature.
 - Colding, J. and Barthel, S. (2013) 'The potential of 'Urban Green Commons' in the resilience building of cities', *Ecological Economics*', 86, pp. 156-166.
- Coletta, R. V. (2021) 'Causal Loop Diagrams for supporting Nature Based Solutions participatory design and performance assessment', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 280, pp. 111668.
- Colin, M., Palhol, F. and Leuxe, A. (2016) 'Adaptation of transport infrastructures and networks to climate change', *Transportation Research Procedia*, 14, pp. 86-95.
- Collins, T. W., Grineski, S. E. and Chakraborty, J. (2018) 'Environmental injustice and flood risk: a conceptual model
 and case comparison of metropolitan Miami and Houston, USA'', *Regional Environmental Change*, 18, pp. 311 323.
- Colloff, J. (2017) 'An integrative research framework for enabling transformative adaptation', *Environmental Science* and *Policy*, 68, pp. 87-96.
- 61 Colmer, J. (2020) 'Disparities in PM2.5 air pollution in the United States', *Science*, 369, pp. 575-578.
- Commission on Macroeconomics and Health and World Health Organization. (2001) Macroeconomics and Health: investing in health for economic development. Executive Summary https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42463

10

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

30

31

32

33

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

52

53

54

55

56

59

60

61

- Conger, T. and Chang, S. E. (2019) 'Developing indicators to identify coastal green infrastructure potential: The case of the Salish Sea region', *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 175, pp. 53-69.
- Cook, L. M. and Larsen, T. A. (2020) 'Towards a performance-based approach for multifunctional green roofs: An interdisciplinary review', *Building and Environment*, 107489.
- Cools, J., Innocenti, D. and O'Brien, S. (2016) 'Lessons from flood early warning systems', *Environmental science & policy*, 58, pp. 117-122.
- Costa, E., Paiva, A., Seixas, J., Costa, G., Baptista, P. and Gallachóir, B. Ó. (2018) 'Spatial Planning of Electric Vehicle Infrastructure for Belo Horizonte, Brazil', *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, 2018.
 - Costanza, R. (2021) 'The global value of coastal wetlands for storm protection', *Global Environmental Change*, 70, pp. 102328.
- 11 Coutts, C. and Hahn, M. (2015) 'Green Infrastructure, Ecosystem Services, and Human Health', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12, pp. 9768-9798.
 - Cronin, J., Anandarajah, G. and Dessens, O. (2018) 'Climate change impacts on the energy system: a review of trends and gaps', *Climatic change*, 151(2), pp. 79-93.
 - Crooks, S. (2018) 'Coastal wetland management as a contribution to the US National Greenhouse Gas Inventory', *Nature Climate Change*, 8, pp. 1109-1112.
 - Crouse, D. L. (2019) 'Complex relationships between greenness, air pollution, and mortality in a population-based Canadian cohort', *Environment International*, 128, pp. 292-300.
 - Cutter-Mackenzie, A. and Rousell, D. (2019) 'Education for what? Shaping the field of climate change education with children and young people as co-researchers', *Children's Geographies*, 17(1), pp. 90-104.
 - Dalwani R. and Gopal, B. (2020) 'Nature-Based Solutions for Restoration of Freshwater Ecosystems: Indian Experiences', in S, D., Gupta, A. and Karki, M. (eds.) *Nature-based Solutions for Resilient Ecosystems and Societies*. Singapore: Springer Publishing, pp. 231-245. ISBN 978-3-319-56091-5.
 - Daly, P., Mahdi, S., McCaughey, J., Mundzir, I., Halim, A. and Srimulyani, E. (2020) 'Rethinking relief, reconstruction and development: Evaluating the effectiveness and sustainability of post-disaster livelihood aid', *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 49, pp. 101650.
- Dasgupta, S. (2019) 'Quantifying the protective capacity of mangroves from storm surges in coastal Bangladesh', *PLOS ONE*, 14(3), pp. 0214079.

 Dasgupta, S., Agarwal, N. and Mukherjee, A. (2021) 'Moving up the On-Site Sanitation ladder in urban India through
 - Dasgupta, S., Agarwal, N. and Mukherjee, A. (2021) 'Moving up the On-Site Sanitation ladder in urban India through better systems and standards', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 280, pp. 111656.
 - Davies, H., Image, M., Calrow, L., Foulkes, C., Frandsen, M. and Duignan, M. (2014) 'Review of literature-how transport's soft estate has enhanced green infrastructure, ecosystem services, and transport resilience in the EU', Natural England Commissioned Reports, Number 169. ISBN 978-1-78354-149-2.
- Dawson, R. (2015) 'Handling interdependencies in climate change risk assessment', *Climate*, 3(4), pp. 1079-1096.
- Dawson, R. J., Thompson, D., Johns, D., Wood, R., Darch, G., Chapman, L., Hughes, P. N., Watson, G. V. R., Paulson, K. and Bell, S. (2018) 'A systems framework for national assessment of climate risks to infrastructure', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 376(2121), pp. 20170298.
 - Day, E., Fankhauser, S., Kingsmill, N., Costa, H. and Mavrogianni, A. (2019) 'Upholding labour productivity under climate change: an assessment of adaptation options', *Climate policy*, 19(3), pp. 367-385.
 - de Moel, H., Jongman, B., Kreibich, H., Merz, B., Penning-Rowsell, E. and Ward, P. J. (2015) 'Flood risk assessments at different spatial scales', *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 20(6), pp. 865-890.
 - Dean, A. J., Fielding, K. S., Lindsay, J., Newton, F. J. and Ross, H. (2016) 'How social capital influences community support for alternative water sources', *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 27, pp. 457-466.
 - Debele, S. E. (2019) 'Nature-based solutions for hydro-meteorological hazards: Revised concepts, classification schemes and databases', *Environmental Research*, 179, pp. 108799.
 - Deemer, B. R. and Holgerson, M. A. (2021) 'Drivers of methane flux differ between lakes and reservoirs, complicating global upscaling efforts', *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 126(4), pp. 2019-005600.
 - Deffner, J. and Haase, P. (2018) 'The societal relevance of river restoration', Ecology and Society, 23(4).
- Del Bene, D., Scheidel, A. and Temper, L. (2018) 'More dams, more violence? A global analysis on resistances and repression around conflictive dams through co-produced knowledge', *Sustainability Science*, 13(3), pp. 617-633.
 - Denjean, B. (2017) 'Natural Assurance Scheme: A level playing field framework for Green-Grey infrastructure development', *Environmental research*, 159, pp. 24-38.
 - Depietri, Y. and McPhearson, T. (2017) 'Integrating the grey, green, and blue in cities: nature-based solutions for climate change adaptation and risk reduction', *Nature-based solutions to climate change Adaptation in urban areas*. Cham: Springer, pp. 91-109. ISBN 978-3-319-56091-5
- Desmarais, A. A. (2007) 'La Via Campesina: Globalization and the Power of Peasants, Halifax. Fernwood Publishing', *Journal of Rural Studies*, 21(3), pp. 359-371.
 - Dhakal, K. P. and Chevalier, L. R. (2017) 'Managing urban stormwater for urban sustainability: Barriers and policy solutions for green infrastructure application', *Journal of environmental management*, 203, pp. 171-181.
 - Dhar, T. and Khirfan, L. (2017) 'A Multi-scale and Multi-dimensional Framework for Enhancing the Resilience of Urban Form to Climate Change', *Urban Climate*, 17, pp. 72-91.

13

14

15

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

48

49

50

51

60

- Dhyani, S., Karki, M. and Petwal, A. 2018. Localizing SDGs in India using Nature based Solutions (NbS). *Curr Sci* 115 (8, 25) 1442-1443.
- Dittrich, R., Wreford, A., Butler, A. and Moran, D. (2016) 'The impact of flood action groups on the uptake of flood management measures', *Climatic Change*, 138(3), pp. 471-489.
- Doblas-Reyes, F. J., Sörensson, A. A., Almazroui, M., Dosio, A., Gutowski, W. J., Haarsma, R., Hamdi, R., Hewitson, B., Kwon, W.-T., Lamptey, B. L., Maraun, D., Stephenson, T. S., Takayabu, I., Terray, L., Turner, A. and Zuo, Z. (2021a) 'Linking Global to Regional Climate Change', in Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B. (eds.) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Chang: Cambridge University Press.
 - Doroski, D. A., Ashton, M. S. and Duguid, M. C. (2020) 'The future urban forest A survey of tree planting programs in the Northeastern United States', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 55, pp. 126816.
 - Dottori, F. (2018) 'Increased human and economic losses from river flooding with anthropogenic warming', *Nature Climate Change*, 8(9), pp. 781-786.
- Douville, H., Raghavan, K., Renwick, J., Allan, R. P., Arias, P. A., Barlow, M., Cerezo-Mota, R., Cherchi, A., Gan, T. Y., Gergis, J., Jiang, D., Khan, A., Pokam Mba, W., Rosenfeld, D., Tierney, J. and Zolina, O. (2021a) 'Water Cycle Changes', in Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B. (eds.) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Cambridge University Press.
 - Drosou, N., Soetanto, R. and Hermawan, F. (2019) 'Key Factors Influencing Wider Adoption of Blue-Green Infrastructure in Developing Cities', *Water*, 11, pp. 1234.
 - DuPuis, E. M. and Greenberg, M. (2019) 'The right to the resilient city: progressive politics and the green growth machine in New York City', *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, 9, pp. 352-363.
 - Dutra, L. X. C., Haywood, M. D. E., Singh, S., Ferreira, M., Johnson, J. E. and Veitayaki, J. (2021) 'Synergies between local and climate-driven impacts on coral reefs in the Tropical Pacific: A review of issues and adaptation opportunities', *Marine Pollution Bulletin*.
 - D'Amico, D. F. (2019) 'Improving the Hurricane Outage Prediction Model by including tree species', *Climate Risk Management*, 25, pp. 100193.
 - Eakin, H., Wightman, P. M., Hsu, D., Gil Ramón, V. R., Fuentes-Contreras, E., Cox, M. P., Hyman, T.-A. N., Pacas, C., Borraz, F. and González-Brambila, C. (2015) 'Information and communication technologies and climate change adaptation in Latin America and the Caribbean: a framework for action', *Climate and Development*, 7(3), pp. 208-222.
 - Eckart, K., McPhee, Z. and Bolisetti, T. (2018) 'Multiobjective optimization of low impact development stormwater controls', *Journal of Hydrology*, 562, pp. 564-576.
 - Eisenman, T. S., Churkina, G., Jariwala, S. P., Kumar, P., Lovasi, G. S., Pataki, D. E., Weinberger, K. R. and Whitlow, T. H. (2019) 'Urban trees, air quality, and asthma: An interdisciplinary review', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 187, pp. 47-59.
 - Elliott, R. M., Adkins, E. R., Culligan, P. J. and Palmer, M. I. (2018) 'Stormwater infiltration capacity of street tree pits: Quantifying the influence of different design and management strategies in New York City', *Ecological Engineering*, 111, pp. 157-166.
- Environmental Protection, N. Y. C. D. (2010) NYC green infrastructure plan: a sustainable strategy for clean
 waterways. New York, USA: New York City Department of Environmental Protection.
 https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/dep/downloads/pdf/water/stormwater/green-infrastructure/nyc-green-infrastructure-plan-2010.pdf
 - Restore America's Estuaries. 2015. *Living Shorelines: From Barriers to Opportunities*. Arlington, VA. https://estuaries.org/resource-library/living-shorelines-from-barriers-to-opportunities/
 - European, C. (2010) 'European Commission Science for Environment Policy News Alert About', *Science for Environment Policy*, 5.
- Everard, M. (2015) 'Community-based groundwater and ecosystem restoration in semi-arid north Rajasthan (1): Socioeconomic progress and lessons for groundwater-dependent areas', *Ecosystem Services*, 16, pp. 125-135.
- Faivre, N., Fritz, M., Freitas, T., Boissezon, B. and Vandewoestijne, S. (2017) 'Nature-Based Solutions in the EU: Innovating with nature to address social, economic and environmental challenges', *Environmental Research*, 159, pp. 509-18.
- Falxa-Raymond, N., Svendsen, E. and Campbell, L. K. (2013) 'From job training to green jobs: A case study of a young adult employment program centered on environmental restoration in New York City, USA', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 12(3), pp. 287-295.
 - Fankhauser, S. and N. Stern, 2016: *Climate change, development, poverty and economics*. The State of Economics, the State of the World, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Farhadi, M. (2015) 'Transport infrastructure and long-run economic growth in OECD countries', *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 74, pp. 73-90.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

- Fastenrath, S., Bush, J. and Coenen, L. (2020a) 'Scaling-up nature-based solutions', *Lessons from the Living Melbourne* strategy. *Geoforum*, 116, pp. 63-72.
- Fastenrath, S., Bush, J. and Coenen, L. (2020b) 'Scaling-up nature-based solutions. Lessons from the Living Melbourne strategy', *Geoforum*.
- Fatorić, S. and Biesbroek, R. (2020) 'Adapting cultural heritage to climate change impacts in the Netherlands: barriers, interdependencies, and strategies for overcoming them', *Climatic Change*, 162(2), pp. 301-320.
- Fatorić, S. and Egberts, L. (2020) 'Realising the potential of cultural heritage to achieve climate change actions in the Netherlands', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 274, pp. 101-107.
 - Fatorić, S. and Seekamp, E. (2017) 'Are cultural heritage and resources threatened by climate change? A systematic literature review', *Climate Change*, 142(1-2), pp. 227–254.
 - Fatorić, S. and Seekamp, E. (2017) 'Evaluating a decision analytic approach to climate change adaptation of cultural resources along the Atlantic Coast of the United States', *Land Use Policy*, 68, pp. 254-263.
 - Fatorić, S. and Seekamp, E. (2018) 'A measurement framework to increase transparency in historic preservation decision-making under changing climate conditions', *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 30(3), pp. 168-179.
 - Feagin, R. A., Figlus, J., Zinnert, J. C., Sigren, J., Martínez, M. L. and Silva, R. (2015) 'Going with the flow or against the grain? The promise of vegetation for protecting beaches, dunes, and barrier islands from erosion', *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 13(4), pp. 203-10.
 - Fedele, G., Donatti, C. I., Harvey, C. A., Hannah, L. and Hole, D. G. (2019) 'Transformative adaptation to climate change for sustainable social-ecological systems', *Environmental Science and Policy*, 101, pp. 116-25.
 - Feng, H. and Hewage, K. N. (2018) 'Chapter 4 Economic Benefits and Costs of Green Roofs', in Pérez, G. and Perini, K. (eds.) *Nature Based Strategies for Urban and Building Sustainability*, pp. 307-318.
 - Fenner, R. (2017) 'Spatial Evaluation of Multiple Benefits to Encourage Multi-Functional Design of Sustainable Drainage in Blue-Green Cities', *Water*, 9, pp. 953.
 - Feola, G. and Nunes, R. (2014) 'Success and failure of grassroots innovations for addressing climate change: The case of the Transition Movement', *Global Environmental Change*, 24, pp. 232-250.
 - Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Díaz-Reviriego, I., Luz, A. C., Cabeza, M., Pyhälä, A. and Reyes-García, V. (2015) 'Rapid ecosystem change challenges the adaptive capacity of local environmental knowledge', *Global Environmental Change*, 31, pp. 272-284.
- Ferreira, C. S., Kalantari, Z., Hartmann, T. and Pereira, P. 2021a. Introduction: Nature-Based Solutions for Flood Mitigation, Switzerland, Springer, ISBN 978-3-030-77505-6
 - Ferreira, C. S., Mourato, S., Kasanin-Grubin, M., Ferreira, J. D., A, D., G and Kalantari, Z. (2020) 'Effectiveness of Nature-Based Solutions in Mitigating Flood Hazard in a Mediterranean Peri-Urban Catchment', *Water*, 12(10), pp. 2893.
 - Ferreira, C. S. S., Potočki, K., Kapović-Solomun, M. and Kalantari, Z. 2021b. Nature-Based Solutions for Flood Mitigation and Resilience in Urban Area, Switzerland, Springer.
 - Filazzola, A., Shrestha, N. and MacIvor, J. S. (2019) 'The contribution of constructed green infrastructure to urban biodiversity: A synthesis and meta-analysis', *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 56(9), pp. 2131-2143.
 - Filloy, J., Zurita, G. A. and Bellocq, M. I. (2019) 'Bird Diversity in Urban Ecosystems: The Role of the Biome and Land Use Along Urbanization Gradients', *Ecosystems*, 22(1), pp. 213-227.
 - Filoso, S., Bezerra, M. O. and Weiss, K. C. B. (2017) 'Impacts of forest restoration on water yield: A systematic review', *PLOS ONE*, 12, pp. 0183210.
 - Finewood, M. H., Matsler, A. M. and Zivkovich, J. (2019) 'Green infrastructure and the hidden politics of urban stormwater governance in a postindustrial city', *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 109(3), pp. 909-925.
 - Fiore, A. M., Naik, V. and Leibensperger, E. M. (2015) 'Air Quality and Climate Connections', *Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association*, 65, pp. 645-685.
 - Fiore, F., Siena, F., Saponari, L., Galli, P. and Montano, S. (2020) 'Users' satisfaction on coral restoration projects: The case of the Maldives', *Regional Studies in Marine Science*.
 - Fleischman, F., Basant, S., Chhatre, A., Coleman, E. A., Fischer, H. W., Gupta, D., Güneralp, B., Kashwan, P., Khatri, D., Muscarella, R., Powers, J. S., Ramprasad, V., Rana, P., Solorzano, C. R. and Veldman, J. W. (2020) 'Pitfalls of Tree Planting Show Why We Need People-Centered Natural Climate Solutions', *BioScience*, 70, pp. 947-950.
 - Fletcher, C. S., Rambaldi, A. N., Lipkin, F. and McAllister, R. R. (2016) 'Economic, equitable, and affordable adaptations to protect coastal settlements against storm surge inundation', *Regional Environmental Change*, 16(4), pp. 1023-1034.
 - Flynn, C. and Davidson, C. I. (2017) 'Saving the rain in Onondaga County, New York', *Engineering for Sustainable Communities: Principles and Practices*: American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), pp. 367-372.
- Follmann, A., Willkomm, M. and Dannenberg, P. (2021) 'As the city grows, what do farmers do? A systematic review of urban and peri-urban agriculture under rapid urban growth across the Global South', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 215, pp. 104186.
- Forster, P., Storelvmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, J. L., Frame, D., Lunt, D. J., Mauritsen, T., Palmer, M. D., Watanabe, M., Wild, M. and Zhang, H. (2021a) 'The Earth's Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity', in Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T.,

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

363738

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

49

50 51

52

53

54

58

61

- Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B. (eds.) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
 Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Cambridge
 University Press.
- Fox-Kemper, B., H. T. Hewitt, H. T., Xiao, C., Aðalgeirsdóttir, G., Drijfhout, S. S., Edwards, T. L., Golledge, N. R.,
 Hemer, M., Kopp, R. E., Krinner, G., Mix, A., Notz, D., Nowicki, S., Nurhati, I. S., Ruiz, L., Sallée, J.-B.,
 Slangen, A. B. A. and Yu, Y. (2021a) 'Ocean, Cryosphere and Sea Level Change', in Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai,
 P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M.,
 Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B. (eds.)
 Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment
 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Cambridge University Press.
 - Frantzeskaki, N., McPhearson, T., Collier, M. J., Kendal, D., Bulkeley, H. and Dumitru, A. (2019a) 'Nature-Based Solutions for Urban Climate Change Adaptation: Linking Science, Policy, and Practice Communities for Evidence-Based Decision-Making', *BioScience*, 1;69(6):455–66.
 - Fraser, A., Leck, H., Parnell, S. and Pelling, M. (2017) 'Africa's urban risk and resilience', *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 26, pp. 1-6.
 - Frayne, B., McCordic, C. and Shilomboleni, H. (2014) 'Growing out of poverty: Does urban agriculture contribute to household food security in Southern African cities?', *Urban forum*, 25(2), pp. 177-189.
 - Fried, T., Tun, T. H., Klopp, J. M. and Welle, B. (2020) 'Measuring the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) transport target and accessibility of Nairobi's matatus', *Transportation Research Record*, 2674(5), pp. 196-207.
 - Fu, G., Wilkinson, S., Dawson, R. J., Fowler, H. J., Kilsby, C., Panteli, M. and Mancarella, P. (2017) Integrated approach to assess the resilience of future electricity infrastructure networks to climate hazards', *IEEE Systems Journal*, 12(4), pp. 3169-3180.
 - Fuller, M. R., Doyle, M. W. and Strayer, D. L. (2015) 'Causes and consequences of habitat fragmentation in river networks', *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1355(1), pp. 31-51.
 - Félix-Faure, J., Walter, C., Balesdent, J., Chanudet, V., Avrillier, J. N., Hossann, C. and Dambrine, E. (2019) 'Soils Drowned in Water Impoundments: A New Frontier', *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 7, pp. 53.
 - Garcia-Cuerva, L., Zechman Berglund, E. and Rivers, L. (2018) 'An integrated approach to place Green Infrastructure strategies in marginalized communities and evaluate stormwater mitigation', *Journal of Hydrology*, 559, pp. 648-660.
 - Garcia-Lamarca, M., Anguelovski, I., Cole, H., Connolly, J. J., Argüelles, L., Baro, F. and Shokry, G. (2021) 'Urban green boosterism and city affordability: For whom is the 'branded' green city?', *Urban Studies*, 58(1), pp. 90-112.
 - Garvey, A. and Paavola, J. (2021) 'Community action on natural flood management and the governance of a catchment-based approach in the UK', *Environmental Policy and Governance*.
 - Gately, C. K., Hutyra, L. R. and Wing, I. S. (2015) 'Cities, traffic, and CO2: A multidecadal assessment of trends, drivers, and scaling relationships', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(16), pp. 4999-5004.
 - Gerlak, A. K. and Zuniga-Teran, A. (2020) 'Addressing injustice in green infrastructure through socio-ecological practice: What is the role of university–community partnerships?', *Socio-Ecological Practice Research*, 2(2), pp. 149-159.
 - Ghafourian, M., Stanchev, P., Mousavi, A. and Katsou, E. (2021) 'Economic assessment of nature-based solutions as enablers of circularity in water systems', *Science of The Total Environment*.
 - Ghasemian, M., Amini, S. and Princevac, M. (2017) 'The influence of roadside solid and vegetation barriers on near-road air quality', *Atmospheric Environment*, 170, pp. 108-117.
 - Gijón Mancheño, A., Jansen, W., Uijttewaal, W. S. J., Reniers, A., Rooijen, A. A. and Suzuki, T. (2021) 'Wave transmission and drag coefficients through dense cylinder arrays: Implications for designing structures for mangrove restoration', *Ecological Engineering*.
- Giordano, R., Pluchinotta, I., Pagano, A., Scrieciu, A. and Nanu, F. (2020) 'Enhancing nature-based solutions
 acceptance through stakeholders' engagement in co-benefits identification and trade-offs analysis', *Science of The Total Environment*, 713(136552).
 - Glaas, E., Hjerpe, M., Storbjörk, S., Neset, T.-S., Bohman, A., Muthumanickam, P. and Johansson, J. (2018) 'Developing transformative capacity through systematic assessments and visualization of urban climate transitions', *Ambio*, pp. 1-14.
 - Gnansounou, S. C., Toyi, M., Salako, K. V., Ahossou, D. O., Akpona, T. J. D. and Gbedomon, R. C. (2021) 'Local uses of mangroves and perceived impacts of their degradation in Grand-Popo municipality, a hotspot of mangroves in Benin, West Africa', *Trees, Forests and People*, 4 (100080).
- Golden, H. E. and Hoghooghi, N. (2018) 'Green infrastructure and its catchment-scale effects: an emerging science',
 Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 5(1), pp. 1254.
 Goldstein, B., Hauschild, M., Fernández, J. and Birkved, M. (2016) 'Urban versus conventional agriculture, taxonom
 - Goldstein, B., Hauschild, M., Fernández, J. and Birkved, M. (2016) 'Urban versus conventional agriculture, taxonomy of resource profiles: a review', *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 36(1), pp. 9.
- Golz, S., Nikolowski, J. and Naumann, T. (2019) 'Energy Saving and Climate Adaptation of Buildings: A Paradox?'.
 IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science: IOP Publishing, 012164.
 - Goossens, C., Oosterlynck, S. and Bradt, L. (2020) 'Livable streets? Green gentrification and the displacement of longtime residents in Ghent', *Belgium. Urban Geography*, 41(4), pp. 550-572.

- Gouldson, A., Kerr, N., Millward-Hopkins, J., Freeman, M. C., Topi, C. and Sullivan, R. (2015) 'Innovative financing models for low carbon transitions: Exploring the case for revolving funds for domestic energy efficiency programmes', *Energy Policy*, 86, pp. 739-748.
- Grace, M., Balzan, M., Collier, M., Geneletti, D., Tomaskinova, J. and Abela, R. (2021) *Priority knowledge needs for implementing nature-based solutions in the Mediterranean islands*. Environmental Science and Policy.116:56–68.
 - Grafius, D. R., Corstanje, R. and Harris, J. A. (2018) 'Linking ecosystem services, urban form and green space configuration using multivariate landscape metric analysis', *Landscape ecology*, 33(4), pp. 557-573.
 - Grantham, T. E., Matthews, J. H. and Bledsoe, B. P. (2019) 'Shifting currents: Managing freshwater systems for ecological resilience in a changing climate', *Water Security*, 8, pp. 100049.
 - Grewal, S. S. and Grewal, P. S. (2012) 'Can cities become self-reliant in food?', Cities, 29(1), pp. 1-11.
 - Grimm, N. B., E. M. Cook, R. L. Hale and D. M. Iwaniec, 2016: A broader framing of ecosystem services in cities: benefits and challenges of built, natural or hybrid system function. In: *Routledge Handbook of Urbanization and Global Environmental Change* [Seto, K. C., W. Solecki and C. A. Griffith (eds.)], pp. 202–212
 - Groffman, P. M., Bain, D. J., Band, L. E., Belt, K. T., Brush, G. S., Grove, J. M. and Zipperer, W. C. (2003) 'Down by the riverside: urban riparian ecology', *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 1(6), pp. 315-321.
 - Grover, I. M., Tocock, M. S., Tinch, D. R. and Hatton MacDonald, D. (2021) 'Investigating public preferences for the management of native and invasive species in the context of kelp restoration', *Marine Policy*.
 - Guannel, G., Arkema, K., Ruggiero, P. and Verutes, G. (2016) 'The Power of Three: Coral Reefs, Seagrasses and Mangroves Protect Coastal Regions and Increase Their Resilience', in Bianchi, C.N. (ed.) *PLoS ONE*.
 - Gunningham, N. (2019) 'Averting Climate Catastrophe: Environmental Activism, Extinction Rebellion and Coalitions of Influence', *King's Law Journal*, pp. 1-9.
 - Guo, T., Morgenroth, J. and Conway, T. (2019) 'To plant, remove, or retain: Understanding property owner decisions about trees during redevelopment', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 190, pp. 103601.
 - Gurumoorthy, K. B., Vimal, S. P., Kumar, N. S. and Kasiselvanathan, M. (2021) 'Air Pollution Hotspot Detection and Identification of Their Source Trajectory', *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser*, pp. 012029.
 - Gutiérrez, J. M., Jones, R. G., Narisma, G. T., Alves, L. M., Amjad, M., Gorodetskaya, I. V., Grose, M., Klutse, N. A. B., Krakovska, S., Li, J., Martínez-Castro, D., Mearns, L. O., Mernild, S. H., Ngo-Duc, T., van den Hurk, B. and Yoon, J.-H. (2021a) 'Atlas', in Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B. (eds.) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Cambridge University Press.
 - Gutman, J. (2019) 'Commentary: Urban wetlands restoration as NBS for flood risk mitigation: From positive case to legitimate practice, in the view of evidence-based flood risk policy making', *Nature-Based Flood Risk Management on Private Land*. Cham: Springer, pp. 127-134.
 - Guzman, A., Castano-Isaza, J., Ferguson, I., Bovolo, M., Lawless, M. and Elior, A. D. (2017) *Coastal Resilience Assessment: Paramaribo, Suriname*. World Bank Group.
 - Güneralp, B., Güneralp, İ. and Liu, Y. (2015) 'Changing global patterns of urban exposure to flood and drought hazards', *Global environmental change*, 31, pp. 217-225.
 - Haase, D., Kabisch, S., Haase, A., Andersson, E., Banzhaf, E., Baró, F., Brenck, M., Fischer, L. K., Frantzeskaki, N., Kabisch, N., Krellenberg, K., Kremer, P., Kronenberg, J., Larondelle, N., Mathey, J., Pauleit, S., Ring, I., Rink, D., Schwarz, N. and Wolff, M. (2017b) 'Greening cities To be socially inclusive? About the alleged paradox of society and ecology in cities', *Habitat International*, 64, pp. 41-48.
 - Haasnoot, M., Brown, S., Scussolini, P., Jimenez, J. A., Vafeidis, A. T. and Nicholls, R. J. (2019) 'Generic adaptation pathways for coastal archetypes under uncertain sea-level rise', *Environmental Research Communications*, 1(7), pp. 071006.
 - Haasnoot, M., Winter, G., Brown, S., Dawson, R. J., Ward, P. J. and Eilander, D. (2021) 'Long-term sea-level rise necessitates a commitment to adaptation: A first order assessment', *Climate Risk Management*, pp. 100355.
 - Hafezi, M., Stewart, R. A., Sahin, O., Giffin, A. L. and Mackey, B. (2021) 'Evaluating coral reef ecosystem services outcomes from climate change adaptation strategies using integrative system dynamics', *Journal of Environmental Management*.
 - Hagler, G. S. W., Lin, M. Y., Khlystov, A., Baldauf, R. W., Isakov, V., Faircloth, J. and Jackson, L. E. (2012) 'Field investigation of roadside vegetative and structural barrier impact on near-road ultrafine particle concentrations under a variety of wind conditions', *Science of The Total Environment*, 419, pp. 7-15.
 - Hale, S. E., Folde, M. S. and Melby, U. H. (2021) 'From landfills to landscapes—Nature-based solutions for water management taking into account legacy contamination', *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*.
- 57 management taking into account legacy contamination', *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*58 Hallegatte, S., Bangalore, M., Bonzanigo, L., Fay, M., Kane, T., Narloch, U., Rozenberg, J., Treguer, D. and Vogt59 Schilb, A. (2016) *Shock Waves: Managing the Impacts of Climate Change on Poverty. Climate Change and*60 *Development*, Washington DC: World Bank. Available at:
- https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/22787/9781464806735.pdf?sequence=13&isAllowed=y.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52

53

54

55

58

59

60

- Hamann, F., Blecken, G. T., Ashley, R. M. and Viklander, M. (2020) 'Valuing the Multiple Benefits of Blue-Green
 Infrastructure for a Swedish Case Study: Contrasting the Economic Assessment Tools B£ ST and TEEB', *Journal*of Sustainable Water in the Built Environment, 6(4), pp. 05020003.
- Hamiche, A. M., Stambouli, A. B. and Flazi, S. (2016) 'A review of the water-energy nexus', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 65, pp. 319-331.
- Hankey, S. and Marshall, J. D. (2017) 'Urban form, air pollution, and health', *Current environmental health reports*, 4(4), pp. 491-503.
 - Hansen, R., Olafsson, A. S., Jagt, A. P., Rall, E. and Pauleit, S. (2019) 'Planning multifunctional green infrastructure for compact cities: What is the state of practice?', *Ecological Indicators*, 96, pp. 99-110.
 - Hara, Y., McPhearson, T., Sampei, Y. and McGrath, B. (2018) 'Assessing urban agriculture potential: A comparative study of Osaka, Japan and New York city, United States', *Sustainability Science*, 13(4), pp. 937-952.
 - Haraguchi, M. and Kim, S. (2016) 'Critical infrastructure interdependence in New York City during hurricane sandy', *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment.*
 - Hardoy, J., Gencer, E. and Winograd, M. (2019) 'Participatory planning for climate resilient and inclusive urban development in Dosquebradas, Santa Ana and Santa Tomé', *Environment and Urbanization*, 31(1), pp. 33-52.
 - Harnik, P. and Crompton, J. L. (2014) 'Measuring the total economic value of a park system to a community', *Managing Leisure*, 19(3), pp. 188-211.
- Haworth, B., Biggs, E., Duncan, J., Wales, N., Boruff, B. and Bruce, E. (2018) 'Geographic information and communication technologies for supporting smallholder agriculture and climate resilience', *Climate*, 6(4), pp. 97.
 - Hay, M., Skinner, J. and Norton, A. 2019. Dam-induced displacement and resettlement: a literature review. Hayward, B. (2021) *Children, Citizenship and Environment: #SchoolStrike Edition*. London: Routledge.
 - Heck, S. (2021) 'Greening the color line: Historicizing water infrastructure redevelopment and environmental justice in the St', *Louis metropolitan region*. *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*, pp. 1-16.
 - Heckert, M. and Rosan, C. D. (2018) 'Creating GIS-Based Planning Tools to Promote Equity Through Green Infrastructure', *Frontiers in Built Environment*, 0.
 - Hein, M. Y., Birtles, A., Willis, B. L., Gardiner, N., Beeden, R. and Marshall, N. A. (2019) 'Coral restoration: Socioecological perspectives of benefits and limitations', *Biological Conservation*.
 - Henstra, D. (2016) 'The tools of climate adaptation policy: analysing instruments and instrument selection', *Climate Policy*, 16(4), pp. 496-521.
 - Heslin, A., Deckard, N. D., Oakes, R. and Montero-Colbert, A. (2019) 'Displacement and Resettlement: Understanding the Role of Climate Change in Contemporary Migration', in Mechler, R., Bouwer, L.M., Schinko, T., Surminski, S. and Linnerooth-Bayer, J. (eds.) Loss and Damage from Climate Change: Concepts, Methods and Policy Options. Cham: Springer International Publishing, pp. 237-258.
 - Hewett, C. J., Wilkinson, M. E., Jonczyk, J. and Quinn, P. F. (2020) 'Catchment systems engineering: An holistic approach to catchment management', *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 7(3), pp. e1417.
 - Hewitt, C. N., Ashworth, K. and MacKenzie, A. R. (2020) 'Using green infrastructure to improve urban air quality (GI4AQ', *Ambio*, 49, pp. 62-73.
- Hidalgo, A. (2019) *Trail of footprints: A history of indigenous maps from viceregal Mexico*. University of Texas Press.
 - Hirano, Y., Ihara, T., Gomi, K. and Fujita, T. (2019) 'Simulation-Based Evaluation of the Effect of Green Roofs in Office Building Districts on Mitigating the Urban Heat Island Effect and Reducing CO2 Emissions', Sustainability, 11(7).
 - Hiwasaki, L., Luna, E. and Marçal, J. A. (2015) 'Local and indigenous knowledge on climate-related hazards of coastal and small island communities in Southeast Asia', *Climatic Change*, 128(1), pp. 35-56.
 - Hoang, L. and Fenner, R. (2016) 'System interactions of stormwater management using sustainable urban drainage systems and green infrastructure', *Urban Water Journal*, 13(7), pp. 739-758.
 - Hobbie, S. E. and Grimm, N. B. (2020) 'Nature-based approaches to managing climate change impacts in cities', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375, pp. 20190124.
 - Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Pendleton, L. and Kaup, A. (2019) 'People and the changing nature of coral reefs', *Regional Studies in Marine Science*.
 - Holmes, G., Hay, R., Davies, E., Hill, J., Barrett, J., Style, D., Vause, E., Brown, K., Gault, A. and Stark, C. (2019) 'UK housing: fit for the future?', *Committee on Climate Change*.
 - Hoover, F. A., Meerow, S., Grabowski, Z. J. and McPhearson, T. (2021) 'Environmental justice implications of siting criteria in urban green infrastructure planning', *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*, pp. 1-18.
 - Hooyberghs, H., Verbeke, S., Lauwaet, D., Costa, H., Floater, G. and De Ridder, K. (2017) 'Influence of climate change on summer cooling costs and heat stress in urban office buildings', *Climatic Change*, 144(4), pp. 721-735.
- Hopkins, K. G., Grimm, N. B. and York, A. M. (2018) 'Influence of governance structure on green stormwater infrastructure investment', *Environmental Science and Policy*, 84, pp. 124-133.
 - Horst, M., McClintock, N. and Hoey, L. (2017) 'The intersection of planning, urban agriculture, and food justice: A review of the literature', *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 83(3), pp. 277-295.
 - Horton, R., Beaglehole, R., Bonita, R., Raeburn, J., McKee, M. and Wall, S. (2014) 'From public to planetary health: a manifesto', *The Lancet*, 383(9920), pp. 847.
- Hossain, N. U. I., El Amrani, S., Jaradat, R., Marufuzzaman, M., Buchanan, R., Rinaudo, C. and Hamilton, M. (2020)
 'Modeling and assessing interdependencies between critical infrastructures using Bayesian network: A case study

- of inland waterway port and surrounding supply chain network', *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 198, pp. 106898.
- Howard, G., Calow, R., Macdonald, A. and Bartram, J. (2016) 'Climate change and water and sanitation: likely impacts and emerging trends for action', *Annual review of environment and resources*, 41, pp. 253-276.
 - Hu, P., Zhang, Q., Shi, P., Chen, B. and Fang, J. (2018) 'Flood-induced mortality across the globe: Spatiotemporal pattern and influencing factors', *Science of The Total Environment*, 643, pp. 171-182.
 - Huff, J. E., Haseman, J. K., DeMarini, D. M., Eustis, S., Maronpot, R. R., Peters, A. C., Persing, R. L., Chrisp, C. E. and Jacobs, A. C. (1989) 'Multiple-site carcinogenicity of benzene in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice', *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 82, pp. 125-163.
 - Hwang, R.-L., Lin, T.-P. and Lin, F.-Y. (2020) 'Evaluation and mapping of building overheating risk and air conditioning use due to the urban heat island effect', *Journal of Building Engineering*, 32, pp. 101726.
 - Hynes, S., Chen, W., Vondolia, K., Armstrong, C. and O'Connor, E. (2021) 'Valuing the ecosystem service benefits from kelp forest restoration: A choice experiment from Norway', *Ecological Economics*, 179 (106833).
 - Hérivaux, C. and Le Coent, P. (2021) 'Introducing nature into cities or preserving existing peri-urban ecosystems? Analysis of preferences in a rapidly urbanizing catchment', *Sustainability*, 13(2), pp. 587.

September 2019).

- ILO, 2017: World social protection report 2017–19: Universal social protection to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals. International Labour Office, Geneva.
- Imamura, K., Takano, K. T., Kumagai, N. H., Yoshida, Y., Yamano, H. and Fujii, M. (2020) 'Valuation of coral reefs in Japan: Willingness to pay for conservation and the effect of information', *Ecosystem Services*, 46(101166).
- Islam, M. M., Rahman, M. A., Khan, M. S., Mondal, G. and Khan, M. I. (2021) 'Transformational adaptations to climatic hazards: Insights from mangroves-based coastal fisheries dependent communities of Bangladesh', *Marine Policy*, 128 (104475).
- Ismagilova, E., Hughes, L., Dwivedi, Y. K. and Raman, K. R. (2019) 'Smart cities: Advances in research—An information systems perspective', *International Journal of Information Management*, 47, pp. 88-100.
- Ivaschenko, O., Rodriguez Alas, C. P., Novikova, M., Romero Robayo, C., Bowen, T. V. and Zhu, L. (2018) *The state of social safety nets 2018*. Washington: The World Bank.
- Jaakkola, J. J. K., Juntunen, S. and Näkkäläjärvi, K. (2018) 'The holistic effects of climate change on the culture, well-being, and health of the Saami, the only indigenous people in the European Union', *Current environmental health reports*, 5(4), pp. 401-417.
- Jabareen, Y. (2015) 'City planning deficiencies & climate change The situation in developed and developing cities', *Geoforum*, 63, pp. 40-43.
- Jacob, M. and Rocha, C. (2021) 'Models of governance in community gardening: administrative support fosters project longevity', *Local Environment*, 26(5), pp. 557-574.
- Jakovac, C. C., Latawiec, A. E., Lacerda, E., Leite Lucas, I., Korys, K. A. and Iribarrem, A. (2020) 'Costs and Carbon Benefits of Mangrove Conservation and Restoration: A Global Analysis', *Ecological Economics*, 176(106758).
- Jamali, B., Bach, P. M. and Deletic, A. (2020) 'Rainwater harvesting for urban flood management–An integrated modelling framework', *Water research*, 171, pp. 115372.
- Janhäll, S. (2015) 'Review on urban vegetation and particle air pollution Deposition and dispersion', *Atmospheric Environment*, 105, pp. 130-137.
 - Janke, B. D., Finlay, J. C. and Hobbie, S. E. (2017) 'Trees and streets as drivers of urban stormwater nutrient pollution', *Environmental Science and Technology*, 51(17), pp. 9569-9579.
 - Jay, O., Capon, A., Berry, P., Broderick, C., de Dear, R., Havenith, G., Honda, Y., Kovats, R. S., Ma, W. and Malik, A. (2021) 'Reducing the health effects of hot weather and heat extremes: from personal cooling strategies to green cities', *The Lancet*, 398(10301), pp. 709-724.
 - Jennings, V. and Bamkole, O. (2019) 'The Relationship between Social Cohesion and Urban Green Space: An Avenue for Health Promotion', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(3), pp. 452.
 - Jeong, D., Kim, M., Song, K. and Lee, J. (2021) 'Planning a Green Infrastructure Network to Integrate Potential Evacuation Routes and the Urban Green Space in a Coastal City: The Case Study of Haeundae District, Busan, South Korea', *Science of The Total Environment*, 761 (143179).
 - Jeppesen, E., Brucet, S., Naselli-Flores, L., Papastergiadou, E., Stefanidis, K., Noges, T., Noges, P., Attayde, J. L., Zohary, T. and Coppens, J. (2015) 'Ecological impacts of global warming and water abstraction on lakes and reservoirs due to changes in water level and related changes in salinity', *Hydrobiologia*, 750(1), pp. 201-227.
 - Jiang, X. Q., Mei, X. D. and Feng, D. (2016) 'Air pollution and chronic airway diseases: what should people know and do?', *J Thorac Dis*, 8, pp. 31-40.
 - Johnson, E. S., Bell, K. P. and Leahy, J. E. (2018) 'Disamenity to amenity: Spatial and temporal patterns of social response to river restoration progress', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 169, pp. 208-219.
- Johnson, M. F., Thorne, C. R., Castro, J. M., Kondolf, G. M., Mazzacano, C. S., Rood, S. B. and Westbrook, C. (2020) 'Biomic river restoration: A new focus for river management', *River Research and Applications*, 36(1), pp. 3-12.
- Jones, L., Dougill, A., Jones, R. G., Steynor, A., Watkiss, P., Kane, C., Koelle, B., Moufouma-Okia, W., Padgham, J., Ranger, N., Roux, J.-P., Suarez, P., Tanner, T. and Vincent, K. (2015) 'Ensuring climate information guides long-term development', *Nature Climate Change*, 5, pp. 812.
 - Jongman, B. (2018) 'Effective adaptation to rising flood risk', *Nature communications*, 9(1), pp. 1-3.

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

3738

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

56

- Jordan, A., D. Huitema, H. van Asselt and J. Forster, 2018: *Governing climate change: polycentricity in action?*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Kabisch, N., Bosch, M. and Lafortezza, R. (2017) 'The health benefits of nature-based solutions to urbanization challenges for children and the elderly A systematic review', *Environmental Research*, 159, pp. 362-73.
 - Kabisch, N., Frantzeskaki, N. and Pauleit, S. (2016) 'Nature-based solutions to climate change mitigation and adaptation in urban areas: perspectives on indicators, knowledge gaps, barriers, and opportunities for action', *Ecology and Society*, 21.
 - Kabisch, N., Frantzeskaki, N., Pauleit, S., Naumann, S., Davis, M. and Artmann, M. (2016) 'Nature-based solutions to climate change mitigation and adaptation in urban areas: perspectives on indicators, knowledge gaps, barriers, and opportunities for action', *Ecology and Society*, 21(2).
 - Kalantari, Z., Ferreira, C. S. S., Keesstra, S. and Destouni, G. (2018) 'Nature-based solutions for flood-drought risk mitigation in vulnerable urbanizing parts of East-Africa', *Current Opinion in Environmental Science and Health*, 5, pp. 73-78.
 - Kamruzzaman, M., Wood, L., Hine, J., Currie, G., Giles-Corti, B. and Turrell, G. (2014) 'Patterns of social capital associated with transit oriented development', *Journal of Transport Geography*, 35, pp. 144-155.
 - Kapetas, L. and Fenner, R. (2020) 'Integrating blue-green and grey infrastructure through an adaptation pathways approach to surface water flooding', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 378(2168), pp. 20190204.
 - Karan, A., Negandhi, H., Hussain, S., Zapata, T., Mairembam, D., De Graeve, H., Buchan, J. and Zodpey, S. (2021) 'Size, composition and distribution of health workforce in India: why, and where to invest?', *Human resources for health*, 19(1), pp. 1-14.
 - Kates, R. W., Travis, W. R. and Wilbanks, T. J. (2012) 'Transformational adaptation when incremental adaptations to climate change are insufficient', *PNAS*, 8;109(19):7156–61.
- Kaushal, S. S., McDowell, W. H. and Wollheim, W. M. (2014) 'Tracking evolution of urban biogeochemical cycles: past, present, and future', *Biogeochemistry*, 121, pp. 1-21.
- Kaźmierczak, A. (2013) 'The contribution of local parks to neighbourhood social ties', *Landscape and urban planning*, 109(1), pp. 31-44.
- Keeler, B. L., Hamel, P., McPhearson, T., Hamann, M. H., Donahue, M. L., Prado, K. A. M. and Wood, S. A. (2019)
 'Social-ecological and technological factors moderate the value of urban nature', *Nature Sustainability*, 2(1), pp. 29-38.
 - Keeler, B. L., Wood, S. A., Polasky, S., Kling, C., Filstrup, C. T. and Downing, J. A. (2015) 'Recreational demand for clean water: evidence from geotagged photographs by visitors to lakes', *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 13(2), pp. 76-81.
 - Keenan, J. M., Hill, T. and Gumber, A. (2018) 'Climate gentrification: from theory to empiricism in Miami-Dade County, Florida', *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(5), pp. 054001.
 - Keesstra, S., Nunes, J. and Novara, A. (2018) 'The superior effect of nature based solutions in land management for enhancing ecosystem services', *Science of The Total Environment*, 610–611, pp. 997-1009.
 - Keith, H., Vardon, M., Obst, C., Young, V., Houghton, R. A. and Mackey, B. (2021) 'Evaluating nature-based solutions for climate mitigation and conservation requires comprehensive carbon accounting', *Science of The Total Environment*.
 - Kemp, R. (2017) 'Electrical system resilience: a forensic analysis of the blackout in Lancaster, UK', *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Forensic Engineering*, 170(2), pp. 100-109.
 - Kenner, S. (2008) 'Do social housing providers across Yorkshire and the East Midlands have effective flood risk management in place when maintaining and repairing their housing stock?', *Journal of Building Appraisal*, 4, pp. 71-85.
 - Kent, J. L. and Thompson, S. (2014) 'The three domains of urban planning for health and well-being', *Journal of planning literature*, 29(3), pp. 239-256.
 - Khalaila, S., Coreanu, T., Vodonos, A., Kloog, I., Shtein, A., Colwell, L. E., Novack, V. and Tsumi, E. (2021) 'Association between ambient temperature, particulate air pollution and emergency room visits for conjunctivitis', BMC Ophthalmology, 21, pp. 100.
 - Kim, H. and Hong, T. (2020) 'Determining the optimal set-point temperature considering both labor productivity and energy saving in an office building', *Applied Energy*, 276, pp. 115429.
- Kim, K. H., Lee, S. B., Woo, D. and Bae, G. N. (2015) 'Influence of wind direction and speed on the transport of particle-bound PAHs in a roadway environment', *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, 6, pp. 1024-1034.
- Kim, M., Choi, Y. E. and Chon, J. (2018) 'Key coastal landscape structures for resilient coastal green infrastructure to enhance the abundance of migratory birds on the Yellow Sea', *Environmental Pollution*, 243, pp. 1617-28.
 - Kim, S., Kim, H. and Lee, J. T. (2019) 'Interactions between Ambient Air Particles and Greenness on Cause-specific Mortality in Seven Korean Metropolitan Cities, 2008–2016', *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 16, pp. 1866.
- Kim, S. K., Joosse, P., Bennett, M. M. and Gevelt, T. (2020a) 'Impacts of green infrastructure on flood risk perceptions in Hong Kong', *Climatic Change*, 162(4), pp. 2277-2299.
- Kim, Y., Chester, M. V., Eisenberg, D. A. and Redman, C. L. (2019) 'The infrastructure trolley problem: Positioning safe-to-fail infrastructure for climate change adaptation', *Earth's Future*, 7(7), pp. 704-717.

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

- King, A. and Shackleton, C. M. (2020) 'Maintenance of public and private urban green infrastructure provides significant employment in Eastern Cape towns, South Africa', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 54, pp. 126740.
 - King, D., Gurtner, Y., Firdaus, A., Harwood, S. and Cottrell, A. (2016) 'Land use planning for disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation: Operationalizing policy and legislation at local levels', *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment*, 7(2), pp. 158-172.
 - Kingsborough, A., Jenkins, K. and Hall, J. W. (2017) 'Development and appraisal of long-term adaptation pathways for managing heat-risk in London', *Climate Risk Management*, 16, pp. 73-92.
- Kioumourtzoglou, M. A., Schwartz, J., James, P., Dominici, F. and Zanobetti, A. (2016) 'PM2.5 and Mortality in 207 US Cities: Modification by Temperature and City Characteristics', *Epidemiology*, 27, pp. 221-227.
 - Klompmaker, J. O., Hart, J. E., James, P., Sabath, M. B., Wu, X., Zanobetti, A., Dominici, F. and Laden, F. (2021) 'Air pollution and cardiovascular disease hospitalization Are associations modified by greenness, temperature and humidity?', *Environment International*, 156, pp. 106715.
 - Knight, T., Price, S., Bowler, D., Hookway, A., King, S., Konno, K. and Richter, R. L. (2021) 'How effective is 'greening' of urban areas in reducing human exposure to ground-level ozone concentrations, UV exposure and the 'urban heat island effect'? An updated systematic review', *Environmental Evidence*, 10(1), pp. 12.
 - Kok, S., Bisaro, A., de Bel, M., Hinkel, J. and Bouwer, L. M. (2021) 'The potential of nature-based flood defences to leverage public investment in coastal adaptation: Cases from the Netherlands, Indonesia and Georgia', *Ecological Economics*, 179, pp. 106828.
- Koks, E. E., Rozenberg, J., Zorn, C., Tariverdi, M., Vousdoukas, M., Fraser, S. A., Hall, J. W. and Hallegatte, S. (2019)
 'A global multi-hazard risk analysis of road and railway infrastructure assets', *Nature communications*, 10(1), pp. 2677.
 - Kondo, M. C., Low, S. C., Henning, J. and Branas, C. C. (2015) 'The impact of green stormwater infrastructure installation on surrounding health and safety', *American journal of public health*, 105(3), pp. 114-121.
 - Kondo, M. C., South, E. C. and Branas, C. C. (2015) 'Nature-Based Strategies for Improving Urban Health and Safety', Journal of Urban Health: Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine, 92, pp. 800-814.
 - Kondolf, G. M., Gao, Y., Annandale, G. W., Morris, G. L., Jiang, E., Zhang, J., Cao, Y., Carling, P., Fu, K. and Guo, Q. (2014) 'Sustainable sediment management in reservoirs and regulated rivers: Experiences from five continents', *Earth's Future*, 2(5), pp. 256-280.
- Kong, J., Simonovic, S. P. and Zhang, C. (2019) 'Sequential hazards resilience of interdependent infrastructure system:
 A case study of Greater Toronto Area energy infrastructure system', *Risk Analysis*, 39(5), pp. 1141-1168.
 - Kotsila, P., Anguelovski, I., Baró, F., Langemeyer, J., Sekulova, F., Connolly, J. T. and J (2021) 'Nature-based solutions as discursive tools and contested practices in urban nature's neoliberalisation processes', *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space*, 4(2), pp. 252-274.
 - Kozak, D., Henderson, H., Castro Mazarro, A., Rotbart, D. and Aradas, R. (2020) 'Blue-green infrastructure (BGI) in dense urban watersheds. The case of the Medrano stream basin (MSB) in Buenos Aires', *Sustainability*, 12(6), pp. 2163.
 - Kozová, M., Dobšinská, Z., Pauditšová, E., Tomčíková, I. and Rakytová, I. (2018) 'Network and participatory governance in urban forestry: An assessment of examples from selected Slovakian cities', *Forest Policy and Economics*, 89, pp. 31-41.
 - Krauze, K. and Wagner, I. (2019) 'From classical water-ecosystem theories to nature-based solutions—Contextualizing nature-based solutions for sustainable city', *Science of the total environment*, 655, pp. 697-706.
 - Kravitz-Wirtz, N., Crowder, K., Hajat, A. and Sass, V. (2016) 'The Long-term Dynamics Of Racial/Ethnic Inequality In Neighborhood Air Pollution Exposure, 1990–2009', *Du Bois Rev*, 13, pp. 237-259.
 - Kroeger, T., Klemz, C., Boucher, T., Fisher, J. R. B., Acosta, E., Cavassani, A. T., Dennedy-Frank, P. J., Garbossa, L., Blainski, E., Santos, R. C., Giberti, S., Petry, P., Shemie, D. and Dacol, K. (2019) 'Returns on investment in watershed conservation: Application of a best practices analytical framework to the Rio Camboriú Water Producer program, Santa Catarina, Brazil', *Science of The Total Environment*, 657, pp. 1368-1381.
 - Kulp, S. A. and Strauss, B. H. (2019) 'New elevation data triple estimates of global vulnerability to sea-level rise and coastal flooding', *Nature communications*, 10(1), pp. 1-12.
 - Kvamsås, H. (2021) 'Addressing the adaptive challenges of alternative stormwater planning', *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*, pp. 1-13.
- Derkzen, M. L., van Teeffelen, A. J. A., and Verburg, P. H.(2017) 'Green infrastructure for urban climate adaptation:
 How do residents' views on climate impacts and green infrastructure shape adaptation preferences?'', *Landscape*and *Urban Planning*, 157, pp. 106-30.
- Lafortezza, R., Chen, J., Bosch, C. K. and Randrup, T. B. (2018) 'Nature-based solutions for resilient landscapes and cities', *Environmental Research*, 165, pp. 431-41.
- Lafortezza, R. and Sanesi, G. (2019) 'Nature-based solutions: Settling the issue of sustainable urbanization', *Environmental research*, 172, pp. 394-398.
- Langergraber, G., Pucher, B., Simperler, L., Kisser, J., Katsou, E. and Buehler, D. (2020) 'Implementing nature-based solutions for creating a resourceful circular city', *Blue-Green Systems*, 4;2(1):173–85.
- Larcom, S., She, P.-W. and van Gevelt, T. (2019) 'The UK summer heatwave of 2018 and public concern over energy security', *Nature Climate Change*, 9(5), pp. 370.

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

24

25 26

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

- Larsen, L. (2015) 'Urban climate and adaptation strategies', Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 13(9), pp. 486-1 2
- 3 Larson, K. L., Wiek, A. and Withycombe Keeler, L. (2013) 'A comprehensive sustainability appraisal of water governance in Phoenix, AZ', Journal of Environmental Management, 116, pp. 58-71. 4
- Lee, K. E., Williams, K. J., Sargent, L. D., Williams, N. S. and Johnson, K. A. (2015a) '40-second green roof views 5 sustain attention: The role of micro-breaks in attention restoration', Journal of Environmental Psychology, 42, pp. 6 182-189. 7
- Lee, T. M., Markowitz, E. M., Howe, P. D., Ko, C.-Y. and Leiserowitz, A. A. (2015b) 'Predictors of public climate 8 change awareness and risk perception around the world', Nature climate change, 5(11), pp. 1014. 9
- LeFevre, G. H., Paus, K. H., Natarajan, P., Gulliver, J. S., Novak, P. J. and Hozalski, R. M. (2015) 'Review of dissolved 10 pollutants in urban storm water and their removal and fate in bioretention cells', Journal of Environmental 11 Engineering, 141(1), pp. 04014050. 12
 - Lemieux, C. J., Groulx, M., Halpenny, E., Stager, H., Dawson, J., Stewart, E. J. and Hvenegaard, G. T. (2018) "The End of the Ice Age?": Disappearing World Heritage and the Climate Change Communication Imperative', Environmental Communication, 12(5), pp. 653-671.
 - Leveau, L. M., Isla, F. I. and Bellocq, M. I. (2018) 'Predicting the seasonal dynamics of bird communities along an urban-rural gradient using NDVI', Landscape and Urban Planning, 177, pp. 103-113.
 - León, J. and March, A. (2016) 'An urban form response to disaster vulnerability: Improving tsunami evacuation in Iquique, Chile', Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 43(5), pp. 826-847.
- Li, P., Zhao, P. and Brand, C. (2018) 'Future energy use and CO2 emissions of urban passenger transport in China: A 20 travel behavior and urban form based approach', Applied Energy, 211, pp. 820-842.
 - Liao, K. H., Chan, J. K. H. and Huang, Y. L. (2019) 'Environmental justice and flood prevention: the moral cost of floodwater redistribution', Landscape and urban planning, 189, pp. 36-45.
 - Lilford, R. J., Oyebode, O., Satterthwaite, D., Chen, Y.-f., Mberu, B., Watson, S. I. and Sartori, J. (2016) 'The health of people who live in slums 2 Improving the health and welfare of people who live in slums', *The Lancet*, 6736(16),
- Lin, B. B., Philpott, S. M. and Jha, S. (2015) 'The future of urban agriculture and biodiversity-ecosystem services: 27 Challenges and next steps', Basic and applied ecology, 16(3), pp. 189-201. 28
- Liu, J., Sample, D. J. and Bell, C. (2014) 'Review and Research Needs of Bioretention Used for the Treatment of Urban 29 30 Stormwater', *Water*, 6, pp. 1069-1099.
 - Liu, J., Wang, J., Ding, X., Shao, W., Mei, C., Li, Z. and Wang, K. (2020) 'Assessing the mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from a green infrastructure-based urban drainage system', Applied Energy, 278, pp. 115686.
 - Liu, L. and Jensen, M. B. (2018) 'Green infrastructure for sustainable urban water management: Practices of five forerunner cities', Cities, 74, pp. 126-133.
 - Locatelli, L., Guerrero, M., Russo, B., Martínez-Gomariz, E., Sunyer, D. and Martínez, M. (2020) 'Socio-Economic Assessment of Green Infrastructure for Climate Change Adaptation in the Context of Urban Drainage Planning', Sustainability, 12, pp. 3792.
 - Loder, A. (2014) 'There's a meadow outside my workplace': A phenomenological exploration of aesthetics and green roofs in Chicago and Toronto', Landscape and urban planning, 126, pp. 94-106.
 - Lohrey, S. and Creutzig, F. (2016) 'A 'sustainability window' of urban form', Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 45, pp. 96-111.
 - Ludy, J. and Kondolf, G. M. (2012) 'Flood risk perception in lands "protected" by 100-year levees', Natural hazards, 61(2), pp. 829-842.
 - Luetz, J. M. and Merson, J. (2019) 'Climate Change and Human Migration as Adaptation: Conceptual and Practical Challenges and Opportunities', in Leal Filho, W., Azul, A.M., Brandli, L., Özuyar, P.G. and Wall, T. (eds.) Climate Action. Cham: Springer International Publishing, pp. 1-13.
 - Lumbroso, D., Brown, E. and Ranger, N. (2016) 'Stakeholders' perceptions of the overall effectiveness of early warning systems and risk assessments for weather-related hazards in Africa, the Caribbean and South Asia', Natural Hazards, 84(3), pp. 2121-2144.
 - Lyles, W., Berke, P. and Overstreet, K. H. (2018) 'Where to begin municipal climate adaptation planning? Evaluating two local choices', Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 61(11), pp. 1994-2014.
- Löhmus, M. and Balbus, J. (2015) 'Making green infrastructure healthier infrastructure', Infection ecology and 52 epidemiology, 5(1), pp. 30082. 53
- Ma, Z., Melville, D. S., Liu, J., Chen, Y., Yang, H., Ren, W., Zhang, Z., Piersma, T. and Li, B. (2014) 'Rethinking 54 China's new great wall', Science, 346(6212), pp. 912-914. 55
- Maavara, T., Chen, Q., Van Meter, K., Brown, L. E., Zhang, J., Ni, J. and Zarfl, C. (2020) 'River dam impacts on 56 biogeochemical cycling', Nature Reviews Earth and Environment, 1(2), pp. 103-116. 57
- Macedo, L. S. (2021) 'Urban green and blue infrastructure: A critical analysis of research on developing countries", 58 Journal of Cleaner Production, 313, pp. 127898. 59
- Machado, R. A. S., Oliveira, A. G. and Lois-González, R. C. (2019) 'Urban ecological infrastructure: The importance of 60 vegetation cover in the control of floods and landslides in Salvador / Bahia', Brazil. Land Use Policy. 61
- Macintyre, T., Lotz-Sisitka, H., Wals, A., Vogel, C. and Tassone, V. (2018) 'Towards transformative social learning on 62 the path to 1.5 degrees', Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 31, pp. 80-87. 63

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

- Magee, A. D., Verdon-Kidd, D. C., Kiem, A. S. and Royle, S. A. (2016) 'Tropical cyclone perceptions, impacts and adaptation in the Southwest Pacific: an urban perspective from Fiji, Vanuatu and Tonga', *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 16(5), pp. 1091-1105.
- Maione, M., Fowler, D., Monks, P. S., Reis, S., Rudich, Y., Williams, M. L. and Fuzzi, S. (2016) 'Air quality and climate change: Designing new win-win policies for Europe. Environmental Science and Policy',
 Multidisciplinary research findings in support to the EU air quality policy: experiences from the APPRAISAL,
 SEFIRA and ACCENT-Plus EU FP7 projects, pp. 48-57.
- Majidi, A. N., Vojinovic, Z., Alves, A., Weesakul, S., Sanchez, A., Boogaard, F. and Kluck, J. (2019) 'Planning nature-based solutions for urban flood reduction and thermal comfort enhancement', *Sustainability*, 11(22), pp. 6361.
- Maldonado, J. et al., 2016: Engagement with indigenous peoples and honoring traditional knowledge systems. In: *The US National Climate Assessment*. Springer, pp. 111-126.
 - Malekpour, S., Tawfik, S. and Chesterfield, C. (2021) 'Designing collaborative governance for nature-based solutions', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*.
 - Mallick, B., Priodarshini, R., Kimengsi, J. N., Biswas, B., Hausmann, A. E. and Islam, S. (2021) 'Livelihoods dependence on mangrove ecosystems: Empirical evidence from the Sundarbans', *Current Research in Environmental Sustainability*.
 - Maltoni, C., Ciliberti, A., Pinto, C., Soffritti, M., Belpoggi, F. and Menarini, L. (1997) 'Results of Long-term Experimental Carcinogenicity Studies of the Effects of Gasoline, Correlated Fuels, and Major Gasoline Aromatics on Rats', *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 837, pp. 15-52.
 - Marchezini, V., Trajber, R., Olivato, D., Muñoz, V. A., de Oliveira Pereira, F. and Oliveira Luz, A. E. (2017) 'Participatory Early Warning Systems: Youth, Citizen Science, and Intergenerational Dialogues on Disaster Risk Reduction in Brazil', *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, 8(4), pp. 390-401.
 - Marcos-Marcos, J., Olry de Labry-Lima, A., Toro-Cardenas, S., Lacasaña, M., Degroote, S., Ridde, V. and Bermudez-Tamayo, C. (2018) 'Impact, economic evaluation, and sustainability of integrated vector management in urban settings to prevent vector-borne diseases: a scoping review', *Infectious diseases of poverty*, 7(1), pp. 1-14.
 - Marcus, L., Berghauser Pont, M. and Barthel, S. (2020) 'Towards a socio-ecological spatial morphology: a joint network approach to urban form and landscape ecology', *Urban morphology*, 24(1), pp. 21-34.
 - Markevych, I., Schoierer, J., Hartig, T., Chudnovsky, A., Hystad, P., Dzhambov, A. M. and Fuertes, E. (2017) 'Exploring pathways linking greenspace to health: Theoretical and methodological guidance', *Environmental research*, 158, pp. 301-317.
 - Markolf, S. A., Chester, M. V., Eisenberg, D. A., Iwaniec, D. M., Davidson, C. I., Zimmerman, R., Miller, T. R., Ruddell, B. L. and Chang, H. (2018) 'Interdependent infrastructure as linked social, ecological, and technological systems (SETSs) to address lock-in and enhance resilience', *Earth's Future*, 6(12), pp. 1638-1659.
 - Marks, D. (2015) 'The urban political ecology of the 2011 floods in Bangkok: the creation of uneven vulnerabilities', *Pacific Affairs*, 88, pp. 623.
 - Marques, B., McIntosh, J. and Chanse, V. (2020) 'Improving Community Health and Wellbeing through Multifunctional Green Infrastructure in Cities Undergoing Densification', *Acta Horticulturae et Regiotecturae*.
 - Martin, J. G., Scolobig, A., Linnerooth-Bayer, J., Liu, W. and Balsiger, J. (2021) 'Catalyzing Innovation: Governance Enablers of Nature-Based Solutions', *Sustainability*, 13(4).
 - Mateos, R. M., López-Vinielles, J., Poyiadji, E., Tsagkas, D., Sheehy, M., Hadjicharalambous, K., Liscák, P., Podolski, L., Laskowicz, I. and Iadanza, C. (2020) 'Integration of landslide hazard into urban planning across Europe', *Landscape and urban planning*, 196, pp. 103740.
 - Matos, P., Vieira, J., Rocha, B., Branquinho, C. and Pinho, P. (2019) 'Modeling the provision of air-quality regulation ecosystem service provided by urban green spaces using lichens as ecological indicators', *Science of The Total Environment*, 665, pp. 521-530.
 - Matopoulos, A., Kovács, G. and Hayes, O. (2014) 'Local resources and procurement practices in humanitarian supply chains: An empirical examination of large-scale house reconstruction projects', *Decision Sciences*, 45(4), pp. 621-646.
 - Matos Silva, M. and Costa, J. P. (2016) 'Flood adaptation measures applicable in the design of urban public spaces: Proposal for a conceptual framework', *Water*, 8(7), pp. 284.
 - Matsuyama, A., Khan, F. A. and Khalequzzaman, M. (2020) 'Bangladesh Public Health Issues and Implications to Flood Risk Reduction', in Chan, E. and Shaw, R. (eds.) *Public Health and Disasters. Disaster Risk Reduction (Methods, Approaches and Practices)*. Singapore: Springer.
 - Matthews, T., Lo, A. Y. and Byrne, J. A. (2015) 'Reconceptualizing green infrastructure for climate change adaptation: Barriers to adoption and drivers for uptake by spatial planners', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 138, pp. 155-163.
 - Mattioli, G. and Colleoni, M. (2016) 'Transport disadvantage, car dependence and urban form', *Understanding mobilities for designing contemporary cities*: Springer, pp. 171-190.
- Matyas, D. and Pelling, M. (2015) 'Positioning resilience for 2015: the role of resistance, incremental adjustment and transformation in disaster risk management policy', *Disasters*, 39(s1), pp. s1-s18.
- Maynard, V., Parker, E., Yoseph-Paulus, R. and Garcia, D. (2017) 'Urban planning following humanitarian crises: supporting urban communities and local governments to take the lead', *Environment and Urbanization*, 30(1), pp. 265-282.

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

- Mayrand, F. and Clergeau, P. (2018) 'Green roofs and green walls for biodiversity conservation: a contribution to urban connectivity?', *Sustainability*, 10(4), pp. 985.
- Mazumdar, S., Learnihan, V., Cochrane, T. and Davey, R. (2018) 'The built environment and social capital: A systematic review', *Environment and Behavior*, 50(2), pp. 119-158.
- McClintock, N. (2012) 'Assessing soil lead contamination at multiple scales in Oakland, California: Implications for urban agriculture and environmental justice', *Applied Geography*, 35(1-2), pp. 460-473.
- McClintock, N. (2014) 'Radical, reformist, and garden-variety neoliberal: coming to terms with urban agriculture's contradictions', *Local Environment*, 19(2), pp. 147-171.
 - McClintock, N. (2018) 'Cultivating (a) sustainability capital: Urban agriculture, ecogentrification, and the uneven valorization of social reproduction', *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 108(2), pp. 579-590.
- McFarlane, C. and Silver, J. (2017) 'The Poolitical City: "Seeing Sanitation" and Making the Urban Political in Cape Town', *Antipode*, 49(1), pp. 125-148.
 - McKinney, M. L. and VerBerkmoes, A. (2020) 'Beneficial health outcomes of natural green infrastructure in cities', *Current Landscape Ecology Reports*, 5(2), pp. 35-44.
 - McPhearson, T. et al., 2018: Urban Ecosystems and Biodiversity. In: *Climate Change and Cities: Second Assessment Report of the Urban Climate Change Research Network* [Rosenzweig, C., W. Solecki, P. Romero-Lankao, S. Mehrotra, S. Dhakal and A. S. Ibrahim (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 257-318.
 - McPhillips, L. E., Matsler, M., Rosenzweig, B. R. and Kim, Y. (2020) 'What is the role of green stormwater infrastructure in managing extreme precipitation events?', *Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure*, pp. 1-10.
 - McShane, K. (2017) 'Values and harms in loss and damage', Ethics, Policy & Environment, 20(2), pp. 129-142.
 - Meenar, M., Fromuth, R. and Soro, M. (2018) 'Planning for watershed-wide flood-mitigation and stormwater management using an environmental justice framework', *Environmental Practice*, 20(2-3), pp. 55-67.
 - Meerow, S. (2020) 'The politics of multifunctional green infrastructure planning in New York City', Cities, 100.
 - Mei, C., Liu, J., Wang, H., Yang, Z., Ding, X. and Shao, W. (2018) 'Integrated assessments of green infrastructure for flood mitigation to support robust decision-making for sponge city construction in an urbanized watershed', *Science of the Total Environment*, 639, pp. 1394-1407.
 - Mentaschi, L., Vousdoukas, M. I., Pekel, J. F., Voukouvalas, E. and Feyen, L. (2018) 'Global long-term observations of coastal erosion and accretion', *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), pp. 1-11.
 - Menéndez, P., Losada, I. J., Beck, M. W., Torres-Ortega, S., Espejo, A. and Narayan, S. (2018) 'Valuing the protection services of mangroves at national scale: The Philippines', *Ecosystem Services*.
 - Mesimäki, M., Hauru, K., Kotze, D. J. and Lehvävirta, S. (2017) 'Neo-spaces for urban livability? Urbanites' versatile mental images of green roofs in the Helsinki metropolitan area, Finland', *Land Use Policy*, 61, pp. 587-600.
 - Mguni, P., Herslund, L. and Jensen, M. B. (2016) 'Sustainable urban drainage systems: examining the potential for green infrastructure-based stormwater management for Sub-Saharan cities', *Natural Hazards*, 82(2), pp. 241-257.
 - Miller, J. D. and Hutchins, M. (2017) 'The impacts of urbanisation and climate change on urban flooding and urban water quality: A review of the evidence concerning the United Kingdom', *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 12, pp. 345-362.
 - Miller, N. G., Gabe, J. and Sklarz, M. (2019) 'The impact of water front location on residential home values considering flood risks', *Journal of Sustainable Real Estate*, 11(1), pp. 84-107.
 - Min, K., Kim, H. J., Kim, H. J. and Min, J. (2017) 'Parks and green areas and the risk for depression and suicidal indicators', *International Journal of Public Health*, 62(6), pp. 647-656.
 - Mohareb, E., Heller, M., Novak, P., Goldstein, B., Fonoll, X. and Raskin, L. (2017a) 'Considerations for reducing food system energy demand while scaling up urban agriculture', *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(12), pp. 125004.
 - Mohareb, E., Heller, M., Novak, P., Goldstein, B., Fonoll, X. and Raskin, L. (2017b) 'Considerations for reducing food system energy demand while scaling up urban agriculture', *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(12), pp. 125004.
 - Molle, F. (2009) 'River-basin planning and management: The social life of a concept', Geoforum, 40(3), pp. 484-494.
 - Moore, T. L., Gulliver, J. S., Stack, L. and Simpson, M. H. (2016) 'Stormwater management and climate change: vulnerability and capacity for adaptation in urban and suburban contexts', *Climatic Change*, 138(3), pp. 491-504.
 - Morrissey, J. E., Moloney, S. and Moore, T. (2018) 'Strategic Spatial Planning and Urban Transition: Revaluing Planning and Locating Sustainability Trajectories', in Moore, T., de Haan, F., Horne, R. and Gleeson, B.J. (eds.) *Urban Sustainability Transitions: Australian Cases- International Perspectives*. Singapore: Springer Singapore, pp. 53-72.
 - Moudrak, N., Feltmate, B., Venema, H. and Osman, H. (2018) *Combating Canada's Rising Flood Costs: Natural infrastructure is an underutilized option.* Prepared for the Insurance Bureau of Canada. Intact Centre on Climate Adaptation, University of Waterloo.
 - Mouratiadou, I., Biewald, A., Pehl, M., Bonsch, M., Baumstark, L., Klein, D., Popp, A., Luderer, G. and Kriegler, E. (2016) 'The impact of climate change mitigation on water demand for energy and food: An integrated analysis based on the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways', *Environmental Science & Policy*, 64, pp. 48-58.
 - Mouratidis, K. (2018) 'Built environment and social well-being: How does urban form affect social life and personal relationships?', *Cities*, 74, pp. 7-20.

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

35

36

37

38 39

- Mulligan, J., Bukachi, V., Clause, J. C., Jewell, R., Kirimi, F. and Odbert, C. (2020) 'Hybrid infrastructures, hybrid 1 governance: New evidence from Nairobi (Kenya) on green-blue-grey infrastructure in informal settlements', 2 Anthropocene, 29, pp. 100227. 3
- Muttarak, R. and Lutz, W. (2014b) 'Is education a key to reducing vulnerability to natural disasters and hence 4 unavoidable climate change?', Ecology and society, 19(1). 5
- Nagendra, H., 2016: Nature in the City: Bengaluru in the Past, Present, and Future. Oxford University 6 Press, New Delhi. 7
- Naik, V., Szopa, S., Adhikary, B., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Collins, W. D., Fuzzi, S., Gallardo, L., Kiendler Scharr, A., 8 Klimont, Z., Liao, H., Unger, N. and Zanis, P. (2021a) 'Short-Lived Climate Forcers', in Masson-Delmotte, V., 9 10 Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B. 11 (eds.) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth 12 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Cambridge University Press. 13
- Nakamura, F., Ishiyama, N., Yamanaka, S., Higa, M., Akasaka, T., Kobayashi, Y. and Shoji, Y. (2020) 'Adaptation to 14 climate change and conservation of biodiversity using green infrastructure', River Research and Applications, 15 36(6), pp. 921-933. 16
 - Nakashima, D., Krupnik, I. and Rubis, J. T. (2018) Indigenous Knowledge for climate assessment and adaptation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press and UNESCO.
- Napawan, N. C., Simpson, S.-A. and Snyder, B. (2017) 'Engaging Youth in Climate Resilience Planning with Social 19 Media: Lessons from #OurChangingClimate', *Urban Planning*, 2(4). 20
 - Narain, V., Ranjan, P., Vij, S. and Dewan, A. (2017) 'Taking the road less taken: reorienting the state for periurban water security', Action Research, 18(4), pp. 528-545.
 - Ncube, S. and Arthur, S. (2021) 'Influence of Blue-Green and Grey Infrastructure Combinations on Natural and Human-Derived Capital in Urban Drainage Planning', Sustainability, 13(5), pp. 2571.
 - Neckel, A., Da Silva, J. L., Saraiva, P. P., Kujawa, H. A., Araldi, J. and Paladini, E. P. (2020) 'Estimation of the economic value of urban parks in Brazil, the case of the City of Passo Fundo', Journal of Cleaner Production, 264, pp. 121369.
 - Negandhi, K., Edwards, G. and Kelleway, J. J. (2019) 'Blue carbon potential of coastal wetland restoration varies with inundation and rainfall', Scientific Reports, 9, pp. 4368.
 - Neier, T. (2021) 'Austrian Air Just Clean for Locals: A Nationwide Analysis of Environmental Inequality', Ecological Economics, 187, pp. 107100.
- Nelson, D. R., Bledsoe, B. P. and Ferreira, S. (2020) 'Challenges to realizing the potential of nature-based solutions', 32 Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 45, pp. 49-55.
 Nelson, S. H. and Bigger, P. (2021) 'Infrastructural nature', Progress in Human Geography, 0309132521993916. 33
- 34
 - Nerkar, S. S., Tamhankar, A. J., Johansson, E. and Lundborg, C. S. (2016) 'Impact of Integrated Watershed Management on Complex Interlinked Factors Influencing Health: Perceptions of Professional Stakeholders in a Hilly Tribal Area of India', International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 13(3), pp. 285.
 - Nesshöver, C., Assmuth, T., Irvine, K. N., Rusch, G. M., Waylen, K. A. and Delbaere, B. (2017) 'The science, policy and practice of nature-based solutions: An interdisciplinary perspective', Science of The Total Environment, 579,
- Neumann, J. E., Price, J., Chinowsky, P., Wright, L., Ludwig, L., Streeter, R. and Martinich, J. (2015) 'Climate change 41 risks to US infrastructure: impacts on roads, bridges, coastal development, and urban drainage', Climatic Change, 42 131(1), pp. 97-109. 43
- Nicholls, R. J., Dawson, R. J. and Day, S. A. (2015) Broad Scale Coastal Simulation. Springer. 44
- Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. (2021) 'Green Infrastructure and Health', Annual Review of Public Health, 42, pp. 317-328. 45
- Nissan, H., Goddard, L., de Perez, E. C., Furlow, J., Baethgen, W., Thomson, M. C. and Mason, S. J. (2019) 'On the use 46 and misuse of climate change projections in international development', Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate 47 48 Change, 10(3), pp. e579.
- Nogeire-McRae, T., Ryan, E. P., Jablonski, B. B., Carolan, M., Arathi, H. S., Brown, C. S. and Schipanski, M. E. 49 (2018) The role of urban agriculture in a secure, healthy, and sustainable food system', BioScience, 68(10), pp. 50 51
- Nolon, J. R. (2016) Enhancing the Urban Environment Through Green Infrastructure', Environmental Law Reporter, 52 53
- North, M. A., Kinniburgh, D. W. and Smits, J. E. G. (2017) 'European Starlings (Sturnus vulgaris) As Sentinels of 54 55 Urban Air Pollution: A Comprehensive Approach from Noninvasive to Post Mortem Investigation', Environ. Sci. Technol, 51, pp. 8746-8756. 56
- Now, A. (2019) 'A Global Call for Leadership on Climate Resilience', Global Commission on Adaptation, pp. 2019-09. 57
- Nowak, D. J., Civerolo, K. L., Trivikrama Rao, S., Sistla, G., Luley, C. J., Crane, E. and D (2000) 'A modeling study of 58 the impact of urban trees on ozone', Atmospheric Environment, 34(10), pp. 1601-1613. 59
- Nowak, D. J., Crane, D. E. and Stevens, J. C. (2006) 'Air pollution removal by urban trees and shrubs in the United 60 States', Urban Forestry and Urban Greening, 4, pp. 115-123. 61

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

- Nuzzo, J. B., Meyer, D., Snyder, M., Ravi, S. J., Lapascu, A., Souleles, J., Andrada, C. I. and Bishai, D. (2019) 'What makes health systems resilient against infectious disease outbreaks and natural hazards? Results from a scoping review', *BMC public health*, 19(1), pp. 1-9.
- O'Brien, K., Selboe, E. and Hayward, B. M. (2018) 'Exploring youth activism on climate change: dutiful, disruptive, and dangerous dissent', *Ecology and Society*, 23(3).
- Obando, J. A., Luwesi, C. N., Förch, N., Opiyo, A. O., Shisanya, C. and Förch, G. (2018) 'Kenya Success Story in Water Resources Management: Participatory Capacity Building in Integrated Watershed Management', *Hydrology and Best Practices for Managing Water Resources in Arid and Semi-Arid Lands*.
 - Octavianti, T. and Charles, K. (2019) 'The evolution of Jakarta's flood policy over the past 400 years: The lock-in of infrastructural solutions', *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 37(6), pp. 1102-1125.
 - Olmstead, S. M. (2014) 'Climate change adaptation and water resource management: A review of the literature', *Energy Economics*, 46, pp. 500-509.
 - Oral, H. V., Carvalho, P. and Gajewska, M. (2020) 'A review of nature-based solutions for urban water management in European circular cities: a critical assessment based on case studies and literature', *Blue-Green Systems*, 2, pp. 112-136.
 - WHO Commission on Macroeconomics and Health & World Health Organization. (2001). *Macroeconomics and health: Investing in health for economic development*, Executive Summary, Report of the Commission on Macroeconomics and Health. World Health Organization.
 - World Health Organization (2019) 'Primary health care on the road to universal health coverage: 2019 global monitoring report'.
 - Orlove, B., Lazrus, H., Hovelsrud, G. and Giannini, A. (2014) 'Recognitions and responsibilities: on the origins and consequences of the uneven attention to climate change around the world', *Current Anthropology*, 55(3).
 - Orsini, F., Kahane, R., Nono-Womdim, R. and Gianquinto, G. (2013) 'Urban agriculture in the developing world: a review', *Agronomy for sustainable development*, 33(4), pp. 695-720.
 - Osberghaus, D. and Hinrichs, H. (2021) 'The effectiveness of a large-scale flood risk awareness campaign: Evidence from two panel data sets', *Risk Analysis*, 41(6), pp. 944-957.
 - Osuteye, E. et al., 2020: Communicating risk from the frontline: projecting community voices into disaster risk management policies across scales. In: *Breaking cycles of Risk Accumulation in African Cities* [Pelling, M. (ed.)]. UN-Habitat.
 - Ozment, S., Rehberger Bescos, I., Browder, G., Lange, G. M. and Gartner, T. (2019) 'Integrating Green and Gray: Creating Next Generation Infrastructure [Internet', World Bank and Word Resource Institute.
 - O'Hogain, S. and L, M. (2018) 'Nature-Based Solutions', in O'Hogain, S. and L, M. (eds.) *A Technology Portfolio of Nature Based Solutions: Innovations in Water Management*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, pp. 1-9.
 - O'Neill, B. C., Jiang, L., Samir, K., Fuchs, R., Pachauri, S., Laidlaw, E. K., Zhang, T., Zhou, W. and Ren, X. (2020) 'The effect of education on determinants of climate change risks', *Nature Sustainability*, 3(7), pp. 520-528.
 - Pagano, A., Pluchinotta, I., Pengal, P., Cokan, B. and Giordano, R. (2019) 'Engaging stakeholders in the assessment of NBS effectiveness in flood risk reduction: A participatory System Dynamics Model for benefits and co-benefits evaluation', *Science of The Total Environment*, 690, pp. 543-555.
 - Palmer, M. and Ruhi, A. (2019) 'Linkages between flow regime, biota, and ecosystem processes: Implications for river restoration', *Science*, 365(6459).
 - Pan, J., Zheng, Y., Wang, J. and Xie, X. (2015) 'Climate capacity: the measurement for adaptation to climate change', *Chinese Journal of Population Resources and Environment*, 13(2), pp. 99-108.
 - Pandit, R., Polyakov, M., Tapsuwan, S. and Moran, T. (2013) 'The effect of street trees on property value in Perth, Western Australia', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 110, pp. 134-142.
 - Panno, A., Carrus, G., Lafortezza, R., Mariani, L. and Sanesi, G. (2017) 'Nature-based solutions to promote human resilience and wellbeing in cities during increasingly hot summers', *Environmental Research*, 159, pp. 249-56.
 - Pant, R., Thacker, S., Hall, J. W., Alderson, D. and Barr, S. (2018) 'Critical infrastructure impact assessment due to flood exposure', *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 11(1), pp. 22-33.
 - Paraskeva-Hadjichambi, D., Goldman, D., Hadjichambis, A., Parra, G., Lapin, K., Knippels, M.-C. and Van Dam, F. (2020) 'Educating for Environmental Citizenship in Non-formal Frameworks for Secondary Level Youth', in Hadjichambis, A.C., Reis, P., Paraskeva-Hadjichambi, D., Činčera, J., Boeve-de Pauw, J., Gericke, N. and Knippels, M.C. (eds.) *Conceptualizing Environmental Citizenship for 21st Century Education*. Cham: Springer.
 - Parsons, M., Nalau, J., Fisher, K. and Brown, C. (2019) 'Disrupting path dependency: Making room for Indigenous knowledge in river management', *Global Environmental Change*, 56, pp. 95-113.
 - Pearce, T., Ford, J., Willox, A. C. and Smit, B. (2015) 'Inuit traditional ecological knowledge (TEK), subsistence hunting and adaptation to climate change in the Canadian Arctic', *Arctic*, pp. 233-245.
- Pearlmutter, D., Theochari, D. and Nehls, T. (2019) 'Enhancing the circular economy with nature-based solutions in the built urban environment: green building materials, systems and sites', *Blue-Green Systems*, 2, pp. 46-72.
- 59 Pelling, M. and Garschagen, M. (2019) 'Put equity first in climate adaptation', 569, pp. 327-329.
- Pelling, M., Leck, H., Pasquini, L., Ajibade, I., Osuteye, E., Parnell, S., Lwasa, S., Johnson, C., Fraser, A., Barcena, A. and Boubacar, S. (2018) 'Africa's urban adaptation transition under a 1.5° climate', *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 31, pp. 10-15.
 - Pelling, M., O'Brien, K. and Matyas, D. (2015) 'Adaptation and transformation', Climatic Change, 1;133(1):113–27.

9

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34

38

39

40

41

51

52 53

54

- Pennino, M. J., McDonald, R. I. and Jaffe, P. R. (2016) 'Watershed-scale impacts of stormwater green infrastructure on hydrology, nutrient fluxes, and combined sewer overflows in the mid-Atlantic region', *Science of the Total Environment*, 565, pp. 1044-1053.
- Perera, N. G. R. and Emmanuel, R. (2018) 'A "Local Climate Zone" based approach to urban planning in Colombo, Sri Lanka', *Urban climate*, 23, pp. 188-203.
- Pescaroli, G. and Alexander, D. (2016) 'Critical infrastructure, panarchies and the vulnerability paths of cascading disasters', *Natural Hazards*, 82(1), pp. 175-192.
 - Peters, K., Elands, B. and Buijs, A. (2010) 'Social interactions in urban parks: Stimulating social cohesion?', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 9(2), pp. 93-100.
- Petzold, J. and Ratter, B. M. (2015) 'Climate change adaptation under a social capital approach—An analytical framework for small islands', *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 112, pp. 36-43.
 - Phillips, H. (2015) 'The capacity to adapt to climate change at heritage sites The development of a conceptual framework', *Environmental Science and Policy*, 47, pp. 118-125.
 - Phyoe, W. W. and Wang, F. (2019) 'A review of carbon sink or source effect on artificial reservoirs', *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 16(4), pp. 2161-2174.
 - Pincetl, S., Gillespie, T., Pataki, D. E., Saatchi, S. and Saphores, J. D. (2013) 'Urban tree planting programs, function or fashion? Los Angeles and urban tree planting campaigns', *GeoJournal*, 78, pp. 475-493.
 - Plänitz, E. (2019) 'Neglecting the urban? Exploring rural-urban disparities in the climate change—conflict literature on Sub-Sahara Africa', *Urban Climate*, 30, pp. 100533.
 - Pogačar, M., Fakin Bajec, J., Polajnar Horvat, K., Smrekar, A. and Tiran, J. (2020) 'Promises and Limits of Participatory Urban Greens Development: Experience from Maribor, Budapest, and Krakow', in Nared, J. and Bole, D. (eds.) *Participatory Research and Planning in Practice*: Springer International Publishing, pp. 75-89.
 - Portland, C. 2021. Land Acquisition Program.
 - Pour, S. H., Abd Wahab, A. K., Shahid, S., Asaduzzaman, M. and Dewan, A. (2020) 'Low impact development techniques to mitigate the impacts of climate-change-induced urban floods: Current trends, issues and challenges', *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 62, pp. 102373.
 - Pregnolato, M., Ford, A., Robson, C., Glenis, V., Barr, S. and Dawson, R. (2016a) 'Assessing urban strategies for reducing the impacts of extreme weather on infrastructure networks', *Royal Society open science*, 3(5), pp. 160023.
 - Pregnolato, M., Ford, A., Robson, C., Glenis, V., Barr, S. and Dawson, R. (2016b) 'Assessing urban strategies for reducing the impacts of extreme weather on infrastructure networks', *Royal Society open science*, 3(5), pp. 160023.
 - Preston, C. J. (2017) 'Challenges and opportunities for understanding non-economic loss and damage', *Ethics, Policy & Environment*, 20(2), pp. 143-155.
- Pritchard, S. B. (2011) *Confluence*. Harvard University Press.
- WWAP (United Nations World Water Assessment Programme). 2016. The United Nations World Water Development Report 2016: *Water and Jobs*. Paris, UNESCO.
 - Zu Ermgassen, P.S., Mukherjee, N., Worthington, T.A., Acosta, A., da Rocha Araujo, A.R., Beitl, C.M., Castellanos-Galindo, G.A., Cunha-Lignon, M., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Diele, K. and Parrett, C.L., 2021. Fishers who rely on mangroves: Modelling and mapping the global intensity of mangrove-associated fisheries. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 248, p.107159.
- Puckett, K. and Gethering, W. (2019) Design for Climate Change. Routledge.
- Pyhälä, A., Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Lehvävirta, H., Byg, A., Ruiz-Mallén, I., Salpeteur, M. and Thornton, T. F.
 (2016) 'Global environmental change: local perceptions, understandings, and explanations', *Ecology and society: a journal of integrative science for resilience and sustainability*, 21(3).
- Qi, Y., Chan, F. K. S. and Thorne, C. (2020) 'Addressing Challenges of Urban Water Management in Chinese Sponge Cities via Nature-Based Solutions', *Water*, 12, pp. 2788.
- Qiu, G. Y., Li, H. Y., Zhang, Q. T., Wan, C. H. E. N., Liang, X. J. and Li, X. Z. (2013) 'Effects of evapotranspiration on mitigation of urban temperature by vegetation and urban agriculture', *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 12(8), pp. 1307-1315.
 - Quinn, A. D., Ferranti, E. J., Hodgkinson, S. P., Jack, A. C., Beckford, J. and Dora, J. M. (2018) 'Adaptation Becoming Business as Usual: A Framework for Climate-Change-Ready Transport Infrastructure', *Infrastructures*, 3(2), pp. 10.
 - Radtke, J. (2014) 'A closer look inside collaborative action: civic engagement and participation in community energy initiatives', *People, Place & Policy Online*, 8(3).
- Ranasinghe, R., Ruane, A. C., Vautard, R., Arnell, N., Coppola, E., Cruz, F. A., Dessai, S., Islam, A. S., Rahimi, M., Ruiz Carrascal, D., Sillmann, J., Sylla, M. B., Tebaldi, C., Wang, W. and R., Z. (2021a) 'Climate Change Information for Regional Impact and for Risk Assessment', in Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors,
- 59 S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E.,
- Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B. (eds.) Climate Change 2021:
- The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 62 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Cambridge University Press.

15

16 17

20

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50 51

54

55

- Ranasinghe, R., Ruane, A. C., Vautard, R., Arnell, N., Coppola, E., Cruz, F. A., Dessai, S., Islam, A. S., Rahimi, M., Ruiz Carrascal, D., Sillmann, J., Sylla, M. B., Tebaldi, C., Wang, W. and R., Z. (2021b) 'Climate Change
- Information for Regional Impact and for Risk Assessment Supplementary Material', in Masson-Delmotte, V.,
- Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang,
- M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B.
- (eds.) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth
 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Randall, M., Sun, F., Zhang, Y. and Jensen, M. B. (2019) 'Evaluating Sponge City volume capture ratio at the catchment scale using SWMM', *Journal of environmental management*, 246, pp. 745-757.
- Rao, S. L. and Li, X. H. (2019) *Pilot practices for Chinse climate insurance and suggestions for development (in Chinese)*, Beijing: Social Sciences Academic Press.
- Rasul, M. G. and Arutla, L. K. R. (2020) 'Environmental impact assessment of green roofs using life cycle assessment', *Energy Reports*, 6, pp. 503-508.
 - Raymond, C., Berry, P., Breil, M., Nita, M., Kabisch, N., Bel, M., Enzi, V., Frantzeskaki, N., Geneletti, D., Cardinaletti, M., Lovinger, L., Basnou, C., Monteiro, A., Robrecht, H., Sgrigna, G., Munari, L. and Calfapietra, C. (2017) 'An impact evaluation framework to support planning and evaluation of nature-based solutions projects', *Centre for Ecology and Hydrology*.
- Raška, P., Slavíková, L. and Sheehan, J. (2019) 'Scale in nature-based solutions for flood risk management', *Nature-based flood risk management on private land*. Cham: Springer, pp. 9-20.
 - Recreation, N. and Association, P. 2020. The Economic Impact of Local Parks.
- Reguero, B. G., Beck, M. W., Bresch, D. N., Calil, J. and Meliane, I. (2018) 'Comparing the cost effectiveness of nature-based and coastal adaptation: A case study from the Gulf Coast of the United States', *PLOS ONE*, 11;13(4):0192132.
 - Reid, A. J., Carlson, A. K., Creed, I. F., Eliason, E. J., Gell, P. A., Johnson, P. T. and Cooke, S. J. (2019) 'Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity', *Biological Reviews*, 94(3), pp. 849-873.
 - Reisinger, A. J., Woytowitz, E., Majcher, E., Rosi, E. J., Belt, K. T., Duncan, J. M. and Groffman, P. M. (2019) 'Changes in long-term water quality of Baltimore streams are associated with both gray and green infrastructure', *Limnology and Oceanography*, 64(S1), pp. 60-76.
 - Reyna, J. L. and Chester, M. V. (2015) 'The growth of urban building stock: Unintended lock-in and embedded environmental effects', *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 19(4), pp. 524-537.
 - Richter, C., Kraus, S., Brem, A., Durst, S. and Giselbrecht, C. (2017) 'Digital entrepreneurship: Innovative business models for the sharing economy', *Creativity and innovation management*, 26(3), pp. 300-310.
 - Rode, P., Floater, G., Thomopoulos, N., Docherty, J., Schwinger, P., Mahendra, A. and Fang, W. (2017) 'Accessibility in cities: transport and urban form', *Disrupting mobility*, pp. 239-273.
 - Rohini, A., Fernandaz, C. C. and Karthick, V. (2017) 'Yield and economic analysis of tamarind under agroforestry system in Tamil Nadu to enhance farmers income', *Indian Journal of Economics and Development*, 13, pp. 282.
 - Romero-Lankao, P., Bulkeley, H., Pelling, M., Burch, S., Gordon, D. J., Gupta, J., Johnson, C., Kurian, P., Lecavalier, E. and Simon, D. (2018) 'Urban transformative potential in a changing climate', *Nature Climate Change*, 8(9), pp. 754-756.
 - Ronchi, S. and Arcidiacono, A. (2019) 'Adopting an Ecosystem Services-Based Approach for Flood Resilient Strategies: The Case of Rocinha Favela (Brazil', *Sustainability*, 11(1), pp. 4.
 - Rovai, A. S., Twilley, R. R., Castañeda-Moya, E., Riul, P., Cifuentes-Jara, M. and Manrow-Villalobos, M. (2018) 'Global controls on carbon storage in mangrove soils', *Nature Clim Change*, Jun;8(6):534–8.
 - Rowiński, P., Västilä, K., Aberle, J., Järvelä, J. and Kalinowska, M. (2018) 'How vegetation can aid in coping with river management challenges: A brief review', *Ecohydrology and Hydrobiology*, 18, pp. 345-354.
 - Ruangpan, L., Vojinovic, Z., Sabatino, S. D., Leo, L. S., Capobianco, V., Oen, A. M. and Lopez-Gunn, E. (2020) 'Nature-based solutions for hydro-meteorological risk reduction: A state-of-the-art review of the research area', *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 20(1), pp. 243-270.
 - Ruckelshaus, M., Reguero, B. G., Arkema, K., Compeán, R. G., Weekes, K. and Bailey, A. (2020) 'Harnessing new data technologies for nature-based solutions in assessing and managing risk in coastal zones', *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 51(101795).
- Rupprecht, C. D. D. and Byrne, J. A. (2017) 'Informal urban green space as anti-gentrification strategy?', *Just Green Enough*: Routledge.
 - Rydin, Y., Bleahu, A., Davies, M., Dávila, J. D., Friel, S., De Grandis, G., Groce, N., Hallal, P. C., Hamilton, I. and Howden-Chapman, P. (2012) 'Shaping cities for health: complexity and the planning of urban environments in the 21st century', *The lancet*, 379(9831), pp. 2079-2108.
- Sahani, J., Kumar, P. and Debele, S. (2019) 'Hydro-meteorological risk assessment methods and management by nature-based solutions', *Science of The Total Environment*, 696, pp. 133936.
- Saidi, S., Shahbaz, M. and Akhtar, P. (2018) 'The long-run relationships between transport energy consumption, transport infrastructure, and economic growth in MENA countries', *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 111, pp. 78-95.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

29

30 31

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

- Sakijege, T., Sartohadi, J., Marfai, M. A., Kassenga, G. and Kasala, S. (2014) 'Government and community 1 involvement in environmental protection and flood risk management: lessons from Keko Machungwa, Dar es 2 Salaam, Tanzania', Journal of Environmental Protection, 5(09), pp. 760. 3
 - Saldivar-Tanaka, L. and Krasny, M. E. (2004) 'Culturing neighborhood open space, civic agriculture, and community development: The case of latino community gardens in New York City', Agric. Human Values, 21, pp. 399-412.
 - Sandberg, N. H., Sartori, I., Heidrich, O., Dawson, R., Dascalaki, E., Dimitriou, S., Vimm-r, T., Filippidou, F., Stegnar, G. and Zavrl, M. Š. (2016) 'Dynamic building stock modelling: Application to 11 European countries to support the energy efficiency and retrofit ambitions of the EU', Energy and Buildings, 132, pp. 26-38.
 - Santamouris, M. (2014) 'Cooling the cities A review of reflective and green roof mitigation technologies to fight heat island and improve comfort in urban environments', Solar Energy, 103, pp. 682-703.
 - Santoro, S., Pluchinotta, I., Pagano, A., Pengal, P., Cokan, B. and Giordano, R. (2019) 'Assessing stakeholders' risk perception to promote Nature Based Solutions as flood protection strategies: The case of the Glinščica river Slovenia', Science of The Total Environment.
 - Sartison, K. and Artmann, M. (2020) 'Edible cities An innovative nature-based solution for urban sustainability transformation? An explorative study of urban food production in German cities', Urban Forestry and Urban Greening, 49, pp. 126604.
 - Sarzynski, A. (2015) 'Public participation, civic capacity, and climate change adaptation in cities', Urban Climate, 14, pp. 52-67.
 - Satterthwaite, D., Sverdlik, A. and Brown, D. (2019) 'Revealing and Responding to Multiple Health Risks in Informal Settlements in Sub-Saharan African Cities', J Urban Health, 96(1), pp. 112-122.
- Sayers, P., Penning-Rowsell, E. C. and Horritt, M. (2018) 'Flood vulnerability, risk, and social disadvantage: current and future patterns in the UK', Regional environmental change, 18(2), pp. 339-352. 22
 - Sayers, P. B., Walsh, C. and Dawson, R. (2015) 'Climate impacts on flood and coastal erosion infrastructure', Infrastructure Asset Management, 2(2), pp. 69-83.
- Schanze, J. (2017) 'Nature-based solutions in flood risk management Buzzword or innovation?', Journal of Flood Risk 25 Management, 10, pp. 281-282. 26
- Scheidel, A. and Work, C. (2018) 'Forest plantations and climate change discourses: New powers of 'green' grabbing in 27 Cambodia', Land Use Policy, 77, pp. 9-18. 28
 - Scheingross, J. S., Repasch, M. N., Hovius, N., Sachse, D., Lupker, M., Fuchs, M. and Schleicher, A. M. (2021) 'The fate of fluvially-deposited organic carbon during transient floodplain storage', Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 561, pp. 116822.
- Scheres, B. and Schüttrumpf, H. (2019) 'Enhancing the ecological value of sea dikes', *Water*, 11(8), pp. 1617. 32
 - Schipanski, M. E., MacDonald, G. K., Rosenzweig, S., Chappell, M. J., Bennett, E. M., Kerr, R. B. and Schnarr, C. (2016) 'Realizing resilient food systems', BioScience, 66(7), pp. 600-610.
 - Schneider, S. H. and Busse, S. (2019) Participatory Budgeting in Germany A Review of Empirical Findings', International Journal of Public Administration, 42(3), pp. 259-273.
 - Schoeman, M. H. (2006) 'Imagining rain-places: rain-control and changing ritual landscapes in the Shashe-Limpopo Confluence Area, South Africa', South African Archaeological Bulletin, 61(184), pp. 152-165.
 - Schoonees, T., Mancheño, A. G., Scheres, B., Bouma, T., Silva, R., Schlurmann, T. and Schüttrumpf, H. (2019) 'Hard structures for coastal protection, towards greener designs', Estuaries and Coasts, 42(7), pp. 1709-1729.
 - Schrecongost, A., Pedi, D., Rosenboom, J. W., Shrestha, R. and Ban, R. (2020) 'Citywide inclusive sanitation: a public service approach for reaching the urban sanitation SDGs', Frontiers in Environmental Science, 8, pp. 19.
 - Schünemann, C., Olfert, A., Schiela, D., Gruhler, K. and Ortlepp, R. (2020) 'Mitigation and adaptation in multifamily housing: overheating and climate justice', Buildings and Cities, 1(1).
 - Schwan, S. and Yu, X. (2018) 'Social protection as a strategy to address climate-induced migration', International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management, 10(1), pp. 43-64.
 - Schwanen, T., Lucas, K., Akyelken, N., Solsona, D. C., Carrasco, J.-A. and Neutens, T. (2015) 'Rethinking the links between social exclusion and transport disadvantage through the lens of social capital', Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 74, pp. 123-135.
- Schwarz, N. and Manceur, A. M. (2015) 'Analyzing the influence of urban forms on surface urban heat islands in 50 Europe', Journal of Urban Planning and Development, 141(3), pp. A4014003.
- Scott, J. C. (2008) Seeing like a state. Yale University Press. 52
- Scovronick, N., Lloyd, S. J. and Kovats, R. S. (2015) 'Climate and health in informal urban settlements', Environment 53 and urbanization, 27(2), pp. 657-678. 54
- Scussolini, P., Aerts, J. C., Jongman, B., Bouwer, L. M., Winsemius, H. C., de Moel, H. and Ward, P. J. (2016) 55 'FLOPROS: an evolving global database of flood protection standards', Natural Hazards and Earth System 56 Sciences, 16(5), pp. 1049-1061. 57
- Seary, R., Spencer, T., Bithell, M. and McOwen, C. (2021) 'Measuring mangrove-fishery benefits in the Peam Krasaop 58 Fishing Community, Cambodia', Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science. 59
- Sebastiani, A., Buonocore, E., Franzese, P. P., Riccio, A., Chianese, E. and Nardella, L. (2021) 'Modeling air quality 60 regulation by green infrastructure in a Mediterranean coastal urban area: The removal of PM10 in the 61 62 Metropolitan City of Naples (Italy', Ecological Modelling, 440(109383).

7 8

23

24

27

28

31 32

33

34

35

37

38

39

40

41

42

45 46

47

48

49

50

- Seddon, N., Chausson, A. and Berry, P. (2020) 'Understanding the value and limits of nature-based solutions to climate change and other global challenges', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375, pp. 20190120.
- Seddon, N., Smith, A., Smith, P., Key, I., Chausson, A., Girardin, C. and Turner, B. (2021) 'Getting the message right on nature-based solutions to climate change', *Global change biology*, 27(8), pp. 15.
 - Sedghy, F., Varasteh, A. R., Sankian, M. and Moghadam, M. (2018a) 'Interaction Between Air Pollutants and Pollen Grains: The Role on the Rising Trend in Allergy', *Reports of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 6(2), pp. 219-224.
- Sedghy, F., Varasteh, A. R., Sankian, M. and Moghadam, M. (2018b) 'Interaction Between Air Pollutants and Pollen Grains: The Role on the Rising Trend in Allergy', *Reports of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 6(2), pp. 219-224.
- Sena, A., Ebi, K. L., Freitas, C., Corvalan, C. and Barcellos, C. (2017) 'Indicators to measure risk of disaster associated with drought: Implications for the health sector', *PloS one*, 12(7), pp. e0181394.
- Seneviratne, S. I., Zhang, X., Adnan, M., Badi, W., Dereczynski, C., Di Luca, A., Ghosh, S., Iskandar, I., Kossin, J.,
 Lewis, S., Otto, F., Pinto, I., Satoh, M., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Wehner, M. and Zhou, B. (2021a) 'Weather and Climate Extreme Events in a Changing Climate', in Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L.,
 Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E.,
 Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R. and Zhou, B. (eds.) Climate Change 2021:
 The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Cambridge University Press.
- Sepp, T., Ujvari, B., Ewald, P. W., Thomas, F. and Giraudeau, M. 'Urban environment and cancer in wildlife: available evidence and future research avenues'. 2019, 20182434.
 - Serrano, O., Lavery, P. S., Bongiovanni, J. and Duarte, C. M. (2020) 'Impact of seagrass establishment, industrialization and coastal infrastructure on seagrass biogeochemical sinks', *Marine Environmental Research*.
- Sesana, E., Gagnon, A. S., Bertolin, C. and Hughes, J. (2018) 'Adapting cultural heritage to climate change risks: perspectives of cultural heritage experts in Europe', *Geosciences*, 8(8), pp. 305.
 - Seto, K. C., Davis, S. J., Mitchell, R. B., Stokes, E. C., Unruh, G. and Ürge-Vorsatz, D. (2016) 'Carbon lock-in: types, causes, and policy implications', *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 41, pp. 425-452.
- Shafique, M., Xue, X. and Luo, X. (2020) 'An overview of carbon sequestration of green roofs in urban areas', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 47, pp. 126515.
 - Shah, A. M., Liu, G., Meng, F., Yang, Q., Xue, J., Dumontet, S. and Casazza, M. (2021) 'A Review of Urban Green and Blue Infrastructure from the Perspective of Food-Energy-Water Nexus', *Energies*, 14(15), pp. 4583.
 - Shah, M. A. R., Renaud, F. G. and Anderson, C. C. (2020) 'A review of hydro-meteorological hazard, vulnerability, and risk assessment frameworks and indicators in the context of nature-based solutions', *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 50, pp. 101728.
- Sharifi, A. (2019) 'Resilient urban forms: A macro-scale analysis', *Cities*, 85, pp. 1-14.
 - Sharifi, A. (2020) 'Co-benefits and synergies between urban climate change mitigation and adaptation measures: A literature review', *Science of The Total Environment*, pp. 141642.
 - Sharifi, A. and Yamagata, Y. (2016) 'Principles and criteria for assessing urban energy resilience: A literature review', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 60, pp. 1654-1677.
 - Shi, L. (2020) 'Beyond flood risk reduction: How can green infrastructure advance both social justice and regional impact?', *Socio-Ecological Practice Research*, 2(4), pp. 311-320.
- Shi, Z., Watanabe, S., Ogawa, K. and Kubo, H. (2018) *Structural Resilience in Sewer Reconstruction: From Theory to Practice.* Kidlington: Elsevier.
 - Shindell, D., Kuylenstierna, J. C. I., Vignati, E., Dingenen, R. v., Amann, M., Klimont, Z., Anenberg, S. C., Muller, N., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Raes, F., Schwartz, J., Faluvegi, G., Pozzoli, L., Kupiainen, K., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Emberson, L., Streets, D., Ramanathan, V., Hicks, K., Oanh, N. T. K., Milly, G., Williams, M., Demkine, V. and Fowler, D. (2012) 'Simultaneously Mitigating Near-Term Climate Change and Improving Human Health and Food Security', *Science*, 335, pp. 183-189.
 - Shinew, K. J., Glover, T. D. and Parry, D. C. (2004) 'Leisure spaces as potential sites for interracial interaction: Community gardens in urban areas', *Journal of leisure research*, 36(3), pp. 336-355.
- Shughrue, C. and Seto, K. C. (2018) 'Systemic vulnerabilities of the global urban-industrial network to hazards', *Climatic Change*, 151(2), pp. 173-187.
- Sicard, P., Agathokleous, E., Araminiene, V., Carrari, E., Hoshika, Y., De Marco, A. and Paoletti, E. (2018) 'Should we see urban trees as effective solutions to reduce increasing ozone levels in cities?', *Environmental Pollution*, 243, pp. 163-176.
- Siebert, A. (2020) 'Transforming urban food systems in South Africa: unfolding food sovereignty in the city', *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 47(2), pp. 401-419.
- Siegner, A., Sowerwine, J. and Acey, C. (2018) 'Does urban agriculture improve food security? Examining the nexus of food access and distribution of urban produced foods in the United States: A systematic review', *Sustainability*, 10(9), pp. 2988.
- 62 Silja, K. (2017) Climate Change and Migration. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

13

17 18

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

- Silva, R., Martínez, M. L., Odériz, I., Mendoza, E. and Feagin, R. A. (2016) 'Response of vegetated dune–beach systems to storm conditions', *Coastal Engineering*.
- Simpson, S.-A., Napawan, N. C. and Snyder, B. (2019) '# OurChangingClimate: Building Networks of Community Resilience Through Social Media and Design', *GeoHumanities*, pp. 1-17.
- 5 Smiley, K. T. (2020) 'Social Capital and Industrial Air Pollution in Metropolitan America', *The Sociological Quarterly*, 61, pp. 748-767.
- Smith, L. G., Kirk, G. J., Jones, P. J. and Williams, A. G. (2019) 'The greenhouse gas impacts of converting food production in England and Wales to organic methods', *Nature Communications*, 10(1), pp. 1-10.
- Smith, M., Hosking, J., Woodward, A., Witten, K., MacMillan, A., Field, A., Baas, P. and Mackie, H. (2017)

 'Systematic literature review of built environment effects on physical activity and active transport—an update and new findings on health equity', *International journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*, 14(1), pp. 1-27.
 - Smith, W. H. (2012) Air Pollution and Forests: Interactions Between Air Contaminants and Forest Ecosystems. Springer Science and Business Media.
- Soanes, L. M., Pike, S., Armstrong, S., Creque, K., Norris-Gumbs, R. and Zaluski, S. (2021) 'Reducing the vulnerability of coastal communities in the Caribbean through sustainable mangrove management', *Ocean and Coastal Management*.
 - Sofi, M. S., Bhat, S. U., Rashid, I. and Kuniyal, J. C. (2020) 'The natural flow regime: A master variable for maintaining river ecosystem health', *Ecohydrology*, 13(8), pp. 2247.
- Soga, M., Gaston, K. J. and Yamaura, Y. (2017) 'Gardening is beneficial for health: A meta-analysis', *Preventive medicine reports*, 5, pp. 92-99.
- Song, Q. Y., Zheng, Y. and Lin, C. Z. (2021) 'Improving urban resilience to extraordinary rainstorm: A comparative study in Beijing', *Chinese Journal of Urban and Environmental Studies*, 20.
 - Song, Y., Kirkwood, N. and Maksimović, Č. (2019) 'Nature based solutions for contaminated land remediation and brownfield redevelopment in cities: A review', *Science of The Total Environment*, 663, pp. 568-579.
 - Soon, N. K., Isah, N., Ali, M. B. and Ahmad, A. R. (2017) 'Effects of SMART tunnel maintenance works on flood control and traffic flow', *Advanced Science Letters*, 23(1), pp. 322-325.
 - Spahr, K. M., Bell, C. D., McCray, J. E. and Hogue, T. S. (2020) 'Greening up stormwater infrastructure: Measuring vegetation to establish context and promote cobenefits in a diverse set of US cities', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 48, pp. 126548.
 - Spatari, S., Yu, Z. and Montalto, F. A. (2011) 'Life cycle implications of urban green infrastructure', *Environmental Pollution*, 159(8-9), pp. 2174-2179.
 - Spiller, M., Vreeburg, J. H., Leusbrock, I. and Zeeman, G. (2015) 'Flexible design in water and wastewater engineering-definitions, literature and decision guide', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 149, pp. 271-281.
 - Srivastava, S. and Mehta, L. (2018) *The Social Life of Mangroves: Resource complexes and Contestations on the Industrial coastline of Kutch, India.* STEPS Centre.
- Stefanakis, A. I., Calheiros, C. S. C. and Nikolaou, I. (2021) 'Nature-Based Solutions as a Tool in the New Circular Economic Model for Climate Change Adaptation', *Circular Economy and Sustainability*, 1, pp. 303-318.
 - Steffen ,W., Rockström, J., Richardson, K., Lenton, T.M., Folke, C., Liverman D., Summerhayes, C.P., Barnosky, A.D., Cornell, S.E., Crucifix, M., Donges, J.F., Fetzer, I., Lade, S.J., Scheffer, M., Winkelmann, R., Schellnhuber, H.J. (2018) 'Trajectories of the Earth System in the Anthropocene', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 14 (115), pp. 8252-8259.
 - Stein, A. J. and Santini, F. (2021) 'The sustainability of "local" food: a review for policy-makers', *Review of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Studies*, pp. 1-13.
 - Straka, M. and Sodoudi, S. (2019) 'Evaluating climate change adaptation strategies and scenarios of enhanced vertical and horizontal compactness at urban scale (a case study for Berlin)', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 183(Complete), pp. 68-78.
 - Straka, T. M., Lippe, M., Voigt, C. C., Gandy, M., Kowarik, I. and Buchholz, S. (2021) 'Light pollution impairs urban nocturnal pollinators but less so in areas with high tree cover', *Science of The Total Environment*, 778, pp. 146244.
 - Strohbach, M. W., Arnold, E. and Haase, D. (2012) 'The carbon footprint of urban green space—A life cycle approach', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 104, pp. 220-229.
 - Sturm, R. and Cohen, D. (2014) 'Proximity to Urban Parks and Mental Health', *The Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics*, 17(1), pp. 19-24.
- 52 and Economics, 17(1), pp. 19-24. 53 Suppakittpaisarn, P., Jiang, X. and Sullivan, W. C. (2017) 'Green infrastructure, green stormwater infrastructure, and human health: A review', *Current Landscape Ecology Reports*, 2(4), pp. 96-110.
- Surminski, S. and Thieken, A. H. (2017) 'Promoting flood risk reduction: The role of insurance in Germany and England', *Earth's Future*, 5(10), pp. 979-1001.
- Susca, T. (2019) 'Green roofs to reduce building energy use? A review on key structural factors of green roofs and their effects on urban climate', *Building and Environment*, 162, pp. 106273.
- Sutton-Grier, A. E., Wowk, K. and Bamford, H. (2015) 'Future of our coasts: The potential for natural and hybrid infrastructure to enhance the resilience of our coastal communities, economies and ecosystems', *Environmental Science and Policy*.

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

313233

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

49

50

51

52

- Syafri, S., Surya, B., Ridwan, R., Bahri, S., Rasyidi, E. S. and Sudarman, S. (2020) 'Water Quality Pollution Control and Watershed Management Based on Community Participation in Maros City, South Sulawesi, Indonesia', *Sustainability*, 12(24), pp. 10260.
- Tahara, M., Fujino, Y., Yamasaki, K., Oda, K., Kido, T., Sakamoto, N., Kawanami, T., Kataoka, K., Egashira, R.,
 Hashisako, M., Suzuki, Y., Fujisawa, T., Mukae, H., Suda, T. and Yatera, K. (2021) 'Exposure to PM2.5 is a risk factor for acute exacerbation of surgically diagnosed idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a case–control study', *Respiratory Research*, 22, pp. 80.
- Taillie, P. J., Roman-Cuesta, R., Lagomasino, D., Cifuentes-Jara, M., Fatoyinbo, T. and Ott, L. E. (2020) 'Widespread mangrove damage resulting from the 2017 Atlantic mega hurricane season', *Environ Res Lett*.
- Tanneberger, F., Appulo, L. and Ewert, S. (2021) 'The Power of Nature-Based Solutions: How Peatlands Can Help Us to Achieve Key EU Sustainability Objectives', *Advanced Sustainable Systems*, 5, pp. 2000146.
 - Taylor, J., Wilkinson, P., Picetti, R., Symonds, P., Heaviside, C., Macintyre, H. L., Davies, M., Mavrogianni, A. and Hutchinson, E. (2018) 'Comparison of built environment adaptations to heat exposure and mortality during hot weather, West Midlands region, UK', *Environmental International*, 111, pp. 287-294.
 - Tengö, M., Brondizio, E. S., Elmqvist, T., Malmer, P. and Spierenburg, M. (2014) 'Connecting diverse knowledge systems for enhanced ecosystem governance: the multiple evidence base approach', *Ambio*, 43(5), pp. 579-591.
 - Teotónio, I., Silva, C. M. and Cruz, C. O. (2021) 'Economics of green roofs and green walls: A literature review', *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 102781.
 - Tesfaye, G., Debebe, Y. and Yakob, T. 2018. Impact of Participatory Integrated Watershed Management on Hydrological, Environment of Watershed and Socio-Economic, Case Study at Somodo Watershed, South Western Ethiopia.
 - Thaler, T., Attems, M.-S., Bonnefond, M., Clarke, D., Gatien-Tournat, A., Gralepois, M., Fournier, M., Murphy, C., Rauter, M. and Papathoma-Köhle, M. (2019) 'Drivers and barriers of adaptation initiatives—How societal transformation affects natural hazard management and risk mitigation in Europe', *Science of the total environment*, 650, pp. 1073-1082.
 - Thaler, T. and Hartmann, T. (2016) 'Justice and flood risk management: reflecting on different approaches to distribute and allocate flood risk management in Europe', *Natural Hazards*, 83(1), pp. 129-147.
 - The Horinko, G. 2015. The Role of Green Infrastructure Nature, Economics, and Resilience [Internet. Conservation Leadership Council (CLC.
 - Thorne, C. R., Lawson, E. C., Ozawa, C., Hamlin, S. L. and Smith, L. A. (2018) 'Overcoming uncertainty and barriers to adoption of Blue-Green Infrastructure for urban flood risk management', *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 11, pp. 960-972.
 - Thorslund, J., Jarsjo, J., Jaramillo, F., Jawitz, J. W., Manzoni, S. and Basu, N. B. (2017) 'Wetlands as large-scale nature-based solutions: Status and challenges for research, engineering and management', *Ecological Engineering*, 108, pp. 489-97.
 - Threlfall, C. G., Mata, L., Mackie, J. A., Hahs, A. K., Stork, N. E., Williams, N. S. G. and Livesley, S. J. (2017) 'Increasing biodiversity in urban green spaces through simple vegetation interventions', *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 54(6), pp. 1874-1883.
 - Tidball, K. G., Metcalf, S., Bain, M. and Elmqvist, T. (2018) 'Community-led reforestation: cultivating the potential of virtuous cycles to confer resilience in disaster disrupted social–ecological systems', *Sustain Sci*, 13, pp. 797-813.
 - Tiggeloven, T., Moel, H. d., Winsemius, H. C., Eilander, D., Erkens, G., Gebremedhin, E., Diaz Loaiza, A., Kuzma, S., Luo, T. and Iceland, C. (2020) 'Global-scale benefit—cost analysis of coastal flood adaptation to different flood risk drivers using structural measures', *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 20(4), pp. 1025-1044.
 - Tilt, J. H. and Ries, P. D. (2021) 'Constraints and catalysts influencing green infrastructure projects: A study of small communities in Oregon (USA', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 63(127138).
- Tornaghi, C. and Dehaene, M. (2020) 'The prefigurative power of urban political agroecology: rethinking the urbanisms of agroecological transitions for food system transformation', *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, 44(5), pp. 594-610.
 - Tozer, L., Hörschelmann, K., Anguelovski, I., Bulkeley, H. and Lazova, Y. (2020) 'Whose city? Whose nature? Towards inclusive nature-based solution governance', *Cities*, 107, pp. 102892.
 - Triyanti, A., Bavinck, M., Gupta, J. and Marfai, M. A. (2017) 'Social capital, interactive governance and coastal protection: The effectiveness of mangrove ecosystem-based strategies in promoting inclusive development in Demak, Indonesia', *Ocean & coastal management*, 150, pp. 3-11.
- Turkelboom, F. (2018a) 'When we cannot have it all: Ecosystem services trade-offs in the context of spatial planning', Ecosystem Services, 29, pp. 566-578.
- Turkelboom, F. (2018b) 'When we cannot have it all: Ecosystem services trade-offs in the context of spatial planning', Ecosystem Services, 29, pp. 566-578.
- Turkelboom, F., Demeyer, R., Vranken, L., De Becker, P., Raymaekers, F. and De Smet, L. (2021) 'How does a nature-based solution for flood control compare to a technical solution?', *Case study evidence from Belgium. Ambio*, pp. 1-15.
- Turrini, T. and Knop, E. (2015) 'A landscape ecology approach identifies important drivers of urban biodiversity', Global Change Biology, 21(4), pp. 1652-1667.

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

- Twigg, J. (2013a) 'Risk perception, public education and disaster risk management', in Joffe, H., Rossetto, T. and Adams, J. (eds.) Cities at Risk. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 171-182.
- Twigg, J. (2013b) 'Risk perception, public education and disaster risk management', Cities at Risk: Springer, pp. 171-182.
- Twohig-Bennett, C. and Jones, A. (2018a) 'The health benefits of the great outdoors: A systematic review and meta-5 analysis of greenspace exposure and health outcomes', Environmental research, 166, pp. 628-637. 6
 - Twohig-Bennett, C. and Jones, A. (2018b) 'The health benefits of the great outdoors: A systematic review and metaanalysis of greenspace exposure and health outcomes', Environmental research, 166, pp. 628-637.
 - Tyler, J. and Sadiq, A.-A. (2019a) 'Business continuity and disaster recovery in the aftermath of Hurricane Irma: exploring whether community-level mitigation activities make a difference', Natural Hazards Review, 20(1).
 - Uddin, M. J., Smith, K. J. and Hargis, C. W. (2021) 'Development of pervious oyster shell habitat (POSH) concrete for reef restoration and living shorelines', Construction and Building Materials, 295(123685).
- UNESCO (2021) 'Cutting Edge | Culture: the ultimate renewable resource to tackle climate change'. 13
 - UNICEF (2019) 'UNICEF Education Section, Risk-informed education programming for resilience guidance note.
 - United States Environmental Protection Agency (2017) Smart growth fixes for climate adaptation and resilience.
 - Unterberger, C., Hudson, P., Botzen, W. W., Schroeer, K. and Steininger, K. W. (2019) 'Future public sector flood risk and risk sharing arrangements: An assessment for Austria', Ecological economics, 156, pp. 153-163.
 - Urama, N. E., Eboh, E. C. and Onyekuru, A. (2019) 'Impact of extreme climate events on poverty in Nigeria: a case of the 2012 flood', Climate and Development, 11(1), pp. 27-34.
- Utz, R. M., Hopkins, K. G., Beesley, L., Booth, D. B., Hawley, R. J., Baker, M. E., Jones, L. and K (2016) 'Ecological 20 resistance in urban streams: the role of natural and legacy attributes', Freshwater Science, 35(1), pp. 380-397.
 - Ürge-Vorsatz, D., Rosenzweig, C., Dawson, R. J., Sanchez Rodriguez, R., Bai, X., Barau, A. S., Seto, K. C. and Dhakal, S. (2018) 'Locking in positive climate responses in cities', *Nature Climate Change*, 8(3), pp. 174-177.
 - Val, D. V., Yurchenko, D., Nogal, M. and O'Connor, A. (2019) 'Climate change-related risks and adaptation of interdependent infrastructure systems', Climate Adaptation Engineering: Elsevier, pp. 207-242.
 - Valenzuela, R., Yeo-Chang, Y. and Park, M. S. (2020) 'Local People's Participation in Mangrove Restoration Projects and Impacts on Social Capital and Livelihood: A Case Study in the Philippines', Forests, 11, pp. 580.
 - Van Bavel, B., Curtis, D. R. and Soens, T. (2018) 'Economic inequality and institutional adaptation in response to flood hazards', Ecology and Society, 23(4).
 - van Hooff, T., Blocken, B., Hensen, J. L. M. and Timmermans, H. J. P. (2014) 'On the predicted effectiveness of climate adaptation measures for residential buildings', Building and Environment, 82, pp. 300-316.
 - Vandermeulen, V., Verspecht, A., Vermeire, B., Van Huylenbroeck, G. and Gellynck, X. (2011) 'The use of economic valuation to create public support for green infrastructure investments in urban areas', Landscape and Urban Planning, 103, pp. 198-206.
 - Veen, E. J., Bock, B. B., Berg, W., Visser, A. J. and Wiskerke, J. S. (2016) 'Community gardening and social cohesion: different designs, different motivations', Local Environment, 21(10), pp. 1271-1287.
 - Veettil, B. K., Ward, R. D., Dung, N. T. K., Van, D. D., Quang, N. X. and Hoai, P. N. (2021) 'The use of bioshields for coastal protection in Vietnam: Current status and potential', Regional Studies in Marine Science.
 - Veldman, J. W., Aleman, J. C., Alvarado, S. T., Anderson, T. M., Archibald, S., Bond, W. J., Boutton, T. W., Buchmann, N., Busson, E., Canadell, J. G., Dechoum, M. d. S., Diaz-Toribio, M. H., Durigan, G., Ewel, J. J., Fernandes, G. W., Fidelis, A., Fleischman, F., Good, S. P., Griffith, D. M., Hermann, J. M., Hoffmann, W. A., Stradic, S. L., Lehmann, C. E. R., Mahy, G., Nerlekar, A. N., Nippert, J. B., Noss, R. F., Osborne, C. P., Overbeck, G. E., Parr, C. L., Pausas, J. G., Pennington, R. T., Perring, M. P., Putz, F. E., Ratnam, J., Sankaran, M. and Schmidt (2019) 'Comment on "The global tree restoration potential', Science, 366.
 - Venkataramanan, V., Packman, A. I., Peters, D. R., Lopez, D., McCuskey, D. J., McDonald, R. I. and Young, S. L. (2019a) 'A systematic review of the human health and social well-being outcomes of green infrastructure for stormwater and flood management', Journal of environmental management, 246, pp. 868-880.
 - Venkatesh, V., Shaw, J. D., Sykes, T. A., Wamba, S. F. and Macharia, M. (2017) 'Networks, technology, and entrepreneurship: a field quasi-experiment among women in rural India', Academy of Management Journal, 60(5), pp. 1709-1740.
 - Verheij, J. and Corrêa Nunes, M. (2021) 'Justice and power relations in urban greening: can Lisbon's urban greening strategies lead to more environmental justice?', Local Environment, 26(3), pp. 329-346.
 - Viguie, V., Lemonsu, A., Hallegatte, S., Beaulant, A. L., Marchadier, C., Masson, V., Pigeon, G. and Salagnac, J. L. (2020) 'Early adaptation to heat waves and future reduction of air-conditioning energy use in Paris', Environmental Research Letters.
- Vijayaraghavan, K. (2016) 'Green roofs: A critical review on the role of components, benefits, limitations and trends', 56 Renewable and sustainable energy reviews, 57, pp. 740-752. 57
- Visman, E., Audia, C., Crowley, F., Ilboudo, J., Sanou, P., Henley, E., Victor, M., Ritchie, A., Fox, G., Traoré, M. B., 58 Tazen, F., Diarra, A., Warnaars, T., Klein, C., Fitzpatrick, R., Pelling, M. and McOmber, C. (2020) Developing 59 decision-relevant climate information and supporting its appropriate application. BRACED Learning Paper 6: 60 King's College. Available at: file:///C:/Users/fs1lkw/Downloads/BRACED+Learning+Paper+6+-61 62 +Developing+decision-relevant+climate+information.pdf October 2020).

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

- Vliet, J., Eitelberg, D. A. and Verburg, P. H. (2017) 'A global analysis of land take in cropland areas and production displacement from urbanization', *Global environmental change*, 43, pp. 107-115.
- Voelkel, J., Hellman, D., Sakuma, R. and Shandas, V. (2018) 'Assessing Vulnerability to Urban Heat: A Study of Disproportionate Heat Exposure and Access to Refuge by Socio-Demographic Status in Portland, Oregon', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(4), pp. 640.
- Vojinovic, Z., Alves, A., Gómez, J. P., Weesakul, S., Keerakamolchai, W., Meesuk, V. and Sanchez, A. (2021)
 'Effectiveness of small-and large-scale Nature-Based Solutions for flood mitigation: The case of Ayutthaya,
 Thailand', Science of The Total Environment, 789, pp. 147725.
 - Vollstedt, B., Koerth, J., Tsakiris, M., Nieskens, N. and Vafeidis, A. T. (2021) 'Co-production of climate services: A story map for future coastal flooding for the city of Flensburg', *Climate Services*, 22(100225).
 - Wa'el A, H., Memon, F. A. and Savic, D. A. (2017) 'An integrated model to evaluate water-energy-food nexus at a household scale', *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 93, pp. 366-380.
 - Wahlström, M., Sommer, M., Kocyba, P. and de Vydt, M. (2019) *Protest for a future: Composition, mobilization and motives of the participants in Fridays For Future climate protests on 15 March, 2019 in 13 European cities*: Project Report. Protest for a Future. Available at: http://eprints.keele.ac.uk/6536/.
 - Walker, R. V., Beck, M., Hall, J., Dawson, R. and Heidrich, O. (2017a) 'Identifying key technology and policy strategies for sustainable cities: A case study of London', *Environmental Development*, 21, pp. 1-18.
 - Walker, R. V., Beck, M., Hall, J., Dawson, R. and Heidrich, O. (2017b) 'Identifying key technology and policy strategies for sustainable cities: A case study of London', *Environmental Development*, 21, pp. 1-18.
 - Wamsler, C. and Raggers, S. (2018) 'Principles for supporting city-citizen commoning for climate adaptation: From adaptation governance to sustainable transformation', *Environmental Science & Policy*, 85, pp. 81-89.
 - Wang, C., Li, Q. and Wang, Z. H. (2018) 'Quantifying the impact of urban trees on passive pollutant dispersion using a coupled large-eddy simulation–Lagrangian stochastic model', *Building and Environment*, 145, pp. 33-49.
 - Wang, S. and Palazzo, E. (2021) 'Sponge City and social equity: Impact assessment of urban stormwater management in Baicheng City, China', *Urban Climate*, 37, pp. 100829.
 - Wang, X.-j., Zhang, J.-y., Shahid, S., Guan, E.-h., Wu, Y.-x., Gao, J. and He, R.-m. (2016) 'Adaptation to climate change impacts on water demand', *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 21(1), pp. 81-99.
 - Wani, S., Sreedevi, T., Reddy, T., Venkateshvarlu, B. and Prasad, C. (2008) 'Community watersheds for Improved Livelihoods through Consortium Approach in Drought Prone Rainfed Areas', *Journal of Hydrological Research and Development*, 23.
 - Ward, P. J., Jongman, B., Aerts, J. C., Bates, P. D., Botzen, W. J., Loaiza, A. D. and Winsemius, H. C. (2017a) 'A global framework for future costs and benefits of river-flood protection in urban areas', *Nature climate change*, 7(9), pp. 642-646.
 - Ward, P. J., Jongman, B., Aerts, J. C., Bates, P. D., Botzen, W. J., Loaiza, A. D. and Winsemius, H. C. (2017b) 'A global framework for future costs and benefits of river-flood protection in urban areas', *Nature climate change*, 7(9), pp. 642-646.
 - Watkiss, P., Cimato, F. and Hunt, A. (2021) *Monetary Valuation of Risks and Opportunities in CCRA3. Supplementary Report for UK Climate Change Risk Assessment 3, prepared for the Climate Change Committee, Londo*, London: Climate Change Committee. Available at: https://www.ukclimaterisk.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Monetary-Valuation-of-Risks-and-Opportunities-in-CCRA3.pdf.
 - Watson, C., Lone, T., Qazi, U., Smith, G. and Rashid, F. (2016) *Shock-Responsive Social Protection Systems Research*, Oxford: Oxford Policy Management. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/59e0d4f7ed915d6aadcdaf04/OPM-Case-Study-2017-SRSP-Pakistan.pdf.
 - Webber, J. L., Fletcher, T. D., Cunningham, L., Fu, G., Butler, D. and Burns, M. J. (2020) 'Is green infrastructure a viable strategy for managing urban surface water flooding?', *Urban Water Journal*, 17(7), pp. 598-608.
 - Webber, J. L., Fu, G. and Butler, D. (2018) 'Rapid surface water intervention performance comparison for urban planning', *Water Science and Technology*, 77(8), pp. 2084-2092.
 - Welden, E. A., Chausson, A. and Melanidis, M. S. (2021) 'Leveraging Nature-based Solutions for transformation: Reconnecting people and nature', *People and Nature*.
 - White, C., Collier, M. J. and Stout, J. C. (2021) 'Using ecosystem services to measure the degree to which a solution is nature-based', *Ecosystem Services*.
 - WHO (2017) Urban Green Space Interventions and Health: a review of impacts and effectiveness,
- 54 https://www.euro.who.int/ data/assets/pdf file/0010/337690/FULL-REPORT-for-LLP.pdf
- Wiedenhofer, D., Smetschka, B., Akenji, L., Jalas, M. and Haberl, H. (2018) 'Household time use, carbon footprints, and urban form: a review of the potential contributions of everyday living to the 1.5 C climate target', *Current opinion in environmental sustainability*, 30, pp. 7-17.
- Wilkinson, S. J., Remøy, H. and Langston, C. (2014) *Sustainable building adaptation: innovations in decision-making.*John Wiley & Sons.
- William, R., Goodwell, A., Richardson, M., Le, P. V., Kumar, P. and Stillwell, A. S. (2016) 'An environmental costbenefit analysis of alternative green roofing strategies', *Ecological Engineering*, 95, pp. 1-9.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

- Williams, D. S., Máñez Costa, M., Sutherland, C., Celliers, L. and Scheffran, J. (2019) 'Vulnerability of informal 1 settlements in the context of rapid urbanization and climate change', Environment and Urbanization, pp. 2 0956247818819694. 3
 - Williams, S., McEwen, L. J. and Quinn, N. (2017) 'As the climate changes: Intergenerational action-based learning in relation to flood education', The Journal of Environmental Education, 48(3), pp. 154-171.
 - Winfrey, B. K., Hatt, B. E. and Ambrose, R. F. (2018) 'Biodiversity and functional diversity of Australian stormwater biofilter plant communities', Landscape and Urban Planning, 170, pp. 112-137.
 - Winters, M., Buehler, R. and Götschi, T. (2017) 'Policies to promote active travel: evidence from reviews of the literature', Current environmental health reports, 4(3), pp. 278-285.
 - Wolch, J. R., Byrne, J. and Newell, J. P. (2014a) 'Urban green space, public health, and environmental justice: The challenge of making cities 'just green enough'', Landscape and urban planning, 125, pp. 234-244.
 - Wolch, J. R., Byrne, J. and Newell, J. P. (2014b) 'Urban green space, public health, and environmental justice: The challenge of making cities 'just green enough'', Landscape and urban planning, 125, pp. 234-244.
 - Wollheim, W. M., Green, M. B., Pellerin, B. A., Morse, N. B. and Hopkinson, C. S. (2015) 'Causes and consequences of ecosystem service regionalization in a coastal suburban watershed', Estuaries and Coasts, 38, pp. 19-34.
 - Wong, G. K. and Jim, C. Y. (2018) 'Abundance of urban male mosquitoes by green infrastructure types: implications for landscape design and vector management', Landscape ecology, 33(3), pp. 475-489.
 - Wong, T. H. F., Rogers, B. C. and Brown, R. R. (2020) 'Transforming Cities through Water-Sensitive Principles and Practices', One Earth. 3, pp. 436-447.
- Wood, L., Hooper, P., Foster, S. and Bull, F. (2017) 'Public green spaces and positive mental health investigating the 20 relationship between access, quantity and types of parks and mental wellbeing', Health and Place, 48, pp. 63-71.
 - World Bank (2015) Global Monitoring Report 2014/2015: Ending Poverty and Sharing Prosperity, Washington DC: World Bank.
 - Wu, J., Zuidema, C., Gugerell, K. and de Roo, G. (2017) 'Mind the gap! Barriers and implementation deficiencies of energy policies at the local scale in urban China', Energy Policy, 106, pp. 201-211.
 - Xiao, X., Seekamp, E., van Der Burg, M. P., Eaton, M., Fatorić, S. and McCreary, A. (2019) 'Optimizing historic preservation under climate change: Decision support for cultural resource adaptation planning in national parks', Land Use Policy, 83, pp. 379-389.
 - Xiao, Y. and Xiao, Q. (2019) 'The ecological consequences of the large quantities of trees planted in Northwest China by the Government of China', Environ Sci Pollut Res. 26, pp. 33043-33053.
 - Xue, T., Zhu, T., Peng, W., Guan, T., Zhang, S., Zheng, Y., Geng, G. and Zhang, Q. (2021) 'Clean air actions in China, PM2.5 exposure, and household medical expenditures: A quasi-experimental study', PLOS Medicine, 18, pp. 1003480.
 - Yakubu, R. N. (2019) 'An institutional perspective on the adaptation and mitigation of climate change in the housing sector in the Northern region of Ghana', Present Environment and Sustainable Development, (2), pp. 289-298.
 - Yamashita, R. (2021) 'How can public participation in coral reef management be increased? An empirical study in Japan', Environmental Challenges, 4(100095).
 - Yang, B. and Li, S. (2013) 'Green infrastructure design for stormwater runoff and water quality: Empirical evidence from large watershed-scale community developments', Water, 5(4), pp. 2038-2057.
 - Yao, N., Bosch, C. C., Yang, J., Devisscher, T., Wirtz, Z., Jia, L., Duan, J. and Ma, L. (2019) 'Beijing's 50 million new urban trees: Strategic governance for large-scale urban afforestation', Urban Forestry and Urban Greening, 44,
 - Yazdanfar, Z. and Sharma, A. (2015) 'Urban drainage system planning and design-challenges with climate change and urbanization: a review', Water Science and Technology, 72(2), pp. 165-179.
 - Yesudian, A. and Dawson, R. J. (2021) 'Global analysis of sea level rise risk to airports', Climate Risk Management, 31(100266).
- Yiannakou, A. and Salata, K.-D. (2017) 'Adaptation to climate change through spatial planning in compact urban areas: 47 a case study in the city of Thessaloniki', Sustainability, 9(2), pp. 271. 48
 - Yli-Pelkonen, V., Viippola, V., Rantalainen, A.-L., Zheng, J. and Setälä, H. (2018) 'The impact of urban trees on concentrations of PAHs and other gaseous air pollutants in Yanji, northeast China', Atmospheric Environment, 192, pp. 151-159.
 - Yuan, M., Song, Y., Huang, Y., Hong, S. and Huang, L. (2018) 'Exploring the association between urban form and air quality in China', Journal of Planning Education and Research, 38(4), pp. 413-426.
 - Yung, E., Ho, W. and Chan, E. (2017) 'Elderly satisfaction with planning and design of public parks in high density old districts: An ordered logit model', Landscape and Urban Planning, 165, pp. 39-53.
 - Yurek, S., Eaton, M. J., Lavaud, R., Laney, R. W., DeAngelis, D. L. and Pine, W. E. (2021) 'Modeling structural mechanics of oyster reef self-organization including environmental constraints and community interactions', Ecological Modelling.
 - Zerbo, A., Delgado, R. C. and González, P. A. (2020) 'Vulnerability and everyday health risks of urban informal settlements in Sub-Saharan Africa', Global Health Journal.
- Zezza, A. and Tasciotti, L. (2010) 'Urban agriculture, poverty, and food security: Empirical evidence from a sample of 61 developing countries', Food policy, 35(4), pp. 265-273. 62

7

8 9

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Zhang, B., Xie, G., Gao, J. and Yang, Y. (2014) 'The cooling effect of urban green spaces as a contribution to energysaving and emission-reduction: A case study in Beijing, China', Building and Environment, 76, pp. 37-43. 2
- Zhang, K. and Chui, T. F. M. (2019) 'A review on implementing infiltration-based green infrastructure in shallow 3 groundwater environments: Challenges, approaches, and progress', Journal of Hydrology, 579, pp. 124089. 4
- Zhang, L., Jing, D., Lu, Q. and Shen, S. (2021) 'NO2 exposure increases eczema outpatient visits in Guangzhou, China: 5 an indication for hospital management', BMC Public Health, 21, pp. 506. 6
 - Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G., Li, H., Li, X., Peng, L. and Qi, J. (2018) 'Trends in China's anthropogenic emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions', Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18(19), pp. 14095-14111.
- Zheng, S. and Kahn, M. E. (2013) 'Does Government Investment in Local Public Goods Spur Gentrification? Evidence 10 from Beijing', Real Estate Economics, 41(1), pp. 1-28. 11
 - Zhou, B., Rybski, D. and Kropp, J. P. (2017) 'The role of city size and urban form in the surface urban heat island', Scientific reports, 7(1), pp. 1-9.
 - Zhou, Q. (2014) 'A review of sustainable urban drainage systems considering the climate change and urbanization impacts', Water, 6(4), pp. 976-992.
 - Zhou, Q., Halsnæs, K. and Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K. (2012) 'Economic assessment of climate adaptation options for urban drainage design in Odense, Denmark', Water Science and Technology, 66, pp. 1812-1820.
 - Zhu, L., Huguenard, K., Zou, Q. P., Fredriksson, D. W. and Xie, D. (2020) 'Aquaculture farms as nature-based coastal protection: Random wave attenuation by suspended and submerged canopies', Coastal Engineering, 160(103737).
 - Zia, A. and Wagner, C. H. (2015) 'Mainstreaming Early Warning Systems in Development and Planning Processes: Multilevel Implementation of Sendai Framework in Indus and Sahel', International Journal of Disaster Risk Science, 6(2), pp. 189-199.
 - Ziervogel, G., Cowen, A. and Ziniades, J. (2016) 'Moving from Adaptive to Transformative Capacity: Building Foundations for Inclusive, Thriving, and Regenerative Urban Settlements', Sustainability, 8(9).
 - Zingraff-Hamed, A., Hüesker, F., Albert, C., Brillinger, M., Huang, J., Lupp, G. and Schröter, B. (2020) 'Governance models for nature-based solutions: Seventeen cases from Germany', Ambio, pp. 1-18.
- Zuijdgeest, A. and Wehrli, B. (2017) 'Carbon and nutrient fluxes from floodplains and reservoirs in the Zambezi basin', 27 Chemical Geology, 467, pp. 1-11. 28
 - Zölch, T., Wamsler, C. and Pauleit, S. (2018) 'Integrating the ecosystem-based approach into municipal climate adaptation strategies: The case of Germany', Journal of cleaner production, 170, pp. 966-977.

