# **17SM**

# Accelerating the Transition in the Context of Sustainable Development Supplementary Material

#### Coordinating Lead Authors:

Fatima Denton (the Gambia), Kirsten Halsnæs (Denmark)

#### **Lead Authors:**

Keigo Akimoto (Japan), Sarah Burch (Canada), Cristobal Diaz Morejon (Cuba), Fernando Farias (Chile), Joni Jupesta (Indonesia/Japan), Ali Shareef (Maldives), Petra Schweizer-Ries (Germany), Fei Teng (China), Eric Zusman (the United States of America)

#### **Contributing Authors:**

Fátima Antonethe Castaneda Mena (Guatemala), Morten Andreas Dahl Larsen (Denmark), Shreya Some (India)

#### **Review Editors:**

Diriba Korecha Dadi (Ethiopia), Hermann Held (Germany)

#### Chapter Scientist:

Fátima Antonethe Castaneda Mena (Guatemala)

#### This chapter should be cited as:

Denton, F., K. Halsnæs, K. Akimoto, S. Burch, C. Diaz Morejon, F. Farias, J. Jupesta, A. Shareef, P. Schweizer-Ries, F. Teng, E. Zusman, 2022: Accelerating the transition in the context of sustainable development Supplementary Material. In IPCC, 2022: *Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, R. Slade, A. Al Khourdajie, R. van Diemen, D. McCollum, M. Pathak, S. Some, P. Vyas, R. Fradera, M. Belkacemi, A. Hasija, G. Lisboa, S. Luz, J. Malley, (eds.)]. Available from <a href="https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/">https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/</a>.

## Table 17.SM.1 | Chapter 6.

17SM

									Sustainab	le Development Goal	5									
ctor	Sectoral mitigation options	1 មីណា វាំរុះតំតំរុំ SDG 1 End poverty	SDG 2 Zero hunger	3 mmedua →√↓ SDG 3 Good health and wellbeing	SDG 4 Quality education	5 min CDG 5 Gender equality	SDG 6 Clean water and sanitation	SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	B EEDE BEAR SDG 8 Decent work and economic growth	SDG 9 Industry, innovation and infrastructure	SDG 10 Reduced inequalities	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities	SDG 12 Responsible consumption and production	13 ## SDG 13 Climate action	SDG 14 Life below water	15 #### SDG 15 Life on land	SDG 16 Peace, justice and strong institutions	17 ANNEADE SDG 17 Partnership	Line of sight (section numbers, tables, figures, box)	Remarks (context specificity/scale)
	Wind energy	+ Wind can provide low-cost electricity to several communities (high confidence)	± Land use for wind energy needs to be coordinated based on local circumstances, otherwise can have negative implications on food security (medium confidence)	+ Minimal air pollution, also integration with health sector frequently discussed (high confidence)			+ Low consumption of water ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Low-cost and low-carbon electricity in several regions ( <i>high confidence</i> )	+ Large job creation per unit investment (medium confidence)	+ Integration with offshore and other infrastructure (medium confidence)		+ Could help through net metering (medium confidence)	± Cater to sustain- able production, however has significant material consumption and disposal needs (medium confidence)	+ Low-carbon emissions ( <i>high</i> confidence)	± Offshore wind could pose risk to marine life if not appropriately managed ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Land use for wind energy needs to be coordinated, otherwise can have negative implications on biodiversity (medium confidence)			Sections 6.4.2.2 and Section 6.7.7	Need large storage infrastructure associa with their system integration. Will likely require significantly more critical mineral: Key context would include availability of land that does not compromise biodivers
	Solar energy	+ Solar PV can provide low-cost electricity to several communities ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Land use for solar energy needs to be coordinated based on local circum- stances, otherwise can have negative implications on food security (medium confidence)	+ Minimal air pollution, also inte- gration with health sector frequently discussed ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			± Low consumption of water for PV but higher for CSP (Concentrating Solar Power) (high confidence)	+ Low-cost and low- carbon electricity in several regions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Large job creation per unit investment (medium confidence)	+ Solar heat may be used in industrial heating ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Could help through net metering (medium confidence)	± Cater to sustain- able production, how- ever has significant material consumption and disposal needs (medium confidence)	+ Low-carbon emissions (high confidence)		± Land use for solar energy needs to be coordinated, otherwise can have negative implications on biodiversity (medium confidence)			Sections 6.4.2.1 and Section 6.7.7	Key context would include availability of land that does not compromise biodiversi Moreover, coordination with materials cycles is needed Need large storage infrastructure associal with their system integration. Will likely require significantly more critical minerals
	Bioenergy	± Bioenergy may be useful to provide rural energy. But large-scale bioenergy projects with CCS may be expensive (medium confidence)	for the tradeoff is competition between	± Depending on the scale and infrastructural efficacy, bioenergy may result in good or poor air quality (medium confidence)			± Some bioenergy feedstocks may cause competition for water ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Significant potential to deliver low-carbon or carbon-negative energy. High depen- dence of 2.2 billion people on traditional and non-sustainable biomass, with nega- tive impact on health and deforestation (high confidence)	+ Potential to provide employ- ment, including to workers who may be transitioning from fossil sectors ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Considerable opportunities for integration with other industries such as wastewater treatment (high confidence)		+ Could lead to low- carbon transport fuels (high confidence)	+ Use of waste biomass could be useful ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Low-carbon emissions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Treatment of nutrient-rich wastewater (which produces biogas as a co-benefit) is highly relevant for SDG 14.1 – Reduce marine pollution. At the same time, effluents from biofuel production can also cause negative impacts on marine ecosystems when effluent treat- ment is not meeting high standards (high confidence)	± Land use needs to be coordinated, otherwise can have negative implications on biodiversity and food production ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			Sections 6.4.2.6 and 12.5, Box 6.1	The regional context in terms of the types biomass/land being utilised is critical Has potential for development of Jow-carbo organic materials, chemicals and plastics that can be produced
ergy stems	Hydropower		± Could lead to fisheries damage if not properly managed ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Minimal air pollution ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			+ Coordination with water infrastructure (medium confidence)	+ Low-cost and low-carbon electricity in several regions (high confidence)						+ Low-carbon emissions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Could lead to fish- eries damage if not properly managed (medium confidence)	± Land use needs to be coordinated, otherwise can have negative implications on biodiversity (medium confidence)			Section 6.4.2	Key context would include availability of land that does not compromise biodivers
	Geothermal energy	+ Potential to provide energy in several energy- scarce regions ( <i>low confidence</i> )		± Low air pollution but some water pollution risks (medium confidence)			± Water flowback, water pollution and other issues ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Low-cost and low-carbon electric- ity and heat in several regions (high confidence)		+ Heat may be used in industrial heating (medium confidence)		+ Potential for air conditioning and heating ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Low-carbon emissions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )					Section 6.4.2.8	Would depend on water management infrastructure
	Nuclear power			± Reduced air pollution if displacing fossil. Much Literature on both the health benefits as well as risks arising from such power plants ( <i>high confidence</i> )			– Significant water consumption ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Increased use of nuclear power can provide stable baseload power supply and reduce price volatility but has nuclear waste management needs (medium confidence)	+ Local employment and reduced price volatility ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Could provide low-carbon heat. It differs across countries, whether a country already has a nuclear power or whether it is a newcomer country. In the latter case, a wide range of infrastructure issues need to be addressed, including facilities and equipment, as well as human and financial resources, and the legal and regulatory framework (medium confidence)			± Cater to sustain- able production, low resource consumption, but has significant waste management needs (medium confidence)	+ Low-carbon emissions (high confidence)	± Low impacts to ecosystems (acidifica- tion, eutrophication, ecotoxicity, ozone depletion). Long- term solutions for high-level radioactive waste are under development (medium confidence)	± Low impacts to biodiversity but high impact in case of an accident ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )			Section 6.4.2.4, Figure 6.18	Depends on the type of power plants being displaced Nuclear requires significantly less minerals than other low-carbon technologies
	Carbon capture and storage (CCS)			+ CCS infrastructure generally requires reduction of air pol- lutants for optimal operation ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			- Water use generally increases significantly; significant water treatment needs may also arise for brines (high confidence)		+ Potential to spur technological innova- tion; also could reduce inequity risks for fossil workers (high confidence)				± Use of resources and chemicals could increase unless appropriately managed (medium confidence)	+ Low-carbon emissions (high confidence)					Sections 6.4.2.5 and 6.7.7	Water use could be managed to remain neutral but could also increase based on how produced waters and cooling water is managed It is conventional knowledge that CCS has larger mineral requirements than plants without CCS. However, some of these requirements may be met through low-cos or waste minerals. Moreover, there may be the potential for precipitated CaCO <sub>3</sub> production through CO <sub>2</sub> mineralisation (which is largely regarded as CCS and not CCU because of permanent storage). This was highlighted in IPCC SRCCL, p. 332, www.ipcc.ch/srccl/. Thus, it may be synergy or tradeoff

# Accelerating the Transition in the Context of Sustainable Development

#### Table 17.SM.2 | Chapter 7.

									Su	stainable Developr	nent Goals									
ctor	Sectoral mitiga- tion options	1 កីណា កំរុំទំតំរាំ SDG 1 End poverty	SDG 2 Zero hunger	3 Method	SDG 4 Quality education	SDG 5 Gender equality	SDG 6 Clean water and sanitation	SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	SDG 8 Decent work and economic growth	SDG 9 Industry, innovation and infrastructure	SDG 10 Reduced inequalities	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities	SDG 12 Responsible consumption and production	13 ## SDG 13 Climate action	SDG 14 Life below water	SDG 15 Life on land	SDG 16 Peace, justice and strong institutions	17 references SDG 17 Partnership	Line of sight (section numbers, tables, figures, box)	Remarks (context specificity/scale)
	Carbon sequestra- tion in agriculture (soil carbon management in cropland and grasslands, agroforestry, biochar)	+ May lead to narrowing the yield gap, higher and stable profit due to increased productiv- ity and reduced input use with ben- efit to soil fertility. Water management for reducing drought and adapting to climate change is important issue (high confidence)	+ Better and sustainable soil management will improve food production and availability as well. Water management for reducing drought and adapting to climate change is important issue ( <i>high confidence</i> )	± Probably no direct impact (soil-human health nexus through nutritional transfer: may contribute to better nutrient security through quality and nutrient-rich products and better living if higher prof- its and diversified products) (medium confidence)			+ Better landscape water balance by influencing the quality and availability of water supply ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Better soil management can lead to improved productivity and thus economic growth ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )				± Low environment footprints, quality and healthy food production and economic and social viability (high confidence)	+ Clear climate benefit ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Better sponge function to life in water, and less nutrients into the water (medium confidence)	+ Proved beneficial for combating soil degradation and improving soil health and beneficial to biodiversity (high confidence)	+ Securing local food production and higher and stable profits may reduce migration and prevent conflict and support peace and justice (medium confidence)		Sections 7.3, 7.4 and 7.6	Almost not context or scale depender Low-cost option, high level of technol ogy readiness. Difficulty in monitoring Trade-offs with other uses of the organic matter
	Reduce CH4 and N20 emissions in agriculture		± When part of improved agricul- ture, it may reduce hunger ( <i>low confidence</i> )	+ Cleaner air and soil-plant-herbivore nexus ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			± Less use of water and less manure into water streams ( <i>low confidence</i> )			± Requires innova- tive food production ( <i>low confidence</i> )			+ Reduction of emissions (which is a part of responsible production) (medium confidence)	+ Clear reduction of emissions (high confidence)	+ Less impact on water (high confidence)	+ Less impact on land ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			Section 7.4	Risks include mitigation persistence, ecological impacts associated with improving feed quality and supply, or potential toxicity and animal welfare issues concerning feed additives
	Reduced conver- sion of forests and other ecosystems (deforestation, loss and degrada- tion of peatlands and coastal wetlands)	± Protecting huge areas may lead to poverty (medium confidence)	– May lead to some competition for land (medium confi- dence)	+ Cleaner air, greener environ- ment generally leads to better health (high confidence)	s		+ Better landscape water balance (high confidence)		± May lead to competition for land and less economic benefits ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )			± When surrounding cities, it may lead to cooling ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Clear climate benefit ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Better sponge function to life in water, and less nutrients into the water ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Beneficial to biodiversity (high confidence)	± May lead to more competition for resources and thus pressures between actors ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± May lead to joint forces ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	Section 7.4	Many benefits in terms of climate and biodiversity. It can compete for land and thus with food provision
ri- Iture, restry d her nd use	Ecosystem restoration, reforestation, afforestation	+ If it provides income, food and wood products, then neutral to positive (medium confidence)	± May lead to competition for land when done at large scales. Refor- estation and forest restoration can have co-benefits for food security ( <i>medium</i> confidence)	+ Cleaner air, greener environ- ment generally leads to better health (medium confidence)			± Better landscape water balance. Afforestation (on naturally unforested land) can compound climate-related risks to water security (medium confidence)		- May lead to competition for land and less economic benefits ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )		± When done with involvement of locals it can reduce inequality ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ When surrounding cities, it may lead to cooling ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Clear climate benefit ( <i>high</i> confidence)	+ Better sponge function to life in water when done in proper manner (high confidence)	+ Beneficial to biodiversity when done in proper manner ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )			Section 7.4	Very much context dependent and on how measures are carried out. If car- ried out at massive scale, competition for food will arise; when carried out adapted to local circumstances, and for various needs, the trade-offs are smal may have high opportunity costs
	Improved sustainable forest management	+ If it provides income and wood products, then neutral to positive ( <i>high confidence</i> )	± If it provides income and wood products, then neutral to positive ( <i>low confidence</i> )	+ Cleaner air, greener environ- ment generally leads to better health ( <i>low confidence</i> )	s		+ Better landscape water balance (medium confidence)	± Can lead to improved wood chain, including bioenergy from residues ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ If it provides income and wood products, then neutral to positive ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Can lead to improved wood chain, and biobased product innovation (high confidence)	± When done with involvement of locals it can reduce inequality ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Can lead to cooling of cities and building with biobased products ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Most likely climate benefit ( <i>medium confidence</i> )	+ Better sponge function to life in water, and less nutrients into the water ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Beneficial to biodiversity ( <i>high confidence</i> )			Section 7.4	This involves small changes in manag ment of existing forests; effects per hectare are small. Can be beneficial fc biodiversity, provision of wood, etc.
	Reduce food loss and food waste	+ Reduced food loss will reduce prices, and may lead to less poverty ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Reduced food loss will reduce prices, and may lead to more food available (high confidence)	+ Reduced food loss will reduce prices, and may lead to more food available (high confidence)			+ Less use of water (high confidence)	+ Lead to less energy use (high confidence)			+ Balanced food distribution globally may reduce prices for many ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Balanced food distribution globally may provide more sustainable societies (low confidence)	+ Balanced food distribution and reduced losses is part of responsible consumption (high confidence)	+ Clear reduction of emissions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Less impact on water (medium confidence)	+ Less impact on land ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Leads to less competition for resources and thus less pressures between actors (medium confidence)		Section 7.5	Occurs in all societies, there are no trade-offs
	Shift to balanced, sustainable healthy diets	± Depends whether the healthier diet is cheaper, often not (medium confi- dence)	+ Balanced food distribution globally may reduce prices for many ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Healthier diets for affluent populations (high confidence)			+ Less use of water (high confidence)	+ May lead to less energy use ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		± More innovative food production (medium confidence)	+ Balanced food globally may reduce prices for many ( <i>low confidence</i> )	+ Balanced food globally may provide more sustainable societies ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Clear reduction of emissions - Part of responsible production ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Clear reduction of emissions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Less impact on water ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Less impact on land ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			Section 7.4	Only for affluent societies; synergies occur because it may lead to freeing up land
	Renewables supply timber, biomass, agri feedstock)	± Can lead to both positive and nega- tive outcomes for livelihoods and food security; if it pro- vides income, food and wood products, then neutral to positive (medium confidence)	± May lead to competition when done at large scale and not taking into account local circumstances or needs (medium confidence)	± Can lead to greener landscape, but can also result in large-scale undesirable changes (medium confidence)			± Can lead to better landscape-level water balance when done in proper manner (medium confidence)	and more stable	+ If it provides income and wood products, then neutral to positive (medium confidence)	+ Can lead to improved wood chain, and bio-based product innovation (high confidence)				± Clear reduction of emissions up to certain scales and volumes and when negative land-use effects are avoided (high confidence)	± Depending on type of land use, less impact on water (medium confidence)	± Depending on type of land use, less impact on life on land ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )			Section 7.6	Very much context dependent and dependent on how measures are carried out, leads to enhanced production of renewables needed for substitution of fossil based products. If done at massive scale and not adapte to local circumstances, then there are adverse implications for food security and livelihoods, and for biodiversity.

## Accelerating the Transition in the Context of Sustainable Development

## Table 17.SM.3 | Chapter 8.

										Sustainable Develo	oment Goals									
or	Sectoral mitigation options	1 ភីណា #៖#### SDG 1 End poverty	SDG 2 Zero hunger	3 settering 	SDG 4 Quality education	SDG 5 Gender equality	SDG 6 Clean water and sanitation	SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	SDG 8 Decent work and economic growth	SDG 9 Industry, innovation and infrastructure	SDG 10 Reduced inequalities	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities	SDG 12 Responsible consumption and production	SDG 13 Climate action	SDG 14 Life below water	SDG 15 Life on land	SDG 16 Peace, justice and strong institutions	SDG 17 Partnership	Line of sight (section numbers, tables, figures, box)	Remarks (context speci scale)
	Urban land use and spatial planning	(+) Provides employ- ment density and supports productivity ( <i>high confidence</i> ) (+) Can reduce exposure and vulner- ability to climate change given policy integration ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Better spatial planning will reduce pressures on land use change, including croplands ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> ) (-) Growth in urban extent can still reduce cropland if not sufficiently managed ( <i>high confidence</i> )	(+) Improves access to health infrastruc- ture; improves air quality when coupled to shifting energy use; improves wellbeing with green and blue infrastructure ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		(+) Can increase equal opportunities and effective participation of women, including urban governance (medium confidence)	(+) Can improve water quality, water-use efficiency, water harvesting and wastewater treatment; efficient urbanisation can also reduce GHG emissions from water infrastructure (high confidence)	(+) Can reduce energy use and enable access to modern energy infrastructure while urban infrastruc- ture for energy services varies (high confidence)	(+) Provides employment density and supports productivity ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Sustainable urban- isation and settlement planning requires development across all infrastructure sectors (high confidence)	(+) Spatial inequalities within cities can be reduced; urban infra- structure gap between cities can be reduced (high confidence) (-) Unintended gentrification and spatial inequalities are still possible (medium confidence)	(+) Supports capacity for participatory, inte- grated and sustainable human settlement plan- ning (Target 11.3) and protecting the poor and vulnerable (Target 11.5) (high confidence)	for resources with differences in scenarios; increase in urban	(+) Contributes to both climate mitiga- tion and adaptation given integration in urban planning (high confidence)	(+) Can reduce growth in urban expansion that can help protect coastal and marine eco- systems (medium confidence) (-) Urban develop- ment can still impact coastal and marine eco- systems (medium confidence)	(+) Can reduce growth in urban expansion that can help protect biodiversity on land and terrestrial and inland freshwaters ( <i>high confidence</i> ) (-) Urban develop- ment can still impact biodiversity ( <i>medium confidence</i> )	(+) Has synergies with responsive, inclusive and participatory decision-making at all levels and transparent institu- tions ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )		Sections 8.2, 8.4 and 8.6	
	Electrifica- tion of the urban energy system	(+) Can address energy poverty that is linked to poverty; eradicating poverty is supported by access to modern energy services for all (medium confidence)	(+) Electrification can support welfare; electric stoves can support nutritional food intake ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> ) (-) Can have trade-offs if food systems are coupled with electricity and bioenergy ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Improves air quality when coupled to shifting energy use as included in the option; Avoids air pollution from energy and transport infrastructure; Sup- ports energy services for quality health services in hospitals (high confidence)	(+) Electrification and access to electricity supports quality education and educational attainment ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Supports equal opportunities, also through electricity for internet access if previously lacking (medium confidence)	(+) Renewable energy powered water treatment facilities can sup- port clean water and sanitation (medium confi- dence)	(+) Supports renewable energy, energy efficiency and access to affordable, reliable and modern energy generation technologies can enhance infrastruc- ture resilience (high confidence)	(+) Supports tech- nological upgrading, innovation and decent job creation ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Supports sustain- able and resilient infrastructure and can support domestic tech- nology development; renewable-energy generation tech- nologies can enhance infrastructure resilience (high confidence)	(+) Supports equal opportunities, e.g. through internet access if previously lacking (high confidence)	(+) Supports adequate, safe and affordable housing as well as safe, affordable, accessible and sustainable trans- port (Targets 11.1 and 11.2) (high confidence)	(+) Allows leapfrogging to more resource-efficient urban develop- ment ( <i>high confidence</i> ) (-) Material demands of electrifi- cation technologies will increase; policies are important ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Energy infrastructure can also strengthen climate resilience and adaptive capace ity if addressed together (medium confidence)	(+) Energy systems can be designed to minimize impacts on water ecosys- tems ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Clean energy will reduce the impacts of climate change on biodiver- sity and terrestrial ecosystems ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> ) (-) Hydropower development and biofuel cultivation may impact ecosystems while there are multiple alternatives, e.g., use of degraded lands for solar energy farms ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Improvement in governance through inclusive decision-making improves ability for energy systems to contribute to sustainable devel- opment (medium confidence)		Sections 8.2, 8.4 and 8.6	The impacts of th possible synergies trade-offs with th will change accor to the specific urb context. Synergies trade-offs may be
ı ns	District heating and cooling networks	(+) Can address energy poverty that is linked to poverty; eradicating poverty is supported by access to modern energy services for all (medium confidence)	(-) Can have trade- offs if food systems are coupled with bioenergy and heat (medium confidence)	(+) Improves air quality when coupled to shifting energy use as included in the option; supports energy services for quality health services in hospitals (medium confidence)				(+) Supports renewable energy, energy efficiency and access to affordable, reliable and modern energy (medium confidence)	(+) Supports technological upgrad- ing, innovation and decent job creation (medium confidence)	(+) Is being used to support sustain- able and resilient infrastructure, including adaptation and mitigation (medium confidence)		(+) Supports capacity for participatory, integrated and sustain- able human settlement planning (Target 11.3) (high confidence)	(+) Allows leapfrogging to more resource-efficient urban develop- ment ( <i>medium confidence</i> )	(+) Energy infra- structure can also strengthen climate resilience and adaptive capacity if addressed together (medium confidence)		(+) Clean energy will reduce the impacts of climate change on biodiver- sity and terrestrial ecosystems (high confidence)	(+) Improvement in governance through inclusive decision-making improves ability for energy systems to contribute to sustainable devel- opment (medium confidence)		Sections 8.2, 8.4 and 8.6	significant in cer contexts than ot Urban mitigatior a view of the SD support shifting ways of urbanisa towards sustaina. The feasibility of mitigation option also malleable a
	Urban green and blue infrastruc- ture	(+) Can increase employment and food security, e.g., urban agriculture ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Can increase employment and food security, e.g., urban agriculture (medium confidence)	(+) Better ecosystem services improve health and well-being, can improve air quality ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Urban green and blue infrastructure can increase opportuni- ties and sites for environmental education ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )		(+) Also supports water-sensitive urban planning and protection of water-related ecosystems ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Produces a cooling effect, lowering energy use when in relative proximity (medium confidence)	(+) Can stimulate new green economies and green jobs (medium confidence)	(+) Supports sustain- able and resilient infrastructure ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Can support equity given policy design (medium confidence (-) Can push out low- income residents from main city areas without inclusive policy design (medium confidence)	(+) Supports air quality and universal access to safe, inclusive and accessible green and public spaces (Target 11.7) (high confidence)	(+) Supports sustainable development and lifestyles also 'in harmony with nature' as emphasised (Target 12.8) (high confidence)	(+) Contributes to both climate mitiga- tion and adaptation given integration in urban planning (high confidence)		(+) Enhances biodiversity within urban areas and ecosystem services (high confidence)	(+) Has synergies with responsive, inclusive and participatory decision-making at all levels and transparent institu- tions (medium confidence)		Sections 8.2, 8.4 and 8.6	increase with m enablers. Streng institutional cap that also suppor scale and coord of the mitigation options can incr these synergies.
	Waste prevention, minimisation and manage- ment	(+) Can reduce infor- mality in the waste sector and support poverty alleviation (high confidence)	(+) Can support reducing food waste in municipalities and urban centres (medium confidence)	(+) Better waste man- agement improves air quality ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> ) (-) Can depend on air pollution control techniques if waste incineration is involved ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )			(+) Improved water and wastewater infrastructure will reduce water pollution ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		(+) Can stimulate employment for value added products (medium confidence) (-) Transforming informality of waste recycling activities into programmes is important (medium confidence)	(+) Supports sustainable and resilient infrastructure (high confidence)		(+) Directly related to waste management; supports links between urban, peri-urban and rural areas (Target 11.a) (high confidence)	(-) Waste segregation at source	(+) Reduces emissions through better management of urban waste in different contexts and is important for resilience, including coastal areas (medium confidence)	(+) Better waste management and wastewater treat- ment will protect coastal and marine ecosystems, reduce marine debris and nutrient pollution (high confidence)	(+) Better waste management and wastewater treat- ment will protect terrestrial and inland freshwaters (high confidence)	(+) Has synergies with responsive, inclusive and participatory decision-making at all levels and transparent institu- tions (medium confidence)		Sections 8.2 and 8.4, 8.6	
	Integrating sectors, strategies and innova- tions	(+) Increases employ- ment density, reduces poverty and exposure and vulnerability to climate change ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Supports livelihoods, reduces pressures on croplands and consumption-related land-use impacts (high confidence)	(+) Improves access to health infrastruc- ture; improves air quality when coupled to shifting energy use; improves wellbeing with green and blue infrastructure (high confidence)		(+) Can increase equal opportunities and effective participation of women, including urban governance (medium confidence)	(+) Can improve water quality, water-use efficiency, water harvesting and wastewater treatment; efficient urbanisation can also reduce GHG emissions from water infrastructure (high confidence)	(+) Supports renewable energy, energy efficiency and access to affordable, reliable and modern energy (high confidence)		(+) Supports sustain- able and resilient infrastructure ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	(+) Can reduce the urban infrastructure gap; sustainable urban- isation can support reducing inequality within and among cities; inclusivity of inhabitants in the infor- mal sector is important (high confidence)	(+) Supports integrated policies and plans for inclusion, resource efficiency, mitigation and adaptation (Target 11.b) (high confidence)	(+) Allows leapfrogging to more resource-efficient urban develop- ment ( <i>high confidence</i> )	(+) Contributes to both climate mitiga- tion and adaptation given integration in urban planning (high confidence)		(+) Can reduce growth in urban expansion that can help protect biodiversity on land and terrestrial and inland freshwaters ( <i>high confidence</i> )	(+) Has synergies with responsive, inclusive and participatory decision-making at all levels and transparent institu- tions (medium confidence)	(+) Partnerships support sustainable infrastructure for urban areas; supports policy coherence for sustainable development (Target 17.14) (high confidence)	Sections 8.2 and 8.4, 8.6	

# Accelerating the Transition in the Context of Sustainable Development

## Table 17.SM.4 | Chapter 9.

									Sustainable	Development Goals	;									
ector	Sectoral mitigation options	1 #### ##### SDG 1 End poverty	2 ::::: SDG 2 Zero hunger	3 interesting →√√→ SDG 3 Good health and wellbeing	4 WWThin SDG 4 Quality education	SDG 5 Gender equality	SDG 6 Clean water and sanitation	SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	SDG 8 Decent work and economic growth	SDG 9 Industry, innovation and infrastructure	SDG 10 Reduced inequalities	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities	SDG 12 Responsible consumption and production	13 RMF SDG 13 Climate action	14 Historen SDG 14 Life below water	15 Kas SDG 15 Life on land	SDG 16 Peace, justice and strong institutions	SDG 17 Partnership	Line of sight (section numbers, tables, figures, box)	Remarks (context specificity/scale)
	Demand- side management	+ Reduce poverty due to less energy expenditures and other financial savings ( <i>ligh</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Result in avoiding the 'heat or eat' dillemma (low confidence)	+ Improve health through better indoor air quality, fuel poverty alleviation, better ambient air quality, and reduction of the heat island effect. Furthermore, smart controllers and wireless communications that are used for control- ling lighting, windows, HVAC equipment, water heaters, and other building equipment provide many other non-energy benefits such as improved security, access control, fire and other emergency detection and management, and on-time identification of maintenance issues (high confidence)			+ Lower energy demand can lead to reduced water demand for thermal cooling at energy production facilities (medium confidence)	+ Result in fuel poverty alleviation and improving the security of energy supply ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Result in direct and indirect macroeconomic effects (GDP, employ- ment, public budgets) associated with lower energy prices due to the reduced energy demand, energy efficiency investments, and fostering innovation. + Also result in improving labour productivity ( <i>high</i> confidence)		+ Reduce income inequalities (low confidence)	+ Eliminate major sources (both direct and indirect) of poor air quality (indoor and outdoor) ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Result in reduced consumption of natural resources (medium confidence)	+ Reduce emissions and increase resilience ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )					Section 9.8 and Table 9.5	Economic impacts (synergies and trade-offs) are as ated with reduced energy demand, resulting in low energy prices, energy efficiency investments, foster innovation, and improvements in labour productivi
uildings	Highly energy- efficient building envelope	+ Reduce poverty due to less energy expenditures and other financial savings – The distributional costs of some mitigation policies supporting energy efficiency may reduce the dispos- able income of the poor ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Result in avoiding the 'heat or eat' dillema (low confidence)	+ Improve health through better indoor air quality, fuel poverty alleviation, better ambient air quality, and reduction of the heat island effect. - Inadequate ventilation may lead to the sick build- ing syndrome symptoms (high confidence)	+ Reduce school absenteeism due to better indoor conditions, while fuel poverty alleviation increases the availble space at home for reading (medium confidence)		+ Lower energy demand can lead to reduced water demand for thermal cooling at energy production facilities (medium confidence)	+ Result in fuel poverty alleviation and improving the security of energy supply ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	E Result in direct and indirect macroeco- nomic effects (GDP, employment, public budgets) associated with lower energy prices due to the reduced energy demand, energy efficiency invest- ments, and fostering innovation + Also result in improving labour productivity ( <i>high</i> confidence)	+ The development of 'green buildings' can foster innovation - Reduced energy demand can lead to early retirement of fossil energy infrastructure (medium confidence)	± Can reduce or increase income inequalities ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Eliminate major sources (both direct and indirect) of poor air quality (indoor and outdoor). Also, buildings with high energy efficiency and/or green features are sold/rented at higher prices than conventional, low-energy- efficient houses ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Result in reduced consumption of natural resources (medium confidence)	+ Reduce emissions and increase resilience ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			+ Building retrofits are associated with lower crime; institu tions that are effec- tive, accountable and transparent are needed at all levels of government for boosting zero- energy buildings. (medium confidence)	+ The development of zero-energy buildings requires, among other things, capacity building and citizen participation, as well as monitoring of the achieve- ments (medium confidence)	Section 9.8 and Table 9.5	Trade-offs related to public health may be mini- mised with adequate ventilation. Economic impact (synergies and trade-offs) are associated with redu energy demand, resulting in lower energy prices, energy efficiency investments, fostering innovation and improvements in labour productivity
	Efficient heating, ventilation and air con- ditioning (HVAC)	costs of some mitigation policies	+ Result in avoiding the 'heat or eat' dillemma (low confidence)	+ Improve health through better indoor air quality, fuel poverty alleviation, better ambient air quality, and reduction of the heat island effect ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			+ Lower energy demand can lead to reduced water demand for thermal cooling at energy production facilities (medium confidence)	+ Result in fuel poverty alleviation and improving the security of energy supply ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	<ul> <li>Result in direct and indirect macroeco- nomic effects (GDP, employment, public budgets) associated with lower energy prices due to the reduced energy demand, energy efficiency invest- ments, and fostering innovation</li> <li>+ Also result in improving labour productivity (<i>high</i> confidence)</li> </ul>	+ The development of 'green buildings' can foster innovation - Reduced energy demand can lead to early retirement of fossil energy infrastructure (medium confidence)	± Can reduce or increase income inequalities (medium confidence)	+ Eliminate major sources (both direct and indirect) of poor air quality (indoor and outdoor) ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Result in reduced consumption of natural resources (medium confidence)	+ Reduce emissions and increase resilience (high confidence)					Section 9.8 and Table 9.5	The distributional costs of some mitigation policie hinder the implementation of these measures. In case, appropriate access policies should be desigr efficiently shield poor households from the burde carbon taxation Economic impacts (synergies and trade-offs) are associated with reduced energy demand, resultin lower energy prices, energy efficiency investment fostering innovation, and improvements in labour productivity
	Efficient appliances	+ Reduce poverty due to less energy expenditures and other financial savings - The distributional costs of some mitigation policies supporting energy efficiency may reduce the dispos- able income of the poor (medium confidence)	the 'heat or eat' dillemma. Also,	+ Improve health through better indoor air quality, fuel poverty alleviation, better ambient air quality, and reduction of the heat island effect ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Reduce school absenteeism due to better indoor conditions, while fuel poverty alleviation increases the availble space at home for reading (medium confidence)	in substantial	+ Lower energy demand can lead to reduced water demand for thermal cooling at energy production facilities (medium confidence)	+ Result in energy/ fuel poverty allevia- tion and improving the security of energy supply ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Result in direct and indirect macroeco- nomic effects (GDP, employment, public budgets) associated with lower energy prices due to the reduced energy demand, energy efficiency invest- ments, and fostering innovation + Also result in improving labour productivity ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	- Reduced energy demand can lead to early retirement of fossil energy infrastructure (medium confidence)	± Can reduce or increase income inequalities + Efficient cookstoves result in substantial time savings for women and children, thus enhancing education and the development of productive activities (medium confidence)	+ Eliminate major sources (both direct and indirect) of poor air quality (indoor and outdoor) ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Result in reduced consumption of natural resources – Possible risks due to the penetration of new, efficient appliances and early retirement of existing equipment ( <i>medium</i> confidence)	+ Reduce emissions and increase resil- ience (high confidence)		+ Result in halting deforesta- tion through efficient cookstoves (medium confidence)			Section 9.8 and Table 9.5	Under real-world conditions improved cookstoves shown smaller, and in many cases limited, long-rur health and environmental impacts than expected, as the households use these stoves irregularly and inappropriately, fail to maintain them, and their us declines over time. It is of paramount importance f the various cookstove programmes to consider the mid- and long-term needs of maintenance, repair, replacement to support their sustained use. In add the distributional costs of some mitigation policies hinder the implementation of these measures. In tt case, appropriate access policies should be designe to efficiently shield poor households from the burd of carbon taxation. Economic impacts (synergies at trade-offs) are associated with reduced energy der resulting in lower energy prices, energy efficiency i ments, fostering innovation, and improvements in productivity and energy access

# Accelerating the Transition in the Context of Sustainable Development

## Table 17.SM.4 | Chapter 9 (continued).

									Sustainable Dev	elopment Goals										
Sector	Sectoral mitigation options	1 Mann Av A A A A SDG 1 End poverty	SDG 2 Zero hunger	3 WHEEE →√→ SDG 3 Good health and wellbeing	SDG 4 Quality education	5 BBAR SDG 5 Gender equality	SDG 6 Clean water and san- itation	7 비행하여 같은 가 SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	B EXERCISE SDG 8 Decent work and economic growth	SDG 9 Industry, innovation and infrastructure	SDG 10 Reduced inequalities	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities	SDG 12 Responsible consumption and production	13 EMM SDG 13 Climate action	14 URAWARK SDG 14 Life below water	SDG 15 Life on land	SDG 16 Peace, justice and strong institutions	SDG 17 Partnership	Line of sight (section num- bers, tables, figures, box)	Remarks (context specificity/scale)
	Building design and performance	+ Reduce poverty due to less energy expenditures and other financial savings (medium confidence)	+ Result in avoiding the 'heat or eat' dillema. Also, green surfaces and urban farming (vertical, basement or unused buildings) contribute to local and resilient food production (medium confidence)	+ Improve health through better indoor air quality, fuel poverty alleviation, better ambient air quality, and reduction of the heat island effect ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			+ Lower energy demand can lead to reduced water demand for thermal cooling at energy production facili- ties. Also, these measures result in water savings due to improved indoor conditions and lower space of dwellings ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Result in fuel poverty alleviation and improving the security of energy supply ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Result in direct and indirect macro- economic effects (GDP, employment, public budgets) associated with lower energy prices due to the reduced energy demand ( <i>high</i> confidence)	- Reduced energy demand can lead to early retirement of fossil energy infra- structure (medium confidence)	+ Reduce income inequalities (low confidence)	+ Eliminate major sources (both direct and indirect) of poor air quality (indoor and outdoor) (high confidence)	+ Result in reduced consumption of natural resources (medium confidence)	+ Reduce emissions and increase resilience (high confidence)		+ Green roofs and walls strengthen urban biodiversity (medium confidence)	+ Institutions that are effective, accountable and transparent are needed at all levels of government for boosting sufficiency measures (medium confidence)		Section 9.8 and Table 9.5	Economic impacts (synergies and trade-offs) are mainly associated with reduced energy demand and the resulting in lower energy prices
	On-site and nearby production and use of renewables	+ Increase the productive time of women and children - The distribu- tional costs of some mitigation policies sup- porting RES (renewables) may reduce the disposable income of the poor ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Improving energy access enhances agricultural produc- tivity and improves food security - Increased bioenergy production may restrict the available land for food production ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Improve health through better indoor air quality, energy/fuel poverty alle- viation, better ambient air quality, and reduction of the heat island effect ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Reduce school absenteeism due to better indoor conditions and enable people living in poor developing countries to read (medium confidence)	+ Improved access to electricity and clean fuels in developing coun- tries will result in substantial time savings for women, thus increasing the time for rest, communication, education and productive activi- ties (medium confidence)	+ Substituting fossil-fuelled electricity can lead to reduced water demand for thermal cooling at energy production facilities. Also, improved access to electric- ity is necessary to treat water in homes – Switch to bioenergy may increase water use compared to existing conditions ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Result in energy poverty alleviation and improving the security of energy supply - Risks of reduced energy access, in cases where the distributional costs of mitigation increase the energy costs (medium confidence)	± Result in direct and indirect macro- economic effects (GDP, employment, public budgets) asso- ciated with lower energy prices due to the reduced energy demand, RES invest- ments, improved energy access and fostering innovation ( <i>high confidence</i> )	+ Adoption of RES and smart grids helps in infrastruc- ture improvement and expansion - Increased RES penetration can lead to early retirement of fossil energy infrastructure (high confidence)	± Can reduce or increase income inequalities + Improved access to electricity and clean fuels in developing countries will result in substantial time savings for women and children, enhanc- ing education and the development of productive activities (medium confidence)	+ Eliminate major sources (both direct and indirect) of poor air quality (indoor and outdoor) (high confidence)	+ Result in reduced consump- tion of natural resources (medium confidence)	+ Reduce emissions and increase resil- ience ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Result in halting deforesta- tion through improved access to electricity and clean fuels (medium confidence)	+ Improved access to electric lighting can improve safety (particularly for women and children) + Institutions that are effective, accountable and transparent are needed at all levels of government for providing energy access and promoting modern renewables (medium confidence)	+ The develop- ment of zero energy buildings requires, among other things, capacity building and citizen par- ticipation, as well as monitoring of the achieve- ments ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	Section 9.8 and Table 9.5	The distributional costs of some mitigation policies supporting RES may reduce the disposable income of the poor and hinder their utilisation. In this case, appropriate access policies should be designed to efficiently shield poor households from the burden of carbon taxation. Some of the trade-offs are mainly related to the switch to bioenergy, which may restric the available land for food production and increase water consumption Economic impacts (synergies and trade-offs) are associated with reduced demand for fossil fuels, resulting in lower energy prices, RES investments, fostering innovation, and improvements in energy access
Buildings	Change in construction methods and circular economy			+ Improve health through better labour conditions (medium confidence)			± The change in construction methods and the devlopment of circular business models can lead to reduced or increased water demand, as a trade-off (medium confidence)	+ Result in energy/ fuel poverty allevia- tion and improving the security of energy supply ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Result in direct and indirect macro- economic effects (GDP, employment, public budgets) associated with development of smarter construction methods and circular business models + Also result in improving labour productivity ( <i>high</i> confidence)	+ The develop- ment of smarter construction methods and circular business models can foster innovation ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Result in reduced consumption of natural and scarce resources, waste generation and enviromental impacts (high confidence)	+ Result in reduced consumption of natural and scarce resources ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Reduce emissions and increase resilience ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )				+ The change in construction methods and the development of circular business models requires a better integra- tion and partner- ship between stakeholders (high confidence)	Sections 9.4 and 9.5	Economic impacts (synergies and trade-offs) are associated with development of smarter constructior methods, circular business models, and improvement in labour productivity
	Change in construction materials			+ Bio-based and natural materials, e.g., raw earth, can improve indoor air quality and brings the concept of biophilia - Bio-based and natural materials can be more susceptible to the appearance of biological organisms that can cause health problems ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )			± The change in construc- tion materials can lead to reduced or increased water demand, as a trade-off (medium confidence)	+ Result in energy/ fuel poverty allevia- tion and improving the security of energy supply (high confidence)	± Result in direct and indirect macro- economic effects (GDP, employment, public budgets) asso- ciated with the use and development of green construction materials ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ The use and development of green construction materials can foster innovation ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Result in reduced consumption of natural and scarce resources, waste generation and enviromental impacts ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Result in reduced consumption of natural and scarce resources ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Reduce emissions, removal and storage of CO <sub>2</sub> (bio-based materials) and increase resilience ( <i>high confi-</i> <i>dence</i> )		- Bio-based materials can increase the pressure and competition for land use (medium confidence)		+ The change in construction materials requires a better integration and partnership between stake- holders ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	Section 9.4	Economic impacts (synergies and trade-offs) are associated with the use and development of green construction materials

# Accelerating the Transition in the Context of Sustainable Development

## Table 17.SM.5 | Chapter 10.

										Sustainable Develop	ment Goals									
ctor	Sectoral mitigation options	1 Num MARTIN SDG 1 End poverty	SDG 2 Zero hunger	3 MERCENT →√→ SDG 3 Good health and wellbeing	SDG 4 Quality education	SDG 5 Gender equality	6 Eddates	7 meeter SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	B EEDERMENT SDG 8 Decent work and economic growth	SDG 9 Industry, innovation and infrastructure	SDG 10 Reduced inequalities	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities	22 EXAMPLE SDG 12 Responsible consumption and production	13 HW SDG 13 Climate action	SDG 14 Life below water	SDG 15 Life on land	SDG 16 Peace, justice and strong institutions	17 Minister SDG 17 Partnership	Line of sight (section numbers, tables, figures, box)	Remarks (context specific scale)
	Fuel efficiency- light duty vehicle	+ Improved efficiency reduces costs and makes transport more affordable ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Land use for wind energy needs to be coordinated based on local circumstances, otherwise can have negative implications on food security (medium confidence)	+ Reduce air pollution/ improve air quality (high confidence)				+ Can support the global rate of improvement in overall energy efficiency ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Creation of new jobs due to new investment in fuel efficiency (medium confidence)			+ Can reduce air pollution in cities ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Reduction of GHG emissions (high confidence)		+ Reduce demand for land needed to produce transportation fuels (medium confidence)	2		Sections 10.3, 10.4 and 10.8	
	Electric light- duty vehicles (LDVs)		± Land use for solar energy needs to be coordinated based on local circumstances, otherwise can have negative implica- tions on food security (medium confidence)	± Battery electric vehicles (BEVs) have no tailpipe emissions, which further offsets the increased PM emissions from road and tyre wear. BEVs are generally heavier than their ICEV counterparts, which may potentially cause higher stress on the road surfaces and tyres, with consequently higher PM emissions per kilometre driven ( <i>high confidence</i> )				+ EVs consume considerably less energy than conven- tional fuels, which increases affordability ( <i>high confidence</i> ) ± EVs can positively or negatively impact electric grid function- ing depending on charging behaviour and grid integration strategy ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Could create jobs associated with the EV supply chain + Could create jobs to build and operate the associated infrastructure ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Larger penetration of electric vehicles requires innovative business models; n Digitalisation and automatic vehicle will help on the socio- economic structures that impede adoption of EVs and the urban structures that enable reduced car dependence; there is a need for investments in the infrastructure that can support alternative fuels for LDVs. Large- scale electrification of LDVs requires expansion of low-carbon power systems, while charging or battery swapping infrastructure is needed for some segments (high confidence)	± Significant equity issues with EVs in the transition period can be overcome with programmes, for example, by expanding public charging infra- structure (medium confidence)	+ Can reduce air and noise pollution in cities ( <i>high confidence</i> )	± Could increase demand for critical minerals but increased recycling can mitigate this risk (medium confidence)	+ Reduction of GHG emissions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )					Sections 10.3, 10.4 and 10.8	Increased demand fo electricity for EVs an production of hydrog and derivatives requi careful integration w power sector. For exa increased demand fo renewable electricity pose additional land constraints. Simultan
nsport	Shift to public transport	+ Affordable transport access for all + Improve access to health, educa- tion, and other social services lowering the cost of services needed by the low-income/ poor ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Farm employ- ment and incomes could increase, which is a key component of SDG 2 (2.3 to be specific). The reason for the trade-off is competition between food and bioenergy crops (medium confidence)	+ Access to healthcare; reduce air pollution/ inrease air quality ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	can increase	+ Affordable trans- port access for all (high confidence)		+ Improves energy efficiency of transport and makes it more affordable ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Role of transport for economic and human development ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	± Needs adequate infrastructure; in develop- ing countries weather conditions and unreliable connectivity affect the lack of incentives to improve existing public transportation ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Improved invest- ments in public transit increase equity in transport access (high confidence)	+ Sustainable transport systems for cities; facili- tates universal access to public transport ( <i>high confidence</i> ) + Could support positive economic links between urban and peri-urban areas ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> ) + Can reduce air pollution in cities ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Reduced material consumption during production of vehicles and their operations	+ Reduction of GHG emissions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )					Sections 10.2 and 10.8, Table 10.3	constraints. Simultar smart charging of EV support the grid inte of renewables. Simili hydrogen productior be scheduled to mar the variability of win and solar. Competiti hydrogen with other should also be consi Synergies and/or trar may be more signific certain contexts than Strengthened institu capacity that also su the scale and coordi
	Shift to bikes, ebikes and non motorised transport	+ Affordable transport access for all + Improve access to health, educa- tion, and other social services, lowering the cost of services needed by the low-income/ poor (high confidence)	± Could lead to fisheries damage if not properly managed (medium confidence)	the Reduce air pollution; increases physical activity leading to reduced health mor- tality - Traffic crashes discourage the use of bikes + Scaling up active modes (through careful local urban design and transport planning) can reduce gender inequi- ties in access to basic services, healthcare and education (medium confidence)	access to basic services, health- care and educa- tion (medium confidence)			+ Saves energy (high confidence)	+ Increases employ- ment opportunities, demand for bike repair shops, bike parking (medium confidence)	+ Needs adequate infrastructure + Opportunities including digitalisation, the Internet of Things and also 'big data' ( <i>high confidence</i> )	+ Access to bicycle lanes or cycle tracks increases the odds of female commuters using bicycles (medium confidence)	+ Compact, polycentric cities where active transport is most viable can enhance inclusive and sustainable urbanisation ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> ) + Can reduce air pollution in cities ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Reduction of GHG emissions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Preserve land that would have been otherwise used to construct and maintain parking garages and surface parking lots (medium confidence)			Sections 10.2 and 10.8, Table 10.3	of the mitigation opt increase these synerg
	Fuel efficiency- heavy duty vehicle	+ Improved efficiency reduces costs and makes transport more affordable ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Reduce air pollution/ improve air quality (high confidence)	+ Improved efficiency reduces costs and makes transport more affordable ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			+ Can support the global rate of improvement in overall energy efficiency ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Creation of new jobs due to new investment in fuel efficiency (medium confidence)					+ Reduction of GHG emissions (high confidence)		+ Reduce demand for land needed to produce transportation fuels (medium confidence)			Sections 10.3, 10.4 and 10.8	

# Accelerating the Transition in the Context of Sustainable Development

## Table 17.SM.5 | Chapter 10 (continued).

										Sustainable Develop	ment Goals									
ector	Sectoral mitigation options	1 Paur Att Att	2 Mar SDG 2 Zero hunger	3 DEMENSION SDG 3 Good health and wellbeing	SDG 4 Quality education	SDG 5 Gender equality	SDG 6 Clean water and sanitation	SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	B EEGEWEER SDG 8 Decent work and economic growth	,	10 marme ↓ SDG 10 Reduced inequalities	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities	SDG 12 Responsible consumption and production	13 IIII SDG 13 Climate action	SDG 14 Life below water	SDG 15 Life on land	SDG 16 Peace, justice and strong institutions	17 meneter SDG 17 Partnership	Line of sight (section numbers, tables, figures, box)	Remarks (context specificity scale)
	Fuel shift (including electricity)- heavy duty vehicles (HDVs)			+ Reduce air pollution/improve air quality ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )				+ Some alternative fuels can help increase the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix (medium confidence)	+ Could create jobs associated with the supply chain of new fuels + Could create jobs to build and operate the associated infrastructure ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ R&D is critical for new fuels and to test the full life cycle costs of various heavy vehicle options; need to invest in supporting infrastructure ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			± Electric vehicles (EVs) and fuel cell vehicles (FCVs) for HDVs could increase demand for critical minerals but increased recycling can mitigate this risk (medium confidence)	+ Reduction of GHG emissions ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )					Sections 10.3, 10.4 and 10.8	
	Shipping efficiency, logistics optimisation, new fuels							+ Some alternative fuels can help increase the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix ( <i>medium confidence</i> ) + Can support the global rate of improvement in over- all energy efficiency ( <i>medium confidence</i> )	+ Could create jobs associated with the supply chain of new fuels + Could create jobs to build and operate the associated infrastructure ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ R&D is critical for new fuels and to test the full life cycle costs of various heavy vehicle options; need to invest in supporting infrastructure ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )				+ Reduction of GHG emissions (high confidence)					Sections 10.6 and 10.8	Increased demand for electricity for EVs and production of hydroger and derivatives require careful integration with power sector. For exam increased demand for renewable electricity co pose additional land-us constraints. Simultanee smart charging of EVs i support the grid integri
sport	Aviation- energy efficiency, new fuels							+ Some alternative fuels can help increase the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix ( <i>medium confidence</i> ) + Can support the global rate of improvement in over- all energy efficiency ( <i>medium confidence</i> )	+ Could create jobs associated with the supply chain of new fuels + Could create jobs to build and operate the associated infrastructure ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ R&D is critical for new fuels and to test the full life cycle costs of various heavy vehicle options; need to invest in supporting infrastructure ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )				+ Reduction of GHG emissions ( <i>high</i> confidence)					Sections 10.5 and 10.8	of renewables. Simila hydrogen production be scheduled to mana the variability of winc and solar. Competitio hydrogen with other s should also be consid Synergies and/or trad may be more significa certain contexts than Strengthened institut capacity that also suy
	Biofuels		<ul> <li>Using land to produce biofuels could put stress on global food systems</li> <li>Could increase incomes for farmers and support invest- ments in rural infra- structure (medium confidence)</li> </ul>	quality (e.g. due to				+ Can help increase the share of renew- able energy in the global energy mix (high confidence)	+ Could create jobs associated with the supply chain of biofuels + Could create jobs to build and operate the associated infrastructure ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ R&D is critical for new fuels and to test the full life cycle costs of various heavy vehicle options; need to invest in supporting infrastructure ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Could reduce air pollution in cities (medium confidence)		+ Reduction of GHG emissions (high confidence)	± Could increase eutrophication in water bodies (high confidence)	± Additional land use for biofuels may increase pressue on biodiversity ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			Sections 10.3, 10.4, 10.5, 10.6 and 10.8	the scale and coordin of the mitigation opti increase these synergi

# Accelerating the Transition in the Context of Sustainable Development

## Table 17.SM.6 | Chapter 11.

									Sustai	inable Developmen	t Goals									
Sector	Sectoral mitigation options	1 शब्द 永祥寺計 SDG 1 End poverty	SDG 2 Zero hunger	3 House the second seco	SDG 4 Quality education	SDG 5 Gender equality	SDG 6 Clean water and sanitation	SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	B EELEVENT SDG 8 Decent work and economic growth	SDG 9 Industry, innovation and infrastructure	SDG 10 Reduced inequalities	SDG 11 Sustainable cities and communities	SDG 12 Responsible consumption and production	13 EEE SDG 13 Climate action	SDG 14 Life below water	SDG 15 Life on land	SDG 16 Peace, justice and strong institutions	17 meeter SDG 17 Partnership	Line of sight (section numbers, tables, figures, box)	Remarks (context specificity/scale)
	Energy efficiency			+ Reduce air pollution ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> )				+ Enhances security in clean energy (high confidence)	+ Employment opportunities in a green economy (high confidence)	+ Industrial innovation through new technologies (high confidence)				+ Contributes to climate action through efficient use of energy (high confidence)					Section 11.5.3	Heavily dependent on technology and so the scale of the continous co-benefits across regions would depend on the extent and ease of technological transfer
	Material efficiency and demand reduction						+ Reduce the pressures on water bodies (low confidence)		+ New Business Models generate employment oppor- tunities ( <i>medium</i> <i>confidence</i> ) - Reduction in national sales tax revenue in medium term ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Infrastructural development to support mitigation option (medium confidence)			+ Environmental stewardship (medium confidence)	+ Contributes to climate action through reduced consumption ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )					Section 11.5.3	The scale of the co-benefits achieved through material efficiency would depend on the extent to which the transition from traditional to requisite new business models can be achieved
Industry	Circular material flows			+ Reduce air pollution (medium confidence)			+ Increase use of waste as resource (high confidence)	+ Improved energy efficiency as key CE practice (medium confidence)	+ Job opportunities through new business models (medium confidence)			+ Public environ- mental awareness (medium confidence)	+Enhances environmental benefits + Increase use of waste as resource ( <i>high confidence</i> )		+ Studies reported direct relationship between CE and SDG 14 ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Enhances biodiversity protec- tion on land ( <i>low</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Improved social relations between industrial sectors and local societies (medium confidence)	Section 11.5.3	Successful implementation of transformational new business models is required to scale up and derive extended co-benefits through the CE strategy
	Electrification	+ Supports poverty alleviation strategies (high confidence)	+ Improved food security - Fuel switching to options such as biomass and bioenergy can have negative impact on food prices (medium confidence)	+ Supports delivery of health services + Improves indoor air quality compared to biomass use ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Reduces energy-related hurdles domestically affecting women (high confidence)		+ Decarbonisation of grid when fuel is switched to cleaner sources ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Increased economic activity and employment (high confidence)					+ Contributes to climate action through switching to renewables ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		- Negative impact on SDG 15 [fuel switching to options such as biomass and bioenergy] (high confidence)			Sections 11.5.3 and 6.7.7	The extent of the co-benefits experience on social system would be relative as it would be dependent on their current access to energy
	CCS and carbon capture and utilisation (CCU)			+ Control of non-CO <sub>2</sub> pollutants (such as sulphur dioxide) - increase of non- CO <sub>2</sub> pollutants (such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxide and ammonia) ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			- Deployment of CCS and CCU would require increased water consumption (high confidence)	+ Decarbonisation of energy production through utilisation of CO <sub>2</sub> ( <i>high confidence</i> ) - Deployment of CCS and CCU would require high energy demand ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )	+ Diversified employment prospects (low confidence)	+ Direct foreign investment and know-how (medium confidence)		+ Deployment of CCS and CCU would contribute to enhancing the sustainability of cities ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		+ Contributes to climate action through carbon capture ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )		– Deployment of CCS and CCU would require additional land use ( <i>high</i> <i>confidence</i> )			Section 11.5.3	15–25% additional energy is required by CCS technologies compared with conventional plants. As such, this has potential implications for air pollutants. If no additional measures to reduce emissions are installed, particulate matter, nitrogen oxide and ammonia would increase accordingly

# Accelerating the Transition in the Context of Sustainable Development