1	Chapter 6: Interlinkages between desertification, land degradation,
2	food security and greenhouse gas fluxes: Synergies,
3	trade-offs and integrated response options
4	
5 6	Coordinating Lead Authors : Pete Smith (United Kingdom), Johnson Nkem (Cameroon), Katherine Calvin (The United States of America)
7 8 9 10	Lead Authors: Donovan Campbell (Jamaica), Francesco Cherubini (Norway/Italy), Giacomo Grassi (Italy/EU), Vladimir Korotkov (The Russian Federation), Anh Le Hoang (Viet Nam), Shuaib Lwasa (Uganda), Pamela McElwee (The United States of America), Ephraim Nkonya (Tanzania), Nobuko Saigusa (Japan), Jean-Francois Soussana (France), Miguel Angel Taboada (Argentina)
11 12 13 14	Contributing Authors: Cristina Arias-Navarro (Spain), Otavio Cavalett (Brazil), Annette Cowie (Australia), Joanna House (United Kingdom), Daniel Huppmann (Austria), Jagdish Krishnaswamy (India), Alexander Popp (Germany), Stephanie Roe (The Philippines/The United States of America), Raphael Slade (United Kingdom), Lindsay Stringer (United Kingdom), Matteo Vizzarri (Italy)
15 16	Review Editors : Amjad Abdulla (Maldives), Ian Noble (Australia), Yoshiki Yamagata (Japan), Taha Zatari (Saudi Arabia)
17	Chapter Scientists: Frances Manning (United Kingdom), Dorothy Nampanzira (Uganda)
18	
19	

Table of Contents 1

2	Chapter 6: Interlinkages between desertification, land degradation, for	ood security and greenhouse gas
3	fluxes: Synergies, trade-offs and integrated response options	6-1
4	Appendix	6-3
5	Supplementary information for Section 6.4.1	6-3
6	Supplementary information for Section 6.4.3	6-22
7	Supplementary information for Section 6.5.4	6-57
8	References	6-59
9		

10

Supplementary Material

2

3 Supplementary information for Section 6.4.1

- 4 Section 6.4.1 includes tables of feasibility dimensions for each of the 40 response options. This section includes the supporting material for those
- 5 classifications.
- Table SM6.1 | Feasibility of land management response options in agriculture, considering cost, technological, institutional, socio-cultural and environmental and
- 7 geophysical barriers and saturation and reversibility.

Response option	Saturation	Reversibility	Cost	Technological	Institutional	Socio-cultural	Environmental
							and geophysical
Increased food				Limited ability to	Better access to	Educational – for	Since increasing
productivity				define and	credit, services,	example,	food productivity
				measure indicators	inputs and markets	educational	can be limited by
				of sustainable	(Schut et al. 2016)	needs of women,	climatic and
				intensification		(Pretty and	environmental
				(Barnes and		Bharucha 2014),	factors (Olesen and
				Thomson 2014)		and cultural or	Bindi 2002)
						behavioural	
						(Martin et al.	
						2015b)	
Improved cropland			USD74 to	For example, the	Can be	Educational	For example, land
management			$USD226 \ ha^{-1}$	need for further	institutional in	(e.g., lack of	access (Bryan et al.
				development of	some regions -for	knowledge)	2009; Bustamante
				nitrification	example, poor	(Reichardt et al.	et al. 2014)
				inhibitors (Singh	sustainability	2009) and	
				and Verma 2007)	frameworks	cultural or	
					(Madlener et al.	behavioural (e.g.,	
					2006)	promotion of	
						cover crops	
						needs to account	
						for farmers'	
						needs (Roesch-	

					McNally et al. 2017)	
Improved grazing land management		<usd1 kg="" of<br="">meat⁻¹ (Rolfe et al. 2011)</usd1>	For example, the need for further development of nitrification inhibitors (Singh and Verma 2007)	Can be institutional in some regions – for example, the need for extension services (Ndoro et	Educational –for example, poor knowledge of best animal husbandry practices among	For example, unless degraded, grazing lands are already closer to saturation than croplands (Smith et al. 2015)
				al. 2014)	farmers (Ndoro et al. 2014), and cultural or behavioural – for example, strong cultural importance of livestock and traditional practices in some	
					communities (Herrero et al. 2016)	
Improved livestock management		120 to 621 USD ha ⁻¹ (Barnhart et al. 2000)	For example, many dietary additives are still at low technology readiness level (Beauchemin et al. 2008)	Can be institutional in some regions – for example, need for extension services (Ndoro et al. 2014)	Educational – for example, poor knowledge of best animal husbandry practices among farmers (Ndoro et al. 2014), and cultural or behavioural – for example, strong cultural importance of livestock in some communities (Herrero et al. 2016)	For example, climate suitability of different cattle breeds in a changing climate (Thornton et al. 2009; Rojas-Downing et al. 2017)

Agricultural diversification	S USD tCO ₂ e ⁻¹ (Torres et al. 2010) Note that lack of reliable financial support could be a barrier (Hernandez-Morcillo et al. 2018) Minimal (Wimmer and Sauer 2016) Diversification results in cost saving and risk reduction, thus expected cost is minimal. Note that it is not	There are likely to be relatively few technological barriers (Smith et al. 2007) Technological, biophysical, educational, and cultural barriers may emerge that limit the adoption of more diverse farming systems by farmers (Barnett and Palutikof 2015;	Institutional in some regions – for example, seed availability (Lillesø et al. 2011)	Educational –for example, poor knowledge of how best to integrate trees into agroecosystems, (Meijer et al. 2015), lack of information, (Hernandez-Morcillo et al. 2018) and cultural or behavioural – for example, farmers' perceptions, (Meijer et al. 2015) Technological, biophysical, educational, and cultural barriers may emerge that limit the adoption of more diverse farming systems by farmers (Barnett and Palutikof	Technological, biophysical, educational, and cultural barriers may emerge that limit the adoption of more diverse farming systems by farmers (Barnett and Palutikof 2015; Ahmed and Stepp
	Note that it is not always economically viable (Barnes et al. 2015)	*		,	, ,
Reduced grassland conversion to cropland	Minimal (Garibaldi et al. 2017)	Since the response option involves not cultivating a	There could be institutional barriers in some	Educational (e.g., poor knowledge of the	Since the response option involves not cultivating a current

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

		current grassland,	regions (e.g., poor	impacts of	grassland, there are
	With increased	there are likely to	governance to	ploughing	likely to be few
	demand for	be few biophysical	prevent	grasslands), and	biophysical or
	livestock products,			cultural or	technological
	_	or technological	conversion)		_
	it is expected that	barriers.		behavioural (e.g.,	barriers.
	livestock has			strong cultural	
	higher returns than			importance of	
	crops.			crop production	
				in some	
	Note that avoiding			communities.	
	conversion is low				
	cost, but there				
	may be significant				
	opportunity costs				
	associated with				
	foregone				
	production of				
	crops.				
Integrated water	Minimal (Lubell				
management	et al. 2014)				
gement	ot all 2011)				
	Integrated water				
	management				
	expected to reduce				
	production costs				
	and increase				
	economic				
	efficiency.				

Table SM6.2 | Feasibility of land management response options in forests, considering cost, technological, institutional, socio-cultural and environmental and geophysical barriers and saturation and reversibility.

Response option	Saturation	Reversibility	Cost	Technological	Institutional	Socio-cultural	Environmental and geophysical
Forest management			70 to 160 USD		For example,	Educational (e.g.,	Forest
			ha ⁻¹ (Singer 2016)		better access to	limited knowledge	management
					credit and	of the most	affects the climate
					markets, etc.		also through

2

3

				appropriate techniques)	biophysical effects and the emissions of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs), which are both influenced by species composition.
Reduced deforestation and forest degradation	Agricultural expansion is the major driver of deforestation in developing countries. Cost of reducing of deforestation is based on opportunity cost of not growing the most common crop in developing countries (Maize) for six years to reach tree maturity, with yield of 8 t ha ⁻¹ (high); 5 tons ha ⁻¹ (medium) and 1.5 t ha ⁻¹ and price of 329 USD t ⁻¹ .	to d tr	For example, land enure, economic disincentives and ransaction costs. Kindermann et al. 2008).	Educational (e.g., little information available in some regions) and cultural (different realities, e.g., small holder versus industrial production)	For example, susceptibility to climate and other unpredicted events (Ellison et al. 2017).
	Also, reduced deforestation				

1	

	relatively			
	moderate costs, but they require transaction and administration costs (Overmars et al. 2014; Kindermann et al.			
Reforestation and forest restoration	2008). 10 to 100 USD tCO ₂ e ⁻¹ (McLaren 2012)		Educational (e.g., low genetic diversity of planted forests) and cultural (e.g., care of forest cultures)	For example, availability of native species seedlings for planting.
Afforestation	10 to 100 USD tCO ₂ e ⁻¹ (McLaren 2012)	For example, policymakers' commitment (Medugu et al. 2010).		

Table SM6.3 | Feasibility of land management response options for soils, considering cost, technological, institutional, socio-cultural and environmental and geophysical barriers and saturation and reversibility.

Response option	Saturation	Reversibility	Cost	Technological	Institutional	Socio-cultural	Environmental and geophysical
Increased soil organic carbon content			50 to 170 USD ha ⁻¹ (FAO 2014) Based on smallholder farming – which accounts for 72% farms in the world; farmers in	For example, difficult to measure and verify (Smith 2006).	Can be institutional in some regions – for example, lack of institutional capacity (Bustamante et al. 2014)	Educational (e.g., poor knowledge of best practices among farmers (Reichardt et al. 2009) though cultural or behavioural barriers are likely	For example, soil type (Baveye et al. 2018).

Chapter 6:

Reduced soil erosion Reduced soil	India (medium farmers) and largescale farmers in the USA (FAO 2014). The cost indicated is only for manure application and ignores other costs for work done under business as usual (BAU). Assumes application of 10 t ha ⁻¹ of organic manure after every three years and minimum tillage. 50 to 240 USD ha ⁻¹ (Morokong and Blignaut 2019) Based on prevention of soil erosion using terraces with rocks. Costs reported are only for avoided loss of carbon sequestration.	Limited technology choices and technical support (Haregeweyn et al. 2015)	For instance, in Ethiopia farmers have shown an increased understanding of the soil erosion problem, but soil conservation programmes face a host of barriers related to limited access to capital, limited benefits, land tenure insecurity (Haregeweyn et al. 2015). Lack of alternative	to be small compared to other barriers (Smith et al. 2007; Wollenberg et al. 2016). Poor community participation (Haregeweyn et al. 2015) Educational (poor	For example, lack
salinisation	ha ⁻¹ (ICARDA 2012)	of appropriate irrigation technology; (Mach	irrigation infrastructure (Eva ns and Sadler	knowledge of the causes and salinisation and	of alternative water sources (Bhattacharyya et

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

		For NENA region, salinity control recommended practice is deep ploughing, done once every four to five years to break down the hardpan subsoil. Deep ploughing costs 200 USD ha ⁻¹ for the four-year cycle or 50 USD ha ⁻¹ for each cropping season.	ado and Serralheiro 2017; CGIAR 2016; Bhattacharyya et al. 2015)	2008; CGIAR 2016)	how to address it (Greene et al. 2016; Dagar et al. 2016) and cultural or behavioural, such as persistence of traditional practices (Greene et al. 2016; Dagar et al. 2016)	al. 2015; Dagar et al. 2016)
Reduced soil compaction		Negative cost (McLaren 2012)	Both compaction process and remediation technologies are well known (Antille et al. 2016) but technological barriers exist (e.g., few decision support systems for implementation of precision management of traffic compaction).		Educational – for example, knowledge gaps (Antille et al. 2016b)	Some soils are prone to compaction (Antille et al. 2016).
Biochar addition to soil		100 to 800 USD tCO ₂ e ⁻¹ (McLaren 2012) A small amount of biochar potential could be available	For example, feedstock and pyrolysis temperature have large impacts on biochar properties.	Can be institutional in some regions – for example, lack of quality standards (Guo et al. 2016)	Educational – for example, low awareness among end users (Guo et al. 2016) and cultural or	For example, land available for biomass production (Woolf et al. 2010).

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

6-10

	at negative cost,		behavioural (Guo	
	and some at low		et al. 2016)	
	cost, depending o	ı		
	markets for the			
	biochar as a soil			
	amendment			
	(Shackley et al.			
	2011; Meyer et al			
	2011; Dickinson			
	et al. 2014).			

2

 $Table \ SM6.4 \ | \ Feasibility \ of \ land \ management \ response \ options \ in \ any/other \ ecosystems, \ considering \ cost, \ technological, \ institutional, \ socio-cultural \ and \ environmental \ and \ geophysical \ barriers \ and \ saturation \ and \ reversibility.$

Response option	Saturation	Reversibility	Cost	Technological	Institutional	Socio-cultural	Environmental and geophysical
Fire management			0.2 to 6.5 USD billion per country per year (USA, Australia, Canada)	Technologies for fire management exist, but the cost of implementation is relatively moderate, since it requires constant maintenance (North et al. 2015) and can be excessive for some local communities.	For example, lack of social or political acceptance (Freeman et al. 2017).	Educational – for example, poor knowledge of best practices, liability issues, casualty risks and little tolerance for management errors (North et al. 2015).	For example, susceptibility to climate and other unpredicted events (Hurteau et al. 2014) or steep or remote areas to its application (North et al. 2015).
Reduced landslides and natural hazards				The implementation of	In the tropics, the most cited barriers	The implementation of	The implementation of
				practices for	for implementing	practices for	practices for
				management of	landslide risk	management of	management of
				landslides and	reduction	landslides and	landslides and
				natural hazards is	measures are	natural hazards is	natural hazards is
1				based on	scientific and	based on	based on

			engineering works	political in nature,	engineering works	engineering works
			and more resilient	and the ratio of	and more resilient	and more resilient
			cropping systems	implemented	cropping systems	cropping systems
			(Noble et al. 2014;	versus	(Noble et al. 2014;	(Noble et al. 2014;
			Gill and Malamud	recommended	Gill and Malamud	Gill and Malamud
			2017), which are	landslide risk	2017), which are	2017), which are
			often limited by	reduction	often limited by	often limited by
			their high costs, as	measures is low	their high costs, as	their high costs, as
			well as	for most landslide	well as	well as
			biophysical,	risk reduction	biophysical,	biophysical,
			technological and	components (Maes	technological and	technological and
			educational	et al. 2017).	educational	educational
			barriers.	,	barriers.	barriers.
Reduced pollution		2 to 13 USD per	For example, lack	For example, poor		Since air pollution
including		household (Van	of technology to	regulation and		is transboundary,
acidification		Houtven et al.	inject fertilisers	enforcement of		sources are often
		2017)	below ground to	environmental		far distant from
		/	prevent ammonia	regulations		the site of impact;
			emissions (Shah et	(Yamineva and		(Begum et al.
			al. 2018).	Romppanen 2017)		2011).
Management of		500 to 6632 USD	In the case of	Where agricultural	Education can be a	Restoration
invasive species/		per ha (Jardine	natural enemies, it	extension and	barrier, where	programmes can
encroachment		and Sanchirico	can be	advice services are	populations are	take a long time
***************************************		2018)	technological	poorly developed	unaware of the	(Dresner et al.
		/	(Dresner et al.	Passay as a sarpas	damage caused by	2015).
		High cost is for	2015).		the invasive	2010).
		California	2010).		species. Cultural	
		invasive alien			or behavioural	
		species control;			barriers are likely	
		low cost from			to be small.	
		control in			to be smarr.	
		Massachusetts				
Restoration and		Costs for coastal		Can be	Educational (e.g.,	For example, loss
reduced conversion		wetland		institutional in	lack of knowledge	of large predators,
of coastal wetlands		restoration		some regions – for	of impact of	herbivores,
or coustar wettunds		projects vary, but		example, poor	wetland	spawning and
		they can be cost-		governance of	conversion),	nursery habitat
		effective at scale		wetland use in	though	(Lotze et al. 2006)
		(Erwin 2009)		some regions	technological and	(Loize et al. 2000)
		(LI WIII 2003)	1	some regions	cennological and	

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

6-12

			(Lotze et al. 2006).	cultural or behavioural barriers are likely to be small compared to other barriers.	
Restoration and	4 to 20 USD		Can be	Educational – for	For example, site
reduced conversion	tCO ₂ e ⁻¹ (McLa	ren	institutional in	example, lack of	inaccessibility
of peatlands	2012)		some regions – for	skilled labour	(Bonn et al. 2014)
			example, lack of	(Bonn et al. 2014),	
			inputs (Bonn et al.	though	
			2014)	technological and	
				cultural or	
				behavioural	
				barriers are likely	
				to be small	
				compared to other	
				barriers.	
Biodiversity	10 to 50 USD				
conservation	tCO ₂ e ⁻¹ (Minx	et			
	al. 2018)				

2

Table SM6.5 Feasibility of land management response options specifically for carbon dioxide removal (CDR), considering cost, technological, institutional, socio-cultural and environmental and geophysical barriers and saturation and reversibility

Response option	Saturation	Reversibility	Cost	Technological	Institutional	Socio-cultural	Environmental and geophysical
Enhanced			10 to 40 USD	High energy costs	In some regions –	Educational (e.g.,	For example,
weathering of			tCO ₂ e ⁻¹ (McLaren	of comminution	for example, lack	lack of knowledge	limited and
minerals			2012)	(Smith et al.	of infrastructure	of how to use	inaccessible
			,	2016a)	for this new	these new	mineral
			The main cost		technology	materials in	formations
			(and large energy		(Taylor et al.	agriculture).	(Renforth et al.
			input) is in the		2016).	Cultural barriers	2012).
			mining and			could occur in	
			comminution of			some regions, for	

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

6-13

Disaparation d	DECCS to one of	the minerals (Renforth et al. 2012) with higher total costs compared to other low-cost land management options (Smith et al. 2016a).	While there are a	Institutional	example, due to minerals lying under undisturbed natural areas where mining might generate public acceptance issues (Renforth et al. 2012).	Compatition for
Bioenergy and BECCS	BECCS 'is one of the NET options that is less vulnerable to reversal' (Fuss et al. 2018).	50 to 250 USD tCO ₂ e ⁻¹ (McLaren 2012)	While there are a few small BECCS demonstration facilities, BECCS has not been implemented at scale (Kemper 2015).	Institutional barriers include governance issues (Vaughan and Gough 2016).	Cultural barriers include social acceptance (Sanchez and Kammen 2016) with CCS facing concerns of safety and environmental issues and bioenergy facing additional scrutiny because of competition for land and water.	Competition for land and water

Table SM6.6 | Feasibility of demand management response options, considering cost, technological, institutional, socio-cultural and environmental and geophysical barriers and saturation and reversibility

Response option	Saturation	Reversibility	Cost	Technological	Institutional	Socio-cultural	Environmental and geophysical
Dietary change				Inadequate storage	Barriers might	Cultural or	Poor accessibility
				options (e.g., for	also be	behavioural – for	of healthy foods
				fresh fruit and	institutional in	example, diets are	such and fruit and
				vegetables)	some regions – for	deeply culturally	vegetables (Hearn
					example, poorly	embedded and	et al. 1998; Lock
					developed dietary	behaviour change	et al. 2005)
					health advice,	is extremely	
					(Wardle et al.	difficult to effect,	
					2000).	even when health	

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

2

3

	T.	T.				
					benefits are well	
					known	
					(Macdiarmid et al.	
					2016); educational	
					 such as poor 	
					knowledge of	
					what constitutes a	
					healthy diet	
					(Wardle et al.	
					2000).	
					,	
Reduced post-			Lack of low-cost	Barriers are	There are few	There are few
harvest losses			storage and	largely	biophysical,	biophysical,
			preservation	institutional, since	educational or	educational or
			technologies	solutions may	cultural barriers,	cultural barriers,
				require	since preventing	since preventing
				dismantling and	food loss is a	food loss is a
				redesigning	priority in many	priority in many
				current food value	developing	developing
				chains.	countries.	countries.
Reduced food waste			Barriers in	Specific barriers	Specific barriers	
(consumer or			developing	to reducing	to reducing	
retailer)			countries include	consumption	consumption	
			reliability of	waste in	waste in	
			transportation	industrialised	industrialised	
			networks, market	countries include	countries include	
			reliability,	inconvenience,	inconvenience,	
			education,	lack of financial	lack of financial	
			technology,	incentives, lack of	incentives, lack of	
			capacity, and	public awareness,	public awareness,	
			infrastructure	low cost of food,	and low	
			(Kummu et al.	quality standards	prioritisation	
			2012).	and regulations,	(Kummu et al.	
				consumers' ability	2012; Graham-	
				to buy food	Rowe et al. 2014).	
				products at any	Barriers in	
				time, generalised	developing	
				oversupply in the	countries include	
				distribution, and	reliability of	

T T			1		
			low prioritisation,	transportation	
			among others	networks, market	
			(Kummu et al.	reliability,	
			2012; Graham-	education,	
			Rowe et al. 2014;	technology,	
			Diaz-Ruiz et al.	capacity, and	
			2018). Barriers in	infrastructure	
			developing	(Kummu et al.	
			countries include	2012).	
			reliability of		
			transportation		
			networks, market		
			reliability,		
			education,		
			technology,		
			capacity, and		
			infrastructure		
			(Kummu et al.		
			2012).		
Material	Negligible	Improved	Construction	People perceive	
substitution	(McLaren 2012)	treatments to	companies	adverse effects of	
		prevent against	hesitant to take	wood products on	
		fire and moisture	risks associated	forests and	
		needed (Ramage	with wooden	increased risk of	
		et al. 2017).	buildings and	fire (Gustavsson et	
			insurance	al. 2006).	
			companies rate	,	
			wooden buildings		
			as higher risk		
			(Gustavsson et al.		

²

Table SM6.7 | Feasibility of supply management response options, considering cost, technological, institutional, socio-cultural and environmental and geophysical barriers and saturation and reversibility.

Response option	Saturation	Reversibility	Cost	Technological	Institutional	Socio-cultural	Environmental and geophysical
Sustainable sourcing	Reversibility could be an issue and, while there are low-cost options, the implementations can be expensive.	Reversibility could be an issue and ,while there are low-cost options, the implementations can be expensive.			There are institutional barriers in some contexts (e.g., in low income African, Asian and Latin American countries where challenges associated with food insecurity and climate change vulnerability are more acute) (Ingram et al.	No obvious biophysical or cultural barriers	No obvious biophysical or cultural barriers
Management of supply chains					2016). Political will within trade regimes, economic laissez-faire policies that discourage interventions in markets, and the difficulties of coordination across economic sectors (Cohen et al. 2009; Gilbert 2012; Poulton et al. 2006).		
Enhanced urban food systems				There are likely to be few biophysical, technological or	There are likely to be few biophysical, technological or	There are likely to be few biophysical, technological or	There are likely to be few biophysical, technological or

			cultural or behavioural barriers to implementing improved urban food systems, though institutional and education barriers	cultural or behavioural barriers to implementing improved urban food systems, though institutional and education barriers	cultural or behavioural barriers to implementing improved urban food systems, though institutional and education barriers	cultural or behavioural barriers to implementing improved urban food systems, though institutional and education barriers
Improved food processing and retailing		The implementation of strategies to improve the efficiency and sustainability of retail and agrifood industries can be expensive.	could play a role. Adoption of specific sustainability instruments and eco-innovation practices	could play a role. Successful implementation is dependent on organisational capacity, the agility and flexibility of business strategies, the strengthening of public-private policies and effectiveness of supply-chain	could play a role. No obvious cultural or behavioural barriers, but educational barriers exist.	could play a role. No obvious biophysical and cultural or behavioural barriers.
Improved energy use in food systems			For example, low levels of farm mechanisation.	governance. For example, energy efficiency in agriculture depends strongly on the technology level (Vlontzos et al. 2014).	Educational (e.g., poor knowledge of alternative energy sources), and behavioural or cultural – for example, high levels of repetitive labour, making farming unattractive to the youth, and disproportionally affecting women;	

			(Baudron et al.	
			2015).	

2

Table SM6.8 | Feasibility of risk management response options, considering cost, technological, institutional, socio-cultural and environmental and geophysical barriers and saturation and reversibility.

Response option	Saturation	Reversibility	Cost	Technological	Institutional	Socio-cultural	Environmental and geophysical
Management of			0.5 to 3 USD				
urban sprawl			trillion yr ⁻¹		Barriers to		
			globally (New		policies against		
			Climate Economy		urban sprawl		
			2018).		include		
			Global cost of		institutional		
			prevention of		barriers to		
			urban sprawl by:		integrated land-		
			densification;		use planning, and		
			provision of		the costs to		
			sustainable and		national		
			affordable		governments of		
			housing; and		restricting or		
			investment in		buying back		
			shared, electric,		development		
			and low-carbon		rights (Tan et al.		
			transport.		2009).		
Livelihood			Barriers to			Barriers to	
diversification			diversification			diversification	
			include the fact			include the fact	
			that poorer			that poorer	
			households and			households and	
			female headed			female-headed	
			households may			households may	
			lack assets to			lack assets to	
			invest in new			invest in new	
			income streams or			income streams, or	
			have a lack of			have a lack of	

		education about new income		education about new income	
		sources (Berman		sources (Berman	
		et al. 2012;		et al. 2012;	
		Ahmed and Stepp		Ahmed and Stepp	
		2016; Ngigi et al. 2017).		2016; Ngigi et al.	
Use of local seeds		2017).		2017). Barriers to seed	
Use of focal seeds				sovereignty	
				include concerns	
				about equitability	
				in access to seed	
				networks and the	
				difficulty of	
				sustaining such	
				projects when	
				development	
				donors leave	
				(Reisman 2017),	
				and disputes over	
				the intellectual	
				property rights	
				associated with	
				seeds	
				(Timmermann and	
				Robaey 2016).	
Disaster risk		Barriers to early			
management		warnig systems			
		include cost; an			
		early warning			
		system for the 80	Institutional and		
		most climate-	governance		
		vulnerable	barriers such as		
		countries in the	coordination and		
		world is estimated	synchronisation		
		to cost 2 billion	among levels also		
		USD over five	effect some EWS		
		years to develop	(Birkmann et al.		
		(Hallegatte 2012).	2015).		

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

Final Government Distribution	Chapter 6:	IPCC SRCCL
-------------------------------	------------	------------

Risk-sharing	10 to 90 USD ha ⁻¹		
instruments	(Schnitkey and		
	Sheridan 2017).		
	Insurance cost		
	depends on value		
	of crops. We use		
	maize as an		
	example in USA		
	(high) and Sub-		
	Saharan Africa		
	(low).		

1 Supplementary information for Section 6.4.3

- 2 Section 6.4.3 includes tables regarding interactions for each of the 40 response options with Nature's Contributions to People (NCP) and Sustainable
- 3 Development Goals (SDGs). This section includes the supporting material for those classifications.
- 4 Table SM6.9 | Impacts on Nature's Contributions to People of integrated response options based on land management.

Integrate d response options based on land manage ment		Habitat creation and maintenance	Pollination and dispersal of seeds and other propagules	Regulation of air quality	Regulation of climate	Regulation of ocean acidification	Regulation of freshwater quantity, flow and timing	Regulation of freshwater and coastal water quality	Formation, protection and decontaminatio n of soils and sediments	Regulation of hazards and extreme events	Regulation of organisms detrimental to humans	Energy	Food and feed	Materi als and assista nce	Medicina l, biochemi cal and genetic resources	Learning and inspiration	Physical and psycholo gical experien ces	Supporting identities	Maintenance of options
	Increased food productivity	Higher productivity spares land (e.g., Balmford et al. 2018) especially if is done sustainably.	Likely may reduce native pollinators if reliant on increased chemical inputs (Potts et al. 2010) but not if through sustainable intensification 	N/A	N/A	Increased food productivity might be achieved through increased pesticide or fertiliser use, which causes in oceans (Beusen et al. 2016).	Food productivity increases could impact on water quality if increases in chemicals used, but evidence is mixed on sustainable intensification (Rockström et al. 2009; Mueller et al. 2012).	Food productivity increases could impact on water flow due to demand for irrigation (Rockström et al. 2009; Mueller et al. 2012).	Intensification through additional input of nitrogen fertiliser can result in negative impacts on climate, soil, water and air pollution (Tilman et al. 2002).	N/A	Increasing food production through agro- chemicals may increase pest resistance over time (Tilman et al. 2002).	N/A	Sustainable intensification has potential to close yield gaps (Tilman et al. 2011).	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Improved cropland management	Improved cropland management can contribute to diverse agroecosystems (Tschamtke et al. 2005) and promotes soil biodiversity (Oehl et al. 2017)	Better crop management can contribute to maintaining native pollinators (Gardiner et al. 2009).	N/A	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Cropland conversion has major impacts on water quantity (Scanlon et al. 2007). Cropland management practices such as conservation tillage improve downstream water quality (Fawcett et al. 1994).	Cropland conversion leads to poorer water quality due to runoff (Scanlon et al. 2007).	Improved cropland management has positive impacts on soils (see main text) (Kern and Johnson 1993)	N/A	Some forms of improved cropland management can decrease pathogens and pests (Tschamtke et al. 2016).	N.A	Conservation agriculture contributes to food productivity and reduces food insecurity (Rosegrant and Cline 2003; Dar and Laxmipathi Gowda 2013; Godfray and Garnett 2014)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Many cropping systems have cultural components (Tengberg et al. 2012)	N/A
Agricult ure	Improved grazing land management	Can contribute to improved habitat (Pons et al. 2003; Plantureux et al. 2005).	N/A	N/A	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Likely will improve water quality (Hibbert 1983)	Likely will improve water flow (Hibbert 1983)	Improved grassland management increases soil carbon and quality (Conant et al. 2001)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Improved grassland management could contribute to food security (O'Mara 2012)	Grassla nd manage ment can provide other materia ls (e.g., biofuel materia ls) (Prochn	N/A	N/A	N/A	Many pastoralists have close cultural connections to livestock (Ainslie 2013)	N/A

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

														ow et al. 2009)					
-	Improved livestock management	Can contribute to improved habitat if more efficient animals used, leading to less feed required (Strassburg et al. 2014)	N/A	N/A	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	N/A	Improved industrial livestock production can reduce water contamination (e.g., reduced effluents) (Hooda et al. 2000). Improved livestock management can contribute to better water quality such as through manure management (Herrero et al. 2013)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Improved livestock management can contribute to reduced food insecurity among smallholder pastoralists (Hooft et al. 2012).	Livesto ck product ion also produce s materia ls for use (leather , etc) (Hesse 2006)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Many pastoralists have close cultural connections to livestock (Ainslie 2013)	N/A
	Agroforestry	Agroforestry mimics natural diversity and can improve habitat (Jose 2009)	Even intensive agroforestry can be beneficial for pollinators (Klein et al. 2002).	Trees in the landscape can remove air pollutants (Sutton et al. 2007)	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Planting trees on farms can increase soil water infiltration capacity (Ilsted et al. 2007). Agroforestry can be used to increase ecosystem services benefits, such as water quantity and quality (Jose 2009)	N/A	Likely to improve soil (Rao et al. 1997)	Agroforestry can reduce vulnerability to hazards like wind and drought (Thorlakson and Neufeldt 2012)	Landscape diversity generally improves opportunities for biological pest control (Gardiner et al. 2009); reduces pests/pathogens on smallholder farms (Vignola et al. 2015)	Agroforest ry can be used to produce biomass for energy (Mbow et al. 2014b)	Agroforestry contributes to food productivity and reduces food insecurity (Mbow et al. 2014b)	Produc es timber, firewoo d and animal fodder (Mbow et al. 2014b)	Can provide medicinal and other resources (Rao et al. 2014)	N/A	N/A	Many cropping systems have cultural conjuncents (Rao et al. 2014)	Can contribute to maintaining diversity through native plantings (Rao et al. 2014)
	Agricultural diversification	Crop diversification improves resilience through enhanced diversity to mimic more natural systems and provide infield habitat for natural pest defences (Lin 2011)	Diversificatio n can enhance pollinator diversity (Altieri and Letourneau 1982; Sardiñas and Kremen 2015)	N/A	N/A	N.A	N/A	N/A	Diversification can introduce some crops that may have positive soil qualities (eg nitrogen fixation) and crop rotation with multiple crops can improve soil carbon (McDaniel et al. 2014)	N/A	Diverse agroecosystems tend to have less detrimental impacts from pests (Gardiner et al. 2009; Altieri and Letourneau 1982)	N/A	Diversification is associated with increased access to income and additional food sources for the farming household (Pretty et al. 2003; Ebert 2014)	Diversi fication could provide additio nal materia ls and farm benefits (Van Huylen broeck et al. 2007)	Some agricultur al diversific ation can produce medicinal plants (Chauhan 2010)	N/A	N/A	Many cropping systems have cultural components (Rao et al. 2014)	Can contribute to maintaining diversity through native plantings (Sardiñas and Kremen 2015)

Avoidance of conversion of grassland to cropland	Can preserve natural habitat (Peeters 2009)	N/A	N/A	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Will likely improve water quality (inferred from improved soil quality in (Saviozzi et al. 2001)	Will likely improve water flow (inferred from improved soil quality in (Saviozzi et al. 2001)	Will improve soil quality (Saviozzi et al. 2001)	N/A	Diverse agroecosystems tend to have less detrimental impacts from pests (Gardiner et al. 2009; Altieri and Letourneau 1982)	N/A	Reducing cropland conversion can reduce food production (West et al. 2010)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Retai nature ecosy prese genet diverse et al.
													IWM support					
									Change in				s favoura					
									water				ble					
									availability				forests					
									through				conditi					
	Ecosystem health and								improving co- managing				ons thereby					
	services can be								floods and				providi					
	enhanced by								groundwater				ng .					
	improving water								depletion at the river basin				wood and					
	management								such as				fodder					
	(Boelee et al.						T		Managed				and					
	2011). Securing						Improving regulation to		Aquifer Recharge			Increasing	other materia					
	ecosystem						prevent aquifer		(MAR),			demand for	ls					
	(Lloyd et al.						and surface		Underground			food, fibre and	(Locate					
	2013), integrated						water depletion, controlling over		Taming of Floods for			feed will put great strains on	lli et al. 2015b).					
	ecosystem-						water		Irrigation			land, water,	Howev					
	based						extraction,		(UTFI),			energy and	er,					
	management						improvement of		restore over- allocated or			other resources (WBCSD	conserv					
	into water resources						water management		brackish			2014). Water	restricti					
	planning and						and		aquifers,			conservation	ons on					
	management,						management of		groundwater			and balance in	the					
	linking ecosystem			IWM		Improving regulations for	landslides and natural hazards.		dependent ecosystems			the use of natural	storage and					
	services and			supports		water sharing,	Watering		protection,			resources	flow of					
	water security	Some		favourable		trading and	shifting sand		reducing			enforcement	water					
	(Bernex 2016), improving	integrated water	IWM practices	forests conditions,		pricing (ADB 2016), water-	dunes (sprinkler),		evaporation losses are			(water resources,	in watersh					
	correlation	management	exert strong	thereby		smart	water resources		significantly			water	eds					
	between	strategies	influence on	influencing		appliance,	conservation		contributed to			conservation	(Eisenb					1
	amount of water resources	generate synergies	ecosystem structure and	the storage and flow of		water-smart landscapes	(Nejad 2013; Pereira et al.		response climate			measures, water	ies et al.					
	and supply	between	function, with	water in		(Dawadi and	2002),	IWM provide co-	change and			allocations)	2007)					1
	ecosystem	multiple	potentially	watersheds		Ahmad 2013),	enhancing	benefits such as	reduced		IWM can	(Ward and	can					1
	services,	ecosystem	large	(Eisenbies et al. 2007)		common and	rainwater	healthier soils, more resilient	impacts of		support the	Pulido-	restrict the					
	combining water resources	services, such as pollination,	implications for regulating	al. 2007) which are		unconventiona 1 water sources	management, reducing	and productive	extreme weather event		production of biomass	Velazquez 2008). are	access					1
	management	yield and	air quality	important for		in use	recharge and	ecosystems	in		for energy	good options	to					
Intoquotod	and supply of	farm	(Xia et al. 2017:	regulating microclimates		(Rengasamy	increasing	(Grey and Sadoff	desertification		and	to response	resourc					
Integrated						2006) will	water use in	2007; Liu et al.	areas (Dillon		firewood	climate change	es (e.g.,	ı	•	1	1	1
water management	ecosystem services (Liu et	profitability (Hipólito et	Nordman et	(Pierzynski et		increase water	discharge areas	2017; Scott et al.	and Arshad		(Mbow et	and nature's	firewoo					

				l			l		-	Forest cover				1			1		
										can stabilise									
										land against									
										catastrophic									
										movements			The proximity				Forest		
		Forest								associated			of forest to				landscap		
		landscape								with wave			cropland				e		
		restoration								action and			constitutes a				restoratio		
		specifically								intense runoff			threat to				n		
		aims to regain								during storms			livelihoods in				specifical		
		ecological								and flood			terms of crop				ly aims		
		integrity and								events			raiding by wild				to		
		enhance human								(Locatelli et			animals and in				enhance		
		well-being in		Trees remove						al. 2015b).			constraints in				human		
		deforested or		air pollution						Reducing			availability of				well-		
		degraded forest		by the						harvesting			land for				being		
		landscape		interception		l				rates and		1	farming (Few		l		(Maginni		
		(Maginnis and		of particulate		l				prolonging		1	et al. 2017).		l		s and		
		Jackson 2007;		matter on		l				rotation		1	The	Forests	l		Jackson		
		Stanturf et al.		plant surfaces						periods may			competition	provide			2007:		
		2014). For		and the						induce an			for land	wood			Stanturf		
		example,		absorption of						increased			between	and			et al.		
		facilitating tree		gaseous			Forest cover			vulnerability			afforestation/re	fodder			2014).		
		species mixture		pollutants			can stabilise			of stands to			forestation and	and			Afforesta		
		means storing		through the			intense runoff			external			agricultural	other			tion/refor		
		at least as		leaf stomata.			during storms			disturbances			production is a	materia			estation		
		much carbon as		Computer			and flood			and			potentially	ls			and		
		monocultures		simulations			events	Forests tend to		catastrophic			large adverse	(Locate			avoided		
		while		with local			(Locatelli et al.	maintain water		events			side effect	lli et al.			deforesta		
		enhancing		environmenta			2015b).	quality by		(Yousefpour			(Boysen et al.	2015b).			tion		
		biodiversity		l data reveal				reducing runoff		et al. 2018).			2017a.b:	Howev			benefit		
							Mangroves can						Kreidenweis et				biodivers		
		(Hulvey et al.		that trees and			protect coastal	and trapping		Forest				er,					
		2013).		forests in the			zones from	sediments and		management			al. 2016; Smith	conserv			ity and		
		Selective		conterminous			extreme events	nutrients		strategies may			et al. 2013).	ation			species		
		logging		USA removed		l	(hurricanes) or	(Medugu et al.		decrease		1	An increase in	restricti	l		richness,		
		techniques are		17.4 million		l	sea level rise.	2010; Salvati et	_	stand-level		1	global forest	ons to	l		and		
		mid- way		tonnes (t) of		l	However,	al. 2014).	Forests	structural	l _	Sustainabl	area can lead	preserv	l		generally		
		between		air pollution			forests can	Precipitation	counteract wind-	complexity	Forests can	e forest	to increases in	e			improve		
		deforestation		in 2010		l	also have	filtered through	driven	and may	contribute to	manageme	food prices	ecosyst	l		the		
		and total		(range: 9.0-			adverse side	forested	degradation of	make forest	weed and pest	nt (SFM)	through	em			cultural		
		protection,		23.2 million		l	effects for	catchments	soils, and	ecosystems	control and	may	increasing land	integrit	l		and		
		allowing to		t), with			reduction of	delivers	contribute to soil	more	landscape	increase	competition	y can			recreatio		
		retain		human health		l	water yield	purified ground	erosion	susceptive to	diversity	availability	(Calvin et al.	restrict	l		nal value	Many forest	
		substantial		effects valued		l	and water	and surface	protection and	natural	generally	of biomass	2014;	the	l	Natural	of	landscapes	Retaining
		levels of	Likely	at 6.8 billion		Mitigation	availability for	water (co-	soil fertility	disasters like	improves	for energy	Kreidenweis et	access	l	ecosystems	ecosyste	have cultural	natural
		biodiversity,	contributes to	USD (range:		potential	human	benefits)	enhancement for	wind throws,	opportunities	(Sikkema	al. 2016;	to	Can	often	ms (co-	ecosystems	ecosystems can
	Forest	carbon, and	native	1.5-13.0		(see main	consumption	(Calder 2005;	agricultural	fires, and	for biological	et al.	Reilly et al.	resourc	provide	inspire	benefits)	services	preserve
	management	timber stocks	pollinators	billion USD)	See main text	text) will	(Bryan and	Ellison et al.	resilience	diseases	pest control	2014b;	2012; Smith et	es (e.g.,	medicinal	learning	(Knoke	components	genetic
	and forest	(Putz et al.	(Kremen et al.	(Nowak et al.	for mitigation	reduce ocean	Crossman	2017; Neary et	(Locatelli et al.	(Seidl et al.	(Gardiner et al.	Kraxner et	al. 2013; Wise	firewoo	and other	(Turtle et	et al.	(Plieninger et	diversity (Ekins
Forests	restoration	2012)	2012, 2007)	2014)	potentials	acidification.	2013).	al. 2009)	2015b).	2014).	2009)	al. 2013)	et al. 2009)	d).	resources.	al. 2015)	2014)	al. 2015)	et al. 2003)
		1	l	1				·	·	1	·	1	· ·		l				

IPCC SRCCL

d a	Reduced leforestation ind forest legradation	Reduced deforestation can enhance connectivity between forest areas and conserve biodiversity hotspots (Ellison et al. 2017; Locatelli et al. 2011, 2015b)	Likely contributes to native pollinators (Kremen et al. 2012)	Trees can improve air pollution problems (Nowak et al. 2014)	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Forests tend to maintain water quality by reducing runoff and trapping sediments and nutrients (Medugu et al. 2010; Salvatie et al. 2014)	Due to evapotranspirati on, trees recharge atmospheric moisture, contributing to rainfall locally and in distant location, and trees' microbial flora and biogenic volatile organic compounds can directly promote rainfall (Arneth et al. 2010). Trees enhance soil infiltration and, under suitable conditions, improve groundwater recharge (Calder 2005; Ellison et al. 2017; Neary et al. 2009).	Forests counteract wind- driven degradation of soils, and contribute to soil erosion protection and soil fertility enhancement for agricultural resilience (Locatelli et al. 2015b)	Forest cover can stabilise land against catastrophic movements associated with wave action and intense runoff during storms and flood events (Locatelli et al. 2015b)	Landscape diversity generally improves opportunities for biological pest control (Gardiner et al. 2009)	Reduced deforestati on may increase availability of some wood for energy and industry	The proximity of forest to cropland constitutes a threat to livelihoods in terms of crop raiding by wild animals (Few et al. 2017). The competition for land between afforestation/re forestation and agricultural production is a potentially large adverse side effects (Boysen et al. 2017a,b; Kreidenweis et al. 2016; Smith et al. 2013; Wreidenweis et al. 2014; Kreidenweis et al. 2014; Kreidenweis et al. 2015; Smith et al. 2015; Smith et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2013; Wise et al. 2009)	Could increas e availabi lity of biomas s (Grisco m et al. 2017)	Reduced deforestat ion can protect forest medicinal plants (Arnold and Pérez 2001)	Natural ecosystems often inspire learning (Turtle et al. 2015)	Forest ecosyste ms often support recreati onal opportu ities (Liddle 1997)	Many forest landscaptes have cultural ecosystems services components (Plieninger et al. 2015)	Retaining natural ecosystems can preserve genetic diversity (Ekins et al. 2003)
R	Reforestation	Forest landscape restoration specifically aims to regain ecological integrity and enhance human well-being in deforested or degraded forest landscape (Maginnis and Jackson 2007; Stanturf et al. 2014). Adverse side effects potentially associated to forests include establishment of non-native species, especially with the risks related to the spread of exotic fast-growing tree species (Brundu and Richardson	Likely contributes to native pollinators if native forest species used (Kremen et al. 2007)	Trees can improve air pollution problems (Nowak et al. 2014)	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Forests tend to maintain water quality by reducing runoff and trapping sediments and nutrients (Medugu et al. 2010; Salvati et al. 2014).	Particular activities associated with forest landscape restoration, such as mixed planting, assisted natural regeneration, and reducing impact of disturbances (e.g., prescribed burning) have positive implications for fresh water supply (Ciccarese et al. 2012; Suding et al. 2015).	Forests contribute to soil erosion protection and soil fertility enhancement (Locatelli et al. 2015b)	Forest cover can stabilise land against catastrophic movements associated with wave action and intense runoff duranse runoff (Locatelli et al. 2015b). Some forest ecosystems can be susceptive to natural disasters like wind throws, fires, and diseases (Seidl et al. 2014)	N/A	Reforestati on can increase availability of biomass for energy (Swisher 1994)	The proximity of forest to cropland constitutes a threat to livelihoods in terms of crop raiding by wild animals and in constraints in availability of land for farming (Few et al. 2017). The competition for land between afforestation/re forestation and agricultural production is a potentially large adverse side effect (Boysen et al. 2017a,b; Kreidenweis et al. 2015; Smith et al. 2013). An increase in global forest area can lead	Forests provide wood and fodder and other materia is (Locate lii et al. 2015b). However, conservation is to preserve ecosystem integrit y can restrict the access to resource se (e.g., firewood).	Source of medicines (UNEP 2016)	Natural ecosystems often inspire learning (Turtle et al. 2015)	Afforesta tion/refor estation can increase areas available for recreatio n and tourism opportun ities (Knoke et al. 2014)	Many forest landscapes have cultural ecosystems services components (Plieninger et al. 2015)	

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

6-26

		2016; Ellison et											to increases in						
		al. 2017).				1						1	food prices						I
													through						
													increasing land						
													competition						
													(Calvin et al.						
													2014;						
													Kreidenweis et						
													al. 2016;						
													Reilly et al.						
													2012; Smith et						
													al. 2013; Wise						
													et al. 2009)						
								Afforestation											
								using some											
								exotic species											
								can upset the											
								balance of											
								evapotranspirati					Potential I						
								on regimes,					Future needs						1
								with negative					for food						1
								impacts on water				1	production are a constraint for						1
		Forest				1		availability,				1	large-scale						I
		landscape						particularly in				1	afforestation						1
		restoration				1		arid regions	Afforestation and			1	plans						I
		specifically						(Ellison et al.	reforestation				(Locatelli et al.						
		aims to regain						2017; Locatelli	options are				2015b). Global						
		ecological						et al. 2015b;	frequently used				food crop						
		integrity and						Trabucco et al.	to counteract				demand is						
		enhance human						2008).	land degradation				expected by						
		well-being in						Afforestation in	problems				50%-97%						
		deforested or						arid and semi-	(Yirdaw et al.				between 2005						
		degraded forest						arid regions	2017), whereas				and 2050						
		landscape						using species	when they are				(Valin et al.						
		(Maginnis and						that have	established on				2014). Future						
		Jackson 2007;							degraded lands				carbon prices						
		Stanturf et al.						evapotranspirati on rates	they are				will facilitate						
		2014). In the						exceeding the	instrumental to				deployment of						
		case of						regional	preserve natural				afforestation						
		afforestation,						precipitation	forests (co-				projects at						
		simply					Depends on	may aggravate	benefit)				expenses of						
		changing the					where	the groundwater	(Buongiorno and				food						
		use of land to					reforesting	decline	Zhu 2014).				availability						
		planted forests					occurs, and	(Locatelli et al.	Afforestation				(adverse side						
		is not sufficient				1	with what	2015b; Lu et al.	runs the risk of			1	effect), but						I
		to increase					species (Scott	2016). Changes	decreasing soil	Some			more						1
		abundance of				1	et al. 2005).	in runoff affect	nutrients,	afforestation		1	liberalised						I
		indigenous					Trees enhance	water supply	especially in	may make		1	trade in						1
		species, as they				1	soil infiltration	but can also	intensively	forest		1	agricultural				Green		I
		depend on type				1	and, under	contribute to	managed	ecosystems		Afforestati	commodities	Could			spaces		I
		of vegetation,					suitable	changes in	plantations; in	more		on may	could buffer	increas			support	Afforestation/	1
		scale of the					conditions,	flood risks, and	one study,	susceptive to		increase	food price	e			psycholo	reforestation	1
		land transition,				1	improve	irrigation of	afforestation sites	natural		availability	increases	availabi			gical	can increase	I
		and time				Mitigation	groundwater	forest	had lower soil	disasters like		of biomass	following	lity of			well-	areas available	1
		required for a				potential	recharge	plantations can	phosphorus (P)	wind throws,		for energy	afforestation in	biomas			being	for recreation	1
		population to				(see main	(Calder 2005;	increase water	and nitrogen (N)	fires, and		use	tropical	S			(Coldwel	and tourism	I
		establish			See main text	text) will	Ellison et al.	consumption	content	diseases		(Oberstein	regions	(Grisco			l and	opportunities	I
		(Barry et al.			for mitigation	reduce ocean	2017; Neary et	(Sterling et al.	(Berthrong et al.	(Seidl et al.		er et al.	(Kreidenweis	m et al.			Evans	(Knoke et al.	I
	Afforestation	2014).	N/a	N/A	potentials	acidification.	al. 2009)	2013)	2009)	2014)	N/A	2006)	et al. 2016).	2017)	N/A	N/A	2018)	2014)	N/A
								,	,	,		,		,	_	-	,	' /	_
						Rivers		Soil organic					Lal (2006)	In	In terms				
		Improving soil				transport	Soil organic	matter is known				1	notes that	terms	of raw				I
		carbon can				dissolved	matter (SOM)	to increase			Increased SOM	1	'Food-grain	of raw	materials,				I
		increase overall				organic	is known to	water filtration	Increasing SOM		decreases		production in	materia	numerous				1
		resilience of				matter to	increase water	and protects	contributes to		pathogens in		developing	ls,	products				1
]	Increased soil	landscapes			See main text	oceans	filtration and	water quality	healthy soils		soil (Lehmann	1	countries can	numero	(e.g.,				I
	organic carbon	(Tscharntke et			for mitigation	(Hedges et	can regulate	(Lehmann and	(Lehmann and		and Kleber		be increased	us	pharmace				1
Soils	content	al. 2005)	N/A	N/A	potentials	al. 1997),	downstream	Kleber 2015)	Kleber 2015)	N/A	2015)	N/A	by 24-39	product	uticals,	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Soils			N/A	N/A	potentials	al. 1997), but unclear	downstream flows	Kleber 2015)	Kleber 2015)	N/A	2015)	N/A	by 24-39 (32+-11)	product s (e.g.,	uticals, clay for	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

6-27

			Particulate matter pollution, a main consequence of wind erosion,		decrease this and by how much.							improving soil quality by increasing the SOC pool and reversing degradation processes.'	s, clay for bricks and ceramic s, silicon from sand used in electron ics, and other mineral s; (Sindel ar 2015) are provide d by soils.	ceramics, silicon from sand used in electronic s, and other minerals; (Sindelar 2015) are provided by soils.				
Reduced soil erosion	Managing soil erosion decreases need for expanded cropland into habitats (Pimentel et al. 1995)	N/A	imposes severe adverse impacts on materials, structures and climate which directly affect the sustainability of urban cities (Al-Thani et al. 2018)	N/A	N//A	Managing soil erosion improves water quality (Pimentel et al. 1995)	Managing soil erosion improves water flow (Pimentel et al. 1995)	Will improve soil quality (Keesstra et al. 2016)	Reducing soil erosion reduces vulnerability to hazards like wind storms in dryland areas and landslides in mountainous areas (El- Swaify 1997)	N/A	N/A	erosion can lead to increased food production on croplands; however, other forms of management (revegetation, zero tillage) might reduce land available for food.	N/A	N/A	N/A/	N/A	N/A	N/A
Reduced soil salinisation	Salinisation decreases soil microbial diversity (Nie et al. 2009)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Management of soil salinity improves water quality (Kotb et al. 2000; Zalidis et al. 2002; Soane and Van Ouwerkerk 1995)	Will improve soil quality (Keesstra et al. 2016)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reversing degradation contributes to food productivity and reduces food insecurity (Shiferaw and Holden 1999; Pimentel et al. 1995)	N/A	N/A	Ñ/A/	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Reduced soil compaction	Preventing compaction can reduce need to expand croplands (Lal 2001)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Compaction can increase water runoff (Soane and Van Ouwerkerk 1995). Management of soil compaction improves water quality and quantity (Soane and Van Ouwerkerk 1995; Zalidis et al. 2002)	Management of soil compaction improves water quality and quantity (Soane and Van Ouwerkerk 1995; Zalidis et al. 2002)	Will improve soil quality (Keesstra et al. 2016)	Compaction in soils increases rates of runoff and can contribute to floods (Hümann et al. 2011)	N/A	N/A	Compactions reduces agricultural productivity and thus contributes to food insecurity (Nawaz et al. 2013)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Biochar addition to soil	N/A	N/A	N/A	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Biochar improves soil water filtration and retention (Spokas et al. 2012; Beck et al. 2011)	Biochar improves soil water filtration and retention (Spokas et al. 2012; Beck et al. 2011)	Can improve soil quality (Sohi 2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Contributes to increased food production (Smith 2016) (Jeffery et al. 2017)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Fire management	Proactive fire management can improve natural habitat (Burrows 2008)	Reducing fire risk can improve habitat for pollinators (Brown et al. 2017)	Fire management improves air quality particularly in the periurban interface (Bowman and Johnston 2005)	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Fires affect water quality and flow due to erosion exposure (Townsend and Douglas 2000)	Fires affect water quality and flow due to erosion exposure (Townsend and Douglas 2000)	Fire cause damage to soils, therefore fire management can improve them (Certini 2005)	Will reduce risk of wildfires as a hazard (McCaffrey 2004)	Landscape diversity generally improves opportunities for biological pest control (Gardiner et al. 2009)	Will increase availability of biomass, as fuel removal is a key manageme nt strategy (Becker et al. 2009)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reduced wildlife risk will increase recreatio n opportun ities in landscap es (Venn and Calkin 2011)	N/A	Retaining natural ecosystems can preserve genetic diversity (Ekins et al. 2003)
	Reduced landslides and natural hazards	Can preserve natural habitat (Dolidon et al. 2009)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Likely will improve water quality (Dolidon et al. 2009)	Likely will improve water flow (Dolidon et al. 2009)	Will improve soil quality (Keesstra et al. 2016)	Will reduce risk of disasters (Dolidon et al. 2009; Kousky 2010)	N/A	N/A	Landslides are one of the natural disasters that have impacts on food security (De Haen and Hemrich 2007)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other ecosyste ms	Reduced pollution including acidification	Air pollution like acid rain has major impacts on habitats like lakes (Schindler et al. 1989)	Pollution interferes with scents, which impact pollinators ability to detect resources (McFrederick et al. 2008)	Will improve air quality with public health benefits (Nemet et al. 2010)	See main text for mitigation potentials	N/A	N/A	Pollution increases acidity of surface water, with likely ecological effects (Larssen et al. 1999)	Soil acidification due to air pollution in a serious problem in many countries (Hou et al. 2013)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Managemer invasive spe / encroachm	es and Wilgen	Invasive species can disrupt native plant- pollinator relations (Ghazoul 2004)	N/A	N.A	N/A	Many invasives can reduce water flow (Richardson and Wilgen 2004)	Invasive species can reduce water quality (Burnett et al. 2007: Chamier et al. 2012)	Likely to improve soil as invasive species generally have negative effects (Ehrenfeld and Scott 2001)	N/A	Many IAS are harmful pests (Charles and Dukes 2008)	N/A	IAS can compete with crops and reduce crop yields by billions of dollars annually (Pejchar and Mooney 2009)	Many invasiv es are importa nt supplier s of materia ls (Pejcha r and Moone y 2009)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reducing invasives can increase biological diversity of native organisms (Simberloff 2005)
Restoration and avoided conversion o coastal wetlands	Will preserve natural habitat (Griscom et al. 2017)	Will promote natural pollinators (Seddon et al. 2016)	N/A	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	The creation or restoration of wetlands, tidal marshes, or mangroves provide water retention and protect coastal cities from storm surge flooding and shoreline erosion during storms. Wetlands store freshwater and enhance water quality (Bobbink et al. 2006)	Wetlands store freshwater and enhance water quality (Bobbink et al. 2006)	Will improve soil quality (Griscom et al. 2017)	The creation or restoration of wetlands, tidal marshes, or mangroves provide water retention and protect coastal cities from storm surge flooding and shoreline erosion during storms (Gittman et al. 2014; Haddad et al. 2016; Kaplan and Hepcan 2009)	Landscape diversity generally improves opportunities for biological pest control (Gardiner et al. 2009)	N/A	Mixed evidence: can affect agriculture/fish eries production when competition for land occurs, or could increase food production when ecosystems are resolved (Crooks et al. 2011)	Could increas e availabi lity of biomas s (Grisco m et al. 2017)	Wetlands can be sources of medicines (UNEP 2016)	Natural ecosystems often inspire learning (Turtle et al. 2015)	Natural environm ents support psycholo gical well- being (Coldwel l and Evans 2018)	Natural environments support psychological well-being (Coldwell and Evans 2018)	Retaining natural ecosystems can preserve genetic diversity (Ekins et al. 2003)
Restoration and avoided conversion of peatlands	Will preserve natural habitat (Griscom et al. 2017)	Could promote natural pollinators (Seddon et al. 2016)	N/A	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Peatland restoration will improve water quality as the play important roles in water retention and drainage (Johnston 1991)	Peatland restoration will improve water quality as they play important roles in water retention and drainage (Johnston 1991)	Will improve soil quality (Griscom et al. 2017)	N/A	Landscape diversity generally improves opportunities for biological pest control (Gardiner et al. 2009)	Will reduce supply of any biomass or energy sourced from peatlands (Pin Koh 2007)	May reduce land available for smallholders in tropical peatlands (Jewitt et al. 2014)	Will reduce supply of some materia ls sourced from peatlan ds (e.g palm oil, timber) (Murdi yarso et al. 2010)	Natural ecosyste ms are often source of medicines (UNEP 2016)	Natural ecosystems often inspire learning (Turtle et al. 2015)	Natural environm ents support psycholo gical well- being (Coldwel I and Evans 2018)	Natural environments support psychological well-being (Coldwell and Evans 2018)	Retaining natural ecosystems can preserve genetic diversity (Ekins et al. 2003)

			Reduced or														
			absent														1
			populations of														i l
			seed-														i l
			dispersing														i
			animals result														i
			in poor to no														i
			dispersal,														i l
			especially of														i
			large-seeded														i
			trees that														i
			depend on														i l
			large animals														i
			such as														i
			elephants														i
			(Anzures-														i
			Dadda et al.														i
			2011; Brodie														i
			and Aslan														i
			2012; Beaune														i
			et al. 2013;														i
			Brockerhoff		1			Management of			1			ĺ			1
			et al. 2017).		1			wild animals and			1			ĺ			1
			Animal		1			protected habitats			1			ĺ			1
			pollination,					can influence soil									i
			which is					conditions via									i
			fundamental					changes in fire									i
			to the					frequency (as									i
			reproduction					grazers lower									i
			and					grass and									i
			persistence of					vegetation									i
			most					densities as									i
			flowering					potential fuels)									i
			plants, is an					and nutrient									i
			important					cycling and									i
			ecosystem					transport (by								indigeno	i
			service					adding nutrients								us	i
			(Millennium					to soils).								peoples	i
			Ecosystem					Conserving and								commonl	i
			Assessment					restoring								y link	i
			(MA) 2005)					megafauna in								forest	i
			As					northern regions								landscap	i
			biodiversity					also prevents								es and	i
1			contributes to	1				thawing of				1	1	I		biodivers	1
1			various	1				permafrost.				1	1	I		ity to	1
			ecosystem					Management of						1		tribal	1 1
			processes,		1			wild animals can						1		identities	1
1			functions and	1				influence land				1	1	I		,	1
			services, the		1			degradation			1			ĺ		associati	1
		Biodiversity	declining		1			processes by			1			ĺ		on with	1
1		conservation	diversity and		1			grazing,						1		place,	1
1		includes	abundance of	1				trampling and	l			1	1	I		kinship	1
		measures	pollinators			Many actions	Many actions	compacting soil	Management					1		ties,	1 1
		aiming to	(mainly			taken to	taken to	surfaces, thereby	of wild					1		customs	1 1
1		promote	insects and	T		increase	increase	altering surface	animals can			D 1	1	I		and	1
1		species	birds) has	Trees in the	1	biodiversity	biodiversity (eg	temperatures and	influence fire		1	Regulation of		ĺ		protocols	1 1
		richness and	raised	landscape	1	(eg protected	protected areas)	chemical	frequency as		1	wild animals		ĺ	N 1	, stories,	B. e. inim
1		natural	concerns	ensured by		areas) can also	can also have	reactions	grazers lower			affects food for		1	Natural	and	Retaining
1		habitats, and to	about the	protected		have incidental	incidental	affecting	grass and			hunting and	1	I	ecosystems	songs	natural
1		mantain them	effects on	areas can	1	effects of	effects of	sediment and	vegetation		1	availability of		S	often	(Gould et	ecosystems can
		through	both wild and	remove air		improving	improving	carbon retention.	densities as			potential feed		Source of	inspire	al. 2014);	preserve
	Dio dino mitro	protected areas	crop plants	pollutants	See main text	water quantity	water quality	(Cromsigt et al.	potential fuels (Schmitz et		1	for livestock		medicines (UNEP	learning	(Lyver et	genetic
1	Biodiversity conservation	(Cromsigt et al. 2018)	(Potts et al. 2010)	(Sutton et al. 2007)	for mitigation potentials	(Egoh et al. 2009)	(Egoh et al. 2009)	2018; Schmitz et al. 2018)	(Schmitz et al. 2014)			(Cromsigt et al. 2018)	1	(UNEP 2016)	(Turtle et al. 2015)	al. 2017b,a)	diversity (Ekins et al. 2003)
1	conservation	2010)	2010)	2007)	potentiais	2009)	2009)	ai. 2016)	ai. 2014)		1	ai. 2016)		2010)	at. 2013)	20170,a)	ct al. 2005)
	l	1	1	l					1	1	1		l	1		1	

	Enhanced weathering of minerals	N/A	N/A	N/A	See main text for mitigation potentials	Addition of basic minerals counteracts ocean acidification (Taylor et al. 2016).	N/A	May have negative effects on water quality (Atekwana et al. 2005)	Could improve soil quality (Rau and Caldeira 1999; Kantola et al. 2017)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Can contribute to increase food production by replenishing plant available silicon, potassium and other plant nutrients (Beerling et al. 2018)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/a	N/A
Carbon dioxide removal	Bioenergy and BECCS	Likely will reduce natural habitat with negative effects on biodiversity (Hof et al. 2018)	Would reduce natural pollinators due to decreased natural habitat if in competition (Keitt 2009)	The use of BECCS could reduce air pollution (IPCC 2018)	See main text for mitigation potentials	Mitigation potential (see main text) will reduce ocean acidification.	Will likely require water for plantations of fast growing trees and models show high risk of water scarcity if BECCS is deployed on widespread scale (Smith et al. 2016a; Hejazi et al. 2014a; Popp et al. 2011a) through both increases in water withdrawals (Hejazi et al. 2014; Bonsch et al. 2015) and changes in surface runoff (Cibin et al. 2016)	affect freshwater quality via changes in nitrogen runoff from fertiliser application. However, the sign of the effect depends on what would have happened absent any bioenergy production, with some studies indicating improvements in water quality (Ng et al. 2010) and others showing declines (Sinha et al. 2019)	Will likely decrease soil quality if exotic fast growing trees used (Stoy et al. 2018)	N/A	N/A	BECCS and biofuels can contribute up to 300 EJ of primary energy by 2100 (Clarke et al. 2014)	BECCS will likely lead to significant trade-offs with food production (Smith et al. 2016a; Popp et al. 2017; Fujimori et al. 2019)	N/A	N/A	N/A	BECCS would drive land-use conversi on and reduce opportun ities for recreatio n/tourism	BECCS would drive land-use conversion and reduce culturally significant landscapes.	BECCS would drive land-use conversion and reduce genetic diversity.

Table SM6.10 | Impacts on Nature's Contributions to People of integrated response options based on value chain management.

Integrated resp based on value management		Habitat creation and maintenance	Pollination and dispersal of seeds and other propagules	Regulation of air quality	Regulation of climate	Regulation of ocean acidification	Regulation of freshwater quantity, flow and timing	Regulation of freshwater and coastal water quality	Formation, protection and decontamination of soils and sediments	Regulation of hazards and extreme events	Regulation of organisms detrimental to humans	Energy	Food and feed	Materials and assistance	Medicinal, biochemical and genetic resources	Learning and inspiration	Physical and psychological experiences	Supporting identities	Maintenance of options
Demand management	Dietary change	Will lead to reduced expansion of ag lands, which can increase natural habitat (Tilman et al. 2001)	N/A	N/A	See main text on climate mitigation impacts	N/A	Will reduce water consumption if less water- intensive food/livestock needs to be produced (Tilman et al. 2001)	Reduced meat consumption will improve water quality (Stoll- Kleemann and O'Riordan 2015)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Will help increase global food supplies (Kastner et al. 2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Reduced post-harvest losses	Will lead to reduced expansion of ag lands, which can increase natural habitat (Tilman et al. 2001)	N/A	N/A	See main text on climate mitigation impacts	N/A	Will reduce water consumption if less water- intensive food/livestock needs to be produced (Tilman et al. 2001)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reducing postharvest losses will include measures to deal with pests, some of which could be biological (Wilson and Pusey 1985)	N/A	Will help increase global food supplies (Kastner et al. 2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Reduced food waste (consumer or retailer)	Improved storage and distribution reduces food waste and the need for compensatory intensification of agricultural areas thereby creating co- benefits for reduced land degradation (Stathers et al. 2013)			See main text on climate mitigation impacts		Will reduce water consumption if less water- intensive food/livestock needs to be produced (Tilman et al. 2001)	Reduced food production will reduce N fertiliser use, improving water quality (Kibler et al. 2018)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Will help increase global food supplies (Kastner et al. 2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Material substitution	Material substitution increases demand for wood, which can lead to loss of habitat (Sathre and Gustavsson 2006)			See main text on climate mitigation impacts	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Material substitution supplies building materials to replace concrete and other nonrewewables (Gustavsson and Sathre 2011)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Sustainable sourcing	Forest certification and other sustainable sourcing schemes can reduce habitat fragmentation as compared to conventional supply chains (Brown et al. 2001; Rueda et al. 2015)	N/A	Forest certification improved air quality in Indonesia by 5% due to reduced incidence of fire (Miteva et al. 2015)	N/A	N/A	Forest certification has led to improved water flow due to decreased road construction for logging (Miteva et al. 2015)	Forest certification has improved riparian waterways and reduced chemical inputs in some schemes (Rueda et al. 2015)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Sustainable sourcing can supply energy like biomass (Sikkema et al. 2014a)	Sustainable sourcing can supply food and other goods (Smith 2008a)	Sustainable sourcing is increasingly important in timber imports (Irland 2008)	Sustainable sourcing can supply medicinals (Pierce and Laird 2003)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Supply management	Management of supply chains	N/A	N/A	Better management of supply chains may reduce energy use and air pollution in transport	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Improved supply chains will help increase global food supplies (Hamprecht et al. 2005)	Improved supply chains will help increase material supplies due to efficiency gains (Burritt and	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

6-33

			(Zhu et al. 2018)										Schaltegger 2014)					
Enhanced urban food systems	Urban gardening can improve habitat and biodiversity in cities (Orsini et al. 2014; Lin et al. 2015)	Urban beekeeping has been important in keeping pollinators alive (Gunnarsson and Federsel 2014)	Urban agriculture can increase vegetation cover and improve air quality in urban areas (Cameron et al. 2012; Lin et al. 2015)	See main text on climate mitigation impacts	N/A	Water access often a constraint on urban agriculture and can increase demands (de Bon et al. 2010; Badami and Ramankutty 2015)	Urban agriculture can exacerbate urban water pollution problems (pesticide runoff, etc) (Pothukuchi and Kaufman 1999)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Local urban food production is often more accessible to local populations and can increase food security (Eigenbrod and Gruda 2015)	N/A	N/A	Urban agriculture can be used for teaching and learning (Travaline and Hunold 2010)	N/A	Urban agriculture can promote cultural identities (Baker 2010)	Urban food can contribute to preserving local genetic diversity
Improved food processing and retail	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Improved energy use in food systems	N/A	N/A	N/A	See main text on climate mitigation impacts	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

2 Table SM6.11 | Impacts on Nature's Contributions to People of integrated response options based on risk management.

respo based	rated nse options l on risk gement	Habitat creation and maintenance	Pollination and dispersal of seeds and other propagules	Regulation of air quality	Regulation of climate	Regulation of ocean acidification	Regulation of freshwater quantity, flow and timing	Regulation of freshwater and coastal water quality	Formation, protection and decontamination of soils and sediments	Regulation of hazards and extreme events	Regulation of organisms detrimental to humans	Energy	Food and feed	Materials and assistance	Medicinal, biochemical and genetic resources	Learning and inspiration	Physical and psychological experiences	Supporting identities	Maintenance of options
	Management of urban sprawl	Reducing urban sprawl can help preserve natural habitat in periurban areas (Pataki et al. 2011)	Reducing urban sprawl will help reduce loss of natural pollinators from habitat conversion (Cane 2005)	Urban sprawl is a major contributor to air pollution (Frumkin 2002)	See main text on climate mitigation impacts		Managing urban sprawl can increase water availability (Pataki et al. 2011)	Urban sprawl is associated with higher levels of water pollution due to loss of filtering vegetation and increasing impervious surfaces (Romero and Ordenes 2004; Tu et al. 2007; Pataki et al. 2011)	Likely to be beneficial for soils as soil sealing is major problem in urban areas (Scalenghe and Marsan 2009)	N/A	N/A		Urban sprawl often competes with land for food production and can reduce overall yields (Chen 2007; Barbero-Sierra et al. 2013)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Livelihood diversification	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Diversification is associated with increased access to income and additional food sources for the household (Pretty et al. 2003)	Diversification can increase access to materials (Smith et al. 2017)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Use of local seeds	Use of commercial seeds can contribute to habitat loss (Upreti and Upreti 2002)	Use of open pollinated seeds is beneficial for pollinators and creates political will to conserve them (Helicke 2015)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Local seeds often have lower water demands, as well as less use of pesticides that can contaminate water (Adhikari 2014)	Likely to contribute to less pollution as local seeds are usually grown organically (Adhikari 2014)	Likely to contribute to better soils as local seeds are usually grown organically (Adhikari 2014)	N/A	Local seeds often need less pesticides thereby reducing pest resistance (Adhikari 2014)	N/A	Local seeds can lead to more diverse and healthy food in areas with strong food sovereignty networks (Coomes et al. 2015; Bisht et al. 2018). However local seeds often are less productive than improved varieties.		Many local seeds can have multiple functions, including medicinals (Hammer and Teklu 2008)	Passing on seed information is important cultural learning process (Coomes et al. 2015)		Seeds associated with specific cultural identities for many (Coomes et al. 2015)	Food sovereignty movements have promoted saving of genetic diversity of crops through on-farm maintenance (Kakson 2009)
Disaster risk management	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Disaster risk management (DRM) helps people avoid extreme events and adapt to climate change (Mechler et al. 2014)	N/A	N/A	Famine early warning systems have been successful in Sahelian Africa to alert authorities to impending food shortages so that food acquisition and transportation from outside the region can begin, potentially helping millions of people (Genesio et al. 2011; Hillbruner and Moloney 2012)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Commercial																
	crop																
	insurance																
	often																
	encourages																
	habitat																
	conversion;																
	Wright and																
	Wimberly																
	(2013) found	Crop															
	a 531,000 ha	insurance is						One study found				Crop					
	decline in	likely to						a 1% increase in				insurance has					
	grasslands in	impact						farm receipts		Crop insurance		generally lead					
	the Upper	natural						generated from		increases		to (modest)					
	Midwest of	pollinators						subsidised farm		nitrogen use and		expansions in	Insurance				Insurance
	the USA	due to						programmes		leads to treating		cultivated land	encourages				encourages
	2006-2010	incentives					Likely to have	(including crop		more acreage		area and	monocropping				monocropping
	due to crop	for					negative effect as	insurance and		with both		increased food	leading to loss				leading to loss
	conversion	production					crop insurance	others) increased		herbicides and		production	of genetic				of genetic
	driven by	(Horowitz					encourages more	soil erosion by		insecticides		(Claassen et	diversity for				diversity for
Risk sharing	higher prices	and					pesticide use (Horowitz and	0.135 tons per		(Horowitz and		al. 2011a; Goodwin et al.	future (Glauber				future (Glauber
instruments	and access to insurance.	Lichtenberg 1993)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Lichtenberg 1993)	acre (Goodwin and Smith 2003)	N/A	Lichtenberg 1993)	N/A	2004)	(Glauber 2004)	N/A	N/A	N/A	(Glauber 2004)
instruments	msurance.	1993)	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	Lichtenberg 1993)	and Smith 2003)	IN/A	1993)	IN/A	2004)	2004)	IN/A	IN/A	IV/A	2004)

2

3

Table SM6.12 | Impacts on the UN SDG of integrated response options based on land management.

Integr ated respon se options based on land manag ement		GOAL 1: No Poverty	GOAL 2: Zero Hunger	GOAL 3: Good Health and Well-being	GOAL 4: Quality Education	GOAL 5: Gender Equality	GOAL 6: Clean Water and Sanitatio	GOAL 7: Affordable and Clean Energy	GOAL 8: Decent Work and Economi c Growth	GOAL 9: Industry, Innovatio n and Infrastru cture	GOAL 10: Reduced Inequality	GOAL 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities	GOAL 12: Respon sible Consu mption and Product ion	GOAL 13: Climate Action	GOAL 14: Life Below Water	GOAL 15: Life on Land	GOAL 16: Peace and Justice Strong Institutio ns	GOAL 17: Partnerships to achieve the Goal
	Increased food productivity	Increasing farm yields for smallholders contributes to poverty reduction (Pretty et al. 2003; Izr et al. 2001)	Increasing farm yields for smallholders reduces food insecurity (Petty et al. 2003; Izz et al. 2001)	Increased food productivit y leads to better health status (Rosegrant and Cline 2003; Dar and Laxmipathi Gowda 2013)	N/A	Increased productivity can benefit female up 50% of agricultural labor in sub-Saharan Africa (Ross et al. 2015)	Food productivi ty increases could impact water quality if increases in chemicals used, but evidence is mixed on sustainabl e intensific ation (Rockströ m et al. 2009;	N/A	Increased agricultur al productio n generally (Lal 2006) contribute s to increased economic growth.	N/A	Increased agricultura 1 production can contribute to reducing inequality among smallholde rs (Datt and Ravallion 1998)	Increased food production can increase urban food security (Ellis and Sumberg 1998)	N/A	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	Increased food productivity might be achieved through increased pesticide or fertiliser use, which causes runoff and dead zones in oceans (Beusen et al. 2016)	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	Improved agricultural productivity generally correlates with increases in trade in agricultural goods (Fader et al. 2013)

1							Mueller et		1									1
							al. 2012)											
							Cropland											
			Conservation				managem ent											
			agriculture				practices such as											
			contributes to food				conservati											
			productivity				on tillage											
			and reduces food				improve downstrea											
			insecurity				m and											
			(Rosegrant and Cline				groundwa ter water											
			2003; Dar and				quality											
			Laxmipathi Gowda 2013;				(Fawcett et al.											
			Godfray and				1994;											
			Garnett 2014) Land				Foster 2018).											
			consolidation				Good											
			has played an active role in	Conservati on			managem ent											
			China to in	agriculture			practices		Increased									
			increase cultivated land	contributes			can substantia		agricultur al									
			area,	to improved			lly		productio									
			promoting agricultural	health through			decrease P losses		n generally		Increased							
		Improved	production	several			from		(Lal		agricultura							
		cropland	scale,	pathways,			existing land		2006)		1		Improve					
		management increases	improving rural	including reduced			use, to		contribute s to		production can		d conserv					
		yields for	production	fertiliser/pe			achieve		increased		contribute		ation					
		smallholders and	conditions and living	sticide use which			'good' water		economic growth,		to reducing inequality		agricult ure					Improved agricultural
		contributes to	environment,	cause			quality in		mainly in		among		contribu					productivity
		poverty reduction	alle-viating ecological risk	health impacts			catchment in New		smallhold er		smallholde rs (Datt		tes to sustaina	See main				generally correlates
		(Pretty et al. 2003; Irz et	and	(Erisman et			Zealand,		agricultur		and		ble	text on		6		with
		al. 2001;	supporting for rural	al. 2011) as well as			United Kingdom		e (Abraham		Ravallion 1998;		producti on goals	climate mitigati		See main text on		increases in trade in
	Improved	Schneider and	development	improved			and		and		Abraham		(Hobbs	on and		desertification		agricultural
	cropland management	Gugerty 2011)	(Zhou et al. 2019)	food security.	N/A	N/A	United States (N/A	Pingali 2017)	N/A	and Pingali 2017)	N/A	et al. 2008)	adaptati on	N/A	and degradation	N/A	goods (Fader et al. 2013)
-	_			Ton many and														
				Improved livestock													Grazing	
				and grazing manageme					Improved								land managem	
				nt could					land		Improved		Improve				ent	
				contribute to better			Grassland managem		managem ent for		pastoral manageme		d grasslan				requires collective	
				health			ent		livestock		manageme nt		d d				action	
		Increases		among smallholder			practices can		can		strategies can		manage ment]	and therefore	
		Increases yields for	Improved	pastoralists			improve		increase economic		can		contribu	See			can	
		smallholders and	grassland management	(Hooft et al. 2012),			downstrea m and		productivi		to reducing inequality		tes to sustaina	main text on]	increase social	
		contributes to	could	but			m and groundwa		ty, especially		but are		ble	climate		See main text	capital	
	Improved	poverty	contribute to	pathways are not			ter water		in global South		context		producti on goals	mitigati		on desertification	and build institution	
	Improved grazing land	reduction (Boval and	food security (O'Mara	are not entirely			quality (Foster		(Pender et		specific (Lesorogol		on goals (O'Mar	on and adaptati		and	s (Mearns	
	management	Dixon 2012)	2012)	clear.	N/A	N/A	2018).	N/A	al. 2006)	N/A	2003)	N/A	a 2012)	on	N/A	degradation	1996)	N/A
	Improved	Improved	Improved				Improved		Improved				Sustaina	See				Improved
	livestock management	livestock management	livestock management	N/A	N/A	N/A	industrial livestock	N/A	livestock managem	N/A	N/A	N/A	ble livestoc	main text on	N/A	See main text on	N/A	livestock productivity
1		(e.g., better	can contribute			1	productio	1	ent can		1	1	k	climate	1	desertification	1	would likely

6-37

	breeding) can contribute to poverty reduction for smallholder pastoralists (Hooft et al. 2012)	to reduced food insecurity among smallholder pastoralists (Hooft et al. 2012).				n can reduce water contamin ation (e.g., reduced effluents) (Hooda et al. 2000). Impared livestock managem ent can contribute to better water quality such as through managem ent (Herrero et al. 2013)		increase economic productivi ty and employm ent opportuni ties in global South (Mack 1993)				manage ment contributes to sustaina ble producti on goals (De Wit et al. 1995)	mitigati on and adaptati on		and degradation		correlate with increases in trade (Herrero et al. 2009)
Agroforestry	Agroforestry can be usefully used for poverty reduction (Leakey and Simons 1998)	Agroforestry contributes to food productivity and reduces food insecurity (Mbow et al. 2014b)	Agroforestr y positively contributes to food productivit y and nutritious diets (Haddad 2000)	N/A	Increased use of agroforestry can benefit female farmers as it requires low overhead, but land tenure issues must be paid attention to (Kiptot and Franzel 2012)	Agrofores try can be used to increase ecosyste m services benefits, such as water quantity and quality (Jose 2009)	Agroforestry could increase biomass for energy (Mbow et al. 2014b)	Agrofores try and other forms of employment in forest managem ent make major contributi ons to global GDP (Pimentel et al. 1997)	N/A	Agroforest ry promotion can contribute to reducing inequality among smallholde rs (LeBmeiste r et al. 2018)	N/A	Agrofor estry contribu tes to sustaina ble producti on goals (Mbow et al. 2014b)	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	N/A	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A
Agricultural diversification	Agricultural diversification is associated with increased welfare and incomes and decreased levels of poverty in several country studies (Arslan et al. 2018; Asfaw et al. 2018; Weinberger and Lumpkin 2007)	Diversificatio n is associated with increased access to income and additional food sources for the farming household (Pretty et al. 2003; Ebert 2014). Diversificatio n can also reduce the risk of crop pathogens spreading across landscapes (Lin 2011)	More diversified agriculture leads to diversified diets which have better health outcomes (Block and Webb 2001; Ebert 2014; Kadiyala et al. 2014), particularly for women and children (Pretty et al. 2003)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Agricultu ral diversific ation can lead to economic growth (Rahman 2009; Pingali and Rosegrant 1995). It allows farmers to choose a strategy that both increases resilience and provides economic benefits, including functional	N/A	Increased agricultura I diversificat ion can contribute to reducing inequality among smallholde rs (Makate et al. 2016) although there is mixed evidence of inequality also increasing in commercia lised systems (Pingali and	N/A	N/A		N/A	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A

6-38

May votice Lind wildle Lind fire Lind wildle Lind																			
May rathout and a state of the																			
May rather to repete the repete to the second repeted to the secon										ty at									
Antibace of convention of conv																			
Adoptions of the competition of										spatial		r and							
May reduce In a statistical and a statistical an										and/or		Lumpkin							
May reduce Land a wallable for expering or infrares; come granded for expering for										temporal		2007)							
May robe to the first control of the first control																			
May reduce Lord available for corporing or beautice for for the corporing or beautice for corpor																			
May reduce India wallable for recogning programmers of grasshard for crayleng of grasshard for crayleng programmers of grasshard for grasshard for crayleng programmers of grasshard for grasshard																			
May rotates May rotates Lind a voidable for cropping of investor. Exposure grandand rectivation programmen grandand rectivat																			
May rotace lund available for recycling for poper furnites some process for complete for complet																			
May reduce Indicate the Control of the Control of Contr																			
May radice land available for copying of research grants and available for copying grants and available grants and available for copying grants and available for copying grants and available grants and available for copying grants and available grants and avail																			
May reduce India decinition India decini																			
May reduce land available for excepting or livestock for portion grassland restoration programmes in Chan lates of a conversion of grassland to excepting or posteralises of portion of control of the conversion of grassland to excepting to the conversion of the conversion of grassland to excepting to the conversion of the																			
May reduce of contribute of contribute to compland to expland to e																			
May reduce land available for cropping or livestock for porous programmes in China here of the contribute of conversion of grassland to cropland ("Grogan Destroilates to alleviate poverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in that a lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in the lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in the lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in the lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in the lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in the lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in the lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in the lives of the contribute to alleviate proverty in the lives of the																			
May reduce I will be a second on the second of the second																			
May reface land available for cropping or livestock far process granuland restoration programmed for inclination of the crophand restoration programmed for inclination of the crophand of																			
Avoidance of conversion of grasshand to pote standard to pote stand to solve the conversion of grasshand to pote the conversion to pote the conversion of grasshand to pote the conversion of th																			
May reduce Inad available for cryoping or livestock for posters grassland restoration programmes in Chia ha we been competition of conversion of grassland of post-critical for conversion of grassland of conversion of grassland of grasslan																			
May reduce land available for copying grassland restoration programmes and restoration programmes when the final available for copying grassland restoration programmes when the final available for conversion of grassland to port of grassland to port of conversion of grassland to port of the final document of																			
land available for cropping or livestock for porer farmers; some grassland restoration programmes in China have when conversion of grassland to cropland (Fogan 2008) Cau affect propertion of conversion of grassland to cropland (Fogan 2008) Cau affect propertion of conversion of grassland to cropland (Fogan 2008) Cau affect propertion of conversion of grassland to cropland (Fogan 2008) Cau affect propertion of conversion of grassland to cropland (Fogan 2008) Cau affect propertion of conversion of grassland to cropland (Fogan 2008) Cau affect propertion of conversion of grassland to conversion of g										2012)									
Inad available for cropping or livestock for porer farmers; some grassland restoration programmes in China have when correction of grassland of conversion of grassland to cropland tropping 2002 2012 N/A											L		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	L			
Inad available for cropping or livestock for porer farmers; some grassland restoration programmes in China have when correction of grassland of conversion of grassland to cropland tropping 2002 2012 N/A			_																
land available for cropping or livestock for poorer farmers; some grassland restoration programmes in China have when when courses for grassland or conversion of grassland or covers of grassland or										l	l				l			l	
Inad available for cropping or livestock for porer farmers; some grassland restoration programmes in China have when correction of grassland of conversion of grassland to cropland tropping 2002 2012 N/A																			
Inal available for cropping or livestock for poorer farmers; some grassland restoration programmes in China have when detrimental to the curve and the control of conversion of grassland (control of conversion of grassland to cropland (rogan 2005). Avoidance of conversion of grassland (control of conversion of grassland to cropland (rogan 2005). Avoidance of conversion of grassland to conversion of grassland to conversion of grassland to conversion of grassland to cropland (rogan 2005). Avoidance of conversion of grassland to conversion of grassland to cropland (rogan 2005). Avoidance of conversion of grassland to gra			May reduce																
for cropping or livestock for poorer farmers; some grassland restoration programmes food security when been conversin of grassland to cropland (C) Mara (C)																			
or livestock for poperer farmers; some grassland restoration programmes in China have been competition of grassland to cropland to contributes to alleviate powerty in Sub-Shairan fundamenta to poverty in Sub-Shairan Africa (Rockström and the state of t								Retaining											
for poorer farmers, some grassland restoration programmes in China have have free main restoration programmes in China have have free retention and may decrease quality GDP GScanlon et al. Green water harvesting contributes to alleviate poverty in Sub-Sahann Africa (Rockström and Af																			
farmers, some grassland restoration programmes in China have been competition of grassland to cropland Complete the complete to alleviate poverty in Sib-Saharan Africa (Rockarfor and Grassland to that is a finite and intended to eresource that is sustainable and finite and intended to solve developm and and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and the proverty in finite and intended to solve developm and the finite and intended to solve developm and the finite and intended to solve that is sustainable that in the proverty in that is the proverty in the prov																			
grassland restoration programmes in China have been correction pogrammes in China have been correction of conversion of grassland to cropland (Foggin 2008) 2012) Can affect food security when in China have been conjugation of polarity of the conjugation of polarity of the conjugation of the con																			
restoration programmes in China have been competition for land occurs pastoralists of conversion of grashand to cropland (Fogin 2008) Green water harvesting contributes to alleviate poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa (Rockström and and aversed of that is fundamenta Africa and and and and aversed on and aversed on and aversed on and aversed on alleviate poverty in and and aversed on alleviate poverty in and aversed on and aversed on alleviate poverty in and aversed on alleviate poverty in and aversed on alleviate and aversed on and aversed on alleviate poverty in and aversed on and aversed on alleviate poverty in and aversed on alleviate and aversed on and aversed on alleviate poverty in and aversed on alleviate and aversed on alleviate and aversed on and aversed on alleviate and aversed on and aversed on alleviate and aversed on averse on										n									
programmes in China have been Competition of China have been Competition of Conversion of grassland to cropland Green water harvesting contributes to alleviate poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa (Rockström and																			
Avoidance of conversion of grassland to cropland Green water harvesting contributes to alleviate for solution and alleviate for solutions of grassharan Africa (Rockström and and irreplaceabl and and irreplaceabl and and irreplaceabl and irreplaceabl and irreplaceabl and irreplaceabl and and irreplaceabl and and irreplaceabl and irreplaceable and irrepl																			
Avoidance of conversion of grassland to cropland (Fogin 2008) Green water harvesting contributes to alleviate poverty in poverty in poverty in sub-Saharan Africa (Rockström and and and and that is intended to solve (Rockström and and and the sub-sharan Africa (Rockström and and and the sub-sharan Africa (Rockström and the sub-sh																			
Avoidance of corression of poor courses of grassfand to cropland Green water harvesting contributes to alleviate poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa (Rockström and and proventy in that is fundamenta Africa (Rockström and and proventy in the finance of																			
conversion of grassland to cropland Compand Compand				competition				improved							climate		See main text		
grassland to cropland Postoralists (Foggin 2008) 2012) N/A N/A			detrimental to	for land				quality							mitigati				
Green water harvesting contributes to alleviate poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa (Rockström and It is only Falkenmark Falkenmark 2015). If well mproving mproving Improving Improvi	conver	ersion of	poor					(Scanlon		(Lewandr					on and		desertification		
Green water harvesting finite and resource at the sectoral coordin alleviate eresource ent is sustainabl and problems critical fragmen across all and well-being. Problems critical fragmen across all and li is on a sustainabl economic fragmen across all and li is on a sustainabl economic fragmen and tation sustainabl ent is on a sustainabl ent is lifted ent and is efficiency (Rockström well-being. problems critical fragmen across all and li is only renewable sustainabl economic lift well e basis, developm triggere ensure lmproving managed. Integrated, lmtegrated lntegrated lntegrated lntegrated lntegrated lntegrated lntegrated ent, water both women can be ecosyste labeled withdraw withdraw withdraw withdraw withdraw withdraw withdraw withdraw withdraw water lntegrated lntegrated water both women can be ecosyste labeled lntegrated water sectoral extends exectoral extends extends extends exectoral extends exectoral extends exectoral extends exectoral extends extends extends extends extends exectoral extends exectoral extends exectoral extends exte	grassla	land to	pastoralists					et al.		owski et					adaptati		and		
harvesting contributes to irreplaceabl contributes to irreplaceabl contributes to alleviate e resource ent is sustainabl across all or intended e coverty in that is to solve developm instituti water-use ent and is contributes to solve developm (Rockström well-being, and It is only on a for socio-Falkenmark renewable in the contribute on a sustainabl economic fire water fire and is green from the contribute on a for socio-Falkenmark renewable in the contribute of the contribute on a for socio-Falkenmark renewable in the contribute of the contribut	croplai	and	(Foggin 2008)	2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A	2007)	N/A	al. 1999)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	on	N/A	degradation	N/A	N/A
harvesting contributes to irreplaceabl contributes to irreplaceabl contributes to irreplaceabl contributes to irreplaceabl core ent is sustainabl ation ent, poverty in that is that is to solve developm instituti water-use Africa I to human watershed ent and is control in the																			
contributes to delevate eresource ent is sustainabl action ent, poverty in that is intended to solve developm ent across all and increase ent, ent, ent, ent, ent, ent, ent, ent			Green water		Water is a			Water		Water is				Poor				Integrated	
alleviate poverty in poverty in that is entropy that is intended e poverty in fundamenta increase in the poverty in fundamenta increase in the poverty in fundamenta increase in the poverty in the poverty in that is increase in the poverty in the	1		harvesting		finite and			resource		at the	l		1	sectoral	l	1	1	water	1
alleviate poverty in poverty in that is entireled to solve developm instituti water-use efficiency (Rockström (Rockström and It is only entireled to solve that is entireled to solve developm (Rockström well-being, problems entireled and It is only efficiency entireled entireled entireled efficiency entireled ent			contributes to		irreplaceabl			managem		core of	l			coordin	l	l		managem	
Sub-Saharan Africa I to human watershed (Rockström well-being. problems on a fi is only renewable 2015). I mproving water I megrated, i mitgation officient, water both women officient both women on a developm critical ent and is ent and and is ent and			alleviate		e resource					sustainabl	l			ation	l	l		ent,	
Sub-Saharan Africa I to human watershed (Rockström well-being. problems on a fi is only renewable 2015). I mproving water I megrated, i mitgation officient, water both women officient both women on a developm critical ent and is ent and and is ent and			poverty in		that is			intended		e	l			and	l	l		increase	
Africa 1 to human watershed ent and is onal efficiency (Rockström well-being. problems critical fragmen across all and It is only on a for socio- tation sectors Falkenmark renewable sustainabl economic have and 2015). if well e basis, developm triggere ensure Improving managed. managed managed managed managed managed irrigation efficient, water both women can be ecosyste manable withdraw	1									developm	l		1		l	1	1		I
(Rockström well-being. well-being. across all and lt is only on a for socio- Falkemmark renewable sustainabl economic have and to sustainabl element if well managed. Integrated, Integrated, Integrated irrigation efficient, water both women can be cosyste fragmen across all for socio- tation sectors tation sectors tation to sectors tation sectors tat	1										l		1		l	1	1		I
and											l				l	l			
Falkenmark renewable renewable sustainabl economic have and element of the sustainabl economic proving sustainabl economic developm triggere ensure ensure improving managed. and these ent, dan sustainabl water Integrated, Integrated Involving problems healthy unsustai efficient, water both women can be ecosyste nable withdraw											l				l	l			
2015). if well e basis, developm triggere ensure Improving managed. and these ent, d an sustainabl water Integrated, Integrated Involving problems healthy unsustai e irrigation efficient, water both women can be ecosyste nable withdraw	1										l		1		l	1	1		I
Improving managed, and these ent, d an sustainabl water Integrated, Integrated Involving problems healthy unsustai e irrigation efficient, water both women can be ecosyste anable withdraw	1										l		1		l	1	1		I
water Integrated, irrigation Integrated efficient, Involving water problems to an be healthy ecosyste unsustai e water both women can be ecosyste nable withdraw	1										l		1		l	1	1		I
irrigation efficient, water both women can be ecosyste nable withdraw	1			Integrated			Involvina				l		1		l	1	1		I
	1						hoth woman				l		1		l	1	1	-	I
trongusumy equitable and manageme and men in categorise instand use of all als and	1										l		1		l	1	1		I
	1										l		1		l	1	1		I
2006) sustainable nt is vital integrated d into for human resource supply of	1										l		1		l	1	1		I
improving water resource option for water lack of survival s and freshwate											l				l	l			
rainfed management reducing resources water itself. IWM can threaten r to											l		l		l	l			
agriculture (as water for the global initiatives (quantity) Integrated increase Water is a ed the IWM on address								(quantity)			l		Water is a						
(integrating agroecosyste burden of can increase , water access of limiting factor long. See land is likely water											l								
soil and water m) plays disease and project deteriorati managme industry to in urban term main to improve scarcity,											l								
management, importance for improving effectivenes on in nt can water for growth and sustaina text on water quality and											l								
rainfall food the health, s and water play a key economic IWM can help bility of climate runoff into See main text substantia											l								
infiltration production welfare and efficiency quality, enabling growth improve access food, mitigati oceans on lly reduce											l				mitigati				
and water and benefits to productivit (Green and ecological role in (Rahaman to urban water water, on and (Agboola desertification the				and benefits to	productivit		(Green and				l	(Rahaman	to urban water		on and	(Agboola	desertification		
Integrated water harvesting, people (Lloyd y of Baden effects, strengthe and Varis supplies (Bao and adaptati and Braimoh and number	Integra	rated water	harvesting,	people (Lloyd	y of		Baden	effects,		strengthe	l	and Varis	supplies (Bao	and	adaptati	and Braimoh	and	number	
management provides a et al. 2013) populations N/A 1995) poor N/A ning the N/A 2005) and Fang 2012) energy on 2009) degradation of people			provides a		populations	N/A	1995)		N/A		N/A	2005)		energy		2009)	degradation		
large co- Today, public resilience security suffering	manag		larga co					public			ı		I - 1		ı	l	1		1

6-39

	benefit to delivery of food security and poverty reduction (UNCTAD 2011)	more than 1.7 billion people live in river basins where depletion through use exceeds natural recharge, a trend that will see two-thirds of the world' s population living in water- stressed countries by 2025 (UNWater 2015)	participati on, and low output economic value for investmen t in watershed -related activities (Lee et al. 2018) Integrated water managem ent, increase water-use efficiency across all sectors and ensure sustainabl e withdraw als and supply of freshwate r to	of social, economic and environm ental systems in the light of rapid and unpredict able changes (UNWate r 2015).	(Rasul 2016)	from water scarcity (UNWate r 2015).
Forest managem and forest y restoration	and Simula Kreidenwe	world's population living in water-stressed countries by 2025 (UNWater 2015) In can promote the countries of the countries o	managem ent, increase water-use efficiency across all sectors and ensure sustainabl e withdraw als and supply of freshwate r to address water scarcity, and substantia lly reduce the number of people suffering from water scarcity (UNWate r 2015). Forests tend to maintain water quality by reducing runoff and trapping sediments oce allenges	Forest managem ent often require employm ent for active replanting etc. (Ros- on and Tonen et al. 2008) Forestry supplies wood for forest management can contribute can contribute can communities (Pagdee et al. 2006)	Forest manage ment contribu tes to sustaina ble producti on goals, e.g., through certifica tion of timber (Ramets teiner mitigati and on and Simula adaptati on N/A degradation and degradation on N/A degradation	institution certification)

6-40

		2012; Smith et			moisture,								
		al. 2013; Wise			contributi								
		et al. 2009)			ng to								
		ct un. 2007)			rainfall								
					locally								
					iocarry								
					and in								
					distant								
					location,								
					and trees								
					,								
					microbial								
					flora and								
					biogenic								
					volatile								
					organic								
					compoun								
					ds can								
					directly								
					promote								
					rainfall								
					(Arneth et								
1			l		al. 2010).	ĺ				ĺ			
1			l]	Trees	Ī				I			
1			l	1	nices	Ī				I			1
			l		enhance					ĺ			
			l		soil					ĺ			
1			l	1	infiltratio	Ī				I			1
					n and,								
					under								
					suitable								
					condition								
					s,								
					improve								
					groundwa								
					ter								
					recharge								
					Calder								
					2005;								
					Ellison et								
					al. 2017a;								
					Neary et								
					al.								
					2009b).								
					Particular								
					activities								
					associated								
					with								
			l		forest	ĺ				ĺ			
1			l		landscape					ĺ			
			l		restoratio	ĺ				ĺ			
1			l		n, such as					ĺ			
1			l	1	mixed	Ī				I			1
			l		planting,					ĺ			
1			l	1	assisted	Ī				I			1
			l		natural					ĺ			
1			l		regenerati	ĺ				ĺ			
			l		on, and					ĺ			
					reducing								
1			l							ĺ			
					impact of								
1			l		disturban					ĺ			
1			l		ces (e.g.,					ĺ			
1			l		prescribe					ĺ			
			l		d					ĺ			
1			l	1	burning)	Ī				I			1
1			l	1	have	Ī				I			1
			l		positive					ĺ			
1			l	1	implicatio	Ī				I			1
			l		ns for					ĺ			
1			l	1	fresh	Ī				I			1
1			l		water					ĺ			
1			l							ĺ			
1			l	1	supply (Ciccares	Ī				I			1
1	1	1		I	(Ciccares	1	ı	1	1	I	1	1	

						e et al.											
						2012;											
						Suding et											
						al. 2015).											
						Forests											
						tend to											
						maintain											
						water											
						quality by											
						reducing											
						runoff and											
						trapping											
						sediments											
						and											
						nutrients											
						(Medugu											
						et al.											
						2010;											
						Salvati et											
						al. 2014).											
						Due to											
		1				evapotran		l	1						1		
						spiration,											
						trees											
						recharge											
						atmosphe											
						ric											
						moisture, contributi											
						ng to											
						rainfall											
						locally											
						and in											
						distant											
						location,											
						and trees											
						,											
						microbial											
						flora and											
						biogenic											
	May					volatile											
	contribute to					organic											
	poverty		Reduced			compoun											
	reduction but conflicting		deforestatio n can			ds can directly											
	data.	1	enhance			promote		l	1						1		
	Although	I	human			rainfall			I	1				İ	I	l	
	poverty is a	1	well-being			(Arneth et									1	1	
	focus of many	I	by			al. 2010).			I	1				İ	I	l	
	REDD+	1	microclima		Unclear	Trees				REDD+					1	1	
	projects	1	tic		how	enhance		Reduced	1	has been					1		
	(Arhin 2014),	I	regulation		avoided	soil		forest	I	shown to				l	I	l	
	evidence is	1	for		deforestatio	infiltratio		exploitati		have no					1	1	Liberton
	thin that poverty	1	protecting people		n might enhance	n and, under		on may decrease	1	impact on inequality					1		Likely to contribute to
	reduction has	1	from heat		gender	suitable		GDP and	1	(Shrestha					1		decline in
	actually	1	stresses		equity, but	condition		thus	1	et al. 2017)					1		trade in
	happened	1	(Locatelli		REDD+	s,		needs to	1	or to					1		forest
	(Corbera et al.	Avoided	et al.		projects	improve		be	1	increase					1		products, but
	2017;	deforestation	2015b) and		need to pay	groundwa	Avoiding	compensa	1	inequality					1		increases in
	Pokorny et al.	can affect crop	generally		attention to	ter	deforestation	ted for	I	in some			See	l	I	l	partnerships
	2013; Scheba	production	improve the		gender	recharge	can take biofuel	(e.g.,	1	project			main		1		between
	2018) and in	when	cultural and		issues to be	(Calder	land out of	REDD+)	1	areas			text on		1		donors and
	some cases	competition	recreational		successful	2005;	production as	(Combes	1	(Andersso			climate		See main text		countries
Reduced	benefits have	for land	value of		(Westholm	Ellison et	they both tend to	Motel et	1	n et al.			mitigati		on		with REDD+
deforestation	been captured	occurs	ecosystems (V-rales et		and Arora-	al. 2017;	compete for land	al. 2009)	I	2018;			on and	l	desertification	l	(Combes
and forest degradation	by wealthier	(Angelsen 2010).	(Knoke et al. 2014).	N/A	Jonsson 2015)	Neary et al. 2009).	(Dixon et al. 2016)		N/A	Pelletier et al. 2018)	N/A	N/A	adaptati on	N/A	and degradation	N/A	Motel et al. 2009)
uegrauation	participants	2010).	al. 2014).	19/24	2013)	al. 2009).	2010)		19/A	al. 2010)	18/A	IN/PA	OII	18/ PA	degradation	1N/ /A	2009)
	l	1	l .	1	l	l .	l .	l	1	l .	1		l		L	1	

6-42

while others actually where actually where actually actua	May contribute to poverty reduction but conflicting data (Tschakert 2007). Many projects for reforestation may have some small impacts on poor households,	Forest expansion can affect crop production when competition for land occurs (Angelsen 2010). An increase in global forest area can lead to increases in food prices through increasing	Reforestati on can enhance human well-being by microclima tic regulation for protecting people from heat stresses (Locatelli et al. 2015b) and generally improve the cultural and recreational value of ecosystems (Knoke et al. 2014). Trends of forest resources of nations			Particular activities associated with forest landscape restoratio n, such as mixed planting, assisted natural regenerati on, and cities of the control of th											
Clindal et al. 2013; Nisse cl. 20099 at 2018 N/A N/A 2015; at 2015	some small impacts on poor households, while others actually increased poverty due to land losses or lack of economic	to increases in food prices through increasing land competition (Calvin et al. 2014; Kreidenweis et al. 2016; Reilly et al.	Trends of forest resources of nations are found to positively correlate with UNDP Human Developme			d burning) have positive implications for fresh water supply (Ciccares e et al.	can increase availability of	require employm ent for active replanting					main text on climate mitigati		on		
for food production are production are a constraint for large-scale afforestation plans for large-scale afforestation plans for large-scale and forestation some have captered by protecting regimes, and forestation for protecting regimes, and forestation for protecting regimes, and forestation for protecting regimes, and forestation for protecting for protecting regimes, and forestation for for spiration for protecting regimes, and forestation for protecting for protecting generally granticularly granticular	(Jindal et al.	al. 2013; Wise	(Kauppi et	N/A	N/A	Suding et	energy (Swisher	(Jindal et	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	adaptati	N/A	and	N/A	N/A
	some have argued that afforestation can be a tool for poverty reduction (Holden et al. 2003), afforestation can compete with land available for cropping and poor farmers often do not benefit from afforestation projects ((McElwee	for food production are a constraint for large-scale afforestation plans (Locatelli et al. 2015b). Global food crop demand is expected by 50%-97% between 2005 and 2050 (Valin et al. 2014). Future carbon prices will facilitate deployment of afforestation projects at expenses of food availability (adverse side effect), but more	on can enhance human well-being by microclima tic regulation for protecting people from heat stresses (Locatelli et al. 2015b) and generally improve the cultural and recreational value of ecosystems (Knoke et al. 2014). Trends of forest resources of nations			ion using some exotic species can upset the balance of evapotran spiration regimes, with megative availability particularly yin arid regions (Ellison et al. 2017; Locarelli et al. 2015b; Trabucco et al. 2008). Afforestat	may increase availability of biomass for energy use (Obersteiner et	ion often requires employm ent for active replanting , etc. (Mather and Murray					main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati		on desertification and		

6-43

			commodities could buffer food price increases following afforestation in tropical regions (Kreidenweis et al. 2016)	positively correlate with UNDP Human Developme nt Index (Kauppi et al. 2018)			arid regions using species that have evapotran spiration rates exceeding the regional precipitati on may aggravate the											
							groundwa ter decline (Locatelli et al. 2015b; Lu et al. 2016). Changes in runoff affect water supply but can also											
							asso contribute to changes in flood risks, and irrigation of forest plantation s can increase water consumpt ion (Sterling											
		Can increase yields for smallholders, which can contribute to poverty reduction, but because adoption	Lal (2006) notes that 'Food-grain production in developing countries can be increased by 24-39 (32+11)	There is evidence that increasing soil organic carbon could be effective in reducing the prevalence of disease-causing helminths		Gender impacts use of soil organic matter (SOM)	et al. 2013) SOM is known to increase water		Increased agricultur al productio		Increased agricultura I production can		Improve d conserv ation agricult ure		Rivers transport dissolved organic matter to			
Soil manag ement	Increased soil organic carbon content	often depends on exogenous factors, these need to be taken into consideration (Wollni et al. 2010; Kassie et al. 2013)	million Mgy-1 through improving soil quality by increasing the SOC pool and reversing degradation processes.'	helminths (Lal 2016; Wall et al. 2015). Also indirectly contributes to food productivit y which may have	N/A	(SOM) practices (Quansah et al. 2001), but N/A how the relationship works in reverse.	filtration and protects water quality (Lehmann and Kleber 2015)	N/A	n generally (Lal 2006) contribute s to increased economic growth.	N/A	contribute to reducing inequality among smallholde rs (Datt and Ravallion 1998).	N/A	contribu tes to sustaina ble producti on goals (Hobbs et al. 2008)	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	oceans (Hedges et al. 1997), but unclear if improved SOM will decrease this and by how much.	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A

6-44

ı		1	T		1					1			1		1	1	
		1	impact on diets.											1			
			arctis.														
						Various											
						researcher											
						s showed											
						a											
						relationsh ip											
						between											
						impact of											
						soil											
						erosion											
						and											
						degradati on on											
						water											
						quality											
						indicating											
						the source											
						of											
						pollutant as											
						anthropog					Particulate						
						enic and					matter						
						industrial					pollution, a						
						activities.					main						
						in China (Issaka					consequence of wind erosion,						
			Contributes			and					imposes severe						
			to food			Ashraf					adverse						
		Contributes to	productivit			2017).					impacts on						
	Can increases	agricultural	y and			Managing					materials,						
	yields for smallholders	productivity and reduces	improves farmer			soil erosion					structures and climate which		See main				
	and	food	health			improves					directly affect		text on				
	contributes to	insecurity	(Shiferaw			water					the		climate		See main text		
	poverty	(Shiferaw and	and Holden			quality					sustainability		mitigati		on		
	reduction	Holden 1999;	1999;			(Pimentel					of urban cities		on and		desertification		
Reduced soil	(Ananda and	Pimentel et al.	Pimentel et	27/4	27/4	et al.	37/4	27/4		37/4	(Al-Thani et al.	27/1	adaptati	37/4	and	27/4	37/4
erosion	Herath 2003)	1995)	al. 1995)	N/A	N/A	1995)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2018)	N/A	on	N/A	degradation	N/A	N/A
		+	Salinisation														
			is known to														
			have														
	G-1111		human														
	Salinisation can		health impacts:														
	impoverish		wind-borne														
1	farmers	1	dust and				ĺ			1				I			
1	(Duraiappah	Reversing	respiratory			Managem	ĺ			1				I			
1	1998),	degradation	health;			ent of soil	ĺ			1				I			
1	therefore	contributes to	altered			salinity	1			1				1			
1	preventing or reversing can	food productivity	ecology of mosquito-			improves water	ĺ			1			See	I			
	increases	and reduces	borne			quality	1			1			main	1			
	yields for	food	diseases;			and	1			1			text on	1			
	smallholders	insecurity	and mental			quantity	ĺ			1			climate	I	See main text		
	and	(Shiferaw and	health			(Kotb et	ĺ			1		1	mitigati	I	on]
	contributes to	Holden 1999;	consequenc			al. 2000;	1			1			on and	1	desertification		
Reduced soil salinisation	poverty reduction.	Pimentel et al. 1995)	es (Jardine et al. 2007)	N/A	N/A	Zalidis et al. 2002)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	adaptati on	N/A	and degradation	N/A	N/A
SaniiiSauoii	reduction.	1993)	et at. 2007)	IV/A	IVA	ai. 2002)	NA	IVA	IV/A	IV/A	19/71	IVA	OII	IV/A	ucgraudtion	IVA	IVA
	Soil	Compactions	Soil			Managem											
	compaction	reduces	compaction			ent of soil	ĺ			1		1	_	I]
	and other	agricultural	has human			compacti	1			1			See	1	See main text		
	forms of degradation	productivity and thus	health consequenc			on improves	ĺ			1			main text on	I	on desertification		
Reduced soil	can	contributes to	es as it			water	1			1			climate	1	and		
compaction	impoverish	food	contributes	N/A	N/A	quality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	mitigati	N/A	degradation	N/A	N/A
	farmers	insecurity	to runoff of	Ī	1	and	1	I	1	1	1	1	on and		1 -	1	

6-45

		(Scherr 2000) prevention of compaction thus contributes to poverty reduction.	(Nawaz et al. 2013)	water and pollutants into surface and groundwate rs (Soane and Van Ouwerkerk 1994)			quantity (Soane and Van Ouwerker k 1994; Zalidis et al. 2002)							adaptati on				
	Biochar addition to soil	Land to produce biochar may reduce land available for smallholders, and it tends to be unaffordable for poor farmers; as of yet, few biochar projects have shown poverty reduction benefits (Leach et al. 2012)	Could potentially affect crop production if competition for land coccurs (Ennis et al. 2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Biochar improves soil water filtration and retention (Spokas et al. 2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	N/A	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A
	Fire management	N/A	N/A	Fire manageme nt reduces health risks from particulates (Bowman and Johnston 2005)	N/A	N/A	Fires affect water quality and flow due to erosion exposure (Townsen d and Douglas 2000)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Wildfires can threaten property and human health in urban areas, with unique vulnerabilities (Gill and Stephens 2009); Winter and Fried 2010), therefore management will reduce risk to urban areas.	N/A	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	N/A	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A
	Reduced landslides and natural hazards	Landslides can increase vulnerability to poverty (Msilimba 2010), therefore management will reduce risks to the poor.	Landslides are one of the natural disasters that have impacts on food security (De Haen and Hemrich 2007)	Managing landslides reduces health risks (Haines et al. 2006)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Landslide hazards are a major risk to urban areas (Smyth and Royle 2000)	N/A	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	N/A	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A
Other ecosyst em manag ement	Reduced pollution including acidification	N/A	N/A	Reducing acid deposition reduces health risks, including respiratory illnesses and increased	N/A	N/A	Pollution increases acidity of surface water, with likely ecological effects (Larssen	N/A	N/A	Managem ent of pollution can increase demand for new technolog ies (Popp 2006).	N/A	Management of pollution can reduce exposure to health risks in urban areas (Bartone 1991)	N/A	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	Reduction in pollution can improve water quality running to oceans (Doney et al. 2007)	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A

6-46

			morbidity (Lübkert- Alcamo and Krzyzanow ski 1995; Larssen et al. 1999)			et al. 1999) IAS like the		IAS removal									
Management of invasive species/ encroachment	Invasive species removal policies have been beneficial to the poor (Van Wilgen and Wannenburgh 2016)	Invasive alien species (IAS) can compete with crops and reduce crop yields by billions of dollars annually (Pejchar and Mooney 2009)	IAS have strong negative effects on human well-being (Pejchar and Mooney 2009)	N/A	N/A	golden apple snail/zebr a mussel have damaged aquatic ecosyste ms (Pejchar and Mooney 2009)	N/A	policies can increase employm ent due to need for labour (Van Wilgen and Wannenb urgh 2016)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	N/A	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A
Restoration and avoided conversion of coastal wetlands	Impacts on poverty are mixed (Kumar et al. 2011). May reduce land available for cropping, and poor design can impoverish people (Ingram et al. 2006; Mangora 2011). Can also decrease vulnerability to coastal storms, however (Jones et al. 2012; Feagin et al. 2010)	Mixed evidence: can affect agriculture/fis heries production when competition for land occurs, or could increase food production when ecosystems are restored (Crooks et al. 2011)	Wetlands contribute to local well-being (Crooks et al. 2011) and restoration generally improve the cultural and recreational value of ecosystems (Knoke et al. 2014).	N/A	N/A	Wetlands store freshwate r and enhance water quality (Bobbink et al. 2006)	N/A	Restoration projects often require employment for active replanting, etc. (Crooks et al. 2011)	Protecting coastal wetlands may reduce infrastruct ure projects in coastal areas (e.g., sea dikes, etc.) (Jones et al. 2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	Restoration of coastal wetlands can play a large role in providing habitat for marine fish species (Bobbink et al. 2006; Hale et al. 2009)	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A
Restoration and avoided conversion of peatlands	May reduce land available for smallholders in tropical peatlands (Jewitt et al. 2014)	Can affect crop production when competition for land occurs, although much use of peatlands in tropics is for palm oil, not food (Senaratna Sellamuttu et al. 2011)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Peatland restoratio n will improve water quality as they play important roles in water retention and drainage (Johnston 1991)	Peatlands in tropics are often used for biofuels and palm oil, so may reduce the availability of these (Danielsen et al. 2009)	Reduced peatland exploitati on may decrease GDP in Southeast Asia (Koh et al. 2011)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	N/A	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A
Biodiversity conservation	There is mixed evidence on the impacts of	Biodiversity, and its management, is crucial for	Biodiversit y, and its manageme nt, is	N/A	N/A	33 out of 105 of the largest urban	Some biodiversity conservation measures might							Biodiversity conservation measures like	Indigenous peoples' roles in biodiversity conservation	Indigenou s peoples commonl y link	

6-47

 	1			1	1	1		1	1		,						1
	biodiversity	improving	crucial for	1		areas	increase access	1	1	1]	ļ		protected	can increase	forest	
	conservation	sustainable	improving]]	worldwid	to biomass	l]]]			areas can	institutions	landscape	
	measures on	and	sustainable			e rely on	supplies (Erb et	l	l	l	1	Į.		increase	and conflict	s and	
	poverty	diversified	and			biodiversi	al. 2012)	l	l					ocean	resolution	biodiversi	
		diets (Global	diversified]]	ty		l]]]			biodiversity	(Garnett et al.	ty to	
		Panel on	diets (Global]]	conservati		l]]]			(Selig et al.	2018)	tribal	
		Agriculture				on								2014)		identities,	
		and Food	Panel on			measures										associatio	
		Systems for	Agriculture			such as										n with	
		Nutrition	and Food			protected										place,	
		2016).	Systems for Nutrition			areas for										kinship	
		Indirectly, the				some, or										ties,	
		loss of	2016).			all, of										customs	
		pollinators				their										and	
		(due to				drinking										protocols,	
		combined				water										stories,	
		causes,				(Secretari										and songs	
		including the				at of the										(Gould	
		loss of				Conventi										2014;	
		habitats and				on on										Lyver et al.	
		flowering				Biologica 1		l	l	l	1	Į.				ai. 2017b,a)	
		species)]]			l]]						201 / 0,a)	
		would contribute to]]	Diversity 2008)		l]]							
						2008)											
		1.42 million additional]]	I		l]]]						1
		deaths per				ĺ		l	l	l	1	Į.					
		year from non-															
		communicable															
		and															
		malnutrition-															
		related															
		diseases, and															
		27.0 million															
		lost disability-															
		adjusted life- years															
		(DALYs) per															
		year (Smith et															
		al. 2015).															
		However, at															
		the same time,															
		some options															
		to preserve															
		biodiversity,															
		like protected				ĺ		l	l	l	1	Į.					
		areas, may				1		l	l								
		potentially				1		l	l								
		conflict with]]	I		l]]]						1
		food				1		l	l								
		production by				1		l	l		1						
		local]]	I		l]]]						1
		communities]]	I		l]]]						
		(Molotoks et]]	I		l]]]						1
		al. 2017)]]	I		l]]]						
]]	I		l]]]						
						Mineral				i	1						
						weatherin		l	Will	l		1					
						g can		l	require	l		1					
						affect the		l	developm	l		1					
						chemical		l	ent of	l		1	See				
]]	compositi		l	new]		1	main				1
						on of soil		l	technolog	l		1	text on				
						and		l	ies	l		1	climate		See main text		
						surface		l	(Schuilin	l		1	mitigati		on		
				l	l	waters		l	g and	l		1	on and		desertification		
Enhanced																	
Enhanced weathering of						(Katz			Krijgsma				adaptati		and		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	(Katz 1989)	N/A	N/A	Krijgsma n 2006)	N/A	N/A	N/A	adaptati on	N/A	and degradation	N/A	N/A

6-48

1				BECCS														
				could have														
				positive														
				effects														
				through														
				improveme														
				nts in air														
				and water														
				quality														
				(IPCC														
				2018), but														
		Bioenergy		BECCS														
		production		could have														
		could create		negative			Will											
		jobs in	Biofuel	effects on			likely											
		agriculture,	plantations	health and			require											
		but could also	may lead to	well-being			water for											
		compete for	decreased	through			plantation											
		land with	food security	impacts on			s of fast-											
		alternative	through	food			growing											
		uses.	competition	systems			trees and											
		Therefore,	for land	(Burns and			models	BECCS and										
		bioenergy	(Locatelli et	Nicholson			show high	biofuels can					Switchi					
		could have	al. 2015b).	2017).			risk of	contribute up to		BECCS			ng to		Reductions			
		positive or	BECCS will	Additionall			water	300 EJ of	Access to	will			bioener		in carbon			
		negative	likely lead to	y, there is a			scarcity if	primary energy	clean,	require			gy	See	emissions			
		effects on	significant	non-			BECCS is	by 2100 (Cross-	affordable	developm			reduces	main	will reduce			
Carbo		poverty rates	trade-offs with	negligible			deployed	Chapter Box 7);	energy	ent of			depletio	text on	ocean			
n	Bioenergy and	among	food	risk of			on	bioenergy can	will help	new			n of	climate	acidification.	See main text		
dioxide	bioenergy with	smallholders,	production	leakage of	No direct	No direct	widesprea	provide clean,	economic	technolog	No direct		natural	mitigati	See main	on	No direct	
remov	carbon capture	among other	(Popp et al.	sequestered	interaction	interaction	d scale	affordable	growth	ies (Smith	interaction	No direct	resource	on and	text on	desertification	interactio	No direct
al	and storage	social effects	2011b; Smith	CO ₂ (IPCC	(IPCC	(IPCC	(IPCC	energy (IPCC	(IPCC	et al.	(IPCC	interaction	s (IPCC	adaptati	climate	and	n (IPCC	interaction
(CDR)	(BECCS)	(IPCC 2018).	et al. 2016a).	2018).	2018).	2018).	2018).	2018).	2018).	2016a)	2018).	(IPCC 2018).	2018).	on	mitigation.	degradation	2018).	(IPCC 2018).

Table SM6.13 Impacts on the UN SDG of integrated response options based on value chain interventions

Integrated response options based on value chain management		GOAL 1: No Poverty	GOAL 2: Zero Hunger	GOAL 3: Good Health and Well- being	GOAL 4: Quality Education	GOAL 5: Gender Equality	GOAL 6: Clean Water and Sanitation	GOAL 7: Affordable and Clean Energy	GOAL 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth	GOAL 9: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure	GOAL 10: Reduced Inequality	GOAL 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities	GOAL 12: Responsible Consumption and Production	GOAL 13: Climat e Action	GOAL 14: Life Below Water	GOAL 15: Life on Land	GOAL 16: Peace and Justice Strong Institutions	GOAL 17: Partnerships to achieve the Goal
		Reduced meat	High-meat	Overnutrition			Reduced				There are		A dietary shift		Dietary			
		consumption can	diets in	contributes to			meat				currently large		away from		change			
		free up land for	developed	worse health			consumption				discrepancies in	Dietary	meat can		away from			
		other activities to	countries	outcomes,			will reduce				diets between	change is	contribute to		meat might			
		reduce poverty	may limit	including			water				developed and	most needed	sustainable		put			
		(Röös et al. 2017;	improvement	diabetes and			consumption.				developing	in urbanised,	consumption		increased			
		Stoll-Kleemann	in food	obesity			Muller et al.				nations (Sans	industrialised	by reducing		pressure on			
		and O'Riordan	security in	(Tilman and			(2017) found				and Combris	countries and	GHG		fish stocks			
		2015). However,	developing	Clark 2014;			that lower-				2015). Dietary	can help	emissions and	See	(Vranken et			
		reduced demand	countries	McMichael et			impact	Dietary shifts away	Health costs		change will	contribute to	reducing	main	al. 2014;			
		for livestock will	(Rosegrant	al. 2007).			agriculture	from meat to	of meat-heavy		reduce food	demand for	cropland and	text on	Mathijs			
		have a negative	et al. 1999);	Dietary			could be	fish/fruits/vegetables	diets add to		inequality by	locally grown	pasture	climate	2015).	See main text		
		effect on	dietary	change away	No direct		practiced if	increases energy use	health care		reducing meat	fruits and	requirements	mitigati	Overall	on		
		pastoralists and	change can	from meat	interaction	No direct	dietary	in the USA by over	costs and		over-	vegetables	(Stehfest et al.	on and	reduced	desertification		
Demand	Dietary	could suppress	contribute to	consumption	(IPCC	interaction	change and	30% (Tom et al.	reduce GDP		consumption in	(Tom et al.	2009; Bajželj	adaptati	emissions	and		
management	change	demand for other	food security	has major	2018)	(IPCC 2018)	waste	2016)	(Popkin 2008)	N/A	Western	2016)	et al. 2014b).	on	would	degradation	N/A	N/A
		inputs (grains) that	goals	health			reduction	l			countries and				decrease			

Total pages: 106

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

2

3 4

	would affect poor farmers (Garnett 2011; IPCC 2018)	(Godfray et al. 2010; Bajžetji et al. 2014b)	benefits, including reduced heart disease and mortality (Popkin 2008; Friel et al. 2008). Dietary change could contribute to 5.1 million avoided deaths per year (Springmann et al. 2016)			were implemented, leading to lower GHG emissions, lower rates of deforestation, and decreases in use of fertiliser (nitrogen and phosphorus), pesticides, water and energy. However, Tom et al. (2016) found water footprints of fruit/veg dietary shift in the USA				free up some cereals for consumption in poorer diets (Rosegrant et al. 1999)				rate of ocean acidification (Doney et al. 2009)			
Reduced post-harvest losses (PHL)	Reducing food losses from storage and distribution operation can increase economic well-being without additional investment in production activities (Bradford et al. 2018; Temba et al. 2016)	Reducing food losses increases food availability, nutrition, and lower prices (Sheahan and Barrett 2017b; Abass et al. 2014; Affognon et al. 2015)	Improved storage enhances food quality and can reduce mycotoxin intake (Bradford et al. 2016; Stathers et al. 2016; Stathers et al. 2013; Tirado et al. 2010) in the cimates (Bradford et al. 2018). The perishability and safety of resh foods are highly susceptible to temperature increase (Bisbis et al. 2018; Ingram et al. 2018) fragmar et al. 2018, Ingram et al. 2016).	Reduced losses can increase income that could be spent on education, but no data is available.	Post-harvest losses do have a gender dimension (Kaminski and Christiaensen 2014), but unclear if reducing losses will contribute to gender equality (Rugumamu 2009)	Kummu et al. (2012) reported that 24% of global freshwater use and 23% of global fertiliser use is attributed to food losses. Reduced PHI. can decrease need for additional agricultural production and irrigation.	Reduced losses would reduce energy demands in production; 2030 +- 160 trillion BTU of energy were embedded in wasted food in 2007 in the USA (Cuellar and Webber 2010)	In East and Southern Africa, PHL for six major cereals was 1.6 billion USD or 15% of total production value; reducing losses would thus boost GDP substantially in developing countries with PHL (Hodges et al. 2011)	Reducing PHL can involve improving infrastructure for farmers and marketers (Parfitt et al. 2010)	Poorer households tend to experience more PHL, and thus reducing PHL can contribute to reducing inequality among farmers (Hodges et al. 2011).	N/A	Reducing PHL contributes to sustainable production goals (Parfitt et al. 2010)	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	N/A	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	PHLs contribute to higher food prices and constraints on trade (Tefera 2012)
Reduced food waste (consumer or retailer)	Food waste tends to rise as incomes rise (Parfitt et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2013), so it is not clear what the relationship to poverty is. Could be potentially beneficial as it would free up money to spend on other activities	People who are already food insecure tend not to waste food (Nahman et al. 2012). Reduced food waste would increase the supply of	Food waste can increase with healthier diets (Parizeau et al. 2015). Health and safety standards can restrict some approaches to reducing food waste (Halloran et al.	N/A	Reducing food waste within households often falls to women (Stefan et al. 2013) and can increase their labour workload (Hebrok and Boks 2017).	Kummu et al. (2012) reported that 24% of global freshwater and 23% of global fertiliser is used in the production of food losses, so reduction	Reduced losses would reduce energy demands in production: 2030 + 160 trillion BTU of energy were embedded in wasted food in 2007 in the USA (Cuellar and Webber 2010). Food waste can be a sustainable source of	Waste generation has grown faster than GDP in recent years (Thøgersen 1996) Households in the UK throw out 745 USD of food and drink each	Food waste could be an important source of needed chemicals for industrial development in resource- constrained countries (Lin et al. 2013)	Wealthier households tend to waste more food (Parfitt et al. 2010), but unclear how reducing waste may contribute to reducing inequality.	There have been large increases in the throughput of materials such as the food-waste stream, import and solid-waste accumulation in urban areas	Post-consumer food waste in industrialised countries (222 million ton) is almost as high as the total net food production in sub-Saharan Africa (230 million ton). (FAO 2011b),	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	Reducing food waste may be related to food packaging, which is a major source of ocean pollution, but relationship	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	Food waste can contribute to higher food prices and constraints on trade (Tefera 2012)

6-50

		(Dorward 2012). Redistribution of food surplus to the poor could also have impacts on poverty (Papargyropoulou et al. 2014)	food (FAO 2011b; Smith 2013), but it is unclear if this would benefit those who are food insecure in developing countries (Herrel and Baldos 2016).	2014). Changes in packaging to reduce waste might have negative health impacts (e.g., increased contamination) (Claudio 2012)		Women also generate more food waste and could be a site for intervention (Thyberg and Tonjes 2016)	in food waste could provide significant co-benefits for freshwater provision and on nutrient cycling (Kummu et al. 2012). Muller et al. (2017) found that lower impact agriculture could be practiced if dietary change and waste reduction were implemented, leading to lower GHG emissions, lower rates of deforestation, and decreases in use of fertiliser (nitrogen and phosphorus), pesticides, water and energy.	biofuel (Uçkun Kiran et al. 2014)	year as food waste; South Africans throw out 7 billion USD worth of food per year (Nahman and de Lange 2013). Reductions of post-consumer waste would increase household income (Hodges et al. 2011)			(Grimm et al. 2008). Reducing compostable food waste reduces need for landfills (Smit and Nasr 1992; Zaman and Lehmann 2011)	thereby reducing waste contributes to sustainable consumption.		is not known (Hoornweg et al. 2013).			
	Material substitution	N/A	Could increase demand for wood and compete with land for agriculture, but no evidence of this yet.	N/A	N/A	N/A	If water is used efficiently in production of wood, likely to be positive impact over cement production (Gustavsson and Sathre 2011)	Concrete frames require 60-80% more energy than wood (Börjesson and Gustavsson 2000). Material substitution can reduce embodied energy of buildings construction by up to 20% (Thormark 2006; Upton et al. 2008)	The relationship between material substitution and GDP growth is unclear (Moore et al. 1996)	Material substitution may reduce need for industrial production of cement etc. (Petersen and Solberg 2005)	N/A	Changing materials for urban construction can reduce cities' ecological footprint (Zaman and Lehmann 2013)	Material substitution is a form of sustainable production/con sumption which replaces cement and other energy-intensive materials with wood (Fiksel 2006)	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	Overall reduced emissions would decrease rate of ocean acidification (Doney et al. 2009)	See main text on descrification and degradation	N/A	N/A
Supply management	Sustainable sourcing	Value-adding has been promoted as a successful poverty reduction strategy in many countries (Lundy et al. 2002; Whitfield 2012; Swanson 2006). Volatility of food supply and food price spikes in 2007 increased the number of people under the poverty line by between	Poor farmers can benefit from value- adding and new markets (Bamman 2007) and may help to improve food security by increasing its economic performance and revenues to local	Value-chains can help increase the nutritional status of food reaching consumers (Fan and Pandya-Lorch 2012)	Value- adding can increase income that could be spent on education, but no data available	Women are highly employed in value-added agriculture in many developing countries, but do not always gain substantive benefits (Dolan and Sorby 2003). Value-chains that target	Value-added products might require additional water use (Guan and Hubacek 2007), but depends on context.	N/A	Value-adding and export diversification generates additional employment and expands GDP in developing countries in particular (Newfarmer et al. 2009)	Value adding can create incentives to improve infrastructure in processing (Delgado 2010). Expanding value chains can incorporate new sources of food producers into industrial systems of distribution	Value-adding can be an important component of additional employment for poorer areas, and can contribute to reductions in overall inequality. However, data shows that high-value agriculture is not always a pathway toward	Value-adding can increase incentives to keep peri- urban agriculture, but faces threats from rising land prices in urban areas (Midmore and Jansen 2003)	Value-adding in agriculture (e.g., fair trade, organic) can be an important source of sustainable consumption and production (De Haen and Réquillart 2014)	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	N/A	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	Value-adding has a strong relationship to expanding trade in developing countries in particular (Newfarmer et al. 2009)

6-51

100 million people farmers women could (Bioom and Hinrichs 2011)	
2008) to 450 al. 2010). gender equity, million people (Brinkman et al. 2009), and caused adding is (Gengenbach welfare losses of captured et al. 2018) and sorby 2003), and and sorby 2003), and much value-adding is captured not by smallholders but	
million people (Brinkman et al. 2009), and caused welfare losses of adding is et al. 2018) welfare losses of adding is captured on by smallholders but	
(Brinkman et al. 2009), and caused adding is (Gengenbach captured to table to tall 2018) and caused welfare losses of captured et al. 2018) smallholders but	
2009), and caused welfare losses of captured et al. 2018) (Gengenbach et al. 2018) (Gengenbach et al. 2018)	1
welfare losses of captured et al. 2018) smallholders but	
3% or more for upstream, higher up the	
poor households not by poor chain (Neilson	
in many countries producers 2007)	
(Zezza et al. (McMichael	
2009). and	
Schneider	
2011). Food	
prices	
strongly	
affect food	
security	
(Lewis and	
Witham Witham	
2012; Regmi	
and Meade	
2013;	
Fujimori et	
1.0109).	1
and policies	1
to decrease	
volatility	
will likely	
have strong	
impacts on	
food security	
Timmer	
2009:	
Torlesse et	
al. 2003;	
Raleigh et al.	
Kanergur et al.	
Reducing food Improving Excessive	-
transport costs storage disruptions in	
generally helps efficiency food supply can Food volatility	
poor farmers can reduce place strains on makes it more	
(Altman et al. food waste infrastructure challenging to	
2009). More than and health Reduction (e.g., needing supply food to Improved	
200 million USD risks in staple additional vulnerable food	Better
is generated in associated food price storage regions, and distribution	transport
fresh fruit and veg with poor costs to Food supply facilities (Yang likely increases can contribute	improves
trade between storage consumers root supply lastinited and Zehnder inequality to better food	chances for
uade netween storage consumers instanting and Zenniner	expanding
UK; much has practices quality food is Bangladesh by price Improved food Hertel 2015; stronger	trade in
contributed to (James and a major from food volatility, transport can Frank et al. urban	developing
poverty reduction James 2010; Contributor to stability Food imports which can be create demands 2017; Porter et communities	countries
and better Bradford t whether a diet policies can driven by for improved al. 2014; (Kantor 2001; Improved	(Newfarmer
transport could al. 2018; is healthy or saved ural contribute to rapid infrastructure Wheeler and von Hendrickson storage and	et al. 2009),
increase the Temba et al. not (Neff et al. households water economic (Akkerman et Braun 2013). et al. 2006), distribution are	Well-planned
amout generated 2016; 2009). 887 USD scarcity growth, and al. 2010; Improved food Food price likely to	trade systems
amount generated 2010, 2009). Scarcity grown, and al. 2010, improve tood reversible of the contribute to (MacGregor and Stathers et Increased million through which can Shively and distribution spikes often contribute to	may act as a
Vorley 2006; al. 2013; distribution total 'embodied' Food supply chains contribute to Thapa 2016, could reduce hit urban sustainable	buffer to
Murithi and Matz Tirado et al. and access of (Tofesse Women and or 'virtual' and flows have consumer For example, inequality in consumers production by	supply food
2015. Volatility 2010. There packaged et al. girls are often water adverse effects due price inflation weatherproofing access to high-	to vulnerable
of food supply and is some foods, 2003, but the most accounting to reliance on non- and higher transport quality nutritious food-	
food price spikes limited however, can N/A if this effected in (Yang and renewable energy import costs systems and foods. Food - importing paper/card and See	regions (Baldos and
in 2007 increased evidence that decrease increased households Zehnder (Kurian 2017; Scott as a improving the nescener countries, and aluminum and main	Hertel 2015;
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Frank et al. 2017; Porter
people under the transport on- outcomes on are food and Hubacek biofuels can GDP leading food trade benefit from stability can mining used climate See mair poverty line by farm (Galal et al. education shortages 2007; Hanjra destabilise food to account (Ingram et al. better access and reduce risk of for food mitigati on	et al. 2014;
	von Braun
	n N/A 2013).
2008) to 450 developing	

6-52

	million people (Brinkman et al. 2009), and caused welfare losses of 3% or more for poor households.	countries (Hine 1993).							inadequate infrastructure and weak food distribution systems (Vermeulen et al. 2012b), can strengthen climate resilience against future climate-related shocks (Ingram et al. 2016; Stathers et al. 2013)	Coveney and O'Dwyer 2009)							
Enhanced urban food systems (UFS)	Regional food systems present opportunities for interconnectedness of the food system's component resilient food supply systems and city-regions have an important role (Brinkley et al. 2013; Rocha 2016). However, there is mixed evidence on urban agriculture's contribution to poverty reduction (Ellis and Sumberg 1998).	insecurity in urban areas is often invisible (Crush and Frayne 2011). Improved UFS manage flows of food into, within, and out of the cities and have large role to play in reducing urban food security (Smit 2016; Benis and Ferrão 2017; Brinkley et al. 2013; Rocha 2016; Maxwell and Wiebe 1999), particularly in fostering regional food self-reliance (Aldababseh et al. 2018; Bustamante et al. 2014).	Since urban poor spend a great deal of their budget on food and urban diets are exposed to more unhealthy 'fast foods' (Dixon et al. 2007), local UFS can contribute to enhanced nutrition in urban areas (Tao et al. 2009). However, local urban agriculture also may introduce pollution into food systems through toxins in soil and water (Binns et al. 2003).	School feeding programme s in urban areas can increase educational attendance and outcomes (Ashe and Somiino 2013).	Urban and Peri-urban Agriculture and Forestry (UPAF) addresses gender-based differences in accessing food since women play an important role in the provisioning of urban food (Tao et al. 2015; Binns and Lynch 1998). Women also dominate informal urban food provisioning (wet markets, street food) (Smith 1998).	Water access is often a constraint on urban agriculture (de Bon et al. 2010; Badami and Ramankutty 2015). Urban agriculture can exacerbate urban water pollution problems (pesticide runoff, etc) (Pothukuchi and Kaufman 1999)	Local food production and use can reduce energy use, due to lower demand of resources for production, transport and infrastructure (Lee-Smith 2010), but depends on context (Mariola 2008; Coley et al. 2009)	UFS have as one aim to stimulate local economic development and increase employment in urban agriculture and food processing (Smith 1998). As many as 50% of some cities' retail jobs are in food-related sector (Pothukuchi and Kaufman 1999) Phytosanitary	Urban food provisioning creates demands for expanded infrastructure in processing, refrigeration, and transportation (Pothukuchi and Kaufman 1999)	Many UFS in global South (e.g., Belo Horizonte, Brazil) have goals to reduce inequality in access to food. (Dixon et al. 2007; Allen 2010)	UFS aim at improving the health status of urban dwellers, reducing their exposure to pollution levels, and stimulating economic development (Tao et al. 2015)	UFS aim to combine sustainable production and consumption with local foodsheds (Tao et al. 2015; Allen 2010)	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	Overall reduced emissions would decrease rate of ocean acidification (Doney et al. 2009)	See main text on desertification and degradation	Building a resilient regional food system requires adjusting to the social and cultural environment and locally-specific natural resource base and building local institutions (Akhtar et al. 2016). Production of food within cities can potentially lead to less likelihood of urban food shortages, and conflicts (Cohen and Garrett 2010)	N/A
Improved food processing and retailing	Food processing has been a useful strategy for poverty reduction in some countries (Weinberger and Lumpkin 2007; Haggblade et al. 2010).	Efficiency in food processing and supply chains can contribute to more food reaching consumers and improved nutrition (Vermeulen et al. 2012b;	processing and distribution and storage systems can provide safer and healthier food to consumers (Vermeulen et al. 2012b) and reduce food waste and health risks associated	N/A	Improved food processing can displace street venders and informal food sellers, who are predominantly women (Smith 1998; Dixon et al. 2007).	processing and packaging activities such as washing, heating, cooling are heavily dependent on freshwater, so improved postharvest storage and	Food processing and packaging activities such as heating and cooling are heavily dependent on energy, so improved efficiency could reduce energy demand (Carcia and You 2016).	barriers currently prevent much food export from developing countries, and improvements in processing would increase exports and GDP (Henson and Loader	Improvements in processing, refrigeration, and transportation will require investments in improved infrastructure (Ingram 2011).	N/A	Improved food transport can reduce cities' ecological footprints and reduce overall emissions (Du et al. 2006).	Improved food processing and agro-retailing contributes to sustainable production (Ingram 2011).	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	Overall reduced emissions would decrease rate of ocean acidification (Doney et al. 2009)	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	Improved processing increases chances for expanding trade in developing countries (Newfarmer et al. 2009)

6-53

		2013)	storage management practices (James and James 2010), although overpackaged prepared foods that are less healthy are also on rise (Monteiro 2009; Monteiro et al. 2011).			could reduce water demand via more efficiently performing systems (Garcia and You 2016).		Jongwanich 2009). There is no clear									
Improved energy use in food systems	Might possibly have impact on poverty by reducing farmer costs, but no data.	Utilising energy-saving strategies can support reduced food waste (Ingram et al. 2016) and increased production efficiencies (Smith and Gregory 2013).	Organic agriculture is associated with increased energy efficiency, which have co-benefits by reduced exposure to agrochemicals by farm workers (Gomiero et al. 2008).	N/A	Increased efficiency might reduce women's labour workloads on farms (Rahman 2010) but data is scarce.	Increased energy efficiency (e.g., in irrigation) can lead to more efficient water use (Rothausen and Conway 2011; Ringler and Lawford 2013).	Increased energy efficiency will reduce demands for energy but can have rebound effect in expanded acreage (Swanton et al. 1996)	association between higher energy use in agriculture and economic growth; these have become decoupled in many countries (Bonny 1993). Data is unclear though on economic impacts of potential cost savings.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Reducing energy use in agriculture contributes to sustainable production goals (Ingram et al. 2016).	See main text on climate mitigati on and adaptati on	Overall reduced emissions would decrease rate of ocean acidification (Doney et al. 2009).	See main text on desertification and degradation	N/A	N/A

2

Table SM6.14 | Impacts on the UN SDG of integrated response options based on risk management.

3

Integrated response options based on risk management		GOAL 1: No Poverty	GOAL 2: Zero Hunger	GOAL 3: Good Health and Well-being	GOAL 4: Quality Education	GOAL 5: Gender Equality	GOAL 6: Clean Water and Sanitation	GOAL 7: Affordable and Clean Energy	GOAL 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth	GOAL 9: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure	GOAL 10: Reduced Inequality	GOAL 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities	GOAL 12: Responsible Consumption and Production	GOAL 13: Climate Action	GOAL 14: Life Below Water	GOAL 15: Life on Land	GOAL 16: Peace and Justice Strong Institutions	GOAL 17: Partnershi ps to achieve the Goal
			There are	Strong					Sprawl is	Urban sprawl		Urban sprawl is	Reducing urban					
			likely to be	association			Urban sprawl is		associated	often increases		associated with	sprawl and				There are	
		Inner-city	some benefits	between			associated with	Sprawling or	with rapid	public		unsustainability,	promoting				debates over	
		poverty closely	for food	urban			higher levels of	informal	economic	infrastructure		including	community				the role of	
		associated with	security since	sprawl and			water pollution	settlements	growth in	costs (Brueckner		increased transport	gardens and				urban sprawl	
		urban sprawl in	it is often	poorer			due to loss of	often do not	some areas	2000), and	Urban	and CO ₂	periurban	See main			in reducing	
		US context	agricultural	health			filtering vegetation	have access to	(Brueckner	densification	sprawl is	emissions, lack of	agriculture can	text on		See main	social capital	
		(Frumkin 2002;	land that is	outcomes			and increasing	electricity or	2000).	and	associated	access to services,	contribute to	climate		text on	and	
		Powell 1999;	sealed by the	(air			impervious	other services,	Reducing	redevelopment	with	and loss of civic	more sustainable	mitigation		desertific	weakening	
	Management	Jargowsky	urban	pollution,			surfaces (Romero	increasing	urban sprawl	can improve	inequality	life (Kombe 2005;	production in	and		ation and	participatory	1
	of urban	2002; Deng and	expansion	obesity,			and Ordenes 2004;	chances that	is part of	equality of	(Jargowsky	Andersson 2006).	cities (Turner	adaptatio		degradati	governance in	
	sprawl	Huang 2004).	(Barbero-	traffic	N/A	N/A	Tu et al. 2007).	households	many	access to	2002)	Sustainable cities	2011)	n	N/A	on	cities	N/A
			Sierra et al.	accidents)				rely on dirty	managed	infrastructure		include					(Frumkin	1

		2013). Some evidence for	(Frumkin 2002; Lopez				fuels (Dhingra et al. 2008)	'smart growth' plans,	(Jenks and Burgess 2000).		compactness, sustainable					2002; Nguyen 2010)	
		sprawl reducing food production, particularly in	2004; Freudenberg et al. 2005).					which may reduce overall economic growth in			transport, density, mixed land uses, diversity, passive solar design, and						
		China (Chen 2007).						return for sustainability			greening (Chen et al. 2008; Jabareen						
								benefits (Godschalk 2003).			2006; Andersson 2006).						
		Diversification		More diversified households	Women are												
		is associated with increased access to	More	tend to be more affluent, and have	participants in and benefit from					The relationship between							
		income and additional food sources	diversified livelihoods have	more disposal income for education	livelihood diversificatio n, such as					livelihood diversificati on and	One part of urban livelihoods in developing	Livelihood diversification does not always					
	Diversification is associated	for the household (Pretty 2003);	diversified diets which have better	(Ellis 1998; Estudillo and Otsuka 1999;	having increased control over					inequality is inconclusiv e (Ellis	countries is the linkage between rural and urban	lead to sustainable production and					
	with increased welfare and incomes and	likely some food security benefits but	health outcomes (Block and	Steward 2007), but diversification	sources of household income		Access to clean energy can provide	Livelihood diversification by definition		1998). In some cases, diversificati	areas through migration and remittances	consumption choices, but it can strengthen					
	decreased levels of poverty in	diversification can also lead to more	Webb 2001; Kadi yala et al. 2014)	through migration may reduce	(Smith 2014), although it	Lack of access to affordable water	additional opportunities for livelihood	contributes to employment by providing		on reduces inequality (Adams	(Rakodi 1999; Rakodi and Lloyd- Jones 2002). This	autonomy, potentially leading to better	See main text on		See main		
	several country studies (Arslan et al. 2018;	purchased (unhealthy) foods (Niehof	particularly for women and children	educational outcomes for children	can increase their labour requirements	may inhibit livelihood diversification	diversification (Brew- Hammond	additional work opportunities		1994) while in others it increases it	livelihood diversification can strengthen urban	choices (Elmqvist and Olsson 2007;	climate mitigation and		text on desertific ation and		
Livelihood diversification	Asfaw et al. 2018).	2004; Barrett et al. 2001).	(Pretty 2003).	(Gioli et al. 2014)	(Angeles and Hill 2009).	(Calow et al. 2010).	2010; Suckall et al. 2015).	(Ellis 1998; Niehof 2004).	N/A	(Reardon et al. 2008).	income (Ricci 2012).	Schneider and Niederle 2010).	adaptatio n	N/A	degradati on	N/A	N/A
			Local seed use is associated														
			with fewer pesticides (Altieri et														
		Local seeds revive and strengthen	al. 2012) loss of local seeds and														
		local food systems (McMichael	substitution by commercial														
		and Schneider 2011) and lead to more	seeds is perceived by farmers		Women play important roles in							Locally developed seeds can help protect				Seed	Seed sovereignty
	Many hundreds of millions of smallholders	diverse and healthy food in areas with	to increase health risks (Mazzeo		preserving and using local seeds			Food sovereignty		Seed sovereignty advocates		local agrobiodiversity and can often be				sovereignty is positively associated	could be seen as threat to
	still rely on local seeds; without them	strong food sovereignty networks	and Brenton 2013), although		(Ngcoya and Kumarakulas ingam 2017;			supporters believe that protecting		believe it will contribute	Seed sovereignty can help	more climate resilient than generic				with strong local food movements,	free trade and imports
	they would have to find money to buy	(Coomes et al. 2015; Bisht et al. 2018).	overall literature on links		Bezner Kerr 2013) and sovereignty	Local seeds often		smallholder agriculture provides more		to reduced inequality (Wittman	sustainable urban gardening (Demailly and	commercial varieties, leading to more	See main			which contribute to social capital	genetically modified seeds
	commercial seeds (Altieri et	However local seeds are often	between food		movements paying more	have lower water demands, as well		employment than		2011; Park et al. 2015)	Darly 2017) which can be part of a	sustainable production	text on climate		See main text on	(McMichael and Schneider	(Kloppenbe rg 2010;
Use of local	al. 2012; McGuire and Sperling 2016;	less productive than improved	and health is weak (Jones		attention to gender needs (Park et al.	as less use of pesticides that can contaminate water		commercial agriculture (Kloppenberg		but there is inconclusiv e empirical	sustainable city by providing fresh, local food (Leitgeb	(Coomes et al. 2015; Van Niekerk and	mitigation and adaptatio		desertific ation and degradati	2011; Coomes et al. 2015; Grey and	Howard 2015; Kloppenbur
seeds	Howard 2015).	varieties.	et al. 2015).	N/A	2015).	(Adhikari 2014).	N/A	2010).	N/A	evidence.	et al. 2016).	Wynberg 2017).	n	N/A	on	Patel 2015).	g 2014).

Disaster risk management (DRM)	DRM can help prevent impoverishment as disasters are a major factor in poverty (Basher 2006; Fothergill and Peek 2004).	Famine early warning systems (EWS) have successfully prevent impending food shortages (Genesio et al. 2011; Hillbruner and Moloney 2012).	EWS is very important for public health to ensure that people can get shelter and medical care during disasters (Greenough et al. 2001; Ebi and Schmier 2005).	N/A	Women often disproportion ately affected by disasters; gender-sensitive EWS can reduce their vulnerability (Enarson and Meyreles 2004; Mustafa et al. 2015)	Many EWS include water- monitoring components that contribute to access to clean water (Wilhite 2005; Iglesias et al. 2007). Some urban areas use water EWS successfully to monitor levels of contaminants (Hasan et al. 2009; Hou et al. 2013).	N/A	DRM can help minimise damage from disasters, which impacts on economic growth (Basher 2006).	DRM can help protect infrastructures from damage during disasters (Rogers and Tsirkunov 2011).	EWS can ensure that inequality is taken into account when making predictions of impacts (Khan et al. 1992).	EWS can be very effective in urban settings – for example, heat wave EWS and flooding EWS to minimise vulnerability (Parnell et al. 2007; Bambrick et al. 2011; Djordjević et al. 2011).	DRM can make sustainable production more possible by providing farmers with advance notice of environmental needs (Stigter et al. 2000; Parr et al. 2003).	See main text on climate mitigation and adaptatio n	EWS can play important role in marine managemen t, for example, warnings of red tide, tsunami warnings for coastal communitie s (Lee et al. 2005; Lauterjung et al. 2010).	See main text on descritic ation and degradati on	DRM can reduce risk of conflict (Meier et al. 2007), increase resilience of communities (Mathbor 2007) and strengthen trust in institutions (Altieri et al. 2012)	N/A
	Crop insurance reduces risks, which can improve poverty outcomes by avoiding catastrophic losses, but is often not used	Availability of crop insurance has generally led to (modest) expansions in cultivated land area and increased food production (Claussen et al. 2011 a;	General forms of social protection lead to better health outcomes; unclear how much crop insurance contributes	Households lacking insurance may withdraw children from school after crop shocks (Jacoby and Skoufias 1997;	Women farmers vulnerable to crop shocks, but tend to be more risk- averse and sceptical of commercial insurance (Akter et al. 2016; Fletschner	Crop insurance can be indexed to weather and water access and thereby increase adapation to water stress (Hoff and Bouwer 2003). Subsidised insurance can also be linked to reductions in pesticide use to reduce nonpoint source pollution, which has shown success in the		Subsidised crop insurance contributes to conomic growth in the USA (Atwood et al. 1996) but at considerable cost to the				Crop insurance has been implicated as a driver of unsustainable production and disincentive to diversification (Bowman and Zilberman 2013), although community risk- sharing might increase	See main text on climate mitigation and	There is mixed evidence that crop insurance may encourage excess fertiliser use (Kramer et al. 1983; Wu 1999; Smith and Goodwin 1996), which contributes to ocean pollution; however, some government s are requiring reductions in nonpoint source pollution from farms, otherwise farmers lose crop	See main text on desertific	Community risk-sharing instruments can help strenthen resilience and	Subsidised crop insurance can be seen as a subsidy and barrier to trade
Risk-sharing instruments	by poorest people (Platteau et al. 2017).	Goodwin et al. 2004).	(Tirivayi et al. 2016).	Bandara et al. 2015).	and Kenney 2014).	USA and China (Luo et al. 2014)	N/A	governance (Glauber 2004).	N/A	N/A	N/A	diversification and production.	adaptatio n	insurance (Iho et al. 2015).	ation and degradati on	institutions (Agrawal 2001).	(Young and Westcott 2000).

Supplementary information for Section 6.5.4

	IAM Study	C	M	A	D	L	F	0
Alexander et al. 2018	No			Yes				Yes
Baker et al. 2019	No		Yes					
Baldos and Hertel 2014	No						Yes	
Bauer et al. 2018	Yes		Yes					
Bertram et al. 2018	Yes		Yes				Yes	Yes
Ten Brink et al. 2018	Mixed				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Calvin et al. 2013	Yes		Yes	Yes				
Calvin et al. 2014	Yes		Yes				Yes	Yes
Calvin et al. 2016a	Yes		Yes					
Calvin et al. 2016b	Yes		Yes					
Calvin et al. 2017	Yes		Yes				Yes	
Calvin et al. 2019	Yes		Yes					Yes
Chaturvedi et al. 2013	Yes		Yes					Yes
Clarke et al. 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes					Yes
Collins et al. 2013	No	Yes						
Daioglou et al. 2019	Yes		Yes					
Doelman et al. 2018	Yes		Yes				Yes	
Edmonds et al. 2013	Yes		Yes					
Favero and Massetti 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Frank et al. 2015	IAM-land		Yes					
Frank et al. 2017	Yes		Yes				Yes	
Fricko et al. 2017	Yes		Yes					
Fujimori et al. 2017	Yes		Yes					
Fujimori et al. 2019	Yes		Yes				Yes	
Fujimori et al. 2019	Mixed		Yes				Yes	
Gao and Bryan 2017	No		Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes
Graham et al. 2018	Yes						1	Yes
Grubler et al. 2018	Yes		Yes				Yes	Yes
Hanasaki et al. 2013	Yes							Yes
Harrison et al. 2016	Yes							Yes
Hasegawa et al. 2015a	Yes						Yes	
Hasegawa et al. 2015b	Yes						Yes	
Hasegawa et al. 2018	Mixed			Yes			Yes	
Heck et al. 2018	Mixed	Yes	Yes				1	Yes
Hejazi et al. 2014b	Yes		Yes					Yes
Hejazi et al. 2015	Yes		Yes					Yes
Humpenöder et al. 2014	Yes		Yes					
Humpenöder et al. 2018	IAM-land		Yes				Yes	Yes
Iyer et al. 2018	Yes	1	Yes	1	1	1	Yes	Yes
Jones et al. 2013	Yes	Yes	103		†	1	103	100
Jones et al. 2015	Yes	103	Yes					
Kim et al. 2016	Yes	1	103	Yes	†	1	Yes	Yes
Kraxner et al. 2013	No	1	Yes	103	†	1	103	Yes
Kreidenweis et al. 2016	Yes		Yes		1		Yes	100
Kriegler et al. 2017	Yes	1	Yes		†	1	Yes	
Kriegler et al. 2018a	Mixed	1	Yes	1	1	1	103	
Kriegler et al. 2018b	Yes	1	Yes	1	1	1	1	
Kyle et al. 2014	Yes	+	Yes	Yes	+	1	+	
Lamontagne et al. 2018	Yes	+	Yes	108	+	+	+	
Le Page et al. 2013	Yes	+	Yes	+	+	+	+	
Le rage et al. 2015	168	1	1 68	1		1		

Liu et al. 2017	No			Yes			Yes	
Lotze-Campen et al. 2013	Mixed			Yes			Yes	
Monier et al. 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			1	Yes
Mouratiadou et al. 2016	Yes		Yes					Yes
Muratori et al. 2016	Yes		Yes				Yes	
Nelson et al. 2014	Mixed			Yes			Yes	
Newbold et al. 2015	Mixed							Yes
Obersteiner et al. 2016	IAM-land						Yes	Yes
Parkinson et al. 2019	Yes		Yes					Yes
Patrizio et al. 2018	No		Yes					Yes
Pedercini et al. 2018	No						Yes	Yes
Pikaar et al. 2018	IAM-land		Yes					Yes
Popp et al. 2014	Yes		Yes					
Popp et al. 2017	Yes		Yes				Yes	
Powers and Jetz 2019	No							Yes
Riahi et al. 2017	Yes		Yes				Yes	
Ringler et al. 2016	Yes			Yes			Yes	Yes
Rogelj et al. 2018b	Yes		Yes					
Springmann et al. 2018	No		Yes					Yes
Stehfest et al. 2019	Mixed							
Stevanovic et al. 2016	IAM-land			Yes				
Stevanović et al. 2017	IAM-land		Yes				Yes	
Tai et al. 2014	No						Yes	
Thornton et al. 2017	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	
UNCCD 2017	Mixed				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Van Meijl et al. 2018	Mixed		Yes	Yes			Yes	
Van Vuuren et al. 2015	Yes		Yes				Yes	Yes
Van Vuuren et al. 2017a	Yes		Yes					
Van Vuuren et al. 2018	Yes		Yes					
Weindl et al. 2015	IAM-land			Yes			Yes	
Weindl et al. 2017	IAM-land		Yes					
Wiebe et al. 2015	Mixed			Yes			Yes	
Wolff et al. 2018	No				Yes	Yes		Yes
Wu et al. 2019	Yes							
Yamagata et al. 2018	No					Yes		Yes

References

- Abass, A. B. et al., 2014: Post-harvest food losses in a maize-based farming system of semi-arid savannah area of Tanzania. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, **57**, 49–57, doi:10.1016/J.JSPR.2013.12.004.
- Abraham, M., and P. Pingali, 2017: Transforming smallholder agriculture to achieve the SDGs. *The Role of Small Farms in Food and Nutrition Security*, [L. Riesgo, S. Gomez-Y-Paloma, and K. Louhichi (eds.)] Springer, New York, USA.
- Adams, R. H., 1994: Non- farm income and inequality in rural Pakistan: A decomposition analysis. *J. Dev. Stud.*, **31**, 110–133, doi:10.1080/00220389408422350.
- ADB, 2016: Asian Water Development Outlook 2016: Strengthening Water Security in Asia and the Pacific. Asian Development Bank, Mandaluyong City, Philippines, 115 pp.
- Adhikari, J., 2014: Seed Sovereignty: Analysing the debate on hybrid seeds and GMOs and bringing about sustainability in agricultural development. *J. For. Livelihood*, **12**, 33-46.
- Affognon, H., C. Mutungi, P. Sanginga, and C. Borgemeister, 2015: Unpacking postharvest losses in sub-Saharan Africa: A meta-analysis. *World Dev.*, **66**, 49–68, doi:10.1016/J.WORLDDEV.2014.08.002.
- Agboola, J. I., and A. K. Braimoh, 2009: Strategic partnership for sustainable management of aquatic resources. *Water Resour. Manag.*, **23**, 2761–2775, doi:10.1007/s11269-009-9407-4.
- Agrawal, A., 2001: Common property institutions and sustainable governance of resources. *World Dev.*, **29**, 1649–1672, doi:10.1016/S0305-750X(01)00063-8.
- Ahmed, S., and J. R. Stepp, 2016: Beyond yields: Climate change effects on specialty crop quality and agroecological management. *Elem. Sci. Anthr.*, **4**, 92, doi:10.12952/journal.elementa.000092.
- Ainslie, A., 2013: The sociocultural contexts and meanings associated with livestock keeping in rural South Africa. *African J. Range Forage Sci.*, **30**, 35–38, doi:10.2989/10220119.2013.770066.
- Akhtar, P., Y. Tse, Z. Khan, and R. Rao-Nicholson, 2016: Data-driven and adaptive leadership contributing to sustainability: Global agri-food supply chains connected with emerging markets. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, **181**, 392–401.
- Akkerman, R., P. Farahani, and M. Grunow, 2010: Quality, safety and sustainability in food distribution: A review of quantitative operations management approaches and challenges. *OR Spectr.*, **32**, 863–904, doi:10.1007/s00291-010-0223-2.
- Akter, S., T. J. Krupnik, F. Rossi, and F. Khanam, 2016: The influence of gender and product design on farmers' preferences for weather-indexed crop insurance. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **38**, 217–229, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.03.010.
- Al-Thani, H., M. Koç, and R. J. Isaifan, 2018: A review on the direct effect of particulate atmospheric pollution on materials and its mitigation for sustainable cities and societies. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, **25**, 27839–27857, doi:10.1007/s11356-018-2952-8.
- Aldababseh, A., M. Temimi, and P. Maghelal, 2018: Multi-criteria evaluation of irrigated agriculture suitability to achieve food security in an arid environment. *Sustainability*, **10**, 803–836, doi:10.3390/su10030803.
- Alexander, P. et.al., 2018: Adaptation of global land use and management intensity to changes in climate

- and atmospheric carbon dioxide. Glob. Chang. Biol., 24, 2791–2809, doi:10.1111/gcb.14110.
- Allen, P., 2010: Realizing justice in local food systems. *Cambridge J. Reg. Econ. Soc.*, **3**, 295–308, doi:10.1093/cjres/rsq015.
- Altieri, M. A., and D. K. Letourneau, 1982: Vegetation management and biological control in agroecosystems. *Crop Prot.*, **1**, 405–430, doi:10.1016/0261-2194(82)90023-0.
- Altieri, M.A., F. R. Funes-Monzote, and P. Petersen, 2012: Agroecologically efficient agricultural systems for smallholder farmers: Contributions to food sovereignty. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **32**, 1–13, doi:10.1007/s13593-011-0065-6.
- Altman, M., T. G. Hart, and P. T. Jacobs, 2009: Household food security status in South Africa. *Agrekon*, **48**, 345–361, doi:10.1080/03031853.2009.9523831.
- Ananda, J., and G. Herath, 2003: Soil erosion in developing countries: A socio-economic appraisal. *J. Environ. Manage.*, **68**, 343–353, doi:10.1016/S0301-4797(03)00082-3.
- Andersson, E., 2006: Urban landscapes and sustainable cities. *Ecol. Soc.*, **11**, art34, doi:10.5751/ES-01639-110134.
- Andersson, K. P. et al., 2018: Wealth and the distribution of benefits from tropical forests: Implications for REDD+. *Land use policy*, **72**, 510–522, doi:10.1016/J.LANDUSEPOL.2018.01.012.
- Angeles, L. C., and K. Hill, 2009: The gender dimension of the agrarian transition: Women, men and livelihood diversification in two peri-urban farming communities in the Philippines. *Gender, Place Cult.*, **16**, 609–629, doi:10.1080/09663690903148465.
- Angelsen, A., 2010: Climate mitigation and food production in tropical landscapes special feature: Policies for reduced deforestation and their impact on agricultural production. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **107**, 19639–19644.
- Antille, D. L., J. M. Bennett, and T. A. Jensen, 2016: Soil compaction and controlled traffic considerations in Australian cotton-farming systems. *Crop Pasture Sci.*, **67**, 1, doi:10.1071/CP15097.
- Anzures-Dadda, A., E. Andresen, M. L. Martínez, and R. H. Manson, 2011: Absence of howlers (Alouatta palliata) influences tree seedling densities in tropical rain forest fragments in Southern Mexico. *Int. J. Primatol.*, **32**, 634–651, doi:10.1007/s10764-011-9492-0.
- Arhin, A. A., 2014: Safeguards and dangerguards: a framework for unpacking the black box of safeguards for REDD+. *For. Policy Econ.*, **45**, 24–31, doi:10.1016/J.FORPOL.2014.05.003.
- Arneth, A. et al., 2010: From biota to chemistry and climate: Towards a comprehensive description of trace gas exchange between the biosphere and atmosphere. *Biogeosciences*, **7**, 121–149, doi:10.5194/bg-7-121-2010.
- Arnold, J. E. M., and M. R. Pérez, 2001: Can non-timber forest products match tropical forest conservation and development objectives? *Ecol. Econ.*, **39**, 437–447, doi:10.1016/S0921-8009(01)00236-1.
- Arslan, A. et al., 2018: Diversification under climate variability as part of a CSA strategy in rural Zambia. *J. Dev. Stud.*, **54**, 457–480, doi:10.1080/00220388.2017.1293813.
- Asfaw, S., G. Pallante, and A. Palma, 2018: Diversification strategies and adaptation deficit: Evidence from rural communities in Niger. *World Dev.*, **101**, 219–234, doi:10.1016/J.WORLDDEV.2017.09.004.
- Ashe, L. M., and R. Sonnino, 2013: At the crossroads: new paradigms of food security, public health

- nutrition and school food. Public Health Nutr., 16, 1020–1027, doi:10.1017/S1368980012004326.
- Atekwana, E. A., E. Atekwana, F. D. Legall, and R. V. Krishnamurthy, 2005: Biodegradation and mineral weathering controls on bulk electrical conductivity in a shallow hydrocarbon contaminated aquifer. *J. Contam. Hydrol.*, **80**, 149–167, doi:10.1016/J.JCONHYD.2005.06.009.
- Atwood, J. A., M. J. Watts, and A. E. Baquet, 1996: An examination of the effects of price supports and federal crop insurance upon the economic growth, capital structure, and financial survival of wheat growers in the northern High Plains. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, **78**, 212–224, doi:10.2307/1243792.
- Badami, M. G., and N. Ramankutty, 2015: Urban agriculture and food security: A critique based on an assessment of urban land constraints. *Glob. Food Sec.*, **4**, 8–15, doi:10.1016/J.GFS.2014.10.003.
- Bajželj, B. et al., 2014: Importance of food-demand management for climate mitigation. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **4**, 924–929, doi:10.1038/nclimate2353.
- Baker, J. S., C. M. Wade, B. L. Sohngen, S. Ohrel, and A. A. Fawcett, 2019: Potential complementarity between forest carbon sequestration incentives and biomass energy expansion. *Energy Policy*, **126**, 391–401, doi:10.1016/J.ENPOL.2018.10.009.
- Baker, L. E., 2010: Tending cultural landscapes and food citizenship in Toronto's community gardens. *Geogr. Rev.*, **94**, 305–325, doi:10.1111/j.1931-0846.2004.tb00175.x.
- Baldos, U. L. C., and T. W. Hertel, 2014: Global food security in 2050: The role of agricultural productivity and climate change. *Aust. J. Agric. Resour. Econ.*, **58**, 554–570, doi:10.1111/1467-8489.12048.
- Baldos, U. L. C., and T. W. Hertel, 2015: The role of international trade in managing food security risks from climate change. *Food Secur.*, **7**, 275–290, doi:10.1007/s12571-015-0435-z.
- Balmford, A. et al., 2018: The environmental costs and benefits of high-yield farming. *Nat. Sustain.*, **1**, 477, doi:10.1038/s41893-018-0138-5.
- Bambrick, H. J., A. G. Capon, G. B. Barnett, R. M. Beaty, and A. J. Burton, 2011: Climate change and health in the urban environment: Adaptation opportunities in Australian cities. *Asia Pacific J. Public Heal.*, **23**, 67S–79S, doi:10.1177/1010539510391774.
- Bamman, H., 2007: Participatory value chain analysis for improved farmer incomes, employment opportunities and food security. *Pacific Econ. Bull.*, **22**, 113–125.
- Bandara, A., R. Dehejia, and S. Lavie-Rouse, 2015: The impact of income and non-income shocks on child labor: Evidence from a panel survey of Tanzania. *World Dev.*, **67**, 218–237, doi:10.1016/J.WORLDDEV.2014.10.019.
- Bao, C., and C. Fang, 2012: Water resources flows related to urbanization in China: Challenges and perspectives for water management and urban development. *Water Resour. Manag.*, **26**, 531–552, doi:10.1007/s11269-011-9930-y.
- Barbero-Sierra, C., M. J. Marques, and M. Ruíz-Pérez, 2013: The case of urban sprawl in Spain as an active and irreversible driving force for desertification. *J. Arid Environ.*, **90**, 95–102, doi:10.1016/j.jaridenv.2012.10.014.
- Barnes, A. P., and S. G. Thomson, 2014: Measuring progress towards sustainable intensification: How far can secondary data go? *Ecol. Indic.*, **36**, 213–220, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.07.001.
- Barnes, A. P., H. Hansson, G. Manevska-Tasevska, S. S. Shrestha, and S. G. Thomson, 2015: The influence

- of diversification on long-term viability of the agricultural sector. *Land use policy*, **49**, 404–412, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.08.023.
- Barnett, J., and J. Palutikof, 2015: 26 The limits to adaptation. A comparative analysis. In: *Applied Studies in Climate Adaptation*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., UK, 231 pp.
- Barnhart, S., M. Duffy, and D. Smith, 2000: *Estimated cost of pasture and hay production*. Staff Gen. Res. Pap. Arch. 2035 Iowa State Univ. Dep. Econ.,.
- Barrett, C., T. Reardon, and P. Webb, 2001: Nonfarm income diversification and household livelihood strategies in rural Africa: Concepts, dynamics, and policy implications. *Food Policy*, **26**, 315–331, doi:10.1016/S0306-9192(01)00014-8.
- Barry, L. E., R. T. Yao, D. R. Harrison, U. H. Paragahawewa, and D. J. Pannell, 2014: Enhancing ecosystem services through afforestation: How policy can help. *Land use policy*, **39**, 135–145, doi:10.1016/J.LANDUSEPOL.2014.03.012.
- Bartone, C., 1991: Environmental challenge in third world cities. *J. Am. Plan. Assoc.*, **57**, 411–415, doi:10.1080/01944369108975515.
- Basher, R., 2006: Global early warning systems for natural hazards: Systematic and people-centred. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, **364**, 2167–2182, doi:10.1098/rsta.2006.1819.
- Baudron, F. et al., 2015: Re-examining appropriate mechanization in Eastern and Southern Africa: Two-wheel tractors, conservation agriculture, and private sector involvement. *Food Secur.*, **7**, 889–904, doi:10.1007/s12571-015-0476-3.
- Bauer, N. et al., 2018: Global energy sector emission reductions and bioenergy use: Overview of the bioenergy demand phase of the EMF-33 model comparison. *Clim. Change*, 1–16, doi:10.1007/s10584-018-2226-y.
- Baveye, P. C., J. Berthelin, D. Tessier, and G. Lemaire, 2018: The "4 per 1000" initiative: A credibility issue for the soil science community? *Geoderma*, **309**, 118–123, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.05.005.
- Beauchemin, K. A., M. Kreuzer, F. O'Mara, and T. A. McAllister, 2008: Nutritional management for enteric methane abatement: A review. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.*, **48**, 21–27.
- Beaune, D., B. Fruth, L. Bollache, G. Hohmann, and F. Bretagnolle, 2013: Doom of the elephant-dependent trees in a Congo tropical forest. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, **295**, 109–117, doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2012.12.041.
- Beck, D. A., G. R. Johnson, and G. A. Spolek, 2011: Amending greenroof soil with biochar to affect runoff water quantity and quality. *Environ. Pollut.*, **159**, 2111–2118, doi:10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2011.01.022.
- Becker, D. R., D. Larson, and E. C. Lowell, 2009: Financial considerations of policy options to enhance biomass utilization for reducing wildfire hazards. *For. Policy Econ.*, **11**, 628–635, doi:10.1016/J.FORPOL.2009.08.007.
- Beerling, D. J. et al., 2018: Farming with crops and rocks to address global climate, food and soil security. *Nat. Plants*, **4**, 138.
- Begum, B. A. et al., 2011: Long–range transport of soil dust and smoke pollution in the South Asian region. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.*, **2**, 151–157, doi:10.5094/APR.2011.020.

- Benis, K., and P. Ferrão, 2017: Potential mitigation of the environmental impacts of food systems through urban and peri-urban agriculture (UPA): A life cycle assessment approach. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **140**, 784–795, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.05.176.
- Berman, R., C. Quinn, and J. Paavola, 2012: The role of institutions in the transformation of coping capacity to sustainable adaptive capacity. *Environ. Dev.*, **2**, 86–100, doi:10.1016/j.envdev.2012.03.017.
- Bernex, N., 2016: *Linking Ecosystem Services and Water Security SDGs Offer a New Opportunity for Integration*. Global Water Partnership, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Berthrong, S. T., E. G. Jobbágy, and R. B. Jackson, 2009: A global meta-analysis of soil exchangeable cations, pH, carbon, and nitrogen with afforestation. *Ecol. Appl.*, **19**, 2228–2241, doi:10.1890/08-1730.1.
- Bertram, C. et al., 2018: Targeted policies can compensate most of the increased sustainability risks in 1.5°C mitigation scenarios. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **13**, 64038, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aac3ec
- Beusen, A. H. W. et al., 2016: Global riverine N and P transport to ocean increased during the 20th century despite increased retention along the aquatic continuum. *Biogeosciences*, **13**, 2441–2451, doi:10.5194/bg-13-2441-2016.
- Bezner Kerr, R., 2013: Seed struggles and food sovereignty in northern Malawi. *J. Peasant Stud.*, **40**, 867–897, doi:10.1080/03066150.2013.848428.
- Bhattacharyya, R. et al., 2015: Soil degradation in India: Challenges and potential solutions. *Sustainability*, **7**, 3528–3570, doi:10.3390/su7043528.
- Binns, J. A., R. A. Maconachie, and A. I. Tanko, 2003: Water, land and health in urban and peri-urban food production: The case of Kano, Nigeria. *L. Degrad. Dev.*, **14**, 431–444, doi:10.1002/ldr.571.
- Binns, T., and K. Lynch, 1998: Feeding Africa's growing cities into the 21st century: The potential of urban agriculture. *J. Int. Dev.*, **10**, 777–793, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1328(1998090)10:6<777::AID-JID532>3.0.CO;2-Z.
- Birkmann, J. et al., 2015: Scenarios for vulnerability: Opportunities and constraints in the context of climate change and disaster risk. *Clim. Change*, **133**, 53–68, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0913-2.
- Bisbis, M. B., N. Gruda, and M. Blanke, 2018: Potential impacts of climate change on vegetable production and product quality A review. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **170**, 1602–1620, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.224.
- Bisht, I. S. et al., 2018: Farmers' rights, local food systems, and sustainable household dietary diversification: A case of Uttarakhand Himalaya in north-western India. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **42**, 77–113, doi:10.1080/21683565.2017.1363118.
- Block, S., and P. Webb, 2001: The dynamics of livelihood diversification in post-famine Ethiopia. *Food Policy*, **26**, 333–350, doi:10.1016/S0306-9192(01)00015-X.
- Blomley, T., and H. Ramadhani, 2006: Going to scale with participatory forest management: Early lessons from Tanzania. *Int. For. Rev.*, **8**, 93–100, doi:10.1505/ifor.8.1.93.
- Bloom, J. D., and C. C. Hinrichs, 2011: Moving local food through conventional food system infrastructure: Value chain framework comparisons and insights. *Renew. Agric. Food Syst.*, **26**, 13–23, doi:10.1017/S1742170510000384.
- Bobbink, R., D. F. Whigham, B. Beltman, and J. T. A. Verhoeven, 2006: Wetland functioning in relation

- to biodiversity conservation and restoration. *Wetlands: Functioning, Biodiversity Conservation, and Restoration*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, 1–12.
- Boelee, E., T. Chiramba, and E. Khaka, 2011: *An Ecosystem Services Approach to Water and Food Security*. International Water Management Institute (IWMI), Colombo, Sri Lanka, 35 pp.
- de Bon, H., L. Parrot, and P. Moustier, 2010: Sustainable urban agriculture in developing countries. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **30**, 21–32, doi:10.1051/agro:2008062.
- Bonn, A., M. Reed, C. Evans, H. Joosten, and C. B. Services, 2014: Investing in nature: Developing ecosystem service markets for peatland restoration. *Ecosystem*, **9**, 54–65, doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2014.06.011.
- Bonny, S., 1993: Is agriculture using more and more energy? A French case study. *Agric. Syst.*, **43**, 51–66, doi:10.1016/0308-521X(93)90092-G.
- Bonsch, M. et al., 2015: Environmental flow provision: Implications for agricultural water and land-use at the global scale. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **30**, 113–132, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.10.015.
- Börjesson, P., and L. Gustavsson, 2000: Greenhouse gas balances in building construction: Wood versus concrete from life-cycle and forest land-use perspectives. *Energy Policy*, **28**, 575–588, doi:10.1016/S0301-4215(00)00049-5.
- Boval, M., and R. M. Dixon, 2012: The importance of grasslands for animal production and other functions: A review on management and methodological progress in the tropics. *Animal*, **6**, 748–762, doi:10.1017/S1751731112000304.
- Bowman, D. M. J. S., and F. H. Johnston, 2005: Wildfire smoke, fire management, and human health. *Ecohealth*, **2**, 76–80, doi:10.1007/s10393-004-0149-8.
- Bowman, M. S., and D. Zilberman, 2013: Economic factors affecting diversified farming systems. *Ecol. Soc.*, **18**, art33, doi:10.5751/ES-05574-180133.
- Boysen, L. R., W. Lucht, and D. Gerten, 2017a: Trade-offs for food production, nature conservation and climate limit the terrestrial carbon dioxide removal potential. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, **23**, 4303–4317, doi:10.1111/gcb.13745.
- Boysen, L. R. et al., 2017b: The limits to global-warming mitigation by terrestrial carbon removal. *Earth's Futur.*, **5**, 463–474, doi:10.1002/2016EF000469.
- Bradford, K. J. et al., 2018: The dry chain: Reducing postharvest losses and improving food safety in humid climates. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, **71**, 84–93, doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2017.11.002.
- Brew-Hammond, A., 2010: Energy access in Africa: Challenges ahead. *Energy Policy*, **38**, 2291–2301, doi:10.1016/J.ENPOL.2009.12.016.
- Ten Brink, B. J. E. et al., 2018: Chapter 7: Scenarios of IPBES, land degradation and restoration. In: *Land: The IPBES Assessment Report on Degradation and Restoration*, [L. Montanarella, R. Scholes, and A. Brainich (eds.)], Intergovernmental Ecosystem, Platform on Biodiversity and Services, Bonn, Germany, 531–589 pp.
- Brinkley, C., E. Birch, and A. Keating, 2013: Feeding cities: Charting a research and practice agenda towards food security. *J. Agric. food Syst. community Dev.*, **3**, 81–87, doi:10.5304/jafscd.2013.034.008.

- Brinkman, H., S. De Pee, I. Sanogo, L. Subran, and M. Bloem, 2009: High food prices and the global financial crisis have reduced access to nutritious food and worsened nutritional status and health. *J. Nutr.*, **140**, 153S–161S, doi:10.3945/jn.109.110767.
- Brockerhoff, E. G. et al., 2017: Forest biodiversity, ecosystem functioning and the provision of ecosystem services. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, **26**, 3005–3035, doi:10.1007/s10531-017-1453-2.
- Brodie, J. F., and C. E. Aslan, 2012: Halting regime shifts in floristically intact tropical forests deprived of their frugivores. *Restor. Ecol.*, **20**, 153–157, doi:10.1111/j.1526-100X.2011.00833.x.
- Brown, C., P. Alexander, S. Holzhauer, and M. D. A. Rounsevell, 2017: Behavioral models of climate change adaptation and mitigation in land-based sectors. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Chang.*, **8**, e448, doi:10.1002/wcc.448.
- Brown, N. R., R. F. Noss, D. D. Diamond, and M. N. Myers, 2001: Conservation biology and forest certification: Working together toward ecological sustainability. *J. For.*, **99**, 18–25, doi:10.1093/jof/99.8.18.
- Brueckner, J. K., 2000: Urban sprawl: diagnosis and remedies. *Int. Reg. Sci. Rev.*, **23**, 160–171, doi:10.1177/016001700761012710..
- Brundu, G., and D. M. Richardson, 2016: Planted forests and invasive alien trees in Europe: A code for managing existing and future plantings to mitigate the risk of negative impacts from invasions. *NeoBiota*, **30**, 5–47, doi:10.3897/neobiota.30.7015.
- Bryan, B. A., and N. D. Crossman, 2013: Impact of multiple interacting financial incentives on land use change and the supply of ecosystem services. *Ecosyst. Serv.*, **4**, 60–72, doi:10.1016/J.ECOSER.2013.03.004.
- Bryan, E., T. T. Deressa, G. A. Gbetibouo, and C. Ringler, 2009: Adaptation to climate change in Ethiopia and South Africa: Options and constraints. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **12**, 413–426, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2008.11.002.
- Buongiorno, J., and S. Zhu, 2014: Assessing the impact of planted forests on the global forest economy. *New Zeal. J. For. Sci.*, **44**, S2, doi:10.1186/1179-5395-44-S1-S2.
- Burnett, K. M., B. A. Kaiser, and J. A. Roumasset, 2007: Invasive species control over space and time: Miconia calvescens on Oahu, Hawaii. *J. Agric. Appl. Econ.*, **39**, 125–132, doi:10.1017/S1074070800028996.
- Burns, W., and S. Nicholson, 2017: Bioenergy and carbon capture with storage (BECCS): The prospects and challenges of an emerging climate policy response. *J. Environ. Stud. Sci.*, **7**, 527–534, doi:10.1007/s13412-017-0445-6.
- Burritt, R., and S. Schaltegger, 2014: Accounting towards sustainability in production and supply chains. *Br. Account. Rev.*, **46**, 327–343.
- Burrows, N. D., 2008: Linking fire ecology and fire management in south-west Australian forest landscapes. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, **255**, 2394–2406, doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2008.01.009.
- Bustamante, M. et al., 2014: Co-benefits, trade-offs, barriers and policies for greenhouse gas mitigation in the agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU) sector. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, **20**, 3270–3290, doi:10.1111/gcb.12591.
- Calder, I. R., 2005: Blue Revolution: Integrated Land and Water Resource Management. Second Edition.

- Routledge, London, UK., 374 pp.
- Calow, R. C., A. M. MacDonald, A. L. Nicol, and N. S. Robins, 2010: Ground water security and drought in Africa: Linking availability, access, and demand. *Ground Water*, **48**, 246–256, doi:10.1111/j.1745-6584.2009.00558.x.
- Calvin, K. et al., 2013: Implications of simultaneously mitigating and adapting to climate change: Initial experiments using GCAM. *Clim. Change*, **117**, 545–560, doi:10.1007/s10584-012-0650-y.
- Calvin, K. et al., 2014: Trade-offs of different land and bioenergy policies on the path to achieving climate targets. *Clim. Change*, **123**, 691–704, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0897-y.
- Calvin, K. et al., 2016a: Implications of uncertain future fossil energy resources on bioenergy use and terrestrial carbon emissions. *Clim. Change*, **136**, 57–68, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0923-0.
- Calvin, K. et al., 2017: The SSP4: A world of deepening inequality. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **42**, 284–296, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.06.010.
- Calvin, K. et al., 2019: GCAM v5.1: Representing the linkages between energy, water, land, climate, and economic systems. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **12**, 677–698, doi:10.5194/gmd-12-677-2019.
- Calvin, K. V, R. Beach, A. Gurgel, M. Labriet, and A. M. Loboguerrero Rodriguez, 2016b: Agriculture, forestry, and other land-use emissions in Latin America. *Energy Econ.*, **56**, 615–624, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2015.03.020.
- Cameron, R. W. F. et al., 2012: The domestic garden Its contribution to urban green infrastructure. *Urban For. Urban Green.*, **11**, 129–137, doi:10.1016/J.UFUG.2012.01.002.
- Cane, J., 2005: Bees, pollination and the challenges of sprawl. *Nature in Fragments: The Legacy of Sprawl* [Johnson, A. and M.W. Klemes, (eds.)]. Columbia University Press, New York, 109–124.
- Certini, G., 2005: Effects of fire on properties of forest soils: A review. *Oecologia*, **143**, 1–10, doi:10.1007/s00442-004-1788-8.
- CGIAR, 2016: *The drought crisis in the Central Highlands of Vietnam*. CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), Vietnam.
- Chakauya, E., G. Beyene, and R. K. Chikwamba, 2009: Food production needs fuel too: Perspectives on the impact of biofuels in southern Africa. *South African Journal of Science*, **105**, 174-181.
- Chamier, J., K. Schachtschneider, D. Le Maitre, P. Ashton, and B. Van Wilgen, 2012: Impacts of invasive alien plants on water quality, with particular emphasis on South Africa. *Water Sa*, **38**, 345–356, doi:10.4314/wsa.v38i2.19.
- Charles, H., and J. S. Dukes, 2008: Impacts of invasive species on ecosystem services. *Biological Invasions*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, 217–237.
- Chaturvedi, V. et al., 2013: Climate mitigation policy implications for global irrigation water demand. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.*, **20**, 389–407, doi:10.1007/s11027-013-9497-4.
- Chauhan, R. S., 2010: Socioeconomic improvement through medicinal and aromatic plants (MAPs) cultivation in Uttarakhand, India. *J. Sustain. Agric.*, **34**, 647–658, doi:10.1080/10440046.2010.493390.
- Chen, H., B. Jia, and S. S. Y. Lau, 2008: Sustainable urban form for Chinese compact cities: Challenges of a rapid urbanized economy. *Habitat Int.*, **32**, 28–40, doi:10.1016/J.HABITATINT.2007.06.005.

- Chen, J., 2007: Rapid urbanization in China: A real challenge to soil protection and food security. *Catena*, **69**, 1–15, doi:10.1016/J.CATENA.2006.04.019.
- Cibin, R., E. Trybula, I. Chaubey, S. M. Brouder, and J. J. Volenec, 2016: Watershed-scale impacts of bioenergy crops on hydrology and water quality using improved SWAT model. *GCB Bioenergy*, **8**, 837–848, doi:10.1111/gcbb.12307.
- Ciccarese, L., A. Mattsson, and D. Pettenella, 2012: Ecosystem services from forest restoration: Thinking ahead. *New For.*, **43**, 543–560, doi:10.1007/s11056-012-9350-8.
- Claassen, R., F. Carriazo, J. Cooper, D. Hellerstein, and K. Ueda, 2011: *Grassland to Cropland Conversion in the Northern Plains. The Role of Crop Insurance, Commodity, and Disaster Programs.* United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, USA, 85 pp.
- Clarke L., K. Jiang, K. Akimoto, M. Babiker, G. Blanford, K. Fisher-Vanden, J.-C. Hourcade, V. Krey, E. Kriegler, A. Löschel, D. McCollum, S. Paltsev, S. Rose, P.R. Shukla, M. Tavoni, B.C.C. van der Zwaan, and D.P. van Vuuren, 2014: Assessing Transformation Pathways. In: Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Edenhofer, O., R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, E. Farahani, S. Kadner, K. Seyboth, A. Adler, I. Baum, S. Brunner, P. Eickemeier, B. Kriemann, J. Savolainen, S. Schlömer, C. von Stechow, T. Zwickel and J.C. Minx (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Claudio, L., 2012: Our food: Packaging & public health. *Environ. Health Perspect.*, **120**, doi:10.1289/ehp.120-a232.
- Cohen, M. J., and J. L. Garrett, 2010: The food price crisis and urban food (in)security. *Environ. Urban.*, **22**, 467–482, doi:10.1177/0956247810380375.
- Cohen, M. J., J. Clapp, and Centre for International Governance Innovation., 2009: *The Global Food Crisis: Governance Challenges and Opportunities*. Wilfrid Laurier University Press, Ontario, Canada, 267 pp.
- Coldwell, D. F., and K. L. Evans, 2018: Visits to urban green-space and the countryside associate with different components of mental well-being and are better predictors than perceived or actual local urbanisation intensity. *Landsc. Urban Plan.*, **175**, 114–122, doi:10.1016/J.LANDURBPLAN.2018.02.007.
- Coley, D., M. Howard, and M. Winter, 2009: Local food, food miles and carbon emissions: A comparison of farm shop and mass distribution approaches. *Food Policy*, **34**, 150–155, doi:10.1016/J.FOODPOL.2008.11.001.
- Collins, M., R. Knutti, J. Arblaster, J.-L. Dufresne, T. Fichefet, P. Friedlingstein, X. Gao, W.J. Gutowski, T. Johns, G. Krinner, M. Shongwe, C. Tebaldi, A.J. Weaver and M. Wehner, 2013: Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments and Irreversibility. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Combes Motel, P., R. Pirard, and J. L. Combes, 2009: A methodology to estimate impacts of domestic policies on deforestation: Compensated successful efforts for "avoided deforestation" (REDD). *Ecol.*

- Econ., 68, 680–691, doi:10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2008.06.001.
- Conant, R. T., and K. Paustian, and E. T. Elliott, 2001: Grassland management and conversion into grassland: Effects on soil carbon. *Ecol. Appl.*, **11**, 343–355, doi:10.1890/1051-0761(2001)011[0343:GMACIG]2.0.CO;2.
- Coomes, O. T. et al., 2015: Farmer seed networks make a limited contribution to agriculture? Four common misconceptions. *Food Policy*, **56**, 41–50, doi:10.1016/J.FOODPOL.2015.07.008.
- Corbera, E., C. Hunsberger, and C. Vaddhanaphuti, 2017: Climate change policies, land grabbing and conflict: Perspectives from Southeast Asia. *Can. J. Dev. Stud. Can. d'études du développement*, **38**, 297–304, doi:10.1080/02255189.2017.1343413.
- Coveney, J., and L. A. O'Dwyer, 2009: Effects of mobility and location on food access. *Health Place*, **15**, 45–55, doi:10.1016/J.HEALTHPLACE.2008.01.010..
- Cromsigt, J. P. G. M. et al., 2018: Trophic rewilding as a climate change mitigation strategy? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, **373**, 20170440, doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0440.
- Crooks, S., D. Herr, J. Tamelander, and D. Laffoley, 2011: *Mitigating Climate Change Through Restoration and Management of Coastal Wetlands and Near-Shore Marine Ecosystems: Challenges and Opportunities*. The World Bank, Washington, DC, USA, 69 pp.
- Crush, J. S., and G. B. Frayne, 2011: Urban food insecurity and the new international food security agenda. *Dev. South. Afr.*, **28**, 527–544, doi:10.1080/0376835X.2011.605571.
- Cuéllar, A. D., and M. E. Webber, 2010: Wasted food, wasted energy: the embedded energy in food waste in the United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **44**, 6464–6469, doi:10.1021/es100310d.
- Dagar, J., P. Sharma, D. Sharma, and A. Singh, 2016: *Innovative Saline Agriculture*. Springer India, New Delhi, 1-519 pp.
- Daioglou, V., J. C. Doelman, B. Wicke, A. Faaij, and D. P. Van Vuuren, 2019: Integrated assessment of biomass supply and demand in climate change mitigation scenarios. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, 54, 88– 101, doi:10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2018.11.012.
- Danielsen, F. et al., 2009: Biofuel plantations on forested lands: Double jeopardy for biodiversity and climate. *Conserv. Biol.*, **23**, 348–358, doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.01096.x.
- Dar, W. D., and C. L. Laxmipathi Gowda, 2013: Declining agricultural productivity and global food security. *J. Crop Improv.*, **27**, 242–254, doi:10.1080/15427528.2011.653097.
- Datt, G., and M. Ravallion, 1998: Farm productivity and rural poverty in India. *J. Dev. Stud.*, **34**, 62–85, doi:10.1080/00220389808422529.
- Dawadi, S., and S. Ahmad, 2013: Evaluating the impact of demand-side management on water resources under changing climatic conditions and increasing population. *J. Environ. Manage.*, **114**, 261–275, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.10.015.
- Delgado, C. L., 2010: Sources of growth in smallholder agriculture integration of smallholders with processors in sub-saharan Africa: The role of vertical and marketers of high value-added items. *Agrekon*, **38**, 165-198, doi:10.1080/03031853.1999.9524913.
- Demailly, K.-E., and S. Darly, 2017: Urban agriculture on the move in Paris: The routes of temporary gardening in the neoliberal city. *ACME An Int. E-Journal Crit. Geogr*, University of British Columbia,

- Okanagan, Canada, 31 pp.
- Deng, F. F., and Y. Huang, 2004: Uneven land reform and urban sprawl in China: The case of Beijing. *Prog. Plann.*, **61**, 211–236, doi:10.1016/j.progress.2003.10.004.
- DERM, 2011: Salinity Management Handbook. Second Edition. Brisbane, Australia: Department of Environment and Resource Management, 188 pp.
- Dhingra, C., S. Gandhi, A. Chaurey, and P. K. Agarwal, 2008: Access to clean energy services for the urban and peri-urban poor: A case-study of Delhi, India. *Energy Sustain. Dev.*, **12**, 49–55, doi:10.1016/S0973-0826(09)60007-7.
- Diaz-Ruiz, R., M. Costa-Font, and J. M. Gil, 2018: Moving ahead from food-related behaviours: An alternative approach to understand household food waste generation. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **172**, 1140–1151.
- Dickinson, D. et al., 2014: Cost-benefit analysis of using biochar to improve cereals agriculture. *GCB Bioenergy*, **7**, 850–864, doi:10.1111/gcbb.12180.
- Dillon, P., and M. Arshad, 2016: Managed aquifer recharge in integrated water resource management. In: *Integrated Groundwater Management* [A.J. Jakeman, O. Barreteau, R.J. Hunt, J.-D. Rinaudo, and A. Ross (eds.).]. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 435–452 pp.
- Dixon, J., A. M. Omwega, S. Friel, C. Burns, K. Donati, and R. Carlisle, 2007: The health equity dimensions of urban food systems. *J. Urban Heal.*, **84**, 118–129, doi:10.1007/s11524-007-9176-4.
- Dixon, P., H. van Meijl, M. Rimmer, L. Shutes, and A. Tabeau, 2016: RED versus REDD: Biofuel policy versus forest conservation. *Econ. Model.*, **52**, 366–374, doi:10.1016/J.ECONMOD.2015.09.014.
- Djordjević, S., D. Butler, P. Gourbesville, O. Mark, and E. Pasche, 2011: New policies to deal with climate change and other drivers impacting on resilience to flooding in urban areas: The CORFU approach. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **14**, 864–873, doi:10.1016/J.ENVSCI.2011.05.008.
- Doelman, J. C. et al., 2018: Exploring SSP land-use dynamics using the IMAGE model: Regional and gridded scenarios of land-use change and land-based climate change mitigation. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **48**, 119–135, doi:10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2017.11.014.
- Dolan, C., and K. Sorby, 2003: *Gender and Employment in High-Value Agriculture Industries*. Agriculture & Rural Development Working Paper 7. World Bank, Washington, DC, USA.
- Dolidon, N., T. Hofer, L. Jansky, and R. Sidle, 2009: Watershed and forest management for landslide risk reduction. In: *Landslides Disaster Risk Reduction*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 633–649 pp.
- Doney, S. C. et al., 2007: Impact of anthropogenic atmospheric nitrogen and sulfur deposition on ocean acidification and the inorganic carbon system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **104**, 14580–14585, doi:10.1073/pnas.0702218104.
- Doney, S. C., V. J. Fabry, R. A. Feely, and J. A. Kleypas, 2009: Ocean acidification: The other CO₂ problem. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, **1**, 169–192, doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163834.
- Donovan, J., D. Stoian, D. Macqueen, and S. Grouwels, 2006: The business side of sustainable forest management: Small and medium forest enterprise development for poverty reduction. *Nat. Resour. Perspect.*, **104**, 1–5.
- Dorward, L. J., 2012: Where are the best opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the food

- system (including the food chain)? A comment. *Food Policy*, **37**, 463-466, doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2012.04.006.
- Dresner, M., C. Handelman, S. Braun, and G. Rollwagen-Bollens, 2015: Environmental identity, proenvironmental behaviors, and civic engagement of volunteer stewards in Portland area parks. *Environ. Educ. Res.*, **21**, 991–1010, doi:10.1080/13504622.2014.964188.
- Du, B., K. Zhang, G. Song, and Z. Wen, 2006: Methodology for an urban ecological footprint to evaluate sustainable development in China. *Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World Ecol.*, **13**, 245–254, doi:10.1080/13504500609469676.
- Duraiappah, A. K., 1998: Poverty and environmental degradation: A review and analysis of the nexus. *World Dev.*, **26**, 2169–2179, doi:10.1016/S0305-750X(98)00100-4.
- Ebert, A., 2014: Potential of underutilized traditional vegetables and legume crops to contribute to food and nutritional security, income and more sustainable production systems. *Sustainability*, **6**, 319–335, doi:10.3390/su6010319.
- Ebi, K. L., and J. K. Schmier, 2005: A stitch in time: Improving public health early warning systems for extreme weather events. *Epidemiol. Rev.*, **27**, 115–121, doi:10.1093/epirev/mxi006.
- Edmonds, J. et al., 2013: Can radiative forcing be limited to 2.6 Wm-2 without negative emissions from bioenergy and CO₂ capture and storage? *Clim. Change*, **118**, 29-43, doi:10.1007/s10584-012-0678-z.
- Egoh, B., B. Reyers, M. Rouget, M. Bode, and D. M. Richardson, 2009: Spatial congruence between biodiversity and ecosystem services in South Africa. *Biol. Conserv.*, **142**, 553–562, doi:10.1016/J.BIOCON.2008.11.009.
- Ehrenfeld, J. G., and N. Scott, 2001: Invasive species and the soil: Effects on organisms and ecosystem processes. *Ecol. Appl.*, **11**, 1259–1260, doi:10.1890/1051-0761(2001)011[1259:ISATSE]2.0.CO;2.
- Eigenbrod, C., and N. Gruda, 2015: Urban vegetable for food security in cities. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **35**, 483–498, doi:10.1007/s13593-014-0273-y.
- Eisenbies, M. H., W. M. Aust, J. A. Burger, and M. B. Adams, 2007: Forest operations, extreme flooding events, and considerations for hydrologic modeling in the Appalachians A review. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, **242**, 77–98.
- Ekins, P., S. Simon, L. Deutsch, C. Folke, and R. De Groot, 2003: A framework for the practical application of the concepts of critical natural capital and strong sustainability. *Ecol. Econ.*, **44**, 165–185, doi:10.1016/S0921-8009(02)00272-0.
- El-Swaify, S. A., 1997: Factors affecting soil erosion hazards and conservation needs for tropical steeplands. *Soil Technol.*, **11**, 3–16, doi:10.1016/S0933-3630(96)00111-0.
- Ellis, F., 1998: Household strategies and rural livelihood diversification. *J. Dev. Stud.*, **35**, 1–38, doi:10.1080/00220389808422553.
- Ellis, F., and J. Sumberg, 1998: Food production, urban areas and policy responses. *World Dev.*, **26**, 213–225, doi:10.1016/S0305-750X(97)10042-0.
- Ellison, D. et al., 2017: Trees, forests and water: Cool insights for a hot world. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **43**, 51–61, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.01.002.
- Elmqvist, B., and L. Olsson, 2007: Livelihood diversification: Continuity and change in the Sahel.

- GeoJournal, 67, 167–180, doi:10.1007/s10708-007-9043-6.
- Enarson, E., and L. Meyreles, 2004: International perspectives on gender and disaster: Differences and possibilities. *Int. J. Sociol. Soc. Policy*, **24**, 49–93, doi:10.1108/01443330410791064.
- Ennis, C. J., A. G. Evans, M. Islam, T. K. Ralebitso-Senior, and E. Senior, 2012: Biochar: Carbon sequestration, land remediation, and impacts on soil microbiology. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42, 2311–2364, doi:10.1080/10643389.2011.574115.
- Erb, K.H., H. Haberl, and C. Plutzar, 2012: Dependency of global primary bioenergy crop potentials in 2050 on food systems, yields, biodiversity conservation and political stability. *Energy Policy*, **47**, 260–269, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.04.066.
- Erisman, J. W., J. Galloway, S. Seitzinger, A. Bleeker, and K. Butterbach-Bahl, 2011: Reactive nitrogen in the environment and its effect on climate change. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **3**, 281–290, doi:10.1016/J.COSUST.2011.08.012.
- Erwin, K. L., 2009: Wetlands and global climate change: The role of wetland restoration in a changing world. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.*, **17**, 71–84, doi:10.1007/s11273-008-9119-1.
- Estudillo, J. P., and K. Otsuka, 1999: Green revolution, human capital, and off- farm employment: Changing sources of income among farm households in Central Luzon, 1966–1994. *Econ. Dev. Cult. Change*, **47**, 497–523, doi:10.1086/452417.
- Evans, R. G., and E. J. Sadler, 2008: Methods and technologies to improve efficiency of water use. *Water Resour. Res.*, **44**, 1–15, doi:10.1029/2007WR006200.
- Fader, M., D. Gerten, M. Krause, W. Lucht, and W. Cramer, 2013: Spatial decoupling of agricultural production and consumption: Quantifying dependences of countries on food imports due to domestic land and water constraints. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **8**, 14046, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014046.
- Fan, S., and R. Pandya-Lorch, 2012: *Reshaping Agriculture for Nutrition and Health*. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, D.C., U.SA., 213 pp.
- FAO, 2011: The State of the World's Land and Water Resources for Food and Agriculture. Managing Systems at Risk. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, and Earthscan, London, UK, 308 pp.
- FAO, 2014: *The State of Food and Agriculture: Innovation in Family Farming*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 161 pp.
- the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 180 pp.
- Favero, A., and E. Massetti, 2014: Trade of woody biomass for electricity generation under climate mitigation policy. *Resour. Energy Econ.*, **36**, 166–190, doi:10.1016/J.RESENEECO.2013.11.005.
- Fawcett, R., B. Christensen, and D. Tierney, 1994: The impact of conservati Aon tillage on pesticide runoff into surface water: A review and analysis. *J. Soil Water Conserv.*, **49**, 126–135.
- Few, R., A. Martin, and N. Gross-Camp, 2017: Trade-offs in linking adaptation and mitigation in the forests of the Congo Basin. *Reg. Environ. Chang.*, **17**, 851–863, doi:10.1007/s10113-016-1080-6.
- Fiksel, J., 2006: A framework for sustainable materials management. *JOM*, **58**, 15–22, doi:10.1007/s11837-006-0047-3.
- Fletschner, D., and L. Kenney, 2014: Rural women's access to financial services: Credit, savings, and

- insurance. In: Gender in Agriculture. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 187–208.
- Foggin, J. M., 2008: Depopulating the Tibetan grasslands. Mt. Res. Dev., 28, 26–31, doi:10.1659/mrd.0972.
- Foster, S., 2018: Is UN Sustainable Development Goal 15 relevant to governing the intimate land-use/groundwater linkage? *Hydrogeol. J.*, **26**, 979–982, doi:10.1007/s10040-018-1782-6.
- Fothergill, A., and L. A. Peek, 2004: Poverty and disasters in the United States: A review of recent sociological findings. *Nat. Hazards*, **32**, 89–110, doi:10.1023/B:NHAZ.0000026792.76181.d9.
- Frank, S. et al., 2015: The dynamic soil organic carbon mitigation potential of European cropland. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **35**, 269–278, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.08.004.
- Frank, S. et al., 2017: Reducing greenhouse gas emissions in agriculture without compromising food security? *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **12**, 105004, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa8c83.
- Freeman, J., L. Kobziar, E. W. Rose, and W. Cropper, 2017: A critique of the historical-fire-regime concept in conservation. *Conserv. Biol.*, **31**, 976–985, doi:10.1111/cobi.12942.
- Freudenberg, N., S. Galea, and D. Vlahov, 2005: Beyond urban penalty and urban sprawl: Back to living conditions as the focus of urban health. *J. Community Health*, **30**, 1–11, doi:10.1007/s10900-004-6091-4.
- Fricko, O. et al., 2017: The marker quantification of the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway 2: A middle-of-the-road scenario for the 21st century. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **42**, 251–267, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.06.004.
- Friel, S., M. Marmot, A. J. McMichael, T. Kjellstrom, and D. Vågerö, 2008: Global health equity and climate stabilisation: A common agenda. *Lancet*, **372**, 1677–1683, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61692-X.
- Frumkin, H., 2002: Urban sprawl and public health. *Public Health Rep.*, **117**, 201–217, doi:10.1093/phr/117.3.201.
- Fujimori, S. et al., 2017: SSP3: AIM implementation of shared socioeconomic pathways. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **42**, 268–283, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.06.009.
- Fujimori, S. et al., 2019: A multi-model assessment of food security implications of well below 2°C scenario vans. *Nat. Commun.*, **2**, 386–396.
- Fuss, S. et al., 2018: Negative emissions part 2: Costs, potentials and side effects. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **13**, 63002, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aabf9f.
- Galal, O., M. Corroon, and C. Tirado, 2010: Urban environment and health: Food security. *Asia Pacific J. Public Heal.*, **22**, 254S–261S, doi:10.1177/1010539510372993.
- Gao, L., and B. A. Bryan, 2017: Finding pathways to national-scale land-sector sustainability. *Nature*, **544**, 217–222, doi:10.1038/nature21694..
- Garcia, D. J., and F. You, 2016: The water-energy-food nexus and process systems engineering: A new focus. *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, **91**, 49–67, doi:10.1016/J.COMPCHEMENG.2016.03.003.
- Gardiner, M. M. et al., 2009: Landscape diversity enhances biological control of an introduced crop pest in the north-central USA. *Ecol. Appl.*, **19**, 143–154, doi:10.1890/07-1265.1.
- Gariano, S., and F. Guzzetti, 2016: Landslides in a changing climate. Earth-Science Rev., 162, 227–252.

- Garibaldi, L. A. et al., 2017: Farming approaches for greater biodiversity, livelihoods, and food security. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, **32**, 68–80.
- Garnett, S. et al., 2018: A spatial overview of the global importance of Indigenous lands for conservation. *Nat. Sustain.*, **1**, 369.
- Garnett, T., 2011: Where are the best opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the food system (including the food chain)? *Food Policy*, **36**, S23–S32.
- Genesio, L. et al., 2011: Early warning systems for food security in West Africa: Evolution, achievements and challenges. *Atmos. Sci. Lett.*, **12**, 142–148, doi:10.1002/asl.332.
- Gengenbach, H., R. A. Schurman, T. J. Bassett, W. A. Munro, and W. G. Moseley, 2018: Limits of the new green revolution for Africa: Reconceptualising gendered agricultural value chains. *Geogr. J.*, **184**, 208–214, doi:10.1111/geoj.12233.
- Ghazoul, J., 2004: Alien abduction: Disruption of native plant-pollinator interactions by invasive species. *Biotropica*, **36**, 156–164, doi:10.1111/j.1744-7429.2004.tb00308.x..
- Gilbert, C. L., 2012: International agreements to manage food price volatility. *Glob. Food Sec.*, **1**, 134–142, doi:10.1016/J.GFS.2012.10.001..
- Gilbert, C. L., and C. W. Morgan, 2010: Food price volatility. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, **365**, 3023–3034, doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0139.
- Gill, A. M., and S. L. Stephens, 2009: Scientific and social challenges for the management of fire-prone wildland–urban interfaces. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **4**, 34014, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/3/034014.
- Gill, J. C., and B. D. Malamud, 2017: Anthropogenic processes, natural hazards, and interactions in a multi-hazard framework. *Earth-Science Rev.*, **166**, 246–269, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.01.002.
- Gioli, G., T. Khan, S. Bisht, and J. Scheffran, 2014: Migration as an adaptation strategy and its gendered implications: A case study from the Upper Indus Basin. *Mt. Res. Dev.*, **34**, 255–265, doi:10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-13-00089.1.
- Gittman, R. K., A. M. Popowich, J. F. Bruno, and C. H. Peterson, 2014: Marshes with and without sills protect estuarine shorelines from erosion better than bulkheads during a Category 1 hurricane. *Ocean Coast. Manag.*, **102**, 94–102, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2014.09.016.
- Glauber, J. W., 2004: Crop insurance reconsidered. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, **86**, 1179–1195, doi:10.1111/j.0002-9092.2004.00663.x.
- Global Panel on Agriculture and Food Systems for Nutrition, 2016: *Food Systems and Diets: Facing the Challenges of the 21st Century*. University of London Institutional Repository, London, UK, 132 pp.
- Godfray, H. C. J., and T. Garnett, 2014: Food security and sustainable intensification. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, **369**, 20120273–20120273, doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0273...
- Godfray, H. C. J. et al., 2010: Food security: The challenge of feeding 9 billion people. *Science.*, **327**, 812–818, doi:10.1126/science.1185383.
- Godschalk, D. R., 2003: Urban hazard mitigation: Creating resilient cities. *Nat. Hazards Rev.*, **4**, 136–143, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1527-6988(2003)4:3(136).
- Gomiero, T., M. G. Paoletti, and D. Pimentel, 2008: Energy and environmental issues in organic and conventional agriculture. *CRC. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.*, **27**, 239–254, doi:10.1080/07352680802225456.

- Goodwin, B. K., and V. H. Smith, 2003: An ex post evaluation of the conservation reserve, federal crop insurance, and other government programs: Program participation and soil erosion. *J. Agric. Resour. Econ.*, **28**, 201–216, doi:10.2307/40987182.
- Goodwin, M. L. Vandeveer, and J. L. Deal, 2004: An empirical analysis of acreage effects of participation in the federal crop insurance program. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, **86**, 1058–1077, doi:10.1111/j.0002-9092.2004.00653.x.
- Gould, K. A., 2014: Everyday expertise: Land regularization and the conditions for landgrabs in Peten, Guatemala. *Environ. Plan. A*, **46**, 2353–2368, doi:10.1068/a140188p.
- Gould, R. K. et al., 2014: The forest has a story: Cultural ecosystem services in Kona, Hawai'i. *Ecol. Soc.*, **19**, art55, doi:10.5751/ES-06893-190355.
- Graham-Rowe, E., D. C. Jessop, and P. Sparks, 2014: Identifying motivations and barriers to minimising household food waste. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, **84**, 15–23, doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2013.12.005.
- Graham, N. T. et al., 2018: Water sector assumptions for the shared socioeconomic pathways in an integrated modeling framework. *Water Resour. Res.*, **0**, doi:10.1029/2018WR023452
- Green, C., and S. Baden, 1995: Integrated water resources management: A gender perspective. *IDS Bull.*, **26**, 92–100, doi:10.1111/j.1759-5436.1995.mp26001013.x.
- Greene, R., W. Timms, P. Rengasamy, M. Arshad, and R. Cresswell, 2016: Soil and aquifer salinization: Toward an integrated approach for salinity management of groundwater. In: *Integrated Groundwater Management*, [A.J. Jakeman, O. Barreteau, R.J. Hunt, J.-D. Rinaudo, and A. Ross (eds.)]. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 377–412.
- Greenough, G. et al., 2001: The potential impacts of climate variability and change on health impacts of extreme weather events in the United States. *Environ. Health Perspect.*, **109**, 191–198, doi:10.1289/ehp.109-1240666.
- Grey, D., and C. W. Sadoff, 2007: Sink or swim? Water security for growth and development. *Water Policy*, **9**, 545–571, doi:10.2166/wp.2007.021.
- Grey, S., and R. Patel, 2015: Food sovereignty as decolonization: Some contributions from Indigenous movements to food system and development politics. *Agric. Human Values*, **32**, 431–444, doi:10.1007/s10460-014-9548-9.
- Grimm, N. B. et al., 2008: Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science.*, **319**, 756–760, doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.1150195.
- Griscom, B. W. et al., 2017: Natural climate solutions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **114**, 11645–11650, doi:10.1073/pnas.1710465114.
- Grubler, A. et al., 2018: A low energy demand scenario for meeting the 1.5°C target and sustainable development goals without negative emission technologies. *Nat. Energy*, **3**, 515–527, doi:10.1038/s41560-018-0172-6.
- Guan, D., and K. Hubacek, 2007: Assessment of regional trade and virtual water flows in China. *Ecol. Econ.*, **61**, 159–170, doi:10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2006.02.022.
- Gunnarsson, B., and L. M. Federsel, 2014: Bumblebees in the city: Abundance, species richness and diversity in two urban habitats. *J. Insect Conserv.*, **18**, 1185–1191, doi:10.1007/s10841-014-9729-2.

- Guo, X. et al., 2016: Application of goethite modified biochar for tylosin removal from aqueous solution. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*, **502**, 81–88, doi:10.1016/J.COLSURFA.2016.05.015.
- Gustavsson, L., and R. Sathre, 2011: Energy and CO₂ analysis of wood substitution in construction. *Clim. Change*, **105**, 129–153, doi:10.1007/s10584-010-9876-8.
- Gustavsson, L. et al., 2006: The role of wood material for greenhouse gas mitigation. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.*, **11**, 1097–1127, doi:10.1007/s11027-006-9035-8.
- Haddad, J., S. Lawler, and C. M. Ferreira, 2016: Assessing the relevance of wetlands for storm surge protection: A coupled hydrodynamic and geospatial framework. *Nat. Hazards*, **80**, 839–861.
- Haddad, L., 2000: A conceptual framework for assessing agriculture–nutrition linkages. *Food Nutr. Bull.*, **21**, 367–373, doi:10.1177/156482650002100405.
- Hadley, C., D. Lindstrom, F. Tessema, and T. Belachew, 2008: Gender bias in the food insecurity experience of Ethiopian adolescents. *Soc. Sci. Med.*, **66**, 427–438, doi:10.1016/J.SOCSCIMED.2007.08.025.
- De Haen, H., and G. Hemrich, 2007: The economics of natural disasters: Implications and challenges for food security. *Agric. Econ.*, **37**, 31–45, doi:10.1111/j.1574-0862.2007.00233.x.
- De Haen, H., and V. Réquillart, 2014: Linkages between sustainable consumption and sustainable production: Some suggestions for foresight work. *Food Secur.*, **6**, 87–100, doi:10.1007/s12571-013-0323-3.
- Haggblade, S., P. Hazell, and T. Reardon, 2010: The rural non-farm economy: Prospects for growth and poverty reduction. *World Dev.*, **38**, 1429–1441, doi:10.1016/J.WORLDDEV.2009.06.008.
- Haines, A., R. S. Kovats, D. Campbell-Lendrum, and C. Corvalan, 2006: Climate change and human health: Impacts, vulnerability and public health. *Public Health*, **120**, 585–596, doi:10.1016/J.PUHE.2006.01.002.
- Hale, L. et al., 2009: Ecosystem-based adaptation in marine and coastal ecosystems. *Renew. Resour. J.*, **25**, 21–28.
- Hallegatte, S., 2012: A Cost Effective Solution to Reduce Disaster Losses in Developing Countries: Hydro-Meteorological Services, Early Warning, and Evacuation. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 6058, World Bank, Washington, DC, USA.
- Halloran, A., J. Clement, N. Kornum, C. Bucatariu, and J. Magid, 2014: Addressing food waste reduction in Denmark. *Food Policy*, **49**, 294–301, doi:10.1016/J.FOODPOL.2014.09.005.
- Hammer, K., and Y. Teklu, 2008: Plant Genetic Resources: Selected Issues from Genetic Erosion to Genetic Engineering. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics*, **109** (1), 15–50.
- Hamprecht, J., D. Corsten, M. Noll, and E. Meier, 2005: Controlling the sustainability of food supply chains. *Supply Chain Manag. An Int. J.*, **10**, 7–10, doi:10.1108/13598540510578315...
- Hanasaki, N. et al., 2013: A global water scarcity assessment under shared socio-economic pathways part 2: Water availability and scarcity. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, **17**, 2393–2413, doi:10.5194/hess-17-2393-2013.
- Hanjra, M. A., and M. E. Qureshi, 2010: Global water crisis and future food security in an era of climate

- change. Food Policy, **35**, 365–377, doi:10.1016/J.FOODPOL.2010.05.006.
- Haregeweyn, N. et al., 2015: Soil erosion and conservation in Ethiopia. *Prog. Phys. Geogr.*, **39**, 750–774, doi:10.1177/0309133315598725.
- Harrison, P. A., R. W. Dunford, I. P. Holman, and M. D. A. Rounsevell, 2016: Climate change impact modelling needs to include cross-sectoral interactions. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **6**, 885, doi:10.1038/nclimate3039.
- Hasan, J., S. States, And, and R. Deininger, 2009: Safeguarding the security of public water supplies using early warning systems: A brief review. *J. Contemp. Water Res. Educ.*, **129**, 27–33, doi:10.1111/j.1936-704X.2004.mp129001007.x.
- Hasegawa, T. et al., 2015a: Consequence of climate mitigation on the risk of hunger. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **49**, 7245–7253, doi:10.1021/es5051748.
- Hasegawa, T., S. Fujimori, K. Takahashi, and T. Masui, 2015b: Scenarios for the risk of hunger in the twenty-first century using Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **10**, 14010.
- Hasegawa, T. et al., 2018: Risk of increased food insecurity under stringent global climate change mitigation policy. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **8**, 699–703, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0230-x.
- Hearn, M. D. et al., 1998: Environmental influences on dietary behavior among children: Availability and accessibility of fruits and vegetables enable consumption. *J. Heal. Educ.*, **29**, 26–32, doi:10.1080/10556699.1998.10603294.
- Hebrok, M., and C. Boks, 2017: Household food waste: Drivers and potential intervention points for design An extensive review. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **151**, 380–392, doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2017.03.069.
- Heck, V., D. Gerten, W. Lucht, and A. Popp, 2018: Biomass-based negative emissions difficult to reconcile with planetary boundaries. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **8**, 151–155, doi:10.1038/s41558-017-0064-y.
- Hedges, J. I., R. G. Keil, and R. Benner, 1997: What happens to terrestrial organic matter in the ocean? *Org. Geochem.*, **27**, 195–212, doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(97)00066-1.
- Hejazi, M. et al., 2014a: Long-term global water projections using six socioeconomic scenarios in an integrated assessment modeling framework. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, **81**, 205–226, doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2013.05.006.
- Hejazi, M. I. et al., 2014b: Integrated assessment of global water scarcity over the 21st century under multiple climate change mitigation policies. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, **18**, 2859–2883, doi:10.5194/hess-18-2859-2014.
- Hejazi, M. I. et al., 2015: 21st century United States emissions mitigation could increase water stress more than the climate change it is mitigating. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **112**, 10635–10640, doi:10.1073/pnas.1421675112.
- Helicke, N. A., 2015: Seed exchange networks and food system resilience in the United States. *J. Environ. Stud. Sci.*, **5**, 636–649, doi:10.1007/s13412-015-0346-5.
- Hendrickson, D., C. Smith, and N. Eikenberry, 2006: Fruit and vegetable access in four low-income food deserts communities in Minnesota. *Agric. Human Values*, **23**, 371–383, doi:10.1007/s10460-006-9002-8.
- Henson, S., and R. Loader, 2001: Barriers to agricultural exports from developing countries: The role of

- sanitary and phytosanitary requirements. World Dev., 29, 85–102, doi:10.1016/S0305-750X(00)00085-1.
- Hernandez-Morcillo, M., P. Burgess, J. Mirck, A. Pantera, and T. Plieninger, 2018: Scanning agroforestrybased solutions for climate change mitigation and adaptation in Europe. Environ. Sci. Policy, 80, 44-
- Herrero, M., P. K. Thornton, P. Gerber, and R. S. Reid, 2009: Livestock, livelihoods and the environment: Opin. Understanding the trade-offs. Curr. Environ. Sustain.. 1. 111-120.doi:10.1016/J.COSUST.2009.10.003.
- Herrero, M., P. K. Thornton, K. Sones, J. de Leeuw, and M. Jeuken, 2013: Livestock and global change: emerging issues for sustainable food systems. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 110, 20878–20881, doi:10.1073/PNAS.1321844111.
- Herrero, M. et al., 2016: Greenhouse gas mitigation potentials in the livestock sector. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 6, 452-461, doi:10.1038/nclimate2925.
- Hertel, T. W., and U. L. C. Baldos, 2016: Attaining food and environmental security in an era of globalization. Glob. Environ. Chang., 41, 195–205, doi:10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2016.10.006.
- Hesse, C., 2006: Pastoralism: Drylands' Invisible Asset? Development of a Framework for Assessing the Value of Pastoralism in East Africa. IIED, London, UK, 39 pp.
- Hibbert, A. R., 1983: Water yield improvement potential by vegetation management on western rangelands. J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 19, 375–381., doi:10.1.1.464.9333.
- Hillbruner, C., and G. Moloney, 2012: When early warning is not enough Lessons learned from the 2011 Somalia famine. *Glob. Food Sec.*, **1**, 20–28, doi:10.1016/J.GFS.2012.08.001.
- Hine, J. L., 1993: Transport and marketing priorities to improve food security in Ghana and the rest of Africa. In: Regional Food Security and Rural Infrastructure: an International Symposium. Giessen, 21 pp.
- Hipólito, J., D. Boscolo, and B. F. Viana, 2018: Landscape and crop management strategies to conserve pollination services and increase yields in tropical coffee farms. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 256, 218-225.
- Hobbs, P. R., K. Sayre, and R. Gupta, 2008: The role of conservation agriculture in sustainable agriculture. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci., 363, 543-555, doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.2169.
- Hodges, R. J., J. C. Buzby, and B. Bennett, 2011: Postharvest losses and waste in developed and less developed countries: Opportunities improve to resource use. J. Agric. Sci., doi:10.1017/S0021859610000936.
- Hof, C. et al., 2018: Bioenergy cropland expansion may offset positive effects of climate change mitigation global vertebrate diversity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 115, 13294 LP-13299, doi:10.1073/pnas.1807745115.
- Hoff, H., and L. M. Bouwer, 2003: Risk Management in Water and Climate The Role of Insurance and Other Financial Services Adaptation to Climate Change View Project Cities and Flood Management View Project. Dialogue on Water and Climate/Munich Reinsurance Company, Delft/Munich, 43 pp.
- Holden, S., S. Benin, B. Shiferaw, and J. Pender, 2003: Tree planting for poverty reduction in less-favoured areas of the Ethiopian highlands. Small-scale For. Econ. Manag. Policy, 2, 63-80,

- doi:10.1007/s11842-003-006-6.
- Hooda, P. S., A. C. Edwards, H. A. Anderson, and A. Miller, 2000: A review of water quality concerns in livestock farming areas. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **250**, 143–167, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00373-9.
- Hooft, K. E. V., T. S. Wollen, and D. P. Bhandari, 2012: Sustainable Livestock Management for Poverty Alleviation and Food Security. CABI, Wallingford, UK, 208 pp.
- Hoornweg, D., P. Bhada-Tat, and C. Kennedy, 2013: Waste production must peak this century. *Nature*, **502**, 615–617.
- Horowitz, J. K., and E. Lichtenberg, 1993: Insurance, moral hazard, and chemical use in agriculture. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, **75**, 926, doi:10.2307/1243980.
- Hou, S., A. Li, B. Han, and P. Zhou, 2013: An early warning system for regional rain-induced landslide hazard. *Int. J. Geosci.*, **4**, 584–587, doi:10.4236/ijg.2013.43053.
- Van Houtven, G., S. Pattanayak, F. Usmani, and J. Yang, 2017: What are households willing to pay for improved water access? Results from a meta-analysis. *Ecol. Econ.*, **136**, 126–135, doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.01.023.
- Howard, P. H., 2015: Intellectual property and consolidation in the seed industry. *Crop Sci.*, **55**, 2489, doi:10.2135/cropsci2014.09.0669.
- Hulvey, K. B. et al., 2013: Benefits of tree mixes in carbon plantings. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **3**, 869–874, doi:10.1038/nclimate1862.
- Hümann, M. et al., 2011: Identification of runoff processes The impact of different forest types and soil properties on runoff formation and floods. *J. Hydrol.*, **409**, 637–649, doi:10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2011.08.067.
- Humpenöder, F. et al., 2014: Investigating afforestation and bioenergy CCS as climate change mitigation strategies. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **9**, 64029, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/6/064029.
- Humpenöder, F. et al., 2018: Large-scale bioenergy production: How to resolve sustainability trade-offs? *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **13**, 24011, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa9e3b.
- Hurteau, M. D., J. B. Bradford, P. Z. Fulé, A. H. Taylor, and K. L. Martin, 2014: Climate change, fire management, and ecological services in the southwestern US. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, **327**, 280–289, doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2013.08.007.
- Van Huylenbroeck, G., V. Vandermeulen, E. Mettepenningen, and A. Verspecht, 2007: Multifunctionality of agriculture: A review of definitions, evidence and instruments living reviews in landscape research. *Living Rev. Landsc. Res*, **1**, 3.
- ICARDA, 2012: *Annual Report 2012: Science for Better Livelihoods in Dry Areas*. International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, Beirut, Lebanon, 76 pp.
- Iglesias, A., L. Garrote, F. Flores, and M. Moneo, 2007: Challenges to manage the risk of water scarcity and climate change in the Mediterranean. *Water Resour. Manag.*, **21**, 775–788, doi:10.1007/s11269-006-9111-6.
- Iho, A., M. Ribaudo, and K. Hyytiäinen, 2015: Water protection in the Baltic Sea and the Chesapeake Bay: Institutions, policies and efficiency. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, **93**, 81–93, doi:10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2015.02.011.

- Ilstedt, U., A. Malmer, E. Verbeeten, and D. Murdiyarso, 2007: The effect of afforestation on water infiltration in the tropics: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, **251**, 45–51, doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2007.06.014.
- Ingram, J., 2011: A food systems approach to researching food security and its interactions with global environmental change. *Food Secur.*, doi:10.1007/s12571-011-0149-9.
- Ingram, J. et al., 2016: Food security, food systems, and environmental change. *Solutions Journal*, **7**, 63–73.
- Ingram, J. C., G. Franco, C. R. Rio, and B. Khazai, 2006: Post-disaster recovery dilemmas: Challenges in balancing short-term and long-term needs for vulnerability reduction. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **9**, 607–613, doi:10.1016/J.ENVSCI.2006.07.006.
- IPCC, 2018: Global Warming of 1.5°C An IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, [V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J.B.R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (eds.)]. World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1552 pp.
- Irland, L. C., 2008: Developing markets for certified wood products: Greening the supply chain for construction materials. *J. Ind. Ecol.*, **11**, 201–216, doi:10.1162/jiec.2007.1052.
- Irz, X., L. Lin, C. Thirtle, and S. Wiggins, 2001: Agricultural productivity growth and poverty alleviation. *Dev. Policy Rev.*, **19**, 449–466, doi:10.1111/1467-7679.00144.
- Isakson, S. R., 2009: No hay ganancia en la milpa: The agrarian question, food sovereignty, and the onfarm conservation of agrobiodiversity in the Guatemalan highlands. *J. Peasant Stud.*, **36**, 725–759, doi:10.1080/03066150903353876.
- Issaka, S., and M. A. Ashraf, 2017: Impact of soil erosion and degradation on water quality: A review. *Geol. Ecol. Landscapes*, **1**, 1–11, doi:10.1080/24749508.2017.1301053.
- Ivanic, M., and W. Martin, 2008: Implications of higher global food prices for poverty in low-income countries. *Agric. Econ.*, **39**, 405–416, doi:10.1111/j.1574-0862.2008.00347.x.
- Iyer, G. et al., 2018: Implications of sustainable development considerations for comparability across nationally determined contributions. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **8**, 124–129, doi:10.1038/s41558-017-0039-z.
- Jabareen, Y. R., 2006: Sustainable urban forms. *J. Plan. Educ. Res.*, **26**, 38–52, doi:10.1177/0739456X05285119.
- Jacoby, H. G., and E. Skoufias, 1997: Risk, financial markets, and human capital in a developing country. *Rev. Econ. Stud.*, **64**, 311, doi:10.2307/2971716.
- James, S. J., and C. James, 2010: The food cold-chain and climate change. *Food Res. Int.*, **43**, 1944–1956, doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2010.02.001.
- Jardine, A., P. Speldewinde, S. Carver, and P. Weinstein, 2007: Dryland salinity and ecosystem distress syndrome: Human health implications. *Ecohealth*, **4**, 10–17, doi:10.1007/s10393-006-0078-9.
- Jardine, S. L., and J. N. Sanchirico, 2018: Estimating the cost of invasive species control. *J. Environ. Econ. Manage.*, **87**, 242–257.

- Jargowsky, P., 2002: Sprawl, concentration of poverty, and urban inequality. In: *Urban Sprawl Causes, Consequences and Policy Responses* [G.D. Squires (ed.)]. Urban Institute, Washington D.C., 39–72.
- Jeffery, S. et al., 2017: Biochar boosts tropical but not temperate crop yields. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **12**, 53001, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa67bd.
- Jenks, M., and R. Burgess, 2000: *Compact Cities: Sustainable Urban Forms for Developing Countries*. Spon Press, London, UK and New York, USA, 356 pp.
- Jewitt, S. L., D. Nasir, S. E. Page, J. O. Rieley, and K. Khanal, 2014: Indonesia's contested domains. Deforestation, rehabilitation and conservation-with-development in Central Kalimantan's tropical peatlands. *Int. For. Rev.*, **16**, 405–420, doi:10.1505/146554814813484086.
- Jiang, Y., 2009: China's water scarcity. *J. Environ. Manage.*, **90**, 3185–3196, doi:10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2009.04.016.
- Jindal, R., B. Swallow, and J. Kerr, 2008: Forestry-based carbon sequestration projects in Africa: Potential benefits and challenges. *Nat. Resour. Forum*, **32**, 116–130, doi:10.1111/j.1477-8947.2008.00176.x.
- Johnston, C. A., 1991: Sediment and nutrient retention by freshwater wetlands: Effects on surface water quality. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Control*, **21**, 491–565, doi:10.1080/10643389109388425.
- Jones, A. D. et al., 2013: Greenhouse gas policy influences climate via direct effects of land-use change. *J. Clim.*, **26**, 3657–3670, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00377.1.
- Jones, A. D., K. V. Calvin, W. D. Collins, and J. Edmonds, 2015: Accounting for radiative forcing from albedo change in future global land-use scenarios. *Clim. Change*, **131**, 691–703, doi:10.1007/s10584-015-1411-5.
- Jones, H. P., D. G. Hole, and E. S. Zavaleta, 2012: Harnessing nature to help people adapt to climate change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **2**, 504–509, doi:10.1038/nclimate1463.
- Jongwanich, J., 2009: The impact of food safety standards on processed food exports from developing countries. *Food Policy*, **34**, 447–457, doi:10.1016/J.FOODPOL.2009.05.004.
- Jose, S., 2009: Agroforestry for ecosystem services and environmental benefits: An overview. *Agrofor*. *Syst.*, **76**, 1–10, doi:10.1007/s10457-009-9229-7.
- Kadiyala, S., J. Harris, D. Headey, S. Yosef, and S. Gillespie, 2014: Agriculture and nutrition in India: Mapping evidence to pathways. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, **1331**, 43–56, doi:10.1111/nyas.12477.
- Kaminski, J., and L. Christiaensen, 2014: *Post-Harvest Loss in Sub-Saharan Africa What do Farmers Say?* World Bank, Washington, DC, USA.
- Kantola, I. B., M. D. Masters, D. J. Beerling, S. P. Long, and E. H. DeLucia, 2017: Potential of global croplands and bioenergy crops for climate change mitigation through deployment for enhanced weathering. *Biol. Lett.*, **13**, 20160714, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2016.0714.
- Kantor, L., 2001: Community food security programs improve food access. Food Rev., 24, 20–26.
- Kaplan, A., and Ş. Hepcan, 2009: An examination of ecological risk assessment at landscape scale and the management plan. In: *Decision Support for Natural Disasters and Intentional Threats to Water Security*, Springer, Netherlands, 237–251.
- Kassie, M., M. Jaleta, B. Shiferaw, F. Mmbando, and M. Mekuria, 2013: Adoption of interrelated sustainable agricultural practices in smallholder systems: Evidence from rural Tanzania. *Technol*.

- Forecast. Soc. Change, **80**, 525–540, doi:10.1016/J.TECHFORE.2012.08.007.
- Kastner, T., M. J. I. Rivas, W. Koch, and S. Nonhebel, 2012: Global changes in diets and the consequences for land requirements for food. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **109**, 6868–6872, doi:10.1073/pnas.1117054109.
- Katz, B. G., 1989: Influence of mineral weathering reactions on the chemical-composition of soil-water, springs, and ground-water, Catoctin Mountains, Maryland. *Hydrol. Process.*, **3**, 185–202.
- Kauppi, P. E., V. Sandström, and A. Lipponen, 2018: Forest resources of nations in relation to human well-being. *PLoS One*, **13**, e0196248, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196248.
- Keding, G. B., K. Schneider, and I. Jordan, 2013: Production and processing of foods as core aspects of nutrition-sensitive agriculture and sustainable diets. *Food Secur.*, **5**, 825–846, doi:10.1007/s12571-013-0312-6.
- Keesstra, S. D. et al., 2016: The significance of soils and soil science towards realization of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. *SOIL*, **2**, 111–128, doi:10.5194/soil-2-111-2016.
- Keitt, T. H., 2009: Habitat conversion, extinction thresholds, and pollination services in agroecosystems. *Ecol. Appl.*, **19**, 1561–1573, doi:10.1890/08-0117.1.
- Kemper, J., 2015: Biomass and carbon dioxide capture and storage: A review. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control*, **40**, 401–430, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.06.012.
- Kern, J. S., and M. G. Johnson, 1993: Conservation tillage impacts on national soil and atmospheric carbon levels. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, **57**, 200, doi:10.2136/sssaj1993.03615995005700010036x.
- Kerr, R. B., 2005: Food security in Northern Malawi: Gender, kinship relations and entitlements in historical context. *J. South. Afr. Stud.*, **31**, 53–74, doi:10.1080/03057070500035679.
- Khan, M. M., N. B. Mock, and W. B. Bertrand, 1992: Composite indicators for famine early warning systems. *Disasters*, **16**, 195–206, doi:10.1111/j.1467-7717.1992.tb00398.x.
- Kibler, K. M., D. Reinhart, C. Hawkins, A. M. Motlagh, and J. Wright, 2018: Food waste and the food-energy-water nexus: A review of food waste management alternatives. *Waste Manag.*, **74**, 52–62, doi:10.1016/J.WASMAN.2018.01.014.
- Kim, S. H. et al., 2016: Balancing global water availability and use at basin scale in an integrated assessment model. *Clim. Change*, doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1604-6.
- Kindermann, G. et al., 2008: Global cost estimates of reducing carbon emissions through avoided deforestation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **105**, 10302–10307, doi:10.1073/pnas.0710616105.
- Kiptot, E., and S. Franzel, 2012: Gender and agroforestry in Africa: A review of women's participation. *Agrofor. Syst.*, **84**, 35–58, doi:10.1007/s10457-011-9419-y.
- Klein, A.-M., I. Steffan-Dewenter, D. Buchori, and T. Tscharntke, 2002: Effects of land-use intensity in tropical agroforestry systems on coffee flower-visiting and trap-nesting bees and wasps. *Conserv. Biol.*, **16**, 1003–1014, doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00499.x.
- Kloppenberg, J., 2010: Impeding dispossession, enabling repossession: Biological open source and the recovery of seed sovereignty. *J. Agrar. Chang.*, **10**, 367–388, doi:10.1111/j.1471-0366.2010.00275.x.
- Kloppenburg, J., 2014: Re-purposing the master's tools: the open source seed initiative and the struggle for seed sovereignty. *J. Peasant Stud.*, **41**, 1225–1246, doi:10.1080/03066150.2013.875897.

- Knoke, T. et al., 2014: Afforestation or intense pasturing improve the ecological and economic value of abandoned tropical farmlands. *Nat. Commun.*, **5**, 5612, doi:10.1038/ncomms6612.
- Koh, L. P., J. Miettinen, S. C. Liew, and J. Ghazoul, 2011: Remotely sensed evidence of tropical peatland conversion to oil palm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **108**, 5127–5132, doi:10.1073/pnas.1018776108.
- Kombe, W. J., 2005: Land use dynamics in peri-urban areas and their implications on the urban growth and form: The case of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *Habitat Int.*, **29**, 113–135, doi:10.1016/S0197-3975(03)00076-6.
- Kotb, T. H. ., T. Watanabe, Y. Ogino, and K. K. Tanji, 2000: Soil salinization in the Nile Delta and related policy issues in Egypt. *Agric. Water Manag.*, **43**, 239–261, doi:10.1016/S0378-3774(99)00052-9.
- Kousky, C., 2010: Using natural capital to reduce disaster risk. *J. Nat. Resour. Policy Res.*, **2**, 343–356, doi:10.1080/19390459.2010.511451.
- Kramer, R. A., W. T. McSweeny, and R. W. Stavros, 1983: Soil conservation with uncertain revenues and input supplies. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, **65**, 694, doi:10.2307/1240457.
- Kraxner, F. et al., 2013: Global bioenergy scenarios Future forest development, land-use implications, and trade-offs. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **57**, 86–96, doi:10.1016/J.BIOMBIOE.2013.02.003.
- Kreidenweis, U. et al., 2016: Afforestation to mitigate climate change: Impacts on food prices under consideration of albedo effects. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **11**, 85001, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/085001.
- Kremen, C. et al., 2007: Pollination and other ecosystem services produced by mobile organisms: A conceptual framework for the effects of land- use change. *Ecol. Lett.*, **10**, 299–314.
- Kremen, C., A. Iles, and C. Bacon, 2012: Diversified farming systems: an agroecological, systems-based alternative to modern industrial agriculture. *Ecol. Soc.*, **17**, 44, doi: 10.5751/ES-05103-170444.
- Kriegler, E. et al., 2017: Fossil-fueled development (SSP5): An energy and resource intensive scenario for the 21st century. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **42**, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.015.
- Kriegler, E. et al., 2018a: Short term policies to keep the door open for Paris climate goals. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **13**, 74022, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aac4f1.
- Kriegler, E. et al., 2018b: Pathways limiting warming to 1.5°C: A tale of turning around in no time? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, **376**, 20160457, doi:10.1098/rsta.2016.0457.
- Kumar, R. et al., 2011: Assessing wetland ecosystem services and poverty interlinkages: A general framework and case study. *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, **56**, 1602–1621, doi:10.1080/02626667.2011.631496.
- Kummu, M. et al., 2012: Lost-food, wasted resources: Global food supply chain losses and their impacts on freshwater, cropland, and fertiliser use. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **438**, 477–489, doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2012.08.092.
- Kurian, M., 2017: The water-energy-food nexus: Trade-offs, thresholds and transdisciplinary approaches to sustainable development. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **68**, 97-106, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2016.11.006.
- Kyle, P., C. Müller, K. Calvin, and A. Thomson, 2014: Meeting the radiative forcing targets of the representative concentration pathways in a world with agricultural climate impacts. *Earth's Futur.*, **2**, 83–98, doi:10.1002/2013EF000199.
- Lal, R., 2001: Soil degradation by erosion. L. Degrad. Dev., 12, 519–539, doi:10.1002/ldr.472.

- Lal, R., 2006: Enhancing crop yields in the developing countries through restoration of the soil organic carbon pool in agricultural lands. *L. Degrad. Dev.*, **17**, 197–209, doi:10.1002/ldr.696.
- Lal, R., 2016: Soil health and carbon management. Food Energy Secur., 5, 212–222, doi:10.1002/fes3.96.
- Lamontagne, J. R. et al., 2018: Large ensemble analytic framework for consequence-driven discovery of climate change scenarios. *Earth's Futur.*, **6**, 488–504, doi:10.1002/2017EF000701.
- Larssen, T. et al., 1999: Acid deposition and its effects in China: An overview. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **2**, 9–24, doi:10.1016/S1462-9011(98)00043-4.
- Lauterjung, J., U. Münch, and A. Rudloff, 2010: The challenge of installing a tsunami early warning system in the vicinity of the Sunda Arc, Indonesia. *Hazards Earth Syst. Sci*, **10**, 641–646, doi:10.5194/nhess-10-641-2010.
- Leach, M., J. Fairhead, and J. Fraser, 2012: Green grabs and biochar: Revaluing African soils and farming in the new carbon economy. *J. Peasant Stud.*, **39**, 285–307, doi:10.1080/03066150.2012.658042.
- Leakey, R. R. B., and A. J. Simons, 1998: *The Domestication and Commercialization of Indigenous Trees in Agroforestry for the Alleviation of Poverty*. Springer, Dordrecht, 165–176.
- Lee-Smith, D., 2010: Cities feeding people: an update on urban agriculture in equatorial Africa. *Environ. Urban.*, **22**, 483–499, doi:10.1177/0956247810377383.
- Lee, J. H. W., I. J. Hodgkiss, K. T. M. Wong, and I. H. Y. Lam, 2005: Real time observations of coastal algal blooms by an early warning system. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, **65**, 172–190, doi:10.1016/J.ECSS.2005.06.005.
- Lee, K. E. et al., 2018: An integrated approach for stakeholder participation in watershed management. In: *Environmental Risk Analysis for Asian-Oriented, Risk-Based Watershed Management*. Springer Singapore, Singapore, 135–143 pp.
- Lehmann, J., and M. Kleber, 2015: The contentious nature of soil organic matter. *Nature*, **528**, 60, doi:10.1038/nature16069.
- Leitgeb, F., S. Schneider, and C. R. Vogl, 2016: Increasing food sovereignty with urban agriculture in Cuba. *Agric. Human Values*, **33**, 415–426, doi:10.1007/s10460-015-9616-9.
- Lesorogol, C. K., 2003: Transforming institutions among pastoralists: Inequality and land privatization. *Am. Anthropol.*, **105**, 531–541, doi:10.1525/aa.2003.105.3.531.
- Leßmeister, A. et al., 2018: The contribution of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) to rural household revenues in two villages in south-eastern Burkina Faso. *Agrofor. Syst.*, **92**, 139–155, doi:10.1007/s10457-016-0021-1.
- Lewandrowski, J., R. . Darwin, M. Tsigas, and A. Raneses, 1999: Estimating costs of protecting global ecosystem diversity. *Ecol. Econ.*, **29**, 111–125, doi:10.1016/S0921-8009(98)00058-5.
- Lewis, K., and C. Witham, 2012: Agricultural commodities and climate change. *Clim. Policy*, **12**, S53–S61, doi:10.1080/14693062.2012.728790.
- Liddle, M., 1997: Recreation Ecology: The Ecological Impact of Outdoor Recreation and Ecotourism. Chapman & Hall Ltd., London, UK, 639 pp.
- Lillesø, J. B. L. et al., 2011: Innovation in input supply systems in smallholder agroforestry: Seed sources, supply chains and support systems. *Agrofor. Syst.*, **83**, 347–359, doi:10.1007/s10457-011-9412-5.

- Lin, B. B., 2011: Resilience in agriculture through crop diversification: Adaptive management for environmental change. *Bioscience*, **61**, 183–193, doi:10.1525/bio.2011.61.3.4.
- Lin, B. B., S. M. Philpott, and S. Jha, 2015: The future of urban agriculture and biodiversity –Ecosystem services: challenges and next steps. *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, **16**, 189–201, doi:10.1016/J.BAAE.2015.01.005.
- Lin, C. S. K. et al., 2013: Food waste as a valuable resource for the production of chemicals, materials and fuels. Current situation and global perspective. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **6**, 426, doi:10.1039/c2ee23440h.
- Liu, J., J. Lundqvist, J. Weinberg, and J. Gustafsson, 2013: Food losses and waste in China and their implication for water and land. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **47**, 10137–10144, doi:10.1021/es401426b.
- Liu, J. et al., 2016: Ecosystem services insights into water resources management in China: A case of Xi'an City. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **13**, doi:10.3390/ijerph13121169.
- Liu, J. et al., 2017: Water scarcity assessments in the past, present, and future. *Earth's Futur.*, **5**, 545–559, doi:10.1002/2016EF000518.
- Lloyd, G. J. et al., 2013: Water management for ecosystem health and food production. In: *Managing Water and Agroecosystems for Food Security* [E. Boelee (ed.)]. CAB International, UK, 142–155 pp.
- Locatelli, B., V. Evans, A. Wardell, A. Andrade, and R. Vignola, 2011: Forests and climate change in Latin America: Linking adaptation and mitigation. *Forests*, **2**, 431–450, doi:10.3390/f2010431.
- Locatelli, B., C. Pavageau, E. Pramova, and M. Di Gregorio, 2015: Integrating climate change mitigation and adaptation in agriculture and forestry: Opportunities and trade-offs. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Chang.*, **6**, 585–598, doi:10.1002/wcc.357.
- Lock, K., J. Pomerleau, L. Causer, D. R. Altmann, and M. McKee, 2005: The global burden of disease attributable to low consumption of fruit and vegetables: Implications for the global strategy on diet. *Bull. World Health Organ.*, **83**, 100–108, doi:10.1590/S0042-96862005000200010.
- Lopez, R., 2004: Urban sprawl and risk for being overweight or obese. *Am. J. Public Health*, **94**, 1574–1579, doi:10.2105/AJPH.94.9.1574.
- Lotze-Campen, H. et al., 2013: Impacts of increased bioenergy demand on global food markets: An AgMIP economic model intercomparison. *Agric. Econ.*, **45**, 103–116, doi:10.1111/agec.12092.
- Lotze, H. et al., 2006: Depletion, degradation, and recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas. *Science* (80-.)., **312**, 1806–1809, doi:10.1126/science.1128035.
- Lu, C., T. Zhao, X. Shi, and S. Cao, 2016: Ecological restoration by afforestation may increase groundwater depth and create potentially large ecological and water opportunity costs in arid and semiarid China. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **176**, 1213–1222, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.046.
- Lubell, M., G. Robins, and P. Wang, 2014: Network structure and institutional complexity in an ecology of water management games. *Ecol. Soc.*, **19**, 23, doi: 10.5751/ES-06880-190423.
- Lübkert-Alcamo, B., and M. Krzyzanowski, 1995: Estimate of health impacts of acidifying air pollutants and tropospheric ozone in Europe. *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.*, **85**, 167–176, doi:10.1007/BF00483698.
- Lundy, M., C. F. Ostertag, and R. Best, 2002: *Value Adding, Agroenterprise and Poverty Reduction: A Territorial Approach for Rural Business Development*, Rural Agroenterprise Development Project, Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), Cali, Colombia, 12 pp.

- Luo, L., Y. Wang, and L. Qin, 2014: Incentives for promoting agricultural clean production technologies in China. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **74**, 54–61, doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2014.03.045.
- Lyver, P. O. B. et al., 2017a: Key Māori values strengthen the mapping of forest ecosystem services. *Ecosyst. Serv.*, 27, 92–102, doi:10.1016/J.ECOSER.2017.08.009.
- Lyver, P. O. B., 2017b: An indigenous community-based monitoring system for assessing forest health in New Zealand. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, **26**, 3183–3212, doi:10.1007/s10531-016-1142-6.
- Macdiarmid, J., F. Douglas, and J. Campbell, 2016: Eating like there's no tomorrow: Public awareness of the environmental impact of food and reluctance to eat less meat as part of a sustainable diet. *Appetite*, **96**, 487–493, doi:10.1016/j.appet.2015.10.011.
- MacGregor, J., and B. Vorley, 2006: Fair Miles? The concept of "food miles" through a sustainable development lens. *International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED)*, London, UK.
- Machado, R., and R. Serralheiro, 2017: Soil salinity: Effect on vegetable crop growth. Management practices to prevent and mitigate soil salinization. *Horticulturae*, **3**, 30, doi:10.3390/horticulturae3020030.
- Mack, S., 1993: Strategies for Sustainable Animal Agriculture in Developing Countries. *FAO Expert Consultation held in Rome, Italy 10-14 December 1990.*, Rome, Italy.
- Madlener, R., C. Robledo, B. Muys, and J. T. B. Freja, 2006: A sustainability framework for enhancing the long-term success of LULUCF projects. *Clim. Change*, **75**, 241–271, doi:10.1007/s10584-005-9023-0.
- Maes, J. et al., 2017: Landslide risk reduction measures: A review of practices and challenges for the tropics. *Prog. Phys. Geogr.*, **41**, 191–221, doi:10.1177/0309133316689344.
- Maginnis, S., and W. Jackson, 2007: What is FLR and how does it differ from current approaches? In: *The Forest Landscape Restoration Handbook* [J. Rietbergen-McCracken, S. Maginnis, and A. Sarre (eds.)]. Earthscan, London, UK, 5–20 pp.
- Makate, C., R. Wang, M. Makate, and N. Mango, 2016: Crop diversification and livelihoods of smallholder farmers in Zimbabwe: Adaptive management for environmental change. *Springerplus*, **5**, 1135, doi:10.1186/s40064-016-2802-4.
- Mangora, M. M., 2011: Poverty and institutional management stand-off: A restoration and conservation dilemma for mangrove forests of Tanzania. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.*, **19**, 533–543, doi:10.1007/s11273-011-9234-2.
- Mariola, M. J., 2008: The local industrial complex? Questioning the link between local foods and energy use. *Agric. Human Values*, **25**, 193–196, doi:10.1007/s10460-008-9115-3.
- Martin, S., A. Rieple, J. Chang, B. Boniface, and A. Ahmed, 2015: Small farmers and sustainability: Institutional barriers to investment and innovation in the Malaysian palm oil industry in Sabah. *J. Rural Stud.*, **40**, 46–58, doi:10.1016/J.JRURSTUD.2015.06.002.
- Mathbor, G. M., 2007: Enhancement of community preparedness for natural disasters. *Int. Soc. Work*, **50**, 357–369, doi:10.1177/0020872807076049.
- Mather, A. S., and N. C. Murray, 1987: Employment and private-sector afforestation in Scotland. *J. Rural Stud.*, **3**, 207–218, doi:10.1016/0743-0167(87)90070-2.

- Mathijs, E., 2015: Exploring future patterns of meat consumption. *Meat Sci.*, **109**, 112–116, doi:10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2015.05.007.
- Maxwell, D., 1999: The political economy of urban food security in sub-Saharan Africa. *World Dev.*, **27**, 1939–1953, doi:10.1016/S0305-750X(99)00101-1.
- Maxwell, D., and K. Wiebe, 1999: Land tenure and food security: Exploring dynamic linkages. *Dev. Change*, **30**, 825–849, doi:10.1111/1467-7660.00139.
- Mazzeo, J., and B. Brenton, 2013: Peasant resistence to hybrid seed in Haiti: The implications of humanitarian aid on food security and cultural identity. In: *Food and Identity in the Caribbean* [H. Garth (ed.)]. Bloomsbury Academic, London, 121–137 pp.
- Mbow, C., P. Smith, D. Skole, L. Duguma, and M. Bustamante, 2014: Achieving mitigation and adaptation to climate change through sustainable agroforestry practices in Africa. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **6**, 8–14, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2013.09.002.
- McCaffrey, S. M., 2004: Fighting fire with education: What is the best way to reach out to homeowners? *J. For.*, **102**, 12–19, doi:10.1093/jof/102.5.12.
- McDaniel, M., L. Tiemann, and A. Grandy, 2014: Does agricultural crop diversity enhance soil microbial biomass and organic matter dynamics? A meta- analysis. *Ecol. Appl.*, **24**, 560–570, doi:10.1890/13-0616.1.
- McDonald, G. T., and M. B. Lane, 2004: Converging global indicators for sustainable forest management. *For. Policy Econ.*, **6**, 63–70, doi:10.1016/S1389-9341(02)00101-6.
- McElwee, P. D., 2009: Reforesting "bare hills" in Vietnam: Social and environmental consequences of the 5 million hectare reforestoration program. *AMBIO a J. Hum. Environ.*, **38**, 325–333.
- McFrederick, Q. S., J. C. Kathilankal, and J. D. Fuentes, 2008: Air pollution modifies floral scent trails. *Atmos. Environ.*, **42**, 2336–2348, doi:10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2007.12.033.
- McGuire, S., and L. Sperling, 2016: Seed systems smallholder farmers use. *Food Secur.*, **8**, 179–195, doi:10.1007/s12571-015-0528-8.
- McLaren, D., 2012: A comparative global assessment of potential negative emissions technologies. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.*, **90**, 489–500, doi:10.1016/J.PSEP.2012.10.005.
- McMichael, A. J., J. W. Powles, C. D. Butler, and R. Uauy, 2007: Food, livestock production, energy, climate change, and health. *Lancet*, **370**, 1253–1263, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61256-2.
- McMichael, P., and M. Schneider, 2011: Food security politics and the millennium development goals. *Third World Q.*, **32**, 119–139, doi:10.1080/01436597.2011.543818.
- Mearns, R., 1996: Community, collective action and common grazing: The case of post-socialist Mongolia. *J. Dev. Stud.*, **32**, 297–339, doi:10.1080/00220389608422418.
- Mechler, R. et al., 2014: Managing unnatural disaster risk from climate extremes. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **4**, 235–237, doi:10.1038/nclimate2137.
- Medugu, N. I., M. R. Majid, F. Johar, and I. D. Choji, 2010: The role of afforestation programme in combating desertification in Nigeria. *Int. J. Clim. Chang. Strateg. Manag.*, **2**, 35–47, doi:10.1108/17568691011020247.
- Meier, P., D. Bond, and J. Bond, 2007: Environmental influences on pastoral conflict in the Horn of Africa.

- Polit. Geogr., 26, 716–735, doi:10.1016/J.POLGEO.2007.06.001.
- Meijer, S. S., D. Catacutan, O. C. Ajayi, G. W. Sileshi, and M. Nieuwenhuis, 2015: The role of knowledge, attitudes and perceptions in the uptake of agricultural and agroforestry innovations among smallholder farmers in sub-Saharan Africa. *Int. J. Agric. Sustain.*, **13**, 40–54, doi:10.1080/14735903.2014.912493.
- Van Meijl, H. et al., 2018: Comparing impacts of climate change and mitigation on global agriculture by 2050. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **13**, 64021, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aabdc4.
- Meyer, S., B. Glaser, and P. Quicker, 2011: Technical, economical, and climate-related aspects of biochar production technologies: A literature review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **45**, 9473–9483, doi:10.1021/es201792c.
- Midmore, D. J., and H. G. P. Jansen, 2003: Supplying vegetables to Asian cities: Is there a case for periurban production? *Food Policy*, **28**, 13–27, doi:10.1016/S0306-9192(02)00067-2.
- Millenium Ecosystem Assessment (MA), 2005: *Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Synthesis*. Island Press, Washington, DC., 137pp.
- Minx, J. C. et al., 2018: Negative emissions part 1: Research landscape and synthesis. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **13**, 63001, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aabf9b
- Miteva, D. A., C. J. Loucks, and S. K. Pattanayak, 2015: Social and environmental impacts of forest management certification in Indonesia. *PLoS One*, **10**, e0129675, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129675.
- Molotoks, A., M. Kuhnert, T. Dawson, and P. Smith, 2017: Global hotspots of conflict risk between food security and biodiversity conservation. *Land*, **6**, 67, doi:10.3390/land6040067.
- Monier, E. et al., 2018: Toward a consistent modeling framework to assess multi-sectoral climate impacts. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**, 660, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-02984-9.
- Monteiro, C. A., 2009: Nutrition and health. The issue is not food, nor nutrients, so much as processing. *Public Health Nutr.*, **12**, 729–731, doi:10.1017/S1368980009005291.
- Monteiro, C. A., R. B. Levy, R. M. Claro, I. R. R. de Castro, and G. Cannon, 2011: Increasing consumption of ultra-processed foods and likely impact on human health: Evidence from Brazil. *Public Health Nutr.*, **14**, 5–13, doi:10.1017/S1368980010003241.
- Moore, D. J., J. E. Tilton, and D. J. Shields, 1996: Economic growth and the demand for construction materials. *Resour. Policy*, **22**, 197–205, doi:10.1016/S0301-4207(96)00037-2.
- Morokong, T., and J. N. Blignaut, 2019: Benefits and costs analysis of soil erosion control using rock pack structures: The case of Mutale Local Municipality, Limpopo Province, South Africa. *Land use policy*, **83**, 512–522.
- Mouratiadou, I. et al., 2016: The impact of climate change mitigation on water demand for energy and food: An integrated analysis based on the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **64**, 48–58, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2016.06.007.
- Msilimba, G. G., 2010: The socioeconomic and environmental effects of the 2003 landslides in the Rumphi and Ntcheu Districts (Malawi). *Nat. Hazards*, **53**, 347–360, doi:10.1007/s11069-009-9437-5.
- Mueller, N. D. et al., 2012: Closing yield gaps through nutrient and water management. *Nature*, **490**, 254–257, doi:10.1038/nature11420.

- Muller, A. et al., 2017: Strategies for feeding the world more sustainably with organic agriculture. *Nat. Commun.*, **8**, 1290, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01410-w.
- Muratori, M., K. Calvin, M. Wise, P. Kyle, and J. Edmonds, 2016: Global economic consequences of deploying bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS). *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **11**, 95004, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/9/095004.
- Murdiyarso, D., K. Hergoualc'h, and L. V Verchot, 2010: Opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas emissions in tropical peatlands. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **107**, 19655–19660, doi:10.1073/pnas.0911966107.
- Muriithi, B. W., and J. A. Matz, 2015: Welfare effects of vegetable commercialization: Evidence from smallholder producers in Kenya. *Food Policy*, **50**, 80–91, doi:10.1016/J.FOODPOL.2014.11.001.
- Mustafa, D. et al., 2015: Gendering flood early warning systems: The case of Pakistan. *Environ. Hazards*, **14**, 312–328, doi:10.1080/17477891.2015.1075859.
- Mwangi, E., R. Meinzen-Dick, and Y. Sun, 2011: Gender and sustainable forest management in East Africa and Latin America. *Ecol. Soc.*, **16**, art17, doi:10.5751/ES-03873-160117.
- Nahman, A., and W. de Lange, 2013: Costs of food waste along the value chain: Evidence from South Africa. *Waste Manag.*, **33**, 2493–2500, doi:10.1016/J.WASMAN.2013.07.012.
- Nahman, A., W. de Lange, S. Oelofse, and L. Godfrey, 2012: The costs of household food waste in South Africa. *Waste Manag.*, **32**, 2147–2153, doi:10.1016/J.WASMAN.2012.04.012.
- Nawaz, M. F., G. Bourrié, and F. Trolard, 2013: Soil compaction impact and modelling. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **33**, 291–309, doi:10.1007/s13593-011-0071-8.
- Ndoro, J. T., M. Mudhara, and M. Chimonyo, 2014: Cattle commercialization in rural South Africa: Livelihood drivers and implications for livestock marketing extension. *J. Hum. Ecol.*, **45**, 207–221.
- Neary, D. G., G. G. Ice, and C. R. Jackson, 2009: Linkages between forest soils and water quality and quantity. For. Ecol. Manage., 258, 2269-2281, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.05.027.
- Neff, R. A., A. M. Palmer, S. E. McKenzie, and R. S. Lawrence, 2009: Food systems and public health disparities. *J. Hunger Environ. Nutr.*, **4**, 282–314, doi:10.1080/19320240903337041.
- Neilson, J., 2007: Institutions, the governance of quality and on-farm value retention for Indonesian specialty coffee. *Singap. J. Trop. Geogr.*, **28**, 188–204, doi:10.1111/j.1467-9493.2007.00290.x.
- Nejad, A. N., 2013: Soil and water conservation for desertification control in Iran. In: *Combating Desertification in Asia, Africa and the Middle East: Proven practices* [G. Heshmati and V. Squires (eds.)]. Springer, Dordrecht, 377–400 pp.
- Nelson, G. C. et al., 2014: Climate change effects on agriculture: Economic responses to biophysical shocks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **111**, 3274–3279, doi:10.1073/pnas.1222465110.
- Nemet, G. F., T. Holloway, and P. Meier, 2010: Implications of incorporating air-quality co-benefits into climate change policymaking. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **5**, 14007, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/5/1/014007.
- New Climate Economy, 2018: Unlocking the Inclusive Growth Story of the 21st Century: Accelerating Climate Action in Urgent Times. New Climate Economy, Washington DC, USA, 208 pp.
- Newbold, T. et al., 2015: Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. *Nature*, **520**, 45–50, doi:10.1038/nature14324.

- Newfarmer, R. S., W. Shaw, and P. Walkenhorst, 2009: *Breaking into New Markets: Emerging lessons for Export Diversification*. World Bank, Washington, DC, USA, 265 pp.
- Ng, T. L., J. W. Eheart, X. Cai, and F. Miguez, 2010: Modeling Miscanthus in the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) to simulate its water quality effects as a bioenergy crop. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **44**, 7138–7144, doi:10.1021/es9039677.
- Ngcoya, M., and N. Kumarakulasingam, 2017: The lived experience of food sovereignty: Gender, indigenous crops and small-scale farming in Mtubatuba, South Africa. *J. Agrar. Chang.*, **17**, 480–496, doi:10.1111/joac.12170.
- Ngigi, M. W., U. Mueller, and R. Birner, 2017: Gender differences in climate change adaptation strategies and participation in group-based approaches: An intra-household analysis from rural Kenya. *Ecol. Econ.*, **138**, 99–108, doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.03.019.
- Nguyen, D., 2010: Evidence of the impacts of urban sprawl on social capital. *Environ. Plan. B Plan. Des.*, **37**, 610–627, doi:10.1068/b35120.
- Nie, M. et al., 2009: Rhizosphere effects on soil bacterial abundance and diversity in the Yellow River Deltaic ecosystem as influenced by petroleum contamination and soil salinization. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **41**, 2535–2542, doi:10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2009.09.012.
- Niehof, A., 2004: The significance of diversification for rural livelihood systems. *Food Policy*, **29**, 321–338, doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2004.07.009.
- Van Niekerk, J., and R. Wynberg, 2017: Traditional seed and exchange systems cement social relations and provide a safety net: a case arotudym KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **41**, 1–25, doi:10.1080/21683565.2017.1359738.
- Noble, I. R. et al., 2014: Adaptation Needs and Options. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, [Field, C.B., V.R. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J. Mach, M.D. Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, and L.L.White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 833–868.
- Nordman, E. E., E. Isely, P. Isely, and R. Denning, 2018: Benefit-cost analysis of stormwater green infrastructure practices for Grand Rapids, Michigan, USA. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **200**, 501–510.
- North, M. P. et al., 2015: Reform forest fire management. *Science.*, **349**, 1280–1281, doi:10.1126/science.aab2356.
- Nowak, D. J., S. Hirabayashi, A. Bodine, and E. Greenfield, 2014: Tree and forest effects on air quality and human health in the United States. *Environ. Pollut.*, **193**, 119–129, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2014.05.028.
- O'Mara, F., 2012: The role of grasslands in food security and climate change. *Ann. Bot.*, **110**, 1263–1270, doi:10.1093/aob/mcs209.
- Obersteiner, M. et al., 2006: Global supply of biomass for energy and carbon sequestration from afforestation/reforestation activities. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.*, **11**, 1003–1021, doi:10.1007/s11027-006-9031-z.
- Obersteiner, M. et al., 2016: Assessing the land resource-food price nexus of the Sustainable Development Goals. *Sci. Adv.*, **2**, e1501499, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1501499.

- Oehl, F., E. Laczko, H.-R. Oberholzer, J. Jansa, and S. Egli, 2017: Diversity and biogeography of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in agricultural soils. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, **53**, 777–797, doi:10.1007/s00374-017-1217-x.
- Olesen, J., and M. Bindi, 2002: Consequences of climate change for European agricultural productivity, land use and policy. *Eur. J. Agron.*, **16**, 239–262.
- Orsini, F. et al., 2014: Exploring the production capacity of rooftop gardens (RTGs) in urban agriculture: The potential impact on food and nutrition security, biodiversity and other ecosystem services in the city of Bologna. *Food Secur.*, **6**, 781–792, doi:10.1007/s12571-014-0389-6.
- Overmars, K. P., E. et al., 2014: Estimating the opportunity costs of reducing carbon dioxide emissions via avoided deforestation, using integrated assessment modelling. *Land use policy*, **41**, 45–60, doi:10.1016/J.LANDUSEPOL.2014.04.015.
- Pagdee, A., Y. S. Kim, and P. J. Daugherty, 2006: What makes community forest management successful: A meta-study from community forests throughout the world. *Soc. Nat. Resour.*, **19**, 33–52, doi:10.1080/08941920500323260.
- Le Page, Y. et al., 2013: Sensitivity of climate mitigation strategies to natural disturbances. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **8**, 15018, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/015018.
- Papargyropoulou, E., R. Lozano, J. K. Steinberger, N. Wright, and Z. bin Ujang, 2014: The food waste hierarchy as a framework for the management of food surplus and food waste. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **76**, 106–115, doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2014.04.020.
- Parfitt, J., M. Barthel, and S. Macnaughton, 2010: Food waste within food supply chains: Quantification and potential for change to 2050. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, **365**, 3065–3081, doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0126.
- Parizeau, K., M. von Massow, and R. Martin, 2015: Household-level dynamics of food waste production and related beliefs, attitudes, and behaviours in Guelph, Ontario. *Waste Manag.*, **35**, 207–217, doi:10.1016/J.WASMAN.2014.09.019..
- Park, C. M. Y., B. White, and Julia, 2015: We are not all the same: Taking gender seriously in food sovereignty discourse. *Third World Q.*, **36**, 584–599, doi:10.1080/01436597.2015.1002988.
- Parkinson, S. et al., 2019: Balancing clean water-climate change mitigation trade-offs. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **14**, 14009, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaf2a3.
- Parnell, S., D. Simon, and C. Vogel, 2007: Global environmental change: Conceptualising the growing challenge for cities in poor countries. *Area*, **39**, 357–369, doi:10.1111/j.1475-4762.2007.00760.x.
- Parr, T. W., A. R. J. Sier, R. W. Battarbee, A. Mackay, and J. Burgess, 2003: Detecting environmental change: Science and society perspectives on long-term research and monitoring in the 21st century. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **310**, 1–8, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(03)00257-2.
- Pataki, D. E. et al., 2011: Coupling biogeochemical cycles in urban environments: Ecosystem services, green solutions, and misconceptions. *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, **9**, 27–36, doi:10.1890/090220.
- Patrizio, P. et al., 2018: Reducing US coal emissions can boost employment. *Joule*, **2**, 2633–2648, doi:10.1016/j.joule.2018.10.004.
- Pedercini, M., G. Zuellich, K. Dianati, and S. Arquitt, 2018: Toward achieving Sustainable Development Goals in Ivory Coast: Simulating pathways to sustainable development. *Sustain. Dev.*, **26**, 588-595,

- doi:10.1002/sd.1721...
- Peeters, A., 2009: Importance, evolution, environmental impact and future challenges of grasslands and grassland-based systems in Europe. *Grassl. Sci.*, **55**, 113–125, doi:10.1111/j.1744-697X.2009.00154.x.
- Pejchar, L., and H. A. Mooney, 2009: Invasive species, ecosystem services and human well-being. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, **24**, 497–504, doi:10.1016/J.TREE.2009.03.016.
- Pelletier, J., N. Horning, N. Laporte, R. A. Samndong, and S. Goetz, 2018: Anticipating social equity impacts in REDD+ policy design: An example from the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Land use policy*, **75**, 102–115, doi:10.1016/J.LANDUSEPOL.2018.03.011.
- Pender, J., F. Place, S. Ehui, and I. F. P. R. Institute., 2006: *Strategies for Sustainable Land Management in the East African Highlands*. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington DC, USA, 500 pp.
- Pereira, L. S., I. Cordery, and I. Iacovides, 2002: *Coping with Water Scarcity*. UNESCO, Paris, France, 272 pp.
- Petersen, A. K., and B. Solberg, 2005: Environmental and economic impacts of substitution between wood products and alternative materials: A review of micro-level analyses from Norway and Sweden. *For. Policy Econ.*, **7**, 249–259, doi:10.1016/S1389-9341(03)00063-7.
- Pierce, A. R., and S. A. Laird, 2003: In search of comprehensive standards for non-timber forest products in the botanicals trade. *Int. For. Rev.*, **5**, 138–147, doi:10.1505/IFOR.5.2.138.17418.
- Pierzynski, G., C. L. Brajendra, and R. Vargas, 2017: *Threats to Soils: Global Trends and Perspectives. Global Land Outlook Working Paper* 28. Secretariat of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification, Bonn, Germany, 340 pp.
- Pikaar, I. et al., 2018: Decoupling livestock from land use through industrial feed production pathways. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **52**, 7351–7359, doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b00216.
- Pimentel, D. et al., 1995: Environmental and economic costs of soil erosion and conservation benefits. *Science* (80-.)., **267**, 1117–1123.
- Pimentel, D. et al., 1997: Economic and environmental benefits of biodiversity. *Bioscience*, **47**, 747–757, doi:10.2307/1313097.
- Pin Koh, L., 2007: Potential habitat and biodiversity losses from intensified biodiesel feedstock production. *Conserv. Biol.*, **21**, 1373–1375, doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00771.x.
- Pingali, P. L., and M. W. Rosegrant, 1995: Agricultural commercialization and diversification: Processes and policies. *Food Policy*, **20**, 171–185, doi:10.1016/0306-9192(95)00012-4.
- Plantureux, S., A. Peeters, and D. McCracken, 2005: Biodiversity in intensive grasslands. Effect of management, improvement and challenges. *Agron. Res.*, **3**, 153–164.
- Platteau, J.-P., O. De Bock, and W. Gelade, 2017: The demand for microinsurance: A literature review. *World Dev.*, **94**, 139–156, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.01.010.
- Plieninger, T. et al., 2015: The role of cultural ecosystem services in landscape management and planning. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **14**, 28–33, doi:10.1016/J.COSUST.2015.02.006.
- Pokorny, B., I. Scholz, and W. De Jong, 2013: REDD+ for the poor or the poor for REDD+? About the

- limitations of environmental policies in the Amazon and the potential of achieving environmental goals through pro-poor policies. *Ecol. Soc.*, **18**, 3, doi: 10.5751/ES-05458-180203.
- Pons, P., B. Lambert, E. Rigolot, and R. Prodon, 2003: The effects of grassland management using fire on habitat occupancy and conservation of birds in a mosaic landscape. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, **12**, 1843–1860, doi:10.1023/A:1024191814560.
- Popkin, B. M., 2008: Will China's nutrition transition overwhelm its health care system and slow economic growth? *Health Aff.*, **27**, 1064–1076, doi:10.1377/hlthaff.27.4.1064.
- Popp, A. et al., 2011a: The economic potential of bioenergy for climate change mitigation with special attention given to implications for the land system. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **6**, 34017, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/3/034017.
- Popp, A. et al., 2011b: On sustainability of bioenergy production: Integrating co-emissions from agricultural intensification. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **35**, 4770–4780, doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2010.06.014.
- Popp, A. et al., 2014: Land-use transition for bioenergy and climate stabilization: Model comparison of drivers, impacts and interactions with other land use based mitigation options. *Clim. Change*, **123**, 495–509, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0926-x.
- Popp, A. et al., 2017: Land-use futures in the shared socio-economic pathways. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **42**, 331–345, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.10.002.
- Popp, D., 2006: International innovation and diffusion of air pollution control technologies: The effects of NOX and SO2 regulation in the US, Japan, and Germany. *J. Environ. Econ. Manage.*, **51**, 46–71, doi:10.1016/J.JEEM.2005.04.006.
- Porter, J. R., L. Xie, A. J. Challinor, K. Cochrane, S. M. Howden, M. M. Iqbal, D. B. Lobell, and M. I. Travasso, 2014: Food Security and Food Production Systems. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, [Field, C.B., V.R. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J. Mach, M.D. Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, and L.L.White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 485–533.
- Pothukuchi, K., and J. L. Kaufman, 1999: Placing the food system on the urban agenda: The role of municipal institutions in food systems planning. *Agric. Human Values*, **16**, 213–224, doi:10.1023/A:1007558805953.
- Potts, S. G. et al., 2010: Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, doi:10.1016/j.tree.2010.01.007.
- Poulton, C., J. Kydd, S. Wiggins, and A. Dorward, 2006: State intervention for food price stabilisation in Africa: Can it work? *Food Policy*, **31**, 342–356, doi:10.1016/J.FOODPOL.2006.02.004.
- Powell, J., 1999: Race, poverty, and urban sprawl: Access to opportunities through regional strategies. *Forum Soc. Econ.*, **28**, 1–20, doi:10.1007/BF02833980.
- Powers, R. P., and W. Jetz, 2019: Global habitat loss and extinction risk of terrestrial vertebrates under future land-use-change scenarios. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **9**, 323–329, doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0406-z.

- Pretty, J., 2003: Social capital and the collective management of resources. *Science* (80-.)., **302**, 1912–1914, doi:10.1126/science.1090847.
- Pretty, J., and Z. P. Z. Bharucha, 2014: Sustainable intensification in agricultural systems. *Ann. Bot.*, **114**, 1571–1596, doi:10.1093/aob/mcu205.
- Pretty, J. N., J. I. L. Morison, and R. E. Hine, 2003: Reducing food poverty by increasing agricultural sustainability in developing countries. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **95**, 217–234, doi:10.1016/S0167-8809(02)00087-7.
- Prochnow, A., M. Heiermann, M. Plöchl, T. Amon, and P. J. Hobbs, 2009: Bioenergy from permanent grassland A review: 2. combustion. *Bioresour. Technol.*, **100**, 4945–4954, doi:10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2009.05.069.
- Putz, F. E. et al., 2012: Sustaining conservation values in selectively logged tropical forests: The attained and the attainable. *Conserv. Lett.*, **5**, 296–303, doi:10.1111/j.1755-263X.2012.00242.x.
- Quansah, C., P. Drechsel, B. B. Yirenkyi, and S. Asante-Mensah, 2001: Farmers' perceptions and management of soil organic matter A case study from West Africa. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystems*, **61**, 205–213, doi:10.1023/A:1013337421594.
- Rahaman, M. M., and O. Varis, 2005: Integrated water resources management: evolution, prospects and future challenges. *Sustain. Sci. Pract. Policy*, **1**, 15–21, doi:10.1080/15487733.2005.11907961.
- Rahman, S., 2009: Whether crop diversification is a desired strategy for agricultural growth in Bangladesh? *Food Policy*, **34**, 340–349, doi:10.1016/J.FOODPOL.2009.02.004.
- Rahman, S., 2010: Women's labour contribution to productivity and efficiency in agriculture: Empirical evidence from Bangladesh. *J. Agric. Econ.*, **61**, 318–342, doi:10.1111/j.1477-9552.2010.00243.x.
- Rakodi, C., 1999: A capital assets framework for analysing household livelihood strategies: Implications for policy. *Dev. Policy Rev.*, **17**, 315–342, doi:10.1111/1467-7679.00090.
- Rakodi, C., and T. Lloyd-Jones, 2002: *Urban Livelihoods: A People-Centred Approach to Poverty Reduction*. Routledge-Earthscan, London, 288 pp.
- Raleigh, C., H. J. Choi, and D. Kniveton, 2015: The devil is in the details: An investigation of the relationships between conflict, food price and climate across Africa. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **32**, 187–199, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.03.005.
- Ramage, M. H. et al., 2017: The wood from the trees: The use of timber in construction. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, **68**, 333–359, doi:10.1016/J.RSER.2016.09.107.
- Rametsteiner, E., and M. Simula, 2003: Forest certification An instrument to promote sustainable forest management? *J. Environ. Manage.*, **67**, 87–98, doi:10.1016/S0301-4797(02)00191-3.
- Rao, M. R., P. K. R. Nair, and C. K. Ong, 1997: Biophysical interactions in tropical agroforestry systems. *Agrofor. Syst.*, **38**, 3–50, doi:10.1023/A:1005971525590.
- Rao, M., M. C. Palada, and B. N. Becker, 2014: Medicinal and aromatic plants in agroforestry systems. *Agrofor. Syst.*, **61**, 107–122, doi:10.1023/B:AGFO.0000028993.83007.4b.
- Rasul, G., 2016: Managing the food, water, and energy nexus for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals in South Asia. *Environ. Dev.*, **18**, 14–25, doi:10.1016/J.ENVDEV.2015.12.001.
- Rau, G. H., and K. Caldeira, 1999: Enhanced carbonate dissolution: a Means of sequestering waste CO₂ as

- ocean bicarbonate. Energy Convers. Manag., 40, 1803–1813, doi:10.1016/S0196-8904(99)00071-0.
- Reardon, T., J. E. Taylor, K. Stamoulis, P. Lanjouw, and A. Balisacan, 2008: Effects of non-farm employment on rural income inequality in developing countries: An investment perspective. *J. Agric. Econ.*, **51**, 266–288, doi:10.1111/j.1477-9552.2000.tb01228.x.
- Regmi, A., and B. Meade, 2013: Demand side drivers of global food security. *Glob. Food Sec.*, **2**, 166–171, doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2013.08.001.
- Reichardt, M., C. Jürgens, U. Klöble, J. Hüter, and K. Moser, 2009: Dissemination of precision farming in Germany: Acceptance, adoption, obstacles, knowledge transfer and training activities. *Precis. Agric.*, **10**, 525–545, doi:10.1007/s11119-009-9112-6.
- Reidsma, P., F. Ewert, A. O. Lansink, and R. Leemans, 2010: Adaptation to climate change and climate variability in European agriculture: The importance of farm level responses. *Eur. J. Agron.*, **32**, 91–102, doi:10.1016/j.eja.2009.06.003.
- Reilly, J. et al., 2012: Using land to mitigate climate change: Hitting the target, recognizing the trade-offs. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **46**, 5672–5679, doi:10.1021/es2034729.
- Reisman, E., 2017: Troubling tradition, community, and self-reliance: Reframing expectations for village seed banks. *World Dev.*, **98**, 160–168, doi:10.1016/J.WORLDDEV.2017.04.024.
- Renforth, P. et al., 2012: Contaminant mobility and carbon sequestration downstream of the Ajka (Hungary) red mud spill: The effects of gypsum dosing. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **421–422**, 253–259, doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2012.01.046.
- Rengasamy, P., 2006: World salinization with emphasis on Australia. *J. Exp. Bot.*, **57**, 1017–1023, doi:10.1093/jxb/erj108.
- Riahi, K. et al., 2017: The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **42**, 153–168, doi:10.1016/j.gloenycha.2016.05.009.
- Ricci, L., 2012: Peri-urban livelihood and adaptive capacity: Urban development in Dar Es Salaam. *Cons. J. Sustain. Dev.*, **7**, 46–63, doi:10.7916/D8668DM1.
- Richardson, D. M., and B. W. Van Wilgen, 2004: Invasive alien plants in South Africa: How well do we understand the ecological impacts? *S. Afr. J. Sci.*, **100**, 45–52.
- Ringler, C., and R. Lawford, 2013: The nexus across Water, Energy, Land and Food (WELF): Potential for improved resource use efficiency? *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **5**, 617–624, doi:10.1016/J.COSUST.2013.11.002.
- Ringler, C. et al., 2016: Global linkages among energy, food and water: an economic assessment. *J. Environ. Stud. Sci.*, **6**, 161–171, doi:10.1007/s13412-016-0386-5.
- Rocha, C., 2016: Belo horizonte: The opportunities and challenges of urban food security policy. In: *The Governance of City Food Systems: Case Studies From Around the World*. Fondazione Giangiacomo Feltrinelli, Milan, Italy, 154 pp.
- Rockström, J., and M. Falkenmark, 2015: Agriculture: Increase water harvesting in Africa. *Nature*, **519**, 283–285, doi:10.1038/519283a.
- Rockström, J. et al., 2009: Future water availability for global food production: The potential of green water

- for increasing resilience to global change. *Water Resour. Res.*, **45**, doi:10.1029/2007WR006767@10.1002/(ISSN)1944-7973.LANDUSE1.
- Roesch-McNally, G. E., S. Rabotyagov, J. C. Tyndall, G. Ettl, and S. F. Tóth, 2016: Auctioning the forest: A qualitative approach to exploring stakeholder responses to bidding on forest ecosystem services. *Small-scale For.*, **15**, 321–333, doi:10.1007/s11842-016-9327-0.
- Roesch-McNally, G. E. et al., 2017: The trouble with cover crops: Farmers' experiences with overcoming barriers to adoption. *Renew. Agric. Food Syst.*, **33**, 322–333, doi:10.1017/S1742170517000096.
- Rogelj, J. et al., 2018: Scenarios towards limiting global mean temperature increase below 1.5°C. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **8**, 325–332, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0091-3.
- Rogers, D., and V. Tsirkunov, 2011: *Costs and Benefits of Early Warning Systems*. Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction. International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR) and The World Bank, 16 pp.
- Rojas-Downing, M. M., A. P. Nejadhashemi, T. Harrigan, and S. A. Woznicki, 2017: Climate change and livestock: Impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. *Clim. Risk Manag.*, **16**, 145–163, doi:10.1016/j.crm.2017.02.001.
- Rolfe, K. M. et al., 2011: Genetic and phenotypic parameter estimates for feed intake and other traits in growing beef cattle, and opportunities for selection. *J. Anim. Sci.*, **89**, 3452–3459.
- Romero, H., and F. Ordenes, 2004: Emerging urbanization in the Southern Andes. *Mt. Res. Dev.*, **24**, 197–201, doi:10.1659/0276-4741(2004)024[0197:EUITSA]2.0.CO;2.
- Röös, E. et al., 2017: Greedy or needy? Land use and climate impacts of food in 2050 under different livestock futures. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **47**, 1–12, doi:10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2017.09.001.
- Ros-Tonen, M. A. et al., 2008: Forest-related partnerships in Brazilian Amazonia: There is more to sustainable forest management than reduced impact logging. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, **256**, 1482–1497, doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2008.02.044.
- Rosegrant, M. W., and S. A. Cline, 2003: Global food security: Challenges and policies. *Science*, **302**, 1917–1919, doi:10.1126/science.1092958.
- Rosegrant, M. W., N. Leach, and R. V. Gerpacio, 1999: Alternative futures for world cereal and meat consumption. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.*, **58**, 219–234, doi:10.1017/S0029665199000312.
- Ross, K., Y. Zereyesus, A. Shanoyan, and V. Amanor-Boadu, 2015: The health effects of women empowerment: Recent evidence from Northern Ghana. *Int. Food Agribus. Manag. Rev.*, **18**, 127–144.
- Rothausen, S. G. S. A., and D. Conway, 2011: Greenhouse-gas emissions from energy use in the water sector. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **1**, 210–219, doi:10.1038/nclimate1147.
- Rueda, X., N. E. Thomas, and E. F. Lambin, 2015: Eco-certification and coffee cultivation enhance tree cover and forest connectivity in the Colombian coffee landscapes. *Reg. Environ. Chang.*, **15**, 25–33, doi:10.1007/s10113-014-0607-y.
- Rugumamu, C., 2009: Assessment of post-harvest technologies and gender relations in maize loss reduction in Pangawe Village eastern Tanzania. *Tanzania J. Sci.*, **35**, 67–76.
- Salvati, L., A. Sabbi, D. Smiraglia, and M. Zitti, 2014: Does forest expansion mitigate the risk of desertification? Exploring soil degradation and land-use changes in a Mediterranean country. *Int. For.*

- Rev., 16, 485–496, doi:10.1505/146554814813484149.
- Sanchez, D. L., and D. M. Kammen, 2016: A commercialization strategy for carbon-negative energy. *Nat. Energy*, **1**, 15002, doi:10.1038/nenergy.2015.2.
- Sans, P., and P. Combris, 2015: World meat consumption patterns: An overview of the last fifty years (1961–2011). *Meat Sci.*, **109**, 106–111.
- Sardiñas, H. S., and C. Kremen, 2015: Pollination services from field-scale agricultural diversification may be context-dependent. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **207**, 17–25, doi:10.1016/J.AGEE.2015.03.020.
- Sathre, R., and L. Gustavsson, 2006: Energy and carbon balances of wood cascade chains. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, **47**, 332–355, doi:10.1016/J.RESCONREC.2005.12.008.
- Saviozzi, A., R. Levi-Minzi, R. Cardelli, and R. Riffaldi, 2001: A comparison of soil quality in adjacent cultivated, forest and native grassland soils. *Plant Soil*, **233**, 251–259, doi:10.1023/A:1010526209076.
- Scalenghe, R., and F. A. Marsan, 2009: The anthropogenic sealing of soils in urban areas. *Landsc. Urban Plan.*, **90**, 1–10, doi:10.1016/J.LANDURBPLAN.2008.10.011.
- Scanlon, B. R., I. Jolly, M. Sophocleous, and L. Zhang, 2007: Global impacts of conversions from natural to agricultural ecosystems on water resources: Quantity versus quality. *Water Resour. Res.*, **43**, doi:10.1029/2006WR005486.
- Scheba, A., 2018: Market-based conservation for better livelihoods? The promises and fallacies of REDD+ in Tanzania. *Land*, **7**, 119, doi:10.3390/land7040119.
- Scherr, S. J., 2000: A downward spiral? Research evidence on the relationship between poverty and natural resource degradation. *Food Policy*, **25**, 479–498, doi:10.1016/S0306-9192(00)00022-1.
- Schindler, D. W., S. E. M. Kasian, and R. H. Hesslein, 1989: Biological impoverishment in lakes of the midwestern and northeastern United States from acid rain. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **23**, 573–580, doi:10.1021/es00063a010.
- Schmitz, O. J. et al., 2014: Animating the carbon cycle. *Ecosystems*, **17**, 344–359, doi:10.1007/s10021-013-9715-7.
- Schmitz, O. J. et al., 2018: Animals and the zoogeochemistry of the carbon cycle. *Science*, **362**, eaar3213, doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.AAR3213.
- Schneider, K., and P. M. K. Gugerty, 2011: Agricultural productivity and poverty reduction: Linkages and pathways. *Libr. Test J.*, **1**, 56–74, doi:10.7152/12259.
- Schneider, S., and P. A. Niederle, 2010: Resistance strategies and diversification of rural livelihoods: The construction of autonomy among Brazilian family farmers. *J. Peasant Stud.*, **37**, 379–405, doi:10.1080/03066151003595168.
- Schnitkey, G., and B. Sheridan, 2017: *Crop Insurance Premiums for 2017*. Farmdoc Daily (7): 22. Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA.
- Schuiling, R. D., and P. Krijgsman, 2006: Enhanced weathering: An effective and cheap tool to sequester CO₂. *Clim. Change*, **74**, 349–354, doi:10.1007/s10584-005-3485-y.
- Schut, M. et al., 2016: Sustainable intensification of agricultural systems in the Central African Highlands:

- The need for institutional innovation. Agric. Syst., 1, 165–176, doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2016.03.005.
- Scott, A., 2017: *Making governance work for water–energy–food nexus approaches*. CKDN Working Paper, Climate and Development Knowledge Network (CDKN), Cape Town, South Africa.
- Scott, C. A. et al., 2011: Policy and institutional dimensions of the water–energy nexus. *Energy Policy*, **39**, 6622–6630, doi:10.1016/J.ENPOL.2011.08.013.
- Scott, D. F., L. A. Bruijnzeel, and J. Mackensen, 2005: The hydrological and soil impacts of forestation in the tropics. In: *Forests, Water and People in the Humid Tropics* [M. Bonel and L.A. Bruijnzeel (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 622–651.
- Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, 2008: Protected Areas in Today's World: Their Values and Benefits for the Welfare of the Planet. 96pp.
- Seddon, N. et al., 2016: *Ecosystem-based Adaptation: A Win-Win Formula for Sustainability in a Warming World?* IIED Briefing, Issue July 2016. International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED), London, UK.
- Seidl, R., M. J. Schelhaas, W. Rammer, and P. J. Verkerk, 2014: Increasing forest disturbances in Europe and their impact on carbon storage. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **4**, 806–810, doi:10.1038/nclimate2318.
- Selig, E. R. et al., 2014: Global priorities for marine biodiversity conservation. *PLoS One*, **9**, e82898, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082898.
- Sen, A., 1992: Inequality Reexamined. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 206pp.
- Senaratna Sellamuttu, S., S. de Silva, and S. Nguyen-Khoa, 2011: Exploring relationships between conservation and poverty reduction in wetland ecosystems: Lessons from 10 integrated wetland conservation and poverty reduction initiatives. *Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World Ecol.*, **18**, 328–340, doi:10.1080/13504509.2011.560034.
- Shackley, S., J. Hammond, J. Gaunt, and R. Ibarrola, 2011: The feasibility and costs of biochar deployment in the UK. *Carbon Manag.*, **2**, 335–356, doi:10.4155/cmt.11.22.
- Shah, P., A. Bansal, and R. K. Singh, 2018: Life cycle assessment of organic, BCI and conventional cotton: A comparative study of cotton cultivation practices in India. In: *Designing Sustainable Technologies, Products and Policies*. Springer International Publishing AG, Switzerland, 67–77.
- Sheahan, M., and C. B. Barrett, 2017: Food loss and waste in sub-Saharan Africa: A critical review. *Food Policy*, **70**, 1–12, doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2017.03.012.
- Shiferaw, B., and S. Holden, 1999: Soil erosion and smallholders' conservation decisions in the highlands of Ethiopia. *World Dev.*, **27**, 739–752, doi:10.1016/S0305-750X(98)00159-4.
- Shively, G., and G. Thapa, 2016: Markets, transportation infrastructure, and food prices in Nepal. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, **99**, aaw086, doi:10.1093/ajae/aaw086.
- Shrestha, S., U. B. Shrestha, and K. S. Bawa, 2017: Contribution of REDD+ payments to the economy of rural households in Nepal. *Appl. Geogr.*, **88**, 151–160, doi:10.1016/J.APGEOG.2017.09.001.
- Sikkema, R., M. Junginger, P. McFarlane, and A. Faaij, 2013: The GHG contribution of the cascaded use of harvested wood products in comparison with the use of wood for energy-a case study on available forest resources in Canada. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **31**, 96–108, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2013.03.007.
- Sikkema, R. et al., 2014a: Legal harvesting, sustainable sourcing and cascaded use of wood for bioenergy:

- Their coverage through existing certification frameworks for sustainable forest management. *Forests*, **5**, 2163–2211, doi:10.3390/f5092163.
- Sikkema, R. et al., 2014b: Mobilization of biomass for energy from boreal forests in Finland; Russia under present sustainable forest management certification and new sustainability requirements for solid biofuels. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **71**, 23–36, doi:10.1016/J.BIOMBIOE.2013.11.010.
- Sileshi, G., E. Kuntashula, P. Matakala, and P. N., 2008: Farmers' perceptions of tree mortality, pests and pest management practices in agroforestry in Malawi, Mozambique and Zambia, *Agroforestry Systems*, Vol. 72, Issue 2, pp. 87-101.
- Simberloff, D., 2005: Non-native species DO threaten the natural environment! *J. Agric. Environ. Ethics*, **18**, 595–607, doi:10.1007/s10806-005-2851-0.
- Sindelar, M., 2015: Harvests from Soil, *Soils and products we use*. Soil Science Society of America (SSSA), Wisconsin, USA, 2 pp.
- Singer, B., 2016: Financing sustainable forest management in developing countries: The case for a holistic approach. *Int. For. Rev.*, **18**, 96–109.
- Singh, S. N., and A. Verma, 2007: Environmental review: The potential of nitrification inhibitors to manage the pollution effect of nitrogen fertilizers in agricultural and other soils: A review. *Environ. Pract.*, **9**, 266–279, doi:10.1017/S1466046607070482.
- Sinha, E., A. M. Michalak, K. V. Calvin, and P. J. Lawrence, 2019: Societal decisions about climate mitigation will have dramatic impacts on eutrophication in the 21st century. *Nat. Commun.*, **10**, 939, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08884-w.
- Smit, J., and J. Nasr, 1992: Urban agriculture for sustainable cities: Using wastes and idle land and water bodies as resources. *Environ. Urban.*, **4**, 141–152, doi:10.1177/095624789200400214.
- Smit, W., 2016: Urban governance and urban food systems in Africa: Examining the linkages. *Cities*, **58**, 80–86, doi:10.1016/j.cities.2016.05.001.
- Smith, B. G., 2008: Developing sustainable food supply chains. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, **363**, 849–861, doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.2187.
- Smith, D. W., 1998: Urban food systems and the poor in developing countries. *Trans. Inst. Br. Geogr.*, **23**, 207–219, doi:10.1111/j.0020-2754.1998.00207.x.
- Smith, H. E., M. D. Hudson, and K. Schreckenberg, 2017: Livelihood diversification: The role of charcoal production in southern Malawi. *Energy Sustain. Dev.*, **36**, 22–36, doi:10.1016/J.ESD.2016.10.001.
- Smith, N. M., 2014: Gender and livelihood diversification: Maasai women's market activities in northern Tanzania. *J. Dev. Stud.*, 1–14, doi:10.1080/00220388.2014.957278.
- Smith, P., 2006: Monitoring and verification of soil carbon changes under Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol. *Soil Use Manag.*, **20**, 264–270, doi:10.1111/j.1475-2743.2004.tb00367.x.
- Smith, P., 2013: Delivering food security without increasing pressure on land. *Glob. Food Sec.*, **2**, 18–23, doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2012.11.008.
- Smith, P., 2016: Soil carbon sequestration and biochar as negative emission technologies. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, **22**, 1315–1324, doi:10.1111/gcb.13178.
- Smith, P., and P. J. Gregory, 2013: Climate change and sustainable food production. Proc. Nutr. Soc., 72,

- 21–28, doi:10.1017/S0029665112002832.
- Smith, P., D. Martino, Z. Cai, D. Gwary, H. Janzen, P. Kumar, B. McCarl, S. Ogle, F. O'Mara, C. Rice, B. Scholes, O. Sirotenko, 2007: Agriculture. In: Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, [B. Metz, O. Davidson, P. Bosch, R. Dave, and L. Meyer, (eds.)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 497–540.
- Smith, P. et al., 2013: How much land-based greenhouse gas mitigation can be achieved without compromising food security and environmental goals? *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, **19**, 2285–2302, doi:10.1111/gcb.12160.
- Smith, P. et al., 2015: Biogeochemical cycles and biodiversity as key drivers of ecosystem services provided by soils. 1, 665–685, doi:10.5194/soil-1-665-2015.
- Smith, P. et al., 2016: Biophysical and economic limits to negative CO₂ emissions. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **6**, 42–50, doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE2870.
- Smith, V. H., and B. K. Goodwin, 1996: Crop insurance, moral hazard, and agricultural chemical use. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, **78**, 428, doi:10.2307/1243714.
- Smyth, C. G., and S. A. Royle, 2000: Urban landslide hazards: Incidence and causative factors in Niterói, Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. *Appl. Geogr.*, **20**, 95–118, doi:10.1016/S0143-6228(00)00004-7.
- Soane, B. D., and C. Van Ouwerkerk, 1994: Soil compaction problems in world agriculture. *Dev. Agric. Eng.*, **11**, 1–21, doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-88286-8.50009-X.
- Soane, B. D., and C. Van Ouwerkerk, 1995: Implications of soil compaction in crop production for the quality of the environment. *Soil Tillage Res.*, **35**, 5–22, doi:10.1016/0167-1987(95)00475-8.
- Sohi, S., 2012: Carbon storage with benefits. Science, 338, 1034–1035, doi:10.1126/science.1227620.
- Spokas, K. A. et al., 2012: Biochar: A synthesis of its agronomic impact beyond carbon sequestration. *J. Environ. Qual.*, **41**, 973, doi:10.2134/jeq2011.0069.
- Springmann, M. et al., 2016: Global and regional health effects of future food production under climate change: A modelling study. *Lancet*, **387**, 1937–1946, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01156-3.
- Springmann, M. et al., 2018: Options for keeping the food system within environmental limits. *Nature*, **562**, 519–525, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0594-0.
- Stanturf, J. A., B. J. Palik, M. I. Williams, R. K. Dumroese, and P. Madsen, 2014: Forest restoration paradigms. *J. Sustain. For.*, **33**, S161–S194, doi:10.1080/10549811.2014.884004..
- Stathers, T., R. Lamboll, and B. M. Mvumi, 2013: Postharvest agriculture in changing climates: Its importance to African smallholder farmers. *Food Secur.*, **5**, 361–392, doi:10.1007/s12571-013-0262-z.
- Stefan, V., E. van Herpen, A. A. Tudoran, and L. Lähteenmäki, 2013: Avoiding food waste by Romanian consumers: The importance of planning and shopping routines. *Food Qual. Prefer.*, **28**, 375–381, doi:10.1016/J.FOODQUAL.2012.11.001.
- Stehfest, E. et al., 2009: Climate benefits of changing diet. *Clim. Change*, **95**, 83–102, doi:10.1007/s10584-008-9534-6.
- Stehfest, E. et al., 2019: Key determinants of global land-use projections. Nat. Commun., 10, 2166.

- Sterling, S. M., A. Ducharne, and J. Polcher, 2013: The impact of global land-cover change on the terrestrial water cycle. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **3**, 385–390, doi:10.1038/Nclimate1690.
- Stevanovic, M. et al., 2016: The impact of high-end climate change on agricultural welfare. *Sci. Adv.*, **2**, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1501452.
- Stevanović, M. et al., 2017: Mitigation strategies for greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture and landuse change: Consequences for food prices. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*,
- Steward, A., 2007: Nobody farms here anymore: Livelihood diversification in the Amazonian community of Carvão, a historical perspective. *Agric. Human Values*, **24**, 75–92, doi:10.1007/s10460-006-9032-2.
- Stigter, C. ., M. V. . Sivakumar, and D. . Rijks, 2000: Agrometeorology in the 21st century: Workshop summary and recommendations on needs and perspectives. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **103**, 209–227, doi:10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00113-1.
- Stoll-Kleemann, S., and T. O'Riordan, 2015: The sustainability challenges of our meat and dairy diets. *Environ. Sci. Policy Sustain. Dev.*, **57**, 34–48, doi:10.1080/00139157.2015.1025644.
- Stoy, P. C. et al., 2018: Opportunities and trade-offs among BECCS and the food, water, energy, biodiversity, and social systems nexus at regional scales. *Bioscience*, **68**, 100–111, doi:10.1093/biosci/bix145.
- Strassburg, B. B. N. et al., 2014: When enough should be enough: Improving the use of current agricultural lands could meet production demands and spare natural habitats in Brazil. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **28**, 84–97, doi:10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2014.06.001.
- Suckall, N., L. C. Stringer, and E. L. Tompkins, 2015: Presenting triple-wins? Assessing projects that deliver adaptation, mitigation and development co-benefits in rural sub-Saharan Africa. *Ambio*, **44**, 34–41, doi:10.1007/s13280-014-0520-0.
- Suding, K. et al., 2015: Committing to ecological restoration. *Science*, **348**, 638 LP-640, doi:10.1126/science.aaa4216.
- Sutton, M. A. et al., 2007: Challenges in quantifying biosphere—atmosphere exchange of nitrogen species. *Environ. Pollut.*, **150**, 125–139, doi:10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2007.04.014.
- Swanson, B. E., 2006: Extension strategies for poverty alleviation: Lessons from China and India. *J. Agric. Educ. Ext.*, **12**, 285–299, doi:10.1080/13892240601062488.
- Swanton, C. J., S. D. Murphy, D. J. Hume, and D. Clements, 1996: Recent improvements in the energy efficiency of agriculture: Case studies from Ontario, Canada. *Agric. Syst.*, **52**, 399–418.
- Swisher, J. N., 1994: Forestry and biomass energy projects: Bottom-up comparisons of CO₂ storage and costs. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **6**, 359–368, doi:10.1016/0961-9534(94)00061-W.
- Tai, A. P. K., M. V. Martin, and C. L. Heald, 2014: Threat to future global food security from climate change and ozone air pollution. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **4**, 817–821, doi:10.1038/nclimate2317.
- Tan, R., V. Beckmann, L. Van den Berg, and F. Qu, 2009: Governing farmland conversion: Comparing China with the Netherlands and Germany. *Land use policy*, **26**, 961–974, doi:10.1016/J.LANDUSEPOL.2008.11.009.
- Tao, Y. et al., 2015: Variation in ecosystem services across an urbanization gradient: A study of terrestrial

- carbon stocks from Changzhou, China. *Ecol. Appl.*, **318**, 210-216, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.04.027.
- Taylor, L. L. et al., 2016: Enhanced weathering strategies for stabilizing climate and averting ocean acidification. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **6**, 402–406, doi:10.1038/nclimate2882.
- Tefera, T., 2012: Post-harvest losses in African maize in the face of increasing food shortage. *Food Secur.*, **4**, 267–277, doi:10.1007/s12571-012-0182-3. 3.
- Temba, B. A. et al., 2016: Tools for defusing a major global food and feed safety risk: Nonbiological postharvest procedures to decontaminate mycotoxins in foods and feeds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **64**, 8959–8972, doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.6b03777.
- Tengberg, A. et al., 2012: Cultural ecosystem services provided by landscapes: Assessment of heritage values and identity. *Ecosyst. Serv.*, **2**, 14–26, doi:10.1016/J.ECOSER.2012.07.006.
- Thøgersen, J., 1996: Wasteful food consumption: Trends in food and packaging waste. *Scand. J. Manag.*, **12**, 291–304, doi:10.1016/0956-5221(96)00011-5.
- Thorlakson, T., and H. Neufeldt, 2012: Reducing subsistence farmers' vulnerability to climate change: Evaluating the potential contributions of agroforestry in western Kenya. *Agric. Food Secur.*, **1**, 15, doi:10.1186/2048-7010-1-15.
- Thormark, C., 2006: The effect of material choice on the total energy need and recycling potential of a building. *Build. Environ.*, **41**, 1019–1026, doi:10.1016/J.BUILDENV.2005.04.026.
- Thornton, P. E. et al., 2017: Biospheric feedback effects in a synchronously coupled model of human and Earth systems. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **7**, 496–500, doi:10.1038/nclimate3310.
- Thornton, P. K., J. Van de Steeg, A. Notenbaert, and M. Herrero, 2009: The impacts of climate change on livestock and livestock systems in developing countries: A review of what we know and what we need to know. *Agric. Syst.*, **101**, 113–127, doi:10.1016/J.AGSY.2009.05.002.
- Thyberg, K. L., and D. J. Tonjes, 2016: Drivers of food waste and their implications for sustainable policy development. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, **106**, 110–123, doi:10.1016/J.RESCONREC.2015.11.016.
- Tilman, D., and M. Clark, 2014: Global diets link environmental sustainability and human health. *Nature*, **515**, 518–522, doi:10.1038/nature13959.
- Tilman, D. et al., 2001: Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental change. *Science*, **292**, 281–284, doi:10.1126/science.1057544.
- Tilman, D., K. G. Cassman, P. A. Matson, R. Naylor, and S. Polasky, 2002: Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. *Nature*, **418**, 671–677, doi:10.1038/nature01014.
- Tilman, D., C. Balzer, J. Hill, and B. L. Befort, 2011: Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **108**, 20260–20264, doi:10.1073/pnas.1116437108.
- Timmer, C., 2009: Preventing food crises using a food policy approach. J. Nutr., 140, 224S–228S.
- Timmermann, C., and Z. Robaey, 2016: Agrobiodiversity under different property regimes. *J. Agric. Environ. Ethics*, **29**, 285–303, doi:10.1007/s10806-016-9602-2.
- Tirado, M. C., R. Clarke, L. A. Jaykus, A. McQuatters-Gollop, and J. M. Frank, 2010: Climate change and food safety: a review. *Food Res. Int.*, **43**, 1745–1765, doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2010.07.003.

Subject to Copy-editing
Do Not Cite, Quote or Distribute

- Tirivayi, N., M. Knowles, and B. Davis, 2016: The interaction between social protection and agriculture: a review of evidence. *Glob. Food Sec.*, **10**, 52–62, doi:10.1016/J.GFS.2016.08.004.
- Tom, M. S., P. S. Fischbeck, and C. T. Hendrickson, 2016: Energy use, blue water footprint, and greenhouse gas emissions for current food consumption patterns and dietary recommendations in the US. *Environ. Syst. Decis.*, **36**, 92–103, doi:10.1007/s10669-015-9577-y.
- Torlesse, H., L. Kiess, and M. W. Bloem, 2003: Association of household rice expenditure with child nutritional status indicates a role for macroeconomic food policy in combating malnutrition. *J. Nutr.*, **133**, 1320–1325, doi:10.1093/jn/133.5.1320.
- Torres, A. B., R. Marchant, J. C. Lovett, J. C. R. Smart, and R. Tipper, 2010: Analysis of the carbon sequestration costs of afforestation and reforestation agroforestry practices and the use of cost curves to evaluate their potential for implementation of climate change mitigation. *Ecol. Econ.*, **69**, 469–477.
- Townsend, S. A., and M. M. Douglas, 2000: The effect of three fire regimes on stream water quality, water yield and export coefficients in a tropical savanna (northern Australia). *J. Hydrol.*, **229**, 118–137, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00165-7.
- Trabucco, A., R. J. Zomer, D. A. Bossio, O. Van Straaten, and L. V. Verchot, 2008: Climate change mitigation through afforestation/reforestation: A global analysis of hydrologic impacts with four case studies. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **126**, 81–97, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2008.01.015.
- Travaline, K., and C. Hunold, 2010: Urban agriculture and ecological citizenship in Philadelphia. *Local Environ.*, **15**, 581–590, doi:10.1080/13549839.2010.487529.
- Tschakert, P., 2007: Views from the vulnerable: Understanding climatic and other stressors in the Sahel. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **17**, 381–396, doi:10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2006.11.008.
- Tscharntke, T., A. M. Klein, A. Kruess, I. Steffan-Dewenter, and C. Thies, 2005: Landscape perspectives on agricultural intensification and biodiversity ecosystem service management. *Ecol. Lett.*, **8**, 857–874, doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00782.x.
- Tscharntke, T. et al., 2016: When natural habitat fails to enhance biological pest control Five hypotheses. *Biol. Conserv.*, **204**, 449–458, doi:10.1016/J.BIOCON.2016.10.001.
- Tu, J., Z.-G. Xia, K. C. Clarke, and A. Frei, 2007: Impact of urban sprawl on water quality in Eastern Massachusetts, USA. *Environ. Manage.*, **40**, 183–200, doi:10.1007/s00267-006-
- Turner, B., 2011: Embodied connections: Sustainability, food systems and community gardens. *Local Environ.*, **16**, 509–522, doi:10.1080/13549839.2011.569537.
- Turtle, C., I. Convery, and K. Convery, 2015: Forest schools and environmental attitudes: A case study of children aged 8-11 years. *Cogent Educ.*, **11**, 1100103, doi:10.1080/2331186X.2015.1100103.
- Uçkun Kiran, E., A. P. Trzcinski, W. J. Ng, and Y. Liu, 2014: Bioconversion of food waste to energy: A review. *Fuel*, **134**, 389–399, doi:10.1016/J.FUEL.2014.05.074.
- UNCCD, 2017: Global Land Outlook. UNCCD, Bonn, Germany, 340 pp.
- UNCTAD, 2011: Water for food Innovative Water Management Technologies for Food Security and Poverty Alleviation. New York, USA, and Geneva, Switzerland, 32 pp.
- UNEP, 2016: Options for Ecosystem-based Adaptation (EBA) in Coastal Environments: A Guide for Environmental Managers and Planners. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Nairobi,

- Kenya, 110 pp.
- UNWater, 2015: Water for a Sustainable World. UNESCO, Paris, France, 122 pp.
- Upreti, B. R., and Y. G. Upreti, 2002: Factors leading to agro-biodiversity loss in developing countries: The case of Nepal. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, **11**, 1607–1621, doi:10.1023/A:1016862200156.
- Upton, B., R. Miner, M. Spinney, and L. S. Heath, 2008: The greenhouse gas and energy impacts of using wood instead of alternatives in residential construction in the United States. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **32**, 1–10, doi:10.1016/J.BIOMBIOE.2007.07.001.
- Valin, H. et al., 2014: The future of food demand: Understanding differences in global economic models. *Agric. Econ.*, **45**, 51–67, doi:10.1111/agec.12089.
- Vaughan, N. E., and C. Gough, 2016: Expert assessment concludes negative emissions scenarios may not deliver. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **11**, 95003, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/9/095003.
- Venn, T. J., and D. E. Calkin, 2011: Accommodating non-market values in evaluation of wildfire management in the United States: Challenges and opportunities. *Int. J. Wildl. Fire*, **20**, 327, doi:10.1071/WF09095.
- Vermeulen, S. J., B. M. Campbell, and J. S. I. Ingram, 2012: Climate change and food systems. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, **37**, 195–222, doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-020411-130608.
- Vignola, R. et al., 2015: Ecosystem-based Adaptation for smallholder farmers: Definitions, opportunities and constraints. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **211**, 126–132, doi:10.1016/J.AGEE.2015.05.013.
- Vlontzos, G., S. Niavis, and B. Manos, 2014: A DEA approach for estimating the agricultural energy and environmental efficiency of EU countries. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, **40**, 91–96, doi:10.1016/J.RSER.2014.07.153.
- Vranken, L., T. Avermaete, D. Petalios, and E. Mathijs, 2014: Curbing global meat consumption: Emerging evidence of a second nutrition transition. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **39**, 95–106, doi:10.1016/J.ENVSCI.2014.02.009.
- Van Vuuren, D. P. et al., 2015: Pathways to achieve a set of ambitious global sustainability objectives by 2050: Explorations using the IMAGE integrated assessment model. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, **98**, 303–323, doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2015.03.005.
- Van Vuuren, D. P. et al., 2017: Energy, land-use and greenhouse gas emissions trajectories under a green growth paradigm. *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **42**, 237–250, doi:10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2016.05.008.
- Van Vuuren, D. P. et al., 2018: Alternative pathways to the 1.5°C target reduce the need for negative emission technologies. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **8**, 391–397, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0119-8.
- Wall, D. H., U. N. Nielsen, and J. Six, 2015: Soil biodiversity and human health. *Nature*, **528**, 69, doi:10.1038/nature15744.
- Ward, F. A., and M. Pulido-Velazquez, 2008: Water conservation in irrigation can increase water use. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **105**, 18215–18220.
- Wardle, J., K. Parmenter, and J. Waller, 2000: Nutrition knowledge and food intake. *Appetite*, **34**, 269–275, doi:10.1006/APPE.1999.0311.
- WBCSD, 2014: *Co-Optimizing Solutions: Water and Energy for Food, Feed and Fiber.* World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD), Geneva, Switzerland, 109 pp.

- Weinberger, K., and T. A. Lumpkin, 2007: Diversification into horticulture and poverty reduction: a research agenda. *World Dev.*, **35**, 1464–1480, doi:10.1016/J.WORLDDEV.2007.05.002.
- Weindl, I. et al., 2015: Livestock in a changing climate: Production system transitions as an adaptation strategy for agriculture. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **10**, 94021, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/9/094021.
- Weindl, I. et al., 2017: Livestock and human use of land: Productivity trends and dietary choices as drivers of future land and carbon dynamics. *Glob. Planet. Change*, **159**, 1–10, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.10.002.
- West, P. C. et al., 2010: Trading carbon for food: Global comparison of carbon stocks vs. crop yields on agricultural land. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **107**, 19645–19648, doi:10.1073/pnas.1011078107.
- Westholm, L., and S. Arora-Jonsson, 2015: Defining solutions, finding problems: Deforestation, gender, and REDD+ in Burkina Faso. *Conserv. Soc.*, **13**, 189, doi:10.4103/0972-4923.164203.
- Wheeler, T., and J. von Braun, 2013: Climate change impacts on global food security. *Science.*, **341**, 508–513, doi:10.1126/science.1239402.
- Whitfield, L., 2012: How countries become rich and reduce poverty: A review of heterodox explanations of economic development. *Dev. Policy Rev.*, **30**, 239–260, doi:10.1111/j.1467-7679.2012.00575.x.
- Wiebe, K. et al., 2015: Climate change impacts on agriculture in 2050 under a range of plausible socioeconomic and emissions scenarios. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **10**, 85010, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/8/085010.
- Van Wilgen, B. W., and A. Wannenburgh, 2016: Co-facilitating invasive species control, water conservation and poverty relief: Achievements and challenges in South Africa's Working for Water programme. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, **19**, 7–17, doi:10.1016/J.COSUST.2015.08.012.
- Wilhite, D. A., 2005: *Drought and water crises*. [D. Wilhite (ed.)]. CRC Press, 432pp.
- Wilson, C. L., and P. L. Pusey, 1985: Potential for biological control of postharvest plant diseases. *Plant Dis.*, **69**, 375–378, doi:10.1094/PD-69-375.
- Wimmer, S. G., and J. Sauer, 2016: *Diversification Versus Specialization: Empirical Evidence on the Optimal Structure of European Dairy Farms*. 56th Annual Conference, Bonn, Germany, September 28-30, 244882, German Association of Agricultural Economists (GEWISOLA).
- Wise, M. et al., 2009: Implications of limiting CO₂ concentrations for land use and energy. *Science*, **324**, 1183–1186, doi:10.1126/science.1168475.
- De Wit, J., J. K. Oldenbroek, H. Van Keulen, and D. Zwart, 1995: Criteria for sustainable livestock production: A proposal for implementation. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **53**, 219–229, doi:10.1016/0167-8809(94)00579-4.
- Wittman, H., 2011: Food sovereignty: A new rights framework for food and nature? *Environ. Soc.*, **2**, 87–105, doi:10.3167/ares.2011.020106.
- Wolff, S., E. A. Schrammeijer, C. J. E. Schulp, and P. H. Verburg, 2018: Meeting global land restoration and protection targets: What would the world look like in 2050? *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, **52**, 259–272, doi:10.1016/j.gloenycha.2018.08.002.
- Wollenberg, E. et al., 2016: Reducing emissions from agriculture to meet the 2°C target. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, **22**, 3859–3864, doi:10.1111/gcb.13340.

- Wollni, M., D. R. Lee, and J. E. Thies, 2010: Conservation agriculture, organic marketing, and collective action in the Honduran hillsides. *Agric. Econ.*, **41**, 373–384, doi:10.1111/j.1574-0862.2010.00445.x.
- Woolf, D., J. E. Amonette, F. A. Street-Perrott, J. Lehmann, and S. Joseph, 2010: Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change. *Nat. Commun.*, **1**, 1–9, doi:10.1038/ncomms1053.
- Wright, C. K., and M. C. Wimberly, 2013: Recent land use change in the Western Corn Belt threatens grasslands and wetlands. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **110**, 4134–4139, doi:10.1073/pnas.1215404110.
- Wu, J., 1999: Crop insurance, acreage decisions, and nonpoint-source pollution. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, **81**, 305, doi:10.2307/1244583.
- Wu, W. et al., 2019: Global advanced bioenergy potential under environmental protection policies and societal transformation measures. *GCB Bioenergy*, gcbb.12614, doi:10.1111/gcbb.12614.
- Xia, J. et al, 2017: Climate change and water resources: Case study of Eastern Monsoon Region of China. *Adv. Clim. Chang. Res.*, **8**, 63–67, doi:10.1016/j.accre.2017.03.007.
- Yamagata, Y., N. Hanasaki, A. Ito, T. Kinoshita, D. Murakami, and Q. Zhou, 2018: Estimating water—food—ecosystem trade-offs for the global negative emission scenario (IPCC-RCP2.6). *Sustain. Sci.*, **13**, 301–313, doi:10.1007/s11625-017-0522-5.
- Yamineva, Y., and S. Romppanen, 2017: Is law failing to address air pollution? Reflections on international and EU developments. *Rev. Eur. Comp. Int. Environ. law*, **26**, 189–200.
- Yang, H., and A. J. B. Zehnder, 2002: Water scarcity and food import: A case study for southern Mediterranean countries. *World Dev.*, **30**, 1413–1430, doi:10.1016/S0305-750X(02)00047-5.
- Yirdaw, E., M. Tigabu, and A. Monge, 2017: Rehabilitation of degraded dryland ecosystems review. *Silva Fenn.*, **51**, 1673, doi:10.14214/sf.1673.
- Young, C. E., and P. C. Westcott, 2000: How decoupled is U.S. agricultural support for major crops? *Amer. J. Agr. Econ*, **82**, 762–767, doi:10.1111/0002-9092.00076.
- Yousefpour, R. et al., 2018: Realizing mitigation efficiency of European commercial forests by climate smart forestry. *Sci. Rep.*, **8**, 345, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-18778-w.
- Zalidis, G., S. Stamatiadis, V. Takavakoglou, K. Eskridge, and N. Misopolinos, 2002: Impacts of agricultural practices on soil and water quality in the Mediterranean region and proposed assessment methodology. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **88**, 137–146, doi:10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00249-3.
- Zaman, A. U., and S. Lehmann, 2011: Urban growth and waste management optimization towards "zero waste city." *City, Cult. Soc.*, **2**, 177–187, doi:10.1016/J.CCS.2011.11.007.
- Zaman, A. U., and S. Lehmann, 2013: The zero waste index: A performance measurement tool for waste management systems in a "zero waste city." *J. Clean. Prod.*, **50**, 123–132, doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2012.11.041.
- Zezza, A., G. Carletto, B. Davis, K. Stamoulis, and P. Winters, 2009: Rural income generating activities: Whatever happened to the institutional vacuum? Evidence from Ghana, Guatemala, Nicaragua and Vietnam. *World Dev.*, **37**, 1297–1306, doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2008.11.004.
- Zhou, Y., L. Guo, and Y. Liu, 2019: Land consolidation boosting poverty alleviation in China: theory and practice. *Land use policy*, **82**, 339–348, doi:10.1016/J.LANDUSEPOL.2018.12.024.
- Zhu, Z. et al., 2018: Recent advances and opportunities in sustainable food supply chain: A model-oriented

review. Int. J. Prod. Res., 56, 5700-5722, doi:10.1080/00207543.2018.1425014.